

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A stochastic spreadsheet model analysing investment options
for the development of pasture on beef cattle farms.

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the Degree of Master in Applied Science
at Massey University

João Antonio Gomes Martins da Silva

1997

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Warren Parker for his guidance and helpful criticism in all aspects of this study.

I would like to thank Ms. Nicola Shadbolt for her advice, ideas and encouragement.

I would also like to thank Dr. Chris Dàke for his advice on aspects of computer modelling.

I am very grateful to the Pearce family, especially to David Pearce, for providing the data about their property for this study, and for their hospitality, time and enthusiastic support, for my research.

Thanks to Carolina Realini, Mark Brookes, Luis and Renata Barioni, Cesar and Beatriz Poli, David Pacheco-Rios, Rogério Pereira, Silvia Assuero, Norman and Marcela Russ, Ronan Ryan and his family, Henrique Coutinho, Brian Read, Chris McLaughlin, and other friends for making my stay in New Zealand an experience I will never forget.

My special thanks to my family for all their support and encouragement while I was in New Zealand.

Partial funding of this study was provided by the New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade and by the New Zealand Agricultural Economics Society.

Abstract

The decision to proceed with farm development to increase animal production is complex. Standalone personal computer software to study either the financial or physical aspects of farm development is available, but models which integrate these components and account for the risks associated with the investment are not. A stochastic spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel®) model was therefore developed to predict the profitability, feasibility and risk of pasture development for two case farms: one in southern Brazil and the other near Wanganui in New Zealand. Pasture was developed at different rates for each farm and the model was used to predict the associated physical and financial changes over-time and a probability distribution of the net present values (NPV) of the net operating profit after tax and before interest (NOPAT) relative to the status quo situation. The extra pasture was used solely for increasing beef cattle production. On the Brazilian case farm the development of 2,263 ha at two rates was studied. The continuation of the status quo had first degree stochastic dominance in terms of the NPV over both development rates; it was superior by about NZ\$ 46,000 for the 200 ha/y option and ca. NZ\$ 110,000 for the 500 ha/y option at a 16% discount rate. However, at a 6% discount rate the 500 ha/y development rate had first degree stochastic dominance in terms of the NPV over both the continuation of the status quo (by about NZ\$ 960,000) and the 200 ha/y option (ca. NZ\$ 120,000). This indicates that pasture development could proceed profitability if interest rates continue to fall in Brazil as predicted. For the New Zealand case farm the development of 247 ha at 50 ha/y had first degree stochastic dominance over the 25 ha/y (ca. NZ\$ 24,000) and continuation of the status quo (ca. NZ\$ 208,000) at a 6% discount rate. Pasture development should therefore continue. Stochastic analysis of the pasture development investment options gave a better insight into the likely outcomes for a project, and provides the farmer with more information for making a decision on whether, and how, to proceed with farm development. The model could easily be adapted for studying farm development with respect to other types of livestock enterprises

Title: A stochastic spreadsheet model analysing investment options for the development of pasture on beef cattle farms.

Degree: Master in Applied Science.

Author: João Antonio Gomes Martins da Silva.

Year: 1997

Keywords: pastures, development, risk, feasibility, profitability, model.

Table of contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1. Scope and Purpose:.....	2
<i>1.1.1. Objectives and hypothesis</i>	<i>2</i>
Chapter Two: Literature Review	4
2.0. Introduction:	4
2.1. Farm Development:.....	4
2.2. Farm Development in New Zealand in the 60's and 70's:.....	5
2.3. Farm Development in southern Brazil:.....	6
2.4. Farm Development in the 90's:.....	9
2.5. Farm Development using Computer Models:	9
<i>2.5.1. Personal computers.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>2.5.2. Personal computer technology in developing countries</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>2.5.3. Farm computer software in New Zealand and Brazil</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>2.5.4. Simulation models.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>2.5.5. Computer models in farm management decision-making.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>2.5.6. The discrete nature of farm investment.....</i>	<i>15</i>
2.6. Investment Analysis:	15
2.7. Time Value of Money:	16

2.8. Discount Rate or Interest Rate:	17
2.9. Payback Period:	19
2.10. Net Present Value:	20
2.11. Net Future Value:	22
2.12. Internal Rate of Return:.....	23
2.13. Economic Life:.....	23
2.14. Original and Terminal Value:.....	24
2.15. Inflation:	25
2.16. Financial Feasibility:	27
2.17. Risk:	27
2.17.1. <i>Business risk</i>	29
2.17.2. <i>Production risk</i>	29
2.17.3. <i>Price risk</i>	30
2.17.4. <i>Financial risk</i>	31
2.18. Accounting for Risk in Investment Decision Making:.....	31
2.18.1. <i>'Thin' or 'Fat' coefficients</i>	32
2.18.2. <i>Sensitivity analysis</i>	32
2.18.3. <i>Bayes' theorem</i>	33
2.18.4. <i>Quadratic programming</i>	34
2.18.5. <i>Stochastic simulation</i>	35
2.18.6. <i>Stochastic efficiency</i>	37
2.18.7. <i>First degree stochastic dominance</i>	37
2.18.8. <i>Second degree stochastic dominance</i>	38

2.19. Summary/conclusion:	38
Chapter Three: Farm Description	39
3.1. Brazilian case farm description:	39
3.1.1. <i>Location</i>	39
3.1.2. <i>Climate</i>	40
3.1.3. <i>Soil types and natural chemical fertility</i>	40
3.1.4. <i>Area and pastures</i>	41
3.1.5. <i>Fertiliser policy</i>	44
3.1.6. <i>Animal production</i>	44
3.1.7. <i>Crop production</i>	46
3.1.8. <i>Financial details</i>	47
3.2. New Zealand case farm description:	49
3.2.1. <i>Location</i>	49
3.2.2. <i>Climate</i>	49
3.2.3. <i>Soil types and natural chemical fertility</i>	50
3.2.4. <i>Area and pastures</i>	50
3.2.5. <i>Fertiliser policy</i>	51
3.2.6. <i>Animal production</i>	52
3.2.7. <i>Crop production and shell rock mining</i>	53
3.2.8. <i>Financial details</i>	54
3.3. Summary/conclusion:	56
Chapter Four: Model Description.....	57

4.0. Introduction:	57
4.1. General overview of model:.....	57
4.1.1. <i>Technical aspects</i>	57
4.1.2. <i>Model outline</i>	57
4.2. Development Plan template:	59
4.2.1. <i>New pasture area (ha/y)</i>	60
4.2.2. <i>Native pasture area (k=0)</i>	63
4.2.3. <i>Pasture development cost (NZ\$/ha)</i>	63
4.2.4. <i>Pasture in year 1 of development (k=1)</i>	64
4.2.5. <i>Pasture in year 2 (k=2)</i>	64
4.2.6. <i>Improved pasture (k=3)</i>	64
4.2.7. <i>Pasture to develop (PD)</i>	65
4.2.8. <i>Pasture production (Y_{ik})</i>	65
4.3. Stock Reconciliation template:	65
4.3.1. <i>Stock numbers (S_j)</i>	68
4.3.2. <i>Losses and killed (%)</i>	68
4.3.3. <i>Calving (%)</i>	68
4.3.4. <i>Males left entire (%)</i>	68
4.3.5. <i>Other stock (SU%)</i>	69
4.3.6. <i>Replacement (%)</i>	70
4.3.7. <i>RIHfrs mated (%)</i>	70
4.4. Sale and Death template:	71
4.4.1. <i>Sale pattern</i>	72

4.4.2. Death pattern.....	72
4.4.3. Sale option.....	72
4.4.4. Prices.....	73
4.4.5. Dressing out %	73
4.4.6. Herd scheme prices.....	73
4.5. Feed Budget template:	74
4.5.1. Animal performance.....	75
4.5.2. Pasture quality.....	75
4.6. Annual Cash Flow template:.....	75
4.6.1. Working expenses.....	76
4.6.2. Standing charges.....	77
4.6.3. Depreciation	77
4.6.4. Correlations.....	77
4.7. Profit and Feasibility template:	78
4.7.1. Other farm income	79
4.7.2. Taxation %	80
4.7.3. Depreciation on capital investment %	80
4.7.4. Capital investment %	80
4.7.5. Discount rate %	80
4.7.6. Sources of funds.....	81
4.7.7. Application of funds.....	81
4.7.8. Mortgage initial balance & interest rate	81
4.8. Risk modeling:	81

4.8.1. <i>Introduction</i>	81
4.8.2. <i>Risk model input template</i>	82
4.9. Summary/conclusion:	84
Chapter Five: Results and Discussion	85
5.0. Introduction:	85
5.1. Results and Discussion for the Brazilian case farm:.....	85
5.2. Status quo with minimal further development:	85
5.2.1. <i>Profitability and feasibility of the status quo at 1996 prices</i>	86
5.3. Development program:.....	87
5.3.1. <i>Investment costs</i>	87
5.3.2. <i>Increase in farm's cash expenditure for the development program</i>	88
5.3.3. <i>Increase in stocking rate</i>	89
5.3.4. <i>Profitability and feasibility of developing 200 ha/y at 1996 prices and 16% interest rate</i>	90
5.3.5. <i>Profitability and feasibility of developing 500 ha/y at 1996 prices and 16% interest rate</i>	92
5.4. Business risk:	92
5.4.1. <i>NOPAT before interest</i>	94
5.4.2. <i>Discussion of the outcomes at a 16% interest rate</i>	96
5.4.3. <i>Discussion of the outcomes at a 6% interest rate</i>	99
5.5. New Zealand case farm results and discussion:	102
5.6. Status quo with minimal further development:	102
5.6.1. <i>Profitability and feasibility of the status quo at 1996 prices</i>	103

5.7. Development program:.....	104
5.7.1. <i>Investment costs</i>	104
5.7.2. <i>Increase in farm's cash expenditure for the development program</i>	104
5.7.3. <i>Increase in stocking rate</i>	105
5.7.4. <i>Profitability and feasibility of developing 25 ha/y at 1996 prices and 6% interest rate</i>	106
5.7.5. <i>Profitability and feasibility of developing 50 ha/y at 1996 prices and 6% interest rate</i>	107
5.8. Business risk:	108
5.8.1. <i>NOPAT before interest</i>	110
5.8.2. <i>Discussion of outcomes</i>	112
Chapter Six: Conclusions	115
6.0. Introduction:	115
6.1. The suitability of the spreadsheet model:	115
6.2. Stochastic simulation:.....	116
6.3. Further improvements to the present model:.....	117
6.4. Areas for further research:.....	118
6.5. Conclusion:.....	119
References	120
Appendix	133

Index of Tables

Table 1: State loans to the New Zealand rural sector.....	5
Table 2: Average yearly growth rates of selected agricultural products in Brazil, according to principal market destination:.....	8
Table 3: Variation of area and production of the main ‘modern’ and ‘traditional’ crops in Brazil from 1970 to 1989.....	8
Table 4: Total area divided by land use for the Brazilian case farm.	41
Table 5: Composition of the native pastures in terms of grasses and legumes species in summer on the predominant soil types for the Brazilian case farm.....	42
Table 6: Winter grasses occurrent in the native pastures of the predominant soil types for the Brazilian case farm.....	42
Table 7: Beef cattle stock reconciliation for a status quo year on the Brazilian case farm.	45
Table 8: Breeding and working horses and sheep stock reconciliations for a status quo year for the Brazilian case farm.....	46
Table 9: Rice crop areas and contracts for a steady state year for the Brazilian case farm.	47
Table 10: Farm income for a status quo year based on prices for the 1995/1996 season for the Brazilian case farm.....	47
Table 11: Farm business expenditure for the Brazilian case farm.....	48
Table 12: Brazilian case farm applications of funds.....	48
Table 13: Total area divided by land use for the New Zealand case farm.....	51
Table 14: Levels of P (ppm) in the soil tests of three paddocks for the New Zealand case farm.	52

Table 15: Beef cattle stock reconciliation for a status quo year for the New Zealand case farm:	52
Table 16: Sheep stock reconciliation for a status quo year for the New Zealand case farm.	53
Table 17: Farm income for a status quo year (based on costs and prices for the 1995/1996 season) and for the previous season for the New Zealand case farm.	54
Table 18: Farm business expenditures for the financial year 1995/96 for the New Zealand case farm.	55
Table 19: New Zealand case farm source and application of funds for the New Zealand case farm.....	55
Table 20: Stock units conversion factors.	69
Table 21: Beef cattle stock reconciliation for the 1997/98 status quo for the Brazilian case farm - the numbers in brackets refer to 1996/97season.	86
Table 22: Profitability analysis for the status quo (SQ) at 1996 prices for the Brazilian case farm.....	87
Table 23: Feasibility analysis for the status quo (SQ) at 1996 prices for the Brazilian case farm.	87
Table 24: Pasture development cost (1996 NZ\$) for the Brazilian case farm.....	88
Table 25: Net Present Value of the investment costs for different development and discount rates for the Brazilian case farm.....	88
Table 26: Beef cattle stock reconciliation for the end of the development program for the Brazilian case farm and for 1996 (shown in brackets - see Table 21).	89

Table 27: NPV for the different scenarios at 1996 prices (NZ\$) and a 16% discount rate for the Brazilian case farm.....	90
Table 28: Probability of NPVs for the Brazilian case farm at a 16% discount rate.....	98
Table 29: Probability of NPVs for the Brazilian case farm at a 6% discount rate.....	100
Table 30: Beef cattle stock reconciliation for the 1998/99 status quo - the numbers in brackets refer to 1996/97 for the New Zealand case farm.....	102
Table 31: Profitability analysis for the status quo at 1996 prices for the New Zealand case farm.....	103
Table 32: Feasibility analysis for the status quo at 1996 prices for the New Zealand case farm.	103
Table 33: Pasture development cost (1996 NZ\$) for the New Zealand case farm.	104
Table 34: Beef-cattle status quo stock reconciliation for the end of the development program and for 1996 (shown in brackets - see Table 30) for the New Zealand case farm.	105
Table 35: NPVs for the three different scenarios at 6% discount rate and 1996 beef cattle prices for the New Zealand case farm.	106
Table 36: Probability of NPVs for the New Zealand case farm at a 16% discount rate.	113

Index of Figures

Figure 1: Location of Brazilian case farm.....	39
Figure 2: New Zealand map showing the location of the case farm.....	49
Figure 3: Schematic outline of model components and direction of data flows.	58
Figure 4: Development plan template in the farm development model.....	60
Figure 5: ‘Stock Reconciliation’ template illustrating the input data for preparing a beef cattle stock reconciliation.....	66
Figure 6: Sale and death pattern template.	71
Figure 7: Partial view of the feed budget template where animal performance data are entered.....	74
Figure 8: Input template for farm working expenses, standing charges and depreciation.	76
Figure 9: Profit and feasibility input template for the farm development model.....	79
Figure 10: Stochastic data for price risk modelling.....	84
Figure 11: Stochastic data for modelling production risk.....	84
Figure 12: Cumulative discounted NOPAT b4 interest for status quo and for developing 200 and 500 ha/y for the Brazilian case farm.....	91
Figure 13: Mortgage final balance for status quo and for developing 200 and 500 ha/y for the Brazilian case farm.....	91
Figure 14: Historical and forecasted beef cattle prices for Brazil (see Appendix I for the actual data series, and Section 4.8 for a description of the prediction equation).	93
Figure 15: Cumulative distribution of water balance deficit for the Brazilian case farm (see Appendix II for rainfall and evapotranspiration data).	94
Figure 16: NOPAT before interest (mean \pm sd.) for the Brazilian case farm assuming the present (1996) state of pasture development.....	95

Figure 17: NOPAT before interest (mean \pm st. dev.) for the Brazilian case farm developed at 200 ha/y.....	95
Figure 18: NOPAT before interest (mean \pm st. dev.) for the Brazilian case farm developed at 500 ha/y.....	96
Figure 19: Probability of NPVs for the Brazilian case farm at a 16% discount rate.	97
Figure 20: Probability of NPVs of the salvage value for the Brazilian case farm at a 16% discount rate.....	98
Figure 21: Probability of NPVs for the Brazilian case farm at a 6% discount rate.	100
Figure 22: Probability of NPVs of the salvage value for the Brazilian case farm at a 6% discount rate.....	101
Figure 23: Cumulative discounted NOPAT b4 interest for status quo and for developing 25 and 50 ha/y for the New Zealand case farm.	107
Figure 24: Mortgage final balance for Status quo and for developing 25 and 50 ha/y ..	107
Figure 25: Historical and forecasted beef cattle prices for New Zealand.	109
Figure 26: Cumulative distribution of the water balance deficit for the New Zealand case farm.	109
Figure 27: NOPAT before interest for the New Zealand case farm assuming the present (1996) state of pasture development.	110
Figure 28: NOPAT before interest for the New Zealand case farm developed at 25 ha/y.	111
Figure 29: NOPAT before interest for the New Zealand case farm developed at 50 ha/y.	112
Figure 30: Probability of NPVs for the New Zealand case farm at a 6% discount rate.	113
Figure 31: Probability of NPVs of the salvage value for the New Zealand case farm at a 6% discount rate.	114

Index of Appendices

Appendix I: Model inputs for defining the status quo situation on a case farm.133

Appendix II: Inputs necessary to run a deterministic simulation for a case farm
development option (in bold) and their relationship to model components (see
Appendix I).....134

Appendix III: Historical data for beef cattle prices in Brazil and prices predicted by the
fitted Fourier curve.135

Appendix IV: Monthly rainfall and average evapotranspiration (ETP) data for the
Brazilian case farm’s region.136

Chapter One: Introduction

Declining real returns for livestock products over the past decade and increased financial uncertainty have raised questions about the profitability of farm development for beef production in both New Zealand and southern Brazil. Few studies of farm development in the context of a less regulated and subsidised pastoral agriculture have been reported since the late 1970's, and those that have (e.g. Parker, 1978), generally have not formally accounted for production and price risk.

Computer models have become an important tool for predicting the likely results of on-farm investment. To date, most computer models have concentrated on a marginal analysis of the investment and not accounted for the whole farm system in terms of both physical and financial changes. Marginal analysis does not allow the 'big' picture to be shown of how the project is to be financed or of the farm's cash situation during the years of the development program. In addition, farming is a risky business and uncertainties about expected variation in production and product prices should be accounted for in the results of the investment analysis.

Thus, there is a need to revisit the question of whether farm development is profitable, and to utilise the increased power and flexibility of computers to analyse development options particularly with respect to risk. A computer model was therefore developed to consider the whole farm system. The model needed to reconcile the livestock numbers and production relative to pasture demand and supply, account for changes in costs and income forgone, estimate tax liability and reflect the farm's overall cash position. Risk was formally accounted

only with respect to pasture production and the beef schedule price. These two parameters have a large influence on the outputs for a development program.

1.1. Scope and Purpose:

1.1.1. Objectives and hypothesis

The research reported in this thesis had four main objectives. The first was to select and assess the physical production and financial situation of two case farms. The second was to develop a computer model to describe the physical production and financial situation on the case farms. The third was to use the model to estimate the economic returns, financial feasibility, and risk of pasture development on the case farms. The fourth was to support the farmers' investment decision making by making the information on the probability of outcomes for alternative plans available to them.

The first two objectives were to evaluate the 'typical' production year and assess the impact of current beef cattle prices on the financial position of the farm. The third objective involved the selection of techniques to predict and evaluate the changes on the farm business through the introduction of improved pastures. The fourth was achieved by providing the farmer the information on the results for the development plan. The study hypothesis was that "Farm development for beef production in New Zealand and southern Brazil at 1996 costs and prices is profitable".

In summary, the model was built with the aim of answering the following questions:

- How does the actual farm system works? (status quo situation)
- Is the developed system financially better than the undeveloped one? (profitability)
- How does the farmer proceed form the “undeveloped” to the “developed” situation?
(feasibility)
- What is the risk? (stochasticity of critical inputs)