

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Sentence-final expressions

- rasii and yoo

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Japanese at Massey University

Asuka Tochigi

2003

Abstract

Sentence-final expressions — rasii and yoo

Asuka Tochigi School of Language Studies

College of Humanities and Social Sciences Massey University

In the Japanese language there is a group of sentence-final expressions, which indicate the speaker's attitude toward the proposition they are maintaining. Included in this group are expressions such as kamosirenai, tigainai, hazu, yoo, mitai, rasii, soo (hearsay) and (si) soo. This thesis is an examination of the functions of the two expressions *voo* and *rasii*. In many cases *rasii* and *voo* are interchangeable in the respect that they work as evidential markers that the speaker makes a judgment based on evidence. However there is a subtle difference between them. The distinction between them is made by the degree of the speaker's perception. Even though the same types of evidence may be used, rasii indicates that a lesser degree of the speaker's perception is involved, whereas *voo* indicates that a higher degree of the speaker's perception is involved. A closer investigation of the uses of rasii and yoo, based on Brown and Levinson's politeness theory, is carried out and it is concluded that both rasii and yoo work as politeness strategies. That is, the speaker utilises the function of either rasii or yoo to satisfy the other's feeling and to establish a good rapport between the speaker and the addressee in human interactions, as well as to maintain the speaker's own desire.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Kiyoharu Ono, Programme Coordinator of the East Asian Studies Programme, Massey University, whose expertise, understanding and constructive criticism guided me throughout the process of researching and writing this thesis. He constantly challenged and encouraged me, never accepting less than my best effort.

I am very grateful to Professor Hideo Suzuki at Shirayuri College, who taught me so much about Japanese linguistics. I am also immensely grateful to Professor Sayuri Adachi at Shirayuri College, under whose guidance I began to teach Japanese and who provided me with the opportunity to come to New Zealand to teach and study. I could not complete this thesis without her encouragement and support. Mr Paul Knight and Mrs Penelope Shino of the East Asian Studies Programme at Massey University kindly provided me with valuable advice relating to my thesis.

I would like to express my appreciation to Mrs Fay Butts for proof reading this thesis. Her persistence, understanding and kindness supported me throughout my work. Grateful thanks are also due to my friend Ariana Smith for her help with this thesis. I also wish to express my appreciation to Masami Murata. It was very valuable for me to be able to exchange and test ideas with a more experienced researcher.

Thanks are due to my flatmates, Kumiko Kumode and Maya Sugiarto, for their friendship and support. I wish to thank my family, without whose love and encouragement, I would not have been able to stay in New Zealand and complete this research.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Fable of Contents	iii
Abbreviation	v
Notes on Romanisation	vii
Sources of Japanese Examples	viii

Chapter	One:	Introduction	1
---------	------	--------------	---

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Literature review	2
1.3 Syntactic analysis of <i>rasii</i> and <i>yoo</i>	5
1.4 Evidentiality	8
1.5 Comparison of <i>rasii</i> and <i>yoo</i>	17
1.5.1 The types of evidence	18
1.5.2 The degree of the speaker's attitude	19
1.5.3 The fundamental characteristics of rasii and yoo	22
1.5.4 Nobayashi's framework for analysing rasii and yoo	23

2.1	The semantic difference between <i>rasii</i> and <i>yoo</i>	26
2.2	The types of evidence	28
2.3	The circumstances in which only yoo can occur	30

2.4 The circumstance in which both rasii and yoo can occur
2.4.1 The relation to hearsay soo
2.4.2 The examination of compatibility
2.4.2.1 Compatibility with <i>omooni</i>
2.4.2.2 Compatibility with <i>matigainaku</i>
2.4.2.3 Compatibility with <i>tasikani</i>
2.4.2.4 Compatibility with denial expression
2.4.2.5 The distinction between <i>rasii</i> and <i>yoo</i>
2.4.3 The syntactic distinction between appearance <i>yoo</i> and inferential <i>yoo</i> 52
2.5The circumstance in which only <i>rasii</i> can occur
2.6 Psychological attitude toward evidence
2.7 Conclusion

Chapter	Three:	Paragmatic	analysis	of	rasii	and	d <i>yoo</i> 64	4

3.1 Linguistic politeness	.65
3.2 The universality of Brown and Levinson's framework	.73
3.3 The uses of <i>rasii</i> and <i>yoo</i> as politeness strategies	.77
3.3.1 Maintaining the addressee's negative face	.77
3.3.2 Maintaining the speaker's positive face	.84
3.4 Euphemistic usage of rasii and yoo	.86
3.5 Conclusion	.87

Chapter Fou	: Conclusion	
-------------	--------------	--

bliography

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations have been used when giving translations of the examples provided in the thesis.

ACC	ACCusative case marker (<i>o</i>)
CAUS	CAUSative affix (~sase)
COMP	sentence COMPlementizer (no, koto, to, tokoro)
СОР	COPula (<i>da</i> , <i>desu</i>)
DAT	DATive case marker (ni)
GEN	GENitive case marker (no)
HON	HONorific form (~rare, o-V ni naru)
LOC	LOCative case marker (ni, de, e)
NEG	NEGative morpheme (~nai)
NOM	NOMinative case marker (ga)
PASS	PASSive affix (~ <i>rare</i>)
POL	POLite affix (~masu, ~desu)
Q	Question particle (ka)
ТОР	TOPic marker (wa)

? awkward

*

In translating the examples, the markers RASII, YOO and SOO are used respectively to indicate *rasii*, *yoo* and *soo*. Johnson (1994) interprets these terms as "AUX (AUXiliary verbs)". Other researchers, such as Teramura (1984) also interpret these terms as auxiliary verbs. In this thesis however we do not specify these terms as auxiliary verbs. The aim of this paper is not to investigate whether or not these expressions represent auxiliary verbs, but to investigate their functions from the viewpoint of semantics and pragmatics.

Notes on Romanisation

The Kunrei romanisation is adopted for Japanese examples with the long vowels shown by the double *o* system.

```
e.g. syoogakkoo 'primary school', tyuugakkoo 'junior high school',
Tookyoo 'Tokyo'
```

The Hepburn system is used in translations of the examples and bibliographical information, where the long vowels are not indicated following the widely practised translation.

e.g. Taishukan, Shogakukan, Chikuma

When a different system is adopted in citations, it is changed to the above system for unification.

Sources of Japanese Examples

Many of the Japanese examples are taken from the following:

Abe, Kobo. Suna no onna. Tokyo; Shincho sha, 1981.

Endo, Shusaku. Seisho no naka no onna tachi. Tokyo; Kodan sha, 1972.

Inoue, Hisashi. Monkippotto shi no ato shimatsu. Tokyo; Kodan sha, 1974.

Kaneko, Shiro. Atorantisu tairiku no nazo. Tokyo; Kodan sha, 1974.

Kaji, Motojiro. Remon. Tokyo; Shincho sha, 1967.

Kida, Gen. Gendai no tetsugaku. Tokyo; Kodan sha, 1991.

Kitamura, Kaoru. Mizu ni nemuru. Tokyo; Bungei bunshu, 1997.

Mayumira, Taku. Nazo no tenkosei. Tokyo; Kadokawa shoten, 1975.

Miyabe, Miyuki. Henzi wa iranai. Tokyo; Shincho sha, 1994.

Shibata, Takeshi. Nihongo wa omoshiroi. Tokyo; Shincho sha, 1973.

Suzuki, Takao. Nihongo to gaikokugo. Tokyo; Iwanami shoten, 1990.

Yamada, Masahiro. Kazoku no risutorukusharingu. Tokyo; Shincho sha, 1999.

Some examples are taken from "*CD-COM Shincho sha bunko no 100 satsu*" (1995). Examples are used from the following works contained on the CD-ROM.

Akagawa, Jiro. Onna shacho ni kanpai! Inoue, Yasushi. Asunaro monogatari. Miura, Ayako. Shiokari toge.