

# GEOPHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL RECONNAISSANCE OF ROCK HABITATS IN WESTERN CAMDEN BAY, BEAUFORT SEA, ALASKA

INSTITUTE OF WATER RESOURCES

University of Alaska

Fairbanks, Alaska 99701

IWR-104

GEOPHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL RECONNAISSANCE OF ROCK HABITATS  
IN WESTERN CAMDEN BAY, BEAUFORT SEA, ALASKA

Geophysical and biological reconnaissance of rock habitats in western Camden Bay, Beaufort Sea  
Alaska

K.H. Dunton

S.V. Schonberg

Dr. Donald M. Schnell

by

K.H. Dunton

S.V. Schonberg

and

Dr. Donald M. Schell, Principal Investigator

Institute of Water Resources

University of Alaska

Fairbanks, AK 99701

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	3
SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVES, CONCLUSIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS WITH RESPECT TO OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT.....	4
INTRODUCTION.....	6
General Nature and Scope of Study.....	6
Specific Objectives.....	6
Relevance to Problems of Petroleum Development.....	7
CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE.....	7
Kelp as a Carbon Source.....	7
Cobbles and Boulders in Western Camden Bay.....	8
STUDY AREA: BEAUFORT SEA (100 PERCENT).....	8
SOURCES, METHODS, AND RATIONALE OF DATA COLLECTION.....	9
Geophysical Survey.....	9
Calibration.....	10
Direct Seabed Observations.....	10
Biological Sampling.....	10
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	12
Surficial Bottom Features.....	12
Direct Seabed Observations.....	12
Trackline Coverage.....	18
Rock Cover and Sea Floor Topography.....	18
Fauna and Flora Collected.....	23
Drift Kelp on Surrounding Beaches.....	23
NEEDS FOR FURTHER STUDY.....	29
LITERATURE CITED.....	29

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Paul Plesha, Dan Pope, and Cliff Moore for diving assistance, especially under poor sea and weather conditions. Paul Plesha, as usual, did an excellent job in all aspects of this study, particularly navigation; Dan Pope again took charge of dive sled operations on the *R/V Scallop*; and Cliff Moore was instrumental in calibrating the Ross SL-500 receiver, which worked flawlessly. Alan Paulson expertly photographed many of our activities in the field and reviewed drafts of the final report.

The authors are grateful to Bruce Simmonds at Ross Laboratories for his technical assistance, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for providing the special use permit for the field work, and Louise Flood for typing the report. Constructive criticisms of the draft final report were provided by Peter Barnes and the staff at OMPA-Juneau. Finally, our appreciation to Steve Zimmerman who was directly responsible for the project described in this report. This work was supported by the Bureau of Land Management through an interagency agreement with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, under which a multiyear program responding to needs of petroleum development of the Alaskan Continental Shelf is managed by the Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program (OCSEAP) office..

SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVES, CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS  
WITH RESPECT TO OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT

This report presents the results of a 10-day geophysical and biological survey in western Camden Bay, in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. The primary objective of this survey was to confirm the existence of boulders and cobbles on the seafloor as reported by Barnes (1981, 1982). The survey area extended from the eastern edge of the Canning River (mud flat area) to Kangigivik Point and seaward to the 14 m contour line (Fig. 1). A solid boundary of pack ice prevented any survey work seaward of the 14 m contour. We had proposed to examine the seabed to the 18 m contour.

Diving traverses, spot dives, and interpretation of fathometer records indicate the absence of boulders and cobbles in the survey area, except in shallow water (2-4 m) directly northwest of Kangigivik Point. Dredge hauls made throughout the survey area also yielded no attached macroalgae except in the immediate region of Kangigivik Point. The existence of attached macroalgae and invertebrate life in western Camden Bay, therefore, has been visually documented only in the nearshore region in water depths less than 4 m (Dunton and Schonberg, 1981). Our results contradict the work of Barnes (1981), who reported boulders offshore, and the reasons for this disagreement are discussed.

We did collect large amounts of drift kelp following storms on the beaches southeast of Kangigivik Point and on the eastern shore of the barrier island offshore of Kangigivik Point. It appears that the source of this drift kelp is not entirely from the shallow areas north and west of Kangigivik Point. The rocks in these shallow waters support few mature healthy plants of *Laminaria solidungula* (Dunton and Schonberg, 1981; this study). A second source must be present. Based on discussions with E. Reimnitz (USGS), the likely source is a submerged shoal east of Kangigivik Point, which was formerly known as Boulder Island. In our beachcombing efforts to the west of Kangigivik Point to the Canning River delta, macroalgae were seldom seen. Thus it appears that the major source of drift kelp is to the east of Kangigivik Point.




Figure 1. The study area extended from the eastern edge of the Canning River (mud flat area) to Kangigivik Point and seaward to about the 14 m contour line (as far north as sea ice permitted). The occurrence of rocks on the seabed reported by Dunton and Schonberg (1981, from diving observations) and Barnes (1982, from geophysical data) is shown. Depths are listed in meters.

It is difficult to assess the size and possible significance of any kelp bed east of Kangigivik Point, although it seems likely that it is limited to the vicinity of the Boulder Island shoal. Collection of drift kelp along Sopluk Spit, south of Boulder Island (Fig. 1) indicated that 72 kg of kelp washed up on the beach, equivalent to 526 square meters of "boulder patch" type seabed. Based on the importance of this area to industry for oil and gas development, it may become necessary to investigate the small area in the vicinity of the Boulder Island shoal to confirm the presence of rocks with attached kelp. The possible significance of the benthic macroalgae to the nearshore trophic system in western Camden Bay is thus difficult to predict without: (1) accurate knowledge of the size of the kelp bed, and (2) the degree by which invertebrate consumers of Camden Bay depend on carbon derived from kelp.

## INTRODUCTION

### General Nature and Scope of Study

The primary goal of the benthic survey in western Camden Bay was to provide information on the spatial distribution of cobbles and boulders, and the utilization of these substrates by epilithic organisms such as kelp, red algae, soft corals, and sponges. The survey area extended from the eastern edge of the Canning River to Kangigivik Point and seaward to the 14 m contour line, as far north as sea ice conditions permitted (Fig. 1). A Ross SL-500 recording fathometer was used in conjunction with diving observations to map the character of the seabed.

### Specific Objectives

1. Confirm the existence of cobbles and boulders previously reported by Barnes and Ross (1980) in western Camden Bay through visual (diving) examination of rock patches.

2. Determine the overall extent of cobble and boulder distribution in western Camden Bay in water depths greater than 6 m using an accoustical system and a diving sled.
3. Visually confirm through diving the presence (or absence) of biota associated with rock substrata, and describe both the composition and relative abundance of the biotic assemblages.

Relevance to Problems of Petroleum Development

In Stefansson Sound, the presence of an abundant and diverse flora and fauna associated with cobbles and boulders resulted in special protection for the Boulder Patch from industrial activity related to petroleum exploration. The kelp in the Boulder Patch contributes the largest fraction of carbon in this area, and this carbon source is utilized by many invertebrate consumers. The presence of a similar kelp community in western Camden Bay may thus require similar attention, depending on its size and composition.

CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE

Kelp as a Carbon Source

Although the input of terrestrial peat carbon to the nearshore Alaskan Beaufort Sea is of the same magnitude as that derived from marine sources, marine primary production supplies nearly all of the carbon used in arctic marine foodwebs (Schell, 1983). Most of this carbon is supplied by phytoplankton, but benthic microalgae and ice algae also contribute carbon on a less consistent temporal and spatial scale. The discovery of the Boulder Patch and its large population of flora and fauna by E. Reimnitz in 1971 also led to the discovery of another marine carbon source of unknown magnitude -- kelp. Subsequent long-term in situ productivity studies indicated that the carbon contribution made by kelp in the Boulder Patch doubled the amount of carbon available to consumers in that region (Dunton et al., 1982). It



also appears that kelp is an alternate food source for many animals that primarily rely on phytoplankton. Thus, the kelp communities found in association with "boulder patches" may not only be unusual but also supply a source of carbon that is utilized by organisms that are eaten by birds, fishes, and marine mammals (Dunton and Schell, 1982).

#### Cobbles and Boulders in Western Camden Bay

The presence of cobbles and boulders in western Camden Bay was first reported by Barnes and Ross (1980). Subsequent investigation of the seabed using underwater television showed that the rocks supported a diverse benthic community (Barnes, 1981). This benthic community appeared similar to the Boulder Patch (Reimnitz and Ross, 1979; Dunton et al., 1982) in the types of organisms present. In August 1981, some of the nearshore boulder ridges described by Barnes (1981) were examined by divers (Dunton and Schonberg, 1981). Their short examination revealed patchy occurrences of rocks where Barnes (1981) had indicated, but the benthic fauna and flora were not comparable in density or diversity to that of the Boulder Patch. However, only a few rock patches were examined, and these were in relatively shallow water (less than 3.5 m depth). Biological assemblages are more likely to possess a greater luxuriance in deeper water which affords greater protection from the thick winter ice sheet.

From sonographs, Barnes and Ross (1980) identified several locations where they postulated the existence of boulders and cobbles on the seabed in deeper water (Fig. 1). They visually examined none of these locations. The existence of the rocks offshore was based entirely on two transect lines which extended nearly four nautical miles offshore. We attempted to rediscover the locations that were reported to contain rocks on the seafloor.

#### STUDY AREA: BEAUFORT SEA (100 PERCENT)

The study area for this project is western Camden Bay, between longitude 145°30' and 145°10', in water depths ranging from 5 to 14 m

(Fig. 1). Calibration of geophysical instruments was conducted at OCSEAP DS-11 in Stefansson Sound, and directly northwest of Kangigivik Point in western Camden Bay (water depth 2-4 m).

#### SOURCES, METHODS, AND RATIONALE OF DATA COLLECTION

Geophysical survey data and samples were collected from the *R/V Proteus*, a 25 foot Boston Whaler leased to OCSEAP by Arctic Marine Research Associates. The vessel carried a crew of four, was fully canvassed and powered by twin 140 HP outboard engines. Navigation equipment included a Furuno 16 mile radar, flasher fathometer, compass, and RDF (radio direction finder). Mast, boom and outriggers provided a means to tow and retrieve trawl equipment from the stern, port, or starboard sides.

#### Geophysical Survey

Geophysical coverage was obtained across the study area along the transects shown in Figure 1. The acoustical system was a Ross Model SL-500 recording fathometer. This instrument has been used successfully in previous studies in Stefansson Sound to locate boulder patches. It uses a narrow beam 200 kHz transducer and produces a paper copy fathogram. Boulders and cobbles on the seafloor are indicated on traces by elongate return signals and by slight surface roughness. All survey transects were established using a compass and radar fixes from natural and artificial land targets. Radar targets were placed on two offshore barrier islands (Fig. 1). Navigation fixes are generally accurate within  $\pm 200$  m.

We did not attempt to confirm the presence of rocks in the shallow inshore areas (landward of barrier island chain and connecting shoals) where Barnes (1981) used underwater TV and side-scan sonar. The confirmation of boulders located by Barnes in this area was successfully accomplished in an earlier study (Dunton and Schonberg, 1981). In the present survey, we primarily focused on the areas outside the chain of barrier islands in water depths exceeding 3 m.

## Calibration

A comprehensive calibration of the Ross SL-500 preceded the geophysical survey. Instrumental calibration was conducted both at DS-11 in Stefansson Sound and directly northwest of Kangigivik Point in western Camden Bay. At both locations, divers' observations of the seafloor were correlated with the character of signal returns recorded on the Ross SL-500. The sensitivity of the instrument was adjusted to obtain maximum signal clarity for rocky and nonrocky bottom types.

## Direct Seabed Observations

Divers conducted direct underwater observations of the seabed along several km of survey trackline and on 13 dives. The diving observations were used to interpret distinct signal returns or to confirm our interpretations of traces recorded by the Ross SL-500. Observations were made by towing a diver on a specially constructed sled (Fig. 2) or by a diver swimming along the bottom at a designated site.

## Biological Sampling

Biological samples were collected by divers, and by three types of sampling gear towed behind the boat. These included an epibenthic sled, a plankton net, and a Pope sled (a specially designed sled for sampling the bottom). The Pope sled was built in the field by Dan Pope, one of the divers, after he had accidentally lost the epibenthic sled on a transect made 8 km offshore. The Pope sled was constructed of 5 cm mesh vexar and was of the same size and shape of the epibenthic sled it replaced.




Figure 2. Dan Pope preflights the diving sled used for surveying the seafloor in this study. Photograph by Alan Paulson.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Surficial Bottom Features

Table 1 lists the five predominant bottom types encountered in western Camden Bay. Each bottom type is characterized by a distinct signal return on the Ross SL-500 trace. Figures 3 and 4 show signal returns that are characteristic of bottoms either dominated by rocks (cobbles or boulders) or by unconsolidated sediments. Rocky areas were characterized by irregularly elongated signals and by slight surface roughness.

Diving observations revealed that most of the topographical relief shown in the Ross SL-500 traces could be attributed to ice gouging. The most spectacular traces obtained were attributed to gouging by large deep draft ice which formed deep (ca. 0.5 - 1.0 m) V-shaped furrows in the seafloor (Fig. 5). In other areas a rough bottom was found by divers to be the result of shallow (15-40 cm) furrows crisscrossing on the seafloor (Fig. 5). This was also attributed to ice gouging, but of a more frequent nature that did not involve massive ice blocks.

Figure 6 shows the trace which led to the discovery of "buttes," unusual topographical features which may be the result of crisscross ice gouging (E. Reimnitz, personal communication). The buttes in western Camden Bay are flat-topped equidimensional blocks, have vertical dimensions of 0.1 to 0.5 m and diameters of 0.50 to 2.0 m. They are composed of mud, although rocks (pebbles, cobbles, or boulders) were occasionally seen among them. Kelp blades and other species of algae were observed in troughs surrounding some buttes.

### Direct Seabed Observations

The location of dive sled transects and spot dives made during the survey are shown in Figure 7. The observations recorded from the dives on the character of the seafloor are summarized at the various sites. These observations indicate that rocks are extremely rare offshore. Drift algae was also rarely collected by the divers.

TABLE 1. The predominant bottom types encountered in the geophysical survey of western Camden Bay. The corresponding Ross SL-500 trace for each bottom type is indexed by figure number.

Bottom Type	Description	Location of Ross SL-500 trace
Smooth	Flat, mud or sand, with occasional ripple marks.	Figure 3, 4
Rough	Crisscross ice gouging. Haphazard furrow orientation 15-40 cm deep in sand or mud.	Figure 5
Deep Ice Gouge	Larger scale relief in seafloor, attributed to deep draft ice.	Figure 5
Butte	Flat topped topographical features rising vertically 0.1 to 0.5 m above seafloor. Mud with occasional rocks.	Figure 6
Rock	Pebbles, cobbles, or boulders on muddy bottom. Kelp infrequent.	Figure 3, 4




Figure 3. The Ross SL-500 depth recorder trace of a boulder patch (top) near DS-11 (depth 18 to 22 feet) in Stefansson Sound and a smooth seafloor surface (bottom). Rocky bottoms are characterized by irregularly elongated signals and by slight surface roughness. The reason for the occurrence of the diffuse, lighter colored marks above the bottom trace is currently unknown. Horizontal dimension across the entire trace is about 200 m. The vertical dimension (depth) utilizes the 0-50 foot scale.




Figure 4. Ross SL-500 trace of the seafloor along a survey line northwest of Kangigivik Point (See Fig. 8 for location of survey line). The 0-50 foot vertical scale (depth) is used in this sonograph.







Figure 5. A Ross SL-500 trace of ice gouging caused by deep draft ice (top) contrasts the "rough bottom" trace (bottom) which is attributed to the crisscross pattern of furrows on the seafloor observed by divers. We believe this haphazard pattern of furrows is due to frequent shallow draft ice gouging, which we refer to as "crisscross ice gouging." The 0-50 foot vertical scale is used in this sonograph.




Figure 6. The Ross SL-500 trace of the buttes located by divers. Vertical dimensions of individual buttes (marked) range from 0.2 to 0.5 m and have horizontal dimensions of 1.0 to 2.0 m. The 0-50 foot vertical scale is used in this sonograph.

Rough sea conditions during most of the project, together with rain and snow accompanied by strong southwesterly storms, limited underwater visibility to less than 0.5 m on all dives. As a result, no attempts were made to photograph the major bottom features that characterize western Camden Bay.

### Trackline Coverage

A total of 92 km (62 statute miles) of geophysical transects and 4 km of direct seabed observations was covered during survey operations (Fig. 8). A solid boundary of floating pack ice precluded the collection of data north of the 14 m contour line. The northernmost transect was accomplished by following the edge of the floating ice pack to a shallow 10 m shoal covered with grounded ice. The collection of data westward of this point was prevented by grounded ice along the entire shoal (Fig. 9). Dense floating ice was common along most of the survey lines.

### Rock Cover and Seafloor Topography

The topography and composition of the seafloor in western Camden Bay (based on geophysical survey data, bottom samples, and dive notes) are shown in Figure 10. Rock cover in the area surveyed is very rare based on geophysical data and diving observations. Rocks of various sizes were occasionally observed at the butte sites, and pebbles were infrequently observed by divers at other locations. However, when rocks were located by divers, they were bare of macroscopic attached fauna or flora.

Ice gouging appears to a frequent occurrence in the area surveyed (Fig. 10). Nearly 40% of all the geophysical data reflects ice gouging. Protection from ice scour and disruption from deep draft ice is one of the requirements for the establishment of a kelp community in the Beaufort Sea (Dunton et al., 1982). Thus, it is doubtful that such a community could exist in the surveyed area regardless of rock cover.

The results of this survey contradict the offshore (but not inshore) work of Barnes (1982), who reported boulders and cobbles to




Figure 7. The character of the seafloor at various locations in western Camden Bay based on spot dives and diving sled transects.




Figure 8. The location of geophysical survey lines, showing the usage of the epibenthic sled, dive sled, Pope sled, and plankton net along various line segments.



Figure 9. Paul Plesha (holding binoculars) and Ken Dunton (with sextant) re-establish the western terminus of our most northerly transect. Grounded ice prevented further movement to the west (or to the right in this photograph). Extremely thick floating ice (on left) prevented any diving along this transect. Photograph by Alan Paulson.




Figure 10. The topography and composition of the seafloor in western Camden Bay based on geophysical survey data, bottom samples, and dive notes. The occurrence of rocks (cobbles or boulders) on the seafloor is denoted by solid circles. Rough bottom is interpreted as crisscrossed ice-gouged furrows in the seafloor (based on diving observations, see text and Fig. 5). Depths shown in meters.

depths of 14 m seaward of the barrier island chain (Fig. 1). However, Barnes based his results on his interpretation of side-scan sonar data which showed images of boulder-like objects, but no confirmation of these objects was made by divers. It is possible that the interpretation of Barnes is correct, but such boulders would have to be fairly infrequent. It is also possible that topographical features such as buttes could be confused as boulders on a side-scan image.

### Fauna and Flora Collected

The fauna and flora collected by divers and from epibenthic sled nets are listed in Table 2. Polychaete worms, gastropods, and various crustaceans (such as isopods, mysids and amphipods) were common throughout the survey area. Animals and benthic macroalgae commonly found on hard rock substrata were rarely collected except in the vicinity of Kangigivik Point. A list of species found in this area is provided by Dunton and Schonberg (1981). A list of all algae collected in Camden Bay in 1981 and 1982 is shown in Table 3. Plankton tows were taken in an attempt to satisfy both curiosity concerning the species present in the water column and for the purpose of collecting copepods for isotopic analyses by K.D. Unfortunately we collected very few animals, and neither objective could be satisfactorily achieved.

### Drift Kelp on Surrounding Beaches

Despite the absence of rocks and kelp in the area surveyed, and the general absence of kelp in the rocky area northwest of Kangigivik Point, large amounts of kelp drifted onto two nearby beaches following storms. We noted drift kelp on Sopluk Spit and on the eastern end of Survey Target Island East, north of Kangigivik Point (Fig. 1). We did not observe kelp as drift on any of the other beaches in the survey area.

We were able to examine the drift plants on Survey Target Island East on August 3, directly following a two-day storm accompanied by strong (15-25 knot) westerly winds. The plants stranded on the beach were fresh, and unusually large and healthy, with many over 2 m in length (Fig. 11). We have never collected plants of this size and



TABLE 2. List of animal species collected by divers or using the epibenthic sled net in western Camden Bay.

Species	Species
FORAMINIFERA	ARTHROPODA (Crustaceans)
<i>Cornuspira involens</i>	Mysidacea
Unknown foram	<i>Mysis littoralis</i>
NEMERTEA	Cirripedia
ANNELIDA	Isopoda
Polychaeta	<i>Saduria</i> sp.
<i>Melaenis loveni</i>	Amphipoda (several species)
<i>Nephtys longosetosa</i>	BRYOZOA
<i>Prionospio cirrifera</i>	<i>Eucratea loricata</i> <sup>2</sup>
<i>Sphaerodoropsis minuta</i>	<i>Flustrella</i> sp. <sup>3</sup>
MOLLUSCA	<i>Porella saccata</i>
GASTROPODA	ECHINODERMATA
Prosobranchia	Ophiuroidea
<i>Colus togatus</i>	Holothuroidea
<i>Cylichna alba</i>	
<i>Retusa obtusa</i>	VERTEBRATA (Osteichthyes)
<i>Velutina</i> sp.	<i>Liparis</i> sp.
Bivalvia	
<i>Astarte</i> sp.	
<i>Musculus</i> sp. <sup>1</sup>	
<i>Portlandia arctica</i>	

<sup>1</sup> Collected only at one butte site (by diver).

<sup>2</sup> Collected at one butte site and northwest of Kangigivik Point (by diver).

<sup>3</sup> Collected only northwest of Kangigivik Point (by diver).

TABLE 3. Revised list of algae species collected in 1981 (Dunton and Schonberg, 1981) and 1982 on OCSEAP cruises by this field team in Camden Bay. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Dr. Robert Wilce (University of Massachusetts) who performed many of the identifications.

---

Species

---

PHAEOPHYTA

*Pilayella littoralis*

*Stictyosiphon* sp.

*Laminaria saccharina*

*Laminaria solidungula*

*Alaria esculenta*

RHODOPHYTA

*Sphacelaria arctica*

*Neodilsea integra*

*Odonthalia dentata*

*Phycodryis rubens*

*Phyllophora truncata*

*Rhodomela confervoides*

---



Figure 11. Large amounts of kelp drifted onto the eastern end of survey target island east (north of Kangigivik Point), following a storm on August 2 and 3. Much of the drift on the beach is *Laminaria solidungula* but the large 3 m long specimen held by Paul Plesha is *Alaria esculenta*.

character in this region, even when diving in rocky areas south and west of this shoal. Yet the prevailing wind direction during the storm presents a paradox in determining the possible source of such kelp.

A week earlier, we noticed large amounts of kelp in a drift line on the seaward side of Soplú Spit. The kelp was slightly desiccated, so it was difficult to assess its size and health. In an attempt to quantify the amount which had been deposited on the beach, we collected all kelp within ten  $1 \text{ m}^2$  plots along the beach (Fig. 12). The algae from each plot was bagged and later weighed in the laboratory. The mean dry weight of the kelp in the 10 plots was 22.5 g. Over 600 meters of shoreline, this translated to 72 kg of kelp (wet weight). In Stefansson Sound, this would be equivalent to about 526 square meters of boulder patch seabed (based on a mean biomass in the Boulder Patch kelp community of  $137 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ ; Dunton et al., 1982). Since the amount of material that drifted onto the beach represented only a small portion of the kelp bed itself, the actual size of the unknown kelp bed is probably much larger. Prior to August 1, prevailing winds were northeasterly. We observed very little stranded kelp on Soplú Spit following the early August westerly storm, when kelp accumulated on Survey Target Island east.

It was difficult to predict the most likely source of the drift kelp collected on the two beaches, based on our limited observations. However, some insight to the problem was provided by Erk Reimnitz (USGS), who revealed that a submerged shoal, formerly known as Boulder Island, exists east of Kangigivik Point (Fig. 1). The submerged shoal covers an area of about 25,000 square meters and contains rocks which would support a small kelp bed. Reimnitz also recalls kelp in association with this island several years ago. Despite its small size the shoal undoubtedly provides some protection from deep draft ice to allow development of a small kelp bed. The presence of a kelp bed on Boulder Island would undoubtedly contribute to the algal driftlines on Soplú Spit. But it is hard to visualize how kelp from Boulder Island would be transported to Survey Target Island east during a westerly storm.



Figure 12. Dan Pope (left) and Paul Plesha (right) collect dried kelp recently cast up on the beach in a  $1 \text{ m}^2$  area on Soplú Spit. Photograph by Alan Paulson.

## NEEDS FOR FURTHER STUDY

The only unsolved mystery for this area is the source of large amounts of drift kelp on beaches east of the survey area. It appears that the only possible source for this kelp is to the east, perhaps in the vicinity of the Boulder Island shoal, but this is speculative at best.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Barnes, P.W. 1981. Camden Bay "Boulder Patch." In: Barnes, P., and Reimnitz, E. Geological Processes and Hazards of the Beaufort Sea Shelf and Coastal Regions. Annual Report, 1981. Nat. Oceanic Atmos. Admin., Boulder, CO. Attachment B. 4 p.
- Barnes, P.W. 1982. Marine ice-pushed boulder ridge, Beaufort Sea, Alaska. *Arctic*. 35(2):312-316.
- Barnes, P.W., and Ross, C.R. 1980. Ice-pushed boulder pile - Camden Bay, Alaska. In: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Adm., Environmental Assessment of the Alaskan Continental Shelf; Investigators Quarterly Reports, January 1980. 11 p.
- Dunton, K.H., Reimnitz, E., and Schonberg, S. 1982. An arctic kelp community in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. *Arctic* 35(4):465-484.
- Dunton, K.H., and Schell, D.M. 1982. The use of  $^{13}\text{C}:^{12}\text{C}$  ratios to determine the role of macrophyte carbon in an arctic kelp community. *Eos* 63:54 (abstract).
- Dunton, K.H., and Schonberg, S.V. 1981. The Canning River to Demarcation Bay: A preliminary survey of macrophyte communities.

Cruise and summary report. NOAA Environmental Research Labs,  
Boulder, CO. 47 p.

Reimnitz, E., and Ross, C.R. 1979. Lag deposits of boulders in  
Stefansson Sound; Beaufort Sea, Alaska. U.S. Geological Survey  
Open File Report 79-1205. 16 p.

Schell, D.M. 1983. Carbon-13 and carbon-14 abundances in Alaskan  
aquatic organisms: delayed production from peat in arctic food  
webs. Science. 219:1068-1071.

Schell, D.M., Ziemann, P.J., Parrish, D.M., Dunton, K.H., and Brown,  
E.J. 1982. Foodweb and nutrient dynamics in nearshore Alaskan  
Beaufort Sea waters. In: Environmental Assessment of the Alaskan  
Continental Shelf: Final Report. BLM/NOAA/OCSEAP, Boulder, CO.  
185 p.