HYDROMETALLURGY OF THE DELTA SULFIDE ORES

Second Stage Report

. '

Submitted to:

Nerco Minerais Company

by:

F. Letowski (author) and P.D. Rao (Principal Investigator)

Mineral Industry Research Laboratory (MIRL) School of Mineral Engineering University of Alaska-Fairbanks Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-1180

October, 1987

Table of Contents

.

Abstract		1					
Section 1.	Introduction	1					
Section 2.	Supplementary Tests on the LPU Ore-sample						
Section 3.	Sulfate Leaching under Oxygen Pressure						
Section 4.	Fluidized-Bed Leaching in Chloride Solution						
Section 5.	Supplementary Tests on the Mixed Chloride/Sulfate Leaching						
Section 6.	Sulfur and Gold Extraction from Residues after FBL Processing						
Section 7.	Summary and Conclusions						
	1. Leachability of the LP Ore-samples	26					
	2. Fluidized-Bed Leaching (FBL) reactors	27					
	3. FBL-Process application in the sulfate system	28					
	4. FBL-Process in the chloride systems	29					
	5. Zinc-chloride leaching process	30					
References		31					

Abstract

This report contains results of the Fluidized-Bed Leaching (FBL) initially adapted to improve Leaching-Flotation (LF) processing of Delta ores in sulfate solution. The research carried out in the continuous laboratory installation show, however, that the new, 3-phase (solid-liquid-gaseous) reactor also performs satisfactorily in other leaching systems. A new process of pyritic matrix destruction for precious metals recovery in the FBL reactor, and a new process for recovery of zinc and other metals in a chloride system are proposed on the basis of laboratory results.

1. Introduction

The general research program on hydrometallurgy of Delta ores conducted for the Nerco Minerals Company in 1986/87 was divided into two parallel investigations:

1st - research on ferric chloride leaching, conducted by Dr. Hsing Kuang Lin, and

2nd - supplementary research on the Leaching-Flotation (LF) process in sulfate solution under elevated pressure of oxygen, conducted by Dr. F. Letowski.

This report contains results of the supplementary research but they are not necessarily limited to sulfate leaching. The Fluidized-Bed Leaching (FBL) system initially adapted only for improving the LF process in sulfate solution was tested for mixed sulfate/chloride and different chloride systems also.

Results of the following research are described:

- Experiments on Leaching-Flotation processing of the old (1986) LPU ore-sample. The tests were carried out to confirm results described in the 1st stage report (1986)¹⁰.
- Fluidized-Bed Leaching and Flotation tests conducted on the new (1987) LP ore-sample, under elevated oxygen pressure in sulfate solution. The tests, carried out in a continuous laboratory installation were extended by chloride leaching of lead and silver immediately following sulfate leaching in the same installation.
- Fluidized-Bed Leaching tests on the LP-86 new ore-sample in ferric chloride and cupric chloride solutions under oxygen pressure.
- 4. Supplementary investigation of Delta ore processing with mixed sulfate/chloride and other chloride systems. This investigation was done as a consequence of features of the Fluidized-Bed Leaching system that allow considerably improved recovery of metals from ore.
- 5. Gold and sulfur extraction from residues after FBL processing,
- 6. Study of FBL adaptation to existing and new processes.

The research described in this report was performed with active participation from Mrs. Wendy Atencio. Her contribution, apart from the routine chemical analysis, was as follows:

- Carrying out the non-pressure experiments on the leaching and leaching-flotation processes, including calculations and graphical representation of the results, and participating in the final analyses of experimental data and final preparation of the report.

2. Supplementary Tests on the LPU Ore

The supplementary tests of ferric sulfate leaching were performed in the same general conditions as the test described in the 1st stage report (1986) but with concentration of ferric sulfate halved. Initial iron concentration was diminished from about 60 g Fe/l in previous tests, to 34 g Fe/l in this test.

Two LPU ore-samples were leached according to the 2-step flowsheet presented in Figure 1. The first sample was leached by new solution in steps LS-93 and LS-95. The second sample was leached in the first step LS-94 by the spent solution after LS-93, and in the second step LS-96 by the new solution. Solid residues from flows (4) and (7) were directed to the three-step Leaching-Flotation processing LF-97-99 in the spent solution from the previous leaching.



Figure 1 Experiments flowsheet carried out with the old ore sample LPU (1986). LS-93 to LS-96: ferric sulfate leaching under atmospheric pressure; LF-97 to LF-99: 3-step flotation under atmospheric pressure in the ferric sulfate solution; LC-102: calcium chloride leaching; LC-103: ferric chloride leaching; NF - nonflotable fraction; FF - flotable fraction, M - mixed fraction. The numbers identify flows according to Table 1 and 2.

Three fractions were collected after LF processing: non-flotable (NF), mixed (M) and flotable fraction (FF). Fraction NF was leached in calcium chloride solution (LC-102) and fraction FF in ferric chloride solution (LC-103). The mass balance for the experiments, calculated from metal concentration in solids, is presented in Table 1. Metal concentration in solutions are shown in Table 2.

Metals extraction at different stages of processing, calculated from the mass balance in solids, is presented in Table 3 and extraction progress during the leaching steps is shown in Figure 2. Kinetic characteristic of the Leaching-Flotation step was not controlled. The time required for this step was not longer than 30 minutes. The metals partition among the solution and the different solid fractions after the LF step are presented in Table 4.

General results of these tests confirm the data presented earlier in the 1st stage report (1986). Even with only half

Table 1. Leaching-Flotation processing of the LPU-ore sample (325-400 mesh). Mass balance of the experiments in sulfate and chloride solution according to flowsheet in Figure 1.

										*			
		S-wiffeetiers		Weight		Grams in Solids (Ag: milligrams)							
	Statikannis			Grams	Сы	Fe	Za	РЪ	Ag	Ça			
	lst Steps	Total Inlet inlet to LS-93	1 2 2	400 200	0.76	129 64.5	26.52 13.26	11.32 5.66	37.08 18.54				
	Leaching	After LS-95	4	169.68	0.117	56.1	0.51	5.08	19.59				
Ferric Sulfate Solution	2nd Steps of Leaching	Index to LS-94 After LS-94 to LS-96 After LS-96	5 6 7	200 194.84 177.76	0.76 1.58 0.322	64.5 60.5 49.8	13.26 11.46 4.27	\$.66 п.а. 5.15	18.54 n.al 20.06				
	Leaching- Flocation Steps	Inter to LP-97 Non-Flotable fraction from LF-99 Mixed Fraction from LF-99 Flotable Fraction from LF-98	8 12 13 14	347.44 60.63 26.05 240.90	0.449 0.007 0.031 0.270	108.3 1.07 8.22 83.06	4.89 0.058 0.33 3.26	10.48 7.35 0.42 2.17	40.58 4.37 3.31 30.36	13.60 0.02			
Chloride Solutions		Final residue after LC-102 Final residue after LC-103	15 16	47.50 225.82	0.006 0.126	0.83 103.2	0.035 0.0988	0.144	0.75 4 <u>.</u> 23	0.50 35.80			

*) Identification numbers of the flows according to the flowsheet (Figure 1).

Metals concentrations in LPU-ore sample: Cu - 0.36%, Fe - 32,25%, Zn - 6.63%, Pb - 2.83%, Ag - 92.7 ppen, Ca - 0.35%,

	Specifications		Volume	Concentration, g/1 (Ag: mg/1)							
			(liters) ¹	Cu	Fe	Za	РЪ	Ag	Ca	pН	
	Lesching solution intering to 1.5-93	17	1.60	0. 5	33.8	8.2				0.63	
10	Lesiching solution intering	18	1.28	0.5	33.8	8.2	••			0.63	
Salface	to LS-96	19	1.28	0.5	33.8	8.2			•••	0.63	
Solutions	Solution from LS-93 Leaching solution to LS-94 Solution from LS-94 Solution from LS-95 Solution from LS-95	20 21 22 23 24	1.54 1.51 1.57 1.23 1.21	0.8 0.8 0.7 1.7	35.5 35.5 37.2 33.9 35.0	17.] 17.] 17.5 13.5 18.4				0.91 0.91 0.89 0.77 0.66	
	Solution inleting to LF-97 Solution from LF-97-99 ²)	25 26	۱ <u>۵</u> (1,5)	0_52 (0.44)	35.7 (34.7)	8.3 (6.4)				0.72 5.#	
In Chloride Solucions	Solution inletting to LC-102 ³⁾ Solutions from LC-102	27 28	1.05 0.99	0.004	0.06	0.017	0.90 6.96	0.62 3.68	28.2 30.1	D.S. D.S.	
	Solution inleting to LC-103 Solution from LC-103	29 30	1.14 1.23	0.068	30.8 32.8	0.003 1.47	0.001 1.036	13.20	32.6 31.8	n.a. 0.a.	

Table 2. Leaching-Floration processing of the LPU (old) ore sample. Concentration and volumes of solutions used in experiments according to Nowsheet in Figure 1.

• Identification numbers of the flows in Figure 1.

n.a. - not analyzed

1) Volumes of wash waters are not included.

2) Luck of data; volume and concentrations estimated from the balance in the solids (Table I).

3) Recalculated from experiment carried out on 37.35g NE99 sample to the real weight of flow 12, i.e. 60.63g.



Figure 2 Progress of zinc, copper, lead and silver extraction during experiments carried out according to the flowsheet presented in Figure 1. Temperature 90-95°C.

the iron(III) concentration in the leaching solution, the final copper extraction (after ferric sulfate and subsequent chloride leaching) exceeds 90%. The silver extraction exceeds 85% (Table 3). However, for zinc leaching in ferric sulfate solution only, the yield strongly depends on the leaching solution properties. The best zinc recovery was observed during leaching LS-93 and LS-96 (Figure 3). The 2nd steps of leaching LS-95 and LS-96 produce relatively high ferric ion concentrations. During LS-95 about 45% of the total iron in solution is in ferric form; during LS-96 about 30% is in ferric form. During 1 step-leaching (LS-93), the ferric ion concentration drops from an initial concentration of 0.46 mol/l to 0.12 mol/l after the first hour. This corresponds to about 20% of the total iron concentration remaining in the oxidized form, Fe^{*}.

During 1st step leaching (LS-94), new LPU ore is contacted with the to solution from LS-93 which contains only 20% of total iron in oxidized form, (6.7 g Fe⁺/l). After some minutes of leaching, in spite of drastic diminishing of the oxidation potential, both sulfides - PbS and ZnS, decomposed and H₂S evolved. During this period of leaching, total copper was precipitated from the solution in the form of CuS (Figure 2).

Such behavior of the reactive medias during these experiments is clear in light of basic bibliographical data, and indicates the chemically-controlled rate of the zinc sulfide decomposition. A temporary precipitation of zinc from the

		Extraction %							
2	Specification	Сц	Fe	Zn	РЪ	Ag			
Sulfate Leaching 1st Step	After leaching LS-93 After leaching LS-94	56.57 -60.51)	8.56 6.20	76.92 13.57					
Sulfar Leaching 2nd Step	Cumulative after LS-93 and LS-95 Cumulative after LS-94 and LS-96	84.6 70.46	12.96 16.01	96.1 5 81.56					
Sulfate Leaching and Flotatio	Cumulative after leaching LS-93-LS-95 m and LF-97-99	79.74	28.40	86.23	e -				
Chloride Leaching	From non-flotable (NF) fraction after CaCl ₂ leaching LC-102 From flotable fraction (FF) after FeCl ₃ leaching LC-103	14.3 58.16	22.4 -13.3")	39.6 97.24	98.04 89.18	96.32 87.43			
Sulfate and Chloride Leaching	Total cummulative extraction after chloride leaching	91.3	19,14	99.54	89.96	85.25			

Table 3. Metals extraction during Leaching-Flotation processing of the LPU (old) ore sample (375-400 mesh) calculated from metals content in solids, according to the flowsheet in Figure 1.

*) Precipitation from solution expressed as excess to total initial concentration in solids.

**) Calculated as 1-[[(15)+(16)]/[(1)-(13)]]; (1), (13), (15) and (16) amount of silver in the flows 1, 13, 15 and 16.

Table 4.	Leaching-Flotation processing of LPU (old) ore among flotation fractions and solution (in weight percent).	samplu,	Metals partition
		Per cent	

					•		
	Weight grams	Cu	Fe	Zn	Pb	Ag	
Sulfate solution Non-flotable fraction Mixed fraction Flotable Fraction	18.12*) 15.15 6.51 60.22	79.74 0.46 2.04 17.76	28.40 0.83 6.37 64.39	86.25 0.22 1.24 12.29	73.95 4.22 21.83	11.49 8.70 79.81	

*) Loss of sample weight during leaching.

١

solution observed during the first 30 minutes of LS-95 leaching did not seem to have any importance during this kind of leaching.

Extraction of lead and silver from the non-flotable fraction by calcium chloride leaching, and from the flotable fraction by ferric chloride leaching, is fast and easy (Figure 4). However, both cases confirmed secondary precipitation of lead and silver that would probably also occur during prolonged leaching.

The general conclusions after supplementary research on the LPU ore leaching are as follows:

1. Effective leaching with lower concentration ferric sulfate solution can be conducted with simultaneous





Figure 4. Behavior of silver leaching during the leaching tests LC-101 (CaCl2 soln.) and LC-103 (FeCl, soln.). Temp. 90-95°C, other conditions and results: Table 1 and 2.

Figure 3. Zinc behavior during the leaching tests LS-93 to LS-96.

reoxidation of ferrous ions to ferric ions.

- Zinc extraction from LPU ore-sample using the above solution is about 96% after 5 to 8 hours of leaching.
 Short-time (1 hour) leaching in chloride solution increases the total zinc extraction to 99%.
- 3. The degree of silver extraction from solid residues after "sulfate treatment" by leaching with chloride solution is about 85%.
- 4. The degree of copper extraction from the same residues in the same conditions is about 90%.
- 5. From the metals remaining in solid residues 90% of iron, 88% of zinc, 88% of copper, 80% of silver and 70% of gold are accumulated in the flotable fraction, while 74% of lead is collected in the non-flotable fraction.

3. Sulfate Leaching Under Oxygen Pressure

Process description

The laboratory installation built from Corning glass elements and schematically shown in Figure 5 is a mini-copy of the leaching section of the ore treatment plant^a suggested earlier.

The scale of this mini-pilot installation is 1:63,000 and the retention time of ore in the leaching reactor (R_i) is about 4 hours. Unfortunately, this glass-built installation while excellent for direct visual observation did not allow for experimentation under pressure higher than 50 psi. The oxygen pressure, necessary for rapid ferrous ion reoxidation in sulfate solution with low cupric ion concentration is about 150 psi.

One conclusion was that the initial concept of the Leaching-Flotation process needs modification. The acceptable zinc extraction (96%) requires several hours of leaching. Meanwhile, the continuous, simultaneous, much faster flotation causes too early removal of non-reacted sulfides from the leaching solution. Of course the possibility of zinc extraction



Figure 5. Laboratory Corning-glass installation for the continuous leaching and flotation under elevated pressure of oxygen:

R, and R, - Column reactors (dia. 4 inch, height 48 inch, max. pressure 50 psi)

R, - Pressure liquid/solid separator (150 psi)

- S, and S, Separators for sedimented fraction of suspension (50 psi)
- B Pulp preparation beaker

C - Pressure crystallizer (100 psi)

D, and D, - Fritted-glass discs for oxygen dispersion in reactors (60 psi)

F, and F₁ - Oxygen flow-meters (150 psi)

G-G, - Gauges (oxygen, 150 psi)

H,-H, - Heating tapes

H. - Immersion heater (in fused quartz tube)

M, and M, - Magnetic stirrers

M-H - Hot plate with magnetic stirrer

P.-P. - Tubing pumps Masterflex with Norprene tube, adapted to pulp transportation under 50 psi pressure

P₄ - Piston metering pump for solution (150 psi)

TI-T, - Thermometers

1-13 and 22-24 - Teflon plug valves joint with teflon pipes (100 pai)

14-19 - Teflon needle valves for oxygen flows regulation (100 psi)

20 and 21 - 3-way valves for pulp or foam direction (50 psi)

from the ore particles suspended in the flotation froth is evident, especially under elevated oxygen pressure, but initial investigations carried out during fall, 1986 were not sufficient for any quantitative conclusion.

In spite of these difficulties, the following operational modifications were carried out:

- The oxygen supply to the 1st leaching reactor (R_1) had to be stopped for a time sufficient for nearly total zinc extraction from the ore.
- Ore pulp had to be fed from the pulp preparation beaker (B) to the 1st reactor (R₁) while the solution is recirculated upward through the reactor at a rate allowing for the ore particles suspended in the leaching solution to have the retention time necessary for zinc extraction. Such a process was named Fluidized-Bed Leaching (FBL).
- Solution from the 1st reactor had to be transported to the second reactor (R_2) while oxygen, dispersed by porous glass disc (D_2) under elevated pressure, oxidized ferrous ions to ferric ions. The solution then went through the crystallizer (C) and had to be directed to the bottom of the reactor (R_1) .
- When the zinc extraction is nearly completed, oxygen can be injected into the 1st reactor (R_1) and the Leaching-Flotation (LF) process is begun. During the 2-step LF process carried out in both reactors $(R_1$ and $R_2)$, the flotable fraction from the 2nd reactor (R_2) is collected in the separator (R_2) . The mixed fraction from the bottom part of the second reactor is recycled through a separator S_2 to the 1st reactor (R_1) . The non-flotable fraction sedimented in the 1st reactor (R_1) is recovered from the separator (S_1) .

During the Fluidized-Bed Leaching process a segregation of solid particles as a function of their specific gravity takes place. The "heavy" fraction is suspended in the fluidized-bed in the 1st reactor. It contains the particles of sulfides, lead sulfate and other minerals with a specific gravity higher than 3.5. The "light" fraction, containing particles of gangue minerals and gypsum having a specific gravity lower than 3, is accumulated in the separator (S_i) and the crystallizer (C). In the crystallizer, additional crystallization of gypsum is going on from the solution.

A simplified flowsheet of the sulfate process carried out in the installation is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. General flowsheets of experiments carried out in pressure installation presented in Figure 5.

8

The fluidized-bed sulfate leaching conducted under oxygen pressure does not necessarily have to be ended by the leaching-flotation process. It can be continued by chloride leaching, also carried out in the fluidized-bed system, but under atmospheric pressure. Such an exchange of the leaching agent can be done immediately by a simple introduction of the new chloride solution to the 1st reactor (for example, through the sampling tube, valve 12, pump P4 and valve 5) or with intermediate washing by water to diminish the zinc sulfate transfer to chloride solution. During these operations sulfate solution is collected in the separator (R_3). Because of very fast lead and silver leaching, a single pass of the chloride solution through the 1st reactor is sufficient to complete extraction of these metals. This solution was recovered during experimentation from the sampling tube and valve 24.

Fifteen different experiments were carried out to find the best treatment method.

A schematic flowsheet is presented in Figure 7. Generally, the left branch of the flowsheet, beginning with flow



Figure 7. Flowsheet of experiments on Fluidized-Bed Leaching and Flotation in sulfate solution in the laboratory installation (Figure 5). Circled numbers of flows correspond to the identification numbers in Table 6.

#2, joins the fluidized-bed leaching with the leaching-flotation processes. The right branch, beginning with flow #37, is composed of the fluidized-bed leaching process without flotation. Both groups of processes started from direct leaching-flotation steps (LF 203-208 left side, and LF 221 right side) to withdraw the non-flotable fractions from the multistage processing. The non-flotable fractions were further leached together in a calcium chloride solution in the fluidized-bed system (FBL 225).

The flows of the flotable or "heavy" fractions are shown in Figure 7 by coarse lines and the non-flotable, "mixed" or "light" fractions by fine lines. The following symbols are used for the tests identification:

- LF Direct ore leaching-flotation process
- FBL Fluidized-bed leaching
- FBL/F Fluidized-bed leaching followed by flotation
- LF-M Supplementary leaching-flotation of the "mixed" fractions carried out in a separate non-pressure installation
- LC Supplementary ferric chloride leaching of final residues after treatment in the continuous installation, carried out in a typical laboratory glass-reactor under atmospheric pressure.

General experimental conditions of the continuous tests are presented in Table 5. The runs of experiments performed

	Weight of feeding solid ¹⁾ (g)	Time of feeding (min)	Retention time FBL time (min)	Flotation time FL time (min)	Romerks
LF 203-208	2.500.0	102	-25 2)	124	See Figure 8a)
FBI /F 209	n.d.3)	-100 4)	105	15	-85°C 30 psi
LF·M	n.d. ³⁾	ր.վ.		60	95°C, LF in another non pressure reactor
FBL/F 210-211	907.1	66	30	15	See Figure 8b)
FBL/F 212	700.0	212	98	12	80-100°C; 20 pst
FBL/F 213	n.d.	26 5)			90°C. 30 psi, L-F step in another non pressure reactor
FBL/F 214	800.0	15	86		See Figure 8c)
FBL/F 224	1.102.5	146	70	15	See Figure 9
FBL 227	615.3	50 6)	90 ⁷)		Single passing of CaCl ₂ leach solution through fluidized bed (see Figure 9)
FBL 228	545.6	20 6)	60 7)		90°C, stm. pressure, single passing of CaCl ₂ leach solution
FBL 221	1,050	45	40	10	75-80°, 20-36 psi
FBL 222	802.4	~60	160		80-85°, 18-24 psi
FBL JF 223	536.1	15	60	10	90-95°, -10 psi
FBL 226	513.5		50		80-107°, 5-10 psi CaCl ₂
FBL 229	583.7	40	110		92-95°, 18-24 psi
FBL 225	398-5	30 6)	60 ⁷)		90°C, atm. pressure; single passing of CaCl ₂ leach solution

Table 5. Run of the continuous tests in pressure installation.

This real weight of solid can be different from mass of the same flows indicated in Table 6. Values in Table 6 are recalculated from real values to the correct theoretical mass balance.

2) This is an average time from 5 feeding operations during L-P processing.

3) Not determined and not analyzed; weight of products from FBL/F209 in Table 6 is determined.

Not precise because some interruption during feeding.

5) Experiment stopped after feeding because of technical problems. LF-step in another non-pressure reactor.

6) Corresponds to the time of washing with water.

Corresponds to the time of chloride leaching.

on the ore-sample-flow #2, and on the flotable fractions of the left branch of flowsheet (Figure 7) are shown in Figures 8 and 9. The feeding time was different and was a function of the quantity of sample and the testing conditions. However, the rate of solution transportation, using the pump P_s , was relatively stable. It was varied from 80 ml to 160 ml per minute. The rate of transportation was adjusted to stabilize the fluidized-bed of solid particles suspended inside the reactor for different liquid/solid ratios. The average rate was about 114 ml/min. The liquid/solid ratio varied from 2.38 Vkg to 1.18 Vkg with an average value of 1.65 Vkg.

During the first experiment LF 203-208, the total sample (2,500 g) was supplied to the reactor as pulp in 5 equal portions. Each portion of pulp was introduced with sulfuric acid (H₂SO₂) (marked by arrows in the graph in Figure 8a). H₂SO₄ was added to stop observed iron precipitation from the leaching solution. During the entire time of the experiment, the 2-step leaching flotation, including recycling of mixed fractions from the 2nd and 1st reactor, was carried out. Technical problems transporting pulp to the reactor caused a one-day interruption of processing just after feeding the 1st beaker of ore.

Temperature and pressure were controlled during experiments in the points indicated in Figure 5. Concentration of ferrous ions, and total iron were analyzed from the samples of solutions. The mass balance in the experiments is



Figure 8. Characteristics of the continuous fluidized-bed sulfate leaching tests described as LF 203-209, FBL/F-210, 211 and FBL-214 (Figure 7 and Table 6). Description in the text.



Figure 9. Characteristic of the continuous tests FBL/F-224 in sulfate solution and followed FBL-227 in calcium chloride solution carried out with the flotable fraction from FBL/224.

presented in Table 6. It is based on the analyses of metals in solid samples. Analyses of solutions could not be applied to these calculations because of imprecise measurement of their total volumes. The degrees of metals extraction from LP ore-sample during fluidized-bed leaching and flotation in sulfate and chioride solution at the different stages of the ore treatment are shown in Table 7. They are calculated from the mass balance in Table 6.

Because of relatively low extraction of zinc (84.4%) from ore in sulfate solution, and lead (91.9%) in calcium chloride solution, additional leaching of solid residues with ferric chloride solution were carried out after FBL and FL processing. The following solid samples were submitted to this leaching:

Total residue after FBL 225

Total residue after FBL 227

Heavy fraction after FBL 228

Light fraction after FBL 228

Heavy fraction after FBL 229

Light fraction after FBL 229

About 30 g samples of these residues were leached with 0.7 1 of chloride solution containing:

Fer from 21 to 22 g/l as FeCL,

Car from 16 to 22 g/l as CaCl,

Mg* from 14 to 18 g/l as MgCl,

Table 4, Fluidard-Ord lexibility and flucation. Mass balance of the coveriments at politin and chieride solution according to the fluentheet in Figure 7. Sample LP, Fraction 400 mests x 20µm.⁴)

	Face Games		Sumple	_	_	2	solan to \$	ahde, gan	AL (AB. A	u: ng)			_
	Specification	₩ 0 ~)	Weight (granus)	Ċu	Pa	ZA	Pb	Λ g	\$b	A4	Au	Ca	s
Matter Mark 1.206	Total an swaple One inter to LP-203-208	1 2	3,550 2,500	10.0	1,090.6 768.	241.4 170.0	125.0 \$8.0	309.15 217.71	2.244 1.550	34.36 24.20	7.45 5.25		
옷 30 옷 발생 31	203-208 Mined Erection (24) from 203-20	3	907,1	n.s.	H.H.	0. 6 .	<u></u>	R.B.	<u>مه</u>				
	Non-Plotable Fraction (NP) from 201-208	\$	n.4.	R.A.	нц.	A.A.	1	G.B.	4.A				
	FE from FBL/F 209	8	5463	3.347	18.8	18.37	13.36	65.81	0.131	6.01	0.981		
	M (mons FBL/F 209	7	95.2	0.094	199	1.5)	8.77	9.78	0.047	0.55	0.077		
	Sample excluded from processing	9	18.8	0.039	14.2	0.64	0.39	1.27	0.004	0.21			
	FF dogan FBL/F 210-211 M dogan FBL/F 210-2111: excluded	10	\$43_7	2.19	379.9	29.70	10.79	89.94	0.194	>0.21	1.305		
	FP from LP-M	11	31.0 260.9	0.018 12_0	104.6	8.19	3.88 4.96	4.05 27.58	0.005	0.19			
Ng.	ENP from PBL/F 210-211	**	12 12	0.049	LA	0.09	2 38	1.85	0.024	6.044			
ТАТ	Sample excluded from processing	14	50.0	0.127	20.0	1.37	1.04	5.29	0.008	0.63			
៥ រូ ន័	Inter un FBL/F-214	IJ	\$41.7	LES .	144.8	28.26	16.46	97.07	0.234	P 44			
	M from FBL/F-214	17	122.7	1_3/2 6.▲	247.D	13.04	10.35	17,50 6.4	L L	<u>م ب</u> ر ،			
	NF (mm F8L/F-2)4	18	40.7	5.1	R.B.	B.A.	••	R.B.	••	<u>ل</u> ه			
87. 97. 97. 8	Index no FBL/F-212 FF from FBL/F-212	19 20	738.2 145.9	2.09 0.262	238.6 51.0	25.99 2.64	16.46° 2.65	77.5 19.98	0.073	1.71			
	FBL/F 212 to FBL/F 213	"	14	-		n.L.	л≜ 1≜1	1.	ብ ስ24	R.E.			
ממואר	FF Stopper FBL/F 213 and SBL/F214	23	400.1 [49.2]	0.164	ورده، ۵. اه	2.64	3,75	19.99	0.069	172			
E.	ENE from FBL/P 213 and FBL/F 2 14	24	46.83	0.077	22	1.07	3.19	6,61	0.047	0.04			
	falles so FBL/F 224	25	1267.9	2.114	464.6	25.18	15.83	143 _58	0.562	12.21		• • • • •	•••
	FF from FBL/F 214	26	707.8	4.4	n_1_	0.4	1.12	1.8	<u>ei</u>	R.B.			
	NT from FBL/F 224	23	199_9	1.066	123.0	4.44	7,44	57.84	0.222	3.65			
	Konvy (b) fraction from FBL-228 R-fractice after FBL-228	29	596.3	0.735	(81.7	6.18	û.49	22.65	0.126	4.69	1_324		
direct 128 110 111	from LC-127 Ligte Station from F81228)1 30	572.1 80.5	0.430 0.094	226.3 24.2	0.81 0.59	0.33 0,41	17.66 3.36	0.022	0.54			
20 and	L-Incolor sher FBL-728 from LC-138	n	73.9	0.045	23.3	0.01	0.03	2.13					
3999	FAL-220	33	35.4	0.001	0.06	0.005	14.40	0.04				212	
	H-Inction from FBL-721	ж	621.5	1.112	201 <i>A</i>	ເວລເ	وجا	54.49	Q193	7 59	1.560		13.00
• –	from LC-128 Crystals from cooling system	ы	595.7	0.579	188.3	1.12	0.37	21.63					
	FBL-227	36	14	-		_			-	-			
2 4 5	One instat to LG-221	57	1,050,0	2.961	372.8	71.40	37.0	91.44	0.664	10.16	2.205		
	M from LF-221	39	305.3	0.518	83.3	13.27	9.57	35.19	0.102	2.47			
	NP from LP-221	40	\$4.3	0,022	2,7	1.36	4.03	679	0,101	0.10			
2020	2NF from 209 and 212-214 Total from FBL-225	41 42	483.7 550.9	0.402 0.424	111.5 104.0	6.J1 6.J2	46.19 1.83	49,46 14,94	0.271 0.172	2.49 R.A.	0.800	2	
223 <u>-</u>	LC-135	43	48.4	0.207	90.7	0.26	۵14	6.42					
	H-Inaction from FBL-222	44	435	0.953	148.16	12.74	11.01	61.05	0,128	5,42	1.001		
S a	FB1-222 Crystals (rota cooling process	45	104.0	0.125	29,9	1,44	\$_\$8	11.85			0.197		
2 2 2	F91222	46	75.2	0.126	23.4	1.50	2,71	6.49	0.031	0.54	LAIA		
2	M-Sactor from FBL-223	4	45.7	0.003	13.7	0.96	1.05	4.84	0.017	0.42	0.133		
र्षे व	Crymetr Even acciling system from FBL-223	44	\$.7	0.005	1.2	80.0	0_13	3.96			0.012		_
\$ 5	R-fracticos from FBL-226	50	506.5	N 60	150.7	9 10	0.76	22.52	0,123	5.13			
E S	E crystals from FBL-225 & 226	52	42,3	0.0004	0.02	0.001	0,19	0.04	0.002	-		1.90	
	H-fraction from FBL-229 H-fraction sher FBL-229	13	460.3	1,019	148.3	10.23	LI)	າງາ	0.092	3.82	1.082		22.18
1020 020 020 020 020 020 020	Long LC-136 L-Lancau (ran FBL-229 L-Sancton star FBL-229	بر ار	505.1 68.5	0.2 32 0,070	96.9 22.5	0.45 0.90	0.27 0.35	11.17	210.0	0.4\$	0,177		
т -	(TOM LC-137	56	60.9	0.036	21.7	0.03	0.04	143	_				
	W/ 1 PART 1 PART 1 PAL-667		1.1	+		-	4.07		-	-			

LF: Lancharg-Floctation
LF: Lancharg Add Protation
FBL /F: Floidized Bod Lanching
Add /on discretings
Add

	Specifications	Processing Time (min)	Cu	Fc	2 <u>n</u>	Ехигасиюл Рb	(%) Ag	550	As	Au
P 203 2)	Extraction after steps 203-211 and LF-M	av 30	47.37	a.d.	64.69	••		44.3	6.1	
	Cummulative extraction from	av 135 1)	59.02 ⁸⁾	n.d.	78.59		••			
nch Ll (Fłow	Extraction after 203-214, 224 227 and 228	443 2)	66.68	D. d .	84.42	90.97 ⁹⁾	56.079)	Р.п.d	n.d.	
Bra	Total extraction from flow (2) (after LC-127,128 and 138)	503 3)	84.14	n. d	93.65	95,399)	71.01 ⁹⁾	n.d.	n.d.	
	Extraction after LF 221 Extraction after FBL 222	220 1)	39.01 58.93	26.69 36.90	44.78 77.61	4.4		41.72	19.49	
30 1. 22 1. 28 1.	Extraction after steps: 221-223, 226 and 229	430 4)	64,312)	43.612)	84,11	93.62	58.9410	⁰⁾ a.d.	n.d.	
e i ž	(after LC-136 and 137)	490 5)	62.9	62.91)	99.27	97.68	60.12 ¹	1)n.d.	n.d.	
Flowsheet	Total extraction from flow (1) after "wash-leaching" in FBL 225 and FBL 227-229 with CaCl ₂ solution	av 436 ⁶)	64.28 59.05 ⁸)	37.15	84,4	91.94	5 8.97 71.8 ⁸⁾	7 2.46 32 ⁸⁾	n.đ.	
	Total extraction from flow (1) after final FeCI3 leaching (LC-127, 128, 135-138)	av 506 ⁷⁾	83.9	40.65	98.88	99.06	79.44	n.d.	n.d.	
	a second se									

Table 7. Metals extraction during fluidized-bed leaching and flotation in sulfate and chloride solutions calculated from metals content in solids (see Table 6 and Figure 7).

av: average; n.d.: not determined

1) Time of processing in sulfate solution

2) 353 min in sulface solution and 90 min in CaCl₂ solution

3) As above with additional 60 min in FeCly solution

4) 370 min in sulface solution and 60 min in CaCl2 solution

5) As above with additional 60 min in FeC13 solution

6) Average from 2) and 4)

7) Average from 3), 5), and simultaneous leaching of NF fractions in: CaCl₂ solution (FBL-225) - 60 min, and in FeCl₃ solution (LC-135) - 60 min

8) Calculated from metals balance in solutions

9) As yield from flow (25)

10) As yield in FBL 226 from flows (47) to (49)

11) Extraction from flow (37) after FBL 226 excluding silver in flow (40)

12) Extraction from flow (37) after FBL 223.

and 100 ml of 36% HCl per liter. The solution used for leaching samples FBL 228 also contained 6.4 g/l Zn as ZnCl, and 3.3 g/l Pb as PbCl,.

Each leaching was carried out at a temperature of 90-95 °C for 4 hours in a glass reactor with stirrer. Results of these supplementary leachings are presented in Tables 6 and 7.

The behavior of silver, lead and antimony during sulfate treatment, and especially the possibility of their earlier extraction from solid products are important. The silver content in solid residues drops from one step of sulfate treatment to another. One probable reason of such a silver behavior is its slow dissolution in the sulfate solution as silver sulfate (Ag,SO₄).

For this reason, the leachability of silver, lead and antimony by a non-oxidative calcium chloride solution was investigated in ten samples taken from different solid residues from the left branch of the flowsheet. The leaching tests were carried out on 20-30 g of samples in 0.7 l of solution containing 52 to 66 g Ca/l and 10 ml of HCl (36%) during 4 hours at 90-95 C in a standard laboratory installation. The results are presented in Table 8. The kinetics of leaching for more typical samples is shown in Figures 10 and 11. General conclusions after sulfate leaching are:

Nr (,	D. No.	Extr from	action % sample ²⁾	
NO. OI Test	Solid Sample 0	(Now ¹)	Pb	Ag	Sb
1 (2.113	NE fraction from FBL/L 209	8	>99.9	92.5	>99
	M fraction from FBL/L 209	7	85	62	>80
	NE (mm FBI /F 210-211	11	93.5	87	n_d_
	EE (perior (mm F-M	12	99	70.3	a. d .
LC-110		10	97.8	75.1	n.d.
LC-117		20	>99.9	74	62-68
LC-118	FF from FBL/F 212	ñ	309 0	70	52-93
LC-119	FF from FBL/P 213		>00.0	712	48
LC-120	Heavy fraction from FBL 214	10	277.7	70	85-97
LC-121	M from FBL/F 213 and FBL 214	23	98	12	03-72
LC-122	NF from FBL/F 213 and				
	light fraction from FBL 214	24	96.8	75.7	h,Q,
1.C-123	M from LF-M and				
	FB1/F 210-211	13	99	97.9	o.d.

Table 8. Results of control chloride leaching of lead, silver and antimony (experimental conditions - sec text).

NF - non-flotable fraction

M - mixed fraction

.....

.....

FP - flotable fraction

n.d. not determined

1) Numbers of flows from Figure 7

2) Yield in the carried out test (not cumulative extraction degree)



Figure 10. Leachability of lead, silver and antimony from nonflotable (LC-113) and mixed (LC-114) fractions after FBL/F-209 in calcium chloride solution. For other conditions see text.

- Sulfate leaching in fluidized-bed coupled with flotation or not, allows for relatively selective zinc extraction from complex sulfide ores. Simultaneous extraction of copper depends on its concentration and its sulfidic form in the ore.
- 2. Slow reoxidation of ferrous ions under oxygen pressure of 20-30 psi and low temperature (80-85° C) caused



- Figure 11. Leachability of lead, silver and antimony from different fractions after FBL 212 to 214 in calcium chloride solution:
 - a) from mixed fractions after FBL 213 and 214 (LC-121)
 - b) from flotable fraction after FBL 212 (LC-120) c) from flotable fraction after FBL 213 (LC-119)
 - d) from flotable fraction after FBL 214 (LC-120)

poor zinc extraction. After 7 hours of treatment only 84% of the zinc was extracted. This degree of extraction was lower than in previous classical experiments described in the 1st stage report³ and in Section 2 of this report. After an additional hour of ferric chloride leaching the cumulative zinc extraction augmented to an acceptable level of 94-99%. The classical experiments were performed on the LPU sample without iron reoxidation by oxygen. The amount of ferric ions was high enough to protect good kinetics of leaching because there were high liquid/solid (L/S) ratios from 8 to 11 l/kg. (The exception was one 3-step experiment where L/S was about 3.6 l/kg but final ferric ions concentration was high enough: 40.7 g/l in 1st step, 77 in 2nd and 126 g/l in 3rd.) During FBL processing the liquid/solid ratio was from 1.18 to 2.38 l/kg and final ferric ion concentration was often only 7 to 9 g Fe/l. Leaching with low L/S ratio is important because of diminished reactor volume and higher zinc concentration in solution. However, the rate of leaching in these conditions must be protected by higher oxygen pressure (from 100 to 150 psi) and higher temperature from 90 to 95° C.

- 3. Lead extraction to the calcium chloride solution during the non-oxidative, fluidized-bed leaching that follows sulfate-FBL processing, achieves 92%. Lead extraction increase to 99% after one hour of oxidative leaching in ferric chloride solution (Table 7). Non-oxidative chloride leaching easily shifts into oxidative leaching by increasing the ferric chloride concentration in the leaching solution.
- 4. Simultaneous with lead, silver extraction achieves 59% in the non-oxidative conditions and 79.4% in oxidative conditions (Table 7).

5. About 96% of total lead and 71% of total silver contained in LP ore-samples are transformed into soluble compounds in the chloride-non-oxidarive solution, during the initial stage of sulfate treatment (Table 8). The extraction of lead, silver and in some cases, antimony from different samples is schematically shown in Figure 12. It is evident that not more than about 30% of the total silver is dispersed in the non-soluble pyritic matrix.



Figure 12. Leachability of lead, silver and antimony from solid semi-products at different steps of treatment of the flow (2) (left branch of the flowsheet in Figure 9).

4. FLUIDIZED-BED LEACHING IN CHLORIDE SOLUTIONS

Oxidation of ferrous ions in chloride solution is considerably faster under 40-50 psi of oxygen pressure than in sulfate solution and oxidation of cuprous ions in chloride solution is even faster under both atmospheric and increased pressures. For this reason leaching by FeCl₃ and CuCl₂ solution was chosen as the best attainable at MIRL. Such a demonstration of the Fluidized-Bed Leaching features contributes the 1st "chloride" sub-program conducted in MIRL and another Nerco's engagement in hydrometallurgical chloride technologies.

The tests were carried out according to a flowsheet presented in Figure 13 in the mini-pilot laboratory installation shown in Figure 5. When the ore suspension was introduced to the 1st reactor (R_1) , the leaching solution circulated from the reactor (R_1) to the oxidation reactor (R_2) ; through valve 20 to the crystallizer (C_1) ; then through the pump (P_2) to the bottom part of the reactor (R_1) . The particles with specific gravity above 4.5, generally the pyrite fraction of the ore, were retained in the fluidized-bed. Particles with specific gravity below 4, and all very fine particles were transported with the solution to the second reactor (R_1) , where the heavier fraction with specific gravity 3-4 (FeOOH, jarosite, gangue minerals) sedimented in the separator (S_2) , and lighter fraction particles below 3 (gypsum, quartz) sedimented in the crystallizer (C).



Figure 13. General flowsheet of experiments carried out in chloride solutions in the pressure installation presented in Figure 5.

It was expected that some part of the lead would crystallize in the form of lead chloride and sediment in the crystallizer also. The continuous reoxidation of the used leaching reagent was carried out by oxygen dispersed under pressure in the second reactor (R₂). When leaching was finished the calcium chloride solution had been fed continuously the a to the 1st reactor, through the valve (12) and the pump (P₂) in a volume necessary to dissolve insoluble-in-water metals compounds. At the same time the spent solution previously filling the 1st and 2nd reactors was directed to the collector (R₂) and then outside the installation. The spent CaCl₂ wash solution was transported, bypassing the 2nd reactor, directly to the crystallizer and then outside the installation.

Fluidized-bed calcium chloride (CaCL) washing was conducted under atmospheric pressure but at elevated temperatures (80-90⁻), and some solution components had crystallized after cooling in the crystallizer. Of course, the spent wash solution could be mixed with the spent leaching solution, but this was not done during the tests.

uted (P,)

rof

ere)H.

tz)

The final fluidized-bed washing with pure water was conducted in the same manner as the first CaCl₂ washing. A schematic flowsheet of the two experiments is presented in Figure 14. Two steps of leaching were carried out with the same solution. The left branch of the flowsheet, beginning from the flow #2 corresponds to the 2-step cupric chloride leaching, the right branch, beginning from the flow #10 corresponds to the 2-step ferric chloride leaching. Two LP





Figure 14. Flowsheet of experiments on the Fluidized-Bed Leaching in cupric and ferric chloride solutions in the laboratory installation (Figure 5). Circled numbers of flows correspond to the identification numbers in the Table 9 and 10.

19

ore-samples, 700 g each of the fraction 400 mesh x 20 µm, were leached according to the above procedure (Figures

13 and 14). The tests were carried out in the following steady state conditions:

Temperature:90-95°C in the 1st, FBL-reactor, and

75-90°C in the 2nd, oxidation-reactor

Pressure: 16 psi during CuCL leaching test, and

17-20 psi during FeCL leaching test

Time of heating the ore pulp to 90°C in the beaker (pulp preparation in B, Figure 13): 30-40 min

۲ ۲

Time of pulp feeding to the 1st reactor:

CuCl, leaching: 1 step (LC-215) 40 minutes

2 step (LC-217) 34 minutes

- FeCL leaching: 1 step (LC-216) 20 minutes
 - 2 step (LC-219) 25 minutes

Time of ore retention in the fluidized-bed state (after feeding):

CuCL leaching: 1 step 83 minutes

2 step 116 minutes

FeCl, leaching: 1 step 100 minutes

2 step 117 minutes

Rate of transportation of the circulating solution during leaching period:

- CuCl leaching: 1st step: 100-150 ml/minutes 2nd step: 100-175 ml/minutes
- FeCl, leaching: 1st step: 120-160 ml/minutes 2nd step: 85-125 ml/minutes

Initial composition of the leaching solution:

CuCl, leaching: Cu 35.48 g/l

Fe 9.96 g/l

Ca 63.7 g/l

FeCL leaching: Cu 8 mg/l

Fe 27.28 g/l

Ca 76.3 g/l

Calcium chloride washing solution composition:

CuCl, leaching: Ca 46.4 g/l Fe 4.53 g/l Pb 1.86 g/l Cu 1.26 g/l Zn 0.32 g/l Ag 2.15 ppm FeCl, leaching: Ca 14.27 g/l

L

Fe	0.02 g/i				
Pb	2.89 g/l				
Cu					
Zn	0.06 g/l				
Ag	7.18 ppm				
c acid (36% HCl) adde					

Hydrochloric acid (36% HCl) added to the leaching solution:

CuCl, leaching:	lst step: 150 ml
	2nd step: 70 ml
FeCI, leaching:	1st step: 150 ml
	2nd step: 100 ml
Liquid/solid ratio	in the 1st reactor:
CuCl, leaching:	1st step: 1.75 1/kg
	2nd step: 2.08 1/kg
FeCl, leaching:	1st step: 2.00 1/kg
	2nd step: 2.70 1/kg

Other conditions and data are presented in Tables 9 and 10 - the mass balances of metals in solid and in solution. Results of the leaching tests are presented in Table 11.

¢'

j,

		Specifications	ID			C	content in l	Solids, gra	ma (Ag, A	u: mg)			
		-	No*)	weight	Cu	Fo	Zn	Pb	Ag	Sto	As	Au	Ca
		Total Inici	1	1,400	3.948	430.4	95.2	49_28	121.92	0.882	13.55	2,814	0.2
	215	injet to LC-215 Crystals from cooling system	2	700 68.1	1.974 0.021	215.2	47.6 0.29	24.64 0.01	60.96 0.48	0.441	6.78 0.13	1.407 n.a.	0.1 14.2
000	ŝ	Outlet from LC-215	4	680.3	1.425	271.3	17.03	0.45	24.96	0.18	8.38	D.9.	10.8
Cupric Chl. Leschin		Inlet to LC-217	5	672.9	1.416	270.4	17.05	0.45	24.92	0.18	8.29	Π.ą.	10.6
	C-217	After Leaching - 1st reactor After Leaching - 2nd reactor	6 7	285.3 171.8	0.213 0.171	117.7	1.07 0.84	0.183 0.097	4.89 3.92	0.0 55 0.039	2.57 1.45	0,544 0,390	0.01 1 <i>.</i> 40
		Cryssals from Cooling System Ouglet From 217	8 9	18.7 457.1	0.098 0.384	3.9 175.0	0.002 1.91	0.28	0.24 8.81	0.094	0.06 4.02	0.012 0.934	1.9 1.4
	-	later to LC-216	10	700	1,974	215.2	47.6	24.64	60.96	0.441	6.78	1,407	0.1
in produced Barrier	τú.	Outlet from LC-216	11 12	47.1 524.2	0.007	1.02 224.8	0.02 12.89	0.061 0. 635	0.21 35.09	0.004 0.323	0.04 6.43	0,3. ೧.3.	3.75 n.a.
Leact	••	Inter to LC-219	13	521.5	1.320	223.6	12.82	0.632	34.91	0.321	6.40	D-&	R.4.
FC	122	After Leaching - 1st reactor After Leaching - 2nd mactor	14	358.1 49,9	0.895 0.074	132.9 16.9	2.15	0.37	21.11 1.91	0.106	4.82 0.58	n.a. n.a.	0.02
		Crystals from cooling system Outlet from LC-219	16 17	47.05 408.0	0.070 0.969	16.1 149.8	0.08 2.29	0.05 0.41	1.76 23.02	0.015 0.184	0.44 5.40	0.a. 13.a.	0.05 0.02
Solid	r	Sidues from reactors						*********					
	нц 1	g after LC-217 and 219	18	194,6	0.228	69.78	0.85	0.19	5.33	0.047	1.89	6. <u>1</u> .	0.95
Totai leach	in jai	solid residues after 3 LC-217 and LC-219	19	865.0	1.353	324.8	4.20	0.69	23.02	0.278	9.42		1.43

Table 9. Fluidized-bed leaching. Mass balance of metals content in solids during cupric- and ferric-chloride leaching according to the flowsheet in Figure 13.

Identification numbers correspond to the flow numbers in Figure 13.

Table 10. Fluidized-bed leaching. Mass balance of metals content in solutions during cupric- and ferric-chloride leaching according to the flowsheet in Figure 13.

Speci	ifications	1D	Volume		Co	nucrat in S	olution, gr	ams (Ag,	Au: mg)	****		
	•	No*)	(liters)	Cu	Fe	29	Za Pb	Ag	Sb	As	Au	Ca
Cupric Chloride	Inlet to LC-215 Outlet from LC-215	20 21	8.38 10,70**)	147.47 149.35	59.70 56.04	6.32 44.56	12.35 35.59	27.44 72.14	0.145 0.564	0_9. D.a.		451.8 617.4
Leaching	Inter to LC-217 Outlet from LC-217	22 23	5.59 6.48**)	133.12 117.06	49.01 47.61	31.35 41.20	24.10 21.26	\$6.95 63.19	0.488 0.482	າ.ສ. 1.34		513.2 235.8
Ferric Chloride Leaching	Inlet to LC-216 Outlet from LC-216 Inlet to LC-219 Outlet to LC-219	24 25 26 27	8.74 9.02**) 4.50 7.06**}	0.038 1.991 1.500 2.228	122.9 122.5 116.8 [14.4	0.26 37.39 26.98 35.95	12.32 30.60 17.40 17.65	30.89 52.58 26.38 34.05	0.16 0_389 0.165 0.255	п.в. 0.57 п.а. 1.17		403.8 613.3 п.а. п.а.

Identification numbers correspond to the flow numbers in Figure 13.

•• Including wash-waters.

Table 11.	Metals extraction	during flui	idized bed	leaching in	chioride	solutions,	calculated	from	metals
	(1	mtert in so	lids (see 'I	able 9 and	Figure 1	3).			

11

Specifications		Leaching		Extraction, %								
		Time (min)	Cu	Fe	Zn	РЪ	Ag	SD-	As			
	Conditioned at 60°C1)	15	-13.72)	1.8	2.6	40.2	30.7	1.8	л.а.	********		
CuCl ₂	Conditioned at 95°C3)	37	-2.42)	2.7	11.3	73.0	40.3	25,9	n.a.			
Leaching	After LC-2154)	123	27.8	-26.12)	64.2	98.2	59.0	59.2	n.a.			
	After LC-2175)	273	76.9	1.7	95.5	97.6	82.8	75.2	28.2			
FcCl3	After LC-216 ⁶⁾	120	32.8	- 4 ,5 ²)	72.9	97.4	42.4	26.8	5.1			
Leaching	After LC-2197)	252	46.7	16.5	94.7	98.1	59.4	54,7	7.6			

1) During 1st beaker feeding to the 1st reactor (LC-215); 350 g of solid (LP) in 700 ml of the solution; flow (20).

2) Procipitation from solution. Expressed as excess in solid residue after leaching (-13.7 means 13.7% of copper in solid after leaching),

3) During 2nd besider feeding to the 1st reactor (LC-215); 350 g of solid (LP) in 700 ml of the solution; flow (20).

4) Temp: 1st reactor 85.-> 96°C; 2nd reactor 78 --> 83°C; average O2 pressure: 16 psi; solid/liquid ratio (S/L) in 1st reactor: 0.57 kg/L.

5) Temp: 1st reactor 95°C; 2nd reactor 80°C; O2 pressure; 16±2 psi; S/L (1st reactor): 0.48 kg/L.

6) Temp: 1st reactor 95°C; 2nd reactor 75°C; average O2 pressure 20 psi; S/L (1st reactor): 0.50 kg/L

7) Temp: 1st reactor 92°C; 2nd reactor 90°C; average O2 pressure 17 pst; S/L (1st reactor): 0.37 kg/L

Extraction of metals after 4 1/2 hours leaching in both cases attains 94% for zinc and 98% for lead. Extraction of copper and silver is higher in the cupric than in the ferric chloride solution. Copper extraction attains 76.9% and 46.7% in CuCl, and FeCl, solution, respectively; and silver extraction 82.8% and 59.4%, respectively, in the same solutions. The better extraction in the cupric chloride solution probably results from the following advantages of copper over iron in chloride solution:

- higher oxidative potential Cu2/Cu1 than Fe3+ /Fe3+;
- faster reoxidation of cuprous than ferrous ions by oxygen;
- catalytic properties of cupric ion;
- more favorable mechanisms of secondary chemical reactions of copper in solution.

. _ _

Moreover, in our case, the oxidative capacity of the cupric chloride solution was higher than the ferric chloride solution because of higher concentration of oxidant in the cupric solution. The results of the fluidized-bed chloride leaching generally confirm the advantages of this system.

Probably the most important advantage of the fluidized-bed chloride leaching is the possibility of producing a high concentration zinc chloride solution with minimal concentration of oxidant CuCl, or FeCl,, that would be reoxidized continuously under elevated oxygen pressure.

For this reason, the tests were carried out on metals extraction from LP ore by a chloride solution in which a low concentration of oxidant and a high zinc concentration were used. The conditions of the leaching tests and some results are presented in Table 12. A kinetic characteristic of the leaching test LC-125 is shown in Figure 15. Excellent results, unexpected by the authors, were obtained. 97% of the zinc extraction after two hours of leaching should be confirmed in the fluidized-bed system with simultaneous reoxidation of the leaching agent under oxygen pressure.

Table 12. Delta ore leaching by low concentration ferric chloride solution with high concentration of zinc chloride. Sample LP, fraction -400 mesh, without cyclone cinders below 20µm typical laboratory glass reactor, temp 90-95°C, leaching time 4 h.

		Leaching test LC 124	Leaching lesi LC 125		
Initial Concentration is leaching solution (g/l)	Za Fe ³⁺ HCl	183 24 36	170 20.5 30		
Solid/liquid ratio		50g/700 mi	20g/700m1		
Loss of weight during leaching (%)		20.3	22.8		
Extraction to solution after 4 hours, (%)	Cu Fe Zn Pb Ag Sb As	44.37 10.4 97.38 98.42 71.14 56.28 n.d.	57.89 28.46 99.35 98.34 73.0 53.82 10		



Figure 15. Extraction of metals during leaching of the LP ore sample with zinc chloride/ferric chloride solution. Experimental conditions see Table 12.

.....

L

5. Supplementary Tests on the Mixed Chloride/Sulfate Leaching

Two supplementary tests were performed with aluminium chloride solution. In the first test, ferric chloride, and in the second test, ferric sulfate, were used as oxidizing agents. By such a combination the influence of the high concentration of sulfate ions on chloride leaching, without gypsum precipitation occurring because of a calcium chloride solution, was investigated. Results presented in Figure 16 indicate good extraction of lead and zinc in chloride solution. At sulfate ion concentrations of about 1.6 mol/l, no negative impact was observed on the lead extraction (Figure 17). However, a retarding effect of sulfate ions on the zinc extraction is visible, although the extraction degree is higher than in pure ferric sulfate solution under the same leaching conditions.



Figure 16. Kinetic of leaching in ferric chloridealuminum chloride system. Sample LP, fraction 200-270 mesh. Solution concentration: [Fe] = 30 g/l; [Al] = 27 g/l, [Cl] = 5.6 mol/l; [HCl] = 18 g/ l, liquid/solid ratio = 0.7 1/50 g, temp. 950C.



6. Sulfur and Gold Extraction from Residues after FBL Processing

Gold extraction from the residues after Fluidized-Bed sulfate processing was investigated in a function of the pyritic matrix decomposition. For this purpose the solid residues were leached in ferric sulfate solution with continuous addition of small quantities of nitric acid. In this condition sulfidic sulfur can be oxidized to the elemental form:

$$3FeS_{2} + 2NO_{3} + 8H^{*} = 2NO + 4H_{2}O + 3Fe^{*} + 6S^{*}$$

Competitive reaction in stronger oxidative conditions leads to partial oxidation of sulfides to the sulfates.

 $3FeS_{,} + 6NO_{,} + 8H^{*} = 6NO + 4H_{,}O + Fe^{+} + 4S^{*} + 2SO_{,}^{+}$

In both cases the ferrous ions are nearly instantaneously oxidized to the ferric ions:

 $3Fe^{+} + NO_{1} + 4H' = NO + 3Fe^{+} + 2H_{1}O_{2}$

Results of tests on the gold recovery as a function of the pyritic matrix destruction are presented in Table 13. The heavy flotable fraction of the sample LP, after sulfate processing and fluidized-bed calcium chloride washing FBL 227 (Figure 7, flow 34), was leached by ferric sulfate solution with continuous addition of nitric acid. In the experiments

about 32%, and 54% to 72% of pyrite was decomposed, respectively, during 1st (LN-142) and 2nd (LN-230/231) "nitrate" leaching. The solid residues obtained after these leaching tests, as well as the sample from FBL 227 not treated by "nitrate" leaching, were leached with cyanide solution under conditions described in Table 13. Elemental sulfur generated during "nitrate" leaching was not extracted from the sample and remained in the solid residues during cyanide leaching. However, in an additional experiment sulfur was extracted by xylene from another portion of the solid residue after "nitrate" leaching LN-142; it was then directed to cyanide leaching under the same conditions as the other samples.

Table 13. Gold recovery from treated ore in function of the pyritic matrix destruction by "nitrate" leaching. Sample LP; heavy, flotable fraction after FBL227 (flow 34 in Figure 7). Gold concentration in the sample 2.51 ppm.

Solid Sample Specification	Loss of weight (%)	C ar Cu (%)	ioncentra nd sulfu Fe (%)	ation (after Za (%)	of metal leaching Pb (ppm)	s Kg (ppm)	S (%)	Degree of pyrite decompo- sucion (%)	Liquid/solid Ratio 1/g	Cyanide Leaching Change of pH during leaching (pH)	Gold extraction (%)***)	Silver extraction (%)
Residue (rom FBL227	·····	0.18	32.40	1.61	897	87,67	5.31		0.7/151	11.54/10.12	53.7/58.1	66.6
Residue from LN142	19.62	0.17	27.52	0.36	744	106.11	9. 95	32	0.5/39	11.65/10.56	62.7/65.3	n.d.
Residue after sulfur extr from LN142	12.07	0.19"	30 <i>.5</i> 6°	0.4 0 "	826 *	117.85*		32	0.5/33	11.78/10.31	69.0/73.4	75.4
Residue from LN230/231	52.67	0.23	28 **	0.61	1033	83.13	19.98	63±10	0.5/42	12.05/10.50	74.8/84.7	82.6

Leaching conditions - LN-142: [Fo₂(SO₄)₃) = 0.12 mol/L; [H₂SO₄] = 1.35 mol/L; Av. rate of HNO₃ (70%) feeding = 0.6 ml/min; leaching time 205 min; Initial Liquid/solid (L/S) ratio 0.7L/101g.; Temperature; progressive increase from 68° to 93°C. LN-230/231: 1st step; [Fo₂(SO₄)₃] = 0.12 mol/L; [H₂SO₄] = 1/35 mol/L; Av. rate of HNO₃ (70%) feeding = 0.3 ml/min; Leaching time 140 min; Initial L/S - 0.35 L/100.4g; Temp. 82-92°C. 2nd step: [Fo₂(SO₄)₃] = 0.19 mol/L [HNO₃] = 2.8 mol/L; Leaching time - 18 min; Initial L/S ratio = 0.275 L/73.2g. Cvanide Leaching: [NaCN] = 1g/L [NaOH] = 1g/L; Leaching time = 48 h.

*) Calculate from balance

**) Low accuracy ±10%

***) Analytical result from two digested solid samples.

n.d. - not determined

A relatively strong increase in the gold recovery with the pyritic matrix destruction (Table 13) is visible. Indeed, only about 80% of gold is extracted if two-thirds of pyrite is decomposed, but this result is not final. The sulfur extraction from the solid residue before cyanidation improves the gold recovery even when a third of pyrite is decomposed. This result confirms previous observations (1st Stage Report, 1986).

Stronger oxidation conditions diminish elemental sulfur formation. The amount of elemental sulfur found in the residue after strong "nitrate" leaching (LN 231) corresponds only to 35.4% of total sulfidic sulfur that was oxidized in this leaching. The remaining amount is oxidized to sulfates.

Mild oxidizing conditions promote elemental sulfur formation. During "nitrate" leaching with controlled addition of nitric acid (LN-142 and LN 230) about 66 to 68% of total sulfur is oxidized to the elemental form, but it is difficult to forecast now if more, and how much more pyritic sulfur can be generated in elemental form in technically acceptable conditions.

Cyanide leaching seems to be suitable for gold recovery from residues after sulfate leaching. The degree of the gold extraction indicated in Table 13 is attainable after 16 to 26 hours. The fluidized-bed system should be tested for the cyanidation process also.

1

۱

180

7. Summary and Conclusions

7.1. Leachability of LP Ore-samples

LPU ore-sample (old sample from 1985) represents bener leachability than new LP (1986) sample. The comparison

of some results is presented below:

		LPU	LP			
	(01	1, 1985 sample)	(new , 1986	sample)		
Concentration	Zn	6.63%	6.80	80%		
in	Pb	2.83%	3.52%			
ore	Cu	0.38%	0.28%			
	Ag	92.7 ppm	87.1 5	ma		
	Au	3.48 ppm	2.10	ppm		
Extraction	Zn	89-96	~96			
in	Pb*	96-99	98-99	, č		
comparative	Cu	60-84	-60			
conditions	Ag•	7 0-9 1	60-80			
(%)	Au	70-90**	60-80-			

*) in chloride FeCl, leaching

**) in cyanide leaching followed sulfate/chloride and sulfur extraction steps

***) in cyanide leaching after "nitrate" leaching

The comparison of metals extraction from the LP ore-sample is presented for the different leaching processes in Table 14. These results should be analyzed carefully because of the different experimental systems that were used.

		Kind of		Cummulative Extraction, %						
No.	Leaching System	Processing Pr	ocessing (h) Cu	Fe	Za	Pb	Ag	So	As
la	Fc2(SO4)3, CaCl2., O2	FBL/F	7.3	61.7	37.15	84.4	91.94	58.97	72.46	32
10	Fe2(SO4)3, CaCl2, FeCI3, O2	FBL/F	8.4	n.d.	40.6	98.99	99,06	79.44	n.d.	n.d.
lc	$Fe_2(SO_4)_3 - O_2, CaCl_2, HNO_3$	FBL/F-LC	n.d.	77.0	66	97.2	n,d.	81.56	n.d.	n.4 .
14	Fe2(SO4)3 - O2, CaCl2, HINO3, Nat	IN FBL/F-LC	n.d.	82.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	96.8	n.d.	n.d.
2	FeCl ₃ - CaCl ₂ , O ₂	FBL	4.37	46.7	16.5	94.75	98,15	59,4	54.7	7.6
3	$CuCl_2 - CaCl_2, O_2$	FBL	4.55	7 6.9	n.d.	2.29	97,6	82.8	75.2	28.2
4	FeCl3 - ZaCl2	LC'	4.0	57 <i>.</i> 9	28.5	99 .35*	98.3	73.0	53.8	10.0
5	FeCl3 - AlCl3	LC	2.0	58.6	25.1	97.3	97.6	58.5	21.0	n.d.
6	Fe2(SO4)3 - AKI3	LC	3.0	55.8	24.2	77.2**	97.7	57.66	n.d.	n.d.

Table 14. Metals extraction from Delta ore (sample LP) in the investigated leaching systems.

FBL/F - Fluidized-Bed Leaching and Flotation

LC - Non-continuous experiment in classical glass reactor

n.d.- Not determined

Ic - cummulative extraction after partial destruction (~63%) of pyritic matrix (see Table 13)

1d - commutative extraction after cyanide leaching (see Table 13)

All experiments, except No. 5: Ore sample LP(1987); Experiment 6: Sample LPU (1986).

All experiments, except No. 5 and 6: Fraction -400 mesh, without cyclone cinders -20µm; No. 5: 200-275 mesh; No. 6: 325-400 mesh.

After 2h of leaching; 97%

Low accuracy ± 15%

FBL - Fluidized-Bed Leaching

Nevertheless, visible superiority of the silver extraction in CuCl, solution, the total and fast zinc extraction in ZnCl/ FeCl, solution, as well as relatively good results of the fluidized-bed, multistadial, sulfate/chloride leaching is evident.

A high degree of silver and gold recovery is confirmed by cyanide leaching carried out after partial destruction of the pyritic matrix. Probably the same silver recovery (96.8%) can be obtained in chloride leaching conducted after pyrite destruction. 80% of the gold extraction with cyanide solution, from residue left after decomposition of about 60% of the pyrite should be improved in the next experiments.

7.2. Fluidized-bed leaching (FBL) reactor.

The Leaching-Flotation (LF) process was modified by coupling with the Fluidized-Bed leaching (FBL) process. This improvement is important because it combines adjustment of long leaching time with fast flotation, under elevated oxygen pressure. The tests on the fluidized-bed leaching accumulated evidence about advantages of this leaching system.

Low capital investment and low operating costs are expected due to the high capacity of the FBL reactor (liquid/ solid ratio: 1+1.5); its simple construction and the applicability of the similar units to different leaching or precipitation processes; easy transport of reactive medias; and segregation of different solid components constrained by their physical and physico-chemical properties also under elevated pressure of gaseous reagents. The fluidized-bed leaching system creates conditions for producing solutions with high concentration of extracted metals. For production of very concentrated leach solutions the FBL process can be conducted on a semicontinuous cyclic base, like elution from loaded ion exchangers, where the solution only is transported through fluidized-bed, or solids only are transported through the same solution.

		•••••				
 He	avy	Medium	Spec.	Light		
Min	erals	Gravity N	linerals	Mineral		
Pds	7.5-7.6	FeS ₂	4.9-5.0	FeSO, HO	2.97	
Ag,s	7.2-7.4	CuS	4.6-4.8	ZnCL	2.91	
PdsO,	6.2-6.35	CuFeS	4.1-4.3	SiO,	2.65	
FeAss	6.1-6.8	ZnS	3.9-4.1	CaSO, 2H2O	2.3-2.37	
PbCL	5.8	FeOOH	3.3-4.3	S•	2.07	
AgCl	5.55	Jarosites	2.9-3.3	ZnSO,	1.98	

Specific Gravity

Fluidized-bed leaching segregates particles according to their specific gravity, shape and size:

For instance, during fluidized-bed sulfate leaching, non-reacted PbS, new formed PbSO, and arsenopyrite FeAsS, were collected in the bottom part of the reactor. Other sulfides were suspended in the fluidized-bed in the central part of the reactor. Elemental sulfur, that is non-agglomerated with sulfides, gypsum and silica were transported with solution outside the reactor and sedimented. The fluidized-bed leaching can be coupled with the flotation under oxygen pressure to segregate the leached particles according to their flotability.

The FBL process can work in any kind of leaching solution, including cyanide, and can be used for recovery of any metal from disintegrated material.

The fluidized-bed leaching conducted under oxygen pressure is thought to be a new process. No bibliographical references are known by the author. From an engineering point of view, the fluidized-bed leaching reactor belongs

to a group of three phase (solid-liquid-gaseous) reactors being developed by the author since 1983 and described in a nonpublished research proposal (Feb. 1987).

The details of the FBL-reactor (not the research installation) will be described in a patent application.

7.3. FBL-Process application in the sulfate system.

The proposed flowsheet of processing is schematically shown in Figure 18. The principal elements are similar to the flowsheet for the second variant of ore treatment presented in the 1st Stage Report'. According to the current version, the sulfate leaching steps are conducted in three 3-phase (solid-liquid-gaseous) reactors (Figure 18). In the 1st reactor, production of the purified zinc sulfate solution with simultaneous separation of solid in two flows is resolved by coupling the fluidized-bed leaching (FBL) process with flotation (F). In the 2nd reactor, three flows of the solid suspensions in solution are separated: 1st, a flotable fraction with non-reacted sulfides and sulfur; 2nd, a light nonflotable fraction, generally with products of iron hydrolysis, gypsum and quartz; and 3rd, a heavy non-flotable fraction. In the third reactor, destruction of the pyrite matrix is carried out in the presence of nitric oxides. In this reactor, the 3-phase froth (solid-liquid-gaseous) is the principal reactive medium. Elemental sulfur is separated from this reactor in the flotable fraction. The suspension of the non-flotable particles is directed to the 2nd reactor.



Figure 18. Simplified flowsheet of the Fluidized-Bed and Flotation Processing in the sulfate solution.

The major part of the solid products of iron hydrolysis is precipitated in the 3rd reactor. However, the final formation of easy-to-separate crystalline goethite and jarosite is formed in the 2nd reactor. This occurs in very favorable conditions because about 20 g/l of ferric ions remains in solution after precipitation.

The spontaneous decomposition of pyrite by leaching with nitric acid is known:

 $3FeS_{+} + 6NO_{+} + 8H^{+} = 6NO + 4H_{+}O + 3Fe^{3*} + 4S^{*} + 2SO_{+}^{+}$

Processes based on this reaction were proposed as one way to recover metals from difficult-to-dissolve sulfides. A disadvantage of these strong oxidative processes is that a considerable portion of the generated sulfur is oxidized to sulfates. This spontaneous reaction can be changed into a controllable action of nitrous oxides with nitric acid generation under elevated oxygen pressure:

 $2NO(g) + O_1(g) = 2NO_2(g)$

 $3NO_3(g) + H_2O(l) \approx 2HNO_3(aq)$

This reaction is attainable in the proposed reactor during leaching-flotation (LF) processing, conducted in the 3rd reactor. At the same time, small quantities of nitric acid transported with the non-flotable fraction to the 2nd reactor, and with recycled solution to the 1st reactor, accelerate the relatively slow decomposition of sphalerite.

Lead sulfate and insoluble (in these conditions) gold and silver are accumulated in the heavy nonflotable fraction (2nd reactor). It is worth mentioning that the possible dissolution of silver can be lessened in the sulfate solution by the addition of small quantities of chloride ions.

Lead and silver are recoverable from the heavy nonflotable fraction by short, simple non-pressure fluidized-bed leaching in calcium chloride solution. Gold can be extracted by the classical cyanidation, taking no longer than 24 hrs, or in the fluidized-bed cyanide leaching under elevated oxygen pressure with an expected retention time from 1 to 2 hours. The gold concentration in the cyanide solution after fluidized-bed leaching is expected to be higher than 100 ppm.

7.4. FBL-Process in the chloride systems.

An application of fluidized-bed leaching to known chloride systems, does not change the general flowsheets of the chloride processing. The most important new features arising from this modification are:

- diminishing the concentration of the leaching agent, and increasing the concentration of the extracted metals under elevated oxygen pressure;
- continuous iron oxidation with partial precipitation of the iron hydrolysis products, under elevated oxygen
 pressure or by chlorine action;
- diminishing of the total volume of the leaching installation.

The activation of cupric chloride or ferric chloride leaching by nitric oxides accelerates leaching of the scarcely soluble sulfides (CuFeS₁, MoS₂), allows for decomposition of nearly insoluble pyrite, and dissolves precious metals which form complexes with chloride ions. This is economically sound if reoxidation of nitrous oxide (NO) to nitric oxide (NO₂) is carried out. The Fluidized bed leaching/Flotation (FBL/F) reactor is particularly useful for this kind of processing.

7.5. Zinc-chloride leaching process.

Chloride leaching of complex sulfide ores with a high concentration of zinc chloride solution containing ferric chloride is experimentally confirmed at MIRL as one of the best (Table 14). The following unexpected laboratory results were obtained:

LP ore leaching by solution with initial concentrations: 170g/1 Zn (354.6 g/1 ZnCL), 20g/1 Fe (58 g/1 FeCL), and 30g/1 HC1 in the typical conditions (Table 12) give the following results:

Lead - 96.5% extraction after 10 min. Silver - 75% extraction after 1 hour Zinc - 96% extraction after 2 hours

Maximum ZnCL solubility is very high - 48 moles ZnCL per liter of solution, at a temperature of 25-80°C. This solubility is similar to the value for the eutectic mixture in the fused salts systems. The solution containing 7.8 mol/ 1 ZnCL has a freezing point of -62°C. Viscosity of the ZnCL concentrated solution is many times higher than that of water. Specific gravity of concentrated solutions attains 2.5. The solution with 6 mol/l ZnCL has pH = 1.

The concentrated solution of ZnCL is an excellent electrolyte for the electrolysis processes. Maximum conductivity at 25° C has the ZnCL solution containing 170 g/l Zn. This value is two times higher than ZnSO, solution containing 120 g/l Zn. Also cathodic current efficiency is best at this zinc chloride concentration: 87% efficient for cathodic current density 1,100 A/m², and 75% efficient for 2,200 A/m².

Other electrolysis conditions in the ZnCl, concentrated solution are compared in Table 15 with actually known zinc chloride electrolytic cells in which compact, dendrite-free zinc cathodes are electrowon.

	Thomas and Fray - Cambridge Univ. England ¹⁾	Technicas- Reunidas Process ²⁾	CANMET Process ³⁾
Zinc concentration in soln. fed to electrolysis (g/l)	150	60-70	30
Zine solution concentration in cathodic compariment	142	30	15
Zinc concentration in spent anolyte	142	0.2	7
Inert chloride in catholyte	NH4CI (0-70g/L)	NaCl (166g/L)	NaC1 (0-1 mol/L)
HC1 in catholyte (g/L)		2-3	5
Cell voltage (V)	3.95	2.9	4,1-5.5
Cathodic current density (A/m ²)	2,500	700	325
Current efficiency (%)	76	92	96
Calbode/Anode compariment separator	rions	cation-exchange NAFION	diaphragm typo DYNEL

Table 15. Comparison of Zinc Electrolysis Conditions from Zinc Chloride Solutions, for Three Known Processes.

1) B.K. Thomas, D.J. Fray

2) E.D. Nogueira, J.M. Refige, and M.P. Viegas

3) D.J. MacKinnon, J.M. Brannen and R.M. Morrison

All from P.D. Parker (Editor), "Chloride Hydrometallurgy" The Metallurgical Society of AIME, New York, 1982. It is worth mentioning that at a temperature of 9.6°C (40°F), chlorine gas produced at the anode during electrolysis of chloride solution reacts with water and forms yellow crystalline chlorine hydrate. This solid product can be removed from electrolysis as a slurry in the spent electrolyte, diminishing the risk of environmental contamination by gaseous chlorine.

Zinc deposition from concentrated ZnCl, solution (Thomas & Fray) undoubtedly presents the highest advantages; it is simple, represents high yield from the same cathodic surface and operates in the best electrochemical concentration. Only a decrease of the cathodic current density, i.e. to value 1,100 A/m² (still higher than for comparative processes), increases the current efficiency to a level of 90%.

However, in spite of this obvious superiority, none of the known sulfide leaching processes was able to supply a concentrated solution of zinc chloride of suitable quality. Most frequently, the solvent extraction processes were aimed to purify diluted solution after leaching and to concentrate it, but not so strongly. At the same time, purification of the high concentration zinc chloride solution containing 170-200 g Zn/l can be carried out before zinc electrowinning, without the solvent extraction step:

- iron is easy to precipitate in the form of crystalline goethite from a solution having such a zinc chloride concentration;
- lead is easy to precipitate by zinc by the method of the last patent of the Tecnicas-Reunidas, or by other known techniques.

The flowsheet of complex sulfide-ore processing based on leaching with a concentrated solution of zinc chloride will be described in the patent application.

REFERENCES

- Hydrometallurgy of the Delta Sulfide Ores. First stage report for NERCO Minerals Company. F. Letowski, Kuo Tung Chou (authors) and P.D. Rao (Principal Investigator), Mineral Industry Research Laboratory, UAF, September, 1986, MIRL Report No. 82.
- 2) 1st Stage Report, Chapt. 14: Conception of the Delta Ore Treatment, pp. 55-58, MIRL, Sept. 1986.
- 3) 1st Stage Report, Chapt. 3: Tests of ore leaching with ferric sulfate solution, pp. 11-17, MIRL, UAF, Sept. 1986.
- 4) 1st Stage Report, Chapt. 14, Technical conception of the Delta Ore Treatment, p. 50, MIRL, UAF, Sept. 1986.