



Title	Factors affecting arsenic content of unconsolidated sediments and its mobilization in the Ishikari Plain, Hokkaido, Japan
Author(s)	Vongphuthone, Banthasith; Kobayashi, Masato; Igarashi, Toshifumi
Citation	Environmental earth sciences, 76(19), 645 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6993-y
Issue Date	2017-10
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/71582
Rights	The final publication is available at link.springer.com
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Manuscript.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Factors affecting arsenic content of unconsolidated sediments and its mobilization in the Ishikari Plain,**
2 **Hokkaido, Japan**

3 **Banthasith Vongphuthone^{a*}, Masato Kobayashi^a and Toshifumi Igarashi^b**

4 ^a *Division of Sustainable Resources Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University,*
5 *Sapporo 060-8628, Japan.*

6 *E-mail: typapao@gmail.com*

7 ^b *Division of Sustainable Resources Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-*
8 *8628, Japan.*

9 **ABSTRACT**

10 Arsenic (As) contamination of groundwater is often caused by As leaching from geological formations.
11 This study focused on factors affecting As content in unconsolidated sediments by using three sediment cores in
12 the Ishikari Plain, Hokkaido, Japan. The geochemical properties of the sediments were characterized by the
13 chemical and mineral compositions as well as leaching experiments and sequential extractions of As. The results
14 showed that higher As content of 4-9 mg/kg was observed in peat layers with organic matter content >10 wt%,
15 and that higher As contents deeper than 8 m below the surface were also observed. The deeper sediments were
16 likely to be formed in brackish water conditions due to lower weight ratios of organic carbon content to sulfur
17 content (C/S<10). The results of the leaching experiments showed that As was mobilized at slightly alkaline
18 region. These indicate that the distribution of As depended on the organic content in the sediments and
19 sedimentation condition, and that the mobility of As in the sediment was affected by pH.

20 **Keywords:** *Arsenic content, Unconsolidated sediment, Leaching, Sequential extraction, Organic matter,*
21 *Sedimentation*

22 **1. Introduction**

23 Arsenic (As) is contained in a variety of minerals. In particular, it is dominantly contained in sulfide
24 minerals, such as pyrite, arsenopyrite and realgar (Drahota and Filippi 2009). Thus, the contamination of soils
25 and groundwater by naturally occurring As should be evaluated since As is hazardous to human when taking it
26 through food or water (Cheng et al. 2017; He and Charlet 2013).

27 Arsenic contamination of soil and groundwater has been found in many regions around the world, such as
28 South Asia (Ahmed et al. 2004; Anawar et al. 2003; Bhattacharya et al. 1997; McArthur et al. 2004; Nickson et
29 al. 1998), Southeast Asia (Berg et al. 2001; Fendorf et al. 2015; Kocar and Fendorf 2012), China and Taiwan
30 (Deng et al. 2011; Jiao and Wang 2014; Yang et al. 2016), and North and South America (McMahon and
31 Chapelle 2008; Smedley et al. 2002). The As concentration in these areas is significantly higher than the WHO
32 guideline (10 µg/L).

33 There are two different sources of As contamination; one is naturally occurring, and the other is
34 anthropogenic. Many researchers have studied As contamination in soil and groundwater (Nath et al. 2008b;
35 Smedley and Kinniburgh 2002). Naturally occurring As contamination of groundwater has been found in young
36 Quaternary deltaic and alluvial sediments under reducing conditions, and in some areas As was released from
37 soil minerals at oxic and anoxic boundaries (Berg et al. 2008). Recently, the study of source and mobility of As
38 is known to be occurred from: oxidation of As-bearing sulfide minerals (Tabelin et al. 2014a,b), and reductive
39 dissolution of iron oxyhydroxides (FeOOH) (McArthur et al. 2004).

40 The contamination of As in unconsolidated sediments has been observed in the Ishikari plain of Hokkaido,
41 Japan. This unconsolidated sediment originates from upstream volcanic areas with high content of As
42 (Geological Survey of Japan 2004). However, the details of As distribution of sediments in this area are still
43 unclear because several factors affect the As distribution in the sediments.

44 The objectives of this study are (1) to elucidate factors affecting As content in unconsolidated sediments in
45 this area, and (2) to understand the characteristics of As leaching from the sediments.

46 **2. Materials and methods**

47 **2.1 Study area and sample collection**

48 The study area is located in the Ishikari plain, the western region of Hokkaido, Japan as shown in Fig. 1.
49 This area is known as an alluvial lowland, which covers Neogene-Quaternary volcanic rocks and sediments
50 (Hasegawa et al. 2011). Ishikari River originates from Taisetsu Mountains in central Hokkaido and flows to
51 southwest along the Ishikari Plain. Toyohira River, a tributary of Ishikari River, joins Ishikari River in the

1 alluvial lowland. Hydrothermally altered areas and mineral deposits, such as Ag, In, Sn, Zn, Pb, are also widely
2 distributed upstream of Toyohira River.

3 Three boreholes 14 m deep were dug and the undisturbed core sediments were collected at the site near the
4 alluvium. The unconsolidated sediment samples at different layers were selected from the cores of B1, B2 and
5 B3. The profiles of the cores are illustrated in Fig. 2. Each core sample was dried under ambient conditions for
6 one week and crushed the size to less than 2 mm in diameter. Finally, the sample was kept in air-tight containers
7 to minimize oxidation.

8 2.2 Characterization of sediment sample

9 The crushed sample was ground by using an agate mortar and pestle, and sieved to less than 0.075 mm for
10 the analysis of mineralogical and chemical compositions. Mineral composition of the samples was identified by
11 using an X-ray diffraction spectrometer, Multiflex (Rigaku Corporation, Japan), while the chemical composition
12 of the samples was measured by X-ray fluorescence spectrometer, Xepos (Rigaku Corporation, Japan). Total
13 organic carbon (TOC) was calculated from the difference between total carbon (TC) and inorganic carbon (IC),
14 and TC and IC were measured by TOC analyzer connected with a solid combustion system SSM-5000A
15 (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan). Loss on ignition (LOI) was also measured by measuring the weight loss in
16 sediment using a muffle furnace FB1300 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA).

17 Sequential extraction procedures used in this study were derived from the methodology based on Marumo
18 et al. (2003) and Tessier et al. (1979). According to the sequential extraction, the As fraction in the sediment can
19 be divided into five phases; exchangeable (weakly adsorbed As), carbonates (bound to carbonate minerals or
20 adsorbed to clays), Fe-Mn oxides, organics and sulfides, and crystalline or residual (mostly silicate minerals).
21 One gram of the sample less than 0.075 mm in diameter was mixed with reagent extractant at each step
22 summarized in Table 1. Total As content was calculated from the data of this sequential extraction.

23 2.3 Leaching experiments

24 Leaching experiments were performed under both oxic and reducing conditions. Oxic experiments were
25 conducted at ambient conditions in room temperature. Fifteen grams of a sample with less than 2 mm in
26 diameter were mixed with 150 ml deionized water at 200 rpm for 6 h. For leaching experiments under reducing
27 conditions, the sodium dithionite ($\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$) was added in the solvent. Five grams of the sample was mixed with
28 50 ml of 0.01M $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$ at 200 rpm for 6 h in a 50 ml centrifuge tube to minimize oxidation of $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$. After
29 mixing, temperature, pH, redox potential (ORP) and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured, and then the
30 leachates were filtered by 0.45 μm Millipore sterile filters. The filtrates were stored at 4 °C for chemical analysis.

31 2.4 Chemical analysis

32 Arsenic concentrations of leachates in leaching experiments under oxic conditions and sequential extraction
33 were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES) (Shimadzu
34 Corporation, Japan) connected with the hydride vapor generation method whereas As concentrations of
35 leachates under reducing conditions were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS)
36 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). Concentrations of heavy metals, such as Fe and Mn, and Si were analyzed
37 using ICP-AES. Cations, such as Na^+ , Ca^{2+} , K^+ and Mg^{2+} , and anions, such as Cl^- , NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-} , were
38 analyzed using ion chromatographs ICS-90 and ICS-100 (Dionex Corporation, USA), respectively. Bicarbonate
39 ion (HCO_3^-) concentration was measured by the titration method with 0.02 N sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4).

40 2.5 Statistical analysis

41 Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using Origin Pro software (OriginLab Corporation,
42 USA). This multivariate statistic method was used to identify the most important factors responsible for the
43 association of As leaching concentration (Guo et al. 2015; Nath et al. 2008b). The calculated factors were
44 normalized by the varimax method. In this study, PCA was applied to investigate leachate samples (15
45 parameters determined in 25 samples).

46 3. Results and discussion

47 3.1 Geological formation, minerals and chemical compositions

48 The profiles of cores B1, B2, and B3 from the ground surface to a depth of 14 m are shown in Fig. 2. From
49 this figure, the geological unit of the sediments was categorized into sand, silty sand, silt and organic matter.
50 Sediments were divided into two layers, the shallower layers less than 8 m, consisting of organic silt and peat
51 layers, and the deeper layers more than 8 m, consisting mainly of silicate sediments like silt and sand layers.

1 The XRD data of sediment samples indicated that the most principal minerals were quartz, followed
2 by albite and muscovite as listed in Table 2. Therefore, silicate minerals were main minerals of sediments
3 in this area. However, As-bearing minerals were not detected in this analysis. The XRF data revealed that
4 the major elements contained in the sediments were SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃, and that the total content of
5 these major elements were higher than 70% as listed in Table 3. The content of SiO₂ reached over 50% in
6 silty and sandy sediments, and the contents of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ were also significant. On the other hand,
7 peat and organic silt layers had higher contents of TOC ranging from 1 to 24 wt% and LOI ranging from 2
8 to 47 wt%. In peat layers, TOC ranged from 18 to 24 wt% and LOI ranged from 36 to 47 wt%.

9 3.2 Vertical profiles of TOC, sulfur and As

10 Figures 3a, b and c show the distribution of TOC, sulfur (S) and As contents with depth, respectively.
11 In Fig. 3a, several peaks of TOC were observed in peat layers (TOC > 10%) in B1, B2 and B3. In contrast,
12 TOC content was decreased to less than 1% in silty and sandy layers. In Fig. 3b, higher sulfur content was
13 observed at shallower and deeper layers. In Fig. 3c, higher As content was observed at a depth from 6 to 14
14 m although As content ranged from 3 to 9 mg/kg.

15 The ratio of organic carbon content to sulfur content (C/S) was used to distinguish its sedimentary
16 condition, according to Berner and Raiswell (1984). That is, the sediment formed under freshwater
17 conditions is characterized by C/S values >10 whereas that formed under brackish conditions is
18 characterized by C/S<10. Figure 4 shows the weight ratio of C/S. The silt layers deeper than 8 m below the
19 ground surface had C/S<10, indicating that the sediments were formed in brackish water conditions. More
20 abundant sulfur and less total organic matter were obtained in deeper layers. That means that more iron
21 oxyhydroxide is converted to pyrite in deeper layers. In contrast, sediments near the surface were formed
22 in freshwater conditions. As a result, less sulfur is available for reaction with iron (Berner and Raiswell
23 1983). However, when TOC is high, iron-oxyhydroxide is effectively converted to pyrite.

24 3.3 Arsenic sequential extraction

25 The results of As sequential extraction are shown in Fig. 5. The total contents of As in B1 and B3 were
26 generally higher than B2, because organic-rich layers were distributed more widely in B1 and B3. This agrees to
27 the fact that As is concentrated in organic-rich layers. The highest fraction of As in these sediments was
28 associated with the exchangeable one (adsorption), indicating that the majority of As in sediments is easily
29 mobilized under normal environment conditions. The amount of As in the crystalline/residual fraction in silty
30 layers was also significant. However, the organic/sulfide fraction was the main one of As in peat layers and in
31 the sediments deeper than 8 m. These indicated that both organic matter and sulfur affect the total content and
32 organic/sulfide fraction of As in the sediments.

33 3.4 Effects of organic matter and sulfur on As content

34 Figure 6 shows the relationship between As content and TOC content. Higher As content was observed in
35 two formations; As content of 3 to 9 mg/kg in peat and organic layers, and As content of 5 to 9 mg/kg in silt and
36 sand layers deeper than 12 m. The As content was ranged from 4 to 6 mg/kg when the TOC content was higher
37 than 10 wt%. However, organic/sulfide fraction of As in peat layers was higher than 1.4 mg/kg. This indicates
38 that As content was affected by organic matter content as pointed out by Anawar et al. (2013).

39 The As content in silt, silty sand, and sand layers deeper than 12 m below the ground surface was higher
40 compared with that in nonorganic layers shallower than 12 m. The organic/sulfide fraction of As was also higher
41 in deeper layers. These indicate that As content was affected by sedimentary conditions. That is, higher As
42 content of sediments was observed in the layers formed in brackish conditions.

43 3.5 Relationship between As leaching and sediment

44 Figures 7a and b show As leaching concentration versus exchangeable fraction of As and SO₄²⁻ leaching
45 concentration in peat, organic silt, silt and sand, respectively. Arsenic released from the sediments was weakly
46 correlated with an exchangeable fraction of As as shown in Fig.7a. This indicates that leaching of As directly
47 corresponded with an exchangeable fraction of As in the sediments. These mean that As may be released from
48 the exchangeable fraction through oxidation of As-bearing sulfide minerals. Several researchers have reported
49 that As leaching concentration was correlated with As content in samples (Igarashi et al. 2007; Nath et al.
50 2008a; Polizzotto et al. 2008). Furthermore, Fig. 7b shows that As released had a weak correlation with SO₄²⁻
51 concentration, except samples with SO₄²⁻ leaching concentration higher than 100 mg/L. The other phenomena
52 may occur to restrict As leaching when SO₄²⁻ concentration was higher than 100 mg/L.

1 The leaching concentration of Fe was changed from 1.5 to 46 mg/L in oxic condition whereas that was
2 changed from 102 to 1,210 mg/L in reducing conditions. On the other hand, the leaching concentration of As
3 ranged from 1 to 41.2 µg/L in oxic conditions whereas that ranged from 56.3 to 204 µg/L in reducing conditions.
4 Both As and Fe leaching concentration dramatically increased by changing from oxic conditions to reducing
5 conditions as shown in Fig. 8. The As leaching is related to Fe leaching by considering a weak correlation
6 between As and Fe concentrations in both conditions for silt and sand samples. These indicate that As was
7 adsorbed on Fe hydroxide/oxide and that both elements were dissolved in reducing conditions. All the data of
8 the leaching experiments are listed in Table 4.

9 3.6 Effects of pH and silicate on As leaching

10 The results of leaching experiments showed that pH values increased from 5.1 to 8.8 with depth. Leachates
11 from silty and sandy sediments were slightly alkaline whereas leachates from organic-rich sediments were
12 slightly acidic. The As release as a function of pH is shown in Fig. 9a. The As leaching concentration was
13 higher under weakly alkaline condition (pH>8). Under oxidizing condition, As is less strongly bound to Fe
14 oxide at higher pH than lower pH (Smedley et al. 2002). These may be due to a negative charge of the surface of
15 the sediment under higher pH conditions.

16 Leaching of Si concentration was correlated with As release as shown in Fig. 9b. This means that colloidal
17 particles containing As were included in the leachates.

18 3.7 Principal component analysis

19 For the PCA method, 15 parameters of 25 leachate samples were used to calculate factor loadings as
20 presented in Table 5. The results showed that three factors accounted for 69.8% variance of the geochemical
21 data. Factor 1 with 34% variance had high loadings of Fe, Si, K⁺ and pH, which were associated with dissolved
22 Fe and Si. Therefore, in alkaline conditions, factor 1 mainly affects As leaching concentration. Factor 2, with
23 20.8% of the variance, had high loading on SO₄²⁻, Ca²⁺ and EC. This factor results from constitute minerals in
24 the sediments. Factor 3, which explained 15.04% of the variance, showed higher loading of Na⁺ and Mg²⁺.
25 These major ions were associated with the formation of sediments in the brackish conditions. These factors can
26 effectively explain As release from the sediments in this site.

27 4. Conclusion

28 In this study, two factors affected the distribution of As content in the unconsolidated sediments. The
29 first was organic matter content in the sediments, and the second was the sedimentary condition expressed
30 by C/S. Higher organic matter content of sediments increased the organic fraction of As and higher sulfur
31 content increased the sulfide fraction of As. On the other hand, As release from the exchangeable fraction
32 was significant, because the exchangeable fraction of As was correlated with As leaching, and As mobility
33 was enhanced under higher pH condition. In the reducing condition, both As and Fe were redissolved from
34 the sediments. In addition, As in colloidal particles also affected As leaching. It is found that in this site,
35 major two factors affected the As content in the sediments and its leaching concentrations.

36 Acknowledgement

37 The authors wish to thank Hokkaido Regional Development Bureau for providing us with borehole
38 core samples.

39 References

- 40 Ahmed KM, Bhattacharya P, Hasan MA, Akhter SH, Alam SMM, Bhuyian MAH, Imam MB, Khan AA, Sracek
41 O (2004) Arsenic enrichment in groundwater of the alluvial aquifer in Bangladesh: an overview. *Applied*
42 *Geochemistry* 19:181-200.
- 43 Anawar HM, Akai J, Komaki K, Terao H, Yoshioka T, Ishizuka T, Safiullah S, Kato K (2003) Geochemical
44 occurrence of arsenic in groundwater of Bangladesh: sources and mobilization processes. *Journal of*
45 *Geochemical Exploration* 77:109-131.
- 46 Anawar HM, Tareq SM, Ahmed G (2013) Is organic matter a source or redox driver or both for arsenic release
47 in groundwater? *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 58-60: 49-56.
- 48 Berg M, Tran HC, Nguyen TC, Pham HV, Schertenleib R, Giger W (2001) Arsenic contamination of
49 groundwater and drinking water in Vietnam: a human health threat. *Environmental Science and Technology*.
50 35: 2621-2626.

- 1 Berg M, Trang PTK, Stengel C, Buschmann J, Viet PH, Dan NV, Giger W, Stuben D (2008) Hydrological and
2 sedimentary controls leading to arsenic contamination of groundwater in the Hanoi area, Vietnam: the
3 impact of iron-arsenic ratios, peat, river bank deposits, and excessive groundwater abstraction. *Chemical*
4 *Geology* 249: 91-112.
- 5 Berner RA, Raiswell R (1983) Burial of organic carbon and pyrite sulfur in sediments over Phanerozoic time: a
6 new theory. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55: 471-482.
- 7 Berner RA, Raiswell R (1984) C/S method for distinguishing freshwater from marine sedimentary rocks.
8 *Geology* 12: 365-368.
- 9 Bhattacharya P, Chatterjee D, Jacks G (1997) Occurrence of arsenic contaminated groundwater in alluvial
10 aquifers from Delta plains, Eastern India: option for safe drinking water supply. *Water Resource*
11 *Development* 13: 79-92.
- 12 Cheng YY, Huang NC, Chang YT, Sung JM, Shen KH, Tsai CC, Guo HR (2017) Associations between arsenic
13 in drinking water and the progression of chronic kidney disease: a nationwide study in Taiwan. *Journal of*
14 *Hazardous Materials* 321: 432-439.
- 15 Deng Y, Wang Y, Ma T, Yang H, He J (2011) Arsenic associations in sediments from shallow aquifers of
16 northwestern Hetao Basin, Inner Mongolia. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 64: 2001-2011.
- 17 Drahotka P, Filippi M (2009) Secondary arsenic minerals in the environment: a review. *Environment*
18 *International* 35 (8): 1243-1255.
- 19 Fendorf S, Stuckey JW, Schaefer MV, Kocar BD, Dittmar J, Pacheco JL, Benner SG (2015) Peat formation
20 concentrates arsenic within sediment deposits of the Mekong Delta. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*
21 149: 190-205.
- 22 Geological Survey of Japan, AIST (2004) Geochemical map of Japan.
- 23 Guo H, Jiang Y, Jia Y, Cao Y, Hu C (2015) Principal component analysis and hierarchical cluster analyses of
24 arsenic groundwater geochemistry in the Hetao basin, Inner Mongolia. *Chemie der Erde* 75: 197-205.
- 25 Hasegawa H, Sawagaki T, Takashima R, Yamamoto M, Irino T (2011) Geology and Geomorphology along the
26 Ishikari River in central Hokkaido. [http://geos.ees.hokudai.ac.jp/581/download/2nd-IGCP581-](http://geos.ees.hokudai.ac.jp/581/download/2nd-IGCP581-Fieldguide.pdf)
27 [Fieldguide.pdf](http://geos.ees.hokudai.ac.jp/581/download/2nd-IGCP581-Fieldguide.pdf). Accessed 15 January 2017
- 28 He J, Charlet L (2013) A review of arsenic presence in China drinking water. *Journal of Hydrology* 492: 79-88.
- 29 Igarashi T, Imagawa H
30 , Uchiyama H, Asakura K (2007) Leaching behavior of arsenic from various rocks by controlling geochemical
31 conditions. *Mineral Engineering* 21: 191-199.
- 32 Jiao JJ, Wang Y (2014) Multivariate statistical analyses on the enrichment of arsenic with different oxidation
33 state in the Quaternary sediments of the Pearl River Delta, China. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration* 138:
34 72-80.
- 35 Kocar BD, Fendorf S (2012) Arsenic release and transport in sediments of the Mekong Delta. In
36 *Interdisciplinary Studies on Environmental Chemistry-Environmental Pollution and Ecotoxicology*: 117-
37 124.
- 38 Marumo K, Ebashi T, Ujiiie T (2003) Heavy metals concentrations, leachabilities and lead isotope ratios of
39 Japanese soils. *Shigen-chishitsu* 53(2): 125-146. [paper in Japanese with English abstract].
- 40 McArthur JM, Banerjee DM, Hudson KA, Mishra R, Purohit R, Ravenscroft P, Cronin A, Howarth RJ,
41 Chatterjee A, Talukder T, Lowery D, Houghton S, Chadha DK (2004) Natural organic matter in
42 sedimentary basins and its relation to arsenic in anoxic groundwater: the example of West Bengal and its
43 worldwide implications. *Applied Geochemistry* 19: 1255-1293.
- 44 McMahan PB, Chapelle FH (2008) Redox processes and water quality of selected principal aquifer systems.
45 *Ground Water* 46: 259-271.
- 46 Nath B, Berner Z, Chatterjee D, Mallik SB, Stuben D (2008a) Mobility of arsenic in West Bengal aquifers
47 conducting low and high groundwater arsenic. Part II: comparative geochemical profile and leaching study.
48 *Applied Geochemistry* 23: 996-1011.
- 49 Nath B, Sahu SJ, Jana J, Mukherjee-Goswami A, Roy S, Sarkar MJ, Chatterjee D (2008b) Hydrochemistry of
50 arsenic enriched aquifer from rural West Bengal, India: a study of arsenic exposure and mitigation option.
51 *Water, Air & Soil Pollution* 190: 95-113.
- 52 Nickson R, McArthur JM, Burgess WG, Ahmed KM, Ravenscroft P, Rahaman M (1998) Arsenic poisoning of
53 Bangladesh groundwater. *Nature* 395: 338.
- 54 Polizzotto ML, Kocar BD, Benner SG, Sampson M, Fendorf S (2008) Near surface wetland sediments as a
55 source of arsenic release to groundwater in Asia. *Nature* 454: 505-508.

- 1 Smedley PL, Kinniburgh DG (2002) A review of the source, behavior and distribution of arsenic in natural
2 waters. *Applied Geochemistry* 17: 517-568.
- 3 Smedley PL, Nicolli HB, Macdonald DMJ, Barros AJ, Tullio JO (2002) Hydrogeochemistry of arsenic and
4 other inorganic constituents in groundwaters from La Pampa, Argentina. *Applied Geochemistry* 17: 259-
5 284.
- 6 Tabelin CB, Hashimoto A, Igarashi T, Yoneda T (2014a) Leaching of boron, arsenic and selenium from
7 sedimentary rock: I. effects of contact time, mixing speed and liquid to solid ratio. *Science of the Total*
8 *Environment* 472: 620-629.
- 9 Tabelin CB, Hashimoto A, Igarashi T, Yoneda T (2014b) Leaching of boron, arsenic and selenium from
10 sedimentary rock: II. pH dependence, speciation and mechanisms of release. *Science of the Total*
11 *Environment* 473-474: 244-253.
- 12 Tessier A, Cambell GC, Bisson M (1979) Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace
13 metals. *Analytical Chemistry* 51: 844-850.
- 14 Yang HJ, Lee CY, Chiang YJ, Jean JS, Shau YH, Takazawa E, Jiang WT (2016) Distribution and hosts of
15 arsenic in sediment core from the Chianan Plain in SW Taiwan: implication on arsenic primary source and
16 release mechanisms. *Science of the Total Environment* 569-570: 212-222.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Tables of figures**

- 37 **Fig. 1** Location of study area (Ishikari plain, Hokkaido, Japan) (●) sampling points and (←) flow direction
38 **Fig. 2** Geological units of boreholes B1, B2 and B3
39 **Fig. 3** Distribution of (a) TOC and (b) sulfur and (c) As contents in boreholes B1, B2 and B3
40 **Fig. 4** Vertical profile of C/S
41 **Fig. 5** Solid-phase partitioning of arsenic in boreholes (a) B1, (b) B2 and (c) B3
42 **Fig. 6** As content versus TOC content
43 **Fig. 7** As leaching concentration versus (a) As content in exchangeable fraction and (b) SO_4^{2-} leaching concentration
44 **Fig. 8** As leaching concentration versus Fe leaching concentration (a) under oxic condition and (b) under reducing
45 condition
46 **Fig. 9** As leaching concentration versus (a) pH and (b) Si leaching concentration

Table 1 Sequential extraction procedures for As speciation

step	Extractant	Liquid to solid ratio (mL/g)	Extracted phase
1	1 M NaH ₂ PO ₄ , pH5, 1 h, 25°C	20/1	Exchangeable
2	1 M CH ₃ COONa, pH5, 5 h, 25°C	20/1	Carbonates
3	0.04 M NH ₂ OH.HCl in 25% acetic acid, 5 h, 80°C	20/1	Fe-Mn oxides
4	0.04 M NH ₂ OH.HCl in 25% acetic acid; 30% H ₂ O ₂ :0.02M HNO ₃ , 5 h, 80 °C	20/1	Sulfides and organics
5	60% HNO ₃	20/1	Residual

Table 2 Minerals identified in different lithological units of boreholes B1, B2, and B3

Lithological unit	Minerals identified
Peat layer	Quartz, albite, muscovite
Organic silt layer	Quartz, albite, muscovite, clinochlore
Silt layer	Quartz, albite, muscovite, clinochlore
Sand layer	Quartz, albite, muscovite, clinochlore

Table 3 Chemical compositions of different lithological units

Lithology	Depth (m)	SiO ₂ (wt%)	Al ₂ O ₃ (wt%)	Fe ₂ O ₃ (wt%)	CaO (wt%)	Na ₂ O (wt%)	As (mg/kg)	S (wt%)	TOC (wt%)	IC (wt%)	LOI (wt%)
B1											
Organic silt	0.55-4.30	49.8	10.1	5	0.5	1.1	5.3	0.15	5.8	<0.01	15.1
Peat	4.30-5.50	33.4	7.6	3.8	0.3	0.4	6	0.04	17.7	<0.01	35.6
Organic silt	5.50-7.90	49.3	11	12.1	0.3	0.5	9	<0.01	2	0.65	9.85
Silt	7.90-10.40	52.5	9.7	5.8	0.5	1.4	6.3	0.16	1	0.07	5.07
Silt	10.40-11.50	56.2	10.1	5.5	0.5	1.4	5.2	0.11	1	0.01	4.73
Silty sand	11.50-13.00	53.8	9.9	6.2	0.8	1.1	8	0.14	0.7	0.01	4.99
Silt	13.00-14.00	53.4	10.9	6.1	0.7	1.1	8.8	0.08	0.8	0.01	5.01
B2											
Silt	0.55-1.80	55.8	10.8	5.2	0.5	1.2	3.5	0.03	0.7	<0.01	4.96
Silt	1.80-2.30	53.8	10.1	5.4	0.5	1.1	5.3	0.04	1.6	0.01	6.35
Silty sand	2.30-3.50	53.3	9.5	6.1	0.8	1.3	5.5	0.02	3.3	0.01	8.67
Organic silt	3.50-5.35	52.2	10.2	5.4	0.5	1.3	5.2	0.05	0.7	0.05	4.62
Peat	5.35-6.80	30.8	6.7	3.3	0.5	0.5	3.4	0.13	19	<0.01	41.4
Organic silt	6.80-7.80	38.6	8.5	4.4	0.5	0.8	4.9	0.12	13.4	<0.01	26.1
Silty sand	7.80-12.20	53.9	9.2	6.1	0.9	1.4	5.6	0.07	0.8	0.05	4.73
Silt	12.20-13.00	54.5	10.5	5.7	0.6	1.3	6.6	0.21	0.9	0.01	4.32
B3											
Organic silt	0.40-0.45	41.6	10.2	5	0.4	0.5	6.2	0.14	7.8	<0.01	16.9
Organic silt	1.45-1.50	56.3	10.7	5	0.3	1.2	4.3	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	4.98
Peat	2.25-2.30	23.9	5.5	3	0.3	0.1	3.7	0.28	23.5	<0.01	47.1
Organic silt	3.25-3.00	50.6	11.5	4.8	0.4	0.9	3.1	0.06	3	<0.01	8.67
Organic silt	4.75-4.80	53.3	10.4	5.2	0.3	0.9	3.1	0.05	1.9	<0.01	1.86
Organic silt	5.70-5.75	51.3	9.5	5.4	0.3	1.1	8.5	0.07	1.3	<0.01	5.44
Organic silt	6.70-6.75	52.6	9.7	6	0.4	1.1	4.2	0.07	1.2	0.04	4.97
Organic silt	7.65-7.70	53.9	9.6	5.2	0.6	1.6	5.9	0.09	0.9	0.01	4.48
Sand	8.55-8.60	50.8	8	6	0.9	1.3	5.4	0.05	0.4	0.11	4.48
Silt	9.63-9.68	54.9	10.1	5.2	0.5	1.3	4.7	0.07	0.8	0.01	4.05

Table 4 Chemical compositions of leachates

Sample	Depth (m)	Fe mg/L	Al mg/L	Si mg/L	As µg/L	HCO ₃ ⁻ mg/L	Cl ⁻ mg/L	SO ₄ ²⁻ mg/L	Na ⁺ mg/L	K ⁺ mg/L	Mg ²⁺ mg/L	Ca ²⁺ mg/L	DOC mg/L	pH	EC mS/m	ORP mV
B1																
Organic silt	0.55-4.30	2.2	6.1	16.1	5.7	2.4	16.3	21.2	6.2	4.9	4.1	3.8	18.1	5.22	21.2	242
Peat	4.30-5.50	7.3	21.9	43.7	6.7	20.1	7.5	14.3	14.4	6.1	4.1	0.96	79.3	6.13	13.3	225
Organic silt	5.50-7.90	45.5	63.1	148	14.5	11	10	9.7	11.3	13.5	15.8	1.23	40.8	6.56	9.7	176
Silt	7.90-10.40	14.5	35.7	87	18.8	31.1	11.1	27.8	15.9	15.2	11.4	1.26	22.6	8.61	16.6	149
Silt	10.40-11.50	5.9	4	34.4	3.4	16.5	9	104	20.6	15.1	5.1	2.77	8.8	7.66	33.8	184
Silty sand	11.50-13.00	22.1	46.5	113	10.5	40.3	10.4	58	18.1	18.6	17.2	2.88	17.2	8.62	24	140
Silt	13.00-14.00	26	56.2	133	9.8	26.2	10.7	54.7	16.9	18.9	16.6	2.12	24.2	8.49	22.3	148
B2																
Silt	0.55-1.80	20.1	0.3	71.7	5.4	9.8	8.5	3	3	7.5	13.9	2.24	22.6	6.95	5.8	247
Silt	1.80-2.30	3	6.3	18.1	2.5	3.1	12.8	4.6	3.7	3	3.1	0.73	6.6	5.92	5.5	242
Silty sand	2.30-3.50	4.9	6.8	21.9	2.8	5.5	9.2	16	3.7	2.8	4.1	0.65	7.1	6.79	7.6	221
Organic silt	3.50-5.35	14	31.6	76.1	5	9.8	5.6	14.7	7.8	9.5	8.1	1.11	33.0	7.02	10.8	253
Peat	5.35-6.80	8.6	20.8	46	5.1	22.6	12.5	10.2	14.8	6.5	4.3	0.91	95.4	6.89	16.0	238
Organic silt	6.80-7.80	15.7	35	82.7	7	25	5.7	9.6	12.9	10.2	9.1	1.14	73.4	7.25	10.3	192
Silty sand	7.80-12.20	10.6	20.4	52.4	5	25.6	5.9	40.4	16.4	10.5	8.9	1.05	10.4	7.31	18.2	195
Silt	12.20-13.00	3.5	8.3	20.2	1.6	15.3	2.7	133	23.2	18.7	10.3	6.48	5.3	7.15	36.5	205
B3																
Organic silt	0.40-0.45	2.9	11.4	20.8	2.7	2.4	14.2	28.4	7.6	9	7.4	6.63	32.5	5.41	16.5	337
Organic silt	1.45-1.50	2.1	7.8	16.3	4.3	7.9	5.4	9.9	4.4	2	0.4	0.4	11.0	5.36	4.2	331
Peat	2.25-2.30	1.6	4.4	8.8	1.1	3.1	21.8	40.2	6.9	6.4	3.4	4.05	62.0	5.07	19.6	277
Organic silt	3.25-3.00	1.6	7.5	13.9	0.8	1.8	5.6	24.1	23.3	3.4	2.6	2.89	17.5	5.02	14.8	253
Organic silt	4.75-4.80	15.4	41.9	88.7	4.9	11	5.1	8.5	11.5	1.8	1	0.95	44.1	6.48	7.7	168
Organic silt	5.70-5.75	7.4	18.4	44.4	6.3	6.1	6.8	61.7	32.2	4.9	1.4	0.98	23.8	6.47	21	173
Organic silt	6.70-6.75	9.5	23	52.6	4.1	14	8.1	36.2	26.1	3.4	1.1	0.78	27.3	7.06	14.3	139
Organic silt	7.65-7.70	5.3	14.8	30.3	9.6	14.6	7.8	42.6	30.3	4.9	1.3	0.6	14.8	7.96	16.1	161
Sand	8.55-8.60	14.7	29.9	68.7	33.2	26.2	8.5	22.3	21.9	4.3	1.5	0.96	16.2	8.53	10.4	128
Silt	9.63-9.68	17.9	49.2	99.6	41.2	44.4	14.9	51.4	40.1	11.2	2.3	1.64	24.5	8.81	26	147

Table 5 Rotated factor loadings of principal components

Variable	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
As (Concentration)	0.259	-0.141	-0.212
As (Content)	0.251	0.072	0.210
Fe	0.315	-0.256	0.288
Si	0.357	-0.242	0.198
SO ₄ ²⁻	0.186	0.468	-0.157
NO ₃ ⁻	-0.239	0.235	0.158
Na ⁺	0.237	0.147	-0.428
Cl ⁻	-0.060	0.071	0.194
K ⁺	0.333	0.246	0.261
Mg ²⁺	0.262	0.037	0.492
Ca ²⁺	-0.038	0.463	0.261
EC	0.185	0.483	-0.078
pH	0.384	-0.051	-0.177
ORP	-0.356	0.084	0.258
DOC	-0.057	-0.177	0.192
Eigenvalue (%)	33.92%	20.83%	15.04%
Cumulative (%)	33.92%	54.74%	69.78%




Fig. 1 Location of study area (Ishikari plain, Hokkaido, Japan)(●) sampling points and (←) flow direction




Fig. 2 Geological units of boreholes B1, B2 and B3




Fig. 3 Distributions of (a) TOC, (b) sulfur and (c) arsenic content in boreholes B1, B2 and B3




Fig. 4 Vertical profile of C/S




Fig. 5 Solid-phase partitioning of arsenic in boreholes (a) B1, (b) B2 and (c) B3




Fig. 6 As content versus TOC content




Fig. 7 As leaching concentration versus (a) As content in exchangeable fraction and (b) SO₄²⁻ leaching concentration




Fig. 8 As leaching concentration versus Fe leaching concentration (a) under oxic condition and (b) under reducing condition




Fig. 9 As leaching concentration versus (a) pH and (b) Si leaching concentration