Brief Communication

Title: Congenic mice reveal genetic epistasis and overlapping disease loci for autoimmune diabetes and listeriosis

Nancy Wang^{1,2,3}, Colleen M. Elso¹, Leanne Mackin¹, Stuart I. Mannering¹, Richard A. Strugnell³, Odilia L. Wijburg³*, Thomas C. Brodnicki¹*

¹ Immunology and Diabetes Unit, St Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Fitzroy Victoria 3065 Australia
² Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010 Australia

³ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Parkville Victoria 3010 Australia

* These authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

Corresponding author: Thomas C. Brodnicki, St Vincent's Institute, 9 Princes Street, Fitzroy VIC 3065 Australia, phone: +61 3 9288 2480, fax: +61 3 9416 2676, e-mail: tbrodnicki@svi.edu.au

Abstract

The nonobese diabetic (NOD) mouse strain serves as a genomic standard for assessing how allelic variation for insulindependent diabetes (*Idd*) loci affects the development of autoimmune diabetes. We previously demonstrated that C57BL/6 (B6) mice harbor a more diabetogenic allele than NOD mice for the *Idd14* locus when introduced onto the NOD genetic background. New congenic NOD mouse strains, harboring smaller B6-derived intervals on chromosome 13, now localize *Idd14* to an ~18Mb interval and reveal a new locus, *Idd31*. Notably, the B6 allele for *Idd31* confers protection against diabetes, but only in the absence of the diabetogenic B6 allele for *Idd14*, indicating genetic epistasis between these two loci. Moreover, congenic mice that are more susceptible to diabetes are more resistant to *Listeria monocytogenes* infection. This result co-localizes *Idd14* and *Listr2*, a resistance locus for listeriosis, to the same genomic interval and indicates that congenic NOD mice may also be useful for localizing resistance loci for infectious disease.

Keywords: type 1 diabetes, Listeria monocytogenes, Idd14, Idd31, Listr2, congenic NOD mice

Human studies have identified >50 loci that affect the risk for developing type 1 diabetes (T1D), but further investigation of the underlying causative alleles and gene interactions are often hindered by genetic heterogeneity, modest gene effect sizes and environmental factors (Barrett et al. 2009; Howson et al. 2012; Morahan et al. 2011; Pociot et al. 2010). The use of inbred mouse strains provides a more tractable approach for investigating disease loci. Genetic outcross studies using the NOD mouse strain have identified >30 loci (termed *Idd* loci) that affect susceptibility to autoimmune diabetes in mice (Bult et al. 2013; Driver et al. 2011). Moreover, introduction of specific genomic intervals from non-diabetes-prone strains onto the NOD genetic background (i.e. congenic NOD mice) have confirmed and localized individual *Idd* loci to small regions containing relatively few candidate genes (Driver et al. 2011). Evidence now supports both MHC and non-MHC genes (e.g. *B2m, 1l2, 1l21, Ctla4, Slc11a1, Trpv1, AK005651*) for which NOD mice harbor diabetes susceptibility alleles (Araki et al. 2009; Driver et al. 2007). Congenic NOD mice have also identified genetic interactions between *Idd* loci – different combinations of alleles for *Idd* loci confer different degrees of diabetes protection or susceptibility when introduced onto the NOD genetic background (Fraser et al. 2010; Hamilton-Williams et al. 2013; Hollis-Moffatt et al. 2005; Hunter et al. 2007; Lin et al. 2013; Morin et al. 2006).

Idd14 is a member of a class of *Idd* loci for which non-diabetes-prone mouse strains harbor alleles that are more diabetogenic than NOD alleles (Brodnicki et al. 2003; Ghosh et al. 1993; McAleer et al. 1995). *Idd14* was originally located to an ~40 Mb interval on chromosome (Chr) 13 between *D13Mit61* and *D13Mit9* (McAleer et al. 1995). In a separate study, diabetic backcross progeny, generated from NOD and non-diabetes-prone B6 mice, had increased heterozygosity for polymorphic markers within this interval, suggesting that B6 mice harbor a more diabetogenic allele than NOD mice for *Idd14* (Brodnicki et al. 2003). We therefore generated a congenic NOD mouse strain (NOD.B6Idd14R0) that was homozygous for a B6-derived interval encompassing the majority of Chr13, including the linked interval defining *Idd14*. These congenic NOD mice demonstrated a significant increase in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (Brodnicki et al. 2003). This confirmed that B6 mice harbor a more diabetogenic allele than NOD mice for *Idd14*, but this diabetogenic effect is only observed when this B6 allele is introduced onto the NOD genetic background.

To further localize *Idd14*, we generated new congenic NOD strains that dissected the congenic interval in NOD.B6Idd14R0 mice (Table 1; henceforth, names are abbreviated, e.g., NOD.B6Idd14R0 = Idd14R0). Briefly, heterozygous Idd14R0 mice were intercrossed to generate F_2 progeny that were screened for recombination events using DNA from tail biopsies and polymorphic markers as described (Brodnicki et al. 2003). Three F_2 progeny were selected to establish new homozygous

congenic strains that have smaller congenic intervals, which either encompass (Idd14R3) or dissect (Idd14R6, Idd14R8) the originally linked interval for *Idd14* (Brodnicki et al. 2003; McAleer et al. 1995). Female cohorts for these congenic strains, as well as the Idd14R0 strain, were monitored for diabetes onset compared to NOD females. Idd14R0, Idd14R3 and Idd14R8 mice exhibited a significant increase in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (Fig. 1A, 1B), indicating that *Idd14* is located within the shared congenic interval (Table 1). In contrast, Idd14R6 mice had a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (A a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (A a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (A a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (A a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (A a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (Fig. 1C) and excluded this distal region on Chr13 from containing the *Idd14* locus (Table 1). These results indicate that *Idd14* localizes to an ~18 Mb interval between *D13Svi14* and *D13Mit11* (Table 1). The reduced diabetes incidence observed for Idd14R6 mice was unexpected (Fig. 1C) and points to a new *Idd* locus, termed *Idd31*, that is located within an ~48 Mb interval on the distal end of Chr13 (Table 1).

Furthermore, our new congenic NOD strains revealed genetic epistasis between *Idd14* and *Idd31*. Notably, the B6 allele for *Idd31* provides substantial protection against diabetes onset, but only in the absence of the diabetogenic B6 allele for *Idd14* (ie Idd14R6, Fig. 1C). The ability of the B6 allele for *Idd14* to mask this protective effect in Idd14R0 and Idd14R8 mice was unexpected (Fig. 1A, 1B), but such genetic epistasis between *Idd* loci is not without precedence: the diabetogenic B10 allele for *Idd5.4* suppressed the protective effect of the B10 alleles for *Idd5.2* and *Idd5.3* in congenic NOD mice for Chr1 (Hunter et al. 2007); the diabetogenic C3H allele for *Idd19* suppressed the protective effect of the C3H allele for *Idd6* in congenic NOD mice for Chr6 (Morin et al. 2006); and the diabetogenic ABH allele for *Idd21.2* suppressed the protective effect of the respective congenic NOD strains was no greater than NOD mice (Hollis-Moffatt et al. 2005; Hunter et al. 2007; Morin et al. 2006). In contrast, the diabetogenic B6 allele for *Idd14* not only completely masks the protective effect of the B6 resistance allele for *Idd31*, but also confers a higher diabetes incidence in Idd14R0 and Idd14R8 mice compared to NOD mice (Fig. 1A, 1B).

Given that B6 mice harbor a highly diabetogenic allele for *Idd14*, we speculated that this allele might be maintained within *Mus* species because it confers some advantage. The *Idd14* interval intriguingly overlaps *Listr2*, a resistance locus for the infectious disease listeriosis, caused by *Listeria monocytogenes* (*L. monocytogenes*). *Listr1* and *Listr2* were originally identified in an outcross between B6 and BALB/c mice, with *Listr2* mapping to a ~40 Mb interval between *D13Mit21* and *D13Mit147* on Chr13 (Boyartchuk et al. 2001). In particular, F_2 progeny harboring B6 alleles within the interval for *Listr2* were more likely to survive a *L. monocytogenes* dose that was lethal to BALB/c mice. While the *Listr1* locus on Chr5 has recently been confirmed by congenic mice (Qi et al. 2014), the protective effect of the B6 allele for the *Listr2* locus has not

been confirmed. There is a relatively broad range of sensitivities amongst inbred mouse strains for *L. monocytogenes* infection, with BALB/c and NOD mice exhibiting increased susceptibility compared to B6 mice (Boyartchuk et al. 2001; Cheers and McKenzie 1978; Usami et al. 1995). Our congenic NOD mouse strains thus enabled us to test if the B6-derived interval for *Idd14*, which increases diabetes risk, harbors the B6 resistance allele for *Listr2*.

To test for *Listr2*, cohorts of NOD, Idd14R0 and B6 mice were infected with *L. monocytogenes*. Culturing of *L. monocytogenes*, infection of mice, and measurement of organ bacterial load were performed as described (Wang et al. 2011). Mice were initially infected with ~2,500 colony forming units (CFU) of *L. monocytogenes*. This dose resulted in some infected NOD mice becoming moribund on day 3 post-infection, whereas infected B6 and Idd14R0 mice showed little to no symptoms of infection (e.g. ruffled fur, limited movement). The NOD mice were euthanized along with the B6 and Idd14R0 mice; livers and spleens were removed to measure the amount of viable *L. monocytogenes*. As expected from the observed symptoms, NOD mice had a significantly higher bacterial burden than infected Idd14R0 and B6 mice (Fig. 2A). To reduce the potential for morbidity in NOD mice, we lowered the dose to ~900 CFU and euthanized infected mice on day 3 post-infection. Although infected NOD mice have fewer symptoms for this lower dose, they still had significantly higher bacterial loads in the liver and spleen than infected B6 and Idd14R0 mice (Fig. 2B). These combined results confirm *Listr2* and demonstrated that B6 mice harbor a resistance allele for *Listr2*, which can confer increased resistance to *L. monocytogenes* infection when placed on the genetic background of a susceptible mouse strain.

Listr2 was further localized by comparing Idd14R3, Idd14R6 and Idd14R8 congenic mice for *L. monocytogenes* infection. In separate experiments, mice for these strains, along with NOD and B6 strains, were infected with *L. monocytogenes* and euthanized on day 3-4 post-infection. Both Idd14R3 and Idd14R8 had lower bacterial loads in the liver and spleen at day 3 post-infection compared to NOD mice when infected with intermediate doses to those described above (~1,100 and ~1,400 CFU respectively; Fig. 3A, 3B). Idd14R3 and Idd14R8 also exhibited little to no symptoms of infection, whereas NOD mice still exhibited symptoms at these doses, suggesting that *Listr2* is located within the shared congenic interval (Table 1). In contrast, at a lower dose (~750 CFU) that resulted in little to no symptoms in all infected mice, Idd14R6 mice had similar bacterial loads compared to NOD mice even though a slightly later time point (i.e. day 4 post-infection) was chosen to increase the chance of detecting a difference (Fig. 3C). This result excludes the distal region on Chr13 from containing the *Listr2* locus and, in combination with the results for Idd14R3 and Idd14R8, localizes *Listr2* to the same ~18 Mb interval as *Idd14* (Table 1). We do note that the B6 resistance allele for *Listr2* alone does not confer the same degree of protection to

NOD mice as observed in B6 mice (Fig 1B, 3A, 3B). This is likely due to other loci for which B6 alleles are required to recapitulate this B6 phenotype on the NOD genetic background (Garifulin and Boyartchuk 2005).

It is not yet clear whether *Idd14* and *Listr2* represent allelic variation affecting the same or different genes. However, there are genes for which allelic variation is associated with both autoimmune diabetes and infectious diseases. For example, studies using congenic NOD mice with RNA interference or knockout alleles indicate that allelic variation for Slc11a1 (Idd5.1), encoding NRAMP1, provides protection against Salmonella enterica, but increases the risk for developing T1D (Kissler et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2013). In humans, the T1D susceptibility allele for PTPN22 is associated with resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Gomez et al. 2005), but susceptibility to Streptococcus pneumoniae (Chapman et al. 2006), suggesting that the effect of T1D-associated alleles upon infectious disease depends on the pathogen. At present, the defined Idd14/Listr2 interval is relatively large. Publicly available annotation for this interval estimates that there are 191 protein-coding genes, 26 non-coding RNA genes and 8 microRNA genes (http://www.informatics.jax.org). Sequence variation was obtained from the Sanger Institute Mouse Genomes Project (http://www.sanger.ac.uk). Comparison of NOD and B6 for this interval identified at least 43 protein-coding genes with nonsense, splice-site, or non-conservative missense mutations (Supplemental Table 1), and an even greater number of intronic and intergenic polymorphisms with unknown effects. Additional congenic mouse strains with smaller B6-derived intervals will need to be generated and tested to more precisely localize these loci and refine the list of candidate genes. From a practical point of view, testing mice for infection is typically much quicker than diabetes onset. Identifying Listr2 may thus accelerate the discovery of Idd14 if sequence variation for the same gene is responsible for both loci.

In summary, congenic mice enable detection of disease loci and genetic interactions that are often hidden amidst the heterogeneity present in mouse outcrosses and human association studies. Our panel of congenic NOD mouse strains uncovered a new diabetes susceptibility locus, *Idd31*, as well as genetic epistasis between this locus and *Idd14*. These congenic NOD mice also confirmed and localized *Listr2*, which overlaps the currently defined interval for *Idd14*. While NOD mice are not conventionally used in infection studies, our results indicate that congenic NOD mice, initially established to confirm and localize *Idd* loci, may prove useful for genetic studies of infectious disease.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Christina Cheers (The University of Melbourne), Anna Walduck (RMIT University) and Yifan Zhan (The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute) for advice and reagents. This work was funded by the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (1-2008-602), the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC: 575552, 1029231), and the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program. N.W. was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. C.M.E. was supported by a Peter Doherty Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Australian NHMRC. O.W. was supported by a R.D. Wright Fellowship from the Australian NHMRC. The nomenclature for the new *Idd* locus, *Idd31*, has been reserved with the Mouse Genome Informatics Database. New polymorphic genetic markers described herein have been deposited in the NCBI Probe Database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe): *D13Svi2* (Pr031829719), *D13Svi3* (Pr031829723), *D13Svi4* (Pr031829724), *D13Svi5* (Pr031829725), *D13Svi13* (Pr031829712), *D13Svi14* (Pr031829714), *D13Svi16* (Pr031829715), *D13Svi17* (Pr031829716), *D13Svi18* (Pr031829717), *D13Svi19* (Pr031829718), *D13Svi21* (Pr031829720), *D13Svi24* (Pr031829712), *D13Svi25* (Pr031829722).

References

- Araki M, Chung D, Liu S, Rainbow DB, Chamberlain G, Garner V, Hunter KM, Vijayakrishnan L, Peterson LB, Oukka M, Sharpe AH, Sobel R, Kuchroo VK, Wicker LS (2009) Genetic evidence that the differential expression of the ligandindependent isoform of CTLA-4 is the molecular basis of the *Idd5.1* type 1 diabetes region in nonobese diabetic mice. J Immunol 183:5146-57
- Barrett JC, Clayton DG, Concannon P, Akolkar B, Cooper JD, Erlich HA, Julier C, Morahan G, Nerup J, Nierras C, Plagnol V, Pociot F, Schuilenburg H, Smyth DJ, Stevens H, Todd JA, Walker NM, Rich SS (2009) Genome-wide association study and meta-analysis find that over 40 loci affect risk of type 1 diabetes. Nat Genet 41:703-707
- Boyartchuk VL, Broman KW, Mosher RE, D'Orazio SE, Starnbach MN, Dietrich WF (2001) Multigenic control of *Listeria monocytogenes* susceptibility in mice. Nat Genet 27:259-60
- Brodnicki TC, Quirk F, Morahan G (2003) A susceptibility allele from a non-diabetes-prone mouse strain accelerates diabetes in NOD congenic mice. Diabetes 52:218-22
- Bult CJ, Eppig JT, Blake JA, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Mouse Genome Database G (2013) The mouse genome database: genotypes, phenotypes, and models of human disease. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D885-91
- Chapman SJ, Khor CC, Vannberg FO, Maskell NA, Davies CW, Hedley EL, Segal S, Moore CE, Knox K, Day NP, Gillespie SH, Crook DW, Davies RJ, Hill AV (2006) PTPN22 and invasive bacterial disease. Nat Genet 38:499-500
- Cheers C, McKenzie IF (1978) Resistance and susceptibility of mice to bacterial infection: genetics of listeriosis. Infect Immun 19:755-62
- Driver JP, Serreze DV, Chen YG (2011) Mouse models for the study of autoimmune type 1 diabetes: a NOD to similarities and differences to human disease. Semin Immunopathol 33:67-87
- Fraser HI, Dendrou CA, Healy B, Rainbow DB, Howlett S, Smink LJ, Gregory S, Steward CA, Todd JA, Peterson LB, Wicker LS (2010) Nonobese diabetic congenic strain analysis of autoimmune diabetes reveals genetic complexity of the *Idd18* locus and identifies *Vav3* as a candidate gene. J Immunol 184:5075-84
- Garifulin O, Boyartchuk V (2005) Listeria monocytogenes as a probe of immune function. Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic 4:258-69
- Ghosh S, Palmer SM, Rodrigues NR, Cordell HJ, Hearne CM, Cornall RJ, Prins JB, McShane P, Lathrop GM, Peterson LB, et al. (1993) Polygenic control of autoimmune diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice. Nat Genet 4:404-9.
- Gomez LM, Anaya JM, Martin J (2005) Genetic influence of PTPN22 R620W polymorphism in tuberculosis. Hum Immunol 66:1242-7

- Hamilton-Williams EE, Rainbow DB, Cheung J, Christensen M, Lyons PA, Peterson LB, Steward CA, Sherman LA,Wicker LS (2013) Fine mapping of type 1 diabetes regions *Idd9.1* and *Idd9.2* reveals genetic complexity. Mamm Genome
- Hamilton-Williams EE, Serreze DV, Charlton B, Johnson EA, Marron MP, Mullbacher A, Slattery RM (2001) Transgenic rescue implicates β2-microglobulin as a diabetes susceptibility gene in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:11533-8
- Hollis-Moffatt JE, Hook SM, Merriman TR (2005) Colocalization of mouse autoimmune diabetes loci *Idd21.1* and *Idd21.2* with IDDM6 (human) and Iddm3 (rat). Diabetes 54:2820-5
- Howson JM, Cooper JD, Smyth DJ, Walker NM, Stevens H, She JX, Eisenbarth GS, Rewers M, Todd JA, Akolkar B, Concannon P, Erlich HA, Julier C, Morahan G, Nerup J, Nierras C, Pociot F, Rich SS, Type 1 Diabetes Genetics C (2012) Evidence of gene-gene interaction and age-at-diagnosis effects in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 61:3012-7
- Hunter K, Rainbow D, Plagnol V, Todd JA, Peterson LB, Wicker LS (2007) Interactions between *Idd5.1/Ctla4* and Other Type 1 Diabetes Genes. J Immunol 179:8341-9
- Kissler S, Stern P, Takahashi K, Hunter K, Peterson LB, Wicker L (2006) In vivo RNA interference demonstrates a role for *Nramp1* in modifying susceptibility to type 1 diabetes. Nature Genetics 38:479-483
- Lin X, Hamilton-Williams EE, Rainbow DB, Hunter KM, Dai YD, Cheung J, Peterson LB, Wicker LS, Sherman LA (2013) Genetic interactions among *Idd3*, *Idd5.1*, *Idd5.2*, and *Idd5.3* protective loci in the nonobese diabetic mouse model of type 1 diabetes. J Immunol 190:3109-20
- McAleer MA, Reifsnyder P, Palmer SM, Prochazka M, Love JM, Copeman JB, Powell EE, Rodrigues NR, Prins JB, Serreze DV, et al. (1995) Crosses of NOD mice with the related NON strain. A polygenic model for IDDM. Diabetes 44:1186-95.
- McGuire HM, Vogelzang A, Hill N, Flodstrom-Tullberg M, Sprent J, King C (2009) Loss of parity between IL-2 and IL-21 in the NOD *Idd3* locus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:19438-43
- Morahan G, Mehta M, James I, Chen WM, Akolkar B, Erlich HA, Hilner JE, Julier C, Nerup J, Nierras C, Pociot F, Todd JA, Rich SS, Type 1 Diabetes Genetics C (2011) Tests for genetic interactions in type 1 diabetes: linkage and stratification analyses of 4,422 affected sib-pairs. Diabetes 60:1030-40
- Morin J, Boitard C, Vallois D, Avner P, Rogner UC (2006) Mapping of the murine type 1 diabetes locus *Idd20* by genetic interaction. Mamm Genome 17:1105-12

- Pociot F, Akolkar B, Concannon P, Erlich HA, Julier C, Morahan G, Nierras CR, Todd JA, Rich SS, Nerup J (2010) Genetics of type 1 diabetes: what's next? Diabetes 59:1561-71
- Qi Z, Wang J, Han X, Yang J, Zhao G, Cao Y (2014) *Listr1* locus regulates innate immunity against Listeria monocytogenes infection in the mouse liver possibly through *Cxcl11* polymorphism. Immunogenetics 66:231-42
- Razavi R, Chan Y, Afifiyan FN, Liu XJ, Wan X, Yantha J, Tsui H, Tang L, Tsai S, Santamaria P, Driver JP, Serreze D, Salter MW, Dosch MH (2006) TRPV1+ sensory neurons control beta cell stress and islet inflammation in autoimmune disease. Cell 127:1123-35
- Tan IK, Mackin L, Wang N, Papenfuss AT, Elso CM, Ashton MP, Quirk F, Phipson B, Bahlo M, Speed TP, Smyth GK, Morahan G, Brodnicki TC (2010) A recombination hotspot leads to sequence variability within a novel gene (AK005651) and contributes to type 1 diabetes susceptibility. Genome Res 20:1629-38
- Usami J, Hiromatsu K, Matsumoto Y, Maeda K, Inagaki H, Suzuki T, Yoshikai Y (1995) A protective role of γδ T cells in primary infection with Listeria monocytogenes in autoimmune non-obese diabetic mice. Immunology 86:199-205
- Wang N, Strugnell R, Wijburg O, Brodnicki T (2011) Measuring bacterial load and immune responses in mice infected with *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Vis Exp:3076
- Yamanouchi J, Rainbow D, Serra P, Howlett S, Hunter K, Garner VE, Gonzalez-Munoz A, Clark J, Veijola R, Cubbon R, Chen SL, Rosa R, Cumiskey AM, Serreze DV, Gregory S, Rogers J, Lyons PA, Healy B, Smink LJ, Todd JA, Peterson LB, Wicker LS, Santamaria P (2007) Interleukin-2 gene variation impairs regulatory T cell function and causes autoimmunity. Nat Genet 39:329-37

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Congenic NOD mouse strains bisect the original *Idd14* locus and reveal genetic epistasis. The cumulative incidence of diabetes was determined in age-matched female cohorts: (A) NOD (n = 72) and Idd14R0 (n = 64); (B) NOD (n = 58), Idd14R3 (n = 57), and Idd14R8 (n = 61); (C) NOD (n = 60) and Idd14R6 (n = 54). Congenic mouse strains were homozygous for their respective B6-derived chromosome 13 intervals (Table 1). Mice were tested once a week for elevated urinary glucose (>110 mmol/L). Three consecutive elevated urinary readings, confirmed by elevated blood glucose (>10 mmol/L), indicated the onset of diabetes. Pairwise comparisons of diabetes incidence curves were performed using the logrank test (P<0.003 for NOD vs Idd14R3; P<0.003 for NOD vs Idd14R8). Diabetes incidence curves in panel (A) represent an independent cohort that replicates the previous finding (Brodnicki et al. 2003).

Figure 2. Congenic NOD mice confirm *Listr2*. Age-matched females were intravenously injected with *L. monocytogenes* (EGD strain) at 7 - 9 weeks of age. (A) NOD (n = 10), Idd14R0 (n = 6) and B6 (n = 5) females were infected with ~2,500 CFU and bacterial load was determined in the liver and spleen at day 3 post-infection. Horizontal lines represent the geometric mean of each group. (B) NOD (n = 5), Idd14R0 (n = 5) and B6 (n = 5) females were infected with ~900 CFU and bacterial load was determined at day 3 post-infection. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-tests was used for statistical analyses (Adjusted P values: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001). Horizontal lines represent the geometric mean of each group. The Y-axis stops at $Log_{10} = 2$ because quantifying CFU per organ using the described method is less accurate below 100 CFU.

Figure 3. Congenic NOD mouse strains localize *Listr2*. Age-matched females were intravenously injected with *L. monocytogenes* (EGD strain) at 7 – 9 weeks of age. (A) NOD (n = 5), Idd14R3 (n = 4) and B6 (n = 4) females were infected with ~1,100 CFU and bacterial load was determined in the liver and spleen at day 3 post-infection. (B) NOD (n = 5), Idd14R8 (n = 4) and B6 (n = 4) females were infected with ~1,400 CFU and bacterial load was determined at day 3 post-infection. In both these infections, NOD mice exhibited clinical symptoms at day 3 post-infection, whereas Idd14R3, Idd14R8 and B6 mice did not. (C) NOD (n = 5), Idd14R6 (n = 5) and B6 (n = 5) females were infected with a lower dose (~750 CFU) and bacterial load was determined at day 4 post-infection. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-tests was used for statistical analysis (Adjusted P values: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001, **** <0.0001). Horizontal lines represent the geometric mean of each group.

	Congenic strains ³					
Marker ¹	$\sim Mb^2$	R0	R3	R6	R8	
D13Mit55	9,466,732	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
D13Mit3	20,523,211	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
D13Svi2	26,201,552	N	N	Ν	Ν	
D13Svi3	28,749,616	В	В	Ν	Ν	
D13Svi4	30,611,687	Β	В	Ν	Ν	
D13Svi5	37,454,149	В	В	Ν	Ν	
D13Mit61	41,008,627	В	В	Ν	Ν	
D13Mit139	51,863,276	Β	В	Ν	Ν	
D13Svi13	53,556,122	В	В	Ν	Ν	
D13Svi14	54,828,734	В	В	Ν	Ν	
rs3693942	55,054,140	В	В	Ν	В	
D13Svi15	55,556,185	Β	В	Ν	\mathbf{B}	
D13Mit21	55,673,902	Β	В	Ν	В	
D13Mit65	57,677,166	В	В	Ν	В	
D13Svi16	60,282,401	В	В	Ν	В	Idd14 /
D13Svi17	63,398,599	В	В	Ν	В	Listr2
D13Svi18	67,846,484	В	В	Ν	В	
D13Svi19	69,587,198	В	В	Ν	В	
rs13481871	71,432,597	Β	В	Ν	\mathbf{B}	
D13Mit11	73,132,906	В	В	В	В	
D13Svi21	76,961,640	В	В	В	В	
D13Mit9	81,241,701	В	В	В	В	
Rs13481908	82,201,419	В	В	В	В	
D13Svi24	82,269,894	В	Ν	В	В	
D13Svi25	83,556,000	В	Ν	В	В	Idd31
D13Mit202	91,609,236	В	Ν	В	В	
D13Mit147	98,359,080	В	Ν	В	В	
D13Mit36	100,373,415	В	Ν	В	В	
D13Mit290	103,638,610	Β	Ν	В	В	
D13Mit76	111,353,478	В	Ν	В	В	
D13Mit151	116,341,977	В	Ν	В	В	
D13Mit78	119,618,032	В	Ν	В	В	

Table 1. Genetic intervals for congenic mouse strains

¹*D13Svi* markers are available in the NCBI Probe Database

(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe).

²Genomic coordinates are from NCBI build 37 assembly, mm9. ³Strain names have been abbreviated (e.g. R0 = Idd14R0 = NOD.B6Idd14R0). B = C57BL/6 allele; N = NOD allele.

Figure 1



Age (days)

Figure 2



Figure 3



University Library



A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Wang, N; Elso, CM; Mackin, L; Mannering, SI; Strugnell, RA; Wijburg, OL; Brodnicki, TC

Title:

Congenic mice reveal genetic epistasis and overlapping disease loci for autoimmune diabetes and listeriosis

Date:

2014-08-01

Citation:

Wang, N., Elso, C. M., Mackin, L., Mannering, S. I., Strugnell, R. A., Wijburg, O. L. & Brodnicki, T. C. (2014). Congenic mice reveal genetic epistasis and overlapping disease loci for autoimmune diabetes and listeriosis. IMMUNOGENETICS, 66 (7-8), pp.501-506. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-014-0782-5.

Persistent Link:

http://hdl.handle.net/11343/219305

File Description: Accepted version