

# Nonstomatal limitations are responsible for drought-induced photosynthetic inhibition in four C<sub>4</sub> grasses

Oula Ghannoum<sup>1,3</sup>, Jann P. Conroy<sup>1</sup>, Simon P. Driscoll<sup>2</sup>, Matthew J. Paul<sup>2</sup>, Christine H. Foyer<sup>2</sup> and David W. Lawlor<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Horticulture and Plant Sciences, University of Western Sydney, Locked Bag 1797, South Penrith DC, NSW 1797, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Crop Performance and Improvement, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Herts AL5 2JQ, UK; <sup>3</sup>Present address: Molecular Plant Physiology Group, Research School of Biological Sciences, Australian National University, GPO Box 475, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

## Summary

Author for correspondence:

Oula Ghannoum

Tel: +61 2 6125 4193

Fax: +61 2 6125 5075

Email: [ghannoum@rsbs.anu.edu.au](mailto:ghannoum@rsbs.anu.edu.au)

Received: 18 March 2003

Accepted: 14 May 2003

doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00835.x

- Here, the contribution of stomatal and nonstomatal factors to photosynthetic inhibition under water stress in four tropical C<sub>4</sub> grasses was investigated (*Panicum coloratum*, *Bothriochloa bladhii*, *Cenchrus ciliaris* and *Astrelba lappacea*).
- Plants were grown in well watered soil, and then the effects of soil drying were measured on leaf gas exchange, chlorophyll a fluorescence and water relations.
- During the drying cycle, leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ) and relative water content (RWC) decreased from c.  $-0.4$  to  $-2.8$  MPa and 100–40%, respectively. The CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates (A) and quantum yield of PSII ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ) of all four grasses decreased rapidly with declining RWC. High CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (2500  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ ) had no effect on A or  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  at any stage of the drying cycle. Electron transport capacity and dark respiration rates were unaltered by drought. The CO<sub>2</sub> compensation concentrations of *P. coloratum* and *C. ciliaris* rose sharply when leaf RWC fell below 70%. In *P. coloratum*, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> did not prevent the decline of O<sub>2</sub> evolution rates under water stress.
- We conclude that inhibition of photosynthesis in the four C<sub>4</sub> grasses under water stress is dependent mainly on biochemical limitations.

**Key words:** C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis, chl a fluorescence, drought, O<sub>2</sub> evolution, stomatal and metabolic inhibition.

## Abbreviations

A: CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate, C<sub>i</sub>: intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, [CO<sub>2</sub>]: CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ : quantum yield of photosystem II of light-adapted leaves,  $F_v/F_m$ : photochemical efficiency of dark-adapted leaves,  $\Gamma$ : CO<sub>2</sub> compensation concentration, J<sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>: O<sub>2</sub> evolution rate, g: stomatal conductance, PEPC: phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, Rubisco: ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, RWC: relative water content,  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ : leaf water potential.

© *New Phytologist* (2003) **159**: 599–608

## Introduction

About half of the world grasses fix atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> via the C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic pathway (Hattersley, 1992) and C<sub>4</sub> grasslands contribute approximately 20% of global primary productivity (Ehleringer *et al.*, 1997). In Australia, C<sub>4</sub> grasses dominate the vegetation of the vast grasslands and rangelands, which

are characterized by frequent droughts (Hattersley, 1992). The Australian C<sub>4</sub> grasslands form the basis of a large, but low-intensity, pastoral industry and significant effort has gone into modelling plant and animal productivity to minimize land degradation in the face of unpredictable rainfall, which is likely to increase under global climate change (McKeon *et al.*, 1990, 1998). Central to predicting the effects of water

availability and climate change on productivity, and for developing effective management strategies of  $C_4$  grasslands, is a sound understanding of the physiological responses of  $C_4$  grasses to drought, particularly the process of  $CO_2$  fixation.

$C_4$  photosynthesis is characterized by the operation of a  $CO_2$ -concentrating mechanism which serves to raise the  $CO_2$  concentration ( $[CO_2]$ ) at the site of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) sufficiently to nearly suppress photorespiration and saturate photosynthesis in air despite the small  $[CO_2]$  in the atmosphere (Hatch, 1987).  $C_4$  plants have relatively small stomatal conductance ( $g$ ), which decreases water loss while maintaining rapid rates of photosynthesis. This is sometimes interpreted as conferring their inherent resistance to drought. Most research into the response of photosynthesis to water stress has been on  $C_3$  plants (Cornic, 1994; Lawlor, 1995; Lawlor & Cornic, 2002). In  $C_3$  species, water stress can reduce  $CO_2$  assimilation rates ( $A$ ) of leaves through stomatal and nonstomatal factors (Cornic, 1994, 2001; Kramer & Boyer, 1995; Lawlor, 1995; Lawlor & Cornic, 2002). Stomata are very sensitive to the plant's water status and reduced  $g$  under soil water deficit represents one of the early indicators of water stress (Cowan, 1981). Small  $g$ , without a proportional decrease in photosynthetic potential ( $A_{pot}$ , the value under unstressed conditions) causes a concomitant reduction in intercellular  $[CO_2]$  ( $C_i$ ) and hence,  $A$ . This stomatal phase of water stress is characterized by the restoration of  $A$  to  $A_{pot}$  following the removal of stomatal limitation by raising ambient  $[CO_2]$ , increasing  $C_i$ , or rehydration (Vassey & Sharkey, 1989; Cornic, 2001; Lawlor & Cornic, 2002). As water stress progresses, there is evidence that nonstomatal factors become progressively more important (Lawlor, 1995, 2002). This is diagnosed by the inability of high  $[CO_2]$  to restore  $A$  to  $A_{pot}$ , which may be accompanied by reduced RuBP and ATP pools, or sucrose synthesis (Vassey & Sharkey, 1989; Gimenez *et al.*, 1992; Tezara *et al.*, 1999). These nonstomatal effects may be the result of direct drought effect on photosynthetic biochemistry (Lawlor, 2002), or stomatal-related  $CO_2$  deprivation (Vassey & Sharkey, 1989; Meyer & Genty, 1999) or both (Lawlor & Cornic, 2002).

Research on the effect of drought on  $C_4$  photosynthesis has been carried out largely on monocotyledonous grasses, particularly with the two major  $C_4$  crops, maize and sorghum, and to a lesser extent sugarcane. Inhibition of  $A$  in maize is mainly due to stomatal closure (Lal & Edwards, 1996; Saccardy *et al.*, 1996; Foyer *et al.*, 1998). Drought had either no effect on the activity of photosynthetic enzymes (Saccardy *et al.*, 1996; Castrillo *et al.*, 2001), or the reductions were too small to account for the photosynthetic inhibition (Lal & Edwards, 1996). However, large changes in the content of metabolites with small  $A$ , suggests that biochemical processes are altered (Lawlor & Fock, 1978). Foyer *et al.* (1998) suggested that changes in activities of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), sucrose phosphate synthase and nitrate reductase in

water stressed maize leaves serve to balance carbon and nitrogen metabolism with the prevailing  $A$ . Unlike maize, neither elevated  $[CO_2]$  nor re-watering restored  $A$  to control values in water-stressed sorghum and sugarcane leaves, indicating that nonstomatal factors (i.e. impaired metabolism) are responsible for photosynthetic inhibition (Contouransel *et al.*, 1996; Du *et al.*, 1996; Massacci *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, there are conflicting opinions about the response of photosynthesis to drought in  $C_4$  crops. Further, little is known about the response of photosynthesis in wild  $C_4$  grasses to water stress. This study was therefore undertaken to investigate the effect of drought on the photosynthesis of wild  $C_4$  grasses, focusing on four tropical species used for pasture. Two species (*Astrelba lappacea* and *Bothriochloa bladhii*) are native to northern Australia and two (*Cenchrus ciliaris* and *Panicum coloratum*) are introduced, and have become widely spread. *Astrelba lappacea* and *P. coloratum* belong to the NAD malic enzyme (NAD-ME) biochemical subtype while *B. bladhii* and *C. ciliaris* are NADP-ME. The main aims of this study were to determine the effects of drought on their photosynthesis and to assess the role of stomatal vs nonstomatal factors in the inhibition of photosynthesis in these grasses under water stress. To this end, leaf gas exchange, chlorophyll *a* (chl*a*) fluorescence,  $O_2$  evolution and water relations were measured at ambient and elevated  $[CO_2]$  in greenhouse-grown, potted plants grown without drought, and then exposed to drying soil, contrasted with well-watered plants.

## Materials and Methods

### Plant culture

Seeds of four tropical  $C_4$  grass species (*A. lappacea* (Lindl.) Domin, *B. bladhii* Kuntze, *C. ciliaris* L. and *P. coloratum* L.), obtained from Grass Seeds Australia and Queensland Agricultural Seeds (Toowoomba, Australia), were germinated in potting soil in 0.5-l pots, in a naturally lit glasshouse (Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK) in May–June 2000 (10-h daylength with supplementary illumination to give minimum of *c.*  $400 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  of photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) and an average of *c.*  $600 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ; average day and night temperatures were  $25^\circ\text{C}$  and  $18^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. Two seedlings were transplanted into 2-l pots containing soil that had been premixed with slow-release fertilizer, and were watered regularly. There were 20 pots per species. Three weeks after transplantation, watering was withheld from half the pots of each species, while it was continued for the other half. Measurements were made in the subsequent drying cycle, which lasted for approximately 7 d.

### Gas exchange measurements

Gas exchange measurements were made on attached, recently expanded leaves of all four species using a six-chamber open

gas exchange system (Lawlor *et al.*, 1989). Conditions in the chambers were 28°C, leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.0 kPa and PAR photon flux of 1000  $\mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  supplied by metal-halide photoflood lamps (Wotan, Philips, Holland). The middle section of each leaf was placed in the ( $2 \times 5 \text{ cm}^2$ ) chamber, which was covered with black cloth. After 30 min dark-adaptation, dark respiration rates ( $R_d$ ) and dark-adapted photochemical efficiency ( $F_v/F_m$ ) were measured. The cloth was then removed and leaves allowed to reach steady-state  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake in the light at a chamber  $[\text{CO}_2]$  of 350  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  for 1.5–2 h, after which  $A$  and light-adapted quantum yield of photosystem II ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ) were measured. Chamber  $[\text{CO}_2]$  was then raised to 2500  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ , and  $A$  and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  measured again after 1.5–2 h. The high  $[\text{CO}_2]$  of 2500  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  was chosen to ensure that drought-induced stomatal limitations of photosynthesis are overcome (Lawlor, 1995).

### Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence

Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence was measured concurrently with gas exchange using an OS-100 (Opti-Sciences, MA, USA) modulated fluorometer. The optic fibre probe could be removed and replaced in a fixed position over each gas exchange chamber using metal guides.  $F_v/F_m$  and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  were calculated as  $F_v = F_m - F_0$  and  $(F_m' - F_s)/F_m'$ , respectively (Genty *et al.*, 1989) ( $F_0$ , fluorescence of a dark-adapted leaf with all PSII reaction centres open;  $F_m$ , maximal fluorescence of a dark-adapted leaf with all PSII reaction centres closed following a saturating light pulse;  $F_s$ , fluorescence during steady state of photosynthesis;  $F_m'$ , maximal fluorescence of a light-adapted leaf with all PSII reaction centres closed following a saturating light pulse) (van Kooten & Snel, 1990).

### Leaf water relations

Leaf water relations were measured at the end of gas exchange measurements. The leaves were cut and relative water content (RWC) and leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ) were determined as described by Ghannoum *et al.*, 2002.

### $\text{CO}_2$ compensation concentration

Equilibrium  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation concentration ( $\Gamma$ ) of detached leaves of *P. coloratum* and *C. ciliaris* was measured on leaf sections enclosed in an illuminated sealed chamber, cooled by a fan, containing a pump that circulated gas through an infrared gas analyser (Tezara *et al.*, 1999). Air temperature, measured with a thermocouple inside the chamber, averaged (mean  $\pm$  SE)  $29.8 \pm 0.8^\circ\text{C}$ . Once  $[\text{CO}_2]$  reached a steady state, the RWC of the leaf sections was determined. In the most severely water-stressed leaves  $[\text{CO}_2]$  did not reach an equilibrium but increased slowly.

### $\text{O}_2$ electrode measurements

The rate of  $\text{O}_2$  evolution ( $J_{\text{O}_2}$ ) was measured (Walker, 1987) on leaf sections of *P. coloratum* in an oxygen electrode chamber (LD2/2; Hansatech Instruments, Norfolk, UK). Illumination at the leaf surface in the chamber was 1000  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , and temperature was maintained at 27°C. The leaf chamber was flushed for 5 min with 5%  $\text{CO}_2$  (50 000  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ ) using gas mixing pumps (Wösthoff, Bochum, Germany) before measuring  $J_{\text{O}_2}$ .

### Data analysis


The effects of species and  $[\text{CO}_2]$  was analysed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with species and  $[\text{CO}_2]$  as independent variables and RWC as a covariate. Plotted data were fitted with the function that gave the best fit (linear, polynomial or exponential).

## Results

### Leaf gas exchange and chl *a* fluorescence

There was a strong, linear relationship between  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  and RWC for three (*P. coloratum*, *B. bladhii* and *C. ciliaris*) out of the four  $\text{C}_4$  grass species (Fig. 1). In *A. lappacea*, RWC changed little as  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  decreased to  $-1.5 \text{ MPa}$ . Below this value, RWC declined steeply (Fig. 1). However, the  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  vs RWC relationships did not differ significantly between species ( $P_{(\text{species})} > 0.05$ ). The rest of the data is presented against RWC because it is a better indicator than  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  for metabolic function (Sinclair & Ludlow, 1985).

$A$  declined substantially and progressively with decreasing RWC, although the pattern varied significantly ( $P_{(\text{species})} < 0.001$ ) between the four species (Fig. 2).  $A$  became negative around a RWC of 50% in *P. coloratum*, *B. bladhii* and *C. ciliaris* (Fig. 2a–c) and 60% for *A. lappacea* (Fig. 2d). Elevated  $[\text{CO}_2]$  (2500  $\mu\text{l CO}_2 \text{ l}^{-1}$ ) had no significant effect ( $P_{([\text{CO}_2])} > 0.05$ ) on  $A$  at any point on the  $A/\text{RWC}$  relationship for any of the species (Fig. 2). Dark respiration rates ranged between  $-0.5$  and  $-4.0 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , was similar between species ( $P_{(\text{species})} > 0.05$ ) and the linear fits of  $R_d$  against RWC were not significant, except for a slight negative trend in *C. ciliaris* (data not shown). Stomatal conductance, measured at both ambient and elevated  $[\text{CO}_2]$ , declined rapidly with increasing water stress (data not shown). Similar to  $A$ ,  $g$  showed slightly different sensitivity to drought among the grasses ( $P_{(\text{species})} < 0.05$ ). Nevertheless, the relationship between  $A$  and  $g$  can be described by a common relationship for the four grasses, which was distinct for each measurement  $[\text{CO}_2]$  ( $P_{([\text{CO}_2])} < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 3). The relationship between  $A$  and  $g$  was best fitted with a polynomial, rather than linear, function with an inflection point around a RWC of 80% ( $A \approx 23 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $g \approx 0.15 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  at ambient  $[\text{CO}_2]$ ) (Fig. 3a). This



**Fig. 1** The relationship between leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ) and relative water content (RWC) in four tropical  $C_4$  grasses growing in a drying soil. Each data point is from a different leaf. The lines are best fits for (a) *Panicum coloratum* ( $y = -3.4 + 0.030x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.90$ ), (b) *Bothriochloa bladhii* ( $y = -3.9 + 0.034x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.80$ ), (c) *Cenchrus ciliaris* ( $y = -4.2 + 0.038x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.81$ ) and (d) *Astrebla lappacea* ( $y = 98 + 0.296(1 - e^{-x/0.45})$ ).

coincided with a sharp rise in calculated  $C_i$  at both ambient  $[\text{CO}_2]$  (data not shown).

There was some scatter in  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  data, which is most likely due to variations in photosynthetic capacity and optical characteristics among the different leaves and plants at the various stages of the drying cycles. However,  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  declined with RWC (Fig. 4) for all the species although they did not differ significantly ( $P_{(\text{species})} > 0.05$ ). The relationship between  $A$  and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  remained linear throughout the drying cycle (Fig. 5). The  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  was unaffected by elevated  $[\text{CO}_2]$  ( $P_{([\text{CO}_2])} > 0.05$ ) and  $F_v/F_m$  was similar among the  $C_4$  grasses ( $P_{(\text{species})} > 0.05$ ) and insensitive to water stress (Fig. 4).

### $\text{CO}_2$ compensation concentration


The  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation point,  $\Gamma$ , was measured on two of the four  $C_4$  grasses and ranged between 5 and 12  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  for well-watered leaf sections of *P. coloratum* and *C. ciliaris* (Fig. 6). It was little affected by water stress down to a RWC of 70%, but rose sharply below a RWC of 60% (Fig. 6). It was possible to determine  $\Gamma$  of only a few severely water-stressed leaves because  $[\text{CO}_2]$  in the chamber did not reach a steady state, but increased steadily.

### $\text{O}_2$ evolution rates


In order to test whether very high  $[\text{CO}_2]$  can restore photosynthetic activity in the water-stressed leaves,  $J_{\text{O}_2}$  was measured in leaf sections of *P. coloratum* at 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ . The  $J_{\text{O}_2}$  ranged between 28  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and 42  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 7), which corresponded well with  $A$  measured by gas exchange (Fig. 2a). In the water-stressed leaves,  $J_{\text{O}_2}$  declined in a curvilinear fashion with RWC. However, small  $J_{\text{O}_2}$  were still detectable (6–7  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) at a RWC of 40% (Fig. 7).

### Discussion

The relationship between  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  and RWC was linear in three and curvilinear in one species. This may be attributed to differences in cell wall elasticity and/or osmotic adjustment among the  $C_4$  grasses (Jones, 1978; Kobayashi & Hori, 2000). In all four  $C_4$  grasses, photosynthesis was very sensitive to water stress, measured as loss of RWC or more negative water potential. Similar results have been reported with  $C_3$  (Stuhlfauth *et al.*, 1990; Ortiz-Lopez *et al.*, 1991; Tezara *et al.*, 1999) and  $C_4$  (Lawlor & Fock, 1978; Du *et al.*, 1996; Lal & Edwards, 1996; Saccardy *et al.*, 1996; Saliendra *et al.*, 1996) species. Importantly,  $A$  (and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ) of both well-watered and water-stressed plants were not significantly enhanced by  $[\text{CO}_2]$  as high as 2500  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  (0.25%) in the gas exchange chamber. Massacci *et al.* (1996) and Williams *et al.* (2001) reported similar results with sorghum. Because of the presence of a  $\text{CO}_2$ -concentrating mechanism, photosynthesis operates at near  $\text{CO}_2$ -saturation in well-watered  $C_4$  plants




**Fig. 2** The CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (*A*, circles) and dark respiration (*R<sub>d</sub>*, crosses) rates as a function of relative water content (RWC) in (a) *Panicum coloratum*, (b) *Bothriochloa bladhii*, (c) *Cenchrus ciliaris* and (d) *Astrebla lappacea* growing in a drying soil. *A* was measured at 28°C, 1000 μmol quanta m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, leaf-to-air vapour pressure



**Fig. 3** The relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates (*A*) and stomatal conductance (*g*) in *Panicum coloratum* (closed circles), *Bothriochloa bladhii* (closed triangles), *Cenchrus ciliaris* (open circles) and *Astrebla lappacea* (open triangles) growing in a drying soil. Each data point is from a different leaf. *A* and *g* were measured at 28°C, 1000 μmol quanta m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.0 kPa, at a [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 350 μl l<sup>-1</sup> (a) or 2500 μl l<sup>-1</sup> (b). The lines are polynomial fits for all data points at ambient ( $y = -6 + 274x - 335x^2$ ,  $r^2 = 0.96$ ) and elevated ( $y = -6 + 1808x - 12184x^2$ ,  $r^2 = 0.80$ ) [CO<sub>2</sub>].

(Osmond *et al.*, 1982; von Caemmerer, 2000). The *A/C<sub>i</sub>* response curve of C<sub>4</sub> leaves is characterized by a steep initial slope and an abrupt saturation at a *C<sub>i</sub>* around 100–150 μl l<sup>-1</sup> (von Caemmerer & Furbank, 1999). The operational *C<sub>i</sub>* (which corresponds to ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]) depends on a number of environmental factors, such as light and nitrogen supply (Ghannoum *et al.*, 1997; Ghannoum & Conroy, 1998). In

deficit (VPD) of 1.0 kPa and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] of either 350 μl l<sup>-1</sup> (open circles) or 2500 μl l<sup>-1</sup> (closed circles). Dark respiration (*R<sub>d</sub>*) was measured at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] after 0.5 h dark adaptation. Each data point represents a different leaf. The lines are linear regression fits of all data points, except for *A* in (c), where data was fitted exponentially. Regression equations of *A* vs RWC are: (a)  $y = -18 + 0.58x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.77$ ; (b)  $y = -33 + 0.76x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.76$ ; (c)  $y = 0.86e^{x/26.4}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.75$ ; (d)  $y = -70 + 1.09x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.67$ .




**Fig. 4** The quantum yield of PSII ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ , circles) and photochemical efficiency ( $F_v/F_m$ , crosses) as a function of relative water content (RWC) in four tropical  $C_4$  grasses growing in a drying soil.  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  and  $F_v/F_m$  were measured concurrently with  $\text{CO}_2$  assimilation rates ( $A$ ) and dark respiration ( $R_d$ ), respectively.  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  was measured at  $28^\circ\text{C}$ ,


this study, gas exchange measurements were made at  $1000 \mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , which represented the upper limit where accurate  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  measurements can be made (data not shown). At this light intensity, the operational  $C_i$  is expected to be on the saturated part of the  $A/C_i$  response curve (Ghannoum *et al.*, 1997), which explains the lack of  $\text{CO}_2$ -responsiveness of  $A$  in the well-watered and mildly water-stressed  $C_4$  grasses in the present study and the similarity of  $A$  at elevated  $[\text{CO}_2]$ , despite the much smaller  $g$  (Fig. 3).

However, as  $g$  decreases with water stress, the operational  $C_i$  is expected to progressively move down to the  $\text{CO}_2$ -responsive region of the  $A/C_i$  curve (Long, 1999). Increasing  $\text{CO}_2$  supply should then return  $A$  to that of the unstressed leaves (i.e.  $A_{\text{pot}}$ ). But this did not occur in our study, indicating inhibition of photosynthetic capacity under drought (Lawlor & Cornic, 2002). It is worth noting that due to the operation of a  $\text{CO}_2$ -concentrating mechanism,  $C_4$ , compared with  $C_3$ , photosynthesis is less affected by the initial reduction in  $g$  (and hence  $C_i$ ) (Kawamitsu *et al.*, 1993). Therefore, it is likely that, by the time the reduction in  $g$  lowered the operational  $C_i$  to the  $\text{CO}_2$ -sensitive part of the  $C_4 A/C_i$  curve, water stress was advanced enough to cause a biochemical (nonstomatal) inhibition of photosynthesis. This inhibition, whether permanent or recoverable on rehydration, was not alleviated by short-term increases in ambient  $[\text{CO}_2]$ . It has been suggested that the metabolic inhibition of photosynthesis observed under drought is the result of low  $C_i$  (due to reduced  $g$ ) rather than a direct effect of water stress (Vassey & Sharkey, 1989; Meyer & Genty, 1999; Cornic, 2001). However, several lines of evidence suggest that the photosynthetic inhibition observed in our study under moderate to severe water stress was independent of  $\text{CO}_2$  supply. First, neither  $A$  nor  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  were responsive to high  $[\text{CO}_2]$  during the early phase of the drying cycle, when the decline in  $g$  (and stomatal heterogeneity if present), was still not very large (Meyer & Genty, 1999; Sharkey & Seemann, 1989). If the decline in  $A$  or  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  was mainly the result of reduced  $C_i$ , then increasing ambient  $[\text{CO}_2]$  should affect  $A$  or  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ , at least in the early, mild stress phase (Lawlor & Cornic, 2002). Second, photosynthetic  $\text{O}_2$  evolution was measured in *P. coloratum* at 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ . This very high  $[\text{CO}_2]$  has been used to overcome any stomatal limitation by forcing  $\text{CO}_2$  to diffuse through the cuticle (Saccardy *et al.*, 1996; Tezara *et al.*, 1999). However, 5%  $\text{CO}_2$  did not prevent the decline in  $J_{\text{O}_2}$ . Third,  $\Gamma$  was measured in *P. coloratum* and *C. ciliaris*. Theoretically,  $\Gamma$  is independent of  $g$  and depends


$1000 \mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.0 kPa and ambient  $[\text{CO}_2]$  of either  $350 \mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  (open circles) or  $2500 \mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  (closed circles).  $F_v/F_m$  was measured at ambient  $[\text{CO}_2]$  after 0.5 h dark adaptation. The lines are linear regression fits of all data points, except for  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  in (c), where data was fitted exponentially. Regression equations of  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  vs relative water content (RWC) are: (a)  $y = -0.008 + 0.004x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.59$ ; (b)  $y = -0.184 + 0.005x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.71$ ; (c)  $y = 0.0.159e^{x/31.5}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.65$ ; (d)  $y = -0.193 + 0.005x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.35$ .



**Fig. 5** The relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates (*A*) and quantum yield of PSII ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ) in four tropical C<sub>4</sub> grasses growing in a drying soil: (a) *Panicum coloratum*, (b) *Bothriochloa bladhii*, (c) *Cenchrus ciliaris* and (d) *Streblo lappacea*. *A* and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  were measured at 28°C, 1000  $\mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.0 kPa and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] of either 350  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  (open



**Fig. 6** The equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub> compensation concentration ( $\Gamma$ ) of leaves of *Panicum coloratum* (filled circles) and *Cenchrus ciliaris* (open circles), detached from plants growing in a drying soil, as a function of relative water content (RWC). Each data point is from a different leaf. The line is an exponential fit of all data points ( $y = 11320e^{-x/12.43}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.89$ ).



**Fig. 7** Rates of O<sub>2</sub> evolution in detached leaves of *Panicum coloratum* as a function of relative water content (RWC). Measurements were made at 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Each data point is from a different leaf. The line is an exponential fit of all data points ( $y = 6.8 + 0.22e^{x/20}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.90$ ).

circles) or 2500  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$  (closed circles). The lines are linear regression fits of all data points: (a)  $y = 3 + 114x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.67$ ; (b)  $y = -4 + 138x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.88$ ; (c)  $y = 2 + 79x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.57$ ; (d)  $y = -4 + 111x$ ,  $r^2 = 0.53$ .

only on the balance between photosynthesis and respiration (Farquhar *et al.*, 1980). In well-watered  $C_4$  leaves, photorespiration contributes very little to  $\Gamma$  because of the large  $[CO_2]$  in the bundle sheath which inhibits the oxygenase reaction of Rubisco, and also because of the rapid and efficient refixation by PEPC of photorespiratory  $CO_2$  (Ghannoum *et al.*, 1998; von Caemmerer & Furbank, 1999). While control leaves had  $\Gamma$  typical of  $C_4$  photosynthesis (Morgan & Brown, 1980; von Caemmerer & Furbank, 1999), it increased dramatically below a RWC of 70–60%. This increase in  $\Gamma$  can only be explained by the inability of stressed leaves to re-fix respired  $CO_2$ , as  $R_d$  continued under drought (Lawlor, 1995). Lastly, the decline in leaf water relations was gradual in our study, and photosynthesis was progressively impaired and stopped approximately 7 d after watering was withheld. This rate of drying is similar to that considered as slow in many controlled environment experiments (Lal & Edwards, 1996; Saccardy *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, the photosynthetic inhibition is not attributable to rapid drying, such as usually observed within hours of detaching a leaf (Cornic, 1994; Saccardy *et al.*, 1996). Accordingly, all these results taken together suggest that the decline in  $A$  and  $\Phi_{PSII}$ , under moderate to severe water stress, was independent of ambient or internal  $[CO_2]$ . This explanation is supported by results from growth experiments with long-term exposure to elevated  $[CO_2]$ . Seneweera *et al.* (2001) reported that growth at high  $[CO_2]$  does not alter the relationship between  $A$  and RWC in *P. coloratum* growing in drying soil under controlled environment, indicating that exposure to elevated  $[CO_2]$  *per se* has no direct effect on its photosynthetic metabolism. A similar conclusion was reached in a free air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE) study with sorghum growing in the field under wet and dry conditions (Williams *et al.*, 2001). In both studies, elevated  $[CO_2]$  alleviated the stress effects on  $A$  and growth indirectly, by reducing  $g$  and thus transpiration by the plant. This resulted in soil water saving, extending the period over which photosynthesis and growth were active (Seneweera *et al.*, 2001; Williams *et al.*, 2001). Further support for our conclusion comes from work on the effect of drought on activities of key  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  cycle enzymes in sorghum and sugarcane (Contouransel *et al.*, 1996; Du *et al.*, 1996). In these studies, it was found that the activity of Rubisco, PEPC, pyruvate,  $P_i$  dikinase and NADP-ME decreased under water stress. However, these responses are not always observed. For example, drought had no effect on Rubisco activity in maize (Castrillo *et al.*, 2001) or sugarcane (Saliendra *et al.*, 1996), while PEPC activity increased slightly in maize (Foyer *et al.*, 1998) and sugarcane (Saliendra *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, it appears that water stress affects enzyme activity differently in different  $C_4$  plants, suggesting that other metabolic processes (e.g. ATP synthesis; Tezara *et al.*, 1999) might also be responsible for loss of photosynthetic capacity.

Photochemical efficiency, as measured by dark-adapted  $F_v/F_m$  was not significantly affected by water stress in any of the

$C_4$  grasses. The resilience of  $F_v/F_m$  to water stress is commonly reported in the literature (Stuhlfauth *et al.*, 1990; Tezara *et al.*, 1999), indicating that electron transport capacity is unaltered by water stress. However,  $\Phi_{PSII}$  declined concomitantly with  $A$  under water stress, suggesting that the activity of the photosynthetic electron chain is finely tuned to that of  $CO_2$  assimilation in  $C_4$  plants, as has been previously observed under various environmental conditions (Genty *et al.*, 1989; Loreto *et al.*, 1995; Lal & Edwards, 1996). Interestingly, small  $\Phi_{PSII}$  and  $J_{O_2}$  were measured when  $A$  had dropped to zero and when leaves respired in the light under water stress. Similar results were reported in sorghum (Loreto *et al.*, 1995), and the  $A : J_{O_2}$  ratio decreased under water stress in maize (Lal & Edwards, 1996). These results, and those of Tezara *et al.* (1999) for sunflower (a  $C_3$  plant), suggest that electron transport capacity does not reflect  $CO_2$  assimilation faithfully in  $C_4$  or  $C_3$  plants under water stress, and that alternative electron sinks, such as the Mehler reaction, are available. For example, when the rates of  $O_2$  evolution and uptake were measured in a number of  $C_4$  grasses, it was concluded that significant  $O_2$  exchange can be associated with the Mehler reaction in the light (K. Siebke, O. Ghannoum & S. von Caemmerer, unpubl. data).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the photosynthesis of four tropical, wild  $C_4$  grasses is very sensitive to the leaf water status, as measured by loss of RWC and water potential. The drought-induced inhibition of photosynthesis in our study was independent of ambient  $[CO_2]$ , suggesting it is of metabolic, rather than stomatal, origin. These results imply that the enhanced growth response of water-stressed  $C_4$  grasses under elevated  $[CO_2]$  is unlikely to be caused by the alleviation of the adverse effects of drought on photosynthesis (Ghannoum *et al.*, 2000).

## Acknowledgements

This project was funded by an Australian Research Council (IREX program) grant to JPC.

## References

- von Caemmerer S. 2000. *Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis*. Melbourne, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- von Caemmerer S, Furbank RT. 1999. The modelling of  $C_4$  photosynthesis. In: Sage RF, Monson RK, eds.  *$C_4$  plant biology*. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 173–211.
- Castrillo M, Fernandez D, Calcagno AM, Trujillo I, Guenni L. 2001. Responses of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase, protein content, and stomatal conductance to water deficit in maize, tomato, and bean. *Photosynthetica* 39: 221–226.
- Contouransel D, Ilami G, Ouarzane A, Louguet P. 1996. Effect of water stress on pyruvate,  $P_i$  dikinase and phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase activities in the leaves of two cultivars of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 176: 59–69.
- Cornic G. 1994. Drought stress and high light effects on leaf photosynthesis. In: Baker NR, Bowyer JR, eds. *Photoinhibition of photosynthesis. from*



- molecular mechanisms to the field*. Oxford, UK: BIOS Scientific Publishers, 297–313.
- Cornic G.** 2001. Drought stress inhibits photosynthesis by decreasing stomatal aperture – not affecting ATP synthesis. *Trends in Plant Science* 5: 187–188.
- Cowan IR.** 1981. Coping with water stress. In: Pate JS, McComb AJ, eds. *The Biology of Australian plants*. Nedlands, Australia: University of Western Australia Press, 1–30.
- Du YC, Kawamitsu Y, Nose A, Hiyane S, Murayama S, Muraya S, Wasano K, Uchida Y.** 1996. Effects of water stress on carbon exchange rate and activities of photosynthetic enzyme in leaves of sugarcane (*Saccharum* sp.). *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 23: 719–726.
- Ehleringer JR, Cerling TE, Helliker BR.** 1997. C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and climate. *Oecologia* 112: 285–299.
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA.** 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. *Planta* 149: 78–90.
- Foyer CH, Valadier MH, Migge A, Becker TW.** 1998. Drought-induced effects on nitrate reductase activity and mRNA and on the coordination of nitrogen and carbon metabolism in maize leaves. *Plant Physiology* 117: 283–292.
- Genty B, Briantais J-M, Baker NR.** 1989. The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 990: 87–92.
- Ghannoum O, Conroy JP.** 1998. Nitrogen deficiency precludes a growth response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> *Panicum* grasses. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 25: 627–636.
- Ghannoum O, von Caemmerer S, Barlow EWR, Conroy JP.** 1997. The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and irradiance on the growth, morphology and gas exchange of a C<sub>3</sub> (*Panicum laxum*) and a C<sub>4</sub> (*Panicum antidotale*) grass. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 24: 227–237.
- Ghannoum O, Siebke K, von Caemmerer S, Conroy JP.** 1998. The photosynthesis of young C<sub>4</sub> *Panicum* leaves is not C<sub>3</sub>-like. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 21: 1123–1131.
- Ghannoum O, von Caemmerer S, Ziska LH, Conroy JP.** 2000. The response of C<sub>4</sub> plants to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure: a reassessment. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 23: 931–942.
- Ghannoum O, von Caemmerer S, Conroy JP.** 2002. The effect of drought on plant water use efficiency of nine NAD-ME and nine NADP-ME Australian C<sub>4</sub> grasses growing in a drying soil. *Functional Plant Biology* 29: 1337–1348.
- Gimenez C, Mitchell VJ, Lawlor DW.** 1992. Regulation of photosynthetic rates of two sunflower hybrids under water stress. *Plant Physiology* 98: 516–524.
- Hatch MD.** 1987. C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis: a unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy and ultrastructure. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 895: 81–106.
- Hattersley PW.** 1992. C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic pathway variation in grasses (Poaceae): its significance for arid and semi-arid lands. In: Chapman GP, ed. *Desertified grasslands: their biology and management*. London, UK: Academic Press, 181–212.
- Jones MM.** 1978. Osmotic adjustment in leaves of sorghum in response to water deficits. *Plant Physiology* 61: 122–126.
- Kawamitsu Y, Yoda S, Agata W.** 1993. Humidity pretreatment affects the responses of stomata and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation to vapor pressure difference in C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 34: 113–119.
- Kobayashi T, Hori Y.** 2000. Photosynthesis and water-relation traits of the summer annual C<sub>4</sub> grasses, *Eleusine indica* and *Digitaria adscendens*, with contrasting trampling tolerance. *Ecological Research* 15: 165–174.
- van Kooten O, Snel JFH.** 1990. The use of chlorophyll fluorescence nomenclature in plant stress physiology. *Photosynthesis Research* 25: 146–150.
- Kramer PJ, Boyer JS.** 1995. Photosynthesis and respiration. In: Kramer PJ, Boyer JS, eds. *Water relations of plants and soils*. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 313–343.
- Lal A, Edwards GE.** 1996. Analysis of inhibition of photosynthesis under water stress in the C<sub>4</sub> species *Amaranthus cruentus* and *Zea mays*: electron transport, CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and carboxylation capacity. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 23: 403–412.
- Lawlor DW.** 1995. The effects of water deficit on photosynthesis. In: Smirnov N, ed. *Environment and plant metabolism. flexibility and acclimation*. Oxford, UK: BIOS Scientific Publishers, 129–160.
- Lawlor DW, Cornic G.** 2002. Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 25: 275–294.
- Lawlor DW, Fock H.** 1978. Photosynthesis, respiration, and carbon assimilation in water-stressed maize at two oxygen concentrations. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 29: 579–593.
- Lawlor DW, Kontturi M, Young AT.** 1989. Photosynthesis by flag leaves of wheat in relation to protein, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase activity and nitrogen supply. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 40: 43–52.
- Long S.** 1999. Environmental responses. In: Sage RF, Monson RK, eds. *The biology of C<sub>4</sub> plants*. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 215–249.
- Loreto F, Tricoli D, Di Marco G.** 1995. On the relationship between electron transport rate and photosynthesis in leaves of the C<sub>4</sub> plant *Sorghum bicolor* exposed to water stress, temperature changes and carbon metabolism inhibition. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 22: 885–892.
- Massacci A, Battistelli A, Loreto F.** 1996. Effect of drought stress on photosynthetic characteristics, growth and sugar accumulation of field-grown sweet sorghum. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 23: 331–340.
- McKeon GM, Day KA, Howden SM, Mott JJ, Orr DM, Scattini WJ, Weston EJ.** 1990. Northern Australian savannas: management for pastoral production. *Journal of Biogeography* 17: 355–372.
- McKeon GM, Hall WB, Crimp SJ, Howden SM, Stone RC, Jones DA.** 1998. Climate change in Queensland's grazing lands. I. Approaches and climatic trends. *Rangeland Journal* 20: 151–176.
- Meyer S, Genty B.** 1999. Heterogeneous inhibition of photosynthesis over the leaf surface of *Rosa rubiginosa* L. during water stress and abscisic acid treatment: induction of a metabolic component by limitation of CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion. *Planta* 210: 126–131.
- Morgan JA, Brown RH.** 1980. Photosynthesis in grass species differing in carbon dioxide fixation pathways. III. Oxygen response and enzyme activities of species in the *Laxa* group of *Panicum*. *Plant Physiology* 65: 156–159.
- Ortiz-Lopez A, Ort DR, Boyer JS.** 1991. Photophosphorylation in attached leaves of *Helianthus annuus* at low water potentials. *Plant Physiology* 96: 1016–1025.
- Osmond CB, Winter K, Ziegler H.** 1982. Functional significance of different pathways of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in photosynthesis. In: Lange OL, Noble PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H, eds. *Encyclopedia of plant physiology new series*, Vol. 12B. Berlin: Germany: Springer Verlag, 479–547.
- Saccardy K, Cornic G, Brulfert J, Reyss A.** 1996. Effect of drought stress on net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in *Zea* leaves. *Planta* 199: 589–595.
- Saliendra NZ, Meinzer FC, Perry M, Thom M.** 1996. Associations between partitioning of carboxylase activity and bundle sheath leakiness to CO<sub>2</sub>, carbon isotope discrimination, photosynthesis, and growth in sugarcane. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 47: 907–914.
- Seneweera SP, Ghannoum O, Conroy JP.** 2001. Root and shoot factors contribute to the effect of drought on photosynthesis and growth of the C<sub>4</sub> grass *Panicum colonatum* at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 28: 451–460.
- Sharkey TD, Seemann JR.** 1989. Mild water stress effects on carbon-reduction-cycle intermediates, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase activity, and spatial homogeneity of photosynthesis in intact leaves. *Plant Physiology* 89: 1060–1065.

- Sinclair TR, Ludlow MM. 1985. Who taught plants thermodynamics? The unfulfilled potential of plant water potential. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 12: 213–217.
- Stuhlfauth T, Scheuermann R, Fock HP. 1990. Light energy dissipation under water stress conditions. Contribution of reassimilation and evidence for additional processes. *Plant Physiology* 92: 1053–1061.
- Tezara W, Mitchell VJ, Driscoll SD, Lawlor DW. 1999. Water stress inhibits plant photosynthesis by decreasing coupling factor and ATP. *Nature* 401: 914–917.
- Vassey TL, Sharkey TD. 1989. Mild water stress of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants leads to reduced starch synthesis and extractable sucrose phosphate synthase activity. *Plant Physiology* 89: 1066–1070.
- Walker DA. 1987. *The use of the oxygen electrode and fluorescence probe in simple measurements of photosynthesis*. Sheffield, UK: University of Sheffield.
- Williams DG, Gempko V, Fravolini A, Leavitt SW, Wall GW, Kimball BA, Pinter PJ, LaMorte R, Ottman M. 2001. Carbon isotope discrimination by *Sorghum bicolor* under CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and drought. *New Phytologist* 150: 285–293.



### About *New Phytologist*

- *New Phytologist* is owned by a non-profit-making **charitable trust** dedicated to the promotion of plant science. Regular papers, Letters, Research reviews, Rapid reports and Methods papers are encouraged. Complete information is available at [www.newphytologist.org](http://www.newphytologist.org)
- All the following are **free** – essential colour costs, 25 offprints as well as a PDF (i.e. an electronic version) for each article, online summaries and ToC alerts (go to the website and click on 'Journal online')
- You can take out a **personal subscription** to the journal for a fraction of the institutional price. Rates start at £86 in Europe/\$145 in the USA & Canada for the online edition (go to the website and click on 'Subscribe')
- If you have any questions, do get in touch with Central Office ([newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk); tel +44 1524 592918) or, for a local contact in North America, the USA Office ([newphytol@ornl.gov](mailto:newphytol@ornl.gov); tel 865 576 5261)