

Rothamsted Research Harpenden, Herts, AL5 2JQ

Telephone: +44 (0)1582 763133 Web: http://www.rothamsted.ac.uk/

Rothamsted Repository Download

A - Papers appearing in refereed journals

Brambilla, V., Martignago, D., Goretti, D., Cerise, M., Somssich, M., de
Rosa, M., Galbiati, F., Shrestha, R., Lazzaro, F., Simon, R. and Fornara,
F. 2017. Antagonistic Transcription Factor Complexes Modulate the
Floral Transition in Rice. *The Plant Cell.* 29 (11), pp. 2801-2816.

The publisher's version can be accessed at:

• https://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00645

The output can be accessed at: <u>https://repository.rothamsted.ac.uk/item/8v538</u>.

© 17 October 2017, Rothamsted Research. Licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY.

20/03/2019 11:03

repository.rothamsted.ac.uk

library@rothamsted.ac.uk

1 **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

2 Antagonistic Transcription Factor Complexes Modulate the Floral Transition in

Rice 3

4 Vittoria Brambilla^{1,2}, Damiano Martignago^{1,3}, Daniela Goretti^{1,4}, Martina Cerise¹, Marc Somssich^{5,6}, Matteo 5 de Rosa⁷, Francesca Galbiati¹, Roshi Shrestha^{1,9}, Federico Lazzaro¹, Rüdiger Simon⁵ and Fabio Fornara^{1,*}

- 6
- 7 ¹ University of Milan, Department of Biosciences, 20133 Milano, Italy
- 8 ² University of Milan, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 9 ³Present address: Department of Plant Biology and Crop Science, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Herts, United 10 Kingdom
- 11 ⁴ Present address: Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå University, Umeå,
- 12 Sweden
- 13 ⁵ Institute for Developmental Genetics and Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences, Heinrich Heine University,
- 14 Universitätsstr. 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
- 15 ⁶ Present address: School of Biosciences, the University of Melbourne, 3010 Victoria, Australia
- 16 ⁷CNR-Biophysics Institute, Via Celoria 26, 20133, Milano, Italy
- 17 ⁹ Present address: Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24
- 18 3UU, UK
- 19 *Corresponding author: fabio.fornara@unimi.it
- 20
- 21 Short title: Antagonistic flowering complexes in rice

22 **One-sentence summary:** Rice flowering depends on formation of transcriptional complexes, some of which act

- 23 at the shoot apical meristem, whereas others promote or repress the floral transition by acting from the leaves.
- 24 The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance 25 with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is Fabio Fornara 26 (fabio.fornara@unimi.it).

27 28 ABSTRACT

29 Plants measure day or night lengths to coordinate specific developmental changes with a favorable season. In rice 30 (Oryza sativa), the reproductive phase is initiated by exposure to short days when expression of HEADING DATE 31 3a (Hd3a) and RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T 1 (RFT1) is induced in leaves. The cognate proteins are components 32 of the florigenic signal, and move systemically through the phloem to reach the shoot apical meristem (SAM). In 33 the SAM, they form a transcriptional activation complex with the bZIP transcription factor OsFD1, to start panicle 34 development. Here, we show that Hd3a and RFT1 can form transcriptional activation or repression complexes also 35 in leaves, and feed-back to regulate their own transcription. Activation complexes depend upon OsFD1 to promote 36 flowering. However, additional bZIPs, including Hd3a BINDING REPRESSOR FACTOR 1 (HBF1) and HBF2

- 37 form repressor complexes that reduce Hd3a and RFT1 expression to delay flowering. We propose that Hd3a and
- 38 RFT1 are also active locally in leaves to fine-tune photoperiodic flowering responses.
- 39

40 INTRODUCTION

The floral transition sets the beginning of the reproductive phase and is completed upon switching of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) from indeterminate vegetative to determinate reproductive growth. In many plant species, these changes are triggered by day length (or photoperiod), which is measured in leaves to synchronize inflorescence development with the most favorable seasons. This signaling mechanism requires systemic communication signals that integrate environmental inputs and connect distant tissues of the plant.

47 Rice (Oryza sativa) preferentially flowers under short days (SD). When day length falls under a critical 48 threshold, proteins encoded by the HEADING DATE 3a (Hd3a) and RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T 1 49 (*RFT1*) loci are produced in leaves and delivered through the phloem to the SAM, where they induce 50 developmental reprogramming (Tamaki et al., 2007, 2015; Komiya et al., 2009). Both proteins share 51 homology with FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) of Arabidopsis, and belong to the 52 phosphatidylethanolamine binding protein (PEBP) family of regulators, which includes also 53 TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1) homologues (Kojima et al., 2002; Ho and Weigel, 2014). However, 54 whereas FT-like proteins are strong activators of flowering, TFL1-like proteins are flowering inhibitors 55 (Wickland and Hanzawa, 2015).

Under inductive photoperiods, both *Hd3a* and *RFT1* are transcribed, and their protein products are essential for flowering to the extent that artificial reduction of their mRNA expression results in neverflowering plants (Komiya et al., 2008; Tamaki et al., 2015). However, transcription of *RFT1* can be induced also under long days (LD), and its floral promotive activity under these conditions contributes to the facultative nature of the photoperiodic flowering response of rice (Gómez-Ariza et al., 2015; Komiya et al., 2009).

62 Induction of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* expression in leaves results from the integration of photoperiodic 63 information with diurnal timing set by the circadian clock. Environmental signals ultimately converge 64 on the transcriptional activation of *Early heading date 1 (Ehd1)*, encoding a B-type response regulator unique to rice (Brambilla and Fornara, 2013; Doi et al., 2004; Cho et al., 2016). Transcription of Ehd1, 65 66 Hd3a and RFT1 thus correlates under SD in leaves, showing a transient induction that persists only for 67 the time required to irreversibly commit flowering at the SAM (Galbiati et al., 2016; Doi et al., 2004; 68 Cho et al., 2016; Komiya et al., 2008). Once a sufficient amount of Hd3a and/or RFT1 proteins reaches 69 the SAM, expression of target genes that promote inflorescence formation is induced (Taoka et al., 2011;

- 70 Tamaki et al., 2015).
- 71 FT-like proteins have no DNA binding property. Therefore, upon reaching the cytoplasm of cells at the

72 SAM, they bind to transcription factors of the bZIP family, including FD in Arabidopsis and OsFD1 in 73 rice (Wigge et al., 2005; Taoka et al., 2011). The complex, originally found to be dimeric based on studies 74 in Arabidopsis, was later demonstrated to contain also a 14-3-3 protein of the Gf14 family (G-box factor 75 14-3-3) that bridges the interaction between OsFD1 and Hd3a. The resulting ternary complex, named 76 florigen activation complex (FAC) is targeted to the nucleus where it further dimerizes, forming a 77 heterohexameric complex tethered by OsFD1 on target DNA sequences (Zhao et al., 2015; Taoka et al., 78 2011). Similar interactions take place in many plant species, including tomato (Park et al., 2014), potato 79 (Teo et al., 2017), wheat and barley (Li et al., 2015), maize (Danilevskaya et al., 2008), and hybrid aspen 80 (Tylewicz et al., 2015), suggesting that this molecular module is widely conserved among Angiosperms. 81 This conservation is further corroborated by inter-specific interactions demonstrated to occur between 82 Hd3a/RFT1 and FD (Jang et al., 2017). In many such examples, FD-like genes can provide DNA binding 83 specificity by recognizing ACGT-containing consensus sequences on the DNA of target promoters 84 (Izawa et al., 1993; Li and Dubcovsky, 2008; Taoka et al., 2011; Wigge et al., 2005). Competition 85 between FT-like and TFL1-like proteins for interaction with FD and 14-3-3 proteins partly explains their 86 opposite function on flowering and shoot architecture. Again, such competitive behavior is widespread 87 among Angiosperms (Pnueli et al., 2001; Randoux et al., 2014; Hanano and Goto, 2011; Park et al., 88 2014).

89 The rice genome encodes seven Gf14 proteins, four of which (the b, c, d and e) can assemble into a FAC 90 (Taoka et al., 2011). The Gf14c protein was the first to be functionally characterized as an Hd3a interactor 91 (Purwestri et al., 2009; Taoka et al., 2011). Because of their redundancy and pleiotropic effects, it has 92 not been possible to study gfl4 mutants, but transgenic rice overexpressing Gfl4c had delayed flowering 93 (Purwestri et al., 2009). Despite the apparent contrast with the nature of a FAC, this result might indicate 94 that a tightly regulated balance between FAC components needs to be achieved at the SAM to promote 95 flowering. Alternatively, floral repressor complexes containing Gf14c might exist and become 96 predominant upon overexpression of this specific 14-3-3 protein.

97 Besides FD-like transcription factors and 14-3-3 proteins, FT-like genes can interact with members of 98 the TEOSINTE BRANCHED1, CYCLOIDEA, PCF (TCP) transcription factor family. The ability to 99 bind distinct members of this group of regulators partly discriminates between FT- and TFL1-like 99 proteins, and indicates that TCPs are preferential interactors of FT-like proteins (Mimida et al., 2011; 101 Niwa et al., 2013; Ho and Weigel, 2014). Finally, apple Vascular Plant One Zinc finger (MdVOZ1a) was 102 isolated as an interactor of apple FT and shown to alter inflorescence architecture when expressed in 103 Arabidopsis (Mimida et al., 2011). Whether interactions between FT-like and VOZ-like proteins are 104 conserved among flowering plants is yet to be assessed.

105 Downstream targets of the FAC at the SAM include members of the MADS-box transcription factor 106 family that are necessary to switch the meristem to reproductive growth. In Arabidopsis, induction of 107 SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1), FRUITFULL (FUL) and APETALA 108 1 (AP1) takes place shortly after arrival of FT at the SAM (Andrés and Coupland, 2012). Similarly, 109 OsMADS14, OsMADS15 and OsMADS18, genes belonging the FUL clade, and OSMADS34/PAP2, a 110 SEPALLATA (SEP)-like gene, are progressively activated upon floral transition in rice (Kobayashi et al., 111 2012; Litt and Irish, 2003). Mutants in which all four genes are silenced develop inflorescence stems 112 where flowers are replaced by vegetative shoots (Kobayashi et al., 2012). This general mode of action of 113 the florigens at the SAM has been observed in several plant species (Jang et al., 2015; Jaudal et al., 2015; 114 Li and Dubcovsky, 2008). However, FACs can be deployed also in tissues different from the SAM, to 115 control a broad spectrum of developmental processes different from inflorescence formation. For 116 example, components of FACs governing leaf development have been reported in both Arabidopsis and 117 rice (Teper-Bamnolker and Samach, 2005; Tsuji et al., 2013). Potato tuber formation depends on FACs 118 forming at the stolon meristem in response to FT export from the leaves (Navarro et al., 2011; Teo et al., 119 2017). Seasonal growth cessation in trees is induced by FACs assembled in vegetative apical meristems 120 that stop elongation and leaf production before the onset of winter (Tylewicz et al., 2015). These findings 121 illustrate the plasticity and robustness of FACs as integrators of photoperiodic signals into distinct 122 developmental networks.

123 Given the high number of OsbZIP-coding genes in rice, the combinatorial interactions possibly leading 124 to different florigen-containing complexes are very high (Tylewicz et al., 2015; Park et al., 2014; Tsuji 125 et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015). Additionally, the floral transition in rice is associated with both induction 126 and repression of gene expression at the SAM, and different complexes could operate by promoting or 127 repressing expression of specific targets (Tamaki et al., 2015). Here, we demonstrate that canonical FACs 128 can also form in leaves where Hd3a and RFT1 interact through Gf14c with OsFD1. These complexes are 129 required to activate a positive feedback loop on Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 expression. This function is 130 counterbalanced by two OsbZIP transcription factors closely related to OsFD1 that directly bind Hd3a 131 and function as negative regulators of the *Ehd1*-florigens module in leaves. Finally, we provide evidence 132 for a meristematic function of one such OsbZIP to repress the floral transition by reducing the expression 133 of inflorescence identity genes. We propose that dynamic formation of distinct complexes fine tunes 134 flowering in leaves and at the SAM of rice.

135

136 **RESULTS**

137 An active florigen activation complex can form in leaves

138 The rice (Oryza sativa) FAC is a transcriptional activation complex assembled in cells of the SAM by Hd3a or RFT1, a Gf14 protein and OsFD1, and its primary targets include members of the OsMADS 139 140 transcription factor family (Kojima et al., 2002; Taoka et al., 2011; Tsuji et al., 2013; Tamaki et al., 2015; 141 Kobayashi et al., 2012). It has been proposed that FAC complexes control a wide range of developmental 142 processes in distinct tissues of several plant species, but to which extent a FAC might function outside 143 of the SAM and in rice leaf tissues is unclear. The diurnal mRNA expression of components of the FAC 144 was quantified under inductive and non-inductive photoperiods, including SD (10 h light) and LD (16 h 145 light) in the leaves (Supplemental Figure 1A-D). The expression of Gf14c did not depend upon the 146 photoperiod, and showed a peak at Zeitgeber (ZT) 15 (Supplemental Figure 1B). Expression of OsFD1 was detected under both photoperiods; however, its expression under LD was constant during the time 147 148 course, whereas it oscillated under SD with a peak in the middle of the night (Supplemental Figure 1C). 149 Similarly, expression of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* was induced during the night and peaked towards the end of it 150 (Supplemental Figure 1A).

Since all FAC components were co-expressed in leaves under SD, the expression of *OsMADS14* was used as readout for the activity of the FAC. *OsMADS14* mRNA showed a peak during the night only in leaves of plants grown under SDs, similarly to *OsFD1* (Supplemental Figure 1D). Additionally, expression of both *OsMADS14* and *OsMADS15* was induced in leaves upon shifting plants from LD (16 h light) to SD (10 h light), as more Hd3a and RFT1 became available for FAC formation (Supplemental Figure 1E-F). Expression of *OsMADS* TFs is therefore sensitive to expression of FAC components in both leaves and meristem (Taoka et al., 2011; Kobayashi et al., 2012).

158 Based on relative transcript quantifications, OsFD1 maximum expression was 5 times lower relative to 159 Hd3a or RFT1 and about 50 times lower than Gf14c (compare y-axis scales in Supplemental Figure 1A-160 C). Although relative mRNA amounts cannot be accurately compared between genes, these data 161 suggested that OsFD1 might be a limiting factor to FAC formation in leaves. To test this hypothesis, the 162 coding sequence (cds) of OsFD1 was expressed under the constitutive rice ACTIN2 promoter 163 (proACT:OsFD1) and expression of OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 was quantified at 6 and 13 days after shifting plants from LD to SD (Figure 1A-C). In proACT:OsFD1 plants, OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 164 165 expression was strongly up-regulated in leaves at the indicated time points, compared to wild-type plants 166 grown under the same conditions, indicating that increasing OsFD1 abundance results in higher induction

167 of FAC target genes (Figure 1B,C).

168 Following the same rationale, we conditionally overexpressed *Hd3a* or *RFT1* in leaves under LD, when 169 Gf14c and OsFD1, but not Hd3a or RFT1, are expressed. To control overexpression, dexamethasone-170 inducible (DEX) Hd3a or RFT1 overexpressing plants were produced (proGOS2:GVG 4xUAS:Hd3a and 171 proGOS2:GVG 4xUAS:RFT1; hereafter referred to as GVG:Hd3a and GVG:RFT1, Figure 2A). We used 172 a previously validated system for inducible gene expression, composed of a DEX-inducible component 173 that drives expression of the genes of interest (Ouwerkerk et al., 2001). Using this system, we avoided 174 the need for a chimeric florigen-glucocorticoid receptor protein, whose size might impinge on Hd3a or 175 RFT1 protein movement or activity. 176 Transgenic plants containing GVG:Hd3a or GVG:RFT1 could overexpress transgenic Hd3a or RFT1

only upon DEX treatments (Figure 2B,C). While a negligible basal expression of *OsMADS14* and *OsMADS15* was observed in leaves of untreated plants under LD, expression of *OsMADS14* and *OsMADS15* was strongly activated 16 hours after DEX treatment, concomitantly to *Hd3a* or *RFT1*induction (Figure 2D,E).

Taken together, these experiments indicate that *OsMADS14* and *OsMADS15* transcription in leaves is activated upon co-expression of all FAC components that are likely to form an active complex, as in the SAM.

184

185 A negative feedback loop independent of OsFD1 limits florigen expression in leaves

186 The expression of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* is transiently activated in leaves of plants grown under natural field 187 or artificial conditions. This observation suggests the existence of a mechanism that down-regulates their 188 expression upon commitment to flowering and that could possibly depend on *Ehd1*, encoding a common 189 upstream promoter of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* expression (Goretti et al., 2017; Ogiso-Tanaka et al., 2013; 190 Gómez-Ariza et al., 2015). Under our growing conditions, expression of the florigens reached a peak 191 about 12–15 days after shifting plants from LD to SD (Galbiati et al., 2016). We tested whether Hd3a192 and *RFT1* are causal to their own down-regulation in leaves after the floral transition. The *GVG:Hd3a* or 193 GVG:RFT1 transgenic plants were grown under LD (16 h light) and then shifted to SD (10 h light) to 194 induce expression of the endogenous Hd3a and RFT1 transcripts in leaves. After 13 SD, half of the plants 195 were DEX-treated to overexpress transgenic Hd3a or RFT1 (Figure 3A,C). Leaf samples were harvested 196 16 hours after DEX treatment at ZTO, when endogenous *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* were highly expressed. 197 Quantification of transcripts indicated that the endogenous *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* transcripts were 198 strongly downregulated in DEX-treated plants compared to mock-treated controls (Figure 3B,D). A 199 similar reduction of transcripts abundance was observed when either of the two florigens was induced

(Figure 3A-D). We tested several independent lines of both GVG:Hd3a and GVG:RFT1 for DEX-200 201 dependent control of *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* transcripts. Despite a varying degree of inducibility among 202 independent transgenic lines, as quantified by the increase in Hd3a and RFT1 expression in response to 203 DEX, we consistently observed reduction of endogenous *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* transcripts 204 (Supplemental Figure 2 A,B). Therefore, both Hd3a and RFT1 can mediate a negative feedback loop on 205 Ehd1 and, indirectly, on their own expression. The negative loop is activated also at low levels of 206 expression of transgenic Hd3a or RFT1, suggesting that it finely adjusts expression of the florigens 207 during floral induction.

A canonical OsFD-containing FAC could be required for negative regulation of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* expression. Since OsFD1 is limiting to FAC formation in leaves at 12 DAS, expression of the florigens was analyzed in *proACT:OsFD1* plants at this time point. Compared to wild type plants, constitutive expression of *OsFD1* induced the up-regulation of *Hd3a*, *RFT1* and *Ehd1* expression (Figure 3E,F). These data suggest that OsFD1 can promote expression of *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* in leaves, and is not part of the mechanism that self-limits expression of the florigens.

214

215 Identification of FAC components expressed in leaves

216 In rice and other plant species, many bZIP TFs have been already described that form alternative FACs 217 with the florigens, and control different developmental processes (Tylewicz et al., 2015; Tsuji et al., 218 2013; Li et al., 2015). Whether other TFs abundant in leaves might form alternative FACs with a 219 flowering repressive function was evaluated. We performed untargeted and targeted yeast two-hybrid 220 screens using Hd3a and RFT1 as baits. Only the results of targeted screens will be presented in this study. 221 We selected members of the bZIP family of transcription factors based on sequence similarity with 222 OsFD1, wheat TaFDL2 (Li et al., 2015; Li and Dubcovsky, 2008) and maize DLF1 (Muszynski et al., 223 2006) (Supplemental Figure 3A and Supplemental Data set 1), and we tested their interaction with Hd3a 224 and RFT1. Since it has been shown that bZIP TFs bind DNA by forming homo- and hetero-dimers, we 225 also tested their ability to homo- and hetero-dimerize. OsFD1 interaction with Gf14c was used as positive 226 control (Taoka et al., 2011). A summary of all interactions is reported in Table 1. We excluded from this 227 analysis OsbZIP29 as we could not amplify it from cDNA of LD- or SD-grown plants, bZIP54/OsFD6 228 as it is inferred to be a pseudogene (Tsuji et al., 2013), and finally genes whose interaction patterns have 229 already been determined (Tsuji et al., 2013). The OsbZIP24/OsFD3 and OsbZIP69/OsFD4 proteins could 230 not interact in our yeast assay with Hd3a or RFT1, although a recent report indicates weak interaction 231 with RFT1 (Jang et al., 2017). OsbZIP24/OsFD3 could interact with Gf14c while OsbZIP69/OsFD4 could not. Conversely, OsbZIP62, OsbZIP42 and OsbZIP9 could interact with Hd3a but not with RFT1,

indicating some binding preference for one of the florigens. However, they also interacted with Gf14c,

which could possibly bridge the interaction with both florigens.

Among the bZIP TFs tested, we identified OsbZIP62, OsbZIP42 and OsbZIP9 as interactors of Hd3a and Gf14c (Table 1 and Figure 4A). Based on their functional characterization, we renamed OsbZIP42 and OsbZIP9 as Hd3a BINDING REPRESSOR FACTOR 1 (HBF1) and HBF2, respectively. The HBF1 and HBF2 proteins share 19.13% and 20.75% amino acid identity with OsFD1, and cluster in the same branch of the bZIP phylogenetic tree (Supplemental Figure 3A). They share 68% identity with each other when the full-length proteins are considered.

To further validate the direct interactions of HBF1, HBF2 and OsbZIP62 with Hd3a, Bimolecular 241 242 Fluorescent Complementation (BiFC) experiments were performed. The YFP N-terminus was fused to 243 each bZIP transcription factor creating HBF1-YFP N, HBF2-YFP N and bZIP62-YFP N chimeric 244 proteins, whereas the YFP C-terminus was fused to Hd3a (Hd3a-YFP C) (Figure 4B). Leaves of 245 Nicotiana benthamiana were infiltrated with Hd3a-YFP C and each of the bZIP chimeric fusions, and 246 nuclei of the epidermis showed strong YFP fluorescence, indicating physical interactions between Hd3a 247 and HBF1, HBF2 or bZIP62 as well as nuclear localization of the heterodimers. No fluorescence was 248 observed in nuclei co-expressing OsFD1-YFP N and Hd3a-YFP C, confirming the indirect interaction 249 between OsFD1 and Hd3a (Taoka et al., 2011).

Interactions were also assessed by Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) (Berezin and Achilefu, 2010). In FRET-FLIM measurements, the readout for FRET is a reduced lifetime of the donor molecule in the FRET sample, compared to the donor-only sample. FRET occurs when two molecules interact directly. A decrease in the Hd3a-GFP donor lifetime was observed in the presence of HBF1-mCherry, HBF2-mCherry and OsbZIP62-mCherry, confirming direct interactions in *Nicotiana benthamiana* epidermal nuclei (Figure 4C,D). No significant reduction of donor lifetime was observed when co-expressing Hd3a-GFP and OsFD1-mCherry (Figure 4C,D).

257 Direct interactions between HBF1, HBF2 and Hd3a were conclusively assessed *in vitro* by GST-pull

down assays. We fused HBF1 and HBF2 to the Maltose Binding Protein (MBP) and incubated them with

- either Gf14c-GST or Hd3a-GST immobilized on a glutathione resin. Both bZIPs bound Gf14c-GST and
- Hd3a-GST, but not GST alone (Figure 4E and Supplemental Figure 3E). These data confirm that interactions between HBF1, HBF2 and Hd3a occur in nuclei and do not require an intermediate 14-3-3
- 262 protein.

258

Finally, since bZIP TFs bind the DNA as dimers (Schütze et al., 2008; Reinke et al., 2013), we also tested the possibility that HBF1 and HBF2 could heterodimerize with each other or with OsFD1. We did not observe heterodimerization between these proteins in yeast (Table 1) or using the FRET-FLIM system (data not shown), indicating that HBF1, HBF2 and OsFD1 are likely part of distinct transcriptional complexes.

268 Diurnal time courses were used to determine the spatiotemporal expression of OsbZIP62, HBF1 and 269 HBF2 (Supplemental Figure 3B-D). The mRNA expression of OsbZIP62 was most abundant in the SAM 270 under SD, and showed no strong oscillation during the 24 h cycle, despite a slight decline during the 271 night. Transcript abundance was negligible in leaves, indicating that OsbZIP62 is likely not part of a 272 complex limiting *Hd3a* expression in leaves but is possibly part of an Hd3a-containing complex in cells 273 of the SAM (Supplemental Figure 3D). Transcripts of HBF1 and HBF2 were highly expressed in the 274 SAM and showed expression also in leaves. *HBF1* transcription in leaves reached a peak during the night, 275 when Hd3a transcripts are also abundant (Supplemental Figure 3B-C). Taken together, these data 276 indicate that HBFs can potentially form distinct complexes both in the SAM and leaves.

277

278 *HBF1* and *HBF2* encode floral repressors that reduce *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* expression in leaves

279 Whether *HBF1* and *HBF2* could influence flowering or expression of the florigens in leaves was assessed 280 by overexpressing them under the constitutive ACT promoter (Supplemental Figure 3F,G). Expression 281 of Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 was monitored during photoperiodic induction of plants shifted from LD (16 h 282 light) to SD (10 h light). Leaves of the proACT:HBF1 and proACT:HBF2 plants showed a marked down-283 regulation of Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 expression compared to the wild type, unlike what observed in 284 proACT:OsFD1 transgenic plants (Figure 5A,B). In agreement with the overall downregulation of the 285 Ehd1-florigens module, proACT:HBF1 and proACT:HBF2 plants flowered late when grown for 2 286 months under LD and then shifted to SD (Figure 5C).

We obtained the *hbf1-1* mutant from the PFG T-DNA collection in the cultivar Dongjin (Jeon et al., 2000). Quantification of transcripts in the mutant showed that expression of *HBF1* was strongly reduced, because of insertion of the T-DNA in the promoter (Supplemental Figure 4A,B). We analyzed the flowering behavior of the *hbf1-1* mutant and observed that it headed earlier by ~5 days compared to segregating wild-type siblings under continuous LD (14.5 h light) and by ~9 days under SD (10 h light) (Figure 5D). To link the mutant phenotype with photoperiodic regulation of the *Ehd1*-florigen module, transcript abundance of *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* was determined at two time points after shifting plants

from LD to SD (10 and 17 DAS). The mRNA accumulation of all genes was higher in the *hbf1-1* mutant

compared to the wild type at both time points, indicating de-repression of the module (Figure 5E-G). To
exclude an indirect effect of HBF1 on *Ehd1* expression, the expression of six genes upstream of *Ehd1*was also measured (Supplemental Figure 4C,D). None of them showed a difference in gene expression
between the wt and the *hbf1-1* mutant. The only exception was *Ghd7*, which was slightly downregulated
in the mutant compared to the wild type (Supplemental Figure 4D).

300 To confirm that loss of HBF1 function promotes flowering and also to assess a possible functional 301 redundancy between HBF1 and HBF2, we generated a series of double hbf1 hbf2 mutants in the cultivar 302 Nipponbare, using the CRISPR/Cas9 technology (Miao et al., 2013). We designed a single guide-RNA 303 (sgRNA) on a region highly conserved between *HBF1* and *HBF2* on their first exon, to simultaneously 304 target both loci (Supplemental Figure 5A). Upon regeneration of transgenic plants, we obtained 6 305 independent lines harboring different combinations of biallelic or homozygous indels (Supplemental Figure 5B). We selected five T2 lines (#1.2, #2.1, #4.1, #4.2, #6.1) from 4 independent T1s (#1, #2, #4, 306 307 #6), all of which were homozygous for *hbf1* mutations and homozygous or biallelic for *hbf2* 308 (Supplemental Figure 5C). All lines were double *hbf1hbf2* loss-of-function mutants, except line #4.1 309 which contained a homozygous -27 bp in-frame deletion at the HBF1 locus, likely not causing loss of 310 gene function (Supplemental Figure 5C). We measured their flowering time under LD (14.5 h light) and 311 after growth for 8 weeks under LD followed by SD (10 h light). Under both conditions, all hbf1 hbf2 312 double loss-of-function mutants flowered earlier compared to the wild type (Figure 5H-K), but flowering 313 was not accelerated in line #4.1. These data indicate that loss of 9 amino acids (EDFLVKAGV before 314 the bZIP domain) in the HBF1 protein likely does not affect its function. They further indicate that the 315 *hbf2* mutation does not additively contribute to the phenotype caused by single *hbf1* mutations. As 316 opposed to the effect of the *hbf1-1* allele in Dongjin, the Nipponbare *hbf1 hbf2* CRISPR mutants showed 317 predominantly accelerated flowering under LD (~13 days was the largest difference observed between 318 line #1.2 and the WT), rather than under SD (the same line #1.2 flowered ~5 days earlier than the WT). 319 We attribute these differences to the different sensitivity of Dongjin and Nipponbare to loss of HBF1 320 function.

321

322 HBF1 can bind the *Ehd1* promoter

Expression of *Ehd1* is dependent upon HBF1 activity. The *Ehd1* promoter region was scanned in search of conserved motifs recognized by bZIP TFs, and we found 3 CACGTC motifs that are characteristic of Abscisic Acid Response Elements (ABRE) and G-boxes (Li and Dubcovsky, 2008) (Supplemental Figure 5D). As expected by the central position of *Ehd1* in flowering regulatory networks, many other 327 motifs were identified in its promoter region spanning 1.5 kb upstream of the ATG (Supplemental Figure 328 5D). The possibility of a direct interaction between HBF1 and the *Ehd1* promoter was assessed using 329 Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA). The HBF1 protein was purified and incubated with a 330 Cy5-labelled oligonucleotide identical to the region of the *Ehd1* promoter containing the ABRE, located 331 at -482 bp (Supplemental Figure 5D). HBF1 binding to this oligonucleotide resulted in a band shift 332 (Figure 6D). Addition of an excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide reveresd the shift of the fluorescent 333 probe. However, no band shift could be detected when HBF1 was incubated with a promoter fragment 334 containing a CArG-box, demonstrating that HBF1 binding to the ABRE-containing region was specific 335 (Figure 6D). No ABREs or G-boxes were identified by scanning the Hd3a or RFT1 promoters, although 336 indirect binding of HBF1 to these genes cannot be completely excluded.

337

338 HBF1 represses transcription of OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 in the shoot apical meristem

339 The *HBF1* and *HBF2* transcripts could be identified in both leaves and SAMs, suggesting that they are 340 expressed in both florigen-producing and -receiving tissues. Their overexpression delayed flowering, and 341 in leaves it reduced mRNA expression of Hd3a and RFT1. Whether these proteins also had a role in the 342 SAM to control flowering or gene expression was tested by misexpression studies. To this end, the 343 promoter of ORYZA SATIVA HOMEOBOX 1 (proOSH1) was cloned and used to drive expression of 344 *HBF1*. *OSH1* is expressed in undifferentiated cells of the SAM but not in organ primordia arising from 345 it (Itoh et al., 2000; Sentoku et al., 1999). Transgenic proOSH1:HBF1 rice plants that overexpressed 346 *HBF1* were produced. Transcriptional analysis of leaves and SAMs of T2 lines indicated that expression 347 driven by the OSH1 promoter was effective at increasing expression of HBF1 at the SAM but not in 348 leaves (Figure 6A). The same plants had delayed flowering by few days compared to non-transgenic 349 segregating controls (Figure 6B). Our dissection of SAMs included also some of the youngest leaf 350 primordia arising from the meristem; however, the OSH1 promoter is not active in this tissue (Tsuda et 351 al., 2011). Thus, we conclude that the flowering delay is caused by increased expression of *HBF1* in 352 meristematic cells. Transcripts of Hd3a and RFT1 were not expressed at the meristem; therefore, 353 although we cannot fully exclude the expression of other FT-like genes, feedback regulation of these 354 florigens is likely not occurring at the apex.

355 Finally, the expression of *OsMADS14* and *OsMADS15* was found to be significantly reduced in SAMs

356 (Figure 6C). These data indicate that *HBF1* at least, can repress flowering and expression of inflorescence

- 357 identity genes at the SAM, and therefore has a dual transcriptional repressive function in distinct plant
- 358 compartments.

359

360 **Discussion**

361 Dexamethasone treatment of plants expressing inducible versions of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* indicated the 362 existence of transcriptional repression of the florigens mediated by a feedback negative loop.

363 Thus, we propose a modification of the rice (Oryza sativa) floral induction model to include an auto-364 regulatory loop centered on *Hd3a* and *RFT1*. The florigens regulate their own expression in leaves by 365 forming distinct FACs with several OsbZIP proteins (Figure 7). These complexes can either promote or 366 repress *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* depending on the interacting bZIP. In particular, OsFD1 acts as 367 transcriptional activator in leaves, whereas the closely related HBFs repress expression of the florigens 368 in the same tissue. Thus, Hd3a and RFT1 proteins can engage into both florigen activation and repression 369 complexes. Binding of HBF1 to the promoter of *Ehd1* further provides molecular evidence for feedback regulation of the florigens. The preference of RFT1 and Hd3a to interact with OsFD1 or the HBFs can 370 371 be driven by relative expression patterns or modifications of OsFD1 and the HBFs under different 372 growing conditions. Both the *HBF1* and *HBF2* transcripts are expressed in the SAM as well, and tissue-373 specific overexpression of *HBF1* at least, could reduce the expression of targets of the FAC at the apex. 374 These data identify a previously unknown function for the rice florigens in leaves, and suggests the 375 existence of a regulatory layer limiting Hd3a and RFT1 signaling to fine tune production of the florigens 376 in leaves and their effect on gene regulatory networks at the apical meristem.

377

378 The rice florigens act in leaves to regulate their own expression

379 A growing number of studies demonstrate that FT-like proteins are involved in a wide range of 380 developmental processes, including tuberization (Navarro et al., 2011), bulbing (Lee et al., 2013), 381 stomatal opening (Kinoshita et al., 2011), leaf curling (Teper-Bamnolker and Samach, 2005), vegetative 382 growth in trees (Hsu et al., 2011), plant architecture in tomato (Park et al., 2014), and tillering in rice 383 (Tsuji et al., 2015). In many such instances, they function in tissues different from the SAM. However, 384 FT-like proteins have been most prominently described in the context of flowering time control in 385 response to environmental cues. During this process, they act as long distance flowering promoters 386 produced in leaves and translocated to the SAM, inducing developmental switches upon the formation 387 of a FAC (Lifschitz et al., 2006; Corbesier et al., 2007; Mathieu et al., 2007; Tamaki et al., 2007). The 388 data presented in this study suggest that a FAC can form also in rice leaves to activate expression of the 389 same targets normally transcribed in the SAM. That a FAC is active also in leaves was initially suggested 390 by experiments in Arabidopsis (Teper-Bamnolker and Samach, 2005). Expression of FT or Tomato FT 391 (*TFT*) in transgenic Arabidopsis plants from the viral 35S promoter caused leaf curling that could be 392 suppressed by mutating *FD*, *SEP3* or *FUL*. These data indicated that a FAC formed in leaves under 393 specific conditions could perturb leaf development by promoting transcription of targets usually 394 expressed at the SAM (Teper-Bamnolker and Samach, 2005).

395 Whether a FAC has any biologically relevant function in leaves of Arabidopsis remains to be clarified. 396 However, the identification of Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 as targets of florigen-containing complexes in 397 leaves of rice suggests that one function of these complexes is feed-back tuning of the expression of some 398 of its own components. In particular, by reducing transcription of *Ehd1*, florigen repressor complexes 399 can indirectly limit expression of Hd3a and RFT1, downstream targets of Ehd1 (Doi et al., 2004; Zhao 400 et al., 2015). Since seasonal expression of the rice florigens is transient and is strongly reduced upon 401 completion of the floral transition, a plausible biological role for this auto-regulatory loop could be to 402 switch off transcription of the florigens upon floral commitment. Alternatively (or in parallel), it could 403 fine tune the production of Hd3a and RFT1 during photoperiodic induction (Gómez-Ariza et al., 2015; 404 Ogiso-Tanaka et al., 2013). More data will be required to distinguish between these possibilities and 405 validate them but it is clear that reproductive commitment requires a tight balance between flowering 406 promoting and repressive complexes, whose equilibrium could be controlled by modulating the 407 expression levels of distinct bZIPs by developmental or environmental factors (Tang et al., 2016; Wu et 408 al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016), or by controlling their activity through phosphorylation (Kagaya et al., 409 2002; Choi et al., 2005; Furihata et al., 2006). Indeed, phosphorylation of OsFD transcription factors is 410 required for binding to 14-3-3 proteins and is limiting to FAC function (Taoka et al., 2011).

411 Auto-regulatory motifs are likely very common in gene regulatory networks, but can be identified and 412 studied only by quantifying endogenous transcripts in plants expressing transgenic copies of the same 413 gene or its closely related homologues. Such approach has led to the identification of a loop regulating 414 StSP6A expression, encoding a tuberigen, the mobile protein causing tuber formation at the apical 415 meristem of potato stolons, and sharing high sequence similarity with Hd3a (Navarro et al., 2011). A 416 similar auto-regulatory loop in the expression of an endogenous florigen has been recently reported in 417 Chrysanthemum, where transcriptional induction of CsFTL3 required a complex formed by CsFTL3 and 418 CsFDL1 proteins (Higuchi et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that regulatory loops involving two FT-like 419 proteins are also very common among Angiosperms. The FT-like SP5G proteins of potato and tomato 420 inhibit expression of the SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS (SFT) florigen and of StSP6A, respectively 421 (Abelenda et al., 2016; Sovk et al., 2016). Similar modules in which an FT-like protein inhibits 422 developmental transitions by repressing a second FT-like gene have been reported also for flowering in sugar beet (Pin et al., 2010; Higuchi et al., 2013) and bulbing in onion (Lee et al., 2013). In rice, both auto-regulatory and relay mechanisms between Hd3a and RFT1 are possible under inductive conditions, when both proteins are expressed. Their differential ability to directly bind to HBFs might underlie differences in their capacity to take part in positive or negative relay mechanisms, but this type of cross regulation is difficult to dissect genetically, because of the redundancy between these factors. However, in general, auto-regulatory and relay mechanisms among florigen-like proteins are emerging as very common modules controlling developmental switches.

430

431 Florigen-containing complexes exhibit combinatorial properties

432 Florigen activation complexes from several species have a modular structure where distinct bZIP proteins 433 can interact with different FT-like proteins in a combinatorial fashion (Sussmilch et al., 2015; Tsuji et 434 al., 2013). Temporal and spatial dynamics of complex formation highly expand the regulatory 435 possibilities of such complexes to control plant development. In rice leaves, Hd3a and RFT1 can form 436 complexes displaying transcriptional promoting or repressive activity depending on the interacting bZIP. 437 Since HBF1, HBF2 and OsFD1 do not heterodimerize, they cannot be part of the same complex, in 438 agreement with their opposite functions. Additionally, since HBF1 and HBF2 do not interact with each 439 other, they are possibly part of independent complexes.

440 Different examples in plants suggest that the functional specificity of these regulatory complexes can be 441 provided by the bZIP as well as the FT-like protein. In rice, branching of shoots and altered panicle 442 architecture are induced upon overexpression of OsFD2 (Tsuji et al., 2013). This bZIP can interact with 443 Hd3a, and the interaction is bridged by the Gf14b protein. Given that OsFD2 controls patterns of 444 vegetative growth, it could be speculated that FACs are active during distinct phases of the plant life 445 cycle and not only during reproduction. Additionally, it raises the interesting possibility that complexes 446 dynamically changing the Gf14 protein component might take on different roles. However, functional 447 studies with Gf14 mutants are complicated by their pleiotropy and essential nature (Purwestri et al., 448 2009).

In hybrid aspen, overexpression of FDL1 but not FDL2 delays bud set and growth cessation, indicating
FDL1 specificity for these developmental processes. However, both FDLs could interact with FT1 and
FT2 to activate downstream targets in transient heterologous systems (Tylewicz et al., 2015). In these
examples, specificity is likely contributed by the FD-like transcription factor.

453 Conversely, distinct PEBP components binding to the same bZIP protein can switch its function. 454 Arabidopsis FD can interact with FT but also with TFL1, to form a flowering repressive complex 455 (Hanano and Goto, 2011; Ho and Weigel, 2014). Similar interaction patterns are also possible in tomato 456 between SP3G/SPP, an FD homolog, and the TFL1-like protein SELF PRUNING (SP) or the SFT 457 florigen, where the balance between complexes regulates shoot architecture and, ultimately, yield (Pnueli et al., 2001; Park et al., 2014). Finally, the floral transition in Arabidopsis axillary meristems is controlled 458 459 by the TCP transcription factor BRANCHED1, directly interacting with the PEBPs FT and TWIN 460 SISTER OF FT (TSF) but not with TFL1 (Niwa et al., 2013). Overall, these patterns indicate that a basal 461 conserved module can be repurposed in distantly related species to control several developmental 462 programs, and that plasticity in complex assembly determines the balance between developmental 463 programs.

464

465 Methods

466 Plant materials

The *hbf1-1* mutant corresponds to the Salk line PFG_2D-00885 in the cultivar Donjing. Homozygous TDNA insertional mutants were selected using primers listed in Supplemental Table 1. The cultivar
Nipponbare was used in all other experiments.

470

471 Growth conditions, sampling and quantification of gene expression

472 Plants (Oryza sativa) were grown under LD (14.5 h light/9.5 h dark or 16 h light/8 h dark) or SD 473 conditions (10 h light/14 h dark) in Conviron PGR15 growth chambers. Light was provided by T8 474 fluorescent and halogen incandescent lamps. Light intensity was adjusted to level 3 for both sets of lamps, 475 resulting in ~450µmol/m²/s. Plant material was collected from the distal part of mature leaves, from at 476 least three plants/time point, at ZTO. Only for the experiments described in Figure 5E-G and in 477 Supplemental Figure 4C-D, plants were sampled at ZT20 under SD, as this time point corresponds to 478 peak expression of *Ehd1*. Only for the data described in Figure 5A and 5B, all samples were quantified 479 in the same experiments and then split into separate graphs for clarity of presentation. For SAM sampling, 480 at least five apices/sample were manually dissected under a stereomicroscope using scalpels. Sample 481 included the meristem, the two younger leaf primordia arising from it, as well as part of the rib meristem. 482 RNA was extracted from leaves using the TRIzol® reagent (Thermofisher Scientific), and from SAMs 483 using the NucleoSpin® RNA Plant kit (Macherey-Nagel). To prepare and quantify cDNAs, the RNA 484 was retro-transcribed using the ImProm-II reverse transcriptase (Promega), and the Maxima SYBR qPCR 485 master mix (Termofisher Scientific) was used to measure gene expression in a Mastercycler Real Plex² 486 (Eppendorf). All primers used in RT-qPCR experiments have an annealing temperature of 60°C. For quantification of transcripts of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* endogenous mRNAs, *Ehd1*, *OsMADS14*, *OsMADS15*and *UBQ*, we used primers described in Galbiati et al., 2016, and Gomez-Ariza et al., 2015. All other
primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

490

491 **Construction of transgenic plants and DEX treatments**

492 The OsbZIP coding sequences were amplified from leaf or SAM cDNAs using primers listed in 493 Supplemental Table 1, and subsequently cloned in pDONR207 (Invitrogen). Plant expression vectors 494 were obtained by Gateway[®] cloning, recombining the cds after the ACTIN promoter in the pH2GW7 495 plasmid. The Hd3a and RFT1 cds were amplified from leaves of Nipponbare with primers Os1-Os2, 496 Os3-Os2, respectively. The *pINDEX2* vector was used for DEX-inducible expression of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* 497 (Ouwerkerk et al., 2001), but it was first turned into a Gateway®-compatible (Invitrogen) destination 498 vector by blunt cutting with PmII and insertion of an EcoRV-digested Gateway RFC cassette. A 499 proOSH1:Gateway destination construct was generated cloning a 1.5Kb promoter fragment using 500 primers Os 6 and Os 7 (Supplemental Table 1). The *pINDEX4* vector and *proOSH1* were then cut using 501 MunI and MluI and ligated to create pINDEX4 proOSH1. The RFA gateway cassette was inserted into 502 the proOSH1 pINDEX4 vector after blunt cutting using EcorV and StuI. Subsequently, the DEX 503 inducible cassette was removed by blunt cutting using SwaI and BbrPI and self-ligation of the vector. 504 The *proOSH1:HBF1* vector was generated by LR recombination (Invitrogen).

For rice transformation, embryogenic calli were produced from Nipponbare seeds, prepared and transformed according to the protocol of Sahoo et al., 2011, using the EHA105 strain of *A. tumefaciens*. Transgenic plants were selected on 50 mg/L and 100 mg/L hygromycin during selection I and II, respectively. Gene expression of *Hd3a* and *RFT1* was induced by leaf-spray with 10 μ m DEX solution + 0.2% Tween, in transgenic homozygous T3 plants. DEX treatments were performed at ZT8 and sampling was done 16 h later at ZT0. Induction efficiency was assessed by RT-qPCR on leaves using primers specific for the *Hd3a* or *RFT1* coding sequences.

512

513 **Protein–protein interaction studies**

For yeast-2-hybrid studies, the coding sequences were cloned into the vectors pGADT7 and pGBKT7 (Clontech) Gateway® (Invitrogen) and transformed into AH109 and Y187 yeast strains, respectively. Interactions were tested by mating and growth of diploid yeast on selective -L-W-H medium supplemented with 3-aminotriazole (3AT). BiFC experiments were performed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* epidermal cells with the vectors pBAT TL-B sYFP-N and pBAT TL-B sYFP-C. FRET-FLIM 519 experiments were performed in *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells transformed with the β -estradiol

- 520 inducible vectors pABIND-GFP and pABIND-mCherry (Bleckmann et al., 2010; Somssich et al., 2015).
- 521 β-estradiol induction of the transgenes was performed with 20 μM β-estradiol and 0.1 % Tween20 4-6
- 522 hours before measurements. FRET-FLIM measurements were performed on 10 co-transformed nuclei at
- 523 least and mean, standard deviation and p-value (Student's t test) of the donor lifetime for the various sets
- 524 of experiments was calculated, as described by Stahl et al., 2013.
- 525

526 GST-pull down

527 The GST-Hd3a and GTS-GF14c fusion proteins were obtained by recombining the cds into pDEST15 528 (Invitrogen), expressing them using BL21 (DE3) cells (Invitrogen) and purifying them with Glutathione 529 Sepharose 4b® (Sigma). The concentration of each fusion protein was determined using Bradford assays. 530 Equal amounts of GST-fusion proteins and GST were incubated in TIF buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM 531 Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1% NP40, 10% glycerol) and added to 2 ml of clarified bacterial lysate of 532 BL21 (DE3) cells expressing HBF1 and HBF2 proteins fused to MBP (pMAL vector adapted to Gateway 533 system). The bacterial lysate was obtained by sonication of a bacterial pellet resuspended in TIF buffer 534 supplemented with cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail® (Roche). The reaction mixture was incubated 535 for 2 h at 4°C under gentle rotation. After three washes with TIF buffer and 2 washes with PBS buffer, the resins were resuspended with SDS-PAGE loading buffer and eluted at 99°C for 5 min. The eluted 536 537 proteins were resolved in 10% SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis was performed using a monoclonal 538 anti-MBP HRP-conjugated antibody (BioLabs).

539

540 **Phylogenetic analysis**

541 Sequences of bZIP proteins were retrieved from public databases and aligned using the CLC Genomics 542 Workbench program with the following parameters: Gap open cost = 20.0; Gap extension cost = 10.0 543 End gap cost = As any other; Alignment mode = Very accurate. An unrooted phylogenetic tree was 544 created on the alignment using the Neighbor Joining algorithm. Distances were measured using the 545 Jukes-Cantor model. Bootstrap values are indicated at each node based on 1000 replicates. Sequence 546 alignments are reported in Supplemental Data Set 1.

547

548 CRISPR-Cas9 editing

The CRISPR-Cas9 vector was previously described (Miao et al., 2013). The sgRNA oligo (Os_934) targeting both *HBF1* and *HBF2* was designed based on the first exon of both genes, upstream of the

region encoding the bZIP domain and expressed in transgenic Nipponbare. Transformation was performed as described above. The *HBF1* and *HBF2* loci in the regenerating plants were amplified and sequenced using primers Os_551-Os_338 and Os_976-Os_553 respectively, to identify the mutations introduced by non-homologous end joining. The same primers were used to genotype the subsequent plant generations.

556

557 Electromobility shift Assays

558 Consensus sequences in the *Ehd1* promoter (1.5 kb upstream of the ATG) were identified using the Nsite 559 software (Shahmuradov and Solovyev, 2014). The sequences of the ABRE and CArG-box containing 560 primers are shown in Supplemental Table 1. The HBF1 protein fused to Maltose Binding Protein (MBP) 561 was expressed in the *E. coli* Rosetta strain and purified to homogeneity by passing it through a maltose 562 column followed by an ion exchange step (MonoQ). Binding of HBF1 to the *Ehd1* promoter was tested 563 using 25 pmol of Cy5-labeled DNA duplexes (either ABRE or CArG-box sequences, Supplemental 564 Table 1) mixed with 150 pmol of the purified protein in 20 mM trisHCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl. In the 565 competition studies, the mixture was supplemented with increasing amounts (1:2 to 1:25 molar ratio) of 566 unlabeled DNA. Precast Novex TBE gels (Thermofisher Scientific) were used for the electrophoretic 567 run.

568

569 Accession Numbers

570 Sequence data from this article can be found in the Rice MSU Genome Annotation Release 7 under the 571 following accession numbers: LOC_Os06g06320.1 (Hd3a), LOC_Os06g06300 (RFT1), 572 LOC Os08g33370 (Gf14c), LOC Os09g36910 (OsFD1), LOC 05g41070 (HBF1), LOC Os01g59760 573 (HBF2), LOC_07g48660 (bZIP62), LOC_0s06g16370.1 (Hd1), LOC_0s10g32600.1 (Ehd1), 574 LOC_Os07g15770.1 (Ghd7), LOC_Os07g49460.1 (PRR37), LOC_Os03g54160.1 (OsMADS14), 575 LOC Os07g01820.1 (OsMADS15).

576 Supplemental Data

577 Supplemental Figure 1. Expression of FAC components and FAC targets in leaves.

578

579 Supplemental Figure 2. Independent Hd3a or RFT1 DEX-inducible transgenic lines show a range

580 of Hd3a or RFT1 DEX-dependent induction and downregulation of Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1

- 581 endogenous expression.
- 582

583	Supplemental Figure 3. Selection of bZIP transcription factors putatively forming a
584	transcriptional complex with the florigens.
585	
586	Supplemental Figure 4. Analysis of the <i>hbf1-1</i> mutant.
587	
588	Supplemental Figure 5. Analysis of <i>hbf1 hbf2</i> CRISPR mutants and of the <i>HBF1</i> promoter.
589	
590	Supplemental Table 1. Primers used in this study.
591	
592	Supplemental Data set 1. Text file of the alignment used for the phylogenetic analysis shown in
593	Supplemental Figure 3A.
594	
595	Supplemental File 1. Anova tables.
596	
597	Author Contributions
598	V.B., Rü.S. and F.F. designed the research. V.B., D.M., D.G., M.S., M.d.R., M.C, F.G, R.S., F.L.
599	performed research. V.B and F.F. wrote the paper.
600	
601	Acknowledgments
602	We thank Ludovico Dreni for providing the proACTIN overexpression vector, and Jin Miao and Li-Jia
603	Qu for providing the rice CRISPR-Cas9 vectors. This work was supported by an ERC Starting Grant
604	(#260963) to FF. The authors declare no conflict of interest.
605	
606	References
607	Abelenda, J., Cruz-Oró, E., Franco-Zorrilla, J., and Prat, S. (2016). Potato StCONSTANS-like1
608	Suppresses Storage Organ Formation by Directly Activating the FT-like StSP5G Repressor. Curr.
609	Biol. 26 : 872–881.
610	Andrés, F. and Coupland, G. (2012). The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. Nat.
611	Rev. Genet. 13: 627–39.
612	Berezin, M.Y. and Achilefu, S. (2010). Fluorescence Lifetime Measurements and Biological Imaging.
613	Chem. Rev. (Washington, DC, U. S.) 110: 2641–2684.
614	Bleckmann, A., Weidtkamp-Peters, S., Seidel, C. a M., and Simon, R. (2010). Stem cell signaling

- 615 in Arabidopsis requires CRN to localize CLV2 to the plasma membrane. Plant Physiol. 152: 166–
 616 76.
- Brambilla, V. and Fornara, F. (2013). Molecular control of flowering in response to day length in
 rice. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 55: 410–8.
- Cho, L.-H., Yoon, J., Pasriga, R., and An, G. (2016). Homodimerization of Ehd1 is required to
 induce flowering in rice. Plant Physiol. 170: pp.01723.2015.
- Choi, H., Park, H.-J., Park, J.H., Kim, S., Im, M.-Y., Seo, H.-H., Kim, Y.-W., Hwang, I., and
 Kim, S.Y. (2005). Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinase AtCPK32 interacts with ABF4,
 a transcriptional regulator of abscisic acid-responsive gene expression, and modulates its activity.
 Plant Physiol. 139: 1750–1761.
- Corbesier, L., Vincent, C., Jang, S., Fornara, F., Fan, Q., Searle, I., Giakountis, A., Farrona, S.,
 Gissot, L., Turnbull, C., and Coupland, G. (2007). FT Protein Movement Contributes to Long Distance Signaling in Floral Induction of Arabidopsis. Science 316: 1030–1033.
- Danilevskaya, O.N., Meng, X., Hou, Z., Ananiev, E. V., and Simmons, C.R. (2008). A Genomic
 and Expression Compendium of the Expanded PEBP Gene Family from Maize. Plant Physiol.
 146: 250–264.
- Doi, K., Izawa, T., Fuse, T., Yamanouchi, U., Kubo, T., Shimatani, Z., Yano, M., and Yoshimura,
 A. (2004). Ehd1, a B-type response regulator in rice, confers short-day promotion of flowering
 and controls FT-like gene expression independently of Hd1. Genes Dev. 18: 926–36.
- 634 Furihata, T., Maruyama, K., Fujita, Y., Umezawa, T., Yoshida, R., Shinozaki, K., and
- 635 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2006). Abscisic acid-dependent multisite phosphorylation regulates
 636 the activity of a transcription activator AREB1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 1988–1993.
- 637 Galbiati, F., Chiozzotto, R., Locatelli, F., Spada, A., Genga, A., and Fornara, F. (2016). Hd3a,
- RFT1 and Ehd1 integrate photoperiodic and drought stress signals to delay the floral transition in
 rice. Plant. Cell Environ. **39**: 1982–1993.
- Gómez-Ariza, J., Galbiati, F., Goretti, D., Brambilla, V., Shrestha, R., Pappolla, A., Courtois, B.,
 and Fornara, F. (2015). Loss of floral repressor function adapts rice to higher latitudes in Europe.
 J. Exp. Bot. 66: 2027–39.
- 643 Goretti, D., Martignago, D., Landini, M., Brambilla, V., Gomez-Ariza, J., Gnesutta, N., Galbiati,
- 644 F., Collani, S., Takagi, H., Terauchi, R., Mantovani, R., and Fornara, F. (2017).
- Transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms limit Heading Date 1 (Hd1) function to adapt
- rice to high latitudes. PLoS Genet. **13**: e1006530.

Hanano, S. and Goto, K. (2011). Arabidopsis TERMINAL FLOWER1 is involved in the regulation of
flowering time and inflorescence development through transcriptional repression. Plant Cell 23:
3172–84.

Higuchi, Y., Narumi, T., Oda, A., Nakano, Y., Sumitomo, K., Fukai, S., and Hisamatsu, T. (2013).
 The gated induction system of a systemic floral inhibitor, antiflorigen, determines obligate short-

day flowering in chrysanthemums. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **110**: 17137–17142.

- Ho, W.W.H. and Weigel, D. (2014). Structural features determining flower-promoting activity of
 Arabidopsis FLOWERING LOCUS T. Plant Cell 26: 552–64.
- Hsu, C.-Y. et al. (2011). FLOWERING LOCUS T duplication coordinates reproductive and vegetative
 growth in perennial poplar. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108: 10756–61.
- Itoh, J.I., Kitano, H., Matsuoka, M., and Nagato, Y. (2000). Shoot organization genes regulate shoot
 apical meristem organization and the pattern of leaf primordium initiation in rice. Plant Cell 12:
 2161–74.
- Izawa, T., Foster, R., and Chua, N.H. (1993). Plant bZIP protein DNA binding specificity. J. Mol.
 Biol. 230: 1131–1144.
- Jang, S., Choi, S.C., Li, H.Y., An, G., and Schmelzer, E. (2015). Functional characterization of
 phalaenopsis aphrodite flowering genes PaFT1 and PaFD. PLoS One 10.

Jang, S., Li, H.-Y., and Kuo, M.-L. (2017). Ectopic expression of Arabidopsis FD and FD
 PARALOGUE in rice results in dwarfism with size reduction of spikelets. Sci. Rep. 7: 44477.

Jaudal, M., Zhang, L., Che, C., and Putterill, J. (2015). Three Medicago MtFUL genes have distinct

- and overlapping expression patterns during vegetative and reproductive development and
- 35S:MtFULb accelerates flowering and causes a terminal flower phenotype in Arabidopsis. Front.
 Genet. 6: 50.
- Jeon, J.S. et al. (2000). T-DNA insertional mutagenesis for functional genomics in rice. Plant J. 22:
 561–570.
- Kagaya, Y., Hobo, T., Murata, M., Ban, A., and Hattori, T. (2002). Abscisic acid-induced
 transcription is mediated by phosphorylation of an abscisic acid response element binding factor,
 TRAB1. Plant Cell 14: 3177–89.
- 675 Kinoshita, T., Ono, N., Hayashi, Y., Morimoto, S., Nakamura, S., Soda, M., Kato, Y., Ohnishi,
- M., Nakano, T., Inoue, S.I., and Shimazaki, K.I. (2011). FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates
 stomatal opening. Curr. Biol. 21: 1232–1238.
- 678 Kobayashi, K., Yasuno, N., Sato, Y., Yoda, M., Yamazaki, R., Kimizu, M., Yoshida, H.,

- 679 **Nagamura, Y., and Kyozuka, J.** (2012). Inflorescence Meristem Identity in Rice Is Specified by
- 680 Overlapping Functions of Three AP1/FUL-Like MADS Box Genes and PAP2, a SEPALLATA
- 681 MADS Box Gene. Plant Cell **24**: 1848–1859.
- 682 Kojima, S., Takahashi, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Monna, L., Sasaki, T., Araki, T., and Yano, M. (2002).
- Hd3a, a rice ortholog of the Arabidopsis FT gene, promotes transition to flowering downstream of
 Hd1 under short-day conditions. Plant Cell Physiol. 43: 1096–105.
- Komiya, R., Ikegami, A., Tamaki, S., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2008). Hd3a and RFT1 are
 essential for flowering in rice. Development 135: 767–774.
- Komiya, R., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2009). A gene network for long-day flowering activates
 RFT1 encoding a mobile flowering signal in rice. Development 136: 3443–3450.
- Lee, R., Baldwin, S., Kenel, F., McCallum, J., and Macknight, R. (2013). FLOWERING LOCUS T
 genes control onion bulb formation and flowering. Nat. Commun. 4: 2884.
- Li, C. and Dubcovsky, J. (2008). Wheat FT protein regulates VRN1 transcription through interactions
 with FDL2. Plant J. 55: 543–554.
- Li, C., Lin, H., and Dubcovsky, J. (2015). Factorial combinations of protein interactions generate a
 multiplicity of florigen activation complexes in wheat and barley. Plant J. 84: 70–82.
- Lifschitz, E., Eviatar, T., Rozman, A., Shalit, A., Goldshmidt, A., Amsellem, Z., Alvarez, J.P., and
 Eshed, Y. (2006). The tomato *FT* ortholog triggers systemic signals that regulate growth and
 flowering and substitute for diverse environmental stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 6398–
 6403.
- 699 Litt, A. and Irish, V.F. (2003). Duplication and Diversification in the APETALA1/FRUITFULL
- Floral Homeotic Gene Lineage: Implications for the Evolution of Floral Development. Genetics
 165: 821–833.
- Mathieu, J., Warthmann, N., Küttner, F., and Schmid, M. (2007). Export of FT protein from
 phloem companion cells is sufficient for floral induction in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 17: 1055–60.
- Miao, J., Guo, D., Zhang, J., Huang, Q., Qin, G., Zhang, X., Wan, J., Gu, H., and Qu, L.-J.
- 705 (2013). Targeted mutagenesis in rice using CRISPR-Cas system. Cell Res. 23: 1233–6.
- Mimida, N., Kidou, S.-I., Iwanami, H., Moriya, S., Abe, K., Voogd, C., Varkonyi-Gasic, E., and
 Kotoda, N. (2011). Apple FLOWERING LOCUS T proteins interact with transcription factors
 implicated in cell growth and organ development. Tree Physiol. 31: 555–66.
- 709 Muszynski, M.G., Dam, T., Li, B., Shirbroun, D.M., Hou, Z., Bruggemann, E., Archibald, R.,
- 710 Ananiev, E. V, and Danilevskaya, O.N. (2006). delayed flowering1 Encodes a basic leucine

- 711 zipper protein that mediates floral inductive signals at the shoot apex in maize. Plant Physiol. 142:
 712 1523–1536.
- Navarro, C., Abelenda, J.A., Cruz-Oró, E., Cuéllar, C.A., Tamaki, S., Silva, J., Shimamoto, K.,
 and Prat, S. (2011). Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING
 LOCUS T. Nature 478: 119–122.
- Niwa, M., Daimon, Y., Kurotani, K., Higo, A., Pruneda-Paz, J.L., Breton, G., Mitsuda, N., Kay,
 S.A., Ohme-Takagi, M., Endo, M., and Araki, T. (2013). BRANCHED1 interacts with
- FLOWERING LOCUS T to repress the floral transition of the axillary meristems in Arabidopsis.
 Plant Cell 25: 1228–42.
- /19 Plant Cell **25**: 1228–42.
- Ogiso-Tanaka, E., Matsubara, K., Yamamoto, S., Nonoue, Y., Wu, J., Fujisawa, H., Ishikubo, H.,
 Tanaka, T., Ando, T., Matsumoto, T., and Yano, M. (2013). Natural Variation of the RICE
- FLOWERING LOCUS T 1 Contributes to Flowering Time Divergence in Rice. PLoS One 8:e75959.
- Ouwerkerk, P.B., de Kam, R.J., Hoge, J.H., and Meijer, A.H. (2001). Glucocorticoid-inducible
 gene expression in rice. Planta 213: 370–8.
- Park, S.J., Jiang, K., Tal, L., Yichie, Y., Gar, O., Zamir, D., Eshed, Y., and Lippman, Z.B. (2014).
 Optimization of crop productivity in tomato using induced mutations in the florigen pathway. Nat.
 Genet. 46: 1337–42.
- Pin, P.A., Benlloch, R., Bonnet, D., Wremerth-Weich, E., Kraft, T., Gielen, J.J.L., and Nilsson, O.
 (2010). An Antagonistic Pair of FT Homologs Mediates the Control of Flowering Time in Sugar
 Beet. Science 330: 1397–1400.
- 732 Pnueli, L., Gutfinger, T., Hareven, D., Ben-Naim, O., Ron, N., Adir, N., and Lifschitz, E. (2001).
- Tomato SP-interacting proteins define a conserved signaling system that regulates shoot
 architecture and flowering. Plant Cell 13: 2687–702.
- Purwestri, Y.A., Ogaki, Y., Tamaki, S., Tsuji, H., and Shimamoto, K. (2009). The 14-3-3 protein
 GF14c acts as a negative regulator of flowering in rice by interacting with the florigen Hd3a. Plant
 Cell Physiol. 50: 429–438.
- 738 Randoux, M., Davière, J.-M., Jeauffre, J., Thouroude, T., Pierre, S., Toualbia, Y., Perrotte, J.,

739 Reynoird, J.-P., Jammes, M.-J., Hibrand-Saint Oyant, L., and Foucher, F. (2014). RoKSN, a

- 740 floral repressor, forms protein complexes with RoFD and RoFT to regulate vegetative and
- reproductive development in rose. New Phytol. **202**: 161–173.
- 742 Reinke, A.W., Baek, J., Ashenberg, O., and Keating, A.E. (2013). Networks of bZIP protein-protein

- interactions diversified over a billion years of evolution. Science **340**: 730–734.
- Sahoo, K.K., Tripathi, A.K., Pareek, A., Sopory, S.K., and Singla-Pareek, S.L. (2011). An
 improved protocol for efficient transformation and regeneration of diverse indica rice cultivars.
 Plant Methods 7: 49.
- Schütze, K., Harter, K., and Chaban, C. (2008). Post-translational regulation of plant bZIP factors.
 Trends Plant Sci. 13: 247–255.
- Sentoku, N., Sato, Y., Kurata, N., Ito, Y., Kitano, H., and Matsuoka, M. (1999). Regional
 Expression of the Rice KN1-Type Homeobox Gene Family during Embryo, Shoot, and Flower
 Development. Plant Cell 11: 1651–1664.
- Shahmuradov, I.A. and Solovyev, V. V. (2014). Nsite, NsiteH and NsiteM computer tools for
 studying transcription regulatory elements. Bioinformatics 31: 3544–3545.
- Somssich, M., Ma, Q., Weidtkamp-Peters, S., Stahl, Y., Felekyan, S., Bleckmann, A., Seidel,
 C.A.M., and Simon, R. (2015). Real-time dynamics of peptide ligand-dependent receptor
 complex formation in planta. Sci. Signal. 8: ra76-ra76.
- Soyk, S., Mueller, N.A., Park, S.J., Schmalenbach, I., Jiang, K., Hayama, R., Zhang, L., Van Eck,
 J., Jim?nez-G?mez, J.M., and Lippman, Z.B. (2016). Variation in the flowering gene SELF

PRUNING 5G promotes day-neutrality and early yield in tomato. Nat. Genet. **49**: 162–168.

- Stahl, Y. et al. (2013). Moderation of arabidopsis root stemness by CLAVATA1 and ARABIDOPSIS
 CRINKLY4 receptor kinase complexes. Curr. Biol. 23: 362–371.
- Sussmilch, F.C., Berbel, A., Hecht, V., Vander Schoor, J.K., Ferrándiz, C., Madueño, F., and
 Weller, J.L. (2015). Pea VEGETATIVE2 Is an FD Homolog That Is Essential for Flowering and
 Compound Inflorescence Development. Plant Cell 27: 1046–60.
- Tamaki, S., Matsuo, S., Wong, H.L., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2007). Hd3a Protein Is a
 Mobile Flowering Signal in Rice. Science. 316: 1033–1036.
- 767 Tamaki, S., Tsuji, H., Matsumoto, A., Fujita, A., Shimatani, Z., Terada, R., Sakamoto, T.,
 768 Kurata, T., and Shimamoto, K. (2015). FT-like proteins induce transposon silencing in the shoot
 769 apex during floral induction in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112: E901-10.
- Tang, N. et al. (2016). MODD mediates deactivation and degradation of OsbZIP46 to negatively
 regulate ABA signaling and drought resistance in rice. Plant Cell 28: tpc.00171.2016.
- Taoka, K. et al. (2011). 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for rice Hd3a florigen. Nature
 476: 332–5.
- 774 Teo, C.-J., Takahashi, K., Shimizu, K., Shimamoto, K., and Taoka, K. (2017). Potato Tuber

- Induction is Regulated by Interactions Between Components of a Tuberigen Complex. Plant Cell
 Physiol. 58: 365–374.
- Teper-Bamnolker, P. and Samach, A. (2005). The flowering integrator FT regulates SEPALLATA3
 and FRUITFULL accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Cell 17: 2661–75.
- Tsuda, K., Ito, Y., Sato, Y., and Kurata, N. (2011). Positive Autoregulation of a KNOX Gene Is
 Essential for Shoot Apical Meristem Maintenance in Rice. Plant Cell 23: 4368–4381.
- Tsuji, H., Nakamura, H., Taoka, K., and Shimamoto, K. (2013). Functional diversification of FD
 transcription factors in rice, components of florigen activation complexes. Plant Cell Physiol. 54:
 385–97.
- Tsuji, H., Tachibana, C., Tamaki, S., Taoka, K.-I., Kyozuka, J., and Shimamoto, K. (2015). Hd3a
 promotes lateral branching in rice. Plant J. 82: 256–66.
- Tylewicz, S., Tsuji, H., Miskolczi, P., Petterle, A., Azeez, A., Jonsson, K., Shimamoto, K., and
 Bhalerao, R.P. (2015). Dual role of tree florigen activation complex component FD in
- photoperiodic growth control and adaptive response pathways. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112:
 3140–5.
- Wickland, D. and Hanzawa, Y. (2015). The FLOWERING LOCUS T/TERMINAL FLOWER1 Gene
 Family: Functional Evolution and Molecular Mechanisms. Mol. Plant 8: 983–997.

Wigge, P.A., Kim, M.C., Jaeger, K.E., Busch, W., Schmid, M., Lohmann, J.U., and Weigel, D.
(2005). Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in Arabidopsis.
Science 309: 1056–9.

- Wu, J., Zhu, C., Pang, J., Zhang, X., Yang, C., Xia, G., Tian, Y., and He, C. (2014). OsLOL1, a
 C2C2-type zinc finger protein, interacts with OsbZIP58 to promote seed germination through the
 modulation of gibberellin biosynthesis in Oryza sativa. Plant J. 80: 1118–1130.
- Zhang, C., Liu, J., Zhao, T., Gomez, A., Li, C., Yu, C., Li, H., Lin, J., Yang, Y., Liu, B., and Lin,
 C. (2016). A Drought-inducible bZIP Transcription Factor OsABF1 Delays Reproductive Timing
 in Rice. Plant Physiol. 171: 334–343.
- Zhao, J., Chen, H., Ren, D., Tang, H., Qiu, R., Feng, J., Long, Y., Niu, B., Chen, D., Zhong, T.,
- 802 Liu, Y.-G., and Guo, J. (2015). Genetic interactions between diverged alleles of Early heading
- date 1 (Ehd1) and Heading date 3a (Hd3a) / RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T1 (RFT1) control
- differential heading and contribute to regional adaptation in rice (Oryza sativa). New Phytol.
- **208**: 936–948.
- 806

807 Figure Legends

808 Figure 1. Overexpression of OsFD1 in leaves induces transcription of targets of the FAC. (A-C)

809 Expression of OsFD1 (A), OsMADS14 (B) and OsMADS15 (C) in leaves of transgenic proACT:OsFD1

- 810 plants. Plants were grown under LD (14.5 h light) for 6 weeks and then shifted to SD (10 h light). Leaves
- 811 were collected at ZT0 after 6 and 13 days after shift to SD (DAS, days after shift). UBIQUITIN (UBQ)
- 812 was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented as mean \pm st.dev. E-n=
- $x 10^{-n}$. ANOVA tests for graphs in A, B and C are shown in Supplemental File 1.
- 814

815 Figure 2. Expression of OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 in leaves is dependent upon expression of Hd3a

816 and *RFT1*. (A) Schematics of the inducible system used in this study. The GVG chimeric protein is 817 expressed under the GOS2 promoter, to produce the inducible part of the vector. The Hd3a or RFT1 818 coding sequences are cloned under the control of the 4x UPSTREAM ACTIVATION SEQUENCE (UAS), 819 to produce the effector component of the vector. T indicates the terminator. (B-E) Expression of Hd3a 820 (B), RFT1 (C), OsMADS14 (D) and OsMADS15 (E) in leaves of DEX-inducible transgenic plants grown 821 under LD. Leaves were harvested at ZTO. GVG:Hd3a and GVG:RFT1 indicate DEX-inducible Hd3a and 822 *RFT1* overexpressing lines, respectively. Two independent transgenic lines are shown for each construct. 823 Plants were either DEX- or mock-treated and transcripts were quantified using primers designed on the 824 coding sequences. UBIOUITIN (UBO) was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented as mean ± st.dev. xE-n= x 10⁻ⁿ. ANOVA tests for graphs in B, C, D and E are shown in 825 826 Supplemental File 1.

- 827
- 828

829 Figure 3. A negative feedback loop independent of OsFD1 reduces Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 830 expression during floral induction in leaves. (A-D) DEX-induced overexpression of Hd3a (A, B) or 831 *RFT1* (C, D) causes strong increase of Hd3a (A) or *RFT1* (C) transcript accumulation from transgenic 832 sequences, but downregulation of Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 endogenous transcripts, compared to mock-833 treated controls (B, D). (E-F) Two independent transgenic proACT:OsFD1 lines show increased 834 expression of OsFD1 (E) and of Ehd1, Hd3a and RFT1 in leaves compared to the wild type (F). DEX 835 was applied at 13 DAS, and leaf samples were collected at ZT0, 16h later. proACT:OsFD1 plants were 836 collected at ZT0 and 12 DAS. Leaves from 10 plants per treatment were sampled. UBQ was used as 837 standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented by mean \pm st.dev. Primers on Hd3a 838 or *RFT1* coding sequences or on the 3'UTRs were used to distinguish transgenic+endogenous (A, C) from endogenous transcripts, respectively (B, D). ANOVA tests for graphs in A-F are shown inSupplemental File 1.

- 841
- 842

843 Figure 4. HBF1 and HBF2 interact with GF14c and directly with Hd3a. (A) Yeast-two-hybrid assays 844 between Hd3a, RFT1 and Gf14c fused to the binding domain (BD) and HBF1 or HBF2 fused to the 845 activation domain (AD) of Gal4. Colonies were grown on selective -L-W-H medium supplemented with 846 10mM 3AT. (B) BiFC assays showing restored YFP fluorescence in nuclei upon co-expression of Hd3a-847 YFP C with HBF1-YFP N, HBF2-YFP N or OsbZIP62-YFP N. Bar, 10 µm. (C) FRET-FLIM 848 measurements of the Hd3a-GFP donor lifetime in the presence of the acceptors OsFD1-mCherry (no 849 FRET), HBF1-mCherry, HBF2-mCherry or OsbZIP62-mCherry. The average lifetime of 10 transformed 850 nuclei per measurement is shown \pm st. dev. An asterisk indicates significance for p < 0.0003 (Student's 851 t-test). (**D**) Color code indicating the lifetime of GFP at each pixel in one representative nucleus for the 852 interactions shown in (C). For the interaction between Hd3a and OsbZIP62 two adjacent cells are shown, 853 where only the left nucleus (arrow) co-expresses both constructs, while the right one expresses only 854 Hd3a-GFP. Accordingly, shortened lifetime is observed only in the left nucleus. (E) GST-pull down assay showing interactions between MBP-HBF1 and MBP-HBF2 with GST-Gf14c and GST-Hd3a, but not 855 856 with GST alone. An immunoblot using an anti-MBP antibody is shown. Protein sizes are MBP-HBF1: 857 79.5 kDa, MBP-HBF2: 79.5 kDa. Resin loading control is shown in Supplemental Figure 3E. ANOVA 858 test for graph in C is shown in Supplemental File 1.

- 859
- 860

861 Figure 5. HBF1 and HBF2 encode floral repressors repressing Ehd1 expression. (A, B) 862 Quantification of mRNA levels of Ehdl, Hd3a and RFT1 in leaves of proACT:HBF1 (A) and 863 proACT:HBF2 (B) overexpression plants grown for 8 weeks under LD (16 h light) and then shifted to 864 SD (10 h light). UBO was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented 865 by mean \pm st.dev. (C) Days to heading of wild type, *proACT:HBF1*, *proACT:HBF2* and *proACT:OsFD1* 866 overexpressors grown for 8 weeks under LD (16 h light) and then shifted to SD (10 h light). (D) Heading 867 dates of wild type (Dongjin) and *hbf1-1* mutants grown under continuous LD (14.5 h light) or continuous 868 SD (10 h light). (E-G) Expression of Ehd1 (E), Hd3a (F) and RFT1 (G) in hbf1-1 mutant plants compared 869 to the wild type. mRNA levels are shown at 10 and 17 days after shifting plants from LD to SD (H-K). 870 Nipponbare wild type and T2 *hbf1 hbf2* CRISPR mutants grown under continuous LD (14.5 h light) (H) or shifted from LD (16 h light) to SD (10 h light) 8 weeks after sowing (I). Arrowheads indicate the emerging panicles. (J, K) Quantification of heading dates in the same plants as in H and I, respectively (n indicates the number of plants scored). Asterisks indicate p < 0.05 in an unpaired two tailed Student's t-test. E-n= x 10⁻ⁿ. The detailed genotypes of the mutants are reported in Supplemental Figure 5C. ANOVA tests for graphs in A-G, J and K are shown in Supplemental File 1.

- 876
- 877

878 Figure 6. HBF1 represses flowering at the SAM. (A) Quantification of HBF1 expression in SAMs and 879 leaves of plants misexpressing HBF1 from the OSH1 promoter. Two independent transgenic lines are 880 shown. (B) Heading dates of *proOSH1:HBF1* transgenic plants grown for 8 weeks under LD (16 h light) 881 and then shifted to SD (10 h light) (n indicates the number of plants scored). Asterisks indicate p < 0.05882 in an unpaired two tailed Student's t-test. (C) Quantification of OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 expression 883 in SAMs of transgenic *proOSH1:HBF1* plants. Samples in A and C were collected from apical meristems 884 grown under LD and then exposed to 12 inductive SD. UBO was used as standard for quantification of 885 gene expression. All data are represented by mean \pm st.dev. E-n= x 10⁻ⁿ. (**D**) EMSA between MBP-HBF1 and ABRE-Cy5 (lanes 1-4) and HBF1 and CArG-box-Cy5 (lane 6). The specificity of interaction between 886 887 HBF1 and ABRE-Cy5 was tested by incubation with increasing amounts of unlabeled oligonucleotides (labelled/unlabelled oligonucleotide ratios 1:2, 1:5, 1:25). HBF1 was incubated with an oligonucleotide 888 889 containing a CArG-box-Cy5 (lanes 5 and 6) as a negative control. FP, free probe. ANOVA tests for 890 graphs in A-C are shown in Supplemental File 1.

891

892 Figure 7. Combinatorial circuitry controlling production of and response to florigenic proteins in 893 rice. In leaves Hd3a and RFT1 can promote expression of *Ehd1* by forming a canonical FAC with OsFD1 894 and Gf14c, and they can repress it by interacting with HBFs. Hd3a can interact directly with HBFs, 895 whereas RFT1 might interact indirectly with HBFs through GF14c. Binding of HBF1 to the *Ehd1* 896 promoter is direct. Upon translocation to the meristem, Hd3a and RFT1 proteins can promote 897 transcription of OsMADS target genes by forming a canonical FAC. HBF1 at least can repress 898 transcription of the same targets by forming a repressive FAC. Gray arrows and flat-end arrows indicate 899 transcriptional activation and repression, respectively. Connectors indicate protein-protein interactions. 900 Thick, black flat-end arrows indicate direct repression by protein–DNA binding. Dashed arrows indicate 901 protein movement.

902

903 Table 1. Targeted yeast two-hybrid analysis between Hd3a, RFT1, Gf14c and selected OsbZIPs.

Interaction strength is shown as the highest 3-amino-triazole (3AT) concentration on which diploid colonies could grow when plated on selective medium. A minus indicates no interaction. n.t., not tested. BD fusions were expressed in yeast strain Y187 (mat α) and AD fusions were expressed in yeast AH109 (matA). Diploid yeast was produced by mating. Growth was observed after 6 days at 30°C.

- 908
- 909

		AD clones									
		Hd3a	RFT1	Gf14c	OsFD1	OsbZIP69/ OsFD4	OsbZIP24/ OsFD3	OsbZIP62	OsbZIP9/ HBF2	OsbZIP42/ HBF1	Empty AD
BD clones	Hd3a	-	-	20	-	-	-	15	20	20	-
	RFT1	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Gf14c	-	-	20	20	-	15	10	20	20	-
	OsFD1	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	n.t.	-
	OsbZIP69/	-	-	-	-	20	20	-	-	-	-
	OsFD4										
	OsbZIP24/	-	-	15	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
	OsFD3										
	OsbZIP62	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	n.t.	-	-
	OsbZIP9/	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	n.t.	-	-
	HBF2										
	OsbZIP42/	10	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	n.t.	-
	HBF1										
	Empty BD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

910



Figure 1. Overexpression of *OsFD1* in leaves induces transcription of targets of the FAC. (A-C) Expression of *OsFD1* (A), *OsMADS14* (B) and *OsMADS15* (C) in leaves of transgenic *proACT:OsFD1* plants. Plants were grown under LD (14.5 h light) for 6 weeks and then shifted to SD (10 h light). Leaves were collected at ZTO after 6 and 13 days after shift to SD (DAS, days after shift). *UBIQUITIN* (*UBQ*) was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented as mean \pm st.dev. E-n= x 10⁻ⁿ.



Figure 2. Expression of OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 in leaves is dependent upon expression of Hd3a and RFT1. (A) Schematics of the inducible system used in this study. The GVG chimeric protein is expressed under the GOS2 promoter, to produce the inducible part of the vector. The Hd3a or RFT1 coding sequences are cloned under the control of the 4xUPSTREAM ACTIVATION SEQUENCE (UAS), to produce the effector component of the vector. T indicates the terminator. (B-E) Expression of Hd3a (B), RFT1 (C), OsMADS14 (D) and OsMADS15 (E) in leaves of DEX-inducible transgenic plants grown under LD. Leaves were harvested at ZT0. GVG:Hd3a and GVG:RFT1 indicate DEX-inducible Hd3a and RFT1 overexpressing lines, respectively. Two independent transgenic lines are shown for each construct. Plants were either DEX- or mock-treated and transcripts were quantified using primers designed on the coding sequences. UBIQUITIN (UBQ) was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented as mean \pm st.dev. xE-n= x 10⁻ⁿ.



Figure 3. A negative feedback loop independent of *OsFD1* reduces *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* expression during floral induction in leaves. (A-D) DEX-induced overexpression of *Hd3a* (A, B) or *RFT1* (C, D) causes strong increase of *Hd3a* (A) or *RFT1* (C) transcript accumulation from transgenic sequences, but downregulation of *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* endogenous transcripts, compared to mock-treated controls (B, D). (E-F) Two independent transgenic *proACT:OsFD1* lines show increased expression of *OsFD1* (E) and of *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* in leaves compared to the wild type (F). DEX was applied at 13 DAS, and leaf samples were collected at ZT0, 16h later. *proACT:OsFD1* plants were collected at ZT0 and 12 DAS. Leaves from 10 plants per treatment were sampled. *UBQ* was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented by mean \pm st.dev. Primers on *Hd3a* or *RFT1* coding sequences or on the 3'UTRs were used to distinguish transgenic+endogenous (A, C) from endogenous transcripts, respectively (B, D).



Figure 4. HBF1 and HBF2 interact with GF14c and directly with Hd3a. (A) Yeast-two-hybrid assays between Hd3a, RFT1 and Gf14c fused to the binding domain (BD) and HBF1 or HBF2 fused to the activation domain (AD) of Gal4. Colonies were grown on selective -L-W-H medium supplemented with 10mM 3AT. (B) BiFC assays showing restored YFP fluorescence in nuclei upon co-expression of Hd3a-YFP C with HBF1-YFP N, HBF2-YFP N or OsbZIP62-YFP N. Bar, 10 μ m. (C) FRET-FLIM measurements of the Hd3a-GFP donor lifetime in the presence of the acceptors OsFD1-mCherry (no FRET), HBF1-mCherry, HBF2-mCherry or OsbZIP62-mCherry. The average lifetime of 10 transformed nuclei per measurement is shown ± st. dev. An asterisk indicates significance for p < 0.0003 (Student's t-test). (D) Color code indicating the lifetime of GFP at each pixel in one representative nucleus for the interactions shown in (C). For the interaction between Hd3a and OsbZIP62 two adjacent cells are shown, where only the left nucleus (arrow) co-expresses both constructs, while the right one expresses only Hd3a-GFP. Accordingly, shortened lifetime is observed only in the left nucleus. (E) GST-pull down assay showing interactions between MBP-HBF1 and MBP-HBF2 with GST-Gf14c and GST-Hd3a, but not with GST alone. An immunoblot using an anti-MBP antibody is shown. Protein sizes are MBP-HBF1: 79.5 kDa, MBP-HBF2: 79.5 kDa. Resin loading control is shown in Supplemental Figure 3E.



Figure 5. *HBF1* and *HBF2* encode floral repressors repressing *Ehd1* expression. (A, B) Quantification of mRNA levels of *Ehd1*, *Hd3a* and *RFT1* in leaves of *proACT:HBF1* (A) and *proACT:HBF2* (B) overexpression plants grown for 8 weeks under LD (16 h light) and then shifted to SD (10 h light). *UBQ* was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. Data are represented by mean \pm st.dev. (C) Days to heading of wild type, *proACT:HBF1*, *proACT:HBF2* and *proACT:OsFD1* overexpressors grown for 8 weeks under LD (16 h light) and then shifted to SD (10 h light). (D) Heading dates of wild type (Dongjin) and *hbf1-1* mutants grown under continuous LD (14.5 h light) or continuous SD (10 h light). (E-G) Expression of *Ehd1* (E), *Hd3a* (F) and *RFT1* (G) in *hbf1-1* mutant plants compared to the wild type. mRNA levels are shown at 10 and 17 days after shifting plants from LD to SD (H-K). Nipponbare wild type and T2 *hbf1 hbf2* CRISPR mutants grown under continuous LD (14.5 h light) (H) or shifted from LD (16 h light) to SD (10 h light) 8 weeks after sowing (I). Arrowheads indicate the emerging panicles. (J, K) Quantification of heading dates in the same plants as in H and I, respectively (n indicates the number of plants scored). Asterisks indicate p < 0.05 in an unpaired two tailed Student's t-test. E-n= x 10⁻ⁿ. The detailed genotypes of the mutants are reported in Supplemental Figure 5C.



Figure 6. HBF1 represses flowering at the SAM. (A) Quantification of *HBF1* expression in SAMs and leaves of plants misexpressing *HBF1* from the *OSH1* promoter. Two independent transgenic lines are shown. (B) Heading dates of *proOSH1:HBF1* transgenic plants grown for 8 weeks under LD (16 h light) and then shifted to SD (10 h light) (n indicates the number of plants scored). Asterisks indicate p < 0.05 in an unpaired two tailed Student's t-test. (C) Quantification of *OsMADS14* and *OsMADS15* expression in SAMs of transgenic *proOSH1:HBF1* plants. Samples in A and C were collected from apical meristems grown under LD and then exposed to 12 inductive SD. *UBQ* was used as standard for quantification of gene expression. All data are represented by mean \pm st.dev. E-n= x 10⁻ⁿ. (D) EMSA between MBP-HBF1 and *ABRE*-Cy5 (lanes 1-4) and HBF1 and *CArG*-box-Cy5 (lane 6). The specificity of interaction between HBF1 and *ABRE*-Cy5 was tested by incubation with increasing amounts of unlabeled oligonucleotides (labelled/unlabelled oligonucleotide ratios 1:2, 1:5, 1:25). HBF1 was incubated with an oligonucleotide containing a *CArG*-box-Cy5 (lanes 5 and 6) as a negative control. FP, free probe.



Figure 7. Combinatorial circuitry controlling production of and response to florigenic proteins in rice. In leaves Hd3a and RFT1 can promote expression of *Ehd1* by forming a canonical FAC with OsFD1 and Gf14c, and they can repress it by interacting with HBFs. Hd3a can interact directly with HBFs, whereas RFT1 might interact indirectly with HBFs through GF14c. Binding of HBF1 to the *Ehd1* promoter is direct. Upon translocation to the meristem, Hd3a and RFT1 proteins can promote transcription of *OsMADS* target genes by forming a canonical FAC. HBF1 at least can repress transcription of the same targets by forming a repressive FAC. Gray arrows and flat-end arrows indicate transcriptional activation and repression, respectively. Connectors indicate protein–protein interactions. Thick, black flat-end arrows indicate direct repression by protein–DNA binding. Dashed arrows indicate protein movement.

Parsed Citations

Abelenda, J., Cruz-Oró, E., Franco-Zorrilla, J., and Prat, S. (2016). Potato StCONSTANS-like1 Suppresses Storage Organ Formation by Directly Activating the FT-like StSP5G Repressor. Curr. Biol. 26: 872–881.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Andrés, F. and Coupland, G. (2012). The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13: 627-39.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Berezin, M.Y. and Achilefu, S. (2010). Fluorescence Lifetime Measurements and Biological Imaging. Chem. Rev. (Washington, DC, U. S.) 110: 2641–2684.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bleckmann, A, Weidtkamp-Peters, S., Seidel, C. a M., and Simon, R. (2010). Stem cell signaling in Arabidopsis requires CRN to localize CLV2 to the plasma membrane. Plant Physiol. 152: 166–76.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Brambilla, V. and Fornara, F. (2013). Molecular control of flowering in response to day length in rice. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 55: 410-8.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Cho, L.-H., Yoon, J., Pasriga, R., and An, G. (2016). Homodimerization of Ehd1 is required to induce flowering in rice. Plant Physiol. 170: pp.01723.2015.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Choi, H., Park, H.-J., Park, J.H., Kim, S., Im, M.-Y., Seo, H.-H., Kim, Y.-W., Hwang, I., and Kim, S.Y. (2005). Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinase AtCPK32 interacts with ABF4, a transcriptional regulator of abscisic acid-responsive gene expression, and modulates its activity. Plant Physiol. 139: 1750–1761.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Corbesier, L., Vincent, C., Jang, S., Fornara, F., Fan, Q., Searle, I., Giakountis, A, Farrona, S., Gissot, L., Turnbull, C., and Coupland, G. (2007). FT Protein Movement Contributes to Long-Distance Signaling in Floral Induction of Arabidopsis. Science 316: 1030–1033.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Danilevskaya, O.N., Meng, X., Hou, Z., Ananiev, E. V., and Simmons, C.R. (2008). A Genomic and Expression Compendium of the Expanded PEBP Gene Family from Maize. Plant Physiol. 146: 250–264.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Doi, K., Izawa, T., Fuse, T., Yamanouchi, U., Kubo, T., Shimatani, Z, Yano, M., and Yoshimura, A (2004). Ehd1, a B-type response regulator in rice, confers short-day promotion of flowering and controls FT-like gene expression independently of Hd1. Genes Dev. 18: 926–36.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Furihata, T., Maruyama, K., Fujita, Y., Umezawa, T., Yoshida, R., Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2006). Abscisic aciddependent multisite phosphorylation regulates the activity of a transcription activator AREB1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 1988–1993.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Galbiati, F., Chiozzotto, R., Locatelli, F., Spada, A, Genga, A, and Fornara, F. (2016). Hd3a, RFT1 and Ehd1 integrate photoperiodic and drought stress signals to delay the floral transition in rice. Plant. Cell Environ. 39: 1982–1993.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u> Gómez-Ariza, J., Galbiati, F., Goretti, D., Brambilla, V., Shrestha, R., Pappolla, A., Courtois, B., and Fornara, F. (2015). Loss of floral repressor function adapts rice to higher latitudes in Europe. J. Exp. Bot. 66: 2027–39.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Goretti, D., Martignago, D., Landini, M., Brambilla, V., Gomez-Ariza, J., Gnesutta, N., Galbiati, F., Collani, S., Takagi, H., Terauchi, R., Mantovani, R., and Fornara, F. (2017). Transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms limit Heading Date 1 (Hd1) function to adapt rice to high latitudes. PLoS Genet. 13: e1006530.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Hanano, S. and Goto, K. (2011). Arabidopsis TERMINAL FLOWER1 is involved in the regulation of flowering time and inflorescence development through transcriptional repression. Plant Cell 23: 3172–84.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Higuchi, Y., Narumi, T., Oda, A., Nakano, Y., Sumitomo, K., Fukai, S., and Hisamatsu, T. (2013). The gated induction system of a systemic floral inhibitor, antiflorigen, determines obligate short-day flowering in chrysanthemums. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110: 17137–17142.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Ho, W.W.H. and Weigel, D. (2014). Structural features determining flower-promoting activity of Arabidopsis FLOWERING LOCUS T. Plant Cell 26: 552–64.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Hsu, C.-Y. et al. (2011). FLOWERING LOCUS T duplication coordinates reproductive and vegetative growth in perennial poplar. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 108: 10756–61.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Itoh, J.I., Kitano, H., Matsuoka, M., and Nagato, Y. (2000). Shoot organization genes regulate shoot apical meristem organization and the pattern of leaf primordium initiation in rice. Plant Cell 12: 2161–74.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Izawa, T., Foster, R., and Chua, N.H. (1993). Plant bZIP protein DNA binding specificity. J. Mol. Biol. 230: 1131–1144.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jang, S., Choi, S.C., Li, H.Y., An, G., and Schmelzer, E. (2015). Functional characterization of phalaenopsis aphrodite flowering genes PaFT1 and PaFD. PLoS One 10.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jang, S., Li, H.-Y., and Kuo, M.-L. (2017). Ectopic expression of Arabidopsis FD and FD PARALOGUE in rice results in dwarfism with size reduction of spikelets. Sci. Rep. 7: 44477.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jaudal, M., Zhang, L., Che, C., and Putterill, J. (2015). Three Medicago MtFUL genes have distinct and overlapping expression patterns during vegetative and reproductive development and 35S:MtFULb accelerates flowering and causes a terminal flower phenotype in Arabidopsis. Front. Genet. 6: 50.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jeon, J.S. et al. (2000). T-DNA insertional mutagenesis for functional genomics in rice. Plant J. 22: 561–570.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kagaya, Y., Hobo, T., Murata, M., Ban, A., and Hattori, T. (2002). Abscisic acid-induced transcription is mediated by phosphorylation of an abscisic acid response element binding factor, TRAB1. Plant Cell 14: 3177–89. Pubmed: Author and Title Kinoshita, T., Ono, N., Hayashi, Y., Morimoto, S., Nakamura, S., Soda, M., Kato, Y., Ohnishi, M., Nakano, T., Inoue, S.I., and Shimazaki, K.I. (2011). FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates stomatal opening. Curr. Biol. 21: 1232–1238.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kobayashi, K., Yasuno, N., Sato, Y., Yoda, M., Yamazaki, R., Kimizu, M., Yoshida, H., Nagamura, Y., and Kyozuka, J. (2012). Inflorescence Meristem Identity in Rice Is Specified by Overlapping Functions of Three AP1/FUL-Like MADS Box Genes and PAP2, a SEPALLATA MADS Box Gene. Plant Cell 24: 1848–1859.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kojima, S., Takahashi, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Monna, L., Sasaki, T., Araki, T., and Yano, M. (2002). Hd3a, a rice ortholog of the Arabidopsis FT gene, promotes transition to flowering downstream of Hd1 under short-day conditions. Plant Cell Physiol. 43: 1096–105.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Komiya, R., Ikegami, A., Tamaki, S., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2008). Hd3a and RFT1 are essential for flowering in rice. Development 135: 767–774.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Komiya, R., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2009). A gene network for long-day flowering activates RFT1 encoding a mobile flowering signal in rice. Development 136: 3443–3450.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lee, R., Baldwin, S., Kenel, F., McCallum, J., and Macknight, R. (2013). FLOWERING LOCUS T genes control onion bulb formation and flowering. Nat. Commun. 4: 2884.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Li, C. and Dubcovsky, J. (2008). Wheat FT protein regulates VRN1 transcription through interactions with FDL2. Plant J. 55: 543–554.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Li, C., Lin, H., and Dubcovsky, J. (2015). Factorial combinations of protein interactions generate a multiplicity of florigen activation complexes in wheat and barley. Plant J. 84: 70–82.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lifschitz, E., Eviatar, T., Rozman, A, Shalit, A, Goldshmidt, A, Amsellem, Z, Alvarez, J.P., and Eshed, Y. (2006). The tomato FT ortholog triggers systemic signals that regulate growth and flowering and substitute for diverse environmental stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 6398–6403.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Litt, A and Irish, V.F. (2003). Duplication and Diversification in the APETALA1/FRUITFULL Floral Homeotic Gene Lineage: Implications for the Evolution of Floral Development. Genetics 165: 821–833.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Mathieu, J., Warthmann, N., Küttner, F., and Schmid, M. (2007). Export of FT protein from phloem companion cells is sufficient for floral induction in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 17: 1055–60.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Miao, J., Guo, D., Zhang, J., Huang, Q., Qin, G., Zhang, X., Wan, J., Gu, H., and Qu, L.-J. (2013). Targeted mutagenesis in rice using CRISPR-Cas system. Cell Res. 23: 1233–6.

Mimida, N., Kidou, S.-I., Iwanami, H., Moriya, S., Abe, K., Voogd, C., Varkonyi-Gasic, E., and Kotoda, N. (2011). Apple FLOWERING LOCUS T proteins interact with transcription factors implicated in cell growth and organ development. Tree Physiol. 31: 555–66. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Muszynski, M.G., Dam, T., Li, B., Shirbroun, D.M., Hou, Z, Bruggemann, E., Archibald, R., Ananiev, E. V, and Danilevskaya, O.N. (2006). delayed flowering1 Encodes a basic leucine zipper protein that mediates floral inductive signals at the shoot apex in maize. Plant Physiol. 142: 1523–1536.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Navarro, C., Abelenda, J.A., Cruz-Oró, E., Cuéllar, C.A., Tamaki, S., Silva, J., Shimamoto, K., and Prat, S. (2011). Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING LOCUS T. Nature 478: 119–122.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Niwa, M., Daimon, Y., Kurotani, K., Higo, A., Pruneda-Paz, J.L., Breton, G., Mitsuda, N., Kay, S.A., Ohme-Takagi, M., Endo, M., and Araki, T. (2013). BRANCHED1 interacts with FLOWERING LOCUS T to repress the floral transition of the axillary meristems in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25: 1228–42.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Ogiso-Tanaka, E., Matsubara, K., Yamamoto, S., Nonoue, Y., Wu, J., Fujisawa, H., Ishikubo, H., Tanaka, T., Ando, T., Matsumoto, T., and Yano, M. (2013). Natural Variation of the RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T 1 Contributes to Flowering Time Divergence in Rice. PLoS One 8: e75959.

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ouwerkerk, P.B., de Kam, R.J., Hoge, J.H., and Meijer, AH. (2001). Glucocorticoid-inducible gene expression in rice. Planta 213: 370-8.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Park, S.J., Jiang, K., Tal, L., Yichie, Y., Gar, O., Zamir, D., Eshed, Y., and Lippman, Z.B. (2014). Optimization of crop productivity in tomato using induced mutations in the florigen pathway. Nat. Genet. 46: 1337–42.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Pin, P.A., Benlloch, R., Bonnet, D., Wremerth-Weich, E., Kraft, T., Gielen, J.J.L., and Nilsson, O. (2010). An Antagonistic Pair of FT Homologs Mediates the Control of Flowering Time in Sugar Beet. Science 330: 1397–1400.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Pnueli, L., Gutfinger, T., Hareven, D., Ben-Naim, O., Ron, N., Adir, N., and Lifschitz, E. (2001). Tomato SP-interacting proteins define a conserved signaling system that regulates shoot architecture and flowering. Plant Cell 13: 2687–702.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Purwestri, Y.A, Ogaki, Y., Tamaki, S., Tsuji, H., and Shimamoto, K. (2009). The 14-3-3 protein GF14c acts as a negative regulator of flowering in rice by interacting with the florigen Hd3a. Plant Cell Physiol. 50: 429–438.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Randoux, M., Davière, J.-M., Jeauffre, J., Thouroude, T., Pierre, S., Toualbia, Y., Perrotte, J., Reynoird, J.-P., Jammes, M.-J., Hibrand-Saint Oyant, L., and Foucher, F. (2014). RoKSN, a floral repressor, forms protein complexes with RoFD and RoFT to regulate vegetative and reproductive development in rose. New Phytol. 202: 161–173.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Reinke, AW., Baek, J., Ashenberg, O., and Keating, AE. (2013). Networks of bZIP protein-protein interactions diversified over a billion years of evolution. Science 340: 730–734.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u> Sahoo, K.K., Tripathi, A.K., Pareek, A., Sopory, S.K., and Singla-Pareek, S.L. (2011). An improved protocol for efficient transformation and regeneration of diverse indica rice cultivars. Plant Methods 7: 49.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Schütze, K., Harter, K., and Chaban, C. (2008). Post-translational regulation of plant bZIP factors. Trends Plant Sci. 13: 247–255.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Sentoku, N., Sato, Y., Kurata, N., Ito, Y., Kitano, H., and Matsuoka, M. (1999). Regional Expression of the Rice KN1-Type Homeobox Gene Family during Embryo, Shoot, and Flower Development. Plant Cell 11: 1651–1664.

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shahmuradov, I.A. and Solovyev, V. V. (2014). Nsite, NsiteH and NsiteM computer tools for studying transcription regulatory elements. Bioinformatics 31: 3544–3545.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Somssich, M., Ma, Q., Weidtkamp-Peters, S., Stahl, Y., Felekyan, S., Bleckmann, A, Seidel, C.A.M., and Simon, R. (2015). Real-time dynamics of peptide ligand-dependent receptor complex formation in planta. Sci. Signal. 8: ra76-ra76.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Soyk, S., Mueller, N.A., Park, S.J., Schmalenbach, I., Jiang, K., Hayama, R., Zhang, L., Van Eck, J., Jim?nez-G?mez, J.M., and Lippman, Z.B. (2016). Variation in the flowering gene SELF PRUNING 5G promotes day-neutrality and early yield in tomato. Nat. Genet. 49: 162–168.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Stahl, Y. et al. (2013). Moderation of arabidopsis root stemness by CLAVATA1 and ARABIDOPSIS CRINKLY4 receptor kinase complexes. Curr. Biol. 23: 362–371.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Sussmilch, F.C., Berbel, A, Hecht, V., Vander Schoor, J.K., Ferrándiz, C., Madueño, F., and Weller, J.L. (2015). Pea VEGETATIVE2 Is an FD Homolog That Is Essential for Flowering and Compound Inflorescence Development. Plant Cell 27: 1046–60.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tamaki, S., Matsuo, S., Wong, H.L., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2007). Hd3a Protein Is a Mobile Flowering Signal in Rice. Science. 316: 1033–1036.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tamaki, S., Tsuji, H., Matsumoto, A., Fujita, A., Shimatani, Z., Terada, R., Sakamoto, T., Kurata, T., and Shimamoto, K. (2015). FT-like proteins induce transposon silencing in the shoot apex during floral induction in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 112: E901-10.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tang, N. et al. (2016). MODD mediates deactivation and degradation of OsbZIP46 to negatively regulate ABA signaling and drought resistance in rice. Plant Cell 28: tpc.00171.2016.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Taoka, K. et al. (2011). 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for rice Hd3a florigen. Nature 476: 332-5.

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Teo, C.-J., Takahashi, K., Shimizu, K., Shimamoto, K., and Taoka, K. (2017). Potato Tuber Induction is Regulated by Interactions Between Components of a Tuberigen Complex. Plant Cell Physiol. 58: 365–374.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Teper-Bamnolker, P. and Samach, A (2005). The flowering integrator FT regulates SEPALLATA3 and FRUITFULL accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Cell 17: 2661–75.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tsuda, K., Ito, Y., Sato, Y., and Kurata, N. (2011). Positive Autoregulation of a KNOX Gene Is Essential for Shoot Apical Meristem Maintenance in Rice. Plant Cell 23: 4368–4381.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tsuji, H., Nakamura, H., Taoka, K., and Shimamoto, K. (2013). Functional diversification of FD transcription factors in rice, components of florigen activation complexes. Plant Cell Physiol. 54: 385–97.

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tsuji, H., Tachibana, C., Tamaki, S., Taoka, K.-I., Kyozuka, J., and Shimamoto, K. (2015). Hd3a promotes lateral branching in rice. Plant J. 82: 256–66.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only</u> <u>Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tylewicz, S., Tsuji, H., Miskolczi, P., Petterle, A, Azeez, A, Jonsson, K., Shimamoto, K., and Bhalerao, R.P. (2015). Dual role of tree florigen activation complex component FD in photoperiodic growth control and adaptive response pathways. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 112: 3140–5.

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wickland, D. and Hanzawa, Y. (2015). The FLOWERING LOCUS T/TERMINAL FLOWER1 Gene Family: Functional Evolution and Molecular Mechanisms. Mol. Plant 8: 983–997.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Wgge, P.A., Kim, M.C., Jaeger, K.E., Busch, W., Schmid, M., Lohmann, J.U., and Weigel, D. (2005). Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in Arabidopsis. Science 309: 1056–9.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Wu, J., Zhu, C., Pang, J., Zhang, X., Yang, C., Xia, G., Tian, Y., and He, C. (2014). OsLOL1, a C2C2-type zinc finger protein, interacts with OsbZIP58 to promote seed germination through the modulation of gibberellin biosynthesis in Oryza sativa. Plant J. 80: 1118–1130.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Zhang, C., Liu, J., Zhao, T., Gomez, A, Li, C., Yu, C., Li, H., Lin, J., Yang, Y., Liu, B., and Lin, C. (2016). A Drought-inducible bZIP Transcription Factor OsABF1 Delays Reproductive Timing in Rice. Plant Physiol. 171: 334–343.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Zhao, J., Chen, H., Ren, D., Tang, H., Qiu, R., Feng, J., Long, Y., Niu, B., Chen, D., Zhong, T., Liu, Y.-G., and Guo, J. (2015). Genetic interactions between diverged alleles of Early heading date 1 (Ehd1) and Heading date 3a (Hd3a) / RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T1 (RFT1) control differential heading and contribute to regional adaptation in rice (Oryza sativa). New Phytol. 208: 936–948.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Antagonistic Transcription Factor Complexes Modulate the Floral Transition in Rice Vittoria Brambilla, Damiano Martignago, Daniela Goretti, Martina Cerise, Marc Somssich, Matteo de Rosa, Francesca Galbiati, Roshi Shrestha, Federico Lazzaro, Rüdiger Simon and Fabio Fornara *Plant Cell*; originally published online October 17, 2017; DOI 10.1105/tpc.17.00645

This information is current as of November 6, 2017

Supplemental Data	/content/suppl/2017/10/17/tpc.17.00645.DC1.html
Permissions	https://www.copyright.com/ccc/openurl.do?sid=pd_hw1532298X&issn=1532298X&WT.mc_id=pd_hw1532298X
eTOCs	Sign up for eTOCs at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
CiteTrack Alerts	Sign up for CiteTrack Alerts at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
Subscription Information	Subscription Information for <i>The Plant Cell</i> and <i>Plant Physiology</i> is available at: http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm