

*IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*  
Volume 22, Issue 3, Ver. IV (March. 2017) PP 14-20  
e-ISSN: 2279-0837, p-ISSN: 2279-0845.  
[www.iosrjournals.org](http://www.iosrjournals.org)

---

## Assessment of Health-related Locus of Control among Undergraduates Substance Users and Non-Users

Adekeye, Olujide A.<sup>b,c</sup>, Agoha, Ben C.<sup>a</sup>, Adeusi, Sussan O.<sup>b</sup>, Igbokwe, David O.<sup>a</sup>, Olowookere, Elizabeth I.<sup>a</sup>, Elegbeleye, Ayo O.<sup>a</sup> and Sholarin, Muyiwa A.<sup>a</sup>

a. *Clinical Psychologist, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria*

b. *Counselling Psychologist, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria*

c. *Sexual Health Advocate (HIV/AIDS), Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria*

---

**Abstract:- Introduction:** Locus of control is one of the most extensively investigated psychological constructs in literature and the purpose of the study was to assess health-related locus of control among undergraduate's substance users and non-users.

**Methods:** Data from 574 students between ages 18 and 27 (n= 574, mean=21.15 (+/- 1.8years) was collected from five tertiary institutions in AdoOdo-Ota LGA, Nigeria. An adapted and validated version of the World Health Organization (WHO) questionnaire on drug use surveys and the multidimensional health locus of control (MHLC) which was designed to measure the degree to which an individual feels they are in control of their own health were employed for data collection. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Three research hypotheses were raised and tested. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

**Results:** The preliminary result shows that there is no significant difference in the health-related locus of control between substance users and non-users, and between heavy and occasional drinkers, but there exist significant differences based on gender.

**Conclusion:** This article discusses the implications of these findings especially in the area of health education and the use of health locus of control measures

**Keywords:** *health, locus of control, substance use, students, tertiary institutions*

---

### I. INTRODUCTION

Locus of control originated with Rotter's social learning theory (Rotter, 1966) and it is the beliefs that individuals hold regarding the relationships between action and outcome (Rotter, 1990; Lefcourt, 1991). Rotter defines internal versus external control as "the degree to which persons expect that a reinforcement or an outcome of their behaviour is contingent on their own behaviour or personal characteristics versus the degree to which persons expect that reinforcement is a function of chance, luck or fate, is under the control of powerful others, or is simply unpredictable" (1990, p. 489). The first commonly used LOC scale was developed by Rotter in 1966, called the Internal-External Locus of Control Scale (I-E). This assesses the degree to which people perceive the consequences of their behaviour to be under the control of internal or external variables. Locus of control is one of the most extensively investigated constructs in psychological and social science literature (Carton & Nowicki, 1994; Rotter, 1990) and of potential use for substance abuse researchers and treatment practitioners.

People having internal orientations are more likely to be aware of and to use good health practices. Health is one of the many areas in which there has been a significant amount of interest in relating locus of control beliefs to a variety of relevant behaviours. The prevalence rates of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use typically increase over the adolescent years and reach a peak during late adolescence and early adulthood. Alcohol use is the most prevalent substance use behaviour among teens, and marijuana is the most prevalent illicit drug used (Adekeye, Adeusi, Chenube, Ahmadi & Sholarin, 2015). These rates of use are alarming in light of the many serious consequences of adolescent substance use, which include a range of health, social, psychological, and neurocognitive problems that can interfere with normative development (Newcomb & Locke, 2005). Alcohol and marijuana use during adolescence contribute to a variety of negative outcomes including unintentional injuries and deaths, traffic fatalities, risky sexual behaviours, school dropout, interpersonal aggression, and psychiatric problems (Danielsson, Wennberg, Tengstrom & Romelsjo, 2010; Hingson, Zha & Weitzman, 2009; Miller, Naimi, Brewer & Jones, 2007; Townsend, Flisher & King, 2007).

Substance abuse remains an important problem in Nigeria, as well as in many countries around the world. Typically substance use begins during the early teen years and progresses from non-use, to occasional use and to the frequent use of one or more substances. Substance use occurs on a spectrum such as from experimentation to dependence. Adolescents start on alcohol say out of curiosity and because it feels good, reduces stress, and helps to feel grown up may continue use after the initial experimentation. In addition, adolescents may abuse drugs for a variety of reasons and their individual and environmental status impacts on drug abuse related behaviours (Allahverdi-pour, MacIntyre, Hidarnia, Shafii, Kazemnegad, Geleiha & Emami, 2007). A number of studies emphasize on longitudinal studies to examine patterns of substance use among adolescents over time (Sneed, Morisky, Rotheram-Borus, Ebin & Malotte, 2001). As a result, by examining substance abuse over time, the nature of chronic use and transition to more illicit substance use can more accurately be characterized.

The use of substances especially alcohol, cigarettes and marijuana has become prevalent among Nigerian high school and undergraduate students. Young people (ages 18 to 24) are already at a heightened risk of addiction. Hence, it can be evidently established that students make up one of the largest groups of substance users and abusers globally. Starting out in college produces some natural social anxiety for many students. The temptation to drink is strong because college students overwhelmingly find that alcohol makes socializing easier. Not all college students immediately start binge drinking and doing drugs, but routinely drinking to have more fun leads many students toward addiction. Peer pressure is often cited as an important factor in adolescents' substance use and abuse.

In examining the correlates of early alcohol use by adolescents, Jessor, Collins & Jessor (1972) found that an internal-external locus of control scale did not predict adolescents at risk for alcohol use/misuse. In contrast, Currie, Perlman & Walker (1977) found that internally oriented youths were less likely to use marijuana than were externally oriented youths. Similarly, Clarke, MacPherson & Holmes (1982) found adolescents' past and present use of cigarettes and intentions to use cigarettes to be modestly related to an external view of control.

However, one consistent criticism of the instrumentation of early locus of control (LOC) research is that LOC was treated as a unidimensional construct (Rotter, 1975; Lefcourt, 1982, 1991). As a result of this criticism, researchers took two approaches: (1) was creating the multidimensional instruments, for example the Internality, Powerful Others, and Chance Scales (Levenson, 1981) and the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control Scale (Wallston & Wallston, 1981); and (2) create instruments targeted toward specific aspects of control, such as, the Marital Locus of Control Scale (Miller, Lefcourt & Ware, 1983) and the Mental Health Locus of Control Scale (Hill & Bale, 1980).

Health locus of control (HLC) is a construct that refers to how individuals perceive the sources regulating their health (Wallston, Wallston & DeVellis, 1978). Older HLC studies have also highlighted population differences in health beliefs. Previous research has shown that low socioeconomic status, female sex, non-white ethnicity, old age and low education are associated with increased external health locus of control (EHLC) (Cohen & Azaiza, 2007; Spalding, 1995). Health locus of control is considered to involve three statistically independent dimensions of perceived control in relation to health: Internal, Chance and Powerful Others (Wallston et al., 1978). Individuals who score highly on the internal dimension regard their health as largely within their own control and are likely to engage in health maintaining behaviours. Conversely, those who score highly on the Chance dimension view their health as relatively independent of their behaviour and, accordingly, are more likely to engage in health damaging behaviours than those with lower scores.

The implications of a strong belief in Powerful Others (typically doctors) influencing health are more difficult to predict. High ratings may indicate receptivity to health messages endorsed by medical authorities. Conversely, they may suggest a strong belief in the ability of the medical system to cure any relevant illness. Each would result in different patterns of health-related behaviours. Individuals' perceived control over their health has been examined extensively to discover the nature and extent of its relationship to health knowledge, health behaviour, and health status. Given the influence of conformity to peer norms for substance use behaviour among adolescents and young adults, perceived control over health status is a relevant factor to investigate. This study was designed and intended to provide an understanding of the concept of health locus of control (HLOC) as it relates to substance users and non-users. This understanding may assist in the design of intervention strategies to mitigate substance abuse and consequent substance dependence. Also, this study will unravel the health locus of control beliefs of undergraduate substance users and by extension that of non-substance users.

### **Research Hypotheses**

1. Stimulant users subscribe to internality
2. There is a significant difference between the HLOC of stimulant users and non-users

## **II. METHODS**

**Design/Population/Sample and Sampling Techniques**

The design used for this study is the survey research design. This study involved participants from some selected universities in Ogun State, Nigeria. We selected participants from the humanities, social sciences, physical and natural sciences, engineering, marketing and agriculture through stratified and simple random sampling, to cater for variables such as gender, faculty and university. This initial selection generated a pool of 675 participants. Seventy-seven (77) declined participation while some questionnaire forms were not properly filled. At the end, only 574 of the 598 questionnaire forms were properly filled and fit for use constituting 96% response rate. Characteristics of the participants included a gender mix of 413 males (72%) and 161 females (28%), age ranges from 18 and 27 years (mean age = 21.15, +/- 1.8years). All the participants indicated they were single.

**Ethical Consideration**

Prior to administering the questionnaire, the purpose of the study was explained to the participants. Participation was voluntary and there was no incentive given for participation. Those who agreed to participate were made to sign a consent form. Anonymity was assured by asking participants not to write their names on the questionnaire forms.

**Instruments**

A questionnaire consisting of two validated scales was used. The first part of the questionnaire dealt with participants socio-demographic details while the second part was divided into sections A and B. Section A has the adapted World Health Organization (WHO) questionnaire designed for drug study among student population while section B measured Health Locus of Control using the 18-item Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC). The Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scales are a family of measures developed by Wallston and colleagues. These scales are designed to assess a person's beliefs regarding whether his or her health status is determined by the actions of individuals (as opposed to fate, luck, or chance) and, if so, whether the locus of that control is "internal" (i.e., residing in the person's own actions) or "external" (i.e., dependent on the actions of other people). The three MHLC subscales are Internal Health Locus of Control (IHLC, e.g., "The main thing that affects my health is what I myself do"), PHLC (e.g., "My family has a lot to do with my becoming sick or staying healthy"), and CHLC (e.g., "If it is meant to be, I will stay healthy"). In most populations, IHLC and PHLC are uncorrelated with each other, IHLC and CHLC are slightly negatively intercorrelated (-.10 to -.20), and the two external dimensions, PHLC and CHLC, are somewhat positively intercorrelated (.20 to .30). The alpha reliabilities of the six-item subscales hover around .70 (.65-.75), and the test-retest reliabilities are in the range of .70-.80. The reliability and validity of the MHLC is not in question because the scale has been widely used and validated, but for the purpose of this study, the MHLC returned a coefficient reliability of 0.74 using a test-retest reliability method. The Cronbach's alpha for the three subscales yielded a coefficient of 0.75, 0.71 and 0.69 for Internal HLC, Powerful Others HLC, and Chance HLC respectively.

**Procedure for Data collection and Analysis**

The questionnaire forms were administered to the participants with the aid of graduate students who were trained as research assistants. The questionnaires were administered and some were collected on the spot while others were retrieved later. Five hundred and seventy-four forms were fit for statistical analyses, representing 96% response rate. The data were expressed as both descriptive and inferential statistics, such as frequency counts, percentages and chi-square analysis to test the hypotheses. A p-value of ≤0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM statistical software.

**III. RESULTS**

**Hypothesis 1:** Stimulants Users Display Internal Health Locus of Control. This was done by Analyzing the Responses of Stimulants Users and non-Users to the three Sub-Scales of the MHLC

**Table 1: Analysis of the Responses of Stimulants Users and non-Users to the Internal Health Locus of Control (IHLC) Scale**

S/N	ITEMS		Users	N. Users	Total	X <sup>2</sup>	Rem
1	If I become sick, I have the power to make myself well again.	Int	287(68.5)	101(65.2)	388(67.6)	.58	NS
		Ext	132(31.5)	54(34.8)	186(32.4)		
6	I am directly responsible for my health	Int	340(81.1)	129(83.2)	469(81.7)	.33	NS
		Ext	79(18.9)	26(16.8)	105(18.3)		
8	Whatever goes wrong	Int	247(58.9)	95(61.3)	342(59.6)	.26	NS

	with my health is my own fault	Ext	172(41.1)	60(38.7)	232(40.4)		
12	My physical well-being depends on how well I take care of myself	Int	375(89.5)	136(87.7)	511(89.0)	.36	NS
		Ext	44(10.5)	19(12.3)	63(11.0)		
13	When I feel ill I know it is because I have not been taking care of myself properly	Int	222(53.0)	78(50.3)	300(52.3)	.32	NS
		Ext	197(47.0)	77(49.7)	274(47.7)		
17	I can pretty much stay healthy by taking good care of myself	Int	364(86.9)	136(87.7)	500(87.1)	.05*	S
		Ext	55(13.1)	19(12.3)	74(12.9)		

\*: Significant at 0.05 level; NS: Statistically not significant

Tables 1 to 3 show the comparison of responses for both stimulant users and non-users on the multidimensional health locus of control (MHLC). Table 1 compares participants' responses to the internal health locus of control (IHLC) scale and the table revealed that in items 6, 8 and 17, non-substance users scored higher percentage in internality than substance users. Conversely, items 1, 12, and 13 show stimulant users recording higher percent of "internalizers".

**Table 2: Analysis of the Responses of Stimulants Users and non-Users to the Chance Health Locus of Control (CHLC) Scale**

S/N	ITEMS		Users	N. Users	Total	X <sup>2</sup>	Rem
2	Often I feel that no matter what I do I will get sick if I am going to get sick	Int	100(23.9)	35(22.6)	135(23.5)	.10	NS
		Ext	319(76.1)	120(77.4)	439(76.5)		
4	It seems that my health is greatly influenced by accidental happenings	Int	79(18.9)	33(21.3)	112(19.5)	.43	NS
		Ext	340(81.1)	122(78.7)	462(80.5)		
9	When I am sick, I just have to let nature run its own course	Int	93(22.2)	31(20.0)	124(21.6)	.32	NS
		Ext	326(77.8)	124(80.0)	450(78.4)		
11	When I stay healthy I'm just plain lucky	Int	76(18.1)	25(16.1)	101(17.6)	.31	NS
		Ext	343(81.9)	130(83.9)	473(82.4)		
15	Even when I take care of myself it is easy to get sick	Int	69(16.5)	17(11.0)	86(15.0)	2.69	NS
		Ext	350(83.5)	138(89.0)	488(85.0)		
16	When I become ill it's a matter of fate	Int	82(19.6)	33(21.3)	115(20.0)	.21	NS
		Ext	337(80.4)	122(78.7)	457(80.0)		

\*: Significant at 0.05 level; NS: Statistically not significant

In Table 2, the comparison of chance health locus of control (CHLC) scale was presented. In items 2, 9, 11 and 15, non-substance users scored higher percentage in externalities than substance users while substance users scored higher percentage in items 4 and 16.

**Table 3: Analysis of the Responses of Stimulants Users and non-Users to the Powerful Others Health Locus of Control (PHLC) Scale**

S/N	ITEMS		Users	N. Users	Total	X <sup>2</sup>	Rem
3	If I see an excellent doctor regularly, I am less likely to have a health problem	Int	216(51.6)	79(51.0)	295(51.4)	.02*	S
		Ext	203(48.4)	76(49.0)	279(48.6)		
5	I can only maintain my health by consulting health professionals	Int	85(20.3)	40(25.8)	125(21.8)	2.02	NS
		Ext	334(79.7)	115(74.2)	449(78.2)		
7	Other people play a big part whether I stay healthy or become sick	Int	159(37.9)	50(32.3)	209(36.4)	1.58	NS
		Ext	260(62.1)	105(67.7)	365(63.6)		
10	Health professionals keep me	Int	126(30.1)	46(29.7)	172(30.0)	.08	NS

	healthy	Ext	293(69.9)	109(70.3)	402(70.0)		
14	The type of care I receive from other people is what is responsible for how well I recover from an illness	Int	187(44.6)	61(39.4)	248(43.2)	1.28	NS
		Ext	232(55.4)	94(60.6)	326(56.8)		
18	Following doctor's orders to the letter is the best way for me to stay healthy	Int	242(57.8)	96(61.9)	338(58.9)	.82	NS
		Ext	177(42.2)	59(38.1)	236(41.1)		

\*: Significant at 0.05 level; NS: Statistically not significant

Table 3 compares participants' responses to the powerful others health locus of control (PHLC) scale and the table revealed that substance users scored higher percentage in externalities than non-substance users in items 5 and 18. Conversely, items 3, 7, 10 and 14 show non-substance users recording higher percentage of external health locus of control.

**Hypothesis2:** There is a significant difference between the Health Locus of Control(HLOC) of Substance Users and Non- Substance Users

**Table 4: Comparative Analysis of Substance Users and Non-SubstanceUsers**

S/N	ITEMS		Users	N. Users	Total	X <sup>2</sup>	Sig.
1	If I become sick, I have the power to make myself well again.	Int	287(68.5)	101(65.2)	388(67.6)	.58	NS
		Ext	132(31.5)	54(34.8)	186(32.4)		
2	Often I feel that no matter what I do I will get sick if I am going to get sick	Int	100(23.9)	35(22.6)	135(23.5)	.10	NS
		Ext	319(76.1)	120(77.4)	439(76.5)		
3	If I see an excellent doctor regularly, I am less likely to have a health problem	Int	216(51.6)	79(51.0)	295(51.4)	.02*	S
		Ext	203(48.4)	76(49.0)	279(48.6)		
4	It seems that my health is greatly influenced by accidental happenings	Int	79(18.9)	33(21.3)	112(19.5)	.43	NS
		Ext	340(81.1)	122(78.7)	462(80.5)		
5	I can only maintain my health by consulting health professionals	Int	85(20.3)	40(25.8)	125(21.8)	2.02	NS
		Ext	334(79.7)	115(74.2)	449(78.2)		
6	I am directly responsible for my health	Int	340(81.1)	129(83.2)	469(81.7)	.33	NS
		Ext	79(18.9)	26(16.8)	105(18.3)		
7	Other people play a big part whether I stay healthy or become sick	Int	159(37.9)	50(32.3)	209(36.4)	1.58	NS
		Ext	260(62.1)	105(67.7)	365(63.6)		
8	Whatever goes wrong with my health is my own fault	Int	247(58.9)	95(61.3)	342(59.6)	.26	NS
		Ext	172(41.1)	60(38.7)	232(40.4)		
9	When I am sick, I just have to let nature run its own course	Int	93(22.2)	31(20.0)	124(21.6)	.32	NS
		Ext	326(77.8)	124(80.0)	450(78.4)		
10	Health professionals keep me healthy	Int	126(30.1)	46(29.7)	172(30.0)	.08	NS
		Ext	293(69.9)	109(70.3)	402(70.0)		
11	When I stay healthy I'm just plain lucky	Int	76(18.1)	25(16.1)	101(17.6)	.31	NS
		Ext	343(81.9)	130(83.9)	473(82.4)		
12	My physical well-being depends on how well I take care of myself	Int	375(89.5)	136(87.7)	511(89.0)	.36	NS
		Ext	44(10.5)	19(12.3)	63(11.0)		
13	When I feel ill I know it is because I have not been taking care of myself properly	Int	222(53.0)	78(50.3)	300(52.3)	.32	NS
		Ext	197(47.0)	77(49.7)	274(47.7)		
14	The type of care I receive	Int	187(44.6)	61(39.4)	248(43.2)	1.28	NS

	from other people is what is responsible for how well I recover from an illness	Ext	232(55.4)	94(60.6)	326(56.8)		
15	Even when I take care of myself it is easy to get sick	Int	69(16.5)	17(11.0)	86(15.0)	2.69	NS
		Ext	350(83.5)	138(89.0)	488(85.0)		
16	When I become ill it's a matter of fate	Int	82(19.6)	33(21.3)	115(20.0)	.21	NS
		Ext	337(80.4)	122(78.7)	457(80.0)		
17	I can pretty much stay healthy by taking good care of myself	Int	364(86.9)	136(87.7)	500(87.1)	.05*	S
		Ext	55(13.1)	19(12.3)	74(12.9)		
18	Following doctor's orders to the letter is the best way for me to stay healthy	Int	242(57.8)	96(61.9)	338(58.9)	.82	NS
		Ext	177(42.2)	59(38.1)	236(41.1)		

**\*: Significant at 0.05 level; NS: Statistically not significant**

Table 4 reveals the relationship between the health locus of control of substance users and non- substance users. The chi-square and the level of significance show that there was no significant difference between in the health locus of control of substance users and non- substance users in 16 of the 18 items. Therefore, the hypothesis was rejected for the 16 items and accepted for items 3 and 17.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

Substance use during the university years is a significant public health concern in Nigeria. This study was set to determine if there is a significant difference in the health locus of control of substance users and non-users and to find out if substance users subscribe to external health locus of control. This study revealed there was no significant difference in the health locus of control of substance users and non- substance users in 16 of the 18 items. There was however a statistically significant difference between substance users and non-users in only two items. In item 3 which says “if I see an excellent doctor regularly, I am less likely to have a health problem” ( $p = 0.02$ ), and in item 17 which says, “I can pretty much stay healthy by taking good care of myself” ( $p = 0.05$ ).

Another finding revealed that substance users were not more inclined to externality than non-substance users. In this study, non-users subscribed to chance and powerful others as much as the substance users. This however is in contrast to other studies such as Bush & Iannotti (1991) and Omobude-Idiado (1998). Bush & Iannotti (1991) reported that there was a significant relationship between college drug users and External Health Locus of Control (EHLC) while Omobude-Idiado (1998) reported that stimulant users were more inclined to externality with a higher percent inclined to Chance Health Locus of Control (CHLC) scale than Powerful Others (PHLC) scale. On non-users, Omobude-Idiado (1998) reported that they were basically internalizers. In this study, of the six items under the internal health locus of control (IHLC), non-substance users scored higher percentage in internality than substance users in items 6, 8 and 17. Conversely, substance users recorded higher percentage of internalization in items 1, 12, and 13. Non-substance users scored higher percentage in four of the six items under the chance health locus of control (CHLC) while substance users scored higher percentage in items 4 and 16. The same trend repeated itself under the powerful others scale. In a study by Manganiello (1978), substance abusers were significantly more externally oriented in their locus of control than the non-addict control group. It was demonstrated that one's propensity to attribute the positive outcomes of events to external factors is correlated with increased risk for developing addictive behaviours. The present study did not support the hypothesis that substance users subscribe to externality.

#### V. CONCLUSION

Health locus of control is a construct that consists of factors that influence and contribute to individual's belief in relation to the extent to which he or others can influence life events. The findings of this study contradicted some of the established findings that substance users are “externalizers” who subscribe to powerful others such as the type of care I receive from other people is what is responsible for how well I recover from an illness and chance, such as when I stay healthy, I am just plain lucky. There is therefore no clear indication in this study that substance users are externalizers. Therefore, this study has implications on the two groups of participants. The implications concerns health education and counselling. The two groups need information on health issues because almost all the participants subscribed to externality. They need information and counsel on believing in their capacity to control their health which will consequently lead to seeking health information on how to prevent ill-health.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Adekeye, O. A., Adeusi, S. O., Chenube, O. O., Ahmadu, F. O. & Sholarin, M. A. (2015). Assessment of Alcohol and Substance Use among Undergraduates in Selected Private Universities in Southwest Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)* Vol. 20 (3): 1-7.
- [2] Allahverdipour, H., MacIntyre, R., Hidarnia, A., Shafii, F., Kazemnegad, A., Geleiha, A., and Emami, A. (2007). Assessing protective factors against drug abuse among high school students: Self-control and the extended parallel process model. *J Addict Nurs*, 18(2): 65-73.
- [3] Bush, P. and Iannotti, R. (1985). The development of children's health orientation and behaviours: Lessons for substance use prevention. *NIDA Research Monograph Series*, 56: 45-74.
- [4] Carton, J. S. and Nowicki, S. (1994). Antecedents of individual differences in locus of control of reinforcement: A critical review. *Genetic, Social, & General Psychology Monographs*, 120(1), 31-81.
- [5] Clarke, J. H., MacPherson, B. V., and Holmes, D. R. (1982). Cigarette smoking and external locus of control among young adolescents. *J Health Soc Behav* 23:253-259.
- [6] Cohen, M. and Azaiza, F. (2007). Health-promoting behaviors and health locus of control from a multicultural perspective. *Ethn Dis*. 17(4):636-642.
- [7] Danielsson, A.K., Wennberg, P., Tengstrom, A., and Romelsjo, A. (2010). Adolescent alcohol use trajectories: Predictors and subsequent problems. *Addictive Behaviors*, 35, 848-852.
- [8] Hill, D. J., and Bale, R. M. (1980). Development of the Mental Health Locus of Control and Mental Health Locus of Origin Scales. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 44, 148-156.
- [9] Hingson, R.W., Zha, W., Weitzman, E.R. (2009). Magnitude of and trends in alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24, 1998-2005. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol & Drugs*, S16, 12-20.
- [10] Jessor, R., Collins, M. I., and Jessor, S. J. (1972). On becoming a drinker: Social-psychological aspects of an adolescent transition. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 197:199-222.
- [11] Lefcourt, H.M. (1991). Locus of control. In J. P. Robinson, P.R. Shaver, and L.S. Wrightsman, (Eds.), *Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes* (pp. 413-499). San Diego: Academic Press.
- [12] Lefcourt, H. M. (1982). *Locus of control: Current trends in theory and research* (2nd Ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- [13] Levenson, H. (1981). Differentiating among internality, powerful others, and chance. In H.M. Lefcourt (Ed.) *Research with the locus of control concept* (Vol.1, pp. 15-63). New York: Academic Press.
- [14] Manganiello, J. A. (1978). Opiate Addiction: A Study Identifying Three Systematically Related Psychological Correlates. *The International Journal of the Addictions*, 13.5, 839-847.
- [15] Miller, J.W., Naimi, T.S., Brewer, R.D., and Jones, S.E. (2007). Binge drinking and associated health risk behaviors among high school students. *Pediatrics*, 119, 76-85.
- [16] J. Miller, P. C., Lefcourt, H. M., & Ware, E. E. (1983). The construction and development of the Miller Marital Locus of Control Scale. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 15, 266-279.
- [17] Newcomb, M.D., and Locke, T. (2005). Health, social, and psychological consequences of drug use and abuse. In: Sloboda, Z. (Ed.) *Epidemiology of drug abuse* (pp. 45-59). New York: Springer, 2005.
- [18] Omobude-Idiado, S. N. (1998). Locus of control of health beliefs among undergraduate stimulant users and non-users. *The Nigerian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 6(1&2): 175-187.
- [19] Rotter, J. B. (1990). Internal versus external control of reinforcement: A case history of a variable. *American Psychologist*, 45(4), 489-493.
- [20] Sneed, C. D., Morisky, D. E., Rotherham-Borus, M. J., Ebin, V. J., and Malotte, C. K. (2001). Patterns of adolescent alcohol, cigarette, and marijuana use over a 6-month period. *Addict Behav*, 26: 415-23.
- [21] Spalding, A. D. (1995). Racial minorities and other high risk groups with HIV and AIDS at increased risk for psychological adjustment problems in association with health locus of control orientation. *Soc Work Health Care*. 21:81-114.
- [22] Townsend, L., Flisher, A.J., and King, G. (2007). A systematic review of the relationship between high school dropout and substance use. *Clinical Child & Family Psychology Review*, 10, 295-317.
- [23] Wallston, K.A., and Wallston, B.S. (1981). Health locus of control scales. In H.M. Lefcourt (Ed.), *Research with the locus of control construct*, 1, 189-243, New York, NY: Academic.
- [24] Wallston, K. A., Wallston, B. S., and DeVellis, R. (1978). Development of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) scales. *Health Education Monographs*, 6, 160-170.