http://ijhpm.com Int J Health Policy Manag 2016, 5(10), 613–614





doi 10.15171/ijhpm.2016.105



Letter to Editor

Without Explicit Targets, Does France Meet Minimum Volume Thresholds for Hip and Knee Replacement and Bariatric Surgeries?



CORF

William B. Weeks^{1,2,3*}, Bruno Ventelou³, Zeynep Or⁴

*Correspondence to: William B. Weeks, Email: wbw@dartmouth.edu Copyright: © 2016 by Kerman University of Medical Sciences Citation: Weeks WB, Ventelou B, Or Z. Without explicit targets, does France meet minimum volume thresholds for hip and knee replacement and bariatric surgeries? Int J Health Policy Manag. 2016;5(10):613–614.

doi:10.15171/ijhpm.2016.105

Received: 6 July 2016; Accepted: 3 August 2016; ePublished: 10 August 2016

Dear Editor,

Persistent findings of a relationship between higher volumes of surgical care and better outcomes¹ have caused 3 large US healthcare systems to refer surgical cases when they do not meet minimum volume thresholds for bariatric surgery (where the minimum threshold is 40 surgeries per year) and total hip or total knee replacement surgeries (where it is 50 surgeries per year).²

In France, minimum surgical volumes for complex cardiac surgeries and cancer surgeries have been in place since 2006³; however, minimum threshold volumes are not in place for bariatric surgery or for hip or knee replacement surgeries. We sought to determine the proportion of cases that occur in French hospitals that did not meet the US minimum volume thresholds for these 3 surgical procedures in 2012 and 2013. To do this, from the Agence Technique de l'Information sur l'Hospitalisation,⁴ we obtained individual case-level data on all surgical discharges from hospitals in mainland France and Corsica for 2012 and 2013. Data included the primary International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) admission diagnosis, surgical procedures completed during the stay (identified by Classification Commune des Actes Médicaux [CCAM] codes5), and the unique hospital identification number where the admission took place. We based our criteria for identifying relevant admissions on definitions used by the aforementioned US healthcare systems to effectuate minimum volume thresholds, and we translated ICD-9 codes and current procedural technology (CPT) codes to CCAM codes (Table, top).

To determine the annual volume of each procedure completed at individual hospitals, we aggregated cases on hospital identifier. We then applied the appropriate minimum volume threshold and calculated the number and proportion of procedures completed in hospitals that met minimum volume thresholds as well as the number and proportion of hospitals that did and did not meet minimum volume standards.

We found that more than 90% of all 3 surgical interventions examined were performed in hospitals that met US minimum

volume standards (Table, bottom). Between 2012 and 2013, the number of hospitals performing each procedure as well as the number that met the minimum volume thresholds increased for each procedure; however, the proportion of hospitals that met minimum volume standards fell slightly for hip replacement surgery.

In the absence of specific minimum volume thresholds, we found that the vast majority of patients obtained care in French hospitals that adhered to such thresholds for 3 common and increasingly used surgical procedures. We found evidence of market consolidation for 2 procedures where the proportion of hospitals that met minimum volume standards were relatively low, but stagnation of market consolidation when the proportion of hospitals that met minimum volume standards was relatively high.

Two factors might explain our findings. First, France has long had imposed minimum volume standards for other procedures; the market might be anticipating implementation of standards for the procedures we examined. Second, French policy-makers have encouraged competition in the hospital sector; to reduce expenditures and stay competitive in the face of declining revenues, hospitals may focus on high volume procedures, so that the very high fixed costs that they experience can be spread across a larger number of procedures.⁶

Our analysis suggests that, in the absence of direct policy, these factors might have spillover effects that lead to broader adherence to minimum volume standards. While our findings suggest that there may be an upper limit to the proportion of hospitals that adhere to such standards, the additional costs and aggravation expended in monitoring adherence to minimum volume standards may not be warranted given the very small proportion of patients that might be impacted by such efforts. France's long-term efforts to develop a surgical culture that appreciates volume-outcomes relationships appears to have spillover effects and generate positive externalities for patients who need other surgical procedures.

Acknowledgments

WBW was funded by a Fulbright-Tocqueville grant through the Franco-American Commission for Educational Exchange and by the Institute of Advanced Studies at Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France.

Ethical issues Not applicable. **Table**. For 3 Procedures, the Total Number of Procedures, the Number and Proportion Completed in Hospitals That Met Minimum Volume Thresholds, the Number of Hospitals in Which the Procedure Was Performed, and the Number and Proportion of Hospitals That Met Minimum Volume Thresholds in 2012 and 2013

Procedure Definition									
	Bariatric Surgery	Knee Replacement Surgery	Hip Replacement Surgery						
Minimum annual volume threshold	40	50	50						
ICD 9 codes used in the United States	278.00 or 278.01	715.xx or 733.4x	733.4x						
CPT Codes used in the United States	43644, 43775, 43659, 43846, 43847, 43848	27130, 27134, 27137, 27138, 27299	27487						
ICD 10 diagnostic criteria equivalents	E66.X	M16.X or M87	M87						
Classification Commune des Actes Médicaux codes equivalents	HFCA001, HFCC003, HFCC004, HFFA001, HFFA011, HFFC004, HFFC018, HFGC900, HFKA001-2, HFKC001, HFLC900, HFLE001, HFMA009-11, HFMC006-9, HGCC027	NEKA010-022, NEMA018, NEMA020	NFKA006-009						

Analytic Results

Year	2012	2013	Annual Growth	2012	2013	Annual Growth	2012	2013	Annual Growth
Total number of procedures	45 161	50 354	11%	86 236	93 873	9%	144 473	153 494	6%
Number completed in hospitals that met minimum volume thresholds	42 690	47 692	12%	79 485	87 047	10%	141 692	151 039	7%
Proportion completed in hospitals that met minimum volume thresholds	94.5%	94.7%		92.2%	92.7%		98.1%	98.4%	
Total number of hospitals in which the procedure was performed	515	522	1%	793	800	1%	820	835	2%
Number of hospitals that met minimum volume thresholds	273	287	5%	493	511	4%	701	711	1%
Proportion of hospitals meeting minimum volume thresholds	53.0%	55.0%		62.2%	63.9%		85.5%	85.1%	

Abbreviations: ICD, International Classification of Diseases (ICD); CPT, current procedural technology.

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Conception and design (WBW, BV, ZO); Acquisition of data (WBW, BV, ZO); Analysis and interpretation of data (WBW, BV, ZO); Drafting of the manuscript (WBW); Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content (BV, ZO); Statistical analysis (WBW).

Authors' affiliations

¹The Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA. ²The Geisel School of Medicine, Hanover, NH, USA. ³Aix-Marseille School of Economics (CNRS & EHESS), Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France. ⁴Institut de recherché et documentation en économie de la santé, Paris, France.

References

 Reames BN, Ghaferi AA, Birkmeyer JD, Dimick JB. Hospital volume and operative mortality in the modern era. *Ann Surg.* 2014;260(2):244-251. doi:10.1097/sla.000000000000375 Sternberg S. Hospitals move to limit low-volume surgeries. US News and World Report. http://www.usnews.com/news/ articles/2015/05/19/hospitals-move-to-limit-low-volumesurgeries.

 Com-Ruelle L, Or Z, Renaud T. The volume-outcomes relationship in hospitals: lessons from the literature. http://www. irdes.fr/EspaceAnglais/Publications/IrdesPublications/QES135. pdf. IRDES (The Institute for Research and Information in Health Economics); 2008.

- ATIH. Agence Technique de l'Information sur l'Hospitalisation. http://www.atih.sante.fr/echelle-nationale-de-couts-par-ghm. Accessed March 8, 2016.
- Classification Commune des Actes Médicaux (CCAM). http:// www.ameli.fr/accueil-de-la-ccam/index.php. Accessed March 8, 2016.
- Rauh SS, Wadsworth E, Weeks WB, Weinstein JN. The savings illusion: why quality improvement fails to deliver bottom-line results. *New Engl J Med.* 2011;365(26):e48. doi:10.1056/ NEJMp1111662.