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#### Abstract

In this paper by using the notion of sesquilinear form we introduce a new class of numerical range and numerical radius in normed space $\mathscr{V}$, also its various characterizations are given. We apply our results to get some inequalities.


## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

A related concept to our work is the notion of sesquilinear form. Sesquilinear forms and quadratic forms were studied extensively by various authors, who have developed a rich array of tools to study them; cf. [17, 19]. There is a considerable amount of literature devoting to the study of sesquilinear form. We refer to $[1,9,22]$ for a recent survey and references therein.
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During the past decades, several definitions of the numerical range in various settings have been introduced by many mathematicians. For instance, Marcus and Wang [15] opened the concept of the rth permanent numerical range of operator A. Furthermore, Descloux in [3] defined the notion of the essential numerical range of an operator with respect to a coercive sesquilinear form. In 1977, Marvin [16] and in 1984, independently, Tsing [23] introduce and characterize a new version of numerical range in a space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ equipped with a sesquilinear form. Li in [14], generalized the work of Tsing and explored fundamental properties and consequences of numerical range in the framework sesquilinear form. We also refer to another interesting paper by Fox [10] of this type.

The motivation of this paper is to introduce the notions of numerical range and numerical radius without the inner product structure. In fact, the result extends immediately to the case where the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ and inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, replaced by vector space $\mathscr{V}$ and sesquilinear form $\varphi$, respectively. For the sake of completeness, we reproduce the following definitions and preliminary results, which will be needed in the sequel.

A functional $\varphi: \mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathscr{V}$ is complex vector space, is a sesquilinear form if satisfying the following two conditions:
(a) $\varphi\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}, y\right)=\alpha \varphi\left(x_{1}, y\right)+\beta \varphi\left(x_{2}, y\right)$,
(b) $\varphi\left(x, \alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2}\right)=\bar{\alpha} \varphi\left(x, y_{1}\right)+\bar{\beta} \varphi\left(x, y_{2}\right)$,
for any scalars $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and any $x, x_{1}, x_{2}, y, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathscr{V}$.
We now recall that, two typical examples of sesquilinear forms are as follows:
(I) Let $A$ and $B$ be operators on an inner product space $\mathscr{V}$. Then $\varphi_{1}(x, y)=$ $\langle A x, y\rangle, \varphi_{2}(x, y)=\langle x, B y\rangle$, and $\varphi_{3}(x, y)=\langle A x, B y\rangle$ are sesquilinear forms on $\mathscr{V}$.
(II) Let $f$ and $g$ be two linear functionals on a vector space $\mathscr{V}$. Then $\varphi(x, y)=$ $f(x) g(y)$ is a sesquilinear form on $\mathscr{V}$.

A sesquilinear form $\varphi$ on vector space $\mathscr{V}$ is called symmetric if $\varphi(x, y)=$ $\varphi(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in \mathscr{V}$. We say a sesquilinear form $\varphi$ on vector space $\mathscr{V}$ is positive if $\varphi(x, x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in \mathscr{V}$. If $\mathscr{V}$ is a normed space, then $\varphi$ is called bounded if $|\varphi(x, y)| \leq M\|x\|\|y\|$, for some $M>0$ and all $x, y \in \mathscr{V}$.

It is worth to mention here that for a bounded sesquilinear form $\varphi$ on $\mathscr{V}$ we have

$$
|\varphi(x, y)| \leq\|\varphi\|\|x\|\|y\|
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathscr{V}$.
For each positive sesquilinear form $\varphi$ on vector space $\mathscr{V}, \sqrt{\varphi(x, x)}$ is a semi norm; since satisfied the axioms of a norm exept that the implication $\sqrt{\varphi(x, x)}=0 \Rightarrow x=0$ may not hold; see [18, p. 52]. We notice that the norm of $\mathscr{V}$, will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$.

The operator $A$ on the space $\left(\mathscr{V},\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}\right)$ is called bounded (in short $\left.A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{V})\right)$ if

$$
\|A x\|_{\varphi} \leq M\|x\|_{\varphi},
$$

for every $x \in \mathscr{V}$. The operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{V})$ is called $\varphi$-adjointable if there exist $\mathrm{B} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{V})$ such that

$$
\varphi(\mathrm{A} x, y) \leq \varphi(x, \mathrm{By})
$$

for every $x, y \in \mathscr{V}$. In this case, $B$ is $\varphi$-adjoint of $A$ and it is denoted by $A^{*}$. If $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}^{*}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called self-adjoint (for more information on related ideas and concepts we refer the reader to [21, p. 88-90]). Also, an operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{V})$ is called $\varphi$-positive if it is self-adjoint and $\varphi(A x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathscr{V}$. The set of all $\varphi$-adjointable operators will denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$.

In Section 2 we invoke some fundamental facts about the sesquilinear forms in vector space that are used throughout the paper. Some famous inequalities due to Kittaneh, Dragomir and Sándor are given. In Section 3 of this paper, we introduce and study the numerical range and numerical radius by using sesquilinear form $\varphi$ in normed space $\mathscr{V}$, which we call them $\varphi$-numerical range and $\varphi$-numerical radius, respectively. Also some inequalities for $\varphi$-numerical radius are extended. For this purpose, we employ some classical inequalities for numerical radius in Hilbert space.

## 2 Some immediate results

We start our work by presenting some simple results. The following lemma is known as Polarization identity for sesquilinear forms; see [2, Theorem 4.3.7].

Lemma 1 Let $\varphi$ be a sesquilinear form on $\mathscr{V}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \varphi(x, y)=\|x+y\|_{\varphi}^{2}-\|x-y\|_{\varphi}^{2}+\mathfrak{i}\|x+\mathfrak{i} y\|_{\varphi}^{2}-\mathfrak{i}\|x-\mathfrak{i} y\|_{\varphi}^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma is known as the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and follows from Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 For any positive sesquilinear form $\varphi$ on $\mathscr{V}$ we have

$$
|\varphi(x, y)| \leq \sqrt{\varphi(x, x)} \sqrt{\varphi(y, y)}
$$

Lemma 3 The Schwarz inequality for $\varphi$-positive operators asserts that if A is a $\varphi$-positive operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(A x, y)|^{2} \leq \varphi(A x, x) \varphi(A y, y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y$ in $\mathscr{V}$.
The following lemma can be found in [13, Lemma 1].
Proposition 1 Let A, B and C be operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$, where A and B are $\varphi$-positive. Then $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{C}^{*} \\ \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{B}\end{array}\right]$ is a $\varphi$-positive operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V} \oplus \mathscr{V})$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(\mathrm{C} x, y)|^{2} \leq \varphi(A x, x) \varphi(\mathrm{B} y, y), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ in $\mathscr{V}$.
Proof. First assume that $\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & C^{*} \\ \mathrm{C} & \text { B }\end{array}\right]$ is a $\varphi$-positive operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V} \oplus \mathscr{V})$. Then by (2) we have
$\left|\varphi\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & C^{*} \\ C & B\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ y\end{array}\right]\right)\right|^{2} \leq \varphi\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & C^{*} \\ C & B\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}x \\ 0\end{array}\right]\right) \varphi\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & C^{*} \\ C & B\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ y\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ y\end{array}\right]\right)$,
for all $x, y$ in $\mathscr{V}$. A direct simplification of above inequality now yields (3). Conversely, assume that (2) holds, then for every $x, y$ in $\mathscr{V}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & C^{*} \\
C & B
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\varphi(A x, x)+\varphi\left(C^{*} y, x\right)+\varphi(C x, y)+\varphi(B y, y) \\
& =\varphi(A x, x)+\varphi(B y, y)+2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(C x, y) \\
& \geq 2(\varphi(A x, x))^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi(B y, y))^{\frac{1}{2}}+2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(C x, y) \\
& \geq 2|\varphi(C x, y)|+2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(C x, y) \\
& \geq 2|\varphi(C x, y)|-2|\varphi(C x, y)| \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark 1 If we put $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{AB}$ in (3), then we obtain

$$
|\varphi(A B x, x)|^{2} \leq \varphi\left(A^{2} x, x\right) \varphi\left(B^{2} y, y\right) .
$$

We will need the following definition to obtain our results. For more related details see [4, p. 1-5].

Definition 1 A functional $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a Hermitian form on linear space $\mathscr{V}$, if
(a) $(a x+b y, z)=a(x, z)+b(y, z)$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x, y, z \in \mathscr{V}$;
(b) $(x, y)=\overline{(y, x)}$, for all $x, y \in \mathscr{V}$.

Utilizing the Cauchy Schwarz inequality we can state the following result that will be useful in the sequel (see [7, Theorem 2]).

Lemma 4 Let $(\mathscr{V}, \varphi(\cdot, \cdot))$ be a complex vector space, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\|a\|_{\varphi}^{2}\|b\|_{\varphi}^{2}-|\varphi(a, b)|^{2}\right)\left(\|b\|_{\varphi}^{2}\|c\|_{\varphi}^{2}-|\varphi(b, c)|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left|\varphi(a, c)\|b\|_{\varphi}^{2}-\varphi(a, b) \varphi(b, c)\right|^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider the mapping $p_{\mathrm{b}}: \mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})=$ $\varphi(a, c)\|b\|_{\varphi}^{2}-\varphi(a, b) \varphi(b, c)$, for each $b \in \mathscr{V} \backslash\{0\}$. Obviously $p_{b}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a non-negative Hermitian form and then writing Schwarz's inequality

$$
\left|p_{b}(a, c)\right|^{2} \leq p_{b}(a, a) p_{b}(c, c), \quad(a, c \in \mathscr{V})
$$

we obtain the desired inequality (4).
The following refinement of the Schwarz inequality holds (see [8, Theorem 4]):
Theorem 1 Let $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathscr{V}$ and $\mathrm{e} \in \mathscr{V}$ with $\|e\|_{\varphi}=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a\|_{\varphi}\|b\|_{\varphi} \geq|\varphi(a, b) \varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)|+|\varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)| \geq|\varphi(a, b)| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying the inequality (4), we can state that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\|a\|_{\varphi}^{2}-|\varphi(a, e)|^{2}\right)\left(\|b\|_{\varphi}^{2}-|\varphi(b, e)|^{2}\right) \geq|\varphi(a, b)-\varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)|^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilizing the elementary inequality for real numbers

$$
\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right) \leq(m p-n q)^{2},
$$

we can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\|a\|_{\varphi}\|b\|_{\varphi}-|\varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)|\right)^{2} \geq\left(\|a\|_{\varphi}^{2}-|\varphi(a, e)|^{2}\right)\left(\left|\|b\|_{\varphi}^{2}-|\varphi(b, e)|^{2}\right|\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $a, b, e \in \mathscr{V}$ with $\|e\|_{\varphi}=1$. Since, by the Schwarz's inequality

$$
|\varphi(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}) \varphi(e, b)| \leq\|\mathrm{a}\|_{\varphi}\|\mathrm{b}\|_{\varphi}
$$

Hence, by (6) and (7) we deduce the first part of (5). The second part of (5) is obvious.
If $\varphi(x, y)=0, x$ is said to be $\varphi$-orthogonal to $y$, and notation $x \perp^{\varphi} y$ is used. If $\varphi(x, x)=0$ implies $x=0$, then the relation $\perp^{\varphi}$ is symmetric. The notation $\mathscr{U} \perp^{\varphi} \mathscr{W}$ means that $x \perp^{\varphi} y$ when $x \in \mathscr{U}$ and $y \in \mathscr{W}$. Also $\mathscr{U}^{\perp}$ is the set of all $y \in \mathscr{V}$ that are orthogonal to every $x \in \mathscr{U}$. The following lemmas are known in the literature (see [21, p. 307-308]).

Lemma 5 If $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathscr{V}$, and $\varphi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x})=0$ implies $\mathrm{x}=0$, then

$$
\|y\|_{\varphi} \leq\|\lambda x+y\|_{\varphi}(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}),
$$

if and and only if $\mathrm{x} \perp^{\varphi} \mathrm{y}$.
Lemma 6 Every non empty closed convex set $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{V}$ contains a unique $\chi$ of minimal norm.

The next assertion is interesting on its own right.
Theorem 2 If $\mathscr{M}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathscr{V}$, then

$$
\mathscr{V}=\mathscr{M} \oplus \mathscr{M}^{\perp} .
$$

## $3 \varphi$-numerical range and $\varphi$-numerical radius

This section deals with the theory of sesquilinear forms, its generalizations and applications to numerical range and numerical radius of operators. The basic notions of numerical range and numerical radius can be found in [11]. Moreover, for a host of numerical radius inequalities, and for diverse applications of these inequalities, we refer to [6, 5, 20], and references therein. Before stating the results, we establish the notation some results from the literature.

Definition 2 The $\varphi$-numerical range of an operator $A$ on vector space $\mathscr{V}$ is the subset of the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, given by

$$
W_{\varphi}(A)=\left\{\varphi(A x, x): x \in \mathscr{V},\|x\|_{\varphi}=1\right\}
$$

Proposition 2 The following properties of $\mathrm{W}_{\varphi}(\mathcal{A})$ are immediate.
(a) If $\varphi$ is symmetric then, $W_{\varphi}\left(A^{*}\right)=\left\{\bar{\lambda}: \lambda \in W_{\varphi}(A)\right\}$.
(b) $W_{\varphi}(\alpha I+\beta A)=\alpha+\beta W_{\varphi}(A)$.
(c) $W_{\varphi}\left(U^{*} A U\right)=W_{\varphi}(A)$, for any unitary operator $U$.

Further, we list some basic properties of $W_{\varphi}(A)$ :
Proposition 3 Let $\mathrm{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V}), \varphi$ be a sesqulinear form on vector space $\mathscr{V}$, then
(a) $\mathrm{W}_{\varphi}(\mathrm{A})$ is convex.
(b) $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq \overline{W_{\varphi}(A)}$, where $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$.
(c) If $\varphi$ is symmetric then, $\mathcal{A}$ is real if and only if $\mathrm{W}_{\varphi}(\mathcal{A})$ is real.

Definition 3 The $\varphi$-numerical radius of an operator $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathscr{V}$ given by

$$
\omega_{\varphi}(A)=\sup \left\{|\varphi(A x, x)|:\|x\|_{\varphi}=1\right\} .
$$

Note that, if $\varphi(x, x)=0$ implies $x=0$ then $\omega_{\varphi}(\cdot)$ is a norm on the $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$ of all bounded linear operators $\mathcal{A}: \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}$, that is
(a) $\omega_{\varphi}(A) \geq 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$ and $\omega_{\varphi}(A)=0$ if and only if $A=0$;
(b) $\omega_{\varphi}(\lambda A)=|\lambda| \omega_{\varphi}(A)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$;
(c) $\omega_{\varphi}(A+B) \leq \omega_{\varphi}(A)+\omega_{\varphi}(B)$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$.

This norm is equivalent with the operator norm. In fact, the following more precise result holds:

Proposition 4 For each $\mathrm{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varphi}(A) \leq\|A\|_{\varphi} \leq 2 \omega_{\varphi}(A), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\|A\|_{\varphi}=\sup \left\{|\varphi(A x, y)|:\|x\|_{\varphi}=\|y\|_{\varphi}=1\right\} .
$$

We are now ready to construct our main results of this section.
Theorem 3 Let $\varphi$ be a symmetric sesquilinear form. Then A is self-adjoint if and only if $\mathrm{W}_{\varphi}(\mathcal{A})$ is real.
$\underline{\text { Proof. If } A} A$ is self-adjoint, we have, for all $f \in \mathscr{V}, \varphi(A f, f)=\varphi(f, A f)=$ $\overline{\varphi(A f, f)}$, and hence $W_{\varphi}(A)$ is real. Conversely, if $\varphi(A f, f)$ is real for all $f \in \mathscr{V}$, we have $\varphi(A f, f)=\varphi(f, A f)=0=\varphi\left(\left(A-A^{*}\right) f, f\right)$. Thus the operator $A-A^{*}$ has only $\{0\}$ in its $\varphi$-numerical range. So $A-A^{*}=0$ and $A=A^{*}$.

Theorem 4 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$. If $R(A) \perp^{\varphi} R\left(A^{*}\right)$, then $\omega_{\varphi}(A)=\frac{1}{2}\|A\|_{\varphi}$.
Proof. Let $x \in \mathscr{V},\|x\|_{\varphi}=1$. We can write $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$, where $x_{1} \in N(A)$, the null space of $A$, and $x_{2} \in \overline{R\left(A^{*}\right)}$. Thus we have

$$
\varphi(A x, x)=\varphi\left(A\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(A x_{2}, x_{1}\right)
$$

Since $A x_{1}=0$ and $\varphi\left(A x_{2}, x_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}, A^{*} x_{2}\right)=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(A x, x)| \leq & \|A\|_{\varphi}\left\|x_{1}\right\|\left\|x_{2}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|_{\varphi}\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|+\left\|x_{2}\right\|\right) \\
& \left(\text { by the inequality }\|a\|\|b\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|a\|^{2}+\|b\|^{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\|A\|_{\varphi} \quad\left(\text { since }\left\|x_{1}\right\|+\left\|x_{2}\right\|=1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
\omega_{\varphi}(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\|_{\varphi} \leq \omega_{\varphi}(A)
$$

This completes the proof.
Our $\varphi$-numerical radius inequality for bounded operators can be stated as follows.

Theorem 5 Let $A, X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varphi}\left(A X A^{*}\right) \leq\|A\|_{\varphi}^{2} \omega_{\varphi}(X) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathscr{V}$ be a unit vector. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi\left(A X A^{*} x, x\right)\right| & =\left|\varphi\left(X A^{*} x, A^{*} x\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|A^{*} x\right\|_{\varphi}^{2} \omega_{\varphi}(x) \\
& \leq\left\|A^{*}\right\|_{\varphi}^{2} \omega_{\varphi}(x) \\
& =\|A\|_{\varphi}^{2} \omega_{\varphi}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the result follows immediately by taking supremum over all unit vectors in $\mathscr{V}$.

Remark 2 Let $A, X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varphi}\left(A X A^{*}\right) \leq\|A\|_{\varphi}^{2}\|X\|_{\varphi} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by (8) we can easily see that inequality (9) is sharper than inequality (10).

The following result holds (see [12, Theorem 1], for the case of inner product):
Theorem 6 Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$ and $\varphi$ is a bounded sesquilinear form, then

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left\|A^{*} A+A A^{*}\right\|_{\varphi} \leq\left(\omega_{\varphi}(A)\right)^{2} \leq\left\|A^{*} A+A A^{*}\right\|_{\varphi}
$$

Proof. Let $A=B+i C$ be the Cartesian decomposition of $A$. Then $B$ and $C$ are self-adjoint, and $A^{*} A+A A^{*}=2\left(B^{2}+C^{2}\right)$. Let $x$ be any vector in $\mathscr{V}$. Then by the convexity of the function $f(t)=t^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(A x, x)|^{2} & =(\varphi(\mathrm{B} x, x))^{2}+(\varphi(\mathrm{C} x, x))^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}(|\varphi(\mathrm{~B} x, x)|+|\varphi(\mathrm{C} x, x)|)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}|\varphi((\mathrm{~B} \pm \mathrm{C}) x, x)|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking supremum over $x \in \mathscr{V}$ with $\|x\|_{\varphi}=1$, produces

$$
\frac{1}{2}\|\mathrm{~B} \pm \mathrm{C}\|_{\varphi}^{2} \leq\left(\omega_{\varphi}(A)\right)^{2}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(\omega_{\varphi}(A)\right)^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|B+C\|_{\varphi}^{2}+\|B-C\|_{\varphi}^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|(B+C)^{2}+(B-C)^{2}\right\|_{\varphi} \\
& =\left\|B^{2}+C^{2}\right\|_{\varphi} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|A^{*} A+A A^{*}\right\|_{\varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\left(\omega_{\varphi}(A)\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|A^{*} A+A A^{*}\right\|_{\varphi}
$$

On the other hand

$$
|\varphi(A x, x)|^{2}=(\varphi(B x, x))^{2}+(\varphi(C x, x))^{2} \leq 2\left\|B^{2}+C^{2}\right\|_{\varphi}
$$

Now by taking the supremum over $x \in \mathscr{V}$, with $\|x\|_{\varphi}=1$ in the above inequality we infer that Theorem 6.
Now we state, another related $\varphi$-numerical radius inequality that has been given in [6, Theorem 36], for Hilbert space case.

Theorem 7 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{V})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varphi}^{2}(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\varphi}\left(A^{2}\right)+\|A\|_{\varphi}^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 observing that

$$
|\varphi(a, b)-\varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)| \geq|\varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)|-|\varphi(a, b)|
$$

hence by first inequality in (5) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\|a\|_{\varphi}\|b\|_{\varphi}+|\varphi(a, b)|\right) \geq|\varphi(a, e) \varphi(e, b)| \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose in (12), $e=x,\|x\|_{\varphi}=1, a=A x$ and $b=A^{*} x$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\|A x\|_{\varphi}\left\|A^{*} x\right\|_{\varphi}+\left|\varphi\left(A^{2} x, x\right)\right| \geq|\varphi(A x, x)|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \mathscr{V}$ with $\|x\|_{\varphi}=1$. Taking the supremum in (13) over $x \in \mathscr{V}$ with $\|x\|_{\varphi}=1$, we deduce the desired inequality (11).

Remark 3 The concept of a sesquilinear form and quadratic form do not require the structure of an inner product space. They can be defined in any vector space. Something to notice about the definition of a sesquilinear form is the similarity it has with an inner product. In essence, a sesquilinear form is a generalization of an inner product. (Note that the inner product is a sesquilinear form but the converse is not true.)

With regard to the point mentioned above, we can say that all of the inequalities which are obtained by Dragomir in [6] can be extended to vector space in a similar way. The details are left to the interested readers.
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