

Sever Silvestru Dragomir*

Trace inequalities of Shisha-Mond type for operators in Hilbert spaces

DOI 10.1515/conop-2017-0004

Received March 25, 2016; accepted March 7, 2017.

Abstract: Some trace inequalities of Shisha-Mond type for operators in Hilbert spaces are provided. Applications in connection to Grüss inequality and for convex functions of selfadjoint operators are also given.

Keywords: Trace class operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators, Schwarz inequality, Grüss inequality

MSC: 47A63, 47A99

1 Introduction

In 1967, Shisha and Mond [55, p. 301] proved the following reverse of Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two positive n -tuples with

$$0 < m \leq \frac{a_k}{b_k} \leq M < \infty \text{ for each } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \tag{1}$$

then

$$0 \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{4(M+m)} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2. \tag{2}$$

The equality holds in (2) if and only if there exists a subsequence (k_1, \dots, k_p) of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^p b_{k_m}^2 = \frac{M+3m}{4(M+m)} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2,$$

$\frac{a_{k_m}}{b_{k_m}} = M$ for every $m = 1, \dots, p$ and $\frac{a_k}{b_k} = m$ for every k distinct from all k_m .

Recall some other classical reverses of Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality when bounds for each n -tuple are available.

Let $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two positive n -tuples with

$$0 < m_1 \leq a_i \leq M_1 < \infty \text{ and } 0 < m_2 \leq b_i \leq M_2 < \infty; \tag{3}$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and some constants m_1, m_2, M_1, M_2 .

The following reverses of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality for positive sequences of real numbers are well known:

*Corresponding Author: Sever Silvestru Dragomir: School of Computational & Applied Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa, E-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

a) *Pólya-Szegő's inequality* [51]:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k\right)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{M_1 M_2}{m_1 m_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_2}{M_1 M_2}} \right)^2.$$

b) *Shisha-Mond's inequality* [55]:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2} \leq \left[\left(\frac{M_1}{m_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{m_1}{M_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2.$$

c) *Ozeki's inequality* [48]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k\right)^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{3} (M_1 M_2 - m_1 m_2)^2.$$

d) *Diaz-Metcalf's inequality* [17]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \frac{m_2 M_2}{m_1 M_1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \leq \left(\frac{M_2}{m_1} + \frac{m_2}{M_1}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k.$$

If $\bar{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is a positive sequence, then the following weighted inequalities also hold:

e) *Cassels' inequality* [58]. If the positive real sequences $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ satisfy the condition (1), then

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k b_k^2\right)}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k b_k\right)^2} \leq \frac{(M + m)^2}{4mM}.$$

f) *Greub-Reinboldt's inequality* [38]. We have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k b_k^2\right) \leq \frac{(M_1 M_2 + m_1 m_2)^2}{4m_1 m_2 M_1 M_2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k b_k\right)^2,$$

provided $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ satisfy the condition (3).

g) *Generalized Diaz-Metcalf's inequality* [17], see also [46, p. 123]. If $u, v \in [0, 1]$ and $v \leq u, u + v = 1$ and (1) holds, then one has the inequality

$$u \sum_{k=1}^n w_k b_k^2 + v M m \sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k^2 \leq (v m + u M) \sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_k b_k.$$

h) *Klamkin-McLenaghan's inequality* [40]. If \bar{a}, \bar{b} satisfy (1), then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i b_i^2\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_i b_i\right)^2 \leq \left(M^{\frac{1}{2}} - m^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_i b_i \sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_i^2. \tag{4}$$

For other recent results providing discrete reverse inequalities, see the monograph online [19].

The following reverse of Schwarz's inequality in inner product spaces holds [20].

Theorem 1.2 (Dragomir, 2003, [20]). *Let $A, a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in H$, where H is a complex inner product space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Ay - x, x - ay \rangle \geq 0, \tag{5}$$

or equivalently,

$$\left\| x - \frac{a + A}{2} \cdot y \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |A - a| \|y\|, \tag{6}$$

holds, then we have the inequality

$$0 \leq \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |A - a|^2 \|y\|^4. \quad (7)$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in (7).

In 1935, G. Grüss [39] proved the following integral inequality which gives an approximation of the integral mean of the product in terms of the product of the integrals means as follows:

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Phi - \phi) (\Gamma - \gamma), \quad (8)$$

where $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable on $[a, b]$ and satisfy the condition

$$\phi \leq f(x) \leq \Phi, \gamma \leq g(x) \leq \Gamma \quad (9)$$

for each $x \in [a, b]$, where $\phi, \Phi, \gamma, \Gamma$ are given real constants.

Moreover, the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller one.

In [22], in order to generalize the Grüss integral inequality in abstract structures the author has proved the following inequality in inner product spaces.

Theorem 1.3 (Dragomir, 1999, [22]). *Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space over \mathbb{K} ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$) and $e \in H$, $\|e\| = 1$. If $\varphi, \gamma, \Phi, \Gamma$ are real or complex numbers and x, y are vectors in H such that the conditions*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi e - x, x - \varphi e \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \langle \Gamma e - y, y - \gamma e \rangle \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

hold, then we have the inequality

$$|\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Phi - \varphi| |\Gamma - \gamma|. \quad (11)$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible in the sense that it can not be replaced by a smaller constant.

For other results of this type, see the recent monograph [25] and the references therein.

For other Grüss type results for integral and sums see the papers [1]-[3], [8]-[10], [11]-[13], [21]-[28], [35], [49], [62] and the references therein.

In order to state some reverses of Schwarz and Grüss type inequalities for trace operators on complex Hilbert spaces we need some preparations as follows.

2 Some facts on trace of operators

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty. \quad (12)$$

It is well known that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^* f_j\|^2 \quad (13)$$

showing that the definition (12) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$\|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . This definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis.

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote the *modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\||A|x\| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$. From (13) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle \quad (15)$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2 \quad (16)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and

$$\|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2 \quad (17)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H);$$

(iv) $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$, the space of operators of finite rank, is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$;

(v) $\mathcal{B}_2(H) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$, where $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the algebra of compact operators on H .

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$\|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty. \quad (18)$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 2.2. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$;
- (ii) A (or $|A|$) is the product of two elements of $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2.3. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \text{ and } \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1 \quad (19)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H)\mathcal{B}_1(H)\mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H)\mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\| \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

(iv) We have the following isometric isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{B}_1(H) \cong K(H)^* \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_1(H)^* \cong \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where $K(H)^*$ is the dual space of $K(H)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1(H)^*$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle, \quad (20)$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (20) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 2.4. *We have*

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\operatorname{tr}(A)}; \quad (21)$$

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$\operatorname{tr}(AT) = \operatorname{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\operatorname{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|; \quad (22)$$

(iii) $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\operatorname{tr}\| = 1$;

(iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$;

(v) $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

Utilising the trace notation we obviously have that

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \operatorname{tr}(B^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*) \text{ and } \|A\|_2^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(|A|^2)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following Hölder's type inequality has been obtained by Ruskai in [52]

$$|\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leq \operatorname{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\operatorname{tr}(|A|^{1/\alpha}) \right]^\alpha \left[\operatorname{tr}(|B|^{1/(1-\alpha)}) \right]^{1-\alpha} \quad (23)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $|A|^{1/\alpha}, |B|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

In particular, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get the Schwarz inequality

$$|\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leq \operatorname{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\operatorname{tr}(|A|^2) \right]^{1/2} \left[\operatorname{tr}(|B|^2) \right]^{1/2} \quad (24)$$

with $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

For the theory of trace functionals and their applications the reader is referred to [56].

For some classical trace inequalities see [14], [16], [47] and [61], which are continuations of the work of Bellman [5]. For related works the reader can refer to [4], [6], [14], [36], [41], [42], [44], [53] and [57].

We denote by

$$\mathcal{B}_1^+(H) := \{P : P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H), P \text{ and is selfadjoint and } P \geq 0\}.$$

We obtained recently the following result [33]:

Theorem 2.5. For any $A, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm.

We also have [33]:

Corollary 2.6. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$\left\| A - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot 1_H \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|.$$

For any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In particular, if $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is such that

$$\left\| C - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot 1_H \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

For other related results see [33].

3 Shisha-Mond type trace inequalities

For two given operators $T, U \in B(H)$ and two given scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U) = (T^* - \bar{\alpha}U^*)(\beta U - T).$$

This transform generalizes the transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T) := (T^* - \bar{\alpha}1_H)(\beta 1_H - T) = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, 1_H),$$

where 1_H is the identity operator, which has been introduced in [31] in order to provide some generalizations of the well known Kantorovich inequality for operators in Hilbert spaces.

We recall that a bounded linear operator T on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called *accretive* if $\operatorname{Re} \langle Ty, y \rangle \geq 0$ for any $y \in H$.

Utilizing the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)x, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T, U)x, x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 \|Ux\|^2 - \left\| Tx - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot Ux \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 \langle |U|^2 x, x \rangle - \left\langle \left| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot U \right|^2 x, x \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

that holds for any scalars α, β and any vector $x \in H$, we can give a simple characterization result that is useful in the following:

Lemma 3.1. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T, U \in B(H)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ (or, equivalently, $\mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T, U)$) is accretive;
- (ii) We have the norm inequality

$$\left\| Tx - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot Ux \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \|Ux\| \quad (30)$$

for any $x \in H$;

- (iii) We have the following inequality in the operator order

$$\left| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot U \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 |U|^2.$$

As a consequence of the above lemma we can state:

Corollary 3.2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T, U \in B(H)$. If $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ is accretive, then

$$\left\| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot U \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \|U\|. \quad (31)$$

Remark 3.3. In order to give examples of linear operators $T, U \in B(H)$ and numbers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ is accretive, it suffices to select two bounded linear operator S and V and the complex numbers z, w ($w \neq 0$) with the property that $\|Sx - zVx\| \leq |w| \|Vx\|$ for any $x \in H$, and, by choosing $T = S$, $U = V$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(z + w)$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(z - w)$ we observe that T and U satisfy (30), i.e., $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T, U)$ is accretive.

The following result is useful in the sequel:

Lemma 3.4. Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{tr} [P (A^* - \bar{\gamma}B^*) (\Gamma B - A)]) \geq 0 \quad (32)$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2). \tag{33}$$

To simplify the writing, we say that (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property.

Proof. Doing the calculation, we have the equality

$$\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) - \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) = P \left[-|A|^2 + \frac{\overline{\gamma + \Gamma}}{2} B^* A + \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} A^* B - \operatorname{Re} (\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) |B|^2 \right] \tag{34}$$

for any bounded operators A, B, P and the complex numbers $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Taking the trace in (34) we get after some simple manipulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) - \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) \\ &= -\operatorname{tr} (P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re} (\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} [\overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr} (PB^* A)] + \operatorname{Re} [\Gamma \operatorname{tr} (\overline{PB^* A})]. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{tr} [P (A^* - \overline{\gamma} B^*) (\Gamma B - A)]) = \operatorname{Re} [\Gamma \operatorname{tr} (\overline{PB^* A}) + \overline{\gamma} \operatorname{tr} (PB^* A)] - \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) \operatorname{Re} (\overline{\gamma} \Gamma) - \operatorname{tr} (P |A|^2),$$

then we get

$$\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) - \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) = \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{tr} [P (A^* - \overline{\gamma} B^*) (\Gamma B - A)]), \tag{36}$$

which proves the desired equivalence. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma, \Gamma}(A, B)$ is accretive, then (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property.*

We have the following result:

Theorem 3.6. *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Gamma + \gamma \neq 0$.*

(i) *If (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\operatorname{tr} (P |A|^2) \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2)} &\leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} (\gamma + \Gamma) \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} (PB^* A) + \operatorname{Im} (\gamma + \Gamma) \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr} (PB^* A)}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) \\ &\leq |\operatorname{tr} (PB^* A)| + \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

(ii) *If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma, \Gamma}(A, B)$ is accretive, then the inequality (37) also holds.*

Proof. (i) If (A, B) satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property, then

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} B \right|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2)$$

that is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{tr} (P |A|^2) - \operatorname{Re} [(\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\Gamma}) \operatorname{tr} (PB^* A)] + \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma + \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2) \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr} (P |B|^2),$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}|\Gamma + \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right) \leq \operatorname{Re}\left[(\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\Gamma}) \operatorname{tr}\left(PB^*A\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}|\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right). \quad (38)$$

Making use of the elementary inequality

$$2\sqrt{pq} \leq p + q, \quad p, q \geq 0,$$

we also have

$$|\Gamma + \gamma| \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right) \right]^{1/2} \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}|\Gamma + \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right). \quad (39)$$

Utilising (38) and (39) we get

$$|\Gamma + \gamma| \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right) \right]^{1/2} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left[(\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\Gamma}) \operatorname{tr}\left(PB^*A\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}|\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right). \quad (40)$$

Dividing by $|\Gamma + \gamma| > 0$ and observing that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[(\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\Gamma}) \operatorname{tr}\left(PB^*A\right)\right] = \operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \Gamma) \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}\left(PB^*A\right) + \operatorname{Im}(\gamma + \Gamma) \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr}\left(PB^*A\right)$$

we get the first inequality in (37).

The second inequality in (37) is obvious by Schwarz inequality

$$(ab + cd)^2 \leq (a^2 + c^2)(b^2 + d^2), \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The (ii) is obvious from (i). □

Remark 3.7. We observe that the inequality between the first and last term in (37) is equivalent to

$$0 \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right)} - \left| \operatorname{tr}\left(PB^*A\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \operatorname{tr}\left(P|B|^2\right). \quad (41)$$

Corollary 3.8. Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma + \Gamma \neq 0$.

(i) If A satisfies the P - (γ, Γ) -trace property, namely

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(A^* - \bar{\gamma}1_H\right)\left(\Gamma 1_H - A\right)\right]\right) \geq 0 \quad (42)$$

or, equivalently

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(P\left|A - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} 1_H\right|^2\right) \leq \frac{1}{4}|\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \operatorname{tr}(P), \quad (43)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}} &\leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \Gamma) \frac{\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} + \operatorname{Im}(\gamma + \Gamma) \frac{\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| + \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

(ii) If the transform $C_{\gamma, \Gamma}(A)$ is accretive, then the inequality (37) also holds.

(iii) We have

$$0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P|A|^2\right)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|}. \quad (45)$$

Remark 3.9. *The case of selfadjoint operators is as follows.*

Let A, B be selfadjoint operators and either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m + M \neq 0$.

(i) If (A, B) satisfies the P - (m, M) -trace property, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)} &\leq \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(PBA) + \frac{(M-m)^2}{4|M+m|} \operatorname{tr}(PB^2) \\ &\leq |\operatorname{tr}(PBA)| + \frac{(M-m)^2}{4|M+m|} \operatorname{tr}(PB^2) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and

$$0 \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)} - \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(PBA) \leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{4|M+m|} \operatorname{tr}(PB^2).$$

(ii) If the transform $\mathcal{C}_{m,M}(A, B)$ is accretive, then the inequality (46) also holds.

(iii) If $(A - mB)(MB - A) \geq 0$, then (46) is valid.

We observe that the inequality (46) in the case when $M > m > 0$ is the operator trace inequality version of Shisha-Mond inequality (1) from Introduction.

Corollary 3.10. *Let A, B be selfadjoint operators and either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m + M \neq 0$.*

(i) If (A, B) satisfies the P - (m, M) -trace property, then we have

$$\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)} + \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)} \right)^2 - \operatorname{tr}(P(A+B)^2) \leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{4|M+m|} \operatorname{tr}(PB^2) \quad (47)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)} + \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P(A+B)^2)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{M-m}{\sqrt{|M+m|}} \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)}. \quad (48)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)} + \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)} \right)^2 - \operatorname{tr}(P(A+B)^2) \\ &= 2 \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)\operatorname{tr}(PB^2)} - \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}(PBA) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Utilising (46) we deduce (47).

The inequality (48) follows from (47). \square

4 Trace inequalities of Grüss type

Let P be a selfadjoint operator with $P \geq 0$. The functional $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,P}$ defined by

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{2,P} := \operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(APB^*) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*AP)$$

is a *nonnegative Hermitian form* on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,P}$ satisfies the properties:

- (h) $\langle A, A \rangle_{2,P} \geq 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$;
- (hh) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,P}$ is linear in the first variable;
- (hhh) $\langle B, A \rangle_{2,P} = \overline{\langle A, B \rangle_{2,P}}$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

Using the properties of the trace we also have the following representations

$$\|A\|_{2,P}^2 := \operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) = \operatorname{tr}(APA^*) = \operatorname{tr}(|A|^2P)$$

and

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{2,P} = \operatorname{tr}(APB^*) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*AP)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The same definitions can be considered if $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

We have the following Grüss type inequality:

Theorem 4.1. *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $P|A|^2$, $P|B|^2$, $P|C|^2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda, \Gamma, \delta, \Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma + \Gamma \neq 0$, $\delta + \Delta \neq 0$. If (A, C) has the trace P - (λ, Γ) -property and (B, C) has the trace P - (δ, Δ) -property, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2)}{[\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)]^2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Proof. We prove in the case that $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$ and $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

Making use of the Schwarz inequality for the nonnegative hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,P}$ we have

$$|\langle A, B \rangle_{2,P}|^2 \leq \langle A, A \rangle_{2,P} \langle B, B \rangle_{2,P}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

Let $C \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $C \neq 0$. Define the mapping $[\cdot, \cdot]_{2,P,C} : \mathcal{B}_2(H) \times \mathcal{B}_2(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$[A, B]_{2,P,C} := \langle A, B \rangle_{2,P} \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - \langle A, C \rangle_{2,P} \langle C, B \rangle_{2,P}.$$

Observe that $[\cdot, \cdot]_{2,P,C}$ is a nonnegative Hermitian form on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and by Schwarz inequality we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle A, B \rangle_{2,P} \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - \langle A, C \rangle_{2,P} \langle C, B \rangle_{2,P} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \left[\|A\|_{2,P}^2 \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - |\langle A, C \rangle_{2,P}|^2 \right] \left[\|B\|_{2,P}^2 \|C\|_{2,P}^2 - |\langle B, C \rangle_{2,P}|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - \operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*C) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where for the last term we used the equality $|\langle B, C \rangle_{2,P}|^2 = |\langle C, B \rangle_{2,P}|^2$.

Since (A, C) has the trace P - (λ, Γ) -property and (B, C) has the trace P - (δ, Δ) -property, then by (41) we have

$$0 \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)$$

and

$$0 \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} + |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)| \right) \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2 & (52) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} + |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*B)| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the inequalities (51) and (52) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PC^*A)|^2 \right] & (53) \\ &\times \left[\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - |\operatorname{tr}(PB^*C)|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} \\ &\times \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use (50) and (53) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \operatorname{tr}(PB^*A) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) - \operatorname{tr}(PC^*A) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*C) \right|^2 & (54) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)} \\ &\times \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2) \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $P|C|^2 \neq 0$ then by (54) we get the desired result (49). □

Corollary 4.2. *Let, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $P|A|^2, P|B|^2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda, \Gamma, \delta, \Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma + \Gamma \neq 0, \delta + \Delta \neq 0$. If A has the trace P - (λ, Γ) -property and B has the trace P - (δ, Δ) -property, then*

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PB^*A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PB^*)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \frac{|\Delta - \delta|^2}{|\Delta + \delta|} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|A|^2) \operatorname{tr}(P|B|^2)}{[\operatorname{tr}(P)]^2}}. \tag{55}$$

The case of selfadjoint operators is useful for applications.

Remark 4.3. *Assume that A, B, C are selfadjoint operators. If, either $P \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ or $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H)$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $PA^2, PB^2, PC^2 \neq 0$ and $m, M, n, N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m + M, n + N \neq 0$. If (A, C) has the trace P - (m, M) -property and (B, C) has the trace P - (n, N) -property, then*

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PBA)}{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PCA) \operatorname{tr}(PBC)}{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(M - m)^2 (N - n)^2}{|M + m| |N + n|} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2) \operatorname{tr}(PB^2)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)]^2}}. \tag{56}$$

If A has the trace P - (k, K) -property and B has the trace P - (l, L) -property, then

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PBA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PB)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(K - k)^2 (L - l)^2}{|K + k| |L + l|} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2) \operatorname{tr}(PB^2)}{[\operatorname{tr}(P)]^2}}, \tag{57}$$

where $k + K, l + L \neq 0$.

5 Applications for convex functions

In the paper [34] we obtained amongst other the following reverse of the Jensen trace inequality:

Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and assume that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m < M$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function on $[m, M]$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$, $P \geq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) & (58) \\
 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A)A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P\left|A - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H\right|\right)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P\left|f'(A) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H\right|\right)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P[f'(A)]^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} [f'(M) - f'(m)] (M - m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of all square matrices of order n with complex elements and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Hermitian matrix such that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m < M$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function on $[m, M]$, then by taking $P = I_n$ in (58) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f(A))}{n} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n}\right) & (59) \\
 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A)A)}{n} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left|A - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} 1_H\right|\right)}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left|f'(A) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n} 1_H\right|\right)}{n} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [f'(M) - f'(m)] \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}([f'(A)]^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} [f'(M) - f'(m)] (M - m).
 \end{aligned}$$

The following reverse inequality also holds:

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and assume that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m + M \neq 0$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function on $[m, M]$ with $f'(m) + f'(M) \neq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$, $P \geq 0$, then we have*

$$0 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A)A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf'(A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|M-m||f'(M)-f'(m)|}{\sqrt{|m+M|}\sqrt{|f'(m)+f'(M)|}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P[f'(A)]^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by the inequality (57) and the details are omitted,

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Hermitian matrix such that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m + M \neq 0$. If f is a continuously differentiable convex function on $[m, M]$ with $f'(m) + f'(M) \neq 0$ then by taking $P = I_n$ in (60) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f(A))}{n} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n}\right) \tag{61} \\ &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A)A)}{n} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(A))}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|M-m||f'(M)-f'(m)|}{\sqrt{|m+M|}\sqrt{|f'(m)+f'(M)|}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A^2)}{n} \frac{\operatorname{tr}([f'(A)]^2)}{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the power function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f(t) = t^r$ with $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$, f is convex while for $r \in (0, 1)$, f is concave.

Let $r \geq 1$ and A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and assume that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $0 < m < M$. If $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right)^r \tag{62} \\ &\leq r \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{r-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} r \frac{(M-m)(M^{r-1}-m^{r-1})}{(m+M)^{1/2}(m^{r-1}+M^{r-1})^{1/2}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2(r-1)})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the convex function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f(t) = \exp t$ and let A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and assume that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m < M$. If $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, then using (60) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \exp A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \exp\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) \tag{63} \\ &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA \exp A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \exp A)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|M-m|(\exp(M)-\exp(m))}{\sqrt{|m+M|}\sqrt{\exp m + \exp M}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \exp(2A))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] G. A. Anastassiou, Grüss type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 12, 583 (2007)
 [2] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type and comparison of integral means inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Panamer. Math. J.* 17, 91 (2007)
 [3] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities via Euler type and Fink identities. *Math. Comput. Modelling* 45, 1189 (2007)
 [4] T. Ando, Matrix Young inequalities. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 75, 33 (1995)
 [5] R. Bellman, in: E.F. Beckenbach (Ed.), *Some inequalities for positive definite matrices, General Inequalities 2, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on General Inequalities*, (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1980), 89

- [6] E. V. Belmega, M. Jungers, S. Lasaulce, A generalization of a trace inequality for positive definite matrices. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 7, Art. 26, 5 pp. (2010)
- [7] N. G. de Bruijn, Problem 12. *Wisk. Opgaven* 21, 12 (1960)
- [8] P. Cerone, P. Cerone, On some results involving the Čebyšev functional and its generalisations. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 4, Article 55, 17 pp. (2003)
- [9] P. Cerone, On Chebyshev functional bounds. *Differential & difference equations and applications*, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 267 (2006).
- [10] P. Cerone, On a Čebyšev-type functional and Grüss-like bounds. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 9, 87 (2006)
- [11] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications. *Tamkang J. Math.* 38, 37 (2007)
- [12] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, New bounds for the Čebyšev functional. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 18, 603 (2005)
- [13] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, Chebyshev functional bounds using Ostrowski seminorms. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 28, 219 (2004)
- [14] D. Chang, A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 237, 721 (1999)
- [15] L. Chen, C. Wong, Inequalities for singular values and traces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 171, 109 (1992)
- [16] I. D. Coop, On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrix. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 188, 999 (1994)
- [17] J. B. Diaz, F. T. Metcalf, Stronger forms of a class of inequalities of G. Pólya-G. Szegő and L.V. Kantorovich. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69, 415 (1963)
- [18] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities in inner product spaces. *J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math.* 4, Article 42 (2003)
- [19] S. S. Dragomir, A Survey on Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz Type Discrete Inequalities, (RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2002.)
- [20] S. S. Dragomir, A counterpart of Schwarz's inequality in inner product spaces. *East Asian Math. J.* 20, 1 (2004)
- [21] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss inequality in inner product spaces. *The Australian Math Soc. Gazette* 26, 66 (1999)
- [22] S. S. Dragomir, A generalization of Grüss' inequality in inner product spaces and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 237, 74 (1999)
- [23] S. S. Dragomir, Some discrete inequalities of Grüss type and applications in guessing theory. *Honam Math. J.* 21, 145 (1999)
- [24] S. S. Dragomir, Some integral inequalities of Grüss type. *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.* 31, 397 (2000)
- [25] S. S. Dragomir, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces* (Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 2005)
- [26] S. S. Dragomir, G.L. Booth, On a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality and its applications for the estimation of p-moments of guessing mappings. *Mathematical Communications* 5, 117 (2000)
- [27] S. S. Dragomir, A Grüss type integral inequality for mappings of r -Hölder's type and applications for trapezoid formula. *Tamkang J. of Math.* 31, (2000)
- [28] S. S. Dragomir, I. Fedotov, An inequality of Grüss' type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means. *Tamkang J. of Math.* 29, 286 (1998)
- [29] S. S. Dragomir, Čebyšev's type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 58, 805 (2010)
- [30] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss' type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 28, 207 (2011)
- [31] S. S. Dragomir, New inequalities of the Kantorovich type for bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428, 2750 (2008)
- [32] S. S. Dragomir, Some Čebyšev's type trace inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* 17, Art. 111 (2014)
- [33] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* 17, Art. 114 (2014)
- [34] S. S. Dragomir, Reverse Jensen's type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* 17, Art. 118 (2014)
- [35] A. M. Fink, A treatise on Grüss' inequality, *Analytic and Geometric Inequalities*. *Math. Appl.* 478, 93 (1999)
- [36] S. Furuichi, M. Lin, Refinements of the trace inequality of Belmega, Lasaulce and Debbah. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 7, Art. 23, 4 pp. (2010)
- [37] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić, Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. *Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space* (Element, Zagreb, 2005).
- [38] W. Greub, W. Rheinboldt, On a generalisation of an inequality of L.V. Kantorovich. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10, 407 (1959)
- [39] G. Grüss, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$. *Math. Z.* 39, 215 (1935).
- [40] M. S. Klamkin, R. G. McLenaghan, An ellipse inequality. *Math. Mag.* 50, 261 (1977)
- [41] H. D. Lee, On some matrix inequalities. *Korean J. Math.* 16, No. 4, 565 (2008)
- [42] L. Liu, A trace class operator inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328, 1484 (2007)
- [43] Z. Liu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral. *Soochow J. Math.* 30, 483 (2004)
- [44] S. Manjegani, Hölder and Young inequalities for the trace of operators. *Positivity* 11, 239 (2007)
- [45] A. Matković, J. Pečarić, I. Perić, A variant of Jensen's inequality of Mercer's type for operators with applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418, 551 (2006)
- [46] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993).

- [47] H. Neudecker, A matrix trace inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 166, 302 (1992)
- [48] N. Ozeki, On the estimation of the inequality by the maximum. *J. College Arts, Chiba Univ.* 5, 199 (1968)
- [49] B. G. Pachpatte, A note on Grüss type inequalities via Cauchy's mean value theorem. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 11, 75 (2008)
- [50] J. Pečarić, J. Mičić, Y. Seo, Inequalities between operator means based on the Mond-Pečarić method. *Houston J. Math.* 30, 191 (2004)
- [51] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, (Vol. 1, Berlin 1925, pp. 57 and 213-214).
- [52] M. B. Ruskai, Inequalities for traces on von Neumann algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 26, 280 (1972)
- [53] K. Shebrawi, H. Albadawi, Operator norm inequalities of Minkowski type. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 9, Art. 26 (2008)
- [54] K. Shebrawi, H. Albadawi, Trace inequalities for matrices. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 87, 139 (2013)
- [55] O. Shisha, B. Mond, Bounds on differences of means, in *Inequalities I* (New York-London, 1967), 293
- [56] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979).
- [57] Z. Ulukök and R. Türkmen, On some matrix trace inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2010, Art. ID 201486, 8 pp.
- [58] G. S. Watson, Serial correlation in regression analysis I. *Biometrika* 42, 327 (1955)
- [59] X. Yang, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 250, 372 (2000)
- [60] X. M. Yang, X. Q. Yang, K. L. Teo, A matrix trace inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 263, 327 (2001)
- [61] Y. Yang, A matrix trace inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 133, 573 (1988)
- [62] C.-J. Zhao, W.-S. Cheung, On multivariate Grüss inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2008, Art. ID 249438, 8 pp. (2008)