# Synthesis, Characterization, and Phase Relations of Zinc-Rich Phases in the Binary Systems Platinum-Zinc and Nickel-Zinc

Dissertation

zur

Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Naturwissenschaften

(Dr. rer. nat.)

 $\operatorname{dem}$ 

Fachbereich Chemie

der Philipps-Universität Marburg

vorgelegt von

### Srinivasa Thimmaiah

aus Jagalur, India

Marburg/Lahn 2005

This work was carried out from July 2001 to September 2005 at the Department of Chemistry, Philipps University, Marburg under the supervision of Prof. Dr. B. Harbrecht.

Vom Fachbereich Chemie

der Philipps-Universität Marburg als Dissertation am 08.12.2005 angenommen.

Erstgutachter Zweitgutachter Prof. Dr. B. Harbrecht Prof. Dr. W. Massa

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung am 21.12.2005

To my beloved parents

# Table of Contents

#### 1 Introduction 1 $\mathbf{2}$ Experiment $\mathbf{5}$ 52.12.26 2.2.16 2.2.26 2.2.38 2.310 2.3.1Powder X-ray diffraction 102.3.210 2.3.3Energy dispersive X-ray analyses and scanning electron microscopy . . . . 122.4122.4.1122.4.2122.4.3132.4.414A general introduction to the binary system Pt-Zn 163 4 $Pt_{1-\delta_1}Zn_{7+\delta_2}$ - A zinc-rich monoclinic AlB<sub>2</sub>-derivative structure 18 4.1184.2194.2.1194.2.2194.3234.3.1234.3.223Results and discussion 4.4244.4.1Metrical relation between the commensurate and incommensurate struc-254.4.227

Page

	4.5	Summary	31
<b>5</b>	$\mathbf{Pt}_2$	${f Zn}_{11-\delta}$ (0.2 $<\delta<$ 0.3) - A $\gamma$ -brass type phase	34
	5.1	Introduction	34
	5.2	Syntheses and characterization	34
		5.2.1 Single crystal structure analysis	36
	5.3	Physical Properties	39
		5.3.1 Thermochemical analyses	39
		5.3.2 Magnetic susceptibility	39
		5.3.3 Electrical resistivity	41
	5.4	Discussion	41
	5.5	Summary	47
6	$\gamma$ -P	${f t}_5{f Z}{f n}_{21}$ - A reappraisal of a $\gamma$ -brass type complex alloy phase	48
	6.1	Introduction	48
	6.2	Syntheses and characterization	49
		6.2.1 Solid state syntheses	49
		6.2.2 Pseudo-isopiestic method	49
	6.3	Single crystal structural determination	50
	6.4	Phase analyses and physical properties	53
	6.5	Results and discussion	56
	6.6	Summary	62
7	$\mathbf{Pt}_{11}$	$Zn_{32} - A \gamma$ -brass related composite structure	64
	7.1	Introduction	64
	7.2	Syntheses	65
	7.3	Structure determination	65
	7.4	Physical properties of $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$	69
		7.4.1 Thermochemical analyses	69
		7.4.2 Magnetic susceptibility	70
	7.5	Results and discussion	71
	7.6	Summary	79
8	Ger	neral characteristics of $\gamma$ -brass related phases	80
9	$\mathbf{Pt}_{1s}$	$_{3}$ Zn <sub>51</sub> - A $\gamma$ -brass related composite structure	83
	9.1	Structure determination	83
	9.2	Results and discussion	86
	_	9.2.1 Phase relations	86
		9.2.2 Structural description	87
	9.3	Summary	90

10 $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ - A complex defective AlB <sub>2</sub> -type derivative structure	91
10.1 Introduction $\ldots$	91
10.2 Syntheses and structure determination	92
10.2.1 Syntheses $\ldots$	92
10.2.2 Phase analyses	92
10.3 Results and discussion	96
10.4 Summary	103
11 A general introduction to the binary system Ni-Zn	105
12 Ni <sub>7</sub> Zn <sub>57-<math>\delta</math></sub> ( $\delta$ = 0.54(6)) - A reappraisal of a zinc-rich monoclinic phase	108
12.1 Introduction $\ldots$	108
12.2 Syntheses $\ldots$	108
12.3 Structure determination	109
12.4 Physical properties of $NiZn_8$	113
12.4.1 Thermochemical analyses	113
12.5 Results and discussion	114
12.5.1 Metrical relation between commensurate and incommensurate structural	
$models \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ $	115
12.6 Summary	120
13 Ni <sub>18</sub> Zn <sub>51</sub> – A $\gamma$ -brass related composite structure	121
13.1 Introduction	121
13.2 Syntheses	121
13.3 Structure determination	122
13.4 Results and discussion	125
13.4.1 Structural description and phase relation $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	125
13.5 Summary	129
14 Phase analyses	130
14.1 X-ray powder diffraction	130
14.2 Isopiestic measurements	133
14.3 Thermochemical analyses	134
15 Summary	136
16 Zusammenfassung	141
Appendix	147
References	166

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

Intermetallic compounds offer a rich source of various structure types [1] and special physical properties, such as superconductivity [2, 3], thermoelectric properties [4–6] or shape memory effects [7], *etc.* In recent decades, plenty of new intermetallic compounds have been synthesized and their properties and structure-composition relations were studied, mainly composed of transition metals and main group elements [8, 9].

The transition metal zinc is known to form complex structures with other metals [10–13]. Zincrich alloys have been topic of considerable interest over the last few decades because of their structural complexity. Intricate phase relations and structural complexity are prominent features of zinc-rich phases containing a second transition metal as a minor component.

In the year 1926 Hume-Rothery put forward a theory to rationalize the stability and formation of different brass-type alloys based on the valence electron concept [14, 15]. According to the Hume-Rothery concept the crystal structures of brass-like alloys are invariant with respect to a specific valence electron concentration (*vec*). The term *vec* can be defined as an average number of valence electrons per atom  $(\frac{e}{a})$ . Such alloys are known as Hume-Rothery alloys or so-called electron compounds. This particular types of intermetallic compounds are formed by noble metals and group 2, 12–15 elements. The sequence of the elemental structure types like face centered cubic, body centered cubic and hexagonal close packed being often found in Hume-Rothery systems occurs at a particular  $\frac{e}{a}$  ratio. A prominent example is the  $\beta$ -brass type structure as realized by CuZn, AlCu<sub>3</sub>, and Cu<sub>5</sub>Sn: all three phases have  $\frac{3}{2}$  chemically active electrons per atom for bonding.

These three phases adopt the *bcc* W-type structure irrespective of the structural distinctions of the constituents. In addition, this  $\frac{e}{a}$  ratio is also favorable for the stabilization of the  $\beta$ -Mn-type structure [16].  $\beta$ -Mn [17] has a rather complex cubic structure consisting of 20 atoms in the cubic unit cell. This allotropic form is stable at higher temperature. The compounds of CoZn [18] and Ag<sub>3</sub>Al [19] adopt the  $\beta$ -Mn structure type.

The  $\gamma$ -brass phases emerging at about  $\frac{21}{13}$  ( $\frac{e}{a}$ ) are commonly considered to be the most complex Hume-Rothery phase in brass-like systems. The  $\gamma$ -brass, Cu<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>8</sub> [20] structure has been known since the early days of X-ray crystallography. It can be seen as a defective variant of the W-type or  $\beta$ -brass-like structure. A cluster concept was introduced by Bradley and Thewlis to describe the complex  $\gamma$ -Cu<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>8</sub> structure [20].

The Hume-Rothery rules were further extended by Westgren and Phragmen [21, 22]. They showed that a large number of different binary systems such as Cu-Zn, Ag-Zn and Au-Zn accommodates  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ - and  $\eta$ - phases forming at *vec* of  $\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\frac{21}{13}$ , and  $\frac{7}{4}$ , respectively. Among the different structure types in brass-like systems the  $\gamma$ -phases presently attract most attention due to their complexity and challenge the understanding of the underlaying stabilization mechanism [23, 24].

Electron counting rules, in particularly for the Hume-Rothery alloys, have played an important role in solid state chemistry and material science although they have not been well understood theoretically. From a theoretical point of view, the first quantum mechanical interpretation of the effect of e/a ratio on phase stability of brass type structures was given by Mott and Jones [25, 26]. Jones' theory successfully explained the extent of formation of primary solid solution and the formation of certain crystal structures in copper-based systems in a quantitative way. The structural stability is due to a stabilization of the energetically least bound electrons near the Fermi level by a partial condensation of these electrons when the Fermi sphere touches those Brillouin zone surfaces which correspond to the planes of the strongest Bragg reflections. As a consequence, a pseudo-gap opens at the Fermi level by a drastic reduction of the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level [24, 27–31].

Furthermore, electron microscopy studies and constitutional analyses of the  $\gamma$ -regions of selected noble metal alloy systems like Ni-Zn [32], Cu-Zn [33] and Pd-Zn [34] revealed that subtle variations

of vec can result in additional structural differentiations. Morton pointed out that the  $\gamma$ -brass fields of Ni-Zn, Cu-Zn and Pd-Zn not only accommodate the  $\gamma$ -phase but a bundle of structurally related, complex phases with lower symmetry than the  $\gamma$ -phase. He uncovered two types of longperiodic regular domain structures in  $\gamma$ -brass and other related alloys with same structure by electron microscopy as shown in Fig. 1.1 and identified them as due to inversion antiphase domain (IAPD) structure. Among these two IAPD structures the striped structure has a periodicity of 70 Å. On the other hand, the triangular structure has a periodicity of about 2000 Å. Note, that the domain ordering changes continuously with composition, see Fig. 1.1a. The symmetry lowering is associated with striped dark and light contrast variations occurring along one of the face diagonal direction (e.g. [110]) of the cubic  $\gamma$ -brass type structure. These kinds of superstructure are known to be stabilized between 1.56 to 1.60 of *vec* with respect to the Ni-Zn system. A similar kind of super-structures were also observed in the Cu-Al [35, 36], Al-Cr [37] and Al-Cr-Fe [38] systems.



Figure 1.1: **a)** A graph showing the variation of the planar IAPD with composition and with valence electron concentration. **b)** Top: bright-field image of the planer anti-phase domain structure. Bottom: dark field image of the triangular IADP structure along the beam direction [111] (taken from Morton [32, 34]).

Further studies by Schubert *et al.* in the Ni-Zn system demonstrated the existence of the complex  $\gamma$ -brass related phase NiZn<sub>3</sub> [12]. It accommodates 276 atoms in the orthorhombic unit cell with 12 ordered vacancies. The NiZn<sub>3</sub> structure emerges next to the  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> phase [11]. This structural finding is an additional support for the presence of such complex structures in the so-called  $\gamma$ -region as proposed by Morton. However, the weak X-ray scattering contrast between Ni and Zn hampers an unambiguous assessment of how the structure evolves at varying composition. In addition, a report on the Pd<sub>15</sub>Zn<sub>54</sub> [39] phase which is structurally closely related to NiZn<sub>3</sub> in the congeneric Pd-Zn system renders support to the assumption that similar phases might yet be hidden near the  $\gamma$ -brass regions of other noble metal-zinc system. Discovery of these  $\gamma$ -brass related phases next to the  $\gamma$ -field in the Ni-Zn and Pd-Zn system dragged more attention to verify the existence of such structurally differentiated  $\gamma$ -phases in the Pt-Zn system.

The Pt-Zn binary system had previously been studied by Nowotny [40], Ekman [41] and Westman [13] and Schubert [42]. The first constitutional phase diagram of Pt-Zn was reported by Nowotny *et al.* [40]. They identified five phases by means of X-ray powder diffraction: Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn [40], PtZn [43], PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> [40],  $\gamma$ -PtZn<sub>5</sub> [40] and PtZn<sub>8</sub> [40]. Structural features derived from single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were known only for the phases  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> [13] and Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> [42]. Hence, the phases and phase relations of the Pt-Zn system are still poorly defined and deserve a closer inspection.

The research performed in the course of this dissertation was strongly motivated and guided by the justified prospect that the Pt-Zn system accommodates a series of structurally complex, yet hidden phases whose structures might provide new insight into expression, mechanisms and causes of structural complexity in chemically simple binary systems. The Pt-Zn system was chosen since the X-ray scattering contrast between Pt and Zn is large enough to allow a precise determination of the chemical composition by X-ray single crystal diffraction means, even for phases which might differ by less than 1 mol % in zinc. Accurate knowledge of the crystal structures will provide a solid basis to analyse how small changes in the valence electron concentration affect structural differentiation beyond the complexity of  $\gamma$ -brass type phases.

A reassessment of structures of related Zn-rich phases in the Ni-Zn system was second goal of this work.

# Chapter 2

# Experiment

## 2.1 Starting materials for syntheses

The starting materials were obtained from commercial sources. The materials with their sources which were used for syntheses are summarized together in Table 2.1

Table 2.1. Starting material for the syntheses						
Elements	Form	Purity [%]	Source			
Platinum	Powder	99.99	Chempur			
Platinum	Foil	99.99	Chempur			
Platinum	Wire	99.99	Johnson Matthey			
Nickel	Rod	99.99	Alpha			
Zinc	Powder	99.99	Chempur			
Zinc	Granules	99.999	Chempur			
	(1-5  mm)					
Zinc chloride	Powder	99.99	Alpha			

Table 2.1: Starting material for the syntheses

### 2.2 Syntheses

#### 2.2.1 Solid state syntheses

All the syntheses were carried out in evacuated quartz glass ampoules with an external diameter of 10 mm. The metals were sealed in previously out-gassed, quartz glass ampoules under a reduced argon pressure ( $3 \times 10^{-3} m$  bar). The molar fraction  $x_{\rm Pt}$  of the mixtures was varied systematically between 0.05 and 0.50. The ampoules were continuously heated up to 1373 K at a rate of 120 K h<sup>-1</sup>, kept at this temperature for 12 h, and subsequently cooled down to 973 K at a rate of 5 K h<sup>-1</sup>. After a further annealing for 12 h the silica ampoules were brought to ambient temperature in the course of 12 h or by turning off the furnace. To avoid eventual loss of zinc due to evaporation, the reactants were kept at a lower temperature than the rest of the ampoule.

#### 2.2.2 Flux method

Molten metals [44, 45] and metal halides [10, 46] have successfully been used as solvents for the growth of a variety of compounds and exploratory syntheses of new intermetallic materials. Flux method [47, 48] is a comparatively simple technique for growing crystal. This method offers more flexibility for opting suitable reaction temperatures for crystal growth. The advantages of the flux method are as following:

- The crystals are grown out of a solvent that reduces the melting temperature of the desired compound.
- Excess flux could be easily removed by dissolving or by applying an external force, such as centrifugation.
- It does not require an elaborate apparatus.
- Crystal growth is relatively fast.

This method was successfully applied for the syntheses of compounds at the zinc-rich side. Here, excess Zn was used as a "self flux". The reacting mixture was placed into a silica ampoule with a plug of quartz glass wool which acts as a filter for an easy removal of excess flux after the reaction. This quartz glass wool was supported by broken quartz glass pieces. The ampoule consisting of the reactant mixture and a plug of quartz glass wool was sealed under reduced pressure. The mixture was treated for 12 h at 985 K. Thereafter, the temperature was first decreased by 50 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 735 K and then by 1 K h<sup>-1</sup> down to 700 K, where the melt was removed by high-temperature centrifugation aided filtration [44]. More details description about the syntheses procedure can be found elsewhere [47, 49].



Figure 2.1: Melt-centrifugation method: Reaction ampoule containing crushed silica pieces and a plug of silica wool. The quartz glass ampoule was placed inside a steel cylinder filled with quartz glass wool as insulation in order to keep the sample at nearly constant temperature during centrifugation. After the reaction, the crystals were separated from the flux by turning the ampule up-side down and centrifuging the liquid through the filter (taken from Boström *et al.* [50]).

An attempt was also made to make use of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  as a flux. The reactants Pt and Zn were taken in the ratio 1:15 and then transferred in to a silica ampoule containing dry  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ . The  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ was taken three times in excess compared to the reactants (wt.%). The silica ampoules were sealed under reduced pressure. The ampoules were heated continuously at a rate of 50 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 700 K at which the reactants were kept for 7 days. Hereafter, the samples were brought to ambient temperature with a cooling rate 5 K h<sup>-1</sup>. After the reaction excess  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  was removed by washing the product with warm water. The crystals thus obtained were small in size with regular shape, see Fig. 2.2. Noteworthy, a trace amount of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  remained on the surface of the crystals, though the product was washed several times with warm water. The size of the crystals ranged from  $\approx 50$  to 80  $\mu$ m.



Figure 2.2: SEM micrographs of the phase  $PtZn_7$  produced in a  $ZnCl_2$  flux.

#### 2.2.3 Pseudo-isopiestic technique

The isopiestic vapor pressure method is an advantageous technique for the understanding of phase formation, stability and width (homogeneity range) from one single experiment. The binary and ternary compounds had successfully been prepared by using the pseudo-isopiestic method [51–54]. From this method the thermodynamic activity of the volatile element in the alloys was determined at a fixed temperature of the volatile component.

The working principle is as follows: A non-volatile component 'A' (platinum) is equilibrated at the sample temperature  $T_S$  in a closed system with vapour from the pure volatile component 'B' (zinc) of temperature  $T_R$ , R stands for reservoir. The vapour pressure of component A and B should differ by at least three orders of magnitude. Assuming that the vapour pressure of the component A is negligibly small compared to that of component B, the total pressure in the system is determined by the only volatile component. The partial pressure of zinc over each sample at the sample temperature  $T_S$ ,  $p_{Zn}(T_S)$ , must be equal to the vapor pressure of pure zinc at the temperature of the reservoir  $T_R$ ,  $p_{Zn}^0(T_R)$ :

$$p_{\rm Zn}(\rm T_S) = p_{\rm Zn}^0(\rm T_R)$$

With this condition the zinc activity in each sample, at the sample temperature can be calculated by the following equation:

$$a_{\rm Zn}({\rm T_S}) = \frac{p_{\rm Zn}({\rm T_S})}{p_{\rm Zn}^0({\rm T_S})} = \frac{p_{\rm Zn}^0({\rm T_R})}{p_{\rm Zn}^0({\rm T_S})}$$

For the calculation of the activity of the Zn in a sample, one needs to know the temperature dependence of the vapor pressure of pure Zn and the composition of the sample after the reaction. It can be determined by gain in weight by the nonvolatile component before and after the reaction.



Figure 2.3: Schematic diagram of an isopiestic apparatus.

The experiments were conducted based on the above principle. Approximately 50 mg ( $\pm 0.05$ ) of platinum wire was wound into spooles and then placed into individual silica crucibles after that the crucibles were placed vertically top of one another in a specially designed silica apparatus, as shown in Fig. 2.3. 15 crucibles were arranged in the reaction tube, sealed under vacuum and then placed in the temperature gradient of a two-zone furnace. The platinum spooles were exposed to

a constant vapor pressure of Zn (determined by the temperature of the Zn-reservoir within the reaction tube) for 14 days at temperatures ranging from 880 K to 1080 K. Pt/PtRh-thermocouple was used for controlling the temperature of the Zn reservoir and the 15 samples. The compositions of the equilibrated samples were determined by measuring the Zn uptake.

### 2.3 Phase analyses and data processing

#### 2.3.1 Powder X-ray diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction was used as a first step of characterizing the products. The powder patterns were recorded using a X'Pert MPD diffractometer (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> = 1.5406 Å, 40kV, 40mA) operating in Bragg-Brentano geometry with a secondary monochromator (Graphite). A single crystal silicon plate was used as a sample holder. All the diffractograms were recorded at room temperature between 10 < 2 $\theta$  < 90°.

High resolution X-ray powder patterns were obtained by synchrotron radiation of wavelength  $\lambda = 1.150626$  Å from the X3B1 beamline at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, New York, USA. The samples were ground in an agate mortar and pestle, passed through a 270 mesh sieve and then placed onto an off-cut quartz sample holder. Powder patterns were recorded with a 0.005° step in the detector. For each step, the sample holder was rotated 3°.

Calculations of theoretical powder patterns and data processing of the obtained powder patterns were carried out with the X'Pert program package supplied by Philips instruments [55]. The diffraction profiles of single or multiphase samples were quantitatively analyzed by a Rietveld method either with the X'Pert Plus [55] or Fullprof program [56].

#### 2.3.2 Single crystal X-ray diffraction

Single crystal X-ray diffraction method was used for the characterization of unknown structures and confirmation of structural aspects for known structures. The crystals were selected from a crushed glittering product and then mounted on glass capillaries of 0.2 mm diameter using silicon grease. The diffraction intensities of crystals were collected on a Stoe Image Plate Diffraction System, IPDS-I or IPDS-II (MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> = 0.71073Å; Graphite monochromator) at room temperature. More reliable lattice parameters were independently determined from the positions of 25 selected reflections measured on a four-circle diffractometer CAD 4 (Enraf Nonius, MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> = 0.71073Å; Graphite monochromator). The data collection and processing on the IPDS diffractometer was performed using programs supplied by Stoe [57]:

Expose $[57]$ :	For data collection
Cell [57]:	To obtain the unit cell dimensions
Integrate [57]:	For data reduction

For the structural determination the following programs were used.

XPREP:	Cell reduction and determination of possible space groups on the basis			
	of systematic extinctions,			
SHELX-97 [58]:	For structural refinement			
JANA2000 [59]:	For structural refinement			
ADDSYM [60]:	For finding missing symmetry			

All measured reflections were subjected to Lorentz, polarization and numerical absorption correction using the X-SHAPE and X-RED programs [61, 62].

The definition of the R-values (residuals) are given as follows

$$R_1 = \frac{\sum_{hkl} ||F_o| - |F_c||}{\sum_{hkl} |F_o|}$$
$$wR_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{hkl} w \cdot (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2}{\sum_{hkl} w \cdot (F_o)^2}}$$

Goodness of fit,

$$S = \frac{\sum_{hkl} w \cdot (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2}{m - n}$$

Where, m = number of reflections, n = number of parameters.

The difference m - n gives the overdetermination of the structure. For a correct structure with a suitable weighting scheme, S will have a value close to one [63].

## 2.3.3 Energy dispersive X-ray analyses and scanning electron microscopy

The morphology of the samples were acquired from a scanning electron microscope (CS 4DV, CAM Scan, 20 kV). Chemical analyses were performed with an EDX system with a SiLi detector (Noran Instruments, Pt-L, Zn-L) both being attached to the microscope.

### 2.4 Physical properties

#### 2.4.1 Density measurement

The densities of single phase samples were measured with a He-pycnometer (accupya 1330, Norcross) based on the gas displacement technique. The instrument determines automatically the density and volume of a solid sample by measuring the pressure change of helium in a calibrated volume.

#### 2.4.2 Thermal analyses

Thermochemical analyses were performed employing a differential scanning calorimeter operating in the temperature range 670-1370 K (DSC setsys 16/18 Setaram, Pt/Rh thermocouple). The differential scanning calorimetry is a fingerprint technique that provides information about chemical reactions, phase transitions, and structural changes occurring in a sample during heating and cooling cycles. Usually 25-30 mg samples were pressed to a pellet of 3 mm in diameter, and then placed into a small silica ampoules of external diameter of 5 mm. The silica ampoules were sealed under a reduced pressure. The length of sample container was reduced to  $\approx 15$  mm to suppress incongruent vaporization of Zn. An empty silica container of similar size was used as a reference. The experiments were carried out under argon atmosphere at constant pressure. To ensure reproducibility of the thermal events the experiments were usually repeated twice at heating and cooling rates of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.4.3 Magnetic susceptibility

The magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on a SQUID (Superconducting Quantum Interference Device; MPMS, Quantum Design, San Diego) magnetometer from 1.8-330 K with a constant magnetic field. Field dependent measurements were carried out on selected samples between 5 K and room temperature. About 100-130 mg of the substance were used for each measurement. The data were corrected for magnetic contributions from the sample holder manufactured from Teflon.

The molar susceptibilities  $\chi_{mol}$  of the samples were calculated as follows

$$\chi_{mol} = \frac{\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{mol}}{\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{m}} \quad \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$$

M: magnetic moment [emu]m: weight of the sample [g]H: magnetic field [G] $\chi_{mol}$ : molar weight of the sample [g mol<sup>-1</sup>]

Generally, the susceptibility is the sum of different contributions:

$$\chi_{mol} = \chi_{mol}^{para} + \chi_{mol}^{TIP} + \chi_{mol}^{dia}$$

 $\chi_{mol}$  = molar susceptibility

- $\chi_{mol}^{para} = T \text{dependent paramagnetic contribution}$
- $\chi_{mol}^{TIP} = T \text{independent paramagnetic contribution (Pauli paramagnetism)}$
- $\chi_{mol}^{dia} = T \text{independent diamagnetic contribution}$

#### 2.4.4 Electrical conductivity

The electrical resistance of microcrystalline samples were measured in the temperature range 12-300 K under vacuum using closed-cycle He cryostat and a temperature controller (Lake Shore). The electrical resistivities were determined by applying a four-point probe method in the d.c. mode. The four Cu wires were attached to a rod-like sample using silver epoxy paste. The sample was prepared in an evacuated sealed quartz glass capillary (0.5 mm diameter) by slowly crystallizing a melt in a 1173-1103 K temperature gradient. Contact distances and the cross sectional area of the rod-like sample were determined using a light microscope. The voltage was measured (Hewlett Packard) at a constant current (Advantest) of 10 mA or 20 mA, respectively.

A constant current I was applied across two electrodes while the potential across the two others was measured. The electrical resistance R can be calculated according to Ohm's law,

$$R=\frac{U}{I}$$



Figure 2.4: Schematic diagram of the four-point method. The constant current (I) is applied between two electrodes (P1 and P4) and the rising difference between the potential ( $\Delta V$ ) is measured between the two inner electrodes (P2 and P3).

According to this method the resistivity ' $\rho$ ' of the sample is a function of the resistance R and the thickness of the sample. The conductivity is the reciprocal of the resistivity,

$$\rho = \frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{I}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{d}}$$

where:

U = Potential difference between the inner electrodes [V]

I = constant current applied between the outer electrodes [A]

A = Area of the sample [m]

d = distance between the two inner electrodes [m].

# Chapter 3

# A general introduction to the binary system Pt-Zn

The phase diagram of the binary system Pt-Zn was first reported by Nowotny *et al.* [40]. They identified five phases by means of X-ray powder diffraction, among them PtZn [43], PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> [40], Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn [40],  $\gamma$ -PtZn<sub>5</sub> [40], and PtZn<sub>8</sub> [40]. The structures of PtZn<sub>5</sub> [40] and PtZn<sub>8</sub> [40] are not known so far. Two compounds were subsequently characterized by means of single crystal X-ray diffraction, namely, Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> [42] and  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> [13]. The unit cell volume of  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> accommodates 392 atoms in a face centered cubic unit cell. Earlier, this phase was discovered by Ekman by means of powder X-ray diffraction, who assigned the composition Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> to this phase [41].  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> is considered to be one of the most complex structure reported in the binary system Pt-Zn.

Furthermore, a comprehensive report on the relation between phases in the intermediate range  $0.3 < x_{\rm Pt} < 0.45$  of the Pt-Zn phase diagram was given by Schubert *et al.* [64]. They provide evidence for the existence of four brass-like phases [64] in this intermediate range. According to Schubert's proposal three of the phases form from a high temperature phase termed PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht3) with hitherto unknown structure in a cascade of eutectoid reactions upon cooling together with a phase which was considered to be Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> [13]. The first transition occurs at 1094 K. It leads to PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht2) for which an AlB<sub>2</sub>-type related structure was proposed [40]. According to available data the structure of PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> possess unreasonably short distances (d(Zn-Zn) = 119 pm). Below 962 K PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht2) transforms into Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> (ht1) adopting a superstructure of the

AlB<sub>2</sub>-type with ordered defects [42]. The list of known structures in the Pt-Zn binary system are summarized in Table 3.1. The phase diagram of the Pt-Zn binary system is shown in Fig. 3.1.

Phase	Pearson Symbol	Space group	Structure type
$Pt_{1-x}Zn_x$	cF4	${ m Fm}\bar{3}{ m m}$	Cu
$Pt_3Zn$ [40]	tP4	$\rm Pm\bar{3}m$	$\mathrm{Cu}_3\mathrm{Au}$
$\beta\text{-}\text{PtZn}$ [43]	cP4	P4/mmm	CuAu
$PtZn_{1.7}^{*}$ [40]	hP6	P321	$\mathrm{PtZn}_{1.7}$
$Pt_7Zn_{12}^*$ [42]	oP38	Pbam	$\mathrm{Pt}_{7}\mathrm{Zn}_{12}$
$\gamma$ -Pt <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>10</sub> [13]	cF392	$F\bar{4}3m$	$\mathrm{Pt}_{3}\mathrm{Zn}_{10}$
$PtZn_8 \ [40]$	_	_	_
Zn	hP2	$P6_3/mmc$	Mg

Table 3.1: The crystallographic data on known structures in the Pt-Zn binary system

\* High temperature phase.



Figure 3.1: Phase diagram of the Pt-Zn binary system from ASM [65].

# Chapter 4

# $Pt_{1-\delta_1}Zn_{7+\delta_2}$ - A zinc-rich monoclinic AlB<sub>2</sub>-derivative structure

### 4.1 Introduction

The transition metal zinc is known to form structurally complex phases with other metals [10, 66, 67]. Due to its corrosion resistance nature, zinc metal is widely used as a protective coating on steal and in the galvanizing process. A survey of most Zn-rich intermetallic compounds shows a strong tendency for the element to form complex, structurally disordered phases [68–70]. Due to the complexity, however, only few phases have been structurally characterized so far, most recently, e.g., MoZn<sub>20.44</sub> [66], FeZn<sub>10</sub> [10], Sc<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>18</sub> [71], Ir<sub>7+7\delta</sub>Zn<sub>97-11\delta</sub> [70], and CoZn<sub>7.8</sub> [72]. Nevertheless, most commonly observed Zn-rich intermetallic phases are of formula MZn<sub>13</sub> (M = Mn [73], Fe [46, 73], Co [73], and Rh [74]) and they crystallize in the same structure type.

The constitutional phase diagram of Pt-Zn was reported by Nowotny *et al.* [40]. According to them, the most Zn-rich phase has an approximate composition corresponding to the formula PtZn<sub>8</sub>. It has been identified by means of X-ray powder diffraction. According to the available data, the Zn-rich phase undergoes decomposition at 705 K [65]. So far, there is no structural report on this phase. The only known Zn-rich phase in the congeneric Ni-Zn system so far is NiZn<sub>8</sub>. This chapter focuses on the syntheses and characterization of the phase richest in Zn, to which Nowotny assigned the composition PtZn<sub>8</sub> [40].

### 4.2 Syntheses and characterization

#### 4.2.1 Syntheses

Single crystals of  $PtZn_7$  were prepared in a zinc-rich self flux from 0.008 g Pt (0.5 mm wire) and 1.006 g Zn (granules). The elements (nominal composition  $Pt_xZn_y$ ) were sealed in an evacuated quartz tube fitted with a quartz wool filter. The mixture was heated at 985 K for 12 h. Thereafter, the temperature was first decreased by 50 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 735 K and then by 1 K down to 700 K, where the melt was removed by high-temperature centrifugation aided filtration [44].

The polycrystalline samples were prepared from the pure elements Pt and Zn in a ratio  $\approx 1:15$  in evacuated silica ampoules. The reactant mixture was heated continuously at a rate of 30 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 710 K at which reactants were kept for 150 h. Thereafter, samples were quenched in water or brought to ambient temperature within the course of 3 h. Often, the excess Zn was separated from the product, rarely it was observed that the product was embedded in a Zn matrix that was removed by dissolving the regulus in dilute hydrochloric acid.

#### 4.2.2 Phase analyses

The products of single and two phase samples were routinely characterized by means of X-ray powder diffraction.

The powder diffractograms of polycrystalline samples were recorded on a Philips X'Pert MPD diffractometer ( $CuK_{\alpha}$ ) operating in Bragg-Brentano geometry equipped with a secondary monochromator. The powder diffractograms a showed complex pattern. Occasionally additional peaks of excess Zn or  $Pt_2Zn_{11}$  could be identified.

X-ray diffraction studies were carried out on a single crystal of  $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}^3$  in size with an IPDS-I X-ray diffractometer using MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The diffraction intensities were collected at room temperature in the range of  $3.8 \leq 2\theta \leq 56.1$ . The collected reflections were subjected to absorption correction using the programs X-RED and X-SHAPE [61, 62]. The atomic parameters for the structure refinement were obtained from direct methods as implemented in the SHELX-97 [58] program package. After few least-squares refinements the residual R1 dropped to  $\approx 11\%$  with isotropic thermal displacement parameters for 24 distinct atomic positions. Three positions were assigned to Pt and the remaining to Zn. The thermal displacement parameters of Zn8 and Zn9 were anomalously large compared to the rest of Zn atoms. This tentatively suggested that these Zn sites might be partially occupied so that those positions were refined as partially occupied sites. In addition, the thermal displacement parameter of three atoms showed negative displacement parameters if assigned to be occupied by Zn. Therefore, these positions were treated as mixed occupied positions (M1, M2 and M3). Zn18 also showed a considerably high thermal displacement parameter and some residual electron density close to it. This was the highest peak in the difference Fourier map. It showed physically meaningless short distances to several atoms (Zn18 and Zn8). This feature as well as the large size of some displacement parameters (See Table 4.2) are attributed to the commensurate treatment of this truely incommensurate structure. The final refinement cycles including an extinction correction and anisotropic displacement parameters yield R1 = 0.0334, wR2 = 0.1447 for 786 reflections with  $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$  out of 2494 unique reflections. The technical details, atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal displacement parameters are accumulated in Table 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, respectively.

The structure of PtZn<sub>7</sub> was confirmed by a refinement of a data set from a second crystal. The lattice constants are: a = 1353.2(2) pm, b = 762.7(3) pm, c = 1876.9(3) pm, and  $\beta = 97.41(2)^{\circ}$ .

Formula	$Pt_1 \in Zn_2 \in *$
Space group (No.)	$C_{1-\delta_{1}}^{2} \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\delta_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}}$
7	16
a/pm	1352.1(3)
b/pm	762.10(2)
c/pm	1875.8(4)
$\beta [^{\circ}]$	97.40(3)
$V / 10^{6}  \mathrm{pm}^{3}$	1916.8
Molar mass $/ \text{g mol}^{-1}$	652.68
$\rho_{cal} /\mathrm{g}\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	8.917
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	63.29
Data collection	
$Crystal size / mm^3$	$0.10\times0.05\times0.06$
Diffractometer	IPDS–I (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha} / Graphite$
Distance crystal-IP / $\rm mm$	60
$\phi_{\min} - \phi_{\max}; \Delta \phi$	0-211; 1
$2 heta_{ m max}/^{\circ}$	56.1
Collected reflections	$-17 \le h \le 17$
	$-10 \le k \le 10$
	$-24 \le l \le 24$
Total No. of reflections	9846
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS–Software $[57] / X$ -RED $[61]$
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE[62]
max. / min. Transmission	0.3110  /  0.1307
Unique reflections	2494
$R_{int}$	0.0764
Rofinement	
Program	SHELXI_07 [58]
Refined on	F   <sup>2</sup>
Reflections $L_{-} > 2\sigma(L_{-})$	786
Variables	173
$R_1 (I_0 > 2\sigma(I_0))$	0.0355
$R_1$ (all)	0.1244
$wR_2$ (all)	0.1530
Goodness of fit	0.664
$\Delta  ho_{ m max}$ / $\Delta  ho_{ m min}$ / $10^{-6}  m e  pm^{-3}$	4.742 / -4.305
Extinction coefficient	0.000104(13)

Table 4.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

\* In further discussion the phase  $Pt_{1-\delta_1}Zn_{7+\delta_2}$  ( $\delta = \delta_2 - \delta_1$ ) is termed as  $PtZn_7$  for the sake of simplicity.

Atom	Wy.	х	У	$\mathbf{Z}$	sof	Ueq
Pt1	2a	0	0	0	1	43(4)
Pt2	4i	0.13420(9)	0	0.60932(7)	1	43(4)
Pt3	4i	0.26906(10)	0	0.21742(7)	1	52(4)
Zn1	2c	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	203(16)
Zn2	4i	0.0619(3)	0	$0.73\bar{6}3(2)$	1	120(10)
Zn3	4i	0.0702(3)	0	0.8713(2)	1	120(10)
Zn4	4i	0.1984(3)	0	0.4797(2)	1	130(10)
Zn5	4i	0.1969(3)	0	0.3444(2)	1	112(10)
Zn6	4i	0.3258(3)	0	0.0881(2)	1	112(10)
Zn7	4i	0.1309(3)	0	0.1110(2)	1	218(13)
Zn8	4i	0.4484(4)	0	0.6965(3)	1	238(16)
Zn9	4i	0.2618(3)	0	0.7216(2)	0.82(2)	370(30)
Zn10	8j	0.0306(2)	0.1818(5)	0.37496(15)	1	129(7)
Zn11	8j	0.1089(2)	0.1874(5)	0.23593(16)	1	173(7)
Zn12	8j	0.16545(19)	0.1802(5)	0.98402(15)	1	103(7)
Zn13	8j	0.2989(2)	0.1801(4)	0.59245(16)	1	122(7)
Zn14	8j	0.2552(2)	0.3086(5)	0.15089(17)	1	166(7)
Zn15	8j	0.3785(2)	0.1933(5)	0.46335(17)	1	180(8)
Zn16	8j	0.4329(2)	0.1806(3)	0.19715(18)	1	101(5)
Zn17	8j	0.4874(2)	0.1951(4)	0.92703(15)	1	162(7)
Zn18	8j	0.3545(3)	0.1924(5)	0.32005(16)	1	425(11)
M1(Zn)	4i	0.3339(3)	0	0.95499(19)	$0.94(1)^{a}$	73(14)
M2(Zn)	4i	0.40094(11)	0	0.82591(8)	$0.19(1)^{a}$	31(5)
M3(Zn)	4i	0.46831(17)	0	0.56545(12)	$0.57(1)^{a}$	87(9)

Table 4.2: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $PtZn_7$ 

<sup>a</sup> sof of Pt = 1 - sof Zn

Table 4.3: Anisotropic displacement parameters U  $\rm (pm^2)$  for  $\rm PtZn_7$ 

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Pt1	40(9)	66(11)	24(9)	0	0	9(7)
Pt2	39(7)	61(9)	27(7)	0	0	-5(5)
Pt3	51(7)	66(8)	37(7)	0	0	1(5)
Zn1	80(30)	430(50)	90(30)	0	0	-20(20)
Zn2	160(20)	150(30)	60(20)	0	0	56(17)
Zn3	190(20)	130(30)	50(20)	0	0	45(17)
Zn4	170(20)	150(30)	80(20)	0	0	52(17)
Zn5	130(20)	110(30)	110(20)	0	0	41(17)
Zn6	130(20)	180(30)	29(19)	0	0	18(15)
Zn7	160(30)	410(40)	70(20)	0	0	-50(20)
Zn8	450(30)	190(20)	73(17)	0	0	70(18)
Zn9	50(30)	990(60)	60(30)	0	0	-41(18)
Zn10	120(14)	106(18)	170(15)	-51(13)	-35(13)	49(12)
Zn11	177(15)	150(19)	210(16)	92(14)	74(14)	90(12)
Zn12	38(13)	118(18)	155(14)	-13(12)	-25(14)	12(11)
Zn13	93(14)	87(17)	185(16)	-31(13)	-9(13)	13(12)
Zn14	120(14)	129(18)	260(16)	72(13)	98(14)	68(12)
Zn15	159(16)	178(19)	215(16)	89(14)	111(14)	67(13)
Zn16	70(10)	97(11)	139(10)	-2(15)	-8(16)	24(8)
Zn17	214(15)	143(18)	135(15)	-71(13)	-73(13)	51(12)
Zn18	510(20)	630(30)	187(16)	-448(19)	-279(16)	215(14)
M1	130(20)	80(30)	14(19)	0	0	30(14)
M2	36(8)	44(10)	12(7)	0	0	2(5)
M3	121(13)	86(15)	62(12)	0	0	37(8)

### 4.3 Physical properties

#### 4.3.1 DTA analyses

According to DTA investigations, the zinc-rich monoclinic phase decomposes incongruently at 753(2) K to  $\gamma$ -brass phase Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> and elemental Zn. This event is indicated by an endothermic peak. The successive endothermic peak at 1130(3) K attributes for the incongruent melting of Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub>. During the cooling process, the first exothermic peak at 1125(3) K corresponds to recrystallization of Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> and the next peak at 692(1) K refers to the solidification of Zn. There is no evidence of re-crystallization of PtZn<sub>7</sub> upon cooling at a cooling rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>. However, noticeable amounts of Zn were condensed on the top of silica ampoule. Due to the lack of zinc, the composition seems to be close to Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub>. Consecutive cycles of heating showed endothermic peaks at 693(2) K and 1130(3) K assigned to the melting of Zn and Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub>, respectively.



Figure 4.1: A typical thermogram of the phase PtZn<sub>7</sub>.

#### 4.3.2 Magnetic susceptibility

The magnetic susceptibility of polycrystalline  $PtZn_7$  samples were measured as a function of temperature between 5 and 330 K with a magnetic flux density of 1 Tesla. The diamagnetic contribution of the sample holder was subtracted from the magnetization data. The Pauli paramagnetism of the metallic conductor was found to be overcompensated by the core diamagnetism of the constituents of the phase. The temperature independent diamagnetic contribution was

obtained from the slope of a  $\chi T$  versus T plot. The value obtained was  $-4.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$  for  $\frac{1}{8+\delta}$  PtZn<sub>7+ $\delta$ </sub> compared to the value  $-5.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$  for  $\frac{1}{13} \gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> [75]. A plot of the magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 4.2.



Figure 4.2: Molar magnetic susceptibility of a polycrystalline  $PtZn_7$  sample scaled to  $\frac{1}{8.3}$   $PtZn_7$  as a function of temperature.

### 4.4 Results and discussion

The zinc-rich PtZn<sub>7</sub> phase crystallizes in a monoclinic cell in the space group C2/m. The obtained lattice parameters are: a = 1352.1(3) pm, b = 762.1(2) pm, c = 1875.8(4) pm,  $\beta$  = 97.40(3)° (Pearson symbol, mC128). The reported structure is a spatially averaged structure, since the superstructure reflections along  $c^*$  are not perfectly commensurate. The structural refinement of the averaged structure yielded large correlations between different atoms. Significant charge densities are shown in the difference Fourier map. Moreover, some additional reflections remain unindexed in the averaged cell. This indicates that the structure is presumably incommensurately modulated. Furthermore, analyses of intensities in the reciprocal space section h0l revealed that the modulation is quite strong and can be clearly seen in the section h0l plane, see Fig. 4.3.



Figure 4.3: The h0l section of the reconstructed reciprocal lattice of the phase PtZn<sub>7</sub>. Left: The conventional commensurate supercell with reflections marked by circles are indexed accordingly. **Right:** The incommensurate lattice with  $\mathbf{q} \equiv \frac{1}{9}[304]^*$ . Note, the subset of strong reflections indicated by large black circles and the satellites are indicated by red.

The PtZn<sub>7</sub> structure can be adequately described in the (3+1)-dimensional superspace. A set of strong reflections could be indexed on the basis of an unconventional A-centered cell with lattice parameters a = 258.77, b = 763.35, c = 423.14 pm and  $\beta$  = 92.05°. The subset of weak reflections, the so-called satellites, can be indexed with a **q** vector of approximately  $\frac{1}{9}[304]^*$ . Finally, the structure was refined in the (3+1)-dimensional superspace group A2/m( $\alpha$ 0 $\gamma$ )00 using the program JANA2000 [59]. Fig. 4.3 shows two indexing schemes of reflections based on the cells of the commensurate and incommensurate structure, respectively.

## 4.4.1 Metrical relation between the commensurate and incommensurate structural models

The single crystal X-ray diffraction pattern of the compound PtZn<sub>7</sub> displays a subset of strong reflections that leads to a fairly straight-forward indexing on the basis of an A-centred monoclinic unit cell:

a = 258.77 pm  
b = 763.35 pm 
$$\beta$$
 = 92.05 °  
c = 423.14 pm

Note however that several strong reflections remain un-indexed in Fig. 4.3b. The subset of weaker reflections forms a set that may be indexed using an additional vector,  $q \approx \frac{1}{9} [3 \ 0 \ 4]^*$ . This choice enables it to index all reflections and gives a clear, hierarchical intensity distribution. The q vector refines to (0.345, 0, 0.426).

The commensurate super cell for PtZn<sub>7</sub> is given by the following parameters:

a = 1352.1 pm  
b = 762.1 pm, 
$$\beta$$
 = 97.40 °.  
c = 1875.8 pm.

The commensurate q vector indicated in Fig. 4.3a implies a transformation matrix of the form (ignoring the setting)

$$M1 = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & -1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

or, for the reciprocal cell

$$M1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-3}{23} & 0 & \frac{2}{23} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{23} & 0 & \frac{7}{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

Though the structure is modulated, the spatially averaged structure (commensurate or lockin) is a very close approximation to the real incommensurate structure. Hence, the structural description is given for the commensurate model for aiming to get a better understanding of the distribution of the atoms in the unit cell.

#### 4.4.2 Structural description and phase analyses

At first glance, the structure PtZn<sub>7</sub> appears to be rather complex. The analysis of local coordination configurations, however, reveals that four crystallographic distinct sites out of 24 are enough to describe the whole structure. Even though the phase crystallizes in the monoclinic system, the atomic arrangements of particular atoms are highly regular. As seen in Fig. 4.4, the entire structure can be described by using three different kinds of coordination polyhedrons constructed about four crystallographic distinct atoms, Zn1, Pt1, Pt3 and M2. These polyhedrons include all crystallographic independent atomic sites. The polyhedrons are namely, an icosahedron, two polyhedrons with coordination number CN11 and a Bergman-type cluster. The CN 11 polyhedron is a hybrid polyhedron of an icosahedron and a monocapped distorted cube.

The icosahedron is constructed over Pt1 with Wyckoff position 2a. Its polyhedron is surrounded by six other polyhedrons due to C-centering into a motif of densely close-packed layers. The polyhedrons of the mixed occupied site M2 ( $\approx 20\%$  of Zn) and Pt3 have CN11. They are connected by sharing common edges leading to a puckered, garland-type arrangement. The polyhedrons about M2 are the most disordered ones compared to the rest. They incorporate a mixed occupied site M1 ( $\approx 93\%$  of Zn) and another partially occupied site Zn9 ( $\approx 82\%$  of Zn) in the vicinity of the central atom.

The third polyhedron is 33 atom fragment of a Bergman cluster. Indeed, the Bergman cluster is made up of 105 atoms around a central atom in successive shells of an icosahedron, a dodecahedron, a large icosahedron and a truncated icosidodecahedron (polyhedron with 32 faces). The final shell with 60 atoms has the same appearance as the Bucky ball (Fullerenes). The motif of Bergman cluster is very often used to describe the structure of quasicrystals/crystalline approximants [76, 77]. The Bergman-type cluster consists only of the two inner shells. The first shell is made up of icosahedrons encapsulating central Zn1 atoms. The pentagonal anti-prismatic frame is formed by ten Zn atoms capped with two Pt atoms. The distances between the central Zn1 and the peripheral atoms range from 255.7 - 288.8 pm. The consecutive shell consists of 20 atoms which are located over each triangular face of the icosahedron. It forms a slightly distorted pentagonal dodecahedron. The distances between the central Zn1 and the peripheral atoms are spread from 404.3 - 440.7 pm. This is the dual polyhedron of the icosahedron and vice versa. The Bergman-type clusters are connected via edges to each other forming a dense close-packed arrangement as seen in Fig. 4.4.



Bergman-type cluster

Figure 4.4: Building units of the phase PtZn<sub>7</sub>. The structure is built up by three different polyhedrons namely, an icosahedron, polyhedron of CN11 and Bergman-type cluster. Lower left: condensed polyhedrons of CN11 forming a puckered garland-type arrangement. Lower right: Bergman-type cluster composed of 33 atoms.

The structure of  $PtZn_7$  can be seen as being built up of condensed layers of polyhedrons stacked along the b axis. The layers are named as A, B, C and B' located at the heights z = 0,  $\approx \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\approx \frac{3}{4}$ . The polyhedral layer A is composed of icosahedrons which are condensed with the polyhedra layer B via common vertices. The layers designated as B and B' are made up of CN11 polyhedrons which are connected among each other by sharing common edges leading to a garland-type arrangement. The layers B and B' have the same composition because they are related by an inversion center. The polyhedral layer C is formed by densely packed edged sharing Bergman-type clusters. The structural kinship of the PtZn<sub>7</sub> structure with the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure becomes apparent when it is decomposed into atomic layers parallel to ( $\overline{2}$  0 7). The structure of PtZn<sub>7</sub> is composed of alternating 3<sup>6</sup> and defective 6<sup>3</sup> atomic layers. This kind of structural features are observed in several intermetallic compounds [78].



Figure 4.5: a) Unit cell of  $PtZn_7$  and the orientation of the defective  $AlB_2$ -type layers. b) Schematic representation of an undistorted honeycomb net (6<sup>3</sup> net) and the deformed net by introducing vacancies. c) A projection of a defective  $AlB_2$ -type layer in  $PtZn_7$  structure viewed approximately along [103].

The atomic layers of the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure can be adequately described in terms of nets. The vertices of the nets correspond to the position of the atoms in the layers. For AlB<sub>2</sub>, the Al atoms are arranged in planar  $3^6$  nets and the B atoms in honeycomb-type  $6^3$  nets. In this notation (Schläfli symbol) the number of the basis refers to the polygon of the net and the exponent refers to the vertex configuration *i.e.*, the number of polygons arranged around each vertex. The two

distinct nets are stacked alternately approximately along  $[\overline{1}03]$ .

Fig. 4.5 shows the architecture of a decomposed atomic layer of the PtZn<sub>7</sub> structure. The length of the repeating unit of the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type atomic layer is equivalent to  $\approx 9.721$  nm. The 3<sup>6</sup> atomic layers are defined by both Pt and Zn atoms, similar to the arrangement of B atoms in AlB<sub>2</sub>. The 6<sup>3</sup> atomic layer is exclusively formed by Zn atoms, similar to the arrangement of Al atoms in AlB<sub>2</sub>. These two atomic layers are stacked alternately along [103]. The irregularity in the net arises from a concerted distortion of a 6<sup>3</sup> honeycomb net due to the presence of vacancies. Fig. 4.5b sketches the basic principle of the distortion associated with the defects.

In addition, the thermal displacement parameters of the atoms which are located in the elongated hexagons, Zn9 and Zn18 show relatively large displacement parameters compared to average. This may be associated with the before mentioned residual electron density close to these atoms. Local structural disorder or a structural modulation may cause these phenomena. A pair of layers topologically similar to those in AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure includes all crystallographic distinct atoms, see Fig. 4.5c. The formula of the phase can be rewritten with respect to that of AlB<sub>2</sub> as  $(Pt_{15}Zn_{31})(Zn_{41}\Box_5)_2$  with  $\Box$  denoting vacancies. For counting the atoms in the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type atomic nets, the partially occupied sites are considered to be fully occupied for avoiding confusion.

The interatomic distances of Pt–Zn cover the range from 253.6 – 270.4 pm. The distances less then 260 pm compare well with the sum of the covalent radii of Pt ( $r_{Pt}^c = 128$  pm) and Zn ( $r_{Zn}^c = 131$  pm), while longer distances compare fairly well with the sum of the atomic radii  $r_{Pt}^a = 137.3$ pm and  $r_{Zn}^a = 133.5$  pm. The interatomic distances Zn–Zn ranging from 252.7 – 300.9 pm are prominent. M–M (mixed Pt/Zn positions) distances range from 269.3 – 270.0 pm, and Zn–M distances from 251.3 – 277.4 pm. For more detailed information see the interatomic distances for PtZn<sub>7</sub> listed in Table 4.4.
The Zn-rich monoclinic phase is stable at ambient temperature and undergoes decomposition at 753 K into  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> and Zn. This was confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction of the sample after DTA experiments. The decomposition temperature reported in the available Pt-Zn phase diagram is nearly 50 K off from the observed temperature.

EDX analyses on selected crystals revealed a composition of the phase of  $12\pm1$  at.% of Pt which is in good agreement with the single crystal structure refinement (12.04 at.% of Pt). According to single crystal structural analyses the homogeneity range of PtZn<sub>7</sub> is found to be very narrow. Despite the structural modulation the measured intensities for the phase match well with calculated ones as Fig. 4.6 proves.



Figure 4.6: Calculated and measured X-ray powder intensities ( $\lambda = 1.54187$  Å) for PtZn<sub>7</sub> phase.

#### 4.5 Summary

• Single crystals of PtZn<sub>7</sub> were prepared by the melt centrifugation technique. PtZn<sub>7</sub> forms from a zinc-rich melt above 693 K and decomposes incongruently at 752 K. Good quality crystals are accessible by the melt centrifugation technique.

- The structure is approximated as a commensurate superstructure of a defective AlB<sub>2</sub>structure with the following lattice parameters; a = 1352.1(3) pm, b = 762.1(2) pm, c = 1875.8(4) pm,  $\beta$  = 97.40(3)° in space group C2/m. The structure can be more precisely described as an incommensurate modulated structure with a modulation vector close to  $\frac{1}{9}[304]^*$ , A2/m( $\alpha$ 0 $\gamma$ )00, a = 258.77 pm, b = 763.35 pm, c = 423.14 pm and  $\beta$  = 92.05°.
- The structure is described in terms of a minimal set of non-interpenetration coordination polyhedrons including as the largest polyhedron a 33 atoms fragment of a Bergman cluster. Alternatively, the analysis of the structure in terms of layers reveals a defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure with vacancies located in the 6<sup>3</sup> honeycomb nets according to Pt<sub>15</sub>Zn<sub>31</sub>Zn<sub>82</sub>□<sub>10</sub>.

Pt1	Zn7	255.6(6)	$2 \times$	Zn6	M1	251.3(5)	$1 \times$	Zn12	Zn12	252.7(4)	$1 \times$	Zn17	M2	257.1(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn12	267.3(3)	$4 \times$		Pt3	263.7(5)	$1 \times$		Pt1	267.3(3)	$1 \times$		M1	266.2(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn17	269.2(3)	$4 \times$		Zn7	272.0(6)	1×		Zn17	267.5(5)	$1 \times$		Zn12	267.5(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn3	270.4(5)	2×		Zn16	272.2(5)	2×		M1	269.3(3)	1×		Zn16	269.1(5)	1×
	1110	21011(0)	-~		Zn19	272.2(0) 270.8(4)	2		752	270.1(5)	1.2		D+1	260.2(3)	1 2
D/O	7 0	OFO(C(7))	1		ZIII 2 77 1 4	219.8(4)	4 ^		7 10	270.1(3)	1		7 17	209.2(3)	1
Pt2	Zn9	253.6(7)	1 ×		Zn14	285.0(4)	2 X		Zn12	274.5(4)	IX		Zn17	271.3(4)	1 ×
	Zn1	255.7(4)	$1 \times$		Zn17	297.2(5)	$2\times$		MI	277.4(5)	$1 \times$		Zn3	283.6(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn13	266.9(3)	$2 \times$		Zn12	305.2(6)	$2 \times$		Zn6	279.8(4)	$1 \times$		Zn7	286.5(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn10	267.6(3)	$2 \times$						Zn7	284.0(4)	$1 \times$		Zn17	297.1(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn18	268.5(4)	$2 \times$	Zn7	Pt3	254.9(6)	$1 \times$		Zn14	287.4(5)	$1 \times$		Zn6	297.2(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn4	268.6(5)	$1 \times$		Pt1	255.6(6)	$1 \times$		Zn17	297.7(5)	$1 \times$		Zn12	297.7(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn2	268.8(5)	$1 \times$		Zn6	272.0(6)	$1 \times$		Zn6	305.2(6)	$1 \times$				
	Zn15	269.8(4)	2×		Zn3	278.6(6)	1 ×			0001=(0)		Zn18	P+3	$257\ 2(4)$	1 ×
	11110	20010(1)	-~		Zn11	270.2(4)	2	7n12	7n10	252 2(4)	1 🗸	2010	7n10	265.4(5)	1 2
D/ 9		0540(0)	1		7 10	219.2(4)	4 ^	2013	DIO	200.0(4)	1		ZIII0 7.10	203.4(5)	1
Pt3	Zn/	254.9(6)	1 ×		Zn12	284.0(4)	2 X		Pt2	266.9(3)	IX		Znio	200.1(5)	1 ×
	Zn18	257.2(4)	$2\times$		Zn17	286.5(4)	$2\times$		Zn15	267.0(5)	$1 \times$		Zn15	266.5(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn6	263.7(5)	$1 \times$		Zn14	293.2(4)	$2 \times$		Zn5	270.8(3)	$1 \times$		Zn5	267.2(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn11	265.4(3)	$2 \times$						Zn4	273.3(5)	$1 \times$		Pt2	268.5(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn14	265.9(3)	$2 \times$	Zn8	M3	251.3(6)	$1 \times$		Zn13	274.5(4)	$1 \times$		Zn2	286.2(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn16	267.6(3)	$2 \times$		M2	258.6(6)	$1 \times$		M3	277.2(4)	$1 \times$		Zn9	286.2(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn5	268.8(5)	$1 \times$		Zn9	263.9(8)	$1 \times$		Zn15	277.7(5)	$1 \times$		Zn18	293.9(5)	$1 \times$
					Zn16	$275\ 7(6)$	2×		Zn4	279.0(4)	1×		Zn13	296.5(5)	1×
$\mathbf{Zn1}$	P+9	255 7(4)	$2 \times$		Zn10	280.1(4)	2~		Zn0	288.6(6)	1 2		Zn8	309.6(6)	1 ~
2111	1 62	255.7(4)	20		7 11	200.1(4)	20		7 10	200.0(0)	1		2110	303.0(0)	1 ^
	Zn4	275.7(4)	2 X		Znii	285.2(5)	2 X		Zn18	296.5(5)	IX				_
	Zn10	280.4(3)	$4 \times$		Zn13	296.6(7)	$2\times$		Zn8	296.6(7)	$1 \times$	MI	Zn6	251.3(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn15	288.8(4)	$4 \times$		Zn18	309.6(6)	$2\times$						Zn14	262.9(5)	$2\times$
								Zn14	M1	262.9(5)	$1 \times$		Zn17	266.2(5)	$2 \times$
Zn2	Zn3	252.2(5)	$1 \times$	Zn9	Pt2	253.6(7)	$1 \times$		Zn16	263.1(4)	$1 \times$		Zn12	269.3(3)	$2 \times$
	Zn10	267.8(5)	$2 \times$		M2	254.3(7)	$1 \times$		M2	264.9(3)	$1 \times$		M2	269.3(5)	$1 \times$
	Pt2	268.8(5)	$1 \times$		Zn8	263.9(8)	$1 \times$		Pt3	265.9(3)	$1 \times$		Zn12	277.4(5)	$2 \times$
	Zn16	273.2(3)	$2 \times$		Zn2	273.9(7)	$1 \times$		Zn9	283.5(6)	$1 \times$				
	Zn9	273.9(7)	1×		Zn14	283.5(6)	2×		Zn3	$284\ 7(5)$	1×	M2	Zn9	254.3(7)	1 ×
	Zn11	282.1(5)	2		7.19	286.2(5)	2		726	285.0(4)	1.2		Zn17	257.1(4)	2
	7-19	202.1(0)	20		Z=12	280.2(5)	20		7-11	200.0(4)	1.		7-9	257.1(4)	1.2
	2010	280.2(4)	2 X		Z1115	288.0(0)	2 X		Z1111 72 10	285.5(5)	1 X		2118	238.0(0)	1 X
					Zn11	300.9(5)	$2\times$		Zn12	287.4(5)	$1 \times$		Zn11	264.6(3)	$2\times$
Zn3	Zn2	252.2(5)	$1 \times$						Zn14	291.4(4)	$1 \times$		Zn14	264.9(3)	$2\times$
	Zn12	270.1(5)	$2 \times$	Zn10	Zn13	253.3(4)	$1 \times$		Zn7	293.2(4)	$1 \times$		M1	269.3(5)	$1 \times$
	Pt1	270.4(5)	$1 \times$		Zn18	265.4(5)	$1 \times$						Zn16	271.8(3)	$2 \times$
	Zn16	275.0(3)	$2 \times$		M3	266.9(3)	$1 \times$	Zn15	M3	259.2(4)	$1 \times$				
	Zn7	278.6(6)	$1 \times$		Pt2	267.6(3)	$1 \times$		M3	265.4(4)	$1 \times$	M3	Zn8	251.3(6)	$1 \times$
	Zn17	283.6(4)	$2 \times$		Zn2	267.8(5)	$1 \times$		Zn18	266.5(4)	$1 \times$		Zn15	259.2(4)	$2 \times$
	Zn14	284.7(5)	$2\times$		Zn5	276.1(5)	1 ×		Zn13	267.0(5)	1 ×		Zn15	265.4(4)	$2\times$
		2011(0)	-~		Zn10	277.6(4)	1 ×		Pt2	269.8(4)	1 ×		Zn10	266.9(3)	2 ×
$\mathbf{Zn}A$	Zn5	253.4(5)	1 ~		Zn8	280.1(4)	1		7n13	203.0(4) 277.7(5)	1 \		M3	270.0(4)	1 2
2114	D10	200.4(0)	1		7 1	200.1(4)	1		7 4	211.1(0)	1		7 10	270.0(4)	1 ^
	Pt2	268.6(5)	1×		Znl	280.4(3)	IX		Zn4	282.3(4)	IX		Zn13	277.2(4)	$2\times$
	Zn13	273.3(5)	$2\times$		Zn11	293.5(5)	$1 \times$		Zn1	288.8(4)	$1 \times$				
	Zn1	275.7(4)	$1 \times$		Zn15	296.2(5)	$1 \times$		Zn4	289.8(5)	$1 \times$				
	Zn13	279.0(4)	$2 \times$		Zn4	312.6(6)	$1 \times$		Zn15	294.9(5)	$1 \times$				
	Zn15	282.3(4)	$2 \times$						Zn10	296.2(5)	$1 \times$				
	Zn15	289.8(5)	$2 \times$	Zn11	Zn16	260.1(4)	$1 \times$								
	Zn10	312.6(6)	$2 \times$		Zn5	264.0(5)	$1 \times$	Zn16	Zn11	260.1(4)	$1 \times$				
		( )			M2	264 6(3)	1 ×		Zn14	2631(4)	1 ×				
$\mathbf{Zn5}$	$\mathbf{Zn}A$	253.4(5)	1 🗸		D+3	265.4(3)	1 ~		Zn18	266 1(5)	1 2				
2110	Zn11	264.0(5)	1 ^ 2 \		7.7	270.9(4)	1.		D+9	267 6(2)	1.2				
	7 10	204.0(3)	4 ^		7.0	219.2(4)	1		F 15	207.0(3)	1				
	Zn18	207.2(5)	2×		Zn2	282.1(5)	1×		Zn17	209.1(5)	1×				
	Pt3	268.8(5)	1 ×		Zn8	285.2(5)	1×		M2	271.8(3)	1×				
	Zn13	270.8(3)	$2\times$		Zn14	285.3(5)	$1 \times$		Zn6	272.2(5)	$1 \times$				
	Zn10	276.1(5)	$2 \times$		Zn11	285.5(4)	$1 \times$		Zn2	273.2(3)	$1 \times$				
					Zn10	293.5(5)	$1 \times$		Zn3	275.0(3)	$1 \times$				
					Zn9	300.9(5)	$1 \times$		Zn16	275.4(3)	$1 \times$				
									Zn8	275.7(6)	$1 \times$				
										. /					

Table 4.4: Interatomic distances for  $PtZn_7$  (< 320 pm)

## Chapter 5

# ${ m Pt}_2 { m Zn}_{11-\delta}~({ m 0.2}<\delta<{ m 0.3})$ - A $\gamma$ -brass type phase

#### 5.1 Introduction

The  $\gamma$ -brass phases are of particular interest among intermetallic compounds because of their structural complexity and underlying stabilization mechanism. According to Hume-Rothery's theory these phases emerges at particular valence electron concentration (*vec*) values close to  $\frac{21}{13}$ electron per atom. There are several recent reports on binary  $\gamma$ -brass phases having composition  $M_2Zn_{11}$  (M = Ni [11], Rh [79] and Ir [80]). It is interesting to see whether such a  $\gamma$ -brass phase could exist at the same composition in the binary system Pt-Zn. Moreover, there are some evidence from earlier work by Nowotny *et al.* [40] for the existence of such a  $\gamma$ -brass type phase. This chapter is mainly concerned with the synthesis and characterization of  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub>. An account on Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> has already been published [75].

#### 5.2 Syntheses and characterization

Single phase samples of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  have been synthesized by conventional solid state syntheses and the flux method. The preparations were carried out on a 0.3 gram scale from Pt and Zn in previously out-gassed, evacuated quartz glass ampoules. The metals were heated at a rate of 60 Kh<sup>-1</sup> up to 1323 K and were kept at this temperature for 12 h. Hereafter, the temperature was reduced to 973 K over the course of 72 h after which the ampoules were either quenched in water or cooled to ambient temperature over the course of 24h. The synthesis has also been carried out with zinc and platinum in excess in order to determine the homogeneity range of the phase. The products were characterized by powder diffraction and single crystal diffraction methods.

Synthesis was also carried out by the melt centrifugation method (flux method). The crystals were grown by slow cooling of the reactants from a Zn-rich melt containing 4 wt.% Pt. The reaction mixtures were sealed in silica ampoules under a reduced pressure with a quartz glass wool filter placed below a support of pieces of quartz glass. The slica tube was then placed inside a stainless steel cylinder in such a way that the reactants were placed on the bottom and the filter and broken silica pieces on the top of the cylinder. The steel cylinder preserves hot condition of the silica ampoule untill centrifugation. The reactants were heated up to 1000 K and allowed to stay for 12 h and then cooled down to 730 K with a rate 50 Kh<sup>-1</sup>. Hereafter, the temperature was reduced to 700 K at the rate of 1 Kh<sup>-1</sup>. Finally, the steel cylinder containing the silica ampoule was taken out of the furnace, turned upside-down, and centrifuged (during hot condition). The excess molten Zn was forced down through the filter thereby leaving behind the product. The size of the crystals ranged from about 100 – 450  $\mu$ m (c.f. Fig. 5.1). The solid showed euqiaxed dendretic growth. The morphology of the phase and its approximate composition was studied by using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray analysis system (EDX).



Figure 5.1: SEM micrograph of the phase  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  shows equiaxed dendritic growth of crystals synthesized by the flux method.

#### 5.2.1 Single crystal structure analysis

Suitable crystals for recording X-ray diffraction intensities were selected from the Zn-rich (C1) and Pt-rich side (C2), a sample containing  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  as an additional minor phase. X-ray diffraction intensities were collected on an IPDS-I instrument at room temperature. The lattice parameters were independently determined from the Bragg position of 25 selected reflections measured with a four cycle diffractometer CAD 4 (Mo K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>). Diffraction intensities were collected up to  $2\theta_{max}$  $\approx 65.5^{\circ}$ . The Laue symmetry was m $\bar{3}$ m, the systematic extinction h+k+l = 2n+1 pointed to the cubic space group I  $\bar{4}$ 3m (No. 217). The data was subsequently corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. A numerical absorption correction based on the shape of the crystal was applied to the data [61, 62]. The initial positional parameters were obtained by direct methods using SHELXS-97 [58]. The structure was refined in the cubic space group I  $\bar{4}$ 3m. All the atomic positions were refined anisotropically with the SHELX-97 [58] program package based on full-matrix least-squares refinements. The final refinement performed on  $|F|^2$  with 293 unique reflections (C1) yielded residuals  $R_1 = 0.0302$  and  $wR_2 = 0.0818$ . Details of the data collection, atomic coordinates, equivalent isotropic displacement parameters and anisotropic displacement parameters are given in tables 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, respectively.

Sample of single phase and two phase mixtures were also characterized by powder X-ray diffraction. The lattice parameters of a single phase sample and those prepared in excess of Zn and Pt ranged from 908.2(1) to 908.9(1) pm. The diffraction profiles of homogeneous  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  samples were quantitatively analyzed by the Rietveld method [56]. Positional parameters of the single crystal X-ray structure analysis were used as starting parameters for the refinements of the X-ray powder data. The refinement of the occupancy factors were in accordance with the results of the single crystal structure analysis with about 14% vacancies on the Zn(1) site. The Rietveld fit for a single phase sample of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  is shown the Fig. 5.2.

Sum formula	$Pt_2Zn_{10.72(1)}^{a}$ (C1)		$Pt_2Zn_{10.77(1)}^{b}$ (C2)
Space group (No.)		I 43m (217)	
Z		4	
a / pm	908.19(4)		908.82(4)
$\rm V/10^6pm^3$	749.09(6)		750.64(6)
$\rm Molar\ mass/g\ mol^{-1}$	1091.14		1094.46
$\rho_{\rm cal}/{\rm g~cm^{-3}}$	9.670		9.679
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	70.3		70.94
Data collection			
$\rm Crystal\ size/mm^3$	$0.13\times0.18\times0.15$		$0.12\times0.08\times0.10$
Diffractometer		IPDS-I (STOE & Cie)	
Temperature / K		293(2)	
Radiation / monochromator		$MoK_{\alpha} / Graphite$	
Distance crystal-IP / mm	40		40
$\phi_{\min} - \phi_{\max}; \Delta \phi$	0 - 320; 2		0 - 330; 2
$2\theta_{\rm max}/^{\circ}$	65.5		65.6
Collected reflections	$-12 \le h \le 12$		$-12 \le h \le 12$
	$-13 \le k \le 13$		$-13 \le k \le 13$
	$-13 \leq l \leq 13$		$-13 \leq l \leq 13$
Total No. of reflections	6556		8924
Data reduction			
Program	IPDS-I Software [57]	X-RED [61]	
Absorption correction	Numerical	X-SHAPE $[62]$	
max. / min. Transmission	0.0200  /  0.0706		0.026  /  0.0282
Unique reflections	293		284
R <sub>int</sub>	0.0719		0.167
Refinement			
Program		SHELXL-97[58]	
Refined on		$ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{o}} ^2$	
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	274		284
Variables	19		19
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0302		0.0283
$R_1$ (all)	0.0319		0.0296
$wR_2$ (all)	0.0818		0.0840
Goodness of fit	1.120		1.484
$\Delta\rho_{\rm max}/\Delta\rho_{\rm min}/10^{-6}{\rm epm^{-3}}$	4.13  /  -2.61		4.66 / -1.46
Extinction coefficient	0.0032(3)		0.0037(4)

Table 5.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

<sup>*a*</sup> 
$$1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0283(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$$

 $^{b}$  1/w =  $\sigma^{2}(\mathrm{F_{o}^{2}}) + (0.0368(\mathrm{Max}(\mathrm{F_{o}^{2}},0) + 2\mathrm{F_{c}^{2}})/3)^{2}$ 

Atom	Wy.	Х	У	Z	sof	$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$
Pt1	8c	0.82631(6)	x	х	1	54.5(3)
		$0.82626(4)^*$	x	х	1	150(3)
Zn1	8c	0.1091(3)	x	х	0.86(1)	137(11)
		0.1085(2)	x	х	0.87(1)	214(8))
Zn2	12e	0.3551(3)	0	0	1	116(6)
		0.3565(3)	0	0	1	205(6)
Zn3	24g	0.3107(2)	x	0.0392(2)	1	119(6)
		0.3102(2)	x	0.0400(2)	1	219(5)

Table 5.2: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$ 

\* atomic parameters for the crystal  ${\bf C2}$ 

Table 5.3: Anisotropic displacement parameters U (pm<sup>2</sup>) for  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$ 

Atom	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	$U_{23}$	$U_{13}$
Pt1	54.5(3)	$U_{11}$	$U_{11}$	6.1(2)	$U_{12}$	$U_{12}$
	146(3)	$U_{11}$	$U_{11}$	4(2)	$U_{12}$	$U_{12}$
Zn1	137(11)	$U_{11}$	$U_{11}$	68(9)	$U_{12}$	$U_{12}$
	212(8)	$U_{11}$	$U_{11}$	51(7)	$U_{12}$	$U_{12}$
Zn2	151(14)	97.8(7)	$U_{22}$	0	60(9)	0
	227(10)	187(6)	$U_{22}$	0	51(7)	0
Zn3	146(8)	$U_{11}$	65(7)	38(6)	-54(5)	$U_{23}$
	238(7)	$U_{11}$	171(6)	25(5)	-48(4)	$U_{23}$

Single crystal structure refinement revealed that the Zn(1) site is partially occupied with an approximate occupancy of 85%.



Figure 5.2: X-ray powder diffractogram of  $Pt_2Zn_{10.73(2)}$  together with the Rietveld profile fit, the difference plot and Bragg positions;  $CuK_{\alpha}$ , a = 908.89(2) pm,  $R_B = 0.0578$ ,  $R_P = 0.096$  and GoF = 2.7.

#### 5.3 Physical Properties

#### 5.3.1 Thermochemical analyses

According to DTA measurement of single phase sample,  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  begins to melt incongruently at 1132K. The peak maximum is found at 1136 K. For the subsequent heating cycles the peak onset is shifted by 3 K towards higher temperature whereas the onset temperature of the solidification remains 1123 K. The shift of melting is attributed to a slight increase in Pt due to evaporation of Zn. The DTA plot as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 5.3.

#### 5.3.2 Magnetic susceptibility

The magnetic susceptibilities of polycrystalline  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  were measured for three distinct samples. Magnetic flux densities of 10 and 20 kG were applied to each sample. In all the cases the Pauli paramagnetism of the metallic conductor was found to be overcompensated by the core diamagnetism of the constituents of the phase. The temperature independent diamagnetic contribution was obtained from the slope of a  $\chi T$  versus T plot. The values obtained were found to vary between -4.6(2) and  $-5.4(2) \ 10^{-10} \text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$  for  $\frac{1}{(13-\delta)} Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}(0.2 < \delta < 0.3)$ . The molar susceptibility of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 5.4.



Figure 5.3: A typical thermogram of  $\mathrm{Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}}$  phase.



Figure 5.4: Magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature for  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$ .

#### 5.3.3 Electrical resistivity

The resistivities of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  were determined by applying a four-probe method in the d.c. mode in the temperature range 10–315 K. The obtained values found to range from 0.2 to 0.9 m $\Omega$ cm for three polycrystalline samples. These values point to a partial localization of the conduction electrons of the two elemental metallic components whose resistivities are about two orders of magnitude smaller at ambient temperature than those of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$ . The electrical resistivity of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 5.5



Figure 5.5: Electrical resistivity of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  as a function of temperature.

#### 5.4 Discussion

Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> crystallizes in the cubic space group I43m with 54–2 $\delta$  atoms per unit cell (Pearson symbol, cI52). The phase adopts a  $\gamma$ -brass type structure which is isopointal to the structure of M<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>8</sub> [M = Cu, Ag], M<sub>5</sub>Cd<sub>8</sub> [M = Cu, Ag], Pd<sub>2+ $\delta$ </sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> [81], M<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> [M = Ni [11], Rh [79], Ir [80]] and Fe<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub>. These  $\gamma$ -brass type structures are typical Hume-Rothery phases which form at the specific valence electron concentration (*vec*),  $\frac{21}{13} \approx 1.615$ , and adopt the same structure type. The electron counting rule for these phases is as follows: The transition metals for instance, Ni, Rh, Ir, Pd and Pt are taken to be zero-valent and Zn is taken to contribute two electrons per atom (only the electrons from s sub-shell are considered, even though d sub-shells are not completely

occupied they are not taken into account). According to single crystal structure analyses the  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  phase is not completely ordered. Vacancies on Zn sites reduce the valence electron concentration  $vec \frac{22}{13}$  towards  $\approx \frac{21}{13}$ . This value is generally assumed to be particularly favorable for the formation of  $\gamma$ -phases and is close to the value determined for the congeneric Ni and Pd phases. However, for these phases vec is optimized by partial replacement of Zn by group 10 metal atoms. The presence of defects in the structure  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  gives rise to significant lowering of the density  $\rho_{cal}$  from 9.831 g cm<sup>-3</sup> to 9.670 g cm<sup>-3</sup> which is confirmed by the pycnometrically determined density of 9.66(3) g cm<sup>-3</sup>.

The  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> in turn can be described as a  $3a_{\beta} \times 3a_{\beta} \times 3a_{\beta}$  superstructure of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure with two out of 54 positions being vacant, one at the origin and an other at the center of the triply expanded cubic unit cell. The structural kinship is established by group-subgroup relations [82, 83] existing between the symmetry of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure and that of Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub>. The symmetry reduction from aristo-type  $\beta$ -brass to Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> is schematically shown in Fig. 5.6. The symmetry relation includes two minimal symmetry reductions. The letter assigned to each pair of group symbols indicates the type of symmetry reduction, 'i' for *isomorphic*, 't' for *translationengleich*. The number in brackets refers to the *index* of the symmetry reduction which corresponds to the number by which the number of translation and/or rotational symmetry is reduced in the subsequent subgroup relative to the preceding group. Included in the scheme are also the atomic sites and idealized positional parameters of a  $\beta$ - brass type structure in the setting of the respective space groups. The third member in the genealogical tree corresponding to the symmetry of Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub>. Note that the special position 2a is unambiguously not occupied, see Fig. 5.6.

The  $\gamma$ -brass structure type has been described as consisting of 26 atom clusters which are arranged like atoms in bcc W-type structures [84]. Each cluster is built up by four crystallographic distinct atoms. For Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> one '8c' site is occupied by eight Zn(1) forming two inner tetrahedrons designated as IT, one grouped around the origin and the other around the center of the unit cell. The next shell of four atoms is built by 4 Pt(1) which are situated at a second '8c' site. The Pt(1) atoms define an outer tetradedron OT which together with the complementary IT forms a distorted, empty cube. The next shell around the distorted empty cube consists of six Zn(2) atoms situated above the faces of the cube, thus forming an octahedron OH. The respective '12e'



Figure 5.6: Group-subgroup structural relations between  $Pt_2Zn_{11}$  and aristo-type bcc structure. The Wykoff position 2a is unoccupied. The deviation between the ideal positions and the real ones listed in Table 5.2 are a measure of the degree of distortion of the structure due to the vacancies.



Figure 5.7: Relationship between  $\beta$ -brass and  $\gamma$ -brass: the  $\gamma$ -brass can be described as a  $3a_{\beta} \times 3a_{\beta} \times 3a_{\beta}$  superstructure of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure with two ordered vacancies, one at the origin and other at the center of the triply expanded cubic unit cell. A representative part of a unit cell  $(\frac{1}{3})$  is shown.

site is occupied by Zn(2) atoms. In the third sphere of a bcc type arrangement of atoms there are 12 neighbors forming a distorted cuboctahedron CO at a mean distance of  $a_c\sqrt{2}$  apart from the center. Here,  $a_c$  refers to the lattice parameter of a bcc W-type unit cell. The respective '24g' site is occupied by Zn(3) complementing the 26 atom unit.



Figure 5.8: The 26 atom cluster of  $\gamma$ -brass type  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$ : depicted is the four shells structure of the 26 atom cluster. The clusters are arranged around the high symmetry points 0, 0, 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ .

The  $\gamma$ -brass  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  structure can be emphasized as 3a supercell of the bcc W-type cell in which two vacancies have been introduced, one at origin and other is at the center of the supercell. Thus, there are 52 instead 54 atoms in the  $(3a)^3$  cubic supercell. The ordered vacancies give rise to a cooperative displacement of the atoms, transforming the defected bcc-type dodecahedral coordination of the atoms into three topologically distinct coordination configuration. Formation of vacancies is typical for  $\gamma$ -brass and related phases. The coordination polyhedrons of the four crystallographic distinct atoms of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  are shown in Fig. 5.9.

As seen from Fig. 5.9, the Pt(1) and Zn(1) have 12 next atoms (CN 12) forming a distorted polyhedrons. For Pt(1), the distances range from 261.4(2) pm to 277.5(2) pm, and for Zn(1), the distances range from 263.9(3) pm to 279.9(8) pm. Zn(2) on the OH site has 13 nearest neighbors, the distances scattered from 261.5(2) to 295.2(3) pm. Zn(3) on the CO site has 11 nearest neighbors the distances are scattered from 261.4(2) to 295.2(3) pm. A list of interatomic distances for Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> are given in Table 5.4. The interatomic distances agree well with the sum of the atomic radii  $r_{\rm Pt}$  = 137.3 pm and  $r_{\rm Zn}$  = 133.5 pm.

An alternative view of the structure puts emphasis on the icosahedral coordination of the minority component (Pt content). Face-shared distorted  $PtZn_{12}$  icosahedra are fused into tetrahedralshaped quadruples encapsulating a  $Zn(1)_4$  IT about the center. Again, these units are arranged around the origin and center of the  $(3a)^3$  super cell. Each quadruple is surrounded by 14 others in form of a dodecahedron by sharing vertices of  $PtZn_{12}$  polyhedrons. The complex arrangement of edge, face and corner-sharing  $PtZn_{12}$  polyhedrons resulting from one central quadruple that is tetrahedrally surrounded by four others situated on vertices of the unit cell, is shown in Fig. 5.10.



Figure 5.9: The coordination polyhedrons around the four crystallographic distinct atoms.

 P+1_	Zn3	$261 \ 4(2)$	3~		Zn1_	Zn2	263.8(4)	3~	
1 01	2115	201.4(2)	0^		2111		203.0(4)	0^	
	Zn3	261.5(2)	$3 \times$			Zn3	266.6(3)	$3 \times$	
	Zn1	269.9(3)	$3 \times$	< 276.6 >		Pt1	269.9(3)	$3 \times$	< 270.1 >
	Zn2	277.4(2)	$3 \times$			Zn1	280.3(4)	$3 \times$	
	Zn1	341.7(3)	$1 \times$			Pt1	341.7(3)	$1 \times$	
Zn2–	Zn3	261.5(2)	$2 \times$		Zn3–	Pt1	261.4(2)	$1 \times$	
	Zn2	263.2(4)	$1 \times$			Zn2	261.5(2)	$1 \times$	
	Zn1	263.8(4)	$2 \times$			Pt1	261.6(2)	$1 \times$	
	Pt1	277.4(2)	$2 \times$	< 277.5 >		Zn1	266.6(3)	$1 \times$	
	Zn3	287.3(2)	$4 \times$			Zn3	271.7(2)	$4 \times$	< 273.4 >
	Zn3	295.1(2)	$2 \times$			Zn2	287.3(2)	$2 \times$	
						Zn2	295.1(2)	$1 \times$	
						Zn3	348.7(2)	$2 \times$	
						Zn3	351.2(2)	$2 \times$	

Table 5.4: Interatomic distance for  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  (< 400 pm)



Figure 5.10: A view of the structure of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  with emphasis of the distorted icosahedral coordination about the minority component Pt(1). The four polyhedrons form a quadruple encapsulating a  $Zn(1)_4$  tetrahedron. The quadruples are arranged around the high symmetry points 0, 0, 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 5.5 Summary

Single crystals of  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  were obtained from melt centrifugation and solid state syntheses. The structure represents the characteristic  $3a \times 3a \times 3a$  superstructure of  $\beta$ -brass type structure. The structure is described in terms of a 26 atom cluster arranged over high symmetric points 0,0,0 and  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ . Vacancies on one Zn site reduces the valence electron concentration thereby affording an optimal adjustment of this structure-determining electronic factor towards  $\approx \frac{21}{13}$  electrons/atom. The single crystal X-ray diffraction studies prove the  $\gamma$ -brass type phase  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  (0.2 <  $\delta$  < 0.3) to exhibit a marginally small homogeneity range.

### Chapter 6

# $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> - A reappraisal of a $\gamma$ -brass type complex alloy phase

#### 6.1 Introduction

Hume-Rothery phases [14] are intermetallic alloys or compounds for which the valence electron concentration (*vec*) in terms of the average number of valence electrons per atom is crucial in determining the structural outcome. This particular electronic factor has been shown by various experimental and theoretical means to control the formation, sequence, and structures of brass-like phases as preferentially formed by noble metals and elements of groups 2 and 12–15. The  $\gamma$ -phase evolving at about  $\frac{21}{13}$  electrons/atom is commonly considered the structurally most complex Hume-Rothery phase in brass-like systems. Although the structure of the  $\gamma$ -prototype, Cu<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>8</sub>, has been known since the early days of X-ray crystallography, such phases have been a continuous challenge for scientists due to their structural complexity and intricate physical properties. The identification of new giant cell structures related to  $\gamma$ -brass are Ir<sub>7+7\delta</sub>Zn<sub>97-11\delta</sub> [70], Al<sub>69</sub>Ta<sub>39</sub> [85], Ir<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>29</sub> [86] and Li<sub>21</sub>Si<sub>5</sub> [87].

A comprehensive phase diagram of Pt-Zn system was reported by Nowotny *et al.* [40]. According to them  $\gamma$ -phase in the Pt-Zn system exist between 20 and 22 at.% of Pt. They assigned the composition PtZn<sub>5</sub> to this phase. However, this phase was previously known to be Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> [41]. Furthermore, Westman *et al.* [13] proposed a structural model to the  $\gamma$ -phase by means of single crystal X-ray diffraction. According to the proposed model,  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> accommodates 392 atoms in a face centered cubic unit cell [13]. There is some structural ambiguity about the proposed model and the assigned composition to the  $\gamma$ -phase. This chapter is concerned with syntheses and characterization of  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>. An account on  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> has already been published [68].

#### 6.2 Syntheses and characterization

Single phase samples of  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  have been synthesized by conventional solid state and pseudoisopiestic methods.

#### 6.2.1 Solid state syntheses

About fifty zinc-rich samples were prepared from the elements in previously out-gassed, evacuated silica ampoules. The nominal compositions of the samples ranged from 16 to 23 at.% with respect to the amount of Pt. The metals, about 0.3 g in total, were heated at a rate of 60 Kh<sup>-1</sup> to a temperature of 1320 K at which the ampoule was kept for 12 h. Hereafter, the temperature was reduced to 970 K in the course of 72 h before the sample was either quenched in cold water or over the course of 24 h cooled to ambient temperature. To avoid eventual loss of zinc due to evaporation, the reactants were kept at a lower temperature than the rest of the ampoule.

#### 6.2.2 Pseudo-isopiestic method

The sequence of phases in the Zn-rich part of the Pt-Zn system was determined by means of the non-isothermal isopiestic method [53]. Platinum wire (0.1 mm diameter, 99.9 % Johnson Matthey) was wound into spooles of 50 mg each and weighed into individual quartz glass crucibles to an accuracy of  $\pm$  0.05 mg. The measurements were performed in a specially designed quartz glass apparatus. 15 crucibles were arranged in the reaction tube, sealed under vacuum and then placed in the temperature gradient of a two-zone furnace. The platinum spooles were exposed to a constant vapor pressure of Zn (14 hPa; determined by the temperature of the Zn-reservoir within the reaction tube) for 14 days at temperatures ranging from 880 K to 1080 K. Pt/PtRh-thermocouple was used for controlling the temperature of the Zn reservoir and the 15 samples. The compositions of the equilibrated samples were determined by measuring the Zn uptake. The products were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction means and thermal analyses.

#### 6.3 Single crystal structural determination

Seven single crystals were selected from different compositional range 16-23 at.% of Pt. Since the results of four structure refinements unambiguously comfirm those of the rest, the structures of the three most distinct phases are described here. Crystal c1 was selected from a Pt-rich sample (22.5 at.% Pt) containing the cubic phase as the minior component. Crystal c2 was selected from a single phase sample (19 at.% Pt). The crystal **c3** was chosen from a sample containing 18 at.% Pt. The diffraction intensities were recorded with an imaging plate diffraction system IPDS-I (Stoe, MoK $\alpha$ ). More reliable lattice parameters were obtained from the positions of 25 selected reflections measured on a four circle diffractometer CAD 4 (Enraf Nonius, MoK $\alpha$ ). The collected intensities were corrected for absorption effects [61, 62]. The structures were refined in space group F  $\overline{43}$ m (No. 216) using the SHELX-97 [58] program package based on full-matrix least-squares refinements. The structural data reported for  $Pt_3Zn_{10}$  [13] were used as starting parameters for the first refinements. Sites with mixed Zn and Pt occupation were assumed to be fully occupied. Atoms for which the refined occupancy factors deviated by less than twice the standard deviation from unity were reset to unity in the final refinement cycles. Local structural disorder phenomena were adequately recorded by introducing split positions. A few displacement parameters of partly occupied sites were fixed at an unobtrusive value of 200 pm<sup>2</sup>. This was applied to atoms showing a strong correlation between the displacement parameter and the site occupancy factor. Otherwise, anisotropic displacement parameters were refined. In consideration of anomalous dispersion effects each structure was checked for possible twinning by inversion and for its absolute configuration. The final refinements performed on  $|F|^2$  with  $\approx 1150$  unique reflections yielded residual values  $R_1 = 0.0427, 0.0343$ , and 0.0543 for the three distinct crystals of different compositions. Further details concerning the crystallographic data and structure determination are given in Table 6.1. The positional and equivalent displacement parameters are given in Tables 6.2 and 6.3, respectively.

Sum formula	$Pt_{5.12}Zn_{21.47} (C1)^a$	$Pt_{4.72}Zn_{20.92}(C2)^{b}$	Pt <sub>4.25</sub> Zn <sub>20.34</sub> (C3
Space group (No.)	F 43m (216)	F 43m (210)	F 43m (210)
	10	10	10
a / pm	1809.1(1)	1812.8(2)	1814.0(1)
$V / 10^{\circ} \text{ pm}^{\circ}$	5920.9(1)	5957.4(12)	5969.2(5)
Molar mass / g mol	2336.42	2344.79	2158.19
$\rho_{cal} / \text{g cm}^{-1}$	10.484	10.190	9.606
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	80.538	76.860	71.578
Data collection			
$Crystal size / mm^3$	$0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$	$0.16 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$	$0.14 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$
Diffractometer		IPDS-I (STOE & Cie)	
Temperature / K		293(2)	
Radiation / monochromator		$MoK_{\alpha}$ / Graphite	
Distance crystal-IP / mm	40	40	40
$\phi_{\min} - \phi_{\max}; \ \Delta \phi$	0-200;1	0 - 200; 1	0 - 184; 1
$2\theta_{max}/\circ$	66.1	66.0	65.7
Collected Reflections	-27 < h < 27	-27 < h < 27	-26 < h < 26
	$-27 \le k \le 27$	$-27 \le k \le 27$	-27 < h < 24
	-27 < 1 < 27	-27 < l < 25	$-27 \le h \le 27$
Total No. of reflections	21972	21822	20484
Data reduction			
Program	IPDS-Software [57]	X-RED [61]	
Absorption correction	Numerical	X-SHAPE [62]	
max. / min. Transmission	0.3523  /  0.2145	0.0833 / 0.0089	0.3587  /  0.0741
Unique reflections	1150	1150	1152
R <sub>int</sub>	0.2095	0.0943	0.1290
Refinement			
Program		SHELXL-97 [58]	
Refined on		$ \mathbf{F}_0 ^2$	
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	626	948	819
Variables	71	76	73
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0427	0.0343	0.0543
$R_1$ (all)	0.0981	0.0455	0.1558
$wR_2$ (all)	0.0888	0.0848	0.0763
Goodness of fit	0.719	0.902	1.009
$\Delta  ho_{ m max}$ / $\Delta  ho_{ m min}$ / $10^{-6}  m e  pm^{-3}$	3.57 / -3.117	1.817 / -1.90	2.906 / -2.767
Extinction coefficient	(0.000008(2))	0.000058(4)	0.000029(7)

Table 6.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

Cluster	Site	Wy.	Atom	x	у	Z	sof	Ueq
А	IT	16e	Zn11	0.9464(1)	x	x	1	98(6)
$(0 \ 0 \ 0)$				$0.9460(3)^a$			1	77(12)
	OT	16e	Pt12	0.0895(4)	x	x	1	43(3)
				0.0903(1)			1	40(5)
	OH	24f	Zn13	0.1762(2)	0	0	1	187(10)
				0.1770(4)	0	0	1	73(15)
	CO	48h	Zn14	0.3442(1)	x	0.9808(1)	1	114(4)
				0.3444(2)		0.9809(3)	1	117(11)
В	IT	16e	Zn21	0.4368(2)	x	x	0.68(6)	187(35)
$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ )				0.4245(5)			0.62(3)	$200^{b}$
	$\mathrm{IT}'$	16e	$\mathrm{Zn21}'$	0.4230(20)	x	x	0.17(5)	$200^{b}$
	ОТ	16e	Zn22	-0.5812(3)	x	- x	- 0.70 <sup>c</sup>	23(9)
				0.5675(4)			1	205(2)
	OT'	16e	$\mathrm{Zn22}'$	0.5658(6)	x	x	0.31(4)	210(45)
	ОН	24f	Zn23	-0.6797(1)	0	0	- 0.14(2)	$^{-}_{74(4)}$
				_	_	_	-	-
	OH	24f	Pt23	0.6797(1)	0	0	0.86(2)	74(4)
				0.6798(1)	0	0	1	79(6)
	CO	48h	Zn24	0.3419(1)	x	0.4858(2)	0.90(1)	140(8)
				0.3414(3)		0.4895(4)	0.87(2)	277(25)
	CO'	48h	$\mathrm{Zn24}^{\prime}$	_	_	_	-	-
				0.3909(14)		0.4496(14)	0.12(1)	$200^{b}$
С	IT	16e	Zn31	0.6962(1)	x	x	1	70(6)
$\frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{4} \ \frac{1}{4}$				0.6956(2)			1	63(13)
	OT	16e	Zn32	0.8368(1)	x	х	0.86(1)	77(10)
				0.8368(2)			0.88(2)	112(22)
	OT	16e	Pt32	0.8368(1)	х	х	0.14(1)	77(10)
				0.8368(2)	х	х	0.12(2)	112(22)
	ОН	24g	Zn33	0.56934(6)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.15(1)	72(4)
	ОН	24g	Pt33	0.56934(6)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.85(1)	72(4)
				0.5701(1)			1	79(6)
	CO	48h	Zn34	0.40365(8)	x	0.7279(1)	1	142(5)
				0.4040(2)		0.7262(2)	1	235(14)
D	IT	16e	Zn41	0.1918(2)	x	x	1	203(9)
$(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$				0.1898(4)			1	170(18)
	OT	16e	Pt42	0.33550(5)	x	x	1	79(4)
				0.3341(1)			1	60(6)
	OH	24g	Zn43	0.4277(2)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	84(9)
				0.4285(4)				70(13)
	CO	48h	Zn44	0.09115(9)	x	0.2361(1)	1	128(4)
				0.0902(2)		0.2390(3)	1	150(10)

Table 6.2: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> (C1 and C2)

 $^a$  Atomic coordinates correspond to crystal C2  $^{-b}$  Displacement parameter fixed at 200  ${\rm pm}^2$   $^{-c}$  sof fixed to 0.70

Cluster	Site	Wy.	Atom	х	у	Z	sof	$U_{eq}$
А	IT	16e	Zn11	0.9466(2)	x	x	1	182(11)
	OT	16e	Pt12	0.0889(1)	x	x	1	50(5)
	OH	24f	Zn13	0.1731(6)	0	0	0.69(2)	$200^a$
	СО	48h	Zn14	0.3448(1)	x	0.9796(2)	1	124(7)
в	IT	16e	Zn21	0.4429(5)	x	x	0.50(4)	193(40)
	$\mathrm{IT}'$	16h	$\mathrm{Zn21}^{'}$	0.4216(14)	x	x	0.27(4)	36(11)
	OT	16e	Zn22	0.5856(2)	x	x	0.24(2)	72(13)
	OT	16e	Pt22	0.5856(2)	x	x	0.39(2)	72(13)
	$\mathrm{OT}'$	16e	$\mathrm{Zn22}^{\prime}$	0.5646(8)	x	x	0.34(3)	130(50)
	OH	24f	Zn23	0.6793(1)	0	0	0.53(2)	120(10)
	OH	24f	Pt23	0.6793(1)	0	0	0.47(2)	120(10)
	СО	48h	Zn24	0.3434(3)	х	0.4839(2)	0.91(2)	222(15)
С	IT	16e	Zn31	0.6965(2)	x	x	1	154(11)
	OT	16e	Zn32	0.8368(1)	x	x	0.61(2)	64(9)
	OT	16e	Pt32	0.8368(1)	x	x	0.39(2)	64(9)
	OH	24g	Zn33	0.5698(1)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.49(2)	116(9)
	OH	24g	Pt33	0.5698(1)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.51(2)	116(9)
	СО	48h	Zn34	0.4034(1)	х	0.7271(1)	1	180(8)
D	IT	16e	Zn41	0.1928(2)	x	x	1	267(16)
	OT	16e	Pt42	0.3359(1)	x	x	1	62(4)
	OH	24g	Zn43	0.4255(5)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.71(3)	$200^a$
	CO	48h	Zn44	0.0924(1)	x	0.2341(1)	1	162(8)

Table 6.3: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> (C3)

 $^a$  Displacement parameter fixed at 200  $\rm pm^2$ 

#### 6.4 Phase analyses and physical properties

The homogeneity ranges and constitutions of the cubic  $\gamma$ -phases in the Pt-Zn systems were examined by means of preparative methods, isopiestic and calorimetric measurements, by and X-ray diffraction. According to X-ray structure analyses, the homogeneity range of the  $\gamma_1$ -phase was found to range from  $0.184 \leq x_{Pt} \leq 0.20$ . A Rietveld refinement was performed on a single phase sample containing 19 at.% of Pt using the X'pert Plus program package [55]. A profile fit is shown in Fig. 6.1. At the Pt-rich border the phase coexists with Pt<sub>27</sub>Zn<sub>85</sub> with orthorhombic symmetry (a = 1291.64(9) pm, b = 5529.8(3) pm, c = 911.62(7) pm). The lattice parameters of the single phase and the phase co-exsisting with the orthorhombic one scatter between 1809.1(1) to 1814.0(1) pm.



Figure 6.1: Low angle Rietveld profile fit of  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> ( $x_{Pt} = 0.193$ ). The insert shows the complete pattern (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>,  $2\theta_{max} = 90^\circ$ , a = 1811.9(1) pm, R<sub>B</sub> = 4.94, R<sub>p</sub> = 12.0).

According to DTA measurements of a single phase sample, Zn-rich  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  (19.2 at.% of Pt) begins to melt nearly congruently at 1237 K. The peak maximum is found at 1251 K. For the Ptrich sample (Nominal composition of 22 at.% of Pt) the peak maximum is found at 1264 K. The shift of 13 K in the melting temperature of the Pt-rich sample is attributed to the phase width the cubic large  $\gamma$ -phase. The DTA plots of two distinct samples as a function of temperature are shown in Fig. 6.2.

Magnetic susceptibilities of clean polycrystalline samples were recorded with a SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range 1.8–300 K at a magnetic flux density of 1 Tesla. The data were corrected for diamagnetism of the sample holder manufactured from KLF (Polytetrafluroethen). Fig. 6.3 shows a plots of the magnetic susceptibilities of various homogeneous samples as a function of temperature and composition. The Pauli paramagnetism of these metallic phases is found to be overcompensated by the core diamagnetism of its constituents. The values range from -4.7 to  $-3.1 \times 10^{10}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> compared to  $-5.4 \times 10^{10}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> for the ordinary  $\gamma$ -phase Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> [75]. In each case the value refers to an average atom of the formula, *i.e.*  $\frac{1}{x+y}$  Pt<sub>x</sub>Zn<sub>y</sub>.



Figure 6.2: DTA plots of two single phase sample of nominal compositions of 0.192 and 0.22 at.% of Pt, respectively.



Figure 6.3: Magnetic susceptibilities of  $\gamma_1$ - Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> as a function of temperature. Inset shows the change in magnetic susceptibilities with composition.

#### 6.5 Results and discussion

The cubic F-centered structure of  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  is a complex 6a × 6a × 6a superstructure of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure with ordered vacancies. The ordered vacancies are characteristic for  $\gamma$ -brass type or related structures. In the structure of  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  the vacancies are located at the centers of  $M_{26}$ clusters around the special positions 4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d. Group-subgroup relations have been used to analyse the structural relation between  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and the aristo-type bcc structure. The symmetry reduction from aristo-type  $\beta$ -brass to  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  includes four minimal symmetry reductions. The corresponding Bärnighausen tree [82] is shown in Fig. 6.4.



Figure 6.4: Group-subgroup relations between  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  and aristo-type bcc structure. Deviations between the ideal positions and the real ones (c.f. Table 6.2) are a measure of the degree of distortion of the structure due to the vacancies at 0 0 0,  $\frac{1}{4}$   $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

The crystal structure of  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  represents a structurally complex  $\gamma$ -phase belonging to a class of cubic giant cell structures. They all are composed of four symmetrically independent atomic units. Each unit, also termed cluster [84], consists of 22 - 29 atoms which are arranged in shells around the high symmetry points 0 0 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}$   $\frac{1}{4}$ , and  $\frac{3}{4}$   $\frac{3}{4}$   $\frac{3}{4}$  of a face centered cubic lattice. The clusters correspond to distinct fragments either of the body centered cubic (bcc) W-type or the  $\alpha$ -Mn type structure[88]. Prototypical examples are Cd<sub>41</sub>Pt<sub>8</sub> (cI392) [89], Mg<sub>6</sub>Pd (cF392) [90, 91], Na<sub>6</sub>Tl (cF408) [92], Li<sub>21</sub>Si<sub>5</sub> (cF416) [87], Cu<sub>41</sub>Sn<sub>11</sub> (cF416) [93], Al<sub>69</sub>Ta<sub>39</sub> (cF432) [85], Li<sub>4.4</sub>Sn [94], Cd<sub>45</sub>Sm<sub>11</sub> (cF448) [95] and Ir<sub>7+7\delta</sub>Zn<sub>97-11\delta</sub> (cF403-406) [70].

A specific feature of  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  is the occurrence of one type of cluster, the so-called  $\gamma$ -type comprising 26 atoms. Its constitution corresponds to a bcc fragment with a vacancy at the center. Atoms next to the void occupy the corners of an inner tetrahedron (IT). Four atoms somewhat further distant from the center are situated at the corners of an outer tetrahedron (OT). The atoms of the next shell constitute an octahedron (OH). Twelve more atoms completing the 26 atom unit define a distorted cub-octahedron (CO). Fig.6.5 shows two distinctly composed clusters,  $Pt_4Zn_{22}$ having the Pt atoms located at the OT site, and  $Pt_6Zn_{20}$  with the minority component situated at the OH sites.

The voids give rise to a cooperative distortion of the bcc typical dodecahedral coordination towards an icosahedral coordination for the IT and OT atoms. As a result of the cooperative distortion the clusters can alternatively be viewed as a quadruple of condensed icosahedra about the OT atoms encapsulating a tetrahedron formed by IT atoms. In this case adjacent clusters are not connected by metal-metal contacts as there are the  $\gamma$ -type fragments but rather share corners and edges [75].

To avoid confusions with  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> the phase is further termed as  $\gamma_1$ -phase. The structure of the  $\gamma_1$ -phase can be subdivided into two chemically distinct but topologically equivalent partial structures, one with constant, the second with variable composition. The two parts correspond exactly to two sets of  $\gamma$ -clusters. The clusters labeled A and D at 0 0 0 and  $\frac{3}{4}$   $\frac{3}{4}$   $\frac{3}{4}$  are both completely ordered. They build up the compositionally invariant part of the structure. The Zn atoms are situated at IT, OH and CO sites, the Pt atoms at the OT site. Thus, the composition Pt<sub>4</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub> results for cluster of tpye A and D. They are arranged like carbon atoms in diamond. The other two clusters B and C vary in composition. They also form a diamond-like atomic ar-



rangement which penetrates its counterpart by being shifted by half of a lattice vector, see Fig. 6.5.

Figure 6.5: The structure  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  is comprised by two distinct  $\gamma$ -type clusters. Clusters A and D at 0 0 0 and  $\frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4}$  have constant composition  $Pt_4Zn_{22}$  over the whole homogeneity range. The centers of these clusters define the diamond-like net shown in green. Clusters B and C at  $\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$  vary in composition due to partial substitutional disorder. Their centres define a second diamond-like net (orange). The two nets interpenetrate mutually.

Clusters B and C show three kinds of disorder as typical for structurally complex alloys:

- i) mutual substitution of Zn and Pt,
- ii) partial depletion of Zn sites, and concomitantly,
- iii) positional disorder ascertained by split positions.

The disorder in cluster C is constrained to mutual substitution. At the Pt-rich boundary of the  $\gamma_1$ -phase (c1, 20 at.% Pt) the OH site of cluster C is exclusively occupied by Pt atoms. In addition, 12 at.% of the OT site are Pt. For the phase at the Zn-rich stability limit (c2) Pt atoms on the OH site are partly replaced by Zn (15 at.%) and, again, about 14 at.% of the OT site are occupied by Pt. Accordingly, the composition of cluster C varies between  $Pt_{5.7}Zn_{20.3}$  and  $Pt_{6.5}Zn_{19.5}$  for  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>. By contrast, cluster B shows three types of disorder. The occupation of the OH sites - 86 at.% and 100 at.% Pt, respectively - match well with that of cluster C. The vacancies of the structure are exclusively accumulated on IT and CO sites of cluster B. Furthermore, the IT and OT sites of Zn-rich  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and a smaller fraction of the CO site of Pt-rich  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> are split. Noticeably, for both crystals the occupation factors of corresponding OH and CO split positions add up to approximately 100% even though the factors were refined independently. The disorder in the clusters B and cluster C is summarized in Table 6.4 and Table 6.5. This has been taken as an additional sign that the electron density is reasonably attributed to the two distinctly scattering species. Thus, the formula for cluster B is  $Pt_{5.2}Zn_{19.0}$  for 18.4 and  $Pt_6Zn_{18.4}$  for 20.0 at.% Pt, respectively. The overall compositions and densities of the two boundary phases are  $Pt_{4.7}Zn_{20.9}$ ,  $\rho = 10.19$  g cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $Pt_{5.2}Zn_{20.5}$ ,  $\rho = 10.48$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. These values compare nicely with the measured density of 10.29(5) g cm<sup>-3</sup> that we measured for a homogeneous Pt-rich  $\gamma_1$ - $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  sample.

$x_{\rm Pt}$	OH(B)	OH(C)	OT(C)
0.184	86/14	85/15	14/86
0.198	100/0	100/0	6/94
0.200	100/0	100/0	12/88

Table 6.4: Mutual substitution of Pt/Zn in cluster B and C for  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$ 

$x_{\rm Pt}$	$\mathrm{IT}/\mathrm{IT}$	OT/OT	$\rm CO/CO$
	(split)	(split)	(split)
0.184	68/17	70/30	_
0.198	60/0	100/0	89/11
0.200	62/0	100/0	87/13

Table 6.5: Vacancies and positional disorder in cluster B for  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$ 

The structural results call for a critical comment on the previously reported phase  $Pt_3Zn_{10}$ : The homogeneity range of the  $\gamma_1$ -phase in the Pt-Zn system does not extend to  $Pt_3Zn_{10}$  (corresponding to 23.3 at.% Pt) but reaches its stability limit on the Pt-rich side at 20 at.% Pt. Furthermore, the structure of  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> is less disordered than suggested earlier by Westman *et al.* [13]. In particular, it can be ruled out that one of the cluster sites is completely empty.

During this studies, a third phase has been found with cubic symmetry which occurs on the zincrich side intermediate to  $\gamma$ - Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> and  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> exhibiting a slightly modified cubic giant cell structure. Since the structure is intimately related to that of  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> this new structure is termed as  $\gamma'_1$ -phase. Its homogeneity range extends from about 0.165 to about 0.175 at.% of Pt. The structural resemblance is reflected in the spectral distribution of the interatomic distances for the three phases as listed in Table 6.6. Major differences between the two phases  $\gamma_1$  and the  $\gamma'_1$  concern:

- i) A plot of the sample composition versus temperature obtained from isopiestic measurements shows a discontinuity between samples containing  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>, as expected for two phases separated by a miscibility gap, see Fig.6.6.
- ii) The temperature of the endothermic effects attributed to the melting points of the  $\gamma$ -phases increases discontinuously from 1133(2) K for  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  to 1238(5) K for  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> to 1251(3) K for  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>.
- iii) The vacancy concentration increases discontinuously from 1.5 % for  $Pt_{4.72}Zn_{20.92}$  (18.4 at. % Pt) to 5.4 % for  $Pt_{4.25}Zn_{20.34}$  (17.4 at. % Pt).



Figure 6.6: Variation of composition with temperature for samples exposed to a Zn pressure of 15 hPa.

- iv) By contrast to  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>4.72</sub>Zn<sub>20.92</sub>, about 30 at. % of the OH sites of clusters A and D are empty. The vacancies are associated with an abrupt increase of the Pt content in the otherwise compositionally invariant part of the structure. The Pt content in this part of the structures changes abruptly from 15.4 at. % for  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> to 16.5 at. % for zinc-rich  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>4.25</sub>Zn<sub>20.34</sub>.
- v) The Pt content of the remaining part of the structure is appropriately reduced for  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>4.25</sub>Zn<sub>20.34</sub>. The reduction is mainly achieved by an enrichment of about 50 at. % Zn on the OH sites which in case of  $\gamma_1$ -phase is (mainly) occupied by Pt atoms. In spite of this striking redistribution of Zn and Pt atoms at OT and OH sites the pattern of the positional disorder in clusters B and C resembles that of the  $\gamma_1$ -phase.
- vi) The crystal structures of the two phases show discontinuities which are incompatible with structures of phases of a homogenous phase field.

		C1		Co		Ca	
Classification	C:+ -		C N	1	O N		C N
Cluster	Site	a <sub>min</sub> – a <sub>max</sub>	. C.N.	d <sub>min</sub> – d <sub>max</sub>	C.N.	a <sub>min</sub> – a <sub>max</sub>	. U.N.
		$\langle a \rangle$ pm		$\langle a \rangle pm$		$\langle a \rangle$ pm	
			10		10		10
А	$\mathbf{TT}$	261.5 - 275.3	12	262.0 - 277.1	12	256.8 - 274.2	12
		< 270.2 >		< 270.7 >		< 268.2 >	
	OT	260.2 - 278.3	12	259.1 - 279.3	12	261.1 - 274.6	12
		< 269.9 >		< 271.2 >		< 268.4 >	
	OH	257.6 - 301.9	13	256.7 - 301.6	13	256.8 - 306.9	13
		< 277.2 >		< 277.4 >		< 277.8 >	
	CO	258.1 - 293.3	11	258.5 - 291.9	11	259.2 - 298.0	11
		< 274.2 >		< 273.8 >		< 274.3 >	
В	IT	243.0 - 283.3	10	252.1 - 310.6	12	252.3 - 293.1	12
		< 260.0 >		< 273.1 >		< 269.2 >	
	OT	259.4 - 296.2	12	262.1 - 274.3	12	258.3 - 279.1	12
		< 272.6 >		< 268.1 >		< 268.6 >	
	OH	259.2 - 285.4	13	260.9 - 279.0	13	262.6 - 288.5	13
		< 272.3 >		< 275.5 >		< 276.0 >	
	CO	243.0 - 290.0	13	258.2 - 290.4	11	253.2 - 288.5	11
		< 270.1 >	-	< 270.4 >		< 270.8 >	
	$\mathbf{TT}'$			2385 - 2769	10	230.2 - 285.4	10
	11	_		200.0 210.0	10	200.2 200.4 < 263.2 \sciments	10
	<u>о</u> т′			200.1 2	10	200.2 >	10
	01	-		234.7 - 304.8	12	235.6 - 306.4	12
	,	—		< 271.0 >		< 271.5 >	
	CO	238.0 - 286.0	8	-		—	
		< 266.1 >		-		—	
$\mathbf{C}$	IT	260.9 - 278.2	12	262.5 - 275.6	12	262.1 - 275.0	12
		< 268.5 >		< 268.5 >		< 268.2 >	
	OT	261.4 - 278.7	12	261.8 - 280.06	12	260.6 - 278.8	12
		< 268.1 >		< 267.9 >		< 267.8 >	
	OH	256.2 - 285.9	13	256.8 - 285.66	13	259.1 - 286.0	13
		< 273.7 >		< 274.8 >		< 274.6 >	
	CO	254.7 - 301.6	11	261.5 - 301.9	11	262.1 - 306.9	11
		< 274.3 >		< 273.9 >		< 274.6 >	
D	$\mathbf{IT}$	263.7 - 308.1	12	263.0 - 298.5	12	260.8 - 293.4	12
		< 277.5 >		< 275.4 >		< 273.1 >	
	OT	258.9 - 278.6	12	260.4 - 275.7	12	260.1 - 274.0	12
		< 270.1 >		< 269.7 >		< 268.3 >	
	OH	256.2 - 291.8	13	256.8 - 293.0	13	260.0 - 298.0	13
		< 277.0 >	-	< 277.0 >	-	< 277.4 >	-
	CO	256.7 - 291.1	11	257.6 - 291.1	11	261.0 - 289.1	11
		< 272.6 >		< 272.3 >		< 273.0 >	

Table 6.6: Minimum (d<sub>min</sub>), maximum (d<sub>max</sub>) and mean interatomic distances (< d >) and coordination numbers (C.N.) for various  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  phases

#### 6.6 Summary

- Syntheses of Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> phase were carried out using isopiestic and solid state syntheses methods.
- Systematic studies of the Pt-Zn system in the range 0.165 ≤ x<sub>Pt</sub> ≤ 0.20 revealed two distinct γ-phases all exhibiting cubic symmetry. They adopt a 2a × 2a × 2a superstructure of the ordinary γ-Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> phase.
- The homogeneity range of the  $\gamma_1$ -phase extends from 18.4 to 20.0 at.% Pt. Hence, the

 $\gamma_1$ -domain includes  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  but not  $Pt_3Zn_{10}$ .

- The structure comprises four symmetrically independent and three compositionally inequivalent 26 atom clusters. They are pair-wise arranged according to the motif of two interpenetrating diamond-like nets, one with constant composition ( $Pt_4Zn_{22}$ ) and perfectly ordered, the second richer in Pt and variable in composition ( $Pt_{6\pm\delta}Zn_{20\pm\delta'}$ ).
- Experimental evidence has been provided for the existence of a second cubic giant cell structure in the Pt-Zn system. A discontinuous change of the vacancy concentration and the Pt/Zn distribution and isopiestic measurements indicate a miscibility gap between  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>4.25</sub>Zn<sub>20.34</sub>.

## Chapter 7

# $Pt_{11}Zn_{32} - A \gamma$ -brass related composite structure

#### 7.1 Introduction

The reinvestigation of the Pt-Zn system in the zinc-rich part is motivated by the existence of a structurally complex  $\gamma$ -brass related phase in the congeneric Ni-Zn system situated in the  $\gamma$ -phase field at the valence-electron-poor site next to  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> [11]. As indicated by electron diffraction (ED) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) the structural complexity is associated with a modulation along the [110] direction of the parent  $\gamma$ -phase [32, 34]. The structural differentiation is reflected by striations appearing in suitably oriented TEM images. The image contrast is suggested to arise from inversion anti-phase domains whose size sensitively depends on composition. The presumed structural relation with  $\gamma$ -brass-related Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> is corroborated by an X-ray single crystal structure analysis of NiZn<sub>3</sub>, a Ni-rich representative with 276 atoms in the A-centred orthorhombic unit cell [12]. However, the weak X-ray scattering contrast between Ni and Zn hampers an unambiguous assessment of how the structure evolves at varying composition. Hence, in order to resolve the modulation on an atomic scale we searched for similar  $\gamma$ -derivates in other brass-related systems. In the course of a preliminary investigation of the Pd-Zn systems we uncovered a symmetrically closely related phase (a = 1291.0(3) pm, b = 910.9(2) pm, c = 3404.7(7) pm, Cmca, oC276) whose composition turned out to be approximately  $Pd_{15}Zn_{54}$  $(x_{\rm Pd} = 0.217)$  [39]. These findings rendered support to the assumption that a similar phase might exist in the Pt-Zn system, too. In view of the strong X-ray scattering contrast between Pt and Zn, the structure analyses of related  $PtZn_x$  phases would afford optimal resolution of the composition-dependent modulation. Indeed, a detailed study of the zinc-rich portion of the Pt-Zn system brought to light that the phase field which was previously considered to be the domain of the cubic phase  $Pt_3Zn_{10}$  ( $x_{Pt} = 0.23$ ) [13] actually accommodates a series of intimately related structures exhibiting orthorhombic symmetry. This chapter deals with the synthesis and characterization of  $\gamma$ -brass related composite structure  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ . A short communication of this phase has already been published [96].

#### 7.2 Syntheses

The syntheses were carried out in previously out-gassed, evacuated silica ampoules using pure Pt and Zn elements as a starting materials. The mole fraction  $x_{\text{Pt}}$  was systematically varied between 0.24 and 0.30. The ampoules were heated continuously at a rate of 120 K h<sup>-1</sup> to a temperature of 1320 K at which mixtures of the reactants were kept for 12 h. Subsequently, the ampoules were cooled to 970 K in the course of 72 h, and then brought to room temperature in less than 24 h.

#### 7.3 Structure determination

Several crystals of regular shape from two different batches were selected from the crushed samples. X-ray diffraction data of three crystals were recorded within the range  $5^{\circ} < 2\theta < 65.7^{\circ}$  at ambient temperature with an IPDS-I instrument (MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>). The data were subsequently corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects. Numerical absorption corrections were applied in all cases. The numerical absorption corrections were performed by optimizing the crystal shape using X-SHAPE and X-RED program [61, 62]. The reported results refer to a nearly rectangular shaped crystal, approximately  $0.18 \times 0.08 \times 0.07$  mm<sup>3</sup> in size. The crystal was selected from an as prepared sample. The Laue symmetry mmm and the systematic extinctions hkl: h+k = 2n+1, h0l: h = 2n+1, and hk0: h,k = 2n+1 unequivocally pointed to the orthorhombic space group Cmce (No. 64). Direct methods (SHELXS [58]) produced a coherent structural model. The full-matrix least-square refinements including anisotropic displacement parameters converged at residual values R1 = 0.0464 for  $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$  and wR2 = 0.1052 at a maximal residual electron density of 3.7  $10^{-6}$  e m<sup>-3</sup>. Further details concerning the data collection and the refinement are

summarized in Table 7.1. The atomic positions and anisotropic thermal displacement parameters are listed in Table 7.2 and 7.3, respectively.

The reciprocal space sections were acquired by re-evaluating the crystal with a Lattice Explorer (Stoe) attached to an IPDS-I instrument. The crystal was oriented with  $\mathbf{c}^*$  parallel to the rotating axis (goniometer head) and in addition, the  $\mathbf{a}$  or  $\mathbf{b}$  axis was aligned parallel to the X-ray beam. The diffracted intensities were collected on an image plate using monochromatized MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\phi = 74.5^{\circ}$  and 164.5°). The date were red out with the laser of the IPDS-I instrument.

Two further single crystal studies revealed that annealing of the samples at 770 K for 7 days triggers a subtle structural transition. The change shows up in violations of the extinctions hk0: h, k = 2n+1, reflecting the loss of the e glide operation perpendicular to the c axis, cf. Fig. 7.7. Consequently, Cmc2<sub>1</sub> is the most likely space group of the phase. The loss of the centre of symmetry is associated with a splitting of Wyckoff sites including the single statistically occupied site (47 % Pt, 53 % Zn) in the high-symmetrical structure. Thus, a further ordering of the structure could be seen as a driving force of the detected symmetry reduction. Indeed, structure refinements in the Cmc2<sub>1</sub> space group resulted in an enrichment of Pt (70%) on one and Zn (86%) on the second site. Without altering the rest of the atomic arrangement significantly, the refinements converged at R1 = 0.0413. The atomic positions and anisotropic thermal displacement parameters are listed in Table 7.4.
Sum formula	$Pt_{10.8}Zn_{32.2(1)}^{a}$	$Pt_{10.7}Zn_{32.3(1)}^{b}$
Space group (No.)	Cmce (64)	$Cmc2_1$ (36)
Z	4	4
a / pm	$1293.8(3)^*$	1290.1(1)
b / pm	914.4(2)	912.5(1)
c / pm	2126.3(4)	2122.3(2)
$\rm V/10^6pm^3$	2515.5(9)	2498.6(4)
Molar mass $/ g \text{ mol}^{-1}$	4215.13	4202.16
$ ho_{cal} /\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	11.130	11.171
$\mu /\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	89.883	90.029
Data collection		
$Crystal size / mm^3$	$0.18\times0.08\times0.07$	$0.16\times0.10\times0.06$
Diffractometer	IPDS-I (STOE & Cie)	
Temperature / K	293(3)	293(3)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha}$ / Graphite	
Distance crystal-IP / mm	40	40
$\phi_{\min} - \phi_{\max}; \Delta \phi$	0-202; 1	0-200; 1
$2\theta_{\max}/\circ$	65.7	65.7
Collected reflections	$-18 \le h \le 19$	$-18 \le h \le 19$
	$-13 \le k \le 13$	$-13 \le k \le 13$
	$-32 \le l \le 32$	$-32 \le l \le 32$
Total No. of reflections	17408	21116
Data reduction		
Program	IPDS-Software [57]	X-RED [61]
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE [62]	
Max. / Min. transmission	0.1368  /  0.0251	0.1272/0.0151
Unique reflections	2372	4758
$R_{int}$	0.0940	0.0868
Refinement		
Program	SHELXL-97 [58]	
Refined on	$ F_o ^2$	
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	1456	3410
Variables	111	217
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0461	0.0413
$R_1$ (all)	0.0800	0.0572
$wR_2$ (all)	0.1205	0.1277
Goodness of fit	1.200	0.832
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} / \Delta \rho_{\rm min} / 10^{-6} {\rm e pm^{-3}}$	3.709 / -3.88	5.808  /  -6.276
Extinction coefficient	0.000123(10)	0.000058(4)
Absolute structure factor	-	0.52(2)

Table 7.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

<sup>a</sup> 1/w =  $\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0474(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$  <sup>b</sup> 1/w =  $\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

 $\ast$  Lattice parameters are obtained from CAD 4 X-ray diffractometer.

Atom No.	Wy.	x	У	Z	sof	$U_{eq}$
Zn1	8f	0	0.3050(2)	0.40363(12)	1	86(4)
Zn2	8f	0	0.2008(3)	0.28547(12)	1	109(5)
Zn3	8f	0	0.2599(3)	0.15650(14)	1	140(5)
Zn4	8f	0	0.2811(3)	0.03053(13)	1	117(5)
Zn5	8d	0.3753(3)	0	0	1	127(6)
Zn6	16g	0.3248(2)	0.3442(2)	0.00996(9)	1	132(4)
Zn7	16g	0.1863(2)	0.1240(2)	0.39356(9)	1	133(3)
Zn8	16g	0.1179(2)	0.0463(2)	0.09938(9)	1	101(3)
Zn9	16g	0.3769(2)	0.4660(2)	0.29812(9)	1	123(4)
Zn10	16g	0.1822(2)	0.3572(2)	0.31312(7)	1	94(3)
M11(Zn)	16g	0.32102(10)	0.16091(9)	0.28916(4)	$0.54(1)^{a}$	88(3)
Pt1	4a	0	0	0	1	37(2)
Pt2	8f	0	0.0280(1)	0.3984(1)	1	72(2)
Pt3	8f	0	0.4827(1)	0.3007(1)	1	89(2)
Pt4	16g	0.18101(5)	0.33102(5)	0.09462(3)	1	64(1)

Table 7.2: Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  (Cmce)

<sup>*a*</sup> sof of Zn, sof of (Pt) = 1 - sof of (Zn)

Table 7.3: Anisotropic displacement parameters  $U(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  (Cmce)

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Zn1	105(12)	64(7)	88(7)	0	-4(8)	0
Zn2	123(16)	51(8)	155(10)	0	-42(7)	0
Zn3	69(13)	136(10)	214(10)	0	76(8)	0
Zn4	46(14)	108(10)	196(10)	0	-81(8)	0
Zn5	75(15)	216(12)	92(10)	0	104(8)	0
Zn6	95(12)	190(7)	112(6)	-46(8)	-16(6)	53(7)
Zn7	59(9)	82(6)	259(9)	-36(6)	0(6)	-7(7)
Zn8	115(9)	126(6)	61(5)	-56(5)	15(6)	-12(7)
Zn9	94(11)	209(8)	67(6)	-44(7)	14(6)	-5(6)
Zn10	42(9)	146(7)	93(6)	62(7)	-2(5)	-24(6)
M11	69(6)	97(4)	98(4)	17(3)	-15(2)	8(3)
Pt1	11(6)	47(4)	52(4)	0	8(3)	0
Pt2	34(4)	77(3)	105(3)	0	14(2)	0
Pt3	52(5)	127(3)	87(3)	0	-6(2)	0
Pt4	37(3)	78(2)	76(2)	10(2)	-18(1)	13(2)

_	Atom No.	Wy.	х	У	Z	sof	$U_{eq}$
_	Zn1	4a	0	0.9448(2)	0.5970(4)	1	87(4)
	Zn1A	4a	0	0.4461(4)	0.9060(3)	1	71(9)
	Zn2	4a	0	0.0433(4)	0.7195(3)	1	108(9)
	Zn2A	4a	0	0.5528(5)	0.7894(3)	1	110(11)
	Zn3	4a	0	0.9964(7)	0.8484(4)	1	154(5)
	Zn3A	4a	0	0.4837(5)	0.6607(3)	1	117(8)
	Zn4	4a	0	0.9612(5)	0.9749(3)	1	147(9)
	Zn4A	4a	0	0.4742(5)	0.5349(3)	1	117(8)
	Zn5	8b	0.3757(3)	0.2370(5)	-0.0015(2)	1	116(5)
	Zn6	8b	0.3236(3)	0.5973(4)	0.0110(2)	1	81(7)
	Zn6A	8b	0.6759(3)	0.0934(5)	0.4909(2)	1	147(10)
	Zn7	8b	0.1873(3)	0.3807(4)	0.3896(2)	1	104(7)
	Zn7A	8b	0.814(1)	0.8715(2)	0.1013(3)	1	129(3)
	Zn8	8b	0.1172(1)	0.2946(2)	0.0994(2)	1	102(3)
	Zn8A	8b	0.8803(4)	0.7988(4)	0.4014(2)	1	112(7)
	Zn9	8b	0.3791(4)	0.7088(4)	0.2990(2)	1	114(7)
	Zn9A	8b	0.6245(3)	0.2263(4)	0.2020(2)	1	119(6)
	Zn10	8b	0.1835(3)	0.6180(4)	0.3127(2)	1	106(7)
	Z10A	8b	0.8197(3)	0.0997(4)	0.1872(2)	1	111(8)
	M11	8b	0.3202(1)	0.4142(2)	0.2893(1)	$0.30(1)^{a}$	70(4)
	M11A	8b	0.6759(2)	0.9092(3)	0.2105(2)	$0.84(1)^{a}$	95(7)
	Pt1	4a	0	0.2463(3)	0	1	49(2)
	Pt2	4a	0	0.22212(8)	0.5999(2)	1	73(2)
	Pt2A	4a	0	0.7216(2)	0.8984(1)	1	68(3)
	Pt3	4a	0	0.7607(3)	0.70018(5)	1	56(3)
	Pt3A	4a	0	0.2722(3)	0.8026(1)	1	102(3)
	Pt4	8b	0.1819(1)	0.5789(1)	0.0983(2)	1	62(1)
	Pt4A	8b	0.8199(1)	0.0840(1)	0.4076(1)	1	77(3)

Table 7.4: Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  (Cmc2<sub>1</sub>)

 $^{a}$  sof of Zn, sof of (Pt) = 1 - sof of (Zn)

#### 7.4 Physical properties of $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$

#### 7.4.1 Thermochemical analyses

Thermochemical analyses were carried out on the samples of nominal compositions of 24–24.5 at.% of Pt. The smaples (30–50 mg) were pressed into small pellet and sealed in self-manufactured, evacuated silica crucibles. The silica crucibles are continuously heated up to 1320 K with a heating rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>. The Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> phase begins to melt nearly congruently at 1270 K. The peak maximum is found at 1284 K. To ensure reproducibility of the thermal events the experiments were usually repeated at least twice at heating and cooling rates of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>. A





Figure 7.1: A typical thermogram of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ .

#### 7.4.2 Magnetic susceptibility

Magnetic susceptibilities of polycrystalline samples were recorded with a SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range 1.8–300 K at a magnetic flux density of 1 Tesla. The data were corrected for diamagnetic contributions of the sample holder manufactured from KLF (Polytetrafluroethen). The Pauli paramagnetism of the metallic phase is found to be overcompensated by the core diamagnetism of its constituents. The value obtained was found to be  $-1.52 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> for  $\frac{1}{11+32}$  Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>. The molar susceptibility of Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 7.2.



Figure 7.2: Molar magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature for  $\frac{1}{11+32}$  Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>.

#### 7.5 Results and discussion

The structure of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  crystallizes in a C-centered orthorhombic cell, space group Cmce (No. 64). The lattice parameters are: a = 1290.2(4), b = 910.3(4) and c = 2120.3(6) pm. The unit cell accommodates 172 atoms. The Pearson symbol is oC172. The lattice is related to that of  $\gamma$ -brass type  $Pt_2Zn_{11}$  [75] according to  $a_o \approx \sqrt{2} a_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_o \approx a_{\gamma}$ , and  $c_o \approx 5 \sqrt{2} \frac{a_{\gamma}}{3}$ .

The volume of the orthorhombic phase  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  offers space for 180 atoms per unit cell, consequently, 8 positions in the unit cell are vacant. Thus, the vacancy concentration is increased to  $\frac{2}{45}$  compared to  $\frac{1}{27}$  for the  $\gamma$ -phase (cI52). The  $\gamma$ -phase in turn can be seen as a 3  $a_{\beta} \times 3 a_{\beta} \times 3$  $a_{\beta}$  superstructure of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure with two out of 54 positions being vacant, one at the origin and another at the centre of the triply expanded cubic unit cell [75]. The structural kinship is substantiated by group-subgroup relations existing between the symmetry of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure and that of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ .

As seen from Fig. 7.3 the symmetry path between the  $\beta$ -brass aristo-type and the Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> structure includes six minimal symmetry reductions. The letter assigned to each pair of group symbols indicates the type of symmetry reduction, *i* stands for isomorphic, *t* for translationengleich, and *k* for klassengleich [82]. The number in brackets refers to the index of the symmetry reduction



Figure 7.3: Group-subgroup relations between the  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  structure and the aristo-type  $\beta$ -brass type structure.

which corresponds to the factor by which the number of translation and/or rotational symmetry operations are reduced in the subsequent subgroup relative to the preceding group. Included in the scheme are also the atomic sites and idealized positional parameters of the atoms of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure in the setting of the respective space group. The final member in the genealogical symmetry tree [82] corresponds to the symmetry of Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>. The derived positional parameters are clearly related with the true parameters of Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> listed in Table 7.2. The difference between corresponding parameters of the two parameter sets provides a measure of the distortion associated with the ordering of the vacancies. Note, that the Wykoff position 8f at  $0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{10}$  is unoccupied. In the cubic  $\gamma$ -phase the arrangement of the vacancies complies with the principle of maximal self-avoidance. There, the voids are separated by the maximal distance of  $\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{3}$  a<sub> $\beta$ </sub>. In the orthorhombic structure of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  the vacancies converge pair-wise as close as  $\sqrt{3} a_{\beta}$ , 519.1 pm.



Figure 7.4: (a) A pair of single interpenetrating  $\gamma$ -brass type clusters consisting of 45 atoms. (b) The  $\gamma$ -brass type clusters are condensed into chains along [010] by sharing edges. (c) The chains of condensed clusters piled up along [110] form a dense packed array. Only the cuboctahedral shells of the cluster is shown for the clarity.

Subsequently, the structure is analyzed with respect to the impact of the increased vacancy concentration for  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  on the packing of the salient structural motif common to both structures, an agglomerate of 26 atom encasing a vacancy. This so-called  $\gamma$ -cluster displays an extended concentric fragment of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure for which the vacancy at the centre gives rise to a coherent distortion: Eight atoms which are originally situated at the corner of a cube next to the centre at a distances of  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} a_{\beta}$  split into two tetrahedral sets. Four atoms situated at the vertices of an inner tetrahedron (IT) are closer to the center than the rest defining an outer tetrahedron (OT). Six further atoms which are about  $a_{\beta}$  apart from the void are located above the six faces of the distorted cube built up by IT and OT atoms. They form an octahedron (OH). Twelve outer atoms situated at the vertices of a distorted cuboctahedron (CO) complete the cluster. Their ideal distance from the void is  $\sqrt{2} a_{\beta}$ .

In the structure of  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> the Pt atoms occupy exclusively the OT site resulting in Pt<sub>4</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub> clusters which are arranged like W atoms in bcc-W. In the Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> structure the atoms of the minority component occupy additionally two out of six OH positions in an ordered way whereas a third OH position is statistically occupied by Pt and Zn in almost equal proportions. Quite characteristically for Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, the resulting Pt<sub>6.5</sub>Zn<sub>19.5</sub> clusters interpenetrate pair-wise by sharing a Pt atom of the OH site. As illustrated in Fig. 7.4 the conjoint cluster pairs are fused into chains parallel [010] by sharing edges according to  $\frac{1}{\infty}$ [Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>30</sub> Zn<sub>4/2</sub>]. The chains are arranged along c into layers which in turn pile up along [110], see Fig. 7.4b. Thus, contrary to an ordinary  $\gamma$ -phase, the clusters in Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> are not only connected to each other but - concomitant with the increased vacancy concentration - partly interpenetrate or share edges. The three modes of cluster arrangements in Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> give rise to three distinct distances between next vacancies: 519.1(1) pm for two interpenetrating clusters, 746.0(1) pm for condensed clusters, and 792.7(1) pm for adjacent clusters in contiguous chains. This values concur with  $\sqrt{3}$  a<sub> $\beta$ </sub>,  $3\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$  a<sub> $\beta$ </sub> and  $2\sqrt{2}$  a<sub> $\beta$ </sub> for an idealized defective  $\beta$ -brass type structure, if we replace a<sub> $\beta$ </sub> by 303 pm corresponding to  $\frac{1}{3}$  a<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> (Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> [75]).

So far, the  $\gamma$ -brass derivative structure of Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> has been analysed with respect to W-type structure in terms of symmetry, atomic decoration and vacancy ordering. The vacancies trigger a change of the local atomic arrangement from dodecahedral towards icosahedral coordination. Hence, a detailed analysis may provide insight into how a bcc-like structure can rearrange displacively into a complex structure with atomic coordination configurations complicating an integration into a lattice.

All members of the new  $\gamma$ -brass related structure family can be generated by starting from the  $\beta$ -brass (W) structure type. The transformation from the elemental bcc to a pentagonal antiprismatic columnar structure can be formally be subdivided into the following steps:

i) The starting point is a suitable extended array of two consecutive atomic layers of a bcctype structure arranged along a face diagonal of the cubic lattice. To be consistent with the setting of the orthorhombic lattice of the  $\gamma$ -brass related structures we chose a projection along, e.g.,  $[01\overline{1}]$  in Fig. 7.5a.

- ii) In a first step the atoms located inside the blue ellipsoids  $(\frac{2}{9} \text{ of all atoms})$  are cooperatively shifted pair-wise within the plane towards each by  $\frac{1}{4} a_{bcc}$  (=  $\frac{1}{12} a_{\gamma}$ ) along  $\pm [010]$  and simultaneously by  $\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2} a_{bcc}$  perpendicular to the plane.
- iii) The described atomic displacements are fostered by additional atomic moments of  $\frac{4}{9}$  of the atoms within the plane as indicated by black and light gray arrows resulting in ribbons of edge- and vertex sharing pentagons. Note that pentagons in contiguous rows and layers are in anti-parallel orientation. Moreover, the described co-operative atomic movements imply that the repeat distance of the atoms inside the pentagonal anti-prismatic columns. This particular displacive transformation of a tungsten type structure results in a hitherto unknown pentagonal anti-prismatic columnar structure (depicted as projection in Fig. 7.5b) with the following metrical characteristics:  $a = 3 a_{bcc}$ ,  $b = 3\sqrt{2} a_{bcc}$ ,  $c = \sqrt{2} a_{bcc}$ , Pearson symbol oF36.



Figure 7.5: A schematic illustration of the construction of the pentagonal antiprismatic columnar structure starting from the  $\beta$ -brass type structure.

iv) The structures we found lack of a specific fraction 'f' of atoms in the interior of the pentagonal anti-prismatic columns. The voids imply that the structures relax in a characteristic manner. The length of the repeat distance along the columns depends upon the missing fraction.

In the case of the  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  structure the fraction  $f = \frac{2}{10}$ , *i.e.* two out of ten atoms per repeat unit inside the pentagonal columns are missing. An appropriate section of the relaxed structure of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  is shown in Fig 7.6b. Adjacent atoms are connected by lines in order to emphasize the distorted octahedral and tetrahedral arrangement of the atoms in the inter-columnar region. These extra atoms convert the columns of face-sharing pentagonal anti-prisms into strands of interpenetrating M13 icosahedrons. Accordingly, the arrangement of the central atoms of the M13 icosahedrons results from merging two rows of atoms of the W-type structure into a single row in the distorted structure. This particular set of atoms is highlighted in the structural sections shown in Fig 7.6c. All other atoms are arranged in rows with n = 5 atoms within the repeat unit  $c = 5 \sqrt{2} a_{\beta} = 2126.3(4)$  pm.



Figure 7.6: a) Unit cell of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  structure viewed along [001] direction. The encircled atoms represent the pentagonal anti-prismatic column. b) Two pentagonal anti-prismatic frames at the heights z = 0 and  $\frac{1}{10}$ , respectively. c) A structural motif, the pentagonal anti-prismatic column with a zig-zag chain of Zn atoms inside. The labels c/m and c/n refers to the two sublattice which give rise to a mismatch between the chimney and the ladder, where c signifies the long axis of the unit cell.

Up to now the impact of the eight vacancies per unit cell on the structure has been neglected. The vacancies are located within the central atomic chains extending inside the pentagonal antiprismatic columns. Since there are four such columns per unit cell, (c.f. Fig. 7.6c) each central chain contains only eight instead of ten Zn atoms within the repeat unit leaving in the average

every fifth pentagonal anti-prism empty. Nevertheless, the atoms are nearly equally spaced at a distance of about  $\frac{5}{8}\sqrt{2} a_{\beta}$  (268.7(1) pm  $\leq d \leq 281.1(1)$  pm). Consequently, the spacing does not simply match with the sublattice of the columnar framework. This particular mismatch is a salient structural feature of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ . In the  $\gamma$ -phase the ratio of the repeat distance of the two distinct sublattices is  $\frac{3}{5}$ , for Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> the misfit seems to lock in at  $\frac{5}{8}$ . At slightly different compositions the ratio may be irrational resulting in an incommensurate structure. Some transition metal silicides and germanides, the so-called Nowotny chimney-Ladder structures, show similar structural characteristics [97, 98]. They are composed of an interior helical substructure - the ladder - built up by atoms of a main group element and a surrounding  $\beta$ -tin-type framework the chimney - formed by transition metal atoms, both substructures exhibiting their own translational symmetry. In  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ , the chimney corresponds to a pentagonal antiprismatic  $Pt_{11}Zn_{24}$ columnar framework. The ladder is formed by the residual Zn atoms arranged into zigzag chains inside the columns. The mismatch of the two sublattices depends upon the vacancy concentration which, by all indications, is affected by the Pt/Zn ratio in the columnar framework. Accounting to the short distances  $(265.2(1) \le d \le 293.2(1) \text{ pm})$  the atoms of the two substructures interact strongly. Thus, the  $\gamma$ -brass derivative Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> represents a unique type of a composite structure.



Figure 7.7: A reciprocal space section of hk0 for the phase  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ . Left: Reciprocal section obtained for the crystal annealed at 970 K (as synthesized). Right: Reciprocal section obtained for the crystal which is annealed at 770 K for 7 days.

In accordance with the high degree of structural differentiation there is little disorder in  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ . Only one out of 15 crystallographic sites seems to be statistically occupied by Pt and Zn. The site is found to be occupied by 47(1)% Pt and 53% Zn yielding an overall composition of  $Pt_{10.8}Zn_{32.2(1)}$ . Structure analyses of three different crystals produced coincident results indicating a barely detectable homogeneity range for the phase. For sake of simplicity, the phase  $Pt_{10.8}Zn_{32.2}$  has been named as  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ . Extended annealing of the crystals at 770 K was found to trigger a phase transition which shows up in additional weak reflections violating the e-glide operations of Cmce, cf. Fig. 7.7. Two structure refinements in space group  $\text{Cmc2}_1$  revealed a partial annihilation of the substitutional disorder as a possible driving force for the transition. A significant enrichment of Pt (70%) and Zn (86%) was found on the two symmetrically independent sites of  $\text{Cmc2}_1$  into which the single mixed-occupied site of Cmce splits, see Table 7.4.

As seen from the intensity distribution in the 0kl and h0l section of the reciprocal lattice (c.f. Fig. 7.8) many intensities are very weak or absent along c<sup>\*</sup>. This is a typical feature of modulated structures [72, 99]. For Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> the periodicity along the modulation accounts to 2126.3 pm and is metrically related to the face diagonal of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure.



Figure 7.8: Precession diffraction photographs from the 0kl and h0l reciprocal space section plans of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  (Cmce).

#### 7.6 Summary

- Adjacent to γ-brass type related Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> [68] the Pt-Zn system accommodates a series of structurally complex phases. They are situated in a narrow phase field extending from 0.24
   < x<sub>Pt</sub> < 0.265, very close to the field which previously was believed to host a cubic γ-brass type structure of approximate composition Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> [13].
- $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  is the first member with the shortest translation period of a series of orthorhombic structures.
- $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  crystallizes in the space group Cmce with 172 atoms in the unit cell. One out of 15 distinct crystallographic sites is found to be statistically occupied by Pt (47%) and Zn (53%).
- Annealing of the phase at 773 K triggers a reduction of the substitutional disorder. The phase transformation is reflected in the appearance of additional reflections which are incompatible with an a-glide operation perpendicular to the c-axis.
- The structure of  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  represents a  $\gamma$ -brass type related, vacancy-ordered derivate of the elemental body centred cubic atomic arrangement. The interrelations are demonstrated quantitatively by means of a group-subgroup analysis. Contrary to other brass-like systems the vacancy concentration increases from  $\frac{2}{27}$  for  $Pt_5Zn_{21}$  to  $\frac{2}{43}$  for  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  parallel with cumulative valence electron concentration. As a consequence the voids are no more isotropic dispersed in  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$  but accumulate in chains of Zn atoms extending along pentagonal antiprismatic columns. The columnar substructure is connected into a three-dimensional porous framework. An inherent mismatch of the repeat distance of the basic unit of the framework and the inter-atomic mean distance in the chain confers the structure a composite character.

## Chapter 8

# General characteristics of $\gamma$ -brass related phases

The lattices of the structures under consideration may be regarded as superlattices of the W-type body centered cubic (bcc) unit cell. Each of the metrical relations can be expressed by a basic transformation of the form:

$$\mathbf{a} \approx 3 \ \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$
$$\mathbf{b} \approx 3 \ \sqrt{2} \ \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$
$$\mathbf{c} \approx n \ \sqrt{2} \ \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$

Thus, structures of this type are identified by an integer 'n' commonly the quantity of an increment into which the only variable lattice vector of these structures can be subdivided uniformly. Accordingly, n is the next nearest integer nint of an axial ratio:

$$n = nint[3\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)]$$

Furthermore, the number  $N_0$  of atoms per unit cell for a complete set of bcc arrangement is related to n and is given by

$$N_0 = 36 n$$

The above relation implies 2 atoms per volume increment  $a_{bcc}^3$ . Once, the actual number N of atoms per unit cell for the structure is known, the integral number v of vacancies relative to the bcc arrangement can be calculated:

$$v = 36n - N$$

According to the meaning of n, another integer m can be defined by:

$$m = 2n - \frac{v}{4}$$

Considering the presently known structures of this family, n and v (or m) are bound to some restrictions:

- i) Each value of n is associated with one and only one value of v.
- ii) There are not more than two linearly independent pairs  $(n_i, v_i)$ . All other pairs can be obtained by linear combination of two basic pairs:

$$n_i = p n_1 + q n_2$$
$$v_i = p v_1 + q v_2,$$

where  $p, q \ge 0$ 

The chosen numbers are:

$$n_1 = 3$$
  $n_2 = 5$   
 $v_1 = 4$   $v_2 = 8$ 

The pair of numbers mark  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> (oF104) and Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> (oC172). Note, that the cubic lattice of  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> has been transferred into an adequate orthorhombic setting.

Table 8.1 summaries characteristic quantities of  $\gamma$ -brass type  $Pt_2Zn_{11}$  and those of five identified related phases and of three intermediate possible structural candidates. In addition to the items defined above the normalized volume  $\frac{V}{N}$ , the mole fraction  $x_{Pt}$ , the valence electron concentration *vec*, and the valence concentration  $\frac{v}{N_0}$  have been inserted into the table.

	cI52	oC172	oC276	$oC380^{\S}$	oF448 <sup>§</sup>	_	_	_	$ m oF656^{\$}$
n	3	5	8	11	13	14	17	18	19
Ν	104	172	276	380	448	484	588	620	656
$N_0$	108	180	288	396	468	504	612	648	684
v	4	8	12	16	20	20	24	28	28
m	5	8	13	18	21	23	28	29	31
р	1	0	1	2	1	3	4	1	3
q	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	2
Space group <sup>†</sup>	$F2mm^*$	Ccme	Ccme	Ccme	F2mm	_	_	_	F2mm
a / pm	908.19(4)	910.3(4)	911.24(7)	910.2(2)	911.02(9)	_	_	_	910.2(1)
b / pm	1284.4(4)	1290.2(4)	1293.04(13)	1291.5(3)	1290.7(1)	_	_	_	1292.6(1)
c / pm	1284.4(4)	2120.3(6)	3408.0(3)	4706.7(9)	5527.8(4)	_	_	_	8095.7(3)
$rac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{N}}$ $10^{6} \mathrm{~pm^{3}}$	14.41	14.48	14.5	14.56	14.51	_	_	_	14.52
Formula	$\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\mathrm{Zn}_{11}$	$Pt_{43.5}Zn_{128.5(1)}$	$Pt_{71.7}Zn_{204.3(1)}$	$Pt_{100.4}Zn_{279.6(2)}$	$Pt_{108.8}Zn_{339.2(2)}$	_	_	_	$Pt_{172.3}Zn_{483.7(1)}$
$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{Pt}}$ [%]	15.4	25.3	26.0	26.4	24.3	_	_	_	26.3
vec	1.61	1.49	1.48	1.47	1.51	_	_	_	1.47
m/n	1.667	1.60	1.625	1.636	1.615	1.643	1.647	1.611	1.632
$\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}}$ [%]	3.70	4.44	4.17	4.04	4.27	3.97	3.92	4.32	4.09

Table 8.1: Summary of crystallographic and chemical data for  $\gamma$ -brass related phases in the Pt-Zn binary system

\* unconventional orthorhombic setting of cubic  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> phase. The transformation is shown below,

$$I\overline{4}3m \xrightarrow{t[3]} I\overline{4}2m \xrightarrow{t[2]} Fmm2 \xrightarrow{a' = -c, b' = b, c' = a} F2mm$$

<sup>†</sup> A standard space group setting for corresponding structure.

<sup>§</sup> Atomic parameters and details on data collections are given in the appendix.

### Chapter 9

# $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$ - A $\gamma$ -brass related composite structure

#### 9.1 Structure determination

X-ray diffraction studies were carried out with a single crystal (nominal composition of 26 at.% Pt) of  $0.14 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}^3$  size on an IPDS-II diffractometer. The collected reflections were subjected to Lorentz polarization and numerical absorption corrections using the programs X-SHAPE and X-RED [61, 62]. Subsequently, the structure was solved in space group Cmce (No. 64) by applying direct methods using the program SHELX-97 [58] based on  $|\mathbf{F}|^2$ . The final refinement cycles using anisotropic displacement parameters converged at residual value R1 = 0.0532 for 1985 with  $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$  out of 2857 reflections for 175 variables. The details concerning crystal-lograpic data are tabulated in Table 9.1. The positional parameters and anisotropic displacement parameters are gathered in Table 9.2 and 9.3.

The structure was confirmed by a refinement of a date set of the second crystal selected from a sample of nominal composition 26 at.% Pt. (Independent batch). The lattice constants for second crystal are obtained from IPDS-I. The lattice parameters are as following; a = 1292.9(2) pm, b = 911.4(1) pm and c = 3403.4 (7) pm.

Sum formula	PtizoZnata()
Space group (No.)	$C_{mce}(64)$
7	04
2 0 / pm	4 1902 04/12)
a/pm	1293.04(13) 011.24(7)
o/piii	911.24(7)
c / pm V / 1063	3408.0(3)
V / 10° pm°	4015.5(6)
Molar mass / g mol	6845.25
$\rho_{cal} / \text{g cm}^{-3}$	11.323
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	92.17
Data collection	
Crystal size / mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.14 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$
Diffractometer	IPDS-II (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha}/Graphite$
Distance crystal-IP / mm	100
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	0; 0-180; 1
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	$165;60{-}160;1$
$2\theta_{\max}/\circ$	60.0
Collected reflections	$-17 \le h \le 17$
	$-12 \le k \le 12$
	-47 < l < 46
Total No. of reflections	30538
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS-II-Software [57]/X-RED [61]
Absorption correction	X-SHAPE [62], Numerical
Max. / Min.transmission	0.0547 / 0.0094
Unique reflections	2857
R <sub>int</sub>	0.1257
Poferoment	
Program	SHELXI 07 [58]
Refined on	SHELAL-97 [36]
Reflections $I > 2\sigma(I)$	F 0  1095
Variables	1960
Variables	173
$\mathbf{R}_1 \ (\mathbf{I}_o > 2\sigma(\mathbf{I}_o))$	0.0332
n <sub>1</sub> (all)	0.0715
$WR_2$ (all)	0.1208
Goodness of fit	1.181
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} / \Delta \rho_{\rm min} / 10^{-6} {\rm e  pm^{-3}}$	3.922 / -3.577
Extinction coefficient	0.000106(6)

Table 9.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \left[\sigma^2 (F_o^2) + (0.0356(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2 + 519.52(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3\right]$ 

Atom No.	Wy.	х	У	Z	sof	Ueq
Zn1	4a	0	0	0	1	181(7)
Zn2	8f	0	0.4596(3)	0.42233(9)	1	175(5)
Zn3	8f	0	0.0519(3)	0.15424(9)	1	159(5)
Zn4	8f	0	0.4462(3)	0.27207(9)	1	205(5)
Zn5	8f	0	0.0082(3)	0.30712(9)	1	205(6)
Zn6	8f	0	0.0248(3)	0.38498(8)	1	161(5)
Zn7	8f	0	0.4435(3)	0.03566(8)	1	151(5)
Zn8	16g	0.1205(2)	0.2020(2)	0.03181(6)	1	169(4)
Zn9	16g	0.1252(1)	0.2377(2)	0.40720(6)	1	164(4)
Zn10	16g	0.1775(1)	0.0916(2)	0.10119(5)	1	103(3)
Zn11	16g	0.1864(1)	0.3694(2)	0.34056(7)	1	191(4)
Zn12	16g	0.1171(1)	0.29286(2)	0.15643(6)	1	167(4)
Zn13	16g	0.1814(2)	0.1058(2)	0.21124(6)	1	225(4)
Zn14	16g	0.1238(2)	0.2139(2)	0.28016(6)	1	187(4)
Zn15	16g	0.1729(2)	0.4022(2)	0.08781(7)	1	229(4)
Zn16	16g	0.1863(1)	0.1191(2)	0.47658(6)	1	134(2)
Pt1	8f	0	0.22031(9)	0.46973(2)	1	120(2)
Pt2	16g	0.18065(2)	0.41469(6)	0.46252(2)	1	111(2)
Pt3	8f	0	0.2449(1)	0.09327(3)	1	111(2)
Pt4	8f	0	0.27483(8)	0.34295(3)	1	123(2)
Pt5	8f	0	0.2285(1)	0.21844(2)	1	136(2)
Pt6	16g	0.18154(4)	0.07695(6)	0.34610(3)	1	132(2)
M7	16g	0.17981(8)	0.4091(1)	0.22543(3)	$0.52^a$	144(4)

Table 9.2: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$ 

 $^a$  sof of Zn, sof of (Pt) = 1 - sof of (Zn)

Table 9.3:	Anisotropic	displacement	parameters	$U (pm^2)$	for $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Zn1	143(16)	137(15)	260(20)	0	15(14)	0
Zn2	169(11)	132(11)	222(13)	0	29(9)	C
Zn3	205(12)	112(10)	160(11)	0	21(9)	C
Zn4	203(13)	160(12)	251(14)	0	-82(10)	C
Zn5	173(13)	229(13)	213(13)	0	-69(11)	C
Zn6	120(11)	121(11)	241(13)	0	57(9)	C
Zn7	218(12)	110(10)	126(11)	0	-2(8)	C
Zn8	193(8)	173(8)	140(8)	56(7)	11(6)	29(6)
Zn9	172(8)	167(8)	153(8)	2(7)	-26(6)	6(6)
Zn10	87(6)	101(6)	122(7)	25(6)	-4(6)	-7(6)
Zn11	149(7)	146(8)	279(10)	-35(6)	1(7)	12(8)
Zn12	186(8)	178(7)	138(8)	-48(6)	0(7)	7(7)
Zn13	191(8)	270(10)	214(10)	75(8)	21(8)	38(7)
Zn14	176(9)	255(9)	130(8)	22(7)	28(7)	-2(6)
Zn15	219(10)	265(10)	202(9)	-78(8)	-28(8)	51(7)
Zn16	94(6)	144(7)	164(8)	27(6)	-1(6)	0(6)
Pt1	102(4)	111(3)	149(4)	0	-12(3)	C
Pt2	119(3)	117(3)	97(3)	-26(2)	-9(2)	8(2)
Pt3	107(3)	105(3)	122(3)	0	-1(2)	C
Pt4	103(3)	107(3)	159(4)	0	29(3)	C
Pt5	118(4)	160(4)	130(4)	0	-21(3)	C
Pt6	123(3)	124(3)	149(3)	20(2)	4(2)	1(2)
M7	142(5)	137(5)	153(5)	-13(3)	9(3)	9(3)

#### 9.2 Results and discussion

#### 9.2.1 Phase relations

Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> crystallizes in the orthorhombic, centrosymmetric space group Cmce (No. 64). The lattice parameters are a = 1293.04(13), b = 911.24(7) and c = 3408.0(3) pm, Z = 4, Pearson symbol oC276. In view of the metrical relation with the  $\beta$ -brass type structure, the structure of Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> can be classified as an ordered 3  $\sqrt{2}$  a<sub> $\beta$ </sub> × 3 a<sub> $\beta$ </sub> × 8  $\sqrt{2}$  a<sub> $\beta$ </sub> defect variant of index 144 with 12 vacancies per unit cell.

The structure  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  is isotypic to  $NiZn_3$  [12]. Both  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  and  $NiZn_3$  can be described as a  $\gamma$ - or  $\beta$ -brass related structure with ordered vacancies. The volume of the orthorhombic phase provides space for 288 atoms per unit cell. Comparison with the Pearson symbol reveals 12 vacant positions in the unit cell.

In the  $\gamma$ -brass type structures, the vacancy concentration  $\left(\frac{v}{N_0}\right)$  increases with increasing valence electron concentration (*vec*). This trend was observed in the Cu-Ga and Cu-Al systems by Hume-Rothery and co-workers [100]. In contrast, the vacancy concentration increases with decreasing valence electron concentration in the  $\gamma$ -brass related phases in the Pt-Zn system. The values of  $\frac{v}{N_0}$  and *vec* for the structure of Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> are  $\frac{1}{24}$  and 1.48, respectively. For calculating *vec*, the valence for Pt is taken to be zero and two for Zn. These assigned values are chosen according to Hume-Rothery's rule.

The single crystal and powder diffraction analyses showed that the homogeneity of the phase seems to be narrow. At the Pt-rich border the phase coexist with  $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$ , a  $\gamma$ -brass related structure with 656 atoms in the F-centered orthorhombic cell. On the other hand, at Zn-rich side the phase coexist with  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ .

#### 9.2.2 Structural description

The complex  $\gamma$ -brass related phase Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> is composed of 276 atoms distributed over 23 distinct atomic sites. 16 atomic positions are occupied by Zn atoms and 6 by Pt atoms. Only one out of 23 crystallographic sites seems to be statistically occupied by Pt and Zn. Accordingly, the site is found to be occupied by 48(1)% Pt and 52% Zn yielding an overall composition of Pt<sub>17.9</sub>Zn<sub>51.1(1)</sub>.

The structure of  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  can be lucidly described in terms of pentagonal anti-prismatic columns parallel to [001]. This approach of describing the structure is opted to establish structural relations with other existing  $\gamma$ -brass related phases. Moreover, this kind of structural features are generally observed in  $\gamma$ -brass [101] and related phases as described in the earlier chapter 7.



Figure 9.1: A structural motif of the  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  structure. The pentagonal anti-prismatic column with a zig-zag chain of Zn atoms. The labels c/m and c/n refers to the two sublattice which give rise to a mismatch between the chimney and the ladder, where c signifies the long axis of the unit cell.

Fig. 9.1, depicts a single pentagonal anti-prismatic column with a zig-zag chain of Zn atoms extended inside. The presence of ordered vacancies are common features of  $\gamma$ -brass and related phases. In the Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> structure the vacancies are accumulated inside the columns. There are 12 ordered vacancies per unit cell. The vacancies are distributed over four columns, where each column accommodates 3 vacancies per repeating distance. The chain of Zn atoms inside the columns is slightly puckered. For a clear understanding, the structure is sub-divided into two entities with reference to the Nowotny's chimney-ladder phases [97, 98]. The pentagonal antiprismatic frame forms a so-called "chimney" and the Zn atoms form of a "ladder" inside the chimney. Inspite of the vacancies the Zn atoms inside the column are nearly equally spaced at a distance of 264.0 – 280.4 pm. Consequently, the spacing does not match with the sublattice of the columnar framework thus, causing a mismatch between the pentagonal columnar frame and the chain of unequally spaced central Zn atoms. Similar structural features are observed in Nowotny-Chimney-ladder structures commonly found in some of the transition metal silicides [98] and germanides [97].

In the  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> phase, the ratio of the repeat distance of two distinct sublattices is  $\frac{3}{5}$  (corresponds to the ratio of c/n and c/m as defined in Fig. 9.1). However, for Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> structure, the misfit seems to lock-in at the rational value  $\frac{8}{13}$ . The letters 'c/m' and 'c/n' in Fig. 9.1 refers to the lengths of two distinct sublattices that give rise to mismatch between the chimney and the ladder. For Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> the length c/m corresponds to  $\frac{c}{13}$  and c/n to  $\frac{c}{8}$ , where c signifies the long axis of the unit cell.

Fig. 9.2 depicts structure projected along [010] and four decomposed atomic layers at the heights  $z \approx \frac{1}{32}, \frac{3}{32}, \frac{5}{32}$  and  $\frac{7}{32}$ , respectively. These layers include all crystallographic distinct atoms and mimic the overall composition of the phase. The pentagonal columns in the structure are connected via sharing edges and vertices. The peripheral atoms in the adjacent pentagonal columns form a row of distorted octahedrons and tetrahedrons. They are situated in the intercolumnar regions.



Figure 9.2: The unit cell along [010] and the projection of four decomposed atomic layers of  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  along [001] direction.

#### 9.3 Summary

- $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  is isostructural to  $Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$  and  $Pd_{15}Zn_{54}$  in the congenetic Ni-Zn and Pd-Zn systems.
- The structure comprises 276 atoms in a C-centered orthorhombic cell with 12 ordered vacancies per unit cell. Vacancies are located inside the pentagonal anti-prismatic columns.
- The structure can be viewed as a new type of Nowotny chimney-ladder structure. The chimney corresponds to pentagonal anti-prismatic column and the ladder to a zig-zag chain of Zn atoms running inside the column. For  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  the two sublattices lock in at the rational value  $\frac{8}{13}$  conferring the structure a composite type character.

## Chapter 10

## $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ - A complex defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivative structure

#### 10.1 Introduction

A comprehensive report on the relation between phases in the intermediate range  $0.3 < x_{Pt} < 0.45$  of the Pt-Zn phase diagram was first given by Schubert *et al.* [64]. They provide evidence for the existence of four brass-like phases [64] in this intermediate range. According to Schubert's proposal three of the phases form from a high temperature phase termed PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht3) with hitherto unknown structure in a cascade of eutectoid reactions upon cooling together with a phase which erroneously was considered to be Pt<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub> [13, 68]. The first transition occurs at 1092 K. It leads to PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht2) for which an AlB<sub>2</sub>-type related structure was proposed [40]. Unreasonably short distances (d(Zn-Zn) = 119 pm), however, call for a reassessment of the structure. Below 962 K PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht2) transforms into Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> (ht1) adopting a superstructure of the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type with ordered defects [42]. Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> (ht1) transmutes finally into PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (rt) below 852 K. Provided that the Pt content of the phases increases steadily upon cooling, a structure determination of the rt phases may deliver insight into the mechanism how these brass-like phases structurally adjust small stepwise changes of the valence electron concentration. The resulting phase formed at the Zn-rich phase was not characterized before. Its structure and properties are subject of the following chapter. An account on Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> has been submitted for the publication [102].



Figure 10.1: Intermediate part of binary phase diagram Pt-Zn after Schubert *et al.* [64] obtained from PaulingFile [103].

#### 10.2 Syntheses and structure determination

#### 10.2.1 Syntheses

Synthese were carried out from pure elements in evacuated silica ampoules. The molar fraction of Pt was varied between 0.35 to 0.45. The elements were heated continuously at a rate of 60  $\rm Kh^{-1}$  to a temperature of 1420 K at which the ampoules were kept for 0.5 h. Hereafter, the temperature was reduced to 770 K in the course of 96 h. Subsequently, the sample was brought to ambient temperature by turning off the furnace. The products exhibited dichroic silver-golden metallic luster and a pronounced fibrous morphology as shown in Fig. 10.2.

#### 10.2.2 Phase analyses

The samples were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction using  $CuK_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54187$  Å). Selected samples were studied by energy dispersive analysis in an electron microscope and by single crystal X-ray structure analyses. After many ineffective attempts to isolate a suitable crystal finally, a twinned crystal of moderate quality was chosen from a Pt-rich sample containing the



Figure 10.2: SEM micrograph of the phase  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  shows fibrous morphology.

adjacent phase AuCu-type PtZn [40] as major constituent.

The size of the most suitable crystal was  $0.04 \times 0.03 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}^3$ . Single crystal X-ray diffraction intensities were collected at room temperature using an IPDS–II instrument. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects based on the numerically optimized shape of the crystal [61, 62]. Application of direct methods (SHELX-97 [58]) produced a crystal-chemically meaningful structure which was refined in space group Amm2. The calculations converged at a residual R1 = 0.1017 for 373 reflections with  $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$  out of 1594 reflections for 120 variables including 40 isotropic displacement parameters. The modest quality of the refinement is attributed to the small size of the crystal, the loss of reflections due to twinning, the reduced theta range accessible due to resolution problems associated with the low translational symmetry (b  $\approx 120$  Å), and the particular modulation of the structure giving rise to many very week or absent reflections. In view of the low ratio of symmetrically independent reflections versus variables possible mutual substitution of the two metals on some crystallographic sites was not accounted. Further details concerning crystallographic and technical data are gathered in Tables 10.1 and 10.2.

Sum formula	Pt <sub>29</sub> Zn <sub>49</sub>
Space group (No.)	Amm2 (38)
Z	2
a / pm	279.0(1)
b/pm	11895.2(4)
c / pm	698.0(1)
$V / 10^{6}  pm^{3}$	2316.5(7)
$Molar mass / g mol^{-1}$	8860.5
$ ho_{cal} /\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	12.703
$\mu /\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	111.923
Data collection	
$Crystal size / mm^3$	0.04 imes 0.03 imes 0.03
Diffractometer	IPDS–II (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha} / Graphite$
Distance crystal-IP / mm	180
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	0; 0-180; 1
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	60; 0-99; 1
$2 \theta_{ m max} / ^{\circ}$	42.7
Collected reflections	$-2 \le h \le 2$
	$-120 \le k \le 120$
	$-7 \le l \le 7$
Total No. of reflections	5357
Data reduction	
Programm	IPDS-II-Software $[57] / X$ -RED $[61]$
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE [62]
Max. / Min.transmission	0.0308 / 0.0027
Unique reflections	1594
$R_{int}$	0.2611
Refinement	
Program	SHELXL-97[58]
Refined on	$ F_o ^2$
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	373
Variables	120
$\mathbf{R}_1 \ (\mathbf{I}_o > 2\sigma(\mathbf{I}_o))$	0.1017
$R_1$ (all)	0.2458
$wR_2$ (all)	0.3141
Goodness of fit	0.902
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} / \Delta \rho_{\rm min} / 10^{-6} {\rm e pm^{-3}}$	3.836 / -3.575
Extinction coefficient	0.000026(12)

Table 10.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

Atom No.	Wy.	x	У	$\mathbf{Z}$	Ueq
Pt1	2b	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	-0.009(3)	590(60)
Pt2	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.03320(17)	0.029(3)	840(60)
Pt3	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.06991(15)	0.031(2)	790(50)
Pt4	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.10241(15)	-0.038(2)	740(60)
Pt5	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.13729(19)	0.973(3)	810(50)
Pt6	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.17274(18)	0.978(3)	800(60)
Pt7	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.20579(14)	0.911(2)	590(50)
Pt8	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.24272(16)	0.907(3)	730(60)
Pt9	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.22444(18)	0.454(2)	680(60)
Pt10	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.19114(15)	0.414(2)	700(50)
Pt11	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.15399(15)	0.431(2)	680(60)
Pt12	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.12131(16)	0.509(3)	800(50)
Pt13	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.08550(12)	0.503(2)	600(40)
Pt14	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.05097(12)	0.483(2)	640(50)
Pt15	4e	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.01861(16)	0.542(2)	820(60)
Zn1	2a	0	0	0.624(11)	1100(200)
Zn2	4d	0	0.0170(4)	-0.132(7)	830(140)
Zn3	4d	0	0.0122(4)	0.242(6)	640(120)
Zn4	4d	0	0.0385(4)	0.724(6)	660(120)
Zn5	4d	0	0.0337(4)	0.361(8)	890(160)
Zn6	4d	0	0.0505(5)	0.127(9)	1200(200)
Zn7	4d	0	0.0633(4)	-0.261(7)	780(130)
Zn8	4d	0	0.0685(4)	0.367(6)	660(120)
Zn9	4d	0	0.0847(3)	-0.167(6)	590(130)
Zn10	4d	0	0.0889(4)	0.202(7)	900(150)
Zn11	4d	0	0.1032(4)	0.617(6)	760(140)
Zn12	4d	0	0.1134(3)	0.222(6)	580(100)
Zn13	4d	0	0.1205(4)	0.851(7)	720(150)
Zn14	4d	0	0.1401(5)	0.651(7)	930(160)
Zn15	4d	0	0.1360(5)	0.283(8)	1000(190)
Zn16	4d	0	0.1557(4)	0.086(6)	650(130)
Zn17	4d	0	0.1722(3)	0.344(6)	480(120)
Zn18	4d	0	0.1639(4)	0.707(6)	800(140)
Zn19	4d	0	0.1865(5)	0.745(7)	840(150)
Zn20	4d	0	0.1909(4)	0.112(7)	750(150)
Zn21	4d	0	0.2060(5)	0.586(8)	800(200)
Zn22	4d	0	0.2125(3)	0.210(5)	520(100)
Zn23	4d	0	0.2242(4)	0.838(5)	540(120)
Zn24	4d	0	0.2586(4)	0.092(7)	580(140)
Zn25	4d	0	0.2365(5)	0.217(8)	1020(190)

Table 10.2: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  phase

#### 10.3 Results and discussion

The phase  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  crystallizes in the A-centered orthorhombic space group Amm2. The lattice parameters are: a = 279.0(1), b = 11895.2(4) and c = 698.0(1) pm. The number of formula unit Z is 2. The cell accommodates 156 atoms. Thus, the Pearson symbol is oA156. The structure is built up by 40 crystallographic distinct atoms, 15 Pt and 25 Zn atoms. It represents a unique superstructure of an AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure for which the following metrical relations hold:  $a_0 = c_h$ ,  $b_0 = 29 a_h$ ,  $c_0 = \sqrt{3} a_h$ . The subscript o refers to the orthorhombic A-lattice of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ , the subscript h to the hexagonal lattice of an AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure. Thus the ratio of the two cell volumes is 58, the order of the superstructure. An adjustment of the two formulas reveals that 9 out of every 58 Zn positions are vacant in the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivative. As seen from the intensity distribution in the 0kl section of the reciprocal lattice (c.f. Fig. 10.3) the structural complexity arises from a modulation along  $a_h$  with a period of nearly 12 nm length. The modulated structure of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  in its commensurate approximation is compatible with orthorhombic space group symmetry Amm2.



Figure 10.3: Comparison of the calculated intensities (MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) for the structures of Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> (*hk0* layer [42]) and Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> (*0kl*) with measured intensities of the respective reciprocal section of Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub>.

In view of the long translation period the structure appears to be rather complex at first glance. However, it can be conveniently analyzed by decomposing it into two distinct atomic layers alternatively stacked along [100]. The layers resembles to those of the well known AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure [78]. The atomic layers of the AlB<sub>2</sub>-aristo-type structure can be adequately described in terms of nets. The vertices of the nets correspond to the position of the atoms in the layers. For AlB<sub>2</sub>, the Al atoms are arranged in planar  $3^6$  nets and the B atoms in honeycomb-type  $6^3$  nets. In this notation (Schläfli symbol) the number of the basis refers to the polygon of the net and the exponent refers to the vertex configuration *i.e.*, the number of polygons arranged around each vertex. The two distinct nets are stacked alternatingly along [001].

A projection of the structure of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  along the short  $a_o$  axis is shown in Fig. 10.5. The structure results from an alternating stacking of two sorts of atomic layers at the heights x = 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ , respectively, modulo  $a_o$ . The Pt atoms are depicted as filled circles in Fig. 10.5 and situated in the layers at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ . The Pt atoms define distorted  $3^6$  nets, similar to the arrangement of Al atoms in AlB<sub>2</sub>. The layers at x = 0 consist exclusively of Zn atoms. They are located at the vertices of an irregular net composed of pentagons, triangles, and hexagons. The Zn atoms are represented by open circles in Fig. 10.5. The irregular net results from a concerted distortion of a  $6^3$  honeycomb net (as formed by the B atoms in AlB<sub>2</sub>) in which 9 out of 58 vertices are missing. Fig. 10.4 sketches the coherent distortion associated with the defects, the positions which coincide with the centers of the gray triangles in Fig. 10.4. The particular orientation of the triangles along  $c_o$  discloses the intrinsic lack of a center of symmetry of the structure.



Figure 10.4: Schematic representation of the distortion in the honeycomb net  $(6^3 \text{ net})$  which is due to ordered vacancy. This can be achieved by removing one of the vertex in the  $6^3$  net and allow the net to relax, now composed of triangles, pentagons and hexagons.





Figure 10.5: View of two adjacent atomic layers along [100] of the crystal structure of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}\Box_9$ . Note that each shaded triangle in the defective  $6^3$  atomic layer formed by Zn atoms corresponds to one vacant position. The Pt atoms (shown as orange circles) are arranged at the vertices of  $3^6$ nets. The figure in the lower part is a magnification of half of the upper figure.

From the given relations of the lattice parameters of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  we obtain the following intra- and inter-layer distances for fictitious AlB<sub>2</sub>-type PtZn<sub>2</sub>: d(Pt-Pt, intra) = 406.5 pm , d(Zn-Zn, intra) = 234.7 pm, and d(Pt-Zn, inter) = 273.0 pm. The corresponding distances in the Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> structure vary between 375.2 - 449.9 pm, 256.4 - 295.3 pm, and 253.6 - 291.5 pm, respectively. The variation provides a measure of the degree of distortion induced by the defects. Furthermore, it reflects the expansion of the otherwise too short Zn-Zn distances in the defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivative structure of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}\Box_9$  with  $\Box$  denoting the vacancies. The interatomic distances are gathered in Table 10.5.

The subsequent part deals with the structural and thermochemical interrelations between various  $PtZn_{1.7}$  [40] phases. In accordance with the proposed phase relations  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  bears a close structural and compositional resemblance with the intermediate high temperature precursor  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  forming a slightly distinct AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivative structure of the order 14, space group Pbam, a = 2879 pm, b = 695 pm and c = 276 pm [42], see Fig. 10.6. There are two ordered vacancies per formula unit  $Pt_7Zn_{12}\Box_2$  and the modulation period (7a<sub>h</sub>), again, corresponds strictly to the stoichiometric coefficient of Pt in the formula. If the supposed phase width is neglected,

 $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  contains about 1% more Pt and about 9% more vacancies than  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$ . The vacancy concentration increases from  $\frac{2}{14}$  for  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  to  $\frac{9}{58}$  for  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ .



Figure 10.6: A perspective view of AlB<sub>2</sub>-type atomic layers along [001] of  $Pt_7Zn_{12}\Box_2$  structure.

Metrical relationship in terms of AlB<sub>2</sub> and vacancy concentration for the phases  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  and  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  are given in Table 10.3, and a graphical representation is shown in Fig. 10.7.

Table 10.3: Metrical relationship between  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  and  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ , respectively, and an AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure for which  $a_h \approx 4.1$  Å and  $c_h \approx 2.7$  Å [40]

	Space	Lat	tice para	imeters		Vacancy
Phase	group	a	b	с	$AlB_2$ form	concentration
$Pt_7Zn_{12}$ [42]	Pbam	$c_{\rm h}$	$\sqrt{3} a_h$	$7a_h$	$Pt_{14}(Zn_{12}\square_2)_2$	$\frac{1}{7}$
$Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$	Amm2	$c_{\rm h}$	$\sqrt{3} a_h$	$29a_{\rm h}$	$\mathrm{Pt}_{58}(\mathrm{Zn}_{49}\square_9)_2$	$\frac{9}{58}$



Figure 10.7: Metrical relationship between  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  and  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ . The red box refers to the cell of  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  and blue to that of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ . The cell of an AlB<sub>2</sub> structure is shown in the upper left corner.

In view of the subtle structural distinctions the X-ray powder diffractograms of the two phases differ barely. The main differences show up in few additional reflections in the pattern of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ in the low  $2\theta$  range, see Fig. 10.8. The extra reflections are also seen in the pattern calculated from the structural parameters of  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  and are missing in the accordant pattern of  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$ , as seen from Fig. 10.9. Moreover, it has been shown that the phases mutually transform into each other.  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  was obtained from an appropriately heat-treated sample (37 at.% Pt) which was finally annealed at 773 K.  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  was obtained from the same sample after quenching in cold water from 1123 K. All attempts, however, to obtain the high temperature precursor of  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$ at ambient temperature by quenching failed. Consequently, the transformation  $PtZn_{1.7}$  (ht2)  $\rightarrow$  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  takes place without any discernable repression.



Figure 10.8: a) X-ray powder diffractograms of  $PtZn_{1.7}$  (nominal composition of 37 at.% Pt) annealed at two different temperatures: (a) The sample annealed at 773 K is  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ . (b) The pattern of the sample annealed at 1123 K resembles that of  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$ . The insert shows a magnification of the intensities at low diffraction angles. The arrows highlight major differences between the two patterns.



Figure 10.9: Comparison of calculated X-ray intensities  $(CuK_{\alpha})$  of the structures  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  (blue) and  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  (red). The arrows indicate the major differences between patterns of the two phases.

DTA analyses provided additional pieces of information about the expected transformations. Fig. 10.10 shows two typical thermograms of a Zn-rich (37% Pt) and a Pt-rich (40%) sample. The effect at 1020 - 1028 K is less sensitive to compositional changes than the weaker effects at lower temperatures. The transformation temperatures of three representative DTA analyses are listed in Table 10.4. It is noteworthy to mention that the observed temperatures differ significantly from those attributed to the eutectoid/peritectoid reactions marked in the phase diagram [103], see Fig 10.1. In particular, the thermal event at 1020 K seems not to descend from the transformation PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht2)  $\rightarrow$  Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> (ht1). Firstly, the pronounced thermal hysteresis ( $\Delta$ T ca. 30 K) of the event suggests - opposed to our findings - that PtZn<sub>1.7</sub> (ht2) should be quenchable. Secondly, samples quenched from temperatures above 1020 K produce the diffraction pattern of Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> whereas patterns obtained from samples quenched below 1020 K showed extra peaks similar to Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub>. Hence, the thermal effect at 1020 K is associated with the decomposition of Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub>. This has been confirmed by DTA and powder X-ray diffraction analysis. The origin of the thermal events below 1000 K needs further clarification.



Figure 10.10: Thermochemical analysis of two different samples of nominal composition of 37 (a) and 40 at.% of Pt (b).

Nominal	P.T I	P.T II / IA	P.T III / IIA
composition	Temp. K	Temp. K	Temp. K
$\mathrm{Pt}_{35}\mathrm{Zn}_{65}$	865	961	_
$\mathrm{Pt}_{37}\mathrm{Zn}_{63}$	884	963	1028
$Pt_{40}Zn_{60}$	_	921	1022

Table 10.4: DTA analysis - Recorded temperatures for three different samples

The structure analysis of  $PtZn_{1.7}$  (rt) reveals that the composition of the phase is reasonably approximated by the formula  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  which complies with the results of the EDX analyses of the same crystal ( $x_{Pt} = 0.37(1)$ ) and other samples.

Magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature of the single phase sample shows diamagnetic behavior. The Pauli paramagnetism of the metallic phase seems to be slightly overcompensated by the core diamagnetism of its constituent. The temperature independent molar susceptibility was calculated from the slope of a linear plot  $\chi_{mol}$ T versus T. The value for Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> is  $-1.3 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> refers to an average atom of the compound, *i.e.*, although slightly less negative, compares well with the molar susceptibilities measured for Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>. Fig. 10.11 shows the magnetic susceptibility of a single phase sample of Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> as a function of temperature.


Figure 10.11: Magnetic susceptibility multiplied with temperature of single phase  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  as a function of temperature.

#### 10.4 Summary

The sparely characterized high temperature phase  $PtZn_{1.7}$  undergoes a series of eutectoid reactions upon cooling which finally end up with the formation of the apparently Pt-richest phase in the field  $0.32 \leq x_{Pt} \leq 0.40$ . A X-ray structure analysis revealed the composition  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ . The phase is structurally and compositionally closely related with its intermediate high temperature precursor  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  exhibiting a slightly reduced vacancy concentration of  $\frac{2}{14}$ .  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  forms a complex superstructure of an AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure in which 9 out of every 58 Zn positions in a graphite-like atomic arrangement remain vacant. The ordering of the vacancies entails a coherent distortion within the layers resulting in a modulated structure with a translation period of nearly 12 nm. Additional thermal events in DTA analyses and subtle distinctions in X-ray powder patterns of some quenched samples suggest the existence of further defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivatives in this phase field.

									· · T.		<i>y</i> -	5 ( -	<u>1</u>	/	
<b>D</b>				<b>D</b>					<b>D</b>						_
Pt1	Zn19	260.26(2)	$4 \times$	Pt12	Zn41	259.76(4)	$2\times$	Zn22	Pt11	253.60(4)	$2\times$	Zn31	Zn20	260.44(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn37	266.10(3)	$4 \times$		Zn23	265.96(2)	$2 \times$		Zn32	259.43(5)	$1 \times$		Pt3	261.20(4)	$2 \times$
	Pt1	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn18	268.38(4)	$2 \times$		Pt13	266.96(2)	$2 \times$		Pt9	264.89(3)	$2 \times$
	Zn16	291.08(5)	$2 \times$		Zn41	268.81(2)	$2 \times$		Zn40	268.05(1)	$1 \times$		Zn19	274.39(1)	$1 \times$
					Pt12	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Pt5	270.06(2)	$2 \times$		Zn31	279.00(6)	$2 \times$
Pt2	Zn33	259.56(4)	$2 \times$						Zn24	273.44(2)	$1 \times$		Zn33	294.61(1)	1×
	Zn28	262.35(2)	2×	Pt13	Zn40	$263 \ 18(4)$	$2\times$		Zn22	279.00(6)	2×			(_)	
	Zn21	202.00(2) 272.85(4)	2	1 010	Zn22	260.10(4) 264.80(2)	20		21122	210.00(0)	21	7.22	7.26	256.44(1)	1.~
	D121	273.00(4)	20		7 26	204.00(2)	20	7 02	D/10	004.00(0)	0	21132	Z1130 Z 99	250.44(1)	1
	Pt2	279.00(6)	2×		Zn36	265.71(4)	2×	Zn23	Pt13	264.80(2)	$2\times$		Zn22	259.43(5)	IX
	Zn34	279.52(2)	$2\times$		Zn22	266.96(2)	$2\times$		Pt12	265.96(2)	$2 \times$		Pt5	268.17(3)	$2\times$
	Zn35	290.72(2)	$2 \times$		Pt13	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn41	266.35(2)	$1 \times$		Zn17	268.45(1)	$1 \times$
					Zn32	291.51(2)	$2 \times$		Zn36	278.13(2)	$1 \times$		Pt11	275.93(4)	$2 \times$
Pt3	Zn34	254.62(2)	$2 \times$						Zn23	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn32	279.00(6)	$2 \times$
	Zn31	261.20(4)	$2\times$	Pt14	Zn30	257.03(4)	$2 \times$		Zn40	295.33(5)	1 ×		Pt13	291.51(2)	$2 \times$
	Zn19	262.11(2)	2~		Zn26	262.11(2)	2~			(.)				(_)	
	Zn20	202.11(2) 270.66(4)	2		Zn27	266 20(4)	20	7224	D+15	264 06(2)	2	7.22	D+9	250.56(4)	2
	D120	270.00(4)	2 ^		7 20	200.20(4)	4 ^	21124	7 20	204.00(2)	4 ^	21133	7 00	259.50(4)	4 ^
	Pt3	279.00(6)	$2\times$		Zn39	268.67(2)	2×		Zn39	267.20(2)	1×		Zn28	261.57(1)	IX
					Pt14	279.00(6)	$2\times$		Zn17	270.36(5)	$1 \times$		Zn21	265.99(5)	$1 \times$
Pt4	Zn29	261.56(3)	$2 \times$						Pt11	270.57(2)	$2 \times$		Pt9	269.03(3)	$2 \times$
	Zn25	270.42(2)	$2 \times$	Pt15	Zn24	264.06(2)	$2 \times$		Zn22	273.44(2)	$1 \times$		Zn33	279.00(6)	$2 \times$
	Zn30	273.71(2)	$2 \times$		Zn17	265.78(3)	$2 \times$		Zn24	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn31	294.61(1)	$1 \times$
	Zn26	276.58(4)	$2 \times$		Zn27	266.07(2)	$2 \times$		Pt5	291.78(5)	$2 \times$				
	Pt4	279.00(6)	2 1		Zn 30	276 28(2)	2×			(.)	- / 1	Zn34	P+3	254.62(2)	$2\times$
	7-97	215.00(0)	20		D+15	270.20(2)	20	7-95	7-96	961.79(9)	1.2	21104	7-20	254.02(2)	1.2
	Zn2(	280.86(2)	2×		PtI5	279.00(6)	2 X	Zn25	Zn20	201.72(2)	IX		Zn20	257.35(2)	IX
					Zn39	279.17(4)	$2\times$		Pt7	262.11(2)	$2\times$		Zn21	273.11(2)	$1 \times$
Pt5	Zn17	257.10(3)	$2 \times$						Zn28	265.57(2)	$1 \times$		Zn34	279.00(6)	$2 \times$
	Zn39	259.89(2)	$2 \times$	Zn16	Zn19	266.12(2)	$2 \times$		Pt4	270.42(2)	$2 \times$		Pt2	279.52(2)	$2 \times$
	Zn32	268.17(3)	$2 \times$		Pt8	267.36(2)	$4 \times$		Pt10	277.83(4)	$2 \times$		Pt9	286.28(5)	$2 \times$
	Zn22	270.06(2)	$2 \times$		Zn16	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn25	279.00(6)	$2 \times$				
	Pt5	279.00(6)	2×		Pt1	291.08(5)	$2\times$					Zn35	Pt7	255,85(4)	$2\times$
	7n24	213.00(0) 201.78(5)	2~		1 01	201.00(0)	20	<b>Zn26</b>	Zn25	261, 72(2)	1 🗸	21100	Zn28	260.00(4) 262.07(5)	1 ~
	21124	231.10(0)	2^	7 17	DIF	057 10(2)	0	21120	Di 14	201.12(2)	1 ^		7 01	202.01(0)	1
<b>D</b> . 0		a <b>F</b> a a a ( a )		Zn17	Pto	257.10(3)	2 X		Pt14	262.11(2)	2 X		Zn21	270.81(1)	IX
Pt6	Zn18	259.66(3)	2×		Pt15	265.78(3)	2×		Pt10	264.66(2)	$2\times$		Pt10	271.18(3)	2×
	Zn41	263.08(2)	$2\times$		Zn32	268.45(1)	$1 \times$		Zn29	270.84(5)	$1 \times$		Zn35	279.00(6)	$2\times$
	Zn40	263.32(3)	$2 \times$		Zn24	270.36(5)	$1 \times$		Zn27	273.15(2)	$1 \times$		Pt2	290.72(2)	$2 \times$
	Zn36	276.67(2)	$2 \times$		Zn17	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Pt4	276.58(4)	$2 \times$		Zn29	293.05(1)	$1 \times$
	Pt6	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn39	283.76(5)	$1 \times$		Zn26	279.00(6)	$2 \times$				
					Zn27	288.82(1)	$1 \times$					Zn36	Pt11	254.75(2)	$2 \times$
Pt7	Zn35	255,85(4)	$2\times$			()		Zn27	Zn30	262.60(5)	1 ×		Zn32	256.44(1)	1 ×
1 07	Zn25	260.00(4) 262.11(2)	2~	7n18	P+6	250.66(3)	$2 \times$	21121	D+15	262.00(0) 266.07(2)	2~		D+13	265.71(4)	2~
	7 01	202.11(2)	20	2010	D 10	209.00(0)	20		D 114	200.01(2)	20		7 40	203.11(4)	4 ^
	Zn21	265.14(2)	2 X		Pt12	268.38(4)	2 X		Pt14	266.20(4)	2 X		Zn40	274.15(5)	IX
	Zn28	269.59(4)	$2\times$		Zn41	268.87(5)	1×		Zn26	273.15(2)	$1 \times$		Pt6	276.67(2)	$2\times$
	Pt7	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn41	277.18(1)	$1 \times$		Zn27	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn23	278.13(2)	$1 \times$
					Zn18	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Pt4	280.86(2)	$2 \times$		Zn36	279.00(6)	$2 \times$
Pt8	Zn20	260.38(2)	$2 \times$		Zn40	286.93(1)	$1 \times$		Zn17	288.82(1)	$1 \times$				
	Zn37	263.32(4)	$2 \times$									Zn37	Pt8	263.32(4)	$2 \times$
	Zn16	267.36(2)	$2 \times$	Zn19	Pt1	260.26(2)	$2 \times$	Zn28	Zn33	261.57(1)	$1 \times$		Zn19	265.17(5)	$1 \times$
	Zn19	$269 \ 30(4)$	2 ×		Pt3	262.11(2)	2×		Zn35	262.07(5)	1 ×		P+1	266 10(3)	2×
	D110	200.00(4) 270.00(6)	2~		Zn37	265.17(5)	1~		D+9	262.01(0) 262.35(2)	2~		Zn20	260.10(0) 260.20(1)	1 ~
	1 10	213.00(0)	2^		7 10	200.17(0)	1		7 05	202.33(2)	1		7 27	203.20(1)	1 ^
<b>D</b> . 0		0.01 1.0(0)			Zn16	200.12(2)	IX		Zn25	265.57(2)	IX		Zn37	279.00(6)	ZX
Pt9	Zn21	261.18(2)	$2\times$		Pt8	269.30(4)	2×		Pt7	269.59(4)	$2\times$		Zn37	288.20(1)	$1 \times$
	Zn20	263.64(2)	$2 \times$		Zn31	274.39(1)	$1 \times$		Pt10	270.55(2)	$2 \times$				
	Zn31	264.89(3)	$2 \times$		Zn19	279.00(6)	$2 \times$		Zn28	279.00(6)	$2 \times$	Zn39	Pt5	259.89(2)	$2 \times$
	Zn33	269.03(3)	$2 \times$										Zn24	267.20(2)	$1 \times$
	Pt9	279.00(6)	$2 \times$	Zn20	Zn34	257.35(2)	$1 \times$	Zn29	Pt4	261.56(3)	$2 \times$		Pt14	268.67(2)	$2 \times$
	Zn34	286.28(5)	$2 \times$		Pt8	260.38(2)	$2 \times$		Pt10	263.02(3)	$2 \times$		Zn30	270.01(2)	$1 \times$
		()			Zn31	260.44(5)	1 ×		Zn30	270.75(1)	1 ×		Zn39	279.00(6)	2×
D+10	7.20	262 02(2)	2		D+0	260.44(0) 262.64(2)	2		7526	270.94(5)	1 1		D+15	270.17(4)	20
1 010	7 96	203.02(3)	2 \		rt9 7 97	203.04(2)	4 ^		7 00	270.84(3)	1 .		7 17	219.17(4)	4 ^
	Zn26	264.66(2)	2 X		Zn37	269.20(1)	IX		Zn29	279.00(6)	2 X		Zn1(	283.76(5)	1 ×
	Zn28	270.55(2)	$2\times$		Pt3	270.66(4)	$2\times$		Zn35	293.05(1)	$1 \times$	_	_		
	Zn35	271.18(3)	$2 \times$		Zn20	279.00(6)	$2 \times$					Zn40	Pt13	263.18(4)	$2 \times$
	Zn25	277.83(4)	$2 \times$					Zn30	Pt14	257.03(4)	$2 \times$		Pt6	263.32(3)	$2 \times$
	Pt10	279.00(6)	$2 \times$	Zn21	Pt9	261.18(2)	$2 \times$		Zn27	262.60(5)	$1 \times$		Zn22	268.05(1)	$1 \times$
					Pt7	265.14(2)	$2 \times$		Zn39	270.01(2)	$1 \times$		Zn36	274.15(5)	$1 \times$
Pt11	Zn22	253.60(4)	$2 \times$		Zn33	265.99(5)	1×		$Z_{n29}$	270.75(1)	1×		Zn40	279.00(6)	$2\times$
	Zn36	254 75(2)	2~		Zn 35	270.81(1)	1 ×		Pt4	27371(2)	2 ×		Zp18	286.93(1)	1 ×
	7-04	204.10(2)	4 A 9 U		7-94	270.01(1) 072.11(0)	1		D+1 F	210.11(2)	4 A 9 U		7-00	200.93(1)	1
	Z1124 Z 22	210.31(2)	4 X		ZII34	273.11(2)	1 X		F t 10	210.28(2)	4 X		21123	290.33(0)	тX
	Zn32	275.93(4)	2×		Pt2	273.85(4)	2×		Zn30	279.00(6)	$2\times$	_	_		
	Pt11	279.00(6)	$2\times$		Zn21	279.00(6)	$2\times$					Zn41	Pt12	259.76(4)	$2\times$
													Pt6	263.08(2)	$2 \times$
													Zn23	266.36(2)	$1 \times$
													Pt12	268.81(2)	$2 \times$
													Zn18	268.87(5)	$1 \times$
													Zn18	277.18(1)	1×
													$Z_p 41$	279 00(6)	2×
													C11.4.1	213.00(0)	4 ^

Table 10.5: Interatomic distances for the phase  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  (< 300 pm)

# Chapter 11

# A general introduction to the binary system Ni-Zn

The phase diagram and experimental studies on the Ni-Zn system was first reported by Hansen *et al.* [104]. The thermodynamic optimization of the phase diagram based on available experimental date was performed by Vassilev [105, 106]. So far in the Ni-Zn system four binary phases have been identified which are NiZn [107, 108],  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> [11], NiZn<sub>3</sub> [12], and  $\delta$ -NiZn<sub>8</sub> [109]. Morton studied the  $\gamma$ -brass region of Ni-Zn system by means of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and electron diffraction (ED) methods. As indicated by ED and TEM the structural complexity is associated with a modulation along the [110] direction of the parent  $\gamma$ -phase [32, 34]. The structural differentiation is reflected in striations appearing in suitably oriented TEM images. The image contrast is suggested to arise from inversion anti-phase domains whose size sensitively depends on composition. The translational ordering of domains ranges from 33 Å up to 107 Å at Ni-rich and Zn-rich phase boundary, respectively, see Fig. 11.2. The reported phase diagram for the Ni-Zn binary system is shown in Fig. 11.1, and the crystallographic data for known structures are summarized in Table 11.1.

Phase	Pearson symbol	Space group	Structure type
$Ni_{1-x}Zn_x$	cF4	$\mathrm{Fm}\overline{3}\mathrm{m}$	Cu [108]
NiZn	tP2	P4/mmm	AuCu [107, 108]
$\gamma$ -Ni <sub>5</sub> Zn <sub>21</sub>	cI52	$I\overline{4}3m$	$Cu_5Zn_8$ [11]
$NiZn_3$	oA276	Abm2	$NiZn_3$ [12]
$\delta$ -NiZn <sub>8</sub>	mC50	C2/m	$NiZn_{8}$ [109]
Zn	hP2	$P6_3/mmc$	Mg

Table 11.1: A survey of crystallographic data for the known phases in the Ni-Zn phase diagram

Assessed Ni-Znphase diagram.



Figure 11.1: Phase diagram of the Ni-Zn system from ASM [65].



Figure 11.2: Left: A graph showing the variation of the planar IAPD with composition and with valence electron concentration. Right top: bright-field image of the planer anti-phase domain structure. Right bottom: dark field image of the triangular IADP structure along the beam direction [111] (taken from Morton [32, 34])

# Chapter 12

# $Ni_7Zn_{57-\delta}$ ( $\delta = 0.54(6)$ ) - A reappraisal of a zinc-rich monoclinic phase

#### 12.1 Introduction

The zinc-rich phase NiZn<sub>8</sub> was first reported by Critchley *et al.* [109]. According to their findings, the NiZn<sub>8</sub> phase crystallizes in the C2/m space and the unit cell comprises 50 atoms. The structure was poorly determined with reported R(F) of about 12 %. The X-ray diffraction intensities were collected from visual analyses of Weissenberg photographs. Moreover, there is no structural discussion on the NiZn<sub>8</sub> phase. From these points, the structure of NiZn<sub>8</sub> calls for redetermination.

#### 12.2 Syntheses

Single crystals of NiZn<sub>8</sub> were synthesized from the pure elements (Ni:Zn  $\approx 1:15$ ) sealed in evacuated silica ampoules. The reactant mixtures were heated continuously at a rate of 60 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 870 K at which the ampoules were kept for 12 h. Subsequently, the ampoules were cooled down to 720 K over a period of 72 h, before they were quenched in water. The product was embedded in a matrix of Zn which was removed by using dilute hydrochloric acid. The crystals thus obtained had a regular polyhedral shape with metallic luster, see Fig. 12.1. In addition, the syntheses were also carried out using the melt centrifugation technique, as described earlier (cf. Introduction).



Figure 12.1: SEM studies showed that the crystals exhibit polyhedral forms with crystal sizes ranging between 20 to 80  $\mu$ m.

#### **12.3** Structure determination

The single phase and two phase mixtures were routinely characterized by means of X-ray powder diffraction using CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> ( $\lambda = 1.54060$  Å) radiation, operating in Bragg-Brentano geometry equipped with a secondary monochromator.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were carried out with a single crystal  $0.12 \times 0.04 \times 0.06$  mm<sup>3</sup> in size, on an IPDS-I X-ray diffractometer using MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The diffraction intensities were collected at room temperature in the range of  $3.8 \leq 2\theta \leq 65.8$ . The measured reflections were subjected to Lorentz, polarization and numerical absorption correction by optimizing the crystal shape with a numerical method based on the face indexation of the crystal under consideration [61, 62]. Among 13826 collected reflections, 3575 reflections were unique of which 1039 reflections were I<sub>o</sub> >  $2\sigma(I_o)$ .

For structural refinement the starting atomic parameters of the structure of  $PtZn_7$  were opted. By all indications the structure of  $NiZn_8$  is isostructural to  $PtZn_7$ . However, the weak X- ray scattering contrast of Ni and Zn hampered an unambiguous assignment of the two constituents in the structure. Thus, the atomic positions of Pt were replaced by Ni and the mixed-occupied positions were assigned to either Pt or Zn sites depending on the site occupancies. The atoms whose site occupancies close to unity were assigned to either Ni or Zn. However, one of the atomic position in the PtZn<sub>7</sub> structure whose site occupancy was about 50% of Zn and Pt appeared to be fully occupied by Zn in the NiZn<sub>8</sub> structure. This position was assigned to a fully occupied Zn site. Furthermore, two of the atomic positions were refined as partial occupied sites. The final cycles of the refinement were performed with anisotropic displacement parameters. The residual R1 value smoothly converged to 0.0401, wR<sub>2</sub> = 0.1616 for 1039 reflections with  $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$  for 179 variables. Noteworthy, the wR<sub>2</sub> value is quite large because some of the measured intensities for the structure are very weak, see Fig. 12.3. However, for few atoms the displacement parameters are noticeably high possibly due to incommensurate structure modulation. Details concerning the structure determination and date collection are tabulated in Table 12.1. The atomic coordinates with isotropic displacement parameters and aniotropic displacement parameters are listed in Table 12.2 and 12.3, respectively.

Sum formula	${ m Ni_7Zn_{57-\delta}}$
Space group (No.)	C2/m (12)
Z	2
a/pm	1337.0(3)
b/pm	748.3(1)
c/pm	1848.5(3)
$\beta$ / °	97.82(3)
$\rm V/10^6pm^3$	1835.0(7)
$Molar mass / g mol^{-1}$	516.3
$\rho_{cal}/{\rm g~cm^{-3}}$	7.435
$\mu /\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	39.61
Data collection	
$Crystal size / mm^3$	$0.12 \times 0.04 \times 0.06$
Diffractometer	IPDS–I (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha} / Graphite$
Distance crystal-IP / $\mathrm{mm}$	40
$\phi_{\min} - \phi_{\max}; \Delta \phi$	0-210;1
$2 heta_{ m max}/^{\circ}$	65.8
Collected reflections	$-20 \le h \le 20$
	$-11 \leq k \leq 11$
	$-28 \le l \le 28$
Total No. of reflections	13826
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS–Software $[57] / X$ -RED $[61]$
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE [62]
Max. / min. transmission	0.2827  /  0.0903
Unique reflections	3575
$R_{int}$	0.1045
Refinement	
Program	SHELXL-97[58]
Refined on	$ \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}} ^2$
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	1039
Variables	179
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0401
$R_1$ (all)	0.1646
$wR_2$ (all)	0.1616
Goodness of fit	0.618
$\Delta  ho_{ m max}$ / $\Delta  ho_{ m min}$ / $10^{-6} { m e} { m pm}^{-3}$	$2.561 \ / -2.09$

Table 12.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $\frac{1}{1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2}$ 

The composition of the phase  $Ni_7Zn_{57-\delta}$  is very close to  $NiZn_8$ . Further on, the phase  $Ni_7Zn_{57-\delta}$  is termed as  $NiZn_8$  for the sake of simplicity.

Atom No.	Wy.	х	у	$\mathbf{Z}$	sof	$U_{eq}$
Ni1	2a	0	0	0	1	59(8)
Ni2	4i	0.1344(2)	0	0.6092(1)	1	65(6)
Ni3	4i	0.2692(2)	0	0.2169(2)	1	56(6)
Ni4	4i	0.4014(2)	0	0.8257(2)	1	58(5)
Zn1	2c	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	172(9)
Zn2	4i	0.0664(2)	0	0.7349(1)	1	112(6)
Zn3	4i	0.0651(2)	0	0.8728(1)	1	115(6)
Zn4	4i	0.1962(2)	0	0.4812(1)	1	128(6)
Zn5	4i	0.1972(2)	0	0.3429(1)	1	117(6)
Zn6	4i	0.3217(2)	0	0.0889(1)	1	127(6)
Zn7	4i	0.1318(1)	0	0.1110(1)	1	168(7)
Zn8	4i	0.4529(2)	0	0.6976(1)	1	157(6)
Zn9	4i	0.3320(2)	0	0.9525(1)	1	90(6)
Zn10	4i	0.4690(2)	0	0.5648(2)	1	138(6)
Zn11	4i	0.2643(2)	0	0.7212(1)	0.91(1)	223(11)
Zn12	8j	0.0279(1)	0.1806(3)	0.37747(9)	1	126(4)
Zn13	8j	0.1115(1)	0.1896(3)	0.23350(9)	1	143(4)
Zn14	8j	0.1622(1)	0.1793(3)	0.9859(1)	1	112(4)
Zn15	8j	0.2972(1)	0.1775(3)	0.59529(9)	1	125(4)
Zn16	8j	0.2538(1)	0.3089(3)	0.1555(1)	1	152(4)
Zn17	8j	0.3798(1)	0.1956(3)	0.4627(1)	1	184(5)
Zn18	8j	0.4331(1)	0.1762(2)	0.1958(1)	1	104(3)
Zn19	8j	0.4834(1)	0.1968(3)	0.92639(9)	1	145(4)
Zn20	8j	0.3495(1)	0.1960(3)	0.31683(9)	0.91(1)	194(7)

Table 12.2: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $\rm U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $\rm NiZn_8$ 

Table 12.3: Anisotropic displacement parameters U  $\rm (pm^2)$  for  $\rm NiZn_8$ 

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Ni1	30(13)	80(30)	66(17)	0	0	-9(11)
Ni2	55(11)	64(19)	70(13)	0	0	-11(8)
Ni3	47(9)	61(17)	61(11)	0	0	5(7)
Ni4	53(9)	70(16)	47(10)	0	0	-9(7)
Zn1	95(14)	300(30)	111(16)	0	0	-23(11)
Zn2	141(10)	96(17)	105(11)	0	0	36(8)
Zn3	145(10)	143(18)	60(11)	0	0	20(8)
Zn4	141(10)	153(18)	88(11)	0	0	14(8)
Zn5	138(10)	116(18)	102(12)	0	0	34(8)
Zn6	120(10)	184(19)	78(11)	0	0	16(8)
Zn7	83(10)	320(20)	87(11)	0	0	-43(8)
Zn8	190(12)	190(16)	90(9)	0	0	14(9)
Zn9	110(10)	90(17)	76(11)	0	0	27(8)
Zn10	161(11)	128(18)	127(12)	0	0	28(9)
Zn11	58(11)	530(30)	69(12)	0	0	-41(8)
Zn12	97(6)	116(12)	164(8)	-35(6)	-10(8)	15(5)
Zn13	123(7)	130(12)	180(9)	56(7)	52(8)	35(6)
Zn14	68(6)	87(12)	181(8)	-19(6)	-15(8)	13(5)
Zn15	93(6)	115(12)	161(8)	-30(6)	-18(7)	-7(5)
Zn16	124(7)	118(12)	220(9)	56(7)	62(8)	47(6)
Zn17	181(7)	183(13)	189(9)	53(8)	96(9)	28(6)
Zn18	68(4)	104(8)	139(5)	-19(7)	-10(9)	15(4)
Zn19	154(7)	150(12)	135(8)	-67(7)	-48(8)	32(5)
Zn20	216(9)	253(15)	132(9)	-170(8)	-127(8)	94(6)

#### 12.4 Physical properties of NiZn<sub>8</sub>

#### **12.4.1** Thermochemical analyses

The zinc-rich monoclinic phase NiZn<sub>8</sub> undergoes peritectic decomposition at 790(3) K upon heating into the cubic  $\gamma$ -brass type phase Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and Zn. The successive endothermic peak at 1100(3) K corresponds to the incongruent melting of the  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> phase. During the cooling process, three exothermic events were observed. As proven by X-ray powder diffraction of a quenched sample, the first exothermic peak at 1090(2) K corresponds to the re-formation of  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>. The next one at 753(2) K refers to the partial conversion of the NiZn<sub>8</sub> phase followed by a peak at 692(1) K corresponding to the solidification of residual Zn. Noteworthy, the zinc-rich phase re-forms almost complete upon cooling with an applied cooling rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>, if the sample is thermally treated not higher than 900 K (cf. Inset Fig. 12.2). However, when the sample was heated above the melting point of the Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> phase, the Zn-rich phase resists to transform completely into the monoclinic phase, only partial conversion into the Zn-rich phase is observed. This could be due to partial evaporation of Zn from the sample or due to the sluggish peritectic formation of NiZn<sub>8</sub> from  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and liquid Zn. A typical thermogram of NiZn<sub>8</sub> is shown in Fig. 12.2.



Figure 12.2: A typical thermogram of the phase  $NiZn_8$ . The inset shows that the formation of the zinc-rich phase almost completely upon cooling.

#### 12.5 Results and discussion

The redetermination of the structure of NiZn<sub>8</sub> phase reveals that the lattice parameters differ quite significantly from the reported ones by Critchley *et al.* [109]. The obtained lattice parameters are as follows; a = 1337.0(3), b = 748.3(1), c = 1848.5(3) pm and  $\beta$  = 97.82(3)° in a C-centered monoclinic system, C2/m space group (Pearson symbol, mC128). The reported structure is a spatially averaged structure, since the superstructure reflections along  $c^*$  are not perfectly commensurate. The structural refinement of the average structure yielded large correlations between different atoms. Significant residual charge densities are shown in the difference Fourier map. This indicates that the structure is presumably incommensurately modulated. Hence, the structure was treated as an incommensurately modulated structure. The modulation is quite strong and well pronounced in the case of the PtZn<sub>7</sub> structure. However, in the case of NiZn<sub>8</sub> the superstructure reflections are very close to a commensurate approach, see Fig. 12.3.



Figure 12.3: The h0l section of NiZn<sub>8</sub> reciprocal space, reconstructed from area detector data. Main reflections are marked in black circles. Green circles indicate the q-vector  $\frac{5}{23}$  [ $\overline{3}$  0 2]\* that is compatible with the commensurate super cell. Red circles indicate a different indexing that adheres to the incommensurate PtZn<sub>7</sub> model.

The NiZn<sub>8</sub> structure can be adequately described in the (3+1)-dimensional superspace. A set of strong reflections could be indexed with a basic C-centered monoclinic cell. The lattice parameters are: a = 423.14 pm, b = 763.35 pm, c = 258.77 pm and  $\beta$  = 92.05 °. The subset of weak reflections, so-called satellites, can be indexed with a modulation vector  $\mathbf{q} \approx \frac{1}{9}$  [3 0 4]\*. Finally, the structure was refined in the (3+1)-dimensional superspace group C2/m( $\alpha$ 0 $\gamma$ )00 using the program JANA2000 [59]. Fig. 12.3 shows two indexing schemes of reflections based on the cells of the commensurate and incommensurate structures, respectively.

# 12.5.1 Metrical relation between commensurate and incommensurate structural models

The single crystal X-ray diffraction pattern of the compound  $NiZn_8$  displays a subset of strong reflections that lends to a fairly straight-forward indexing on the basis of a C-centred monoclinic unit cell with the following parameters,

a = 4.2314 Å  
b = 7.6335 Å 
$$\beta$$
 = 92.05 °  
c = 2.5877 Å

Note however that several strong reflections remain un-indexed in Fig. 12.3. The subset of weaker reflections may be indexed using an additional vector,  $\mathbf{q} \approx \frac{1}{9} [3 \ 0 \ 4]^*$ . This choice enables one to index all reflections and gives a clear, hierarchical, intensity distribution. The q-vector refines to (0.3478, 0, 0.435). Note that the corresponding Ni compound,  $\delta$ -NiZn<sub>8</sub> reported by Critchley *et al.* [109], has been reported in a cell that corresponds well to  $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{9} [3 \ 0 \ 4]^*$ . The commensurate q vector indicated implies a transformation matrix of the form

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

yielding the unit cell

a = 7.7631 Å  
b = 7.6335 Å, 
$$\beta = 110.41$$
 °  
c = 13.5361 Å.

differing (apart from the setting) only slightly from the reported  $\delta$ -NiZn<sub>8</sub> [109] cell of

a = 13.37 Å  
b = 7.47 Å, 
$$\beta$$
 = 111.3 °  
c = 7.65 Å.

Interestingly, a re-determination of  $\delta$ -NiZn<sub>8</sub> shows the q-vector actually differing significantly from this value, and that a far superior description is given by the cell

a = 13.371 Å  
b = 7.482 Å, 
$$\beta$$
 = 97.40 °  
c = 18.512 Å.

This implies a transformation (again ignoring the setting)

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & -1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

or, for the reciprocal cell

$$\mathbf{M}_{2}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-3}{23} & 0 & \frac{2}{23} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{23} & 0 & \frac{7}{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

implying the q-vector  $\mathbf{q} = \frac{n}{23} [\overline{3} \ 0 \ 2]^*$  which is quite apparent in Fig. 12.3 (n chosen as 5). This value appears to be an approximation as indicated by slight misalignments and irregular intensity distribution along  $[\overline{3} \ 0 \ 2]^*$ , while on the other hand, the  $\sim [3 \ 0 \ 4]^*$  direction again yields perfectly aligned reflections with a very regular intensity decline with increasing satellite order.

In spite of these observations, the NiZn<sub>8</sub> phase is treated as a commensurate structure for the benefit of a clear description of the structure. The structural features of NiZn<sub>8</sub> are not described herein. By all indications the structure of NiZn<sub>8</sub> is isotypic to the PtZn<sub>7</sub> structure. The structural description for PtZn<sub>7</sub> is given in Chapter 4.

An approximate composition of the phase was established from EDX analyses on selected crystals. According to EDX analyses the composition was found to be  $11\pm1$  at.% of Ni. This value agrees fairly with the composition obtained from the single crystal structural refinement with the proposed structural model. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses of a second crystal from another batch revealed that the homogenity range of the phase is narrow.

As seen from Fig. 12.4, the commensurately approximated modulated structure of NiZn<sub>8</sub> produces calculated intensities which are in much better agreement with the observed intensities than those calculated with structural model proposed by Critchley *et al.* [109]. In addition, the assigned composition of the phase is also in good agreement with the one obtained from EDX analyses.

The interatomic distances of Ni-Zn cover the range from 247.6 – 265.3 pm. The shorter distances compare well with the sum of covalent radii of Ni ( $r_{Ni}^c = 121$  pm) and Zn ( $r_{Zn}^c = 131$  pm) while longer distances compare fairly well with the sum of atomic radii  $r_{Ni}^a = 124$  pm and  $r_{Zn}^a = 133.5$  pm. The interatomic distances for NiZn<sub>8</sub> are listed in Table 12.4.



Figure 12.4: Calculated and measured X-ray intensities for NiZn<sub>8</sub>. a) Calculated intensities from the present work. b) Measured intensities from the single phase sample. c) Calculated intensities from Critchley *et al.* [109].

Ni1	Zn7	251.9(5)	$2 \times$	Zn5	Zn4	256.3(4)	$1 \times$	Zn12	Zn15	255.5(3)	$1 \times$	Zn16	Ni3	257.2(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn14	259.4(2)	$4 \times$		Zn13	260.6(4)	$2 \times$		Ni2	259.7(3)	$1 \times$		Ni4	258.1(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn3	262.0(3)	$2 \times$		Zn20	260.7(3)	$2 \times$		Zn10	261.6(2)	$1 \times$		Zn9	259.4(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn19	264.0(2)	$4 \times$		Ni3	264.1(5)	$1 \times$		Zn2	265.2(4)	$1 \times$		Zn18	260.8(3)	$1 \times$
					Zn15	266.8(2)	$2 \times$		Zn20	266.0(4)	$1 \times$		Zn13	269.1(4)	$1 \times$
Ni2	Zn1	251.6(5)	$1 \times$		Zn12	278.5(3)	$2 \times$		Zn12	270.3(3)	$1 \times$		Zn11	273.1(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn11	251.7(5)	$1 \times$						Zn1	271.0(2)	$1 \times$		Zn6	282.7(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn15	259.2(3)	$2 \times$	Zn6	Zn9	254.9(4)	$1 \times$		Zn5	278.5(3)	$1 \times$		Zn16	286.0(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn12	259.7(3)	$2 \times$		Ni3	256.2(4)	$1 \times$		Zn8	279.4(3)	$1 \times$		Zn7	288.3(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn2	261.2(4)	$1 \times$		Zn7	262.5(3)	$1 \times$		Zn17	284.9(4)	$1 \times$		Zn3	292.1(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn4	261.3(4)	$1 \times$		Zn18	265.9(4)	$2 \times$		Zn13	302.8(4)	$1 \times$		Zn14	298.7(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn17	263.2(3)	$2 \times$		Zn14	279.3(3)	$2 \times$		Zn4	306.6(5)	$1 \times$		Zn20	319.8(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn20	264.9(3)	$2 \times$		Zn16	282.7(3)	$2 \times$		Zn17	318.5(4)	$1 \times$				
					Zn14	297.9(5)	$2 \times$					Zn17	Zn10	255.4(4)	$1 \times$
Ni3	Zn20	248.6(4)	$2 \times$		Zn19	304.1(3)	$2 \times$	Zn13	Ni4	256.4(2)	$1 \times$		Zn10	260.2(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn7	249.8(5)	$1 \times$						Ni3	259.4(3)	$1 \times$		Ni2	263.2(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn6	256.2(4)	$1 \times$	Zn7	Ni3	249.8(5)	$1 \times$		Zn18	259.6(3)	$1 \times$		Zn15	263.9(4)	$1 \times$
	Zn16	257.2(3)	$2 \times$		Ni1	251.9(5)	$1 \times$		Zn5	260.6(4)	$1 \times$		Zn20	267.5(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn13	259.4(3)	$2 \times$		Zn6	262.5(3)	$1 \times$		Zn16	269.1(4)	$1 \times$		Zn4	275.4(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn18	263.1(3)	$2 \times$		Zn3	269.0(3)	$1 \times$		Zn7	272.0(3)	$1 \times$		Zn1	281.9(2)	$1 \times$
	Zn5	264.1(5)	$1 \times$		Zn13	272.0(3)	$2 \times$		Zn13	283.7(3)	$1 \times$		Zn15	282.9(4)	$1 \times$
					Zn14	275.5(3)	$2 \times$		Zn8	283.9(3)	$1 \times$		Zn12	284.9(4)	$1 \times$
Ni4	Zn11	247.6(5)	$1 \times$		Zn19	277.6(3)	$2 \times$		Zn2	289.7(3)	$1 \times$		Zn4	291.9(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn19	250.8(4)	$2\times$		Zn16	288.3(3)	$2 \times$		Zn11	291.1(3)	1×		Zn17	292.7(3)	1×
	Zn8	255.7(4)	1×			()	- / (		Zn12	302.8(4)	1×		Zn12	318.5(4)	1 ×
	Zn13	256.4(2)	2×	Zn8	Zn10	249.8(4)	1 ×		Zn19	317.4(4)	1×			0-010(-)	
	Zn16	258.1(3)	2×		Ni4	255.7(4)	1 ×		Zn3	319.3(5)	1×	Zn18	Zn13	259.6(3)	$1 \times$
	Zn9	263.9(5)	1×		Zn11	261.7(3)	1 ×			(-)			Zn16	260.8(3)	1 ×
	Zn18	265.3(3)	2×		Zn18	267.2(4)	2×	Zn14	Zn14	$256\ 3(3)$	1 ×		Ni3	263.1(3)	1 ×
	2	20010(0)	- ^		Zn12	279.4(3)	2×		Ni1	259.4(2)	1×		Zn18	263.7(2)	1 ×
Zn1	Ni2	251.6(5)	$2\times$		Zn13	283.9(3)	2 ×		Zn9	265.4(2)	1 ×		Zn20	26611(2)	1 ×
2111	Zn4	269.2(3)	2~		Zn15	200.5(5) 293 5(5)	2 ~		Zn19	266.0(4)	1 ×		Ni4	265.3(3)	1 2
	Zn12	203.2(0) 271.0(2)	4 ×		Zn20	306.6(3)	2 ~		Zn3	267.0(4)	1 ×		Zn6	265.9(4)	1 2
	Zn17	281.0(2)	4~		2020	000.0(0)	2~		Zn14	267.0(4) 268.3(3)	1 \		Zn10	266.0(4)	1
	21117	201.3(2)	47	$\mathbf{Zn}0$	7n6	254.9(4)	1 ~		$Z_n7$	200.5(3) 275.5(3)	1 ~		Zn8	267.2(4)	1 ~
7n2	<b>7</b> n3	255 5(4)	1 ~	2113	Zn16	254.3(4) 259.4(4)	1 ^ 2 V		$Z_n^0$	275.5(3) 277.7(3)	1 ~		Zn3	207.2(4) 273.7(2)	1 ~
2112	Nio	260.0(4) 261.2(4)	1 \		Zn10	260.4(4) 260.1(3)	2~		Zn6	270.3(3)	1 \		Zn2	270.1(2) 274.1(2)	1
	7n12	201.2(4) 265.2(4)	2~		Ni4	263.9(5)	2 A 1 V		Zn10	279.3(3) 285 4(4)	1 ×		2112	214.1(2)	1 \
	Zn11	269.2(4) 269.2(3)	1 ~		Zn14	205.9(3) 265.4(2)	1 ^ 2 V		Zn13 Zn6	203.4(4) 207.0(5)	1 ~	<b>Z</b> n10	Ni4	250.8(4)	1 ~
	Zn19	203.2(3) 274.1(2)	2~		Zn14 Zn14	203.4(2) 277.7(2)	2 A 1 V		Zn16	297.9(3) 208 7(4)	1 ~	21113	7.0	250.0(4) 260.1(2)	1
	Zn20	274.1(2) 276.6(3)	2~		Zn14 Zn14	277.7(3)	1 ~		2010	230.7(4)	1 ^		N;1	264.0(2)	1 ~
	Zn120	210.0(3) 280 7(3)	2~		20114	211.1(3)	1 ^	Zn15	Zn19	255 5(3)	1 ~		$\mathbf{Zn}14$	264.0(2) 266.0(4)	1 ~
	20110	203.1(0)	2 ^	7-10	7-0	940 8(4)	1.2	20110	N:0	255.5(3)	1 ~		7-19	200.0(4)	1.
7.2	7.2	255 5(4)	1 🗸	2010	Zn17	249.8(4) 255 4(4)	2 2		7n17	259.2(3)	1 ×		Zn10	200.0(4) 270.1(2)	1
2113	N;1	255.5(4)	1 ×		$Z_{n17}$	255.4(4) 260.2(2)	2 ×		Zn17	203.9(4)	1 ×		Zn19 Zn2	270.1(3) 276.1(2)	1 1
	7n14	262.0(3)	2~		Zn19	260.2(3)	2~		Zn10 Zn5	205.0(3)	1 ~		Zn7	270.1(3) 277.6(2)	1
	$Z_{n14}$	207.0(4)	2 A 1 V		Zn12	261.0(2) 264.2(5)	4 A 1 V		Z115 Zn4	200.8(2) 260.7(4)	1 ×		$Z_{n14}$	277.0(3)	1 1
	ZII1 Z=19	209.0(3)	2		Zn15	204.3(3)	1 1		Z114 Z=11	209.7(4)	1.		Zii 14 Zii 10	203.4(4)	1.
	Zn10	273.1(2)	20		2015	211.1(3)	2 \		Z=10	277.0(3)	1.		Z1119 Z=6	294.0(3)	1.
	Zn19 Zn16	270.1(3)	2 X	7-11	NT: 4	947.6(5)	1.2		Zn10 Z=4	211.1(3)	1 X		Zn0 Z=12	304.1(3)	1 X
	Zn10 Zn12	292.1(3) 210.2(5)	2 X	2011	N14 N19	247.0(3) 251.7(5)	1 X		Zn4 7-17	280.0(2)	1 X		2013	317.4(4)	1 X
	2015	519.5(5)	2 X		IN12	251.7(5)	1 X		7 00	282.9(4)	1 X	7 00	M.O	040 ((4)	1
7-4	7-5	256.2(4)	1.2		Z118 Z= 9	201.7(3)	1 X		Zn20 7-9	207.3(4)	1 X	21120	7.5	248.0(4)	1 X
Zn4	Zno	250.3(4)	1 ×		Zn2	269.2(3)	1 X		Zn8	293.5(5)	1 ×		Zno	260.7(3)	1 ×
	IN12	201.3(4)	1 X		Z1110	273.1(3)	2 X						ZII10	204.1(4)	1 X
	∠n1 7-15	209.2(3)	1×		Zn15	277.0(3)	2×						IN12 7= 10	204.9(3)	1 X
	Zn15 Zn17	209.7(4)	2 X		Zn20 Zn12	2(1.4(3))	2 X						Zn12	200.0(4)	1 ×
	Z111 ( Zn 15	210.4(3)	2× 2×		21113	291.1(3)	∠×						Zn17	201.3(3)	1 X
	Z=17	200.0(2)	4 X 2 V										Zill Zin 1 1	210.0(3)	1.2
	Zn10	291.9(3) 206.6(≝)	2× 2×										Zn11 Zr15	211.4(3)	1 X
	21112	300.0(3)	2 X										Zn10 Zn20	201.3(4)	1 ×
													7.0	290.0(0) 206.6(2)	1.2
													Zn16	310 9(4)	1 ×
													20110	313.0(4)	тX

Table 12.4: Interatomic distances for NiZn<sub>8</sub> (< 320 pm)

#### 12.6 Summary

- Single crystals of NiZn<sub>8</sub> were prepared with the use of the melt centrifugation technique and by a conventional solid state method. The most Zn-rich phase undergoes peritetic decomposition at 790 K into  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and Zn.
- Re-determination of the structure revealed that the reported cell parameters are quite far off from the obtained cell parameters. The structure is approximated as a commensurate superstructure of a defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-structure with the following lattice parameters; a = 1337.0(3) pm, b = 748.3(1) pm, c = 1848.5(3) pm, β = 97.82(3)° in space group C2/m. The structure can more precisely be described as an incommensurate modulated structure with a modulation vector q ≈ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>9</sub>[3 0 4]\*, C2/m(α0γ)00, a = 423.14 pm, b = 763.35 pm, c = 258.77 pm and β = 92.05°.
- By all indications the  $NiZn_8$  structure is isostructural to that of  $PtZn_7$ .

# Chapter 13

# $Ni_{18}Zn_{51} - A \gamma$ -brass related composite structure

#### 13.1 Introduction

The structure of NiZn<sub>3</sub> was reported by Schubert *et al.* [12]. This phase is known to be one of the complex phases which is related to  $\gamma$ -brass type structure with orthorhombic symmetry. According to authors, the  $\gamma$ -brass related phase NiZn<sub>3</sub> crystallizes in the acentric space group Abm2. The unit cell comprised of 276 atoms, and the Pearson symbol is oA276. In addition, similar kind of structures were uncovered in the congeneric Pd-Zn and Pt-Zn system. These structures are adequeately described in Cmce space group. This chapter focuses on the syntheses and characterization of the  $\gamma$ -brass related phase, to which Schubert *et al.* [12] assigned the composition NiZn<sub>3</sub> [12].

#### 13.2 Syntheses

The syntheses were carried out in previously out-gassed, evacuated silica ampoules using pure Ni and Zn as a starting materials. The mole fraction  $x_{\text{Ni}}$  was systematically varied between 0.24 and 0.35. The ampoules were heated continuously at a rate of 120 K h<sup>-1</sup> to a temperature of 1325 K at which the reactants mixtures were kept for 12 h. Subsequently, the ampoules were cooled to 970 K in the course of 72 h and then quenched or brought to room temperature in less than 12 h.

#### **13.3** Structure determination

X-ray diffraction studies were carried out with a single crystal of  $0.18 \times 0.14 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$  in size on an IPDS-II diffractometer. The collected reflections were subjected for Lorentz polarization and numerical absorption correction using the programs X-SHAPE and X-RED [61, 62]. The structure was first refined in the space group Abm2 from the known structural model NiZn<sub>3</sub> [12] using the program SHELX-97 [58]. Final cycles of refinement with isotropic displacement parameters converged to the R1 value of 5.8% for 160 parameters. However, the results of the refinement showed large correlations between the atomic positions of Zn and Ni. This was taken as an indication of possible missing symmetry elements. For this purpose, the data was evaluated using the program PLATON [60] to find correct symmetry. It suggested the presence of a-glide plane and an inversion center leading to the space group Cmce (No. 64). Moreover, a similar type structure  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  has been found in the Pt-Zn system, which is described in Cmce space group with ordered atomic distribution of Pt and Zn. The starting parameters for the structural refinement were taken from  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  assuming that both the structures are isotypic. Subsequently, the atomic positions of Pt atoms were replaced to Ni and one of the mixed position was set to Zn. However, the weak X-ray scattering contrast of Ni and Zn hampered an unambiguous assignment of the two constituents in the structure. The final refinement cycles using anisotropic thermal displacement parameters converged to R1 value of 3.5% for 173 parameters. The details concerning crystallograpic data, refinement and atomic parameters are tabulated in Table 13.1 and 13.2, respectively.

Sum formula	$Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$
Space group (No.)	Cmce (64)
Z	4
a / pm	1250.6(3)
b/pm	885.3(2)
c / pm	3325.0(7)
$\rm V/10^6pm^3$	3681.3(13)
$Molar mass / g mol^{-1}$	4390.65
$ ho_{cal} /\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	7.946
$\mu /\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	41.69
Data collection	
Crystal size $/ \text{mm}^3$	$0.18\times0.14\times0.15$
Diffractometer	IPDS-II (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / Monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha}$ / Graphite
Distance crystal-IP / mm	120
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	0; 0-180; 1
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	80; 0-180; 1
$2\theta_{\rm max}/\circ$	54.0
Collected reflections	$-15 \le h \le 15$
	$-11 \le k \le 11$
	$-41 \le l \le 42$
Total No. of reflections	25578
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS-II-Software $[57] / X$ -RED $[61]$
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE [62]
Max. / Min. transmission	0.0547 / 0.0094
Unique reflections	2090
$R_{int}$	0.1093
Refinement	
Program	SHELXL-97 [58]
Refined on	$ \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}} ^2$
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	1024
Variables	168
$\mathbf{R}_1 \ (\mathbf{I}_o > 2\sigma(\mathbf{I}_o))$	0.0358
$R_1$ (all)	0.0684
$wR_2$ (all)	0.1453
Goodness of fit	0.85
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}  /  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  /  10^{-6} {\rm e}  {\rm pm}^{-3}$	1.095 / -1.617

Table 13.1: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2,0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

Atom No.	Wy.	x	У	z	sof	$U_{eq}$
Zn1	4a	0	0	0	1	234(5)
Zn2	8f	0	0.4666(2)	0.42293(5)	1	233(4)
Zn3	8f	0	0.0481(1)	0.15442(6)	1	218(3)
Zn4	8f	0	0.4555(2)	0.27092(6)	1	267(4)
Zn5	8f	0	0.01009(16)	0.30743(5)	1	237(4)
Zn6	8f	0	0.02495(18)	0.38560(5)	1	241(4)
Zn7	8f	0	0.44999(18)	0.03612(5)	1	236(4)
Zn8	16g	0.1172(1)	0.20309(12)	0.03225(4)	1	221(3)
Zn9	16g	0.1262(1)	0.24042(14)	0.40721(4)	1	247(3)
Zn10	16g	0.1776(1)	0.09676(12)	0.10251(4)	1	221(3)
Zn11	16g	0.18653(8)	0.37372(11)	0.34093(5)	1	269(3)
Zn12	16g	0.11273(8)	0.29920(10)	0.15579(4)	1	231(3)
Zn13	16g	0.1809(1)	0.10716(14)	0.20997(4)	1	262(3)
Zn14	16g	0.1228(1)	0.21822(14)	0.27958(4)	1	273(3)
Zn15	16g	0.1778(1)	0.40519(12)	0.08646(4)	1	236(3)
Zn16	16g	0.1858(1)	0.11979(13)	0.47609(4)	1	235(3)
Zn17	16g	0.1772(1)	0.40889(13)	0.22365(4)	1	229(3)
Ni1	8f	0	0.2338(2)	0.46895(6)	1	206(4)
Ni2	8f	0	0.2464(2)	0.09337(6)	1	194(4)
Ni3	8f	0	0.2649(2)	0.34362(7)	1	202(4)
Ni4	8f	0	0.2386(2)	0.21878(5)	1	193(4)
Ni5	16g	0.1756(1)	0.41444(14)	0.46557(4)	1	215(3)
Ni6	16g	0.17560(8)	0.08257(11)	0.34510(5)	1	196(3)

Table 13.2: Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $\rm U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $\rm Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$ 

Table 13.3: Anisotropic displacement parameters U  $\rm (pm^2)$  for  $\rm Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$ 

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Zn1	251(12)	215(10)	235(11)	0	56(8)	0
Zn2	254(9)	238(7)	207(8)	0	39(6)	0
Zn3	257(7)	194(6)	205(7)	0	-4(7)	0
Zn4	295(9)	210(7)	295(9)	0	-52(6)	0
Zn5	213(9)	249(8)	249(8)	0	-37(6)	0
Zn6	253(9)	217(7)	253(8)	0	65(6)	0
Zn7	274(9)	215(7)	218(8)	0	26(6)	0
Zn8	261(7)	228(5)	175(6)	27(4)	-4(4)	14(4)
Zn9	265(5)	275(5)	202(5)	7(5)	-45(4)	0(5)
Zn10	217(6)	241(5)	205(6)	40(5)	9(4)	-13(5)
Zn11	242(5)	234(5)	332(7)	-38(3)	-34(5)	-1(6)
Zn12	267(5)	243(5)	183(5)	-26(3)	-16(5)	2(6)
Zn13	242(7)	287(6)	257(7)	50(5)	7(5)	11(5)
Zn14	281(7)	345(6)	192(6)	39(5)	32(4)	-8(5)
Zn15	245(6)	240(6)	224(6)	-30(4)	2(4)	20(4)
Zn16	228(6)	236(5)	241(6)	31(4)	-9(4)	-24(5)
Ni1	232(10)	179(8)	207(9)	0	-10(7)	0
Ni2	217(8)	183(7)	181(7)	0	-6(5)	0
Ni3	193(7)	196(7)	216(8)	0	12(9)	0
Ni4	184(10)	213(9)	181(9)	0	4(7)	0
Ni5	249(7)	218(6)	179(7)	-35(4)	-7(5)	14(5)
Ni6	218(5)	180(5)	190(6)	8(3)	3(5)	2(6)

#### 13.4 Results and discussion

NiZn<sub>3</sub> is known to be the first  $\gamma$ -brass related complex phase reported by Schubert *et al.* [12]. According to them, the structure crystallizes in the acentric orthorhombic space group Abm2. So far, this is the only structural evidence for the existence of structurally complex phase next to the  $\gamma$ -brass region of Ni-Zn system as reported by Morton [32, 34]. However, redetermination of the phase revealed that the structure can appropriately be described in the centrosymmetric Cmce space group. The lattice parameters are nearly the same as reported by Schubert *et al.* [12]. The average composition determined by EDX analyses was  $26\pm1$  at.% of Ni. This value agrees well with the assigned composition Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> ( $x_{Ni} = 0.261$ ).

Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> crystallizes in a C-centered orthorhombic cell in space group Cmce (a = 1250.6(3), b = 885.3(2) and c = 3325.0(7) pm). The unit cell accommodates 276 atoms, and the Pearson symbol is oC276. The lattice parameters of the orthorhombic structure are related to that of  $\gamma$ -brass type Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> [11] according to  $a_o \approx \sqrt{2} a_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_o \approx a_{\gamma}$  and  $c_o \approx 8 \sqrt{2} \frac{a_{\gamma}}{3}$  ( $a_{\gamma} = 892.06(2)$  pm). The structure of Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> is isotypic to that of Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> (See chapter 9).

Several attempts were made to synthesize NiZn<sub>3</sub> at the nominal composition of 25 at.% of Ni. They all were unsuccessful. Interestingly, at this starting composition another new phase Ni<sub>23</sub>Zn<sub>72</sub> was found. The lattice parameters for this phase are: a = 1251.6(3), b = 885.1(2) and c = 4571.2(9) pm, Z = 4, Pearson symbol oC380. The structure was refined in the space group Cmce (No. 64); it is composed of 380 atoms per unit cell. The structure of Ni<sub>23</sub>Zn<sub>72</sub> is isotypic to Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> in the congeneric Pt-Zn system. It can also be classified as a derivative structure of the elemental bcc atomic arrangement of order 198 ( $3\sqrt{2} \times 11\sqrt{2} \times 3 a_{bcc}^3$ ) with 16 ordered vacancies per unit cell. The crystallographic infomations for Ni<sub>23</sub>Zn<sub>72</sub> are given in the appendix.

#### **13.4.1** Structural description and phase relation

The volume of the orthorhombic cell Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> offers space for 288 atoms, consequently, 12 positions are unoccupied. The vacancy concentration of the phase Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> is increased to  $\frac{3}{72}$  compared to  $\frac{1}{27}$  for that of the ordinary  $\gamma$ -phase (Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>) [11]. Noteworthy, for all  $\gamma$ -brass related phases in the Pt-Zn system the vacancy concentration increases with decreasing valence electron concentration (*vec*), compared to ordinary  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub>. This is contrary to the observation made by Hume-Rothery and co-workers for the  $\gamma$ -brass type structures in the Cu-Al and Cu-Ga systems [100]. They found that the vacancy concentration increases with increasing valence electron concentration (*vec*).

Similar structures were found in the congeneric Pt-Zn (Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub>) and Pd-Zn (Pd<sub>15</sub>Zn<sub>54</sub>) systems [39]. In the case of the Pd-Zn system, the phase occurs at the Zn-rich side compared to the Ni-Zn and Pt-Zn system. Moreover, Pd<sub>15</sub>Zn<sub>54</sub> [39] exhibit more structural disorder compared to the Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> phase. In the case of Pd-Zn, four atomic positions are statistically occupied whereas in the Pt-Zn system only one is mixed-occupied. The lattice parameters of the respective phases in congeneric M-Zn systems (M = Ni, Pd and Pt) are given in Table 13.4.

Table 13.4: Lattice parameters of the three isostructural phases phases in congeneric M-Zn systems (M = Ni, Pd and Pt)

Phase	$\rm Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$	$Pd_{15}Zn_{54}$ [39]	$\mathrm{Pt}_{18}\mathrm{Zn}_{51}$
Space group (No.)		Cmce~(64)	
Pearson symbol		oC276	
No. of vacancies		12	
a / pm	1250.6(3)	1290.95(5)	1293.04(13)
b / pm	885.3(2)	910.96(4)	911.24(7)
c / pm	3325.0(7)	3404.7(1)	3408.0(3)
V / $10^6$ pm $^3$	3681.3	4003.9	4015.5

The structure of Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> can lucidly be described in terms of pentagonal anti-prismatic columns parallel to [001]. This approach of rationalizing the structure is opted to establish structural relations with other existing  $\gamma$ -brass related phases in Pt-Zn system. The structural features of Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> are similar to those of Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub>. In addition, this kind of structural features are generally observed for  $\gamma$ -brass [101] and related phases as described in chapter 7 & 9.



Figure 13.1: The pentagonal anti-prismatic column of the  $Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$  structure. The letter S and L refers to the two sublattices which give rise to a mismatch between the chimney and the ladder.

Fig. 13.1, illustrates a single pentagonal anti-prismatic column filled with a zig-zag chain of Zn atoms. The presence of ordered vacancies is common features of  $\gamma$ -brass and related phases. In the Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> structure the vacancies are accumulated inside the columns. There are 12 ordered vacancies per unit cell. The vacancies are distributed over four columns, where each column accommodates 3 vacancies per repeating unit. The chain of Zn atoms inside the columns is slightly puckered. For a clear understanding, the structure is sub-divided into two entities. The pentagonal anti-prismatic frame forms a so-called chimney and the Zn atoms inside the column form of a ladder inside the columns. Inspite of the vacancies the Zn atoms inside the column are nearly equally spaced at a distance of 258.0 – 268.7 pm (about  $\frac{8}{13}\sqrt{2} a_{\beta}$ ). Consequently, the spacing does not match with the sublattice of the columnar framework thus, causing mismatch between the pentagonal columnar frame and the unequally spaced central Zn atoms. Similar structural features are observed in Nowotny-Chimney-ladder structures commonly found in some of the transition metal silicides [98] and germanides [97].

For the  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> structures, the ratio of the repeat distance of two distinct sublattices is  $\frac{3}{5}$  (corresponds to the ratio of L and S as described in Fig. 13.1). However, for the Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> structure, the misfit seems to lock-in at  $\frac{8}{13}$ . The letters 'S' and 'L' in Fig. 13.1 corresponds to the lengths of two sublattices that give rise to mismatch between the chimney and the ladder. The length S corresponds to  $\approx \frac{8}{13} \sqrt{2} a_{\beta}$  and L to  $\approx \sqrt{2} a_{\beta}$ , where,  $a_{\beta}$  is the lattice parameter of a basic body centred cubic cell.

The X-ray powder diffraction analyses of the sample from which crystals were selected for X-ray diffraction studies showed few additional peaks. The additional peaks can be assigned to the tetragonal NiZn phase (AuCu type). This observation is in accordance with Morton's report [32, 34]. The diffractogram of the sample from which the crystals was selected for X-ray diffraction studies is shown in Fig 13.2.



Figure 13.2: X-ray powder diffractogram (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) of the sample containing 34 at.% of Ni. Main peaks refer to Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub>, reflections indicated with asterisk are due to Ni-rich phase.

#### 13.5 Summary

- Redetermination of the structure of Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub>, previously named as NiZn<sub>3</sub>, showed that the structure can be appropriately described in the orthorhombic, centrosymmetric space group Cmce (No. 64).
- The structure can be viewed as a  $\gamma$ -brass derivative structure. The metrical relations with respect to  $\gamma$  Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> are:  $a_o \approx \sqrt{2} a_\gamma$ ,  $b_o \approx a_\gamma$  and  $c_o \approx 8 \sqrt{2} \frac{a_\gamma}{3}$  ( $a_\gamma = 892.06(2)$  pm).
- The structural features are related to those found for the well known Nowotny chimneyladder composite structures. The chimney is made up of pentagonal anti-prismatic column and the ladder by Zn atoms arranged to zig-zag chains inside the chimney.
- It is shown that Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> coexist with NiZn (AuCu type).

# Chapter 14

## Phase analyses

#### 14.1 X-ray powder diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction is used as a preliminary characterization method to identify  $\gamma$ -brass related phases. These phases exist next to the  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> phase field region. Most of the X-ray powder patterns were collected with CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation. Only selected samples have been subjected to high energy synchrotron radiation. The diffractograms obtained from X-ray synchrotron radiation show a better peak resolution compared to ones acquired with ordinary X-ray radiation (compare Fig. 14.1 and Fig. 14.2). The careful study of relative shifts in the peak positions gave a clear picture of the evolution of different phases with changing composition. Noteworthy, it is known from the congeneric Ni-Zn and Pd-Zn systems that the  $\gamma$ -region in these systems accommodate not only one structure, instead they accommodate a series of structures which are related to the  $\gamma$ -brass type structure. At first glance, the powder diffractograms of the samples between 23 – 28 at.% Pt appear to be rather similar. However, a closer look at some particular range (between 30° < 2 $\theta$  < 40° for CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation) revealed some relative shifts in the peak positions with change in composition. Fig. 14.1 shows three diffractograms corresponding to the phase Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> and Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub>. The magnified area is shown in the Fig. 14.1b.



Figure 14.1: X-ray powder patters collected for three different phases with  $CuK_{\alpha}$  radiation.

The high resolution X-ray diffractograms are obtained by synchrotron radiation from beamline X3B1 at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, New York, USA of wavelength  $\lambda = 1.150626$  Å. The powder data were collected between  $15^{\circ} < 2\theta < 40^{\circ}$ . Fig. 14.2 is an example where one could see the major differences in the evolution of peak positions. Three phases were chosen for the evaluation of powder patterns, *i.e.* Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> and Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> for which m/n values are 5/8, 8/13 and 18/11, respectively.



Figure 14.2: a) X-ray diffraction patterns are acquired from synchrotron radiation of wavelength  $\lambda = 1.150626$  Å. The three phases correspond to Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> and Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> (top to bottom). b) A magnified region of the diffractograms range between 19° and 28° in 2theta.

Fig. 14.2b shows that the 00l and 22l reflections are relatively shifted with respect to the 400 peak for the various phases. Such shifts are found not just in the region shown in Fig. 14.2b but are seen in other regions, too. In order to find the structural evolution from the relative shifts in the peak positions, a certain group of reflections are considered for the peak indexation procedure. The reflections which are relatively strong and clearly separated are taken into consideration. In addition, reflections are chosen in such a way that the indices of h and k remain same for different  $\gamma$ -brass related phases but l changes according to m or n or (m-n). The integers m and n are fixed for each  $\gamma$ -brass related phases. These values are tabulated in Table 8.1 for corresponding phases.

As seen in Fig. 14.2b, 00l and 22l reflections are noticeably shifted towards to higher  $2\theta$  as the c axis increases. For these reflections the indices l can be written in terms of m and n as 002(m-n) and 22(m-n). In contrary, 20l reflections (peak around  $26^{\circ}$  in  $2\theta$ ) are shifted towards lower  $2\theta$  as the c axis increases. This group of 20l reflections can be expressed in terms of m and n as 203n-m. These kinds of peak shifts are observed for other group of reflections, see Fig. 14.2b. Further studies are required to understand more about the structural evolution from these peak shifts.

#### 14.2 Isopiestic measurements

The isopiestic vapor pressure method is an advantageous technique for the understanding of phase formation, stability and width (homogeneity range) from one single experiment. Fig. 14.3 displays the sequence of phase formation in the Zn-rich region of the Pt-Zn system. The phase region between 63 and 85 at.% Zn can be subdivided into four region. X-ray powder diffraction studies showed that the two regions at the Zn-rich side belong to the  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_1$  phases (Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> and Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>). The region next to this accommodates a series of phases with orthorhombic symmetry, which are related to the  $\gamma$ -brass structure type. However, this region appears to be a single phase region. Indeed, single crystal structural analyses showed evidence for the existence of at least five new phases with very narrow homogeneity range. The Zn-poor region (63 at.% of Zn) accommodates two phases, which are related by a defective super-structure of the AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure (Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub>(ht) and Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> (rt)). The compositions of these two phases are very close. The phase richest in Zn, PtZn<sub>7</sub> is not observed during these measurements. This is attributed to the low decomposition temperature of this phase compared to others. PtZn<sub>7</sub> decomposes into Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> and Zn at 752 K.



Figure 14.3: Sample temperature  $(T_S)$  vs. sample composition (isopiestic equilibrium curves) in the Pt-Zn system for the composition range between 60 and 85 at.% Zn.

Five successful isopiestic experiments were carried out with reservoir temperatures ( $T_R$ ) varying between 716 and 964 K. Fig. 14.3 shows the results from five measurements with different reservoir temperature. The isopiestic equilibrium curves, i.e. sample temperature vs. sample composition, are shown in Fig. 14.3 for the composition range 63 to 85 at.% Zn. It is estimated that the uncertainty of the composition is less than 0.25 at.% and that the temperatures are accurate within  $\pm 1$  to 2 K.

#### 14.3 Thermochemical analyses

Thermochemical analyses have been carried out on the samples containing up to 40 at.% of Pt. The thermal events from the different phases are summarized in Table 14.1. DTA curves are shown in Fig. 14.4. No clear trend was observed for the sample containing 23 to 27 at.% of Pt. The melting temperatures of these compositions scatter between 1275 and 1284 K. This region has to be studied with greater care.

	Table 14.1: Result	s of DIA experimen	lts
Phase	Approx. composition	Thermal effect / K	Result
	range (at.% of Pt)		
PtZn <sub>7</sub>	12.5	752	decomposes into
			$Pt_2Zn_{11} + Zn$
$\gamma$ -Pt <sub>2</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	15.3	1133	melting
$\gamma_1 \& \gamma'_1$ -Pt <sub>5</sub> Zn <sub>21</sub>	17.2-20.0	1238-1251	melting
$\gamma$ -brass			
related phases	23 to 27	1275-1284	melting
$\mathrm{Pt}_{29}\mathrm{Zn}_{49}$	37.1	1020	phase transforms into
			$\mathrm{Pt}_{7}\mathrm{Zn}_{12}$

 $T_{a}hl$ f DTA 1/1 D 17



Figure 14.4: Thermograms for the sample containing 20 to 25 at.% of Pt.

# Chapter 15

## Summary

A systematic reinvestigation of the zinc-rich portions of the binary systems platinum-zinc and nickel-zinc was motivated by the prospect to uncover and identify new structurally complex intermetallic phases in the proximity of the  $\gamma$ -phase regions of brass-like systems. Detailed analyses of such phases are expected to provide new insight into mechanisms and causes of structural complexity in elementary binary systems. The work of Morton provided hope for a success in this endeavour. He provided the existence of first representatives of structurally differentiated  $\gamma$ -brass related phases by means of electron microscopy. The present work essentially confirms Morton's findings, resolves several structures with atomic resolution by single crystal X-ray diffraction means and extends this chemistry into the related Pt-Zn system. The Pt-Zn system was selected due to the optimal scattering contrast between the components which enabled for the first time a precise determination of the evolution of such structures with composition.

Syntheses were carried out using three different methods; isopiestic method, melt centrifugation technique (the self-flux method) and conventional solid state syntheses. The mole fraction  $x_{\text{Zn}}$  was systematically varied between 0.50 and 0.95. The structures were characterized by using X-ray single crystal and powder diffraction methods. High resolution X-ray powder patterns were acquired from synchrotron radiation for selected samples.

Measurements of physical properties such as density, thermal behaviour, magnetic susceptibility, and electrical conductivity were also performed on selected samples. The melt centrifugation technique was successfully employed for the synthesis of Zn-rich phases and particularly the growth of crystals of  $PtZn_7$ ,  $Pt_2Zn_{11}$  and  $NiZn_8$ . Isopiestic measurements performed in a continuous temperature gradient at five different vapour pressures of Zn revealed the sequence of the phases in the Pt-Zn system in the range  $0.15 \leq x_{Pt} \leq 0.37$ . This region can be divided into four different phase regions.  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> and  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> phases form at the Zn-rich side. A narrow phase field  $0.24 \leq x_{Pt} \leq 0.27$  adjacent to the brass-type phases accommodates a series of structurally and compositionally intimately related phases with distinctly modulated  $\gamma$ -brass-type related structures. Defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structures,  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  and  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  were observed at  $\approx 37$  at.% of Pt. PtZn<sub>7</sub> was not observed. This is attributed to the low decomposition temperature of this phase compared to others. PtZn<sub>7</sub> decomposes at 752 K into Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> and liquid Zn (58 K above its lower temperature of formation).



The Zn-richest phase PtZn<sub>7</sub> forms at an approximate composition of 12 at.% Pt. The structure was solved from single crystal X-ray intensities. The structure can precisely be described as an incommensurately modulated structure with a modulation vector  $q \approx \frac{1}{9}[304]^*$  in the (3+1)dimensional superspace group A2/m( $\alpha 0\gamma$ )00. However, the structure of PtZn<sub>7</sub> can reasonably be approximated as a commensurate super-structure of a defective AlB<sub>2</sub> type structure in space group C2/m. The commensurate super-structure solution was used for structural description.

The structure can be viewed as consisting of four different, partly condensed polyhedral units. On the other hand, suitable decomposition of the structure into layers reveals a defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivative structure of the order 46 as reflected by the formula  $(Pt_{15}Zn_{31})Zn_{82}\Box_{10}$  with  $\Box$  denoting vacancies.

Three distinct  $\gamma$ - brass type phases were identified between 15 and 21 at.% of Pt. All these phases exhibit cubic symmetry.  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> (0.2 <  $\delta$  < 0.3) (I43m, cI52) adopts a 3a × 3a × 3a super structure of the  $\beta$ -brass type (bcc W-type) structure. The structure exhibits ordered vacancies distributed at the special positions 0,0,0 and  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ . Following Bradley's concept the structure is described in terms of 26 atoms clusters arranged like W atoms in bcc tungsten. Vacancies on the inner tetrahedral Zn positions (14%) reduce *vec* from  $\frac{22}{13}$  towards  $\approx \frac{21}{13}$  e/a. This value is the optimum for the formation of a  $\gamma$ -brass phase. The Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> phase melts nearly congruently at 1135 K.

The phases  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and  $\gamma'_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> (F43m, cF393-410) adopt 6a × 6a × 6a superstructures of the  $\beta$ -brass type with ordered vacancies. In the  $\gamma$ -brass type structures four atomic positions per unit cell are vacant with respect to the  $\beta$ -phase. The structures are comprised of four symmetrically independent and three compositionally inequivalent 26 atom clusters. The clusters are pair-wise arranged according to the motif of two interpenetrating diamond-like nets, one with constant composition (Pt<sub>4</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub>) and perfectly ordered, the second one richer in Pt and variable in composition (Pt<sub>6± $\delta$ </sub>Zn<sub>20± $\delta'$ </sub>).





 $\mathrm{Pt}_5\mathrm{Zn}_{21}$
Five new  $\gamma$ -brass related phases were uncovered between 23–27 at.% of Pt, adjacent to Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub>. The structures of Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub>, Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub>, Pt<sub>27</sub>Zn<sub>85</sub> and Pt<sub>43</sub>Zn<sub>121</sub> were solved from single crystal X-ray diffraction intensities. They all exhibit orthorhombic symmetry. The structures can be described as derivatives of the  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$ -brass type structure with progressive increase in the longest crystallographic axis. The lattice parameters of all these structures can be expressed by a basic transformation of the form with respect to  $\beta$ -brass type structure with lattice parameter  $a_{bcc}$ :

$$\mathbf{a} \approx 3 \ \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$
$$\mathbf{b} \approx 3 \ \sqrt{2} \ \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$
$$\mathbf{c} \approx n \ \sqrt{2} \ \mathbf{a}_{bcc}.$$

Six members of the series with n = 3, 5, 8, 11 and 19 were identified in the course of this project. The n = 3 member corresponds to  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> in a pseudo-orthorhombic setting. All other phases have truly orthorhombic symmetry: Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> (n = 5, oC172), Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> (n = 8, oC276), Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> (n = 11, oC380), Pt<sub>27</sub>Zn<sub>85</sub> (n = 13, oF448) and Pt<sub>43</sub>Zn<sub>121</sub> (n = 19, oF656). In all cases, the lattice parameters of **a** and **b** are nearly constant (apart from setting) and the **c** axis increases according to 'n'. The presence of vacancies is a prominent feature of the  $\gamma$ -brass related phases. For five new  $\gamma$ -brass related phases Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub>, Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub>, Pt<sub>27</sub>Zn<sub>85</sub> and Pt<sub>43</sub>Zn<sub>121</sub>, the number of vacancies found to be 8, 12, 16, 20 and 28, respectively, per unit cell.

Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> crystallizes in space group Cmce with 172 atoms in the unit cell (Z = 4). There are 8 ordered vacancies per unit cell. The structure can be described as being composed of pairwise interpenetrating  $\gamma$ -brass-type clusters, each comprising 45 atoms. From a different point of view, the structure can be related to Nowotny chimney-ladder type structure. The major part of the atoms including all the Pt atoms form a network of partly condensed and connected pentagonal antiprismatic columns which offer space for the remaining Zn atoms arranged in zigzag chains. The column correspond to the chimney and the chains to the ladder. As in Nowotny chimney-ladder structures both substructure have their own translational symmetry conferring the structure a composite type character. The two distinct translational symmetries can give rise to mismatch. For Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> the ratio of the two lengths locks in at  $\frac{5}{8}$ .



 $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  forms a complex superstructure of a defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure (Amm2, Z= 2). In the structure 9 out of 58 possible Zn positions in a graphite-like (6<sup>3</sup> nets) atomic arrangement are vacant (Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> $\square_9$ ). Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> is stable at ambient temperature. At 1020 K it transforms into Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> (Pbam, Z= 2), which is another defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure. The transformation from Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> to Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> was confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction and DTA analyses.

The structure of NiZn<sub>8</sub>, the binary phase richest in Zn, was redetermined. Opposed to earlier reports, NiZn<sub>8</sub> adopts an incommensurately modulated structure which can be described in the (3+1)-dimensional superspace group C2/m( $\alpha 0\gamma$ )00 with a modulation vector  $q \approx \frac{1}{9}[304]^*$ . Advantageously for discussion, the structure is very well rationally approximated including anisotropic displacement parameters in the space group C2/m with Z = 16. The structure is isoconfigurational to that of PtZn<sub>7</sub>. NiZn<sub>8</sub> undergoes peritetic decomposition at 790 K into  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> and Zn.

The structure of  $Ni_{18}Zn_{51}$  (Cmce, Z = 4) is isoconfigurational to those of  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$  and  $Pd_{15}Zn_{54}$ in the congenetic Pt-Zn and Pd-Zn systems. Redetermination of the structure revealed that the structure can more precisely be described in the centrosymmetric space group Cmce(64). This structure was earlier known as NiZn<sub>3</sub> and described in the non-centrosymmetric space group Abm2. It has been shown that the structure coexists with NiZn. This result is in accordance with Morton's report.

## Chapter 16

## Zusammenfassung

### Synthese, Charakterisierung und Phasenbeziehungen zinkreicher Phasen in den Zweistoffsystemen Platin-Zink und Nickel-Zink

Eine nochmalige, systematische Untersuchung der zinkreichen Zustandsgebiete der Zweistoffsysteme Platin-Zink und Nickel-Zink wurde durch die Aussicht auf die Aufklärung neuer, strukturell komplexer intermetallischer Phasen motiviert, wie man sie häufig in der Nachbarschaft zur  $\gamma$ -Phase in messingartigen Systemen antrifft. Detaillierte Analysen solcher Phasen sollten neue Einsichten in die Ursachen und Mechanismen struktureller Komplexität in diesen stofflich eher einfachen Systemen liefern. Frühere elektronenmikroskopische Arbeiten von Morton zu strukturell differenzierten,  $\gamma$ -artigen Ni-Zn Phasen gaben hierzu die ersten Anhaltspunkte und bestärkten die Hoffnung auf einen dahingehenden Erfolg. Daran anknüpfend kann die vorliegende Arbeit Mortons Entdeckungen im wesentlichen bestätigen und erhärtet sie im weiteren durch die Anwendung der Röntgeneinkristallstrukturanalyse zur Aufklärung der Strukturen mehrerer, vormals unbekannter Phasen mit atomarer Auflösung. Dadurch erweitert sich der konzeptuelle Anwendungsbereich von Mortons grundlegenden Arbeiten auf das Platin-Zink System, welches aufgrund des deutlichen Streukontrastes für die verwendete Röntgenstrahlung ausgewählt wurde. Auf dieser Grundlage ist es nun erstmals möglich, die Evolution derartiger Strukturen in Abhängigkeit von ihrer Zusammensetzung zu untersuchen.

Zur Darstellung der Phasen wurde der Zink-Stoffmengenanteil  $x_{Zn}$  systematisch im Bereich von 0,50 bis 0,95 variiert und neben konventionellen Hochtemperatursynthesen auch die sogenannte Isopiestische Methode und die Technik der Schmelz-Zentrifugation angewendet. Die strukturelle Charakterisierung erfolgte durch Röntgenbeugung an Pulvern und Einkristallen. Hochaufgelöste Pulverdiffraktogramme wurden für ausgewählte Proben durch Beugungsexperimente mit Synchrotonstrahlung erhalten.

An ausgewählten Proben wurden weiterhin die physikalischen Eigenschaften bestimmt; darunter ihre Dichte, ihr thermisches Verhalten und ihre magnetischen und elektrischen Eigenschaften, ausgedrückt durch die magnetische Suszeptibilität und den spezifischen Widerstand.

Die Schmelz-Zentrifugationstechnik konnte im allgemeinen erfolgreich zur Synthese zinkreicher Phasen eingesetzt werden, insbesondere aber zur Kristallzucht an den Phasen PtZn<sub>7</sub>, Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> und NiZn<sub>8</sub>. Nach der isopiestischen Methode in einem kontinuierlichen Temperaturgradienten bei fünf verschiedenen Zink Dampfdrucken durchgeführte Experimente eröffneten die Abfolge der Phasen im Platin-Zink System im Bereich von  $0,15 \leq x_{Pt} \leq 0,37$ . Danach kann diese Region des Zustandsdiagramms in vier verschiedene Phasenbereiche unterteilt werden:  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> und  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> repräsentieren die zinkreiche Seite. Im engen Bereich von  $0,24 \leq x_{Pt} \leq 0,27$ , in unmittelbarer Nachbarschaft zu den erwähnten messingartigen Legierungen vom  $\gamma$ -Typ, findet sich eine Serie strukturell und hinsichtlich ihrer Zusammensetzung aufs engste verwandter Phasen, die allesamt als in unterschiedlicher Weise modulierte Abkömmlinge des  $\gamma$ -Messings verstanden werden können. Schließlich lassen sich mit Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub> und Pt<sub>7</sub>Zn<sub>12</sub> bei etwa 37 at.% Platin Phasen darstellen, deren Strukturen als spezielle Defektvarianten zum AlB<sub>2</sub> Strukturtyp beschrieben werden.

Berichte zur Existenz einer Phase der Zusammensetzung  $PtZn_7$  unter den vormals angegebenen Bedingungen konnten allerdings nicht bestätigt werden, was auf die gefundene niedrige Zersetzungstemperatur dieser Phase im Vergleich zu anderen zurückgeführt wurde.  $PtZn_7$  zersetzt sich bei 752 K, und damit nur 58 K oberhalb der entsprechenden unteren Bildungstemperatur, peritektisch zu  $Pt_2Zn_{11}$  und flüssigem Zink. Die Struktur konnte aus am Einkristall gewonnenen Intensitäten gelöst werden. Die Strukturbeschreibung erfolgt auf Basis einer inkommensurablen Modulation, mit einem Modulationsvektor  $q \approx \frac{1}{9}[304]^*$  in der (3+1) dimensionalen Raumgruppe  $A 2/m(\alpha 0\gamma)00$ . Daneben ist aber auch eine annähernde Strukturbeschreibung über eine kommensurable Überstruktur in der Raumgruppe C2/m möglich. Die kommensurable Näherung wurde aufgrund ihrer Anschaulichkeit zur Strukturbeschreibung verwendet, sie erhellt die Ableitung der Struktur als Defektvariante der Ordnung 46 zum AlB<sub>2</sub> Typ. Dies lässt sich gemäß der For-



mulierung als  $(Pt_{15}Zn_{31})Zn_{82}\square_{10}$  ausdrücken, worin das Symbol  $\square$  zur Kennzeichnung formaler Leerstellen verwendet wird. Neben der Zerlegung in AlB<sub>2</sub>-artige Schichten lässt sich die Struktur auch als aus vier verschiedenen, teilweise kondensierten, polyedrischen Baueinheiten aufgebaut denken.

Drei unterschiedliche messingartige Phasen konnten zwischen 15 und 21 at.% Platin identifiziert werden. Allen gemeinsam ist die kubische Symmetrie.  $\gamma$ -Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> (0, 2 <  $\delta$  < 0, 3) ( $I\bar{4}3m$ , cI52) bildet eine  $3a \times 3a \times 3a$  Überstruktur zum  $\beta$ -Messing (kubisch innenzentrierter W-Typ). Die formalen Lücken sind auf der speziellen Lage 0,0,0 entsprechend der Innenzentrierung geordnet. Nach Bradley kann die Struktur mittels eines 26-atomigen Clusters beschrieben werden, welcher nach dem Motiv der Atome des W-Typs angeordnet ist. Eine 86%ige Teilbesetzung der sogenannten inneren Tetraederlagen durch Zn reduziert die sogenannte Valenzelektronenkonzentration VEK vom ursprünglichen Wert  $\frac{22}{13}$  auf annähernd  $\frac{21}{13}$  Elektronen pro Atom, was dem für die Stabilisierung der  $\gamma$ -Phasen als optimal angesehenen Wert entspricht. Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> schmilzt nahezu kongruent bei 1135 K.

Die Phasen  $\gamma_1$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> und  $\gamma_1'$ -Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> ( $F\overline{4}3m, cF393$ -410) bilden eine  $6a \times 6a \times 6a$  Überstruktur zum  $\beta$ -Messing mit ebenfalls geordneten formalen Leerstellen. Bezüglich der  $\beta$ -Phase bleiben vier Positionen pro Überstrukturzelle unbesetzt. Der Aufbau der Struktur erfolgt erneut durch diesmal vier symmetrisch unabhängige 26 Atome zählende Cluster. Die Anordnung der paarweise in ihrer Zusammensetzung übereinstimmenden Cluster folgt dem Motiv sich durchdringender Diamantnetze. Das eine hiervon ist bei perfekter Ordnung in seiner Zusammensetzung konstant (Pt<sub>4</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub>), während das platinreichere andere eine deutliche Variabilität aufweist (Pt<sub>6± $\delta$ </sub>Zn<sub>20± $\delta'$ </sub>), welche die beobachtete Phasenbreite bedingt.





 $Pt_5Zn_{21}$ 

Fünf neue messingartige Phasen wurden im Bereich zwischen 23–27 at.% Platin gefunden. Die Strukturen von  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ ,  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$ ,  $Pt_5Zn_{14}$ ,  $Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$  und  $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$  wurden aus Einkristalldaten gelöst und besitzen alle eine orthorhombische Symmetrie. Als Abkömmlinge der  $\beta$ - oder  $\gamma$ -Phase unterscheiden sie sich durch eine zunehmende Verlängerung in der längsten orthorhombischen Achse. Die Gitterparameter lassen sich durch eine Basistransformation aus denen der  $\beta$ -Phase  $(a_{bcc})$  berechnen:

$$\mathbf{a} \approx 3 \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$
$$\mathbf{a} \approx 3 \sqrt{2} \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$
$$\mathbf{a} \approx n \sqrt{2} \mathbf{a}_{bcc}$$

Sechs Vertreter dieser Serie mit n = 3, 5, 8, 11 und 19 wurden im Verlauf dieses Projektes identifiziert. Der Repräsentant mit n = 3 entspricht der  $\gamma$ -Messing Struktur in einer pseudo-orthorhombischen Aufstellung, wohingegen alle weiteren Phasen über eine echte orthorhombische Symmetrie verfügen: Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> (n = 5, oC172), Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> (n = 8, oC276), Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> (n = 11, oC380),  $Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$  (n = 13, oF448) und  $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$  (n = 19, oF656). In allen Fällen stimmen die *a* und *b* Gitterparameter, abgesehen von eventuell unterschiedlicher Aufstellung der Zelle, jeweils sehr gut überein. Die *c* Achse nimmt entsprechend der erwähnten Basistransformation mit *n* zu. Das Vorhandensein formaler Lücken wird als ein wichtiges Prinzip bei  $\gamma$ -artigen Phasen angesehen; die Anzahl für  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ ,  $Pt_{18}Zn_{51}$ ,  $Pt_5Zn_{14}$ ,  $Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$  und  $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$  ist dann 8, 12, 16, 20 und 28, jeweils bezüglich einer Elementarzelle.

Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> kristallisiert im Raumgruppentyp C m c e mit 172 Atomen in der Elementarzelle (Z = 4). Es gibt 8 formale Leerstellen pro Zelle in der Struktur. Eine Strukturbeschreibung über sich paarweise durchdringende  $\gamma$ -artige Cluster, mit dann 45 Atomen pro Baueinheit, ist ebenso möglich, wie eine Beschreibung in Anlehnung an das Konzept der Nowotnyschen, sogenannten *chimneyladder*-Phasen. In dieser Beschreibung bildet die Mehrzahl aller Atome, darunter alle Edelmetallatome, ein Netzwerk aus antiprismatisch aufeinanderfolgenden pentagonalen Einheiten. Im Innern derartiger Kolumnen sind ausschließlich Zinkatome in Zick-Zack Ketten aufgereiht. Wie in Nowotny chimney-ladder Phasen üblich besitzen die peripheren Atome des Schornsteins (*chimney*) und die zentralen der Leiter (*ladder*) jeweils unterschiedliche Periodizitäten, wodurch die Struktur zunächst als Kompositstruktur bezeichnet werden kann. Die unterschiedlichen Translationssymmetrien können aber auch inkommensurabel zueinander sein, wodurch die Strukturen als inkommensurabel modulierte Phasen behandelt werden müssen, oder zumindest als rationale Approximanten dazu. Für Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub> ergibt sich dann das relative Längenverhältnis zu  $\frac{5}{8}$ . Die weiteren Vertreter dieser Serie von Strukturen folgen dem eben beschriebenen Konzept, bis auf entsprechende Änderungen der numerischen Parameter.



 $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  bildet eine komplexe Überstruktur zum AlB<sub>2</sub> Typ (Amm2, Z = 2). Neun von 58 möglichen Zinkpositionen in einer graphitartigen Schicht von Atomen (6<sup>3</sup>-Netz) sind geordnet

nicht besetzt, entsprechend der Formel  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}\Box_9$ . Die Phase ist unter Umgebungsbedingungen stabil. Bei 1020 K erfolgt Umwandlung zu  $Pt_7Zn_{12}$  (Pbam, Z = 2), eine AlB<sub>2</sub>-artige Defektvariante mit veränderter Struktur. Die Umwandlung wurde thermoanalytisch verfolgt und röntgenographisch an Pulverproben bestätigt.

Die Struktur von NiZn<sub>8</sub>, die zinkreichste binäre Phase, wurde nochmals bestimmt. Im Gegensatz zu früheren Berichten handelt es sich bei NiZn<sub>8</sub> ebenfalls um eine inkommensurabel modulierte Phase, die in der (3+1)-dimensionalen Raumgruppe  $C 2/m(\alpha 0\gamma)00$  mit einem Modulationsvektor  $q \approx \frac{1}{9}[304]^*$  beschrieben wurde. Vorteilhafterweise lässt sich die modulierte Struktur in einer Überstrukturnäherung (Z = 16) beschreiben; im Raumgruppentyp C 2/m sind alle Atomlagen anisotrop verfeinerbar. Die Struktur ist isokonfigurationell zu PtZn<sub>7</sub>. Ähnlich diesem zersetzt sich NiZn<sub>8</sub> oberhalb 790 K peritektisch zu  $\gamma$ -Ni<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>21</sub> und Zink.

Die Struktur von Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> (Cmce, Z = 4), früher unter der Zusammensetzung NiZn<sub>3</sub> berichtet, ist isokonfigurationell zu denen von Pt<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> und Pd<sub>15</sub>Zn<sub>54</sub> der analogen Systeme Pt-Zn und Pd-Zn. Die erneute Bestimmung der Struktur ergab, daß eine bessere Beschreibung in der zentrosymmetrischen Raumgruppe Cmce möglich ist, als in der vormals angegebenen azentrischen Abm2. Es wurde gezeigt, daß Ni<sub>18</sub>Zn<sub>51</sub> im nickelreicheren Zustandsgebiet mit NiZn koexistiert, in Übereinstimmung mit Mortons früheren Arbeiten.

# APPENDIX

All the relevant data are deposited to Prof. Dr. B. Harbrecht, Fachbereich Chemie der Philipps-Universität Marburg, in the electronic form.

I <sub>obs</sub>	$I_{calc}$	$2\theta$ / °	l	k	h
18	20	13.767	0	1	1
32	34	19.517	0	0	2
148	156	23.962	1	1	2
7	7	27.738	0	2	2
18	20	31.090	0	1	3
] 600	410	42.145	0	3	3
} 000	270	42.145	1	1	4
33	32	46.844	2	3	3
56	50	49.060	2	2	4
8	8	55.314	1	2	5
24	21	59.246	0	3	5
] 01	39	61.125	0	0	6
} 91	50	61.125	2	2	4
16	15	62.989	2	3	5
5	6	64.821	0	2	6
16	18	66.627	1	4	5
11	10	70.168	1	3	6
32	36	71.909	4	4	4
18	17	73.632	0	5	5
)	4	77.036	2	5	5
152	74	77.036	3	3	6
J	72	77.036	1	2	7
4	4	85.371	0	0	8
<u>)</u> 00	81	87.021	1	4	7
∫ <sup>00</sup>	4	87.021	4	5	5
15	11	88.668	0	2	8
$\int 10$	4	88.668	4	4	6

A 1: Indexation,  $2\theta$  values, calculated and observed X-ray intensities for  $Pt_2Zn_{10.73(2)}$  (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>), a = 908.89(2) pm,  $\frac{I_{obs}}{I_{max}} \ge 0.01$ )

A 2:	Indexation,	$2\theta$ values	(measured,	$\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}),$	calculated	and	observed	X-ray	intensities	for
$Pt_5Zr$	$n_{21} (x_{\rm Pt} = 0.$	.193, $a = 1$	.811.9(1) pm	), $\rm I_o >$	$0.005 \ \mathrm{I_{max}}$					

h	k	l	$2\theta$ / °	Icalc	Iobs
1	1	3	16.202	10	9
1	3	3	21.358	56	57
2	2	4	24.033	170	162
1	1	5	25.515	117	J
3	3	3	25.515	45	$\} 165$
0	4	4	27.822	8	8
1	3	5	29.125	10	10
4	4	4	34.251	7	6
1	1	7	35.339	7	7
2	4	6	37.092	11	8
1	3	7	38.110	14	15
3	3	7	40.719	62	68
0	6	6	42.281	954	] 1 (70
2	2	8	42.281	451	} 1473
5	5	5	43.197	48	54
4	6	6	46.998	23	26
1	3	9	47.842	26	29
4	4	8	49.224	65	68
1	7	7	50.039	5	] 97
3	3	9	50.039	13	} 37
5	5	7	50.039	13	
1	5	9	52.168	6	7
3	$\overline{7}$	9	60.152	19	19
0	0	12	61.339	106	107
4	8	8	61.339	88	} 197
1	5	11	62.045	75	69
2	2	12	63.221	12	13
4	6	10	66.876	33	
2	8	10	66.876	18	} 99
1	7	11	67.540	15	<b>\</b> 94
3	9	9	67.540	11	$\int \frac{24}{2}$

### $Redetermination \ of \ PtZn \ structure - AuCu-type \ structure$



Figure 1: X-ray diffractogram (red) of PtZn together with the profile fit (black), the difference spectrum (blue) and the Bragg positions (bars, green);  $CuK_{\alpha}$ , a = 285.45(1) pm, c = 347.25(2) pm,  $R_B = 3.31$ . The Rietveld refinement was carried out using the program FULLPROF [56].

A 3: Positional and displacement parameters  $B_{iso}(pm^2)$  for PtZn: Space group, P4/mmm

Atom	Wy.	х	у	$\mathbf{Z}$	$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{iso}}$
$\operatorname{Pt}$	1a	0	0	0	103(10)
Zn	1d	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	125(9)

h	k	l	$2\theta$ / $^{\circ}$	$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{calc}}$	$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{obs}}$
0	0	1	25.632	408	400
1	0	0	31.310	508	519
1	0	1	40.891	2396	2411
1	1	0	44.869	932	992
1	1	1	52.387	278	315
0	0	2	52.672	300	282
1	0	2	62.565	178	182
2	0	0	65.326	332	348
2	0	1	71.395	132	140
1	1	2	71.633	523	525
2	1	0	74.227	121	125
2	1	1	80.014	808	805
2	0	2	88.634	331	361

A 4: Indexation,  $2\theta$  values, calculated and observed X-ray intensities (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) for PtZn

#### The phase $Pt_5Zn_{14}$ :

The synthesis were carried out as described in the experimental part. The structure of  $Pt_5Zn_{14}$  crystallizes in space group Cmca, oC380, a = 1291.5(3) pm, b = 910.2(2) pm, c = 4706.7(9) pm. The crystals were selected from a sample of a nominal composition of 29 at.% of Pt. X-ray powder diffraction studies showed that the  $Pt_5Zn_{14}$  phase coexists on the Pt-rich side with  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$ . The structure of  $Pt_5Zn_{14}$  was solved from 2828 unique reflections, 240 variables to R1 value of 0.0714 with anisotropic displacement parameters. The details on data collection, atomic parameters and thermal displacement parameters are tabulated in Table 5, 6 and 7, respectively.

The structure of  $Pt_5Zn_{14}$  was independently reconfirmed by selecting crystals from a second batch of a nominal composition of 30 at.% of Pt, containing  $Pt_{29}Zn_{49}$  as a second phase. This was confirmed from X-ray powder diffraction analyses. The lattice parameters for the second crystal obtained from IPDS-I are: a = 1294.0(3) pm, b = 910.8(2) pm, c = 4689.8(9) pm.

In view of the metrical relation with the  $\beta$ -brass type structure, the structure of Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> can be classified as an ordered 3  $\sqrt{2}$   $a_{\beta} \times 3$   $a_{\beta} \times 11 \sqrt{2}$   $a_{\beta}$  defect variant of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure of index 198 with 16 vacancies per unit cell. The complex  $\gamma$ -brass related phase Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> is composed of 380 atoms distributed over 32 distinct atomic sites in which, 22 atomic positions are occupied by Zn atoms and 9 by Pt atoms. Only one out of 32 crystallographic sites seems to be statistically occupied by Pt and Zn. This site is occupied by 48(1)% Zn and 52% Pt, yielding an overall composition of Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14.0(2)</sub>. Compared to all  $\gamma$ -brass related phases, Pt<sub>5</sub>Zn<sub>14</sub> is the most Pt-rich phase.

Sum formula	$Pt_5Zn_{14.0(1)}$
Space group (No.)	Cmce (64)
Z	20
a/pm	1291.5(3)
b/pm	910.2(1)
c / pm	4707.0(1)
$V / 10^{6}  { m pm^{3}}$	5533.1(2)
$Molar mass/g mol^{-1}$	1890.63
$ ho_{cal} /\mathrm{g}\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	11.360
$\mu /\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	92.71
Data collection	
Crystal size / mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.12 \times 0.16 \times 0.15$
Diffractometer	IPDS-II (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha}$ / Graphite
Distance crystal-IP / mm	140
$\phi; \ \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}; \Delta \omega$	0; 0-158; 1
$2\theta_{max}/\circ$	50.0
Collected reflections	-14 < h < 15
	-10 < k < 9
	-55 < l < 55
Total reflections	 12682
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS-II-Software [57]/X-RED [61]
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE [62]
max. / min.Transmission	0.0547 / 0.0094
Unique reflections	2828
R <sub>int</sub>	0.1910
Refinement	
Program	SHELXL-97[58]
Refined on	$ \mathbf{F}_{0} ^{2}$
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	797
Variables	240
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0714
$R_1$ (all)	0.1376
$wR_2$ (all)	0.2144
Goodness of fit	0.771
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} / \Delta \rho_{\rm min} / 10^{-6} {\rm e pm^{-3}}$	5.469 / -4.606
Extinction coefficient	0.000027(3)

#### A 5: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2,0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

Atom No.	Wy.	x	У	Z	sof	$U_{eq}$
Pt1	4a	0	0	0	1	277(11)
Pt2	8f	0	0.4894(4)	0.13648(6)	1	281(8)
Pt3	8f	0	0.0210(3)	0.18245(8)	1	265(6)
Pt4	8f	0	0.4673(3)	0.40932(7)	1	257(7)
Pt5	8f	0	0.0257(4)	0.45404(6)	1	259(8)
Pt6	8f	0	0.4723(4)	0.22734(7)	1	297(8)
Pt7	16g	0.1814(1)	0.3224(2)	0.32002(5)	1	278(4)
Pt8	16g	0.1813(2)	0.3308(2)	0.04167(4)	1	288(6)
Pt9	16g	0.1807(2)	0.1613(2)	0.09544(4)	1	263(5)
M1	16g	0.1796(3)	0.1535(4)	0.26759(5)	$0.48(1)^{a}$	290(11)
Zn1	8d	0.1264(7)	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	320(20)
Zn2	8f	0	0.2962(7)	0.1806(2)	1	283(15)
Zn3	8f	0	0.190(1)	0.23428(18)	1	290(20)
Zn4	8f	0	0.1899(10)	0.40589(19)	1	360(20)
Zn5	8f	0	0.3035(10)	0.45738(18)	1	300(20)
Zn6	8f	0	0.2070(1)	0.12532(19)	1	340(20)
Zn7	8f	0	0.2859(9)	0.01326(18)	1	310(20)
Zn8	8f	0	0.2699(9)	0.34843(18)	1	310(20)
Zn9	8f	0	0.2456(11)	0.0691(2)	1	306(15)
Zn10	8f	0	0.2544(10)	0.29199(19)	1	350(20)
Zn11	16g	0.1746(5)	0.1490(7)	0.3679(1)	1	304(14)
Zn12	16g	0.1768(5)	0.3443(6)	0.4948(1)	1	242(13)
Zn13	16g	0.1861(5)	0.3661(6)	0.4142(1)	1	306(13)
Zn14	16g	0.1204(5)	0.4515(7)	0.0917(1)	1	308(15)
Zn15	16g	0.1812(5)	0.3499(7)	0.2213(1)	1	288(13)
Zn16	16g	0.1863(5)	0.1252(7)	0.4493(1)	1	303(13)
Zn17	16g	0.1233(5)	0.4592(8)	0.2717(1)	1	344(16)
Zn18	16g	0.1194(5)	0.0446(8)	0.0452(1)	1	309(16)
Zn19	16g	0.1756(5)	0.3386(7)	0.1418(1)	1	339(14)
Zn20	16g	0.1170(4)	0.0371(5)	0.31804(16)	1	286(11)
Zn21	16g	0.1262(6)	0.4834(7)	0.3638(1)	1	340(17)
Zn22	16g	0.1864(4)	0.1161(6)	0.1843(1)	1	349(13)

A 6: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_5Zn_{14.0(1)}$ 

<sup>a</sup> sof of Zn, sof of (Pt) = 1 - sof of (Zn)

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Pt1	310(20)	230(30)	300(20)	0	-17(18)	0
Pt2	350(20)	215(19)	277(16)	0	4(13)	0
Pt3	324(13)	120(13)	349(14)	0	-9(15)	0
Pt4	309(17)	137(17)	325(17)	0	8(13)	0
Pt5	331(18)	182(19)	263(17)	0	20(12)	0
Pt6	370(20)	246(19)	278(15)	0	-4(12)	0
Pt7	331(8)	166(9)	337(9)	12(7)	16(9)	8(12)
Pt8	336(11)	225(12)	303(10)	23(11)	-3(8)	-3(10)
Pt9	338(11)	182(11)	269(10)	-24(10)	-11(8)	-24(9)
M10	356(19)	201(19)	313(17)	11(16)	8(11)	-20(14)
N10	356(19)	201(19)	313(17)	11(16)	8(11)	-20(14)
Zn11	390(50)	210(50)	340(50)	0	-50(40)	0
Zn12	400(40)	160(40)	290(30)	0	40(40)	0
Zn13	420(50)	90(50)	370(50)	0	60(30)	0
Zn14	460(60)	210(50)	400(50)	0	70(40)	0
Zn15	330(50)	230(60)	320(50)	0	50(40)	0
Zn16	300(50)	330(60)	390(50)	0	-80(40)	0
Zn17	410(50)	170(50)	340(50)	0	-60(40)	0
Zn18	350(50)	190(50)	380(50)	0	100(40)	0
Zn19	370(30)	180(40)	360(30)	0	40(30)	0
Zn20	440(50)	200(50)	410(50)	0	-60(40)	0
Zn21	410(30)	200(30)	300(30)	-60(30)	30(20)	-10(30)
Zn22	380(30)	130(30)	210(30)	70(30)	30(20)	10(30)
Zn23	380(30)	150(30)	380(30)	0(30)	30(20)	-80(30)
Zn24	420(40)	220(40)	290(30)	-20(30)	10(30)	30(30)
Zn25	360(30)	200(30)	310(30)	50(30)	40(20)	-60(30)
Zn26	310(30)	240(30)	350(30)	-20(30)	-40(20)	-30(30)
Zn27	370(40)	290(40)	370(30)	30(30)	-10(30)	-30(30)
Zn28	400(40)	210(40)	310(30)	-70(30)	0(30)	-50(30)
Zn29	420(30)	230(40)	360(30)	0(30)	-10(30)	-20(30)
Zn30	360(30)	190(30)	310(30)	-48(19)	20(30)	-20(30)
Zn31	460(40)	310(40)	250(30)	-30(30)	30(30)	-30(20)
Zn32	380(20)	180(30)	480(30)	-50(20)	30(20)	0(40)

A 7: Anisotropic displacement parameters U  $\rm (pm^2)$  for  $\rm Pt_5Zn_{14.0(1)}$ 

#### The phase $Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$ :

Structurally most disordered compared to other  $\gamma$ -brass related phases is Pt<sub>27</sub>Zn<sub>85</sub>. It crystallizes in space group Fmm2, a = 1293.1(3) pm, b = 5535.10(11) pm, c = 913.40(18) pm. The structure contains 448 atoms in the unit cell, Pearson symbol is oF448. Single crystals were selected from the sample of nominal composition of 25 at.%. Application of direct methods via SHELX-97 [58] revelaed all the atom positions. The final anisotropic least-squares refinements converged at R1 = 0.0514, wR2 = 0.1781 with 291 parameters and 2221 independent reflections with I<sub>o</sub> >  $2\sigma(I_o)$ . The displacement parameters of four atoms (M1, M2, M3 and M4) were relatively high compared to rest of the atoms suggesting that these positions could be mixed occupied. The final refinement cycles showed that the occupancy factors of M1, M2, M3 and M4 positions are 71%, 74%, 35%, and 10% of Zn, resulting in the composition Pt<sub>27.2</sub>Zn<sub>84.8(2)</sub>. In addition, the structure was refined as racemic twin. The batch scale factor (BASF) for the unequal twin components was 0.46(2).

An attempt was made to minimize the structural disorder. For that purpose, a sample from a second batch was annealed at 770 K for a week. The crystals from the annealed sample were subjected to X-ray diffraction studies. The lattice parameters from a second crystal were some what shorter than the lattice parameters from the first crystal (annealed at 970 K). No additional structural ordering was observed. The lattice parameters for second crystal (annealed at 770 K) are: a = 1290.4(3) pm, b = 5529.8(11) pm and c = 911.5(2) pm.

The structure of  $Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$  can be described as an ordered  $3\sqrt{2} a_{\beta} \times 3 a_{\beta} \times 13 \sqrt{2} a_{\beta}$  defect variant of a  $\beta$ -brass type structure of index 234 with 20 vacancies per unit cell. The structure is composed of 448 atoms distributed over 39 distinct atomic sites in which 26 atomic positions are occupied by Zn atoms, 9 by Pt atoms and 4 positions are mixed occupied. This phase is the most Zn-rich and disordered phase in the phase field  $0.24 < x_{Pt} < 0.27$  of  $\gamma$ -brass related phases.

Sum formula	$Pt_{27.2}Zn_{84.8(2)}$
Space group (No.)	Fmm2(42)
Z	4
a / pm	1293.1(3)
b/pm	5535.1(11)
c/pm	913.40(18)
$\mathrm{V}/10^{6}\mathrm{pm}^{3}$	6538(2)
Molar mass $/ \text{g} \text{ mol}^{-1}$	10849.83
$ ho_{cal} /\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	11.023
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	88.32
Data collection	
$Crystal size / mm^3$	$0.13 \times 0.12 \times 0.13$
Diffractometer	IPDS-II (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293(2)
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha}$ / Graphite
Distance crystal-IP / mm	100
$\phi: \omega_{\min} - \omega_{\max}: \Delta \omega$	0: 0-130: 0.5
$2\theta_{max}/^{\circ}$	53.7
Collected reflections	$-16 \le h \le 13$
	$-62 \le k \le 70$
	-11 < l < 11
Total reflections	7150
Total Tellections	1150
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS-II [57] / X-BED [61]
Absorption correction	Numerical X-SHAPE [62]
max / min Transmission	0.0586 / 0.0076
Unique reflections	2521
P.	0.0708
Nint	0.0798
Pofenom on t	
Program	SHELYI 07 [58]
Pofined on	SHELAL-97 [38]
Reflections $L > 2\sigma(L)$	1 <sup>.</sup> 0  9991
$V_{orightop} = V_{orightop} = V_{o$	2221
Variables	291
$\mathbf{R}_1 \ (\mathbf{I}_o > 2\sigma(\mathbf{I}_o))$	0.0514
$\mathbf{R}_1$ (all)	0.0720
who (all)	0.1781
Goodness of fit $-3$	1.064
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} / \Delta \rho_{\rm min} / 10^{-6} {\rm e  pm^{-3}}$	4.735 / -6.725
Extinction coefficient	0.000021(3)
Absolute structure factor	0.46(2)

#### A 8: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2 (F_o^2) + (0.1053 (Max(F_o^2,0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

Atom No.	Wy	х	У	Z	sof	$U_{eq}$
Zn1	4a	0	0	0.3151(8)	1	147(17)
Zn2	8c	0	0.04603(11)	0.2154(7)	1	207(12)
Zn3	8c	0	0.09497(12)	0.2601(8)	1	217(8)
Zn4	8c	0	0.14356(11)	0.2950(7)	1	232(13)
Zn5	8c	0	0.19107(8)	0.2079(7)	1	133(12)
Zn6	8c	0	0.23691(11)	0.3097(6)	1	200(13)
Zn7	8c	0	0.21449(11)	0.7458(7)	1	221(11)
Zn8	8c	0	0.16565(10)	0.7297(6)	1	158(10)
Zn9	8c	0	0.11774(10)	0.8186(6)	1	155(13)
Zn10	8c	0	0.07371(10)	0.7117(6)	1	136(11)
Zn11	8c	0	0.02343(10)	0.7768(7)	1	211(11)
Zn12	8d	0.1155(4)	0	0.5574(7)	1	194(11)
Zn13	8d	0.1860(4)	0	0.1320(7)	1	225(14)
Zn14	16e	0.1804(3)	0.03390(8)	0.3554(5)	1	173(9)
Zn15	16e	0.1247(3)	0.03909(7)	0.9892(5)	1	178(8)
Zn16	16e	0.1210(3)	0.07611(7)	0.4668(5)	1	188(9)
Zn17	16e	0.1192(3)	0.11576(7)	0.0564(5)	1	219(9)
Zn18	16e	0.1270(2)	0.15348(8)	0.5177(6)	1	216(7)
Zn19	16e	0.1747(3)	0.15842(8)	0.1624(5)	1	167(9)
Zn20	16e	0.1859(3)	0.19409(8)	0.3861(5)	1	194(10)
Zn21	16e	0.1179(3)	0.19197(6)	0.9644(5)	1	177(9)
Zn22	16e	0.1236(3)	0.23164(6)	0.5426(6)	1	222(9)
Zn23	16e	0.1800(3)	0.22586(8)	0.1487(5)	1	181(10)
Zn24	16e	0.1772(3)	0.14971(8)	0.8496(6)	1	200(9)
Zn25	16e	0.1844(3)	0.11141(8)	0.6390(5)	1	185(10)
Zn26	16e	0.1852(3)	0.08164(9)	0.8732(5)	1	223(11)
Pt1	4a	0	0	0.0353(3)	1	173(6)
Pt2	8c	0	0.03850(3)	0.5004(2)	1	137(4)
Pt3	8c	0	0.07745(4)	0.9851(2)	1	199(5)
Pt4	16e	0.18024(11)	0.11804(3)	0.34166(14)	1	181(4)
Pt5	8c	0	0.15367(4)	0.0118(3)	1	130(3)
Pt6	8c	0	0.19258(4)	0.4802(2)	1	180(6)
Pt7	8c	0	0.23059(3)	0.0239(2)	1	149(4)
Pt8	16e	0.18051(11)	0.19082(2)	0.67747(16)	1	168(4)
Pt9	8d	0.18175(14)	0	0.8365(2)	1	127(4)
M1	16e	0.17908(12)	0.07310(3)	0.17185(17)	$0.71(1)^{a}$	139(5)
M2	8c	0	0.11498(4)	0.5349(2)	$0.74(1)^{a}$	104(7)
M3	16e	0.17997(18)	0.23470(5)	0.8468(3)	$0.35(1)^{a}$	185(9)
M4	16e	0.1747(3)	0.04216(6)	0.6638(5)	$0.098(11)^a$	169(12)

A 9: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $\rm U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $\rm Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$ 

 $^a$  sof of Zn, sof of (Pt) = 1 - sof of (Zn)

Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Zn1	300(40)	110(40)	40(30)	0	0	0
Zn2	210(30)	210(30)	210(30)	0	-10(20)	0
Zn3	240(19)	191(18)	219(18)	0	-38(14)	0
Zn4	200(30)	310(30)	180(30)	0	140(20)	0
Zn5	130(20)	100(30)	170(30)	0	-40(17)	0
Zn6	290(30)	250(30)	60(20)	0	-100(20)	0
Zn7	130(20)	330(30)	200(20)	0	-110(20)	0
Zn8	130(20)	180(30)	160(20)	0	30(20)	0
Zn9	270(30)	120(30)	80(20)	0	10(20)	0
Zn10	170(20)	70(20)	170(30)	0	0(20)	0
Zn11	240(30)	180(20)	220(30)	0	40(20)	0
Zn12	200(20)	160(20)	230(30)	0	0	0(20)
Zn13	210(30)	220(30)	240(30)	0	0	-110(20)
Zn14	164(18)	163(19)	190(20)	-19(14)	17(17)	-41(14)
Zn15	161(16)	166(19)	208(18)	-85(12)	-23(14)	19(14)
Zn16	256(18)	191(19)	116(18)	-49(14)	-4(15)	58(15)
Zn17	234(18)	81(16)	340(20)	-53(14)	36(17)	-13(15)
Zn18	287(14)	100(13)	261(15)	-83(17)	-62(11)	22(19)
Zn19	176(17)	110(17)	220(20)	-51(13)	61(18)	-100(15)
Zn20	143(19)	290(20)	150(20)	65(13)	-22(13)	-8(13)
Zn21	270(20)	95(17)	170(20)	-39(12)	-31(13)	105(16)
Zn22	258(19)	39(15)	370(20)	39(13)	49(17)	-51(17)
Zn23	179(19)	147(17)	220(20)	54(13)	2(16)	-66(13)
Zn24	190(18)	188(19)	220(20)	-7(15)	-20(19)	-4(16)
Zn25	195(18)	160(17)	200(20)	73(13)	17(14)	-54(13)
Zn26	210(20)	210(19)	250(30)	59(14)	-64(18)	37(14)
Pt1	193(13)	126(13)	200(15)	0	0	0
Pt2	131(8)	112(9)	170(9)	0	-7(7)	0
Pt3	220(10)	175(11)	204(12)	0	26(7)	0
Pt4	203(7)	144(7)	194(7)	-22(4)	21(7)	34(6)
Pt5	159(6)	84(6)	147(6)	0	-8(5)	0
Pt6	169(10)	213(12)	157(11)	0	13(7)	0
Pt7	189(9)	83(9)	175(9)	0	1(7)	0
Pt8	187(7)	150(8)	168(7)	-5(4)	2(5)	26(6)
Pt9	154(8)	77(9)	148(9)	0	0	51(7)
M1	190(8)	76(7)	152(8)	-26(5)	-29(8)	9(6)
M2	112(11)	72(11)	127(12)	0	-10(8)	0
M3	168(13)	194(13)	192(14)	-18(8)	33(11)	26(9)
M4	224(19)	98(16)	186(19)	15(11)	45(15)	-17(13)

A 10: Anisotropic displacement parameters  $\rm U(pm^2)$  for  $\rm Pt_{27}Zn_{85}$ 

### The phase $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$ :

Structurally most complex compared with other  $\gamma$ -brass related phase is  $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$ . It crystallizes in the non-centrosymmetric space group Fmm2. The lattice parameters were found to be a = 1291.8(1) pm, b = 8096.8(6) pm, c = 909.1(1) pm, Z = 4, Pearson symbol oF656. The single crystal was obtained from the sample of nominal composition 27.5 at.% of Pt. Application of direct methods via SHELX-97 [58] revelaed all the atom positions. The calculations converged at a residual R1 = 0.0614 for 3759 reflections with  $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$  out of 5422 reflection for 416 variables including anisotropic displacement parameters. The displacement parameters of the atom Zn17 (U<sub>11</sub>) is very small compared to other two principal axis. The final cycles of list-squares refinement suggest the possible racemic twinning. The BASF for the unequal components in a twin refinement was 0.49(1). The maximal residual electron density of 6.15  $10^{-6}$  pm<sup>-3</sup> was found close to the Pt4 atom. Noteworthy, one out of 56 crystallographic site seems to be statistically occupied by Pt and Zn (47(1)% Zn and 53% Pt). The composition is found to be  $Pt_{43.1}Zn_{120.9(1)}$ .

Sum formula	$Pt_{43}  _{1}Zn_{120}  _{0(1)}$
Space group (No.)	Fmm2(42)
Z	4
a / pm	1291.8(1)
b/pm	8096.8(6)
c/pm	909.1(1)
$V/10^6 \text{ pm}^3$	9509(1)
Molar mass $/ \text{g} \text{ mol}^{-1}$	16309.34
$\rho_{cal} / \text{g cm}^{-3}$	11.393
$\mu / \text{mm}^{-1}$	92.877
Data collection	
$Crystal size / mm^3$	$0.13 \times 0.18 \times 0.15$
Diffractometer	IPDS-II (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha} / Graphite$
Distance Crystal-IP / mm	120
$\omega_{min} - \omega_{max}; \Delta \omega$	0-165; 0.5
$2 heta_{max}/^{\circ}$	54.2
Collected reflections	$-16 \le h \le 16$
	$-102 \le k \le 102$
	$-11 \le l \le 11$
Total reflections	25124
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS-II-Software [57]/X-RED [61]
Absorption correction	X-SHAPE [62], Numerical
max. / min.Transmission	$0.1075 \ / \ 0.0068$
Unique reflections	5422
$\mathrm{R}_{int}$	0.1074
Refinement	
Program	SHELXL-97 [58]
Refined on	$ \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{o}} ^2$
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	3759
Variables	416
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0614
$R_1$ (all)	0.0867
$wR_2$ (all)	0.1689
Goodness of fit	0.913
$\Delta \rho_{max}  /  \Delta \rho_{min}  /  10^{-6} \mathrm{e  pm^{-3}}$	6.149 / -5.198
Absolute structure factor	0.49(1)

### A 11: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

Atom No.	Wy.	x	у	Z	sof	Ueq
Pt1	4a	0	0	0.0035(4)	1	164(7)
Pt2	8c	0	0.21090(3)	0.0481(2)	1	136(5)
Pt3	8c	0	0.13199(3)	0.5543(2)	1	148(5)
Pt4	8c	0	0.07851(3)	0.5019(2)	1	144(5)
Pt5	8c	0	0.05304(3)	0.0592(2)	1	152(6)
Pt6	8c	0	0.02627(3)	0.5414(2)	1	162(5)
Pt7	8c	0	0.10489(3)	0.0281(3)	1	136(3)
Pt8	8c	0	0.18396(3)	0.5171(2)	1	134(4)
Pt9	8c	0	0.23695(3)	0.5013(2)	1	158(5)
Pt10	8c	0	0.15786(3)	0.9951(2)	1	133(5)
Pt11	8d	0.1816(2)	0	0.2049(2)	1	149(4)
Pt12	16e	0.1801(1)	0.08089(2)	0.6984(1)	1	141(3)
Pt13	16e	0.1809(1)	0.16040(2)	0.1902(1)	1	131(3)
Pt14	16e	0.1823(1)	0.20939(2)	0.8523(1)	1	149(4)
Pt15	16e	0.1813(1)	0.12918(2)	0.3602(2)	- 1	151(3)
Pt16	16e	0.1811(1)	0.04959(2)	0.8683(2)	1	178(4)
M1	16e	0.1781(2)	0.23958(3)	0.6832(2)	$0.47(1)^{a}$	173(7)
Zn1	4a	0.1101(2)	0.20000(0)	0.7261(10)	1	220(20)
Zn2	4a 8c	0	0.0802(1)	0.1201(10) 0.2291(8)	1	220(20) 259(19)
Zn2	8c	0	0.0002(1)	0.2251(6) 0.2654(6)	1	163(11)
Zn4	8c	0	0.01010(8) 0.17716(8)	0.2387(6)	1	174(13)
Zn5	80	0	0.00660(8)	0.2501(0) 0.7525(7)	1	159(19)
Zn6	80	0	0.03003(0)	0.1323(1) 0.2270(8)	1	102(12) 280(17)
Zn7	80	0	0.2414(1) 0.15088(0)	0.2210(8) 0.7160(8)	1	209(17) 222(16)
Zn8	80	0	0.15388(3) 0.06488(7)	0.7109(8) 0.7813(6)	1	$\frac{223(10)}{181(12)}$
	80	0	0.00400(7)	0.7813(0) 0.3273(7)	1	101(12) 110(13)
$Z_{n10}$	80	0	0.2097(1) 0.1208(1)	0.3213(1) 0.8338(6)	1	110(13) 120(13)
Zn11	80	0	0.1253(1) 0.1454(1)	0.8558(0)	1	120(13) 212(0)
$Z_{n12}$	80	0	0.1454(1) 0.0502(1)	0.2102(9) 0.3302(6)	1	$\frac{213(9)}{126(12)}$
2n12 7n12	80	0	0.0302(1)	0.3392(0) 0.8226(7)	1	120(12) 174(19)
Zn14	80	0	0.0313(1) 0.0262(1)	0.8330(7) 0.7862(7)	1	174(12) 994(12)
Zn14 Zn15	80	0	0.2202(1) 0.1027(1)	0.7803(7)	1	224(13) 222(12)
Zn15 Zn16	80	0	0.1927(1) 0.1192(1)	0.8047(7)	1	$\frac{222(13)}{181(19)}$
Zm17	20	0 + 1800(F)	0.1122(1)	0.3107(7)	1	101(12) 202(14)
Zn19	20	0.1899(3)	0	0.9126(8)	1	203(14) 201(14)
Zn10	00 160	0.1142(0) 0.1722(2)	0 19994(G)	0.4694(6)	1	221(14) 162(10)
Zn19 Zn20	160	0.1735(3) 0.1775(3)	0.18224(0)	0.0794(0)	1	103(10)
Zii20 Zii21	100	0.1770(3)	0.02914(3)	0.3770(3)	1	99(9)
Zn21	16e	0.1721(3)	0.10255(6)	0.1857(6)	1	1/2(10)
Zn22	16e	0.1833(4)	0.23331(6)	0.3795(6)	1	227(11)
Zn23	16e	0.1880(3)	0.15475(6)	0.8953(5)	1	180(10)
Zn24	166	0.1182(4)	0.21053(5)	0.5676(5)	1	156(9)
Zn25	16e	0.1206(4)	0.13138(6)	0.0715(5)	1	202(10)
Zn26	16e	0.1774(3)	0.02334(6)	0.6870(6)	1	187(10)
Zn27	16e	0.1781(4)	0.18758(5)	0.3688(6)	1	186(10)
Zn28	16e	0.1884(3)	0.07600(6)	0.4055(5)	1	166(9)
Zn29	16e	0.1232(4)	0.05230(5)	0.5755(5)	1	181(10)
Zn30	16e	0.1242(4)	0.02666(5)	0.0542(5)	1	182(10)
Zn31	16e	0.1266(4)	0.18388(5)	0.0159(6)	1	195(10)
Zn32	16e	0.1824(4)	0.05583(6)	0.1669(5)	1	172(10)
Zn33	16e	0.1236(4)	0.23740(5)	0.9878(6)	1	249(11)
Zn34	16e	0.1195(4)	0.15821(5)	0.4785(5)	1	173(9)
Zn35	16e	0.1161(4)	0.07875(6)	0.9802(6)	1	204(10)
Zn36	16e	0.1259(3)	0.10514(7)	0.5328(7)	1	186(7)
Zn37	16e	0.1842(4)	0.13445(6)	0.6543(5)	1	196(10)
Zn38	16e	0.1764(4)	0.10856(6)	0.8723(7)	1	204(10)
Zn39	16e	0.1834(4)	0.21188(6)	0.1456(6)	1	248(12)

A 12: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}(pm^2)$  for  $Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$ 

<sup>*a*</sup> sof of Zn, sof of (Pt) = 1 - sof of (Zn)

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Atom No.	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt1	87(14)	251(16)	152(15)	0	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt2	115(10)	182(11)	109(11)	0	-18(8)	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pt3	123(10)	175(9)	145(11)	0	-23(8)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt4	144(9)	222(10)	81(9)	0	Ó	-6(8)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt5	149(11)	166(11)	140(13)	0	-40(8)	Ó
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt6	163(11)	177(11)	146(10)	0	2(8)	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pt7	125(7)	173(7)	110(6)	0	3(5)	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pt8	113(10)	127(9)	162(10)	0	-14(8)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt9	93(10)	173(11)	208(12)	0	7(8)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt10	73(10)	191(10)	134(12)	0	28(8)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt11	98(10)	187(10)	145(11)	0	-6(8)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt12	151(7)	165(7)	106(7)	3(5)	3(6)	-3(6)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pt13	135(7)	140(6)	119(7)	6(5)	17(6)	-1(6)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt14	71(6)	164(6)	210(9)	8(5)	-7(6)	23(6)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt15	87(6)	163(7)	204(8)	12(5)	0(7)	31(6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pt16	140(7)	200(6)	195(8)	14(5)	7(7)	39(7)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M1	168(12)	170(11)	181(13)	15(8)	24(10)	9(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn1	300(60)	260(50)	101(10) 100(40)	10(0)	21(10)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn2	170(40)	400(40)	210(40)	0	-20(30)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn3	110(30)	290(30)	100(20)	Û	-20(20)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn4	190(30)	230(30)	100(20) 100(20)	0	-50(20)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn5	110(30)	250(30) 250(30)	90(20)	0	-40(20)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn6	250(40)	370(40)	250(40)	0 0	110(30)	0 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn7	240(40)	170(30)	260(40)	0	0(30)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn8	120(30)	290(30)	130(30)	0	40(20)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn0 Zn9	40(20)	100(30)	180(30)	0	15(19)	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn10	130(30)	160(30)	70(30)	0	40(20)	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn10 Zn11	180(20)	260(20)	210(20)	0	26(17)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn12 Zn12	120(20) 120(30)	200(20) 200(30)	60(20)	0	-40(20)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn12 Zn13	80(20)	200(30) 270(30)	170(30)	0	-10(20)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn10 Zn14	180(30)	210(30) 200(30)	300(30)	0	50(20)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn15	220(30)	200(30) 220(30)	230(30)	0 0	-50(20)	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn16	140(30)	200(30)	210(30)	0	0(20)	0 0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn17	0(20)	300(30)	$\frac{210(30)}{310(30)}$	0	0(20)	-10(20)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn18	190(30)	160(30)	320(40)	Û	0	70(30)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn19	80(20)	170(19)	240(20)	21(14)	-22(19)	49(17)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn20	131(18)	112(17)	55(18)	11(14)	-7(16)	55(16)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn21	90(20)	250(20)	180(20)	33(15)	-86(19)	43(17)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn22	210(20)	250(20)	220(20)	43(17)	0(20)	70(20)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn23	140(20)	240(20)	150(20)	8(15)	-24(18)	32(17)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn24	160(20)	200(20)	110(20)	-13(14)	-3(14)	-42(17)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn25	230(30)	270(20)	100(20)	-11(19)	0(16)	-38(18)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn26	90(20)	210(20)	260(20)	17(15)	-87(19)	39(17)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn27	200(20)	146(19)	210(20)	-2(16)	71(18)	83(19)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn28	79(18)	190(20)	230(20)	$\frac{2}{38(14)}$	8(16)	14(16)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn29	220(20)	170(20)	150(20)	-14(15)	-9(15)	-60(18)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn30	160(20)	230(20)	160(20)	-10(15)	11(16)	0(16)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn31	130(20)	200(20)	250(20)	-29(15)	45(18)	32(17)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn32	120(20)	167(18)	230(20)	-16(16)	36(19)	-35(17)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn33	170(20)	170(20)	410(30)	12(15)	-14(19)	40(20)
Zn35 $180(20)$ $165(10)$ $270(30)$ $-16(17)$ $13(16)$ $50(19)$ Zn35 $180(20)$ $165(19)$ $270(30)$ $-16(17)$ $13(16)$ $50(19)$ Zn36 $175(17)$ $170(15)$ $214(16)$ $0(20)$ $50(12)$ $0(20)$ Zn37 $140(20)$ $280(20)$ $170(20)$ $-15(17)$ $-11(18)$ $-26(18)$ Zn38 $250(20)$ $174(19)$ $190(20)$ $-66(17)$ $-10(19)$ $90(20)$ Zn39 $280(30)$ $380(30)$ $90(20)$ $15(19)$ $38(15)$ $-49(18)$	Zn34	115(19)	135(18)	270(30)	27(16)	49(16)	44(18)
Zn36 $175(17)$ $170(15)$ $214(16)$ $10(17)$ $10(16)$ $30(19)$ Zn36 $175(17)$ $170(15)$ $214(16)$ $0(20)$ $50(12)$ $0(20)$ Zn37 $140(20)$ $280(20)$ $170(20)$ $-15(17)$ $-11(18)$ $-26(18)$ Zn38 $250(20)$ $174(19)$ $190(20)$ $-66(17)$ $-10(19)$ $90(20)$ Zn39 $280(30)$ $380(30)$ $90(20)$ $15(19)$ $38(15)$ $-49(18)$	Zn35	180(20)	165(10)	270(30)	-16(17)	13(16)	50(19)
Zn37 $140(20)$ $280(20)$ $170(20)$ $-15(17)$ $-11(18)$ $-26(18)$ Zn38 $250(20)$ $174(19)$ $190(20)$ $-66(17)$ $-10(19)$ $90(20)$ Zn39 $280(30)$ $380(30)$ $90(20)$ $15(19)$ $38(15)$ $-49(18)$	Zn36	175(17)	170(15)	214(16)	0(20)	50(12)	0(20)
Zn38 $250(20)$ $174(19)$ $190(20)$ $-66(17)$ $-10(19)$ $90(20)$ Zn39 $280(30)$ $380(30)$ $90(20)$ $15(19)$ $38(15)$ $-49(18)$	Zn37	140(20)	280(20)	170(20)	-15(17)	-11(18)	-26(18)
$Z_{n39} = 280(30) = 380(30) = 90(20) = 15(19) = 38(15) = -49(18)$	Zn38	250(20)	174(19)	190(20)	-66(17)	-10(19)	90(20)
	Zn39	280(30)	380(30)	90(20)	15(19)	38(15)	-49(18)

A 13:	Anisotropic	displacement	parameters	U	$(pm^2)$	for	$Pt_{43}Zn_{121}$
-------	-------------	--------------	------------	---	----------	-----	-------------------

## The Phase $Ni_{23}Zn_{72}$

Sum formula	$ m Ni_{23}Zn_{72}$
Space group (No.)	Cmce (64)
Z	4
a / pm	1251.6(3)
b/pm	885.1(2)
c/pm	4571.2(9)
$V/10^6 \mathrm{pm}^3$	5063.9(2)
Molar mass $/ \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	6111.97
$ ho_{cal} /\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	7.945
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	41.63
Data collection	
$ m Crystal~size/mm^3$	$0.13 \times 0.12 \times 0.15$
Diffractometer	IPDS-I (STOE & Cie)
Temperature / K	293
Radiation / monochromator	$MoK_{\alpha}$ / Graphite
Distance crystal-IP / mm	100
$\phi_{min} - \phi_{max}; \Delta \phi$	0 - 145; 1
$2\theta_{max}/\circ$	42.0
Collected reflections	$-12 \le h \le 12$
	-8 < k < 8
	-41 < l < 43
Total No. reflections	6410
Data reduction	
Program	IPDS-I-Software [57] / X-RED [61]
Absorption correction	Numerical, X-SHAPE [62]
max. / min. Transmission	0.0547  /  0.0094
Unique reflection	1373
$R_{int}$	0.0936
Refinement	
Program	SHELXL-97 [58]
Refined on	$ \mathbf{F_o} ^2$
Reflections $I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$	1373
Variables	111
$R_1 (I_o > 2\sigma(I_o))$	0.0367
$R_1$ (all)	0.1580
$wR_2$ (all)	0.1415
Goodness of fit	0.505
Extinction coefficient	0.000031(4)
$\Delta \rho_{max} / \Delta \rho_{min} / 10^{-6} \mathrm{e  pm^{-3}}$	1.192 / -1.873

A 14: Crystallographic and technical data for the single-crystal structure determination

 $1/w = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1(Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3)^2$ 

Atom No.	Wy	x	У	$\mathbf{Z}$	$\operatorname{sof}$	$\rm U_{iso}$
Ni1	4a	0	0	0	1	160(50)
Ni2	8f	0	0.4948(12)	0.1361(3)	1	30(30)
Ni3	8f	0	0.0161(10)	0.1812(4)	1	110(30)
Ni4	8f	0	0.4835(13)	0.4090(3)	1	90(30)
Ni5	8f	0	0.0171(13)	0.4544(3)	1	60(40)
Ni6	8f	0	0.4912(13)	0.2270(3)	1	120(40)
Ni7	16g	0.1753(7)	0.3340(7)	0.3188(3)	1	118(17)
Ni8	16g	0.1753(10)	0.3327(10)	0.0436(2)	1	60(20)
Ni9	16g	0.1755(9)	0.1638(9)	0.0936(2)	1	70(20)
Zn11	8d	0.1273(14)	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	160(30)
Zn12	8f	0	0.2994(9)	0.1807(3)	1	110(20)
Zn13	8f	0	0.2076(12)	0.2355(3)	1	170(30)
Zn14	8f	0	0.1993(11)	0.4047(3)	1	120(30)
Zn15	8f	0	0.2986(13)	0.4572(3)	1	110(30)
Zn16	8f	0	0.2154(12)	0.1258(3)	1	140(30)
Zn17	8f	0	0.2807(11)	0.0134(3)	1	130(30)
Zn18	8f	0	0.2707(11)	0.3476(3)	1	100(30)
Zn19	8f	0	0.2494(13)	0.0688(3)	1	120(20)
Zn20	8f	0	0.2648(11)	0.2911(3)	1	160(30)
Zn21	16g	0.1781(9)	0.1567(8)	0.3686(2)	1	150(20)
Zn22	16g	0.1773(9)	0.3450(8)	0.49418(19)	1	70(20)
Zn23	16g	0.1836(9)	0.3652(8)	0.4152(2)	1	130(20)
Zn24	16g	0.1183(10)	0.4586(7)	0.0918(2)	1	140(20)
Zn25	16g	0.1819(10)	0.3601(8)	0.2209(2)	1	170(20)
Zn26	16g	0.1863(9)	0.1275(8)	0.4500(2)	1	160(20)
Zn27	16g	0.1226(9)	0.4659(8)	0.2711(2)	1	160(20)
Zn28	16g	0.1141(10)	0.0491(8)	0.04456(18)	1	90(20)
Zn29	16g	0.1778(9)	0.3492(8)	0.14310(18)	1	120(20)
Zn30	16g	0.1127(7)	0.0508(6)	0.3183(3)	1	133(17)
Zn31	16g	0.1262(9)	0.4888(8)	0.3640(2)	1	150(20)
Zn32	16g	0.1875(6)	0.1228(5)	0.1828(3)	1	140(16)
Zn33	16g	0.1779(10)	0.1594(9)	0.2691(2)	1	150(20)

A 15: Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $\rm U_{iso}(pm^2)$  for  $\rm Ni_{23}Zn_{72}$ 

#### References

- [1] P. Villars, Pearson's Handbook, Desk Ed. (ASM, Materials Park, OH, 1997).
- [2] J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani, J. Akimitsu, Nature 410, 63 (2001).
- [3] C. Pfleiderer, M. Uhlarz, S. M. Hayden, R. Vollmer, H. v. Löhneysen, N. R. Bernhoeft, G. G. Lonzarich, *Nature* 412, 58 (2001).
- [4] G. J. Snyder, M. Christensen, E. Nishibori, T. Caillat, B. B. Iversen, Nature Mater. 3, 458 (2004).
- [5] J. Nylén, M. Andersson, S. Lidin, U. Häussermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 16306 (2004).
- [6] F. J. DiSalvo, *Science* **285**, 703 (1999).
- [7] X. Huang, G. J. Ackland, K. M. Rabe, *Nature Mater.* 2, 307 (2003).
- [8] S. M. Kauzlarich, ed., Chemistry, Structure and Bonding of Zintl Phases (VCH Publishes, New York, 1996).
- [9] R. Nesper, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 30, 789 (1991).
- [10] C. H. E. Belin, R. C. H. Belin, J. Solid State Chem. 151, 85 (2000).
- [11] A. Johansson, H. Ljung, S. Westman, Acta Chem. Scand. 22, 2743 (1968).
- [12] G. Nover, K. Schubert, J. Alloys Comp. 75, 51 (1980).
- [13] A. Johansson, S. Westman, Acta Chem. Scand. 24, 3471 (1970).
- [14] W. Hume-Rothery, J. Inst. Metals **35**, 295 (1926).
- [15] W. Hume-Rothery, G. V. Raynor, The Structure of Metals and Alloys (Institute of Metals, London, 1954).
- [16] L. Pauling, J. Ewing, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **20**, 112 (1948).
- [17] G. D. Preston, *Phil. Mag.* 5, 1207 (1928).
- [18] K. H. J. Buschow, P. van Engen, R. Jongebreur, J. Mag. Mag. Mater. 38, 1 (1983).
- [19] A. Westgren, G. Phragmen, *Phil. Mag.* 5, 280 (1928).
- [20] A. J. Bradley, J. Thewlis, Proc. Roy. Soc. London 112A, 678 (1926).
- [21] A. Westgren, G. Phragmen, Z. Metallkde 18, 279 (1926).
- [22] A. Westgren, G. Phragmen, Trans. Faraday Soc. 25, 379 (1929).
- [23] U. Mizutani, T. Takeuchi, H. Sato, Prog. Mat. Sci. 49, 227 (2004).
- [24] R. Asahi, H. Sato, T. Takeuchi, U. Mizutani, *Phys. Rev.* B72, 125102 (2005).
- [25] H. Jones, Proc. Phys. Soc. 49, 250 (1937).
- [26] N. F. Mott, H. Jones, The Theory of the Properties of Metals and Alloys (Dover, New York,

1958).

- [27] T. Takeuchi, H. Sato, U. Mizutani, J. Alloys Comp. 342, 355 (2002).
- [28] H. Sato, R. S. Toth, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 239 (1962).
- [29] R. Asahi, H. Sato, T. Takeuchi, U. Mizutani, Phys. Rev. B71, 165103 (2005).
- [30] A. T. Paxton, M. Methfessel, D. G. Pettifor, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A453, 1493 (1997).
- [31] G. T. de Laissardière, D. N. Manh, L. Magaud, J. P. Julien, F. Cyrot-Lackmann, D. Mayou, *Phy. Rev.* B52, 7920 (1995).
- [32] A. J. Morton, *Phys. Status Solidi* (a) **44**, 205 (1977).
- [33] A. J. Morton, *Phys. Status Solidi* (a) **33**, 395 (1976).
- [34] A. J. Morton, Acta Metallurgica 27, 863 (1979).
- [35] Y. Koyama, J. Yoshida, H. Hoshiya, Y. Nakamura, *Phy. Rev.* B40, 5378 (1989).
- [36] Y. Koyama, M. Hatano, M. Tanimura, *Phy. Rev.* B53, 11462 (1996).
- [37] B. Grushko, E. Kowalska-Strzęciwilk, B. Przepiórzyński, M. Surowiec, J. Alloys Comp. 402, 98 (2005).
- [38] V. Demange, J. Ghanbaja, F. Machizaud, J. M. Dubois, *Phil. Mag.* 85, 1261 (2005).
- [39] M. Armbrüster, B. Harbrecht, S. Lee, ECSSC OSLO (2001). Book of Abstracts.
- [40] H. Nowotny, E. Bauer, A. Stempfl, H. Bittner, Monatsh. Chem. 83, 221 (1952).
- [41] W. Ekman, Z. Physik. Chem. B 12, 57 (1931).
- [42] W. Carl, K. Schubert, J. Less-Common Met. 19, 279 (1969).
- [43] H. Nowotny, E. Bauer, A. Stempfl, Monatsh. Chem. 81, 1164 (1950).
- [44] M. Boström, S. Hovmöller, J. Alloys Comp. **314**, 154 (2001).
- [45] M. A. Zhuravleva, X. Wang, A. J. Schultz, T. Bakas, M. G. Kanatizidis, *Inorg. Chem.* 41, 6056 (2002).
- [46] R. Belin, M. Tillard, L. Monconduit, Acta Crystallogr. C56, 267 (2000).
- [47] P. C. Canfield, Z. Fisk, *Phil. Mag.* B65, 1125 (1992).
- [48] M. G. Kanatzidis, R. Pöttgen, W. Jeitschko, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 44, 6996 (2005).
- [49] M. Boström, S. Hovmöller, J. Solid State Chem. 153, 398 (2000).
- [50] M. Boström, Crystal structures and phase equilibria in the Mn-Ga system, Ph.D. thesis, Stockholm University (2002).
- [51] H. Ipser, R. Krachler, K. L. Komarek, *Thermochemistry of Alloys* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1989).
- [52] K. W. Richter, S. Besana, G. Borzone, H. Ipser, J. Alloys Comp. 365, 181 (2004).

- [53] H. Ipser, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 102, 1217 (1998).
- [54] L. Voisin, M. Hino, K. Itagaki, Mater. Trans. 44, 2654 (2003).
- [55] Philips Analytical, X'Pert Plus (1.0) (1999). Almelo.
- [56] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, FULLPROF-A Program Package for Rietveld and Refinement and Pattern Matching Analysis, Version 2000, Acta Crystallogr. (1981).
- [57] Program Package for X-ray Diffraction. Version 2.75, Stoe & Cie., Darmstadt (Germany) (1996).
- [58] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97–A Program Package for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures, Universität Göttingen (Germany) (1997).
- [59] V. Petricek, M. Dusek, L. Palatinus, JANA2000. The Crystallographic Computing System, Institute of Physics, Praha, Czech Republic (2000).
- [60] A. L. Spek, PLATON-A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University (The Netherlands) (2000).
- [61] X-RED (1.02)–Data Reduction Program, Stoe & Cie., Darmstadt (Germany) (2001).
- [62] X-SHAPE (2.01)-Crystal Optimization for Numerical Absorption Correction, Stoe & Cie., Darmstadt (Germany) (2001).
- [63] W. Massa, Crystal Structure Determination (Springer-Verlag, Germany, 2004), second edn.
- [64] Y. Khan, B. V. R. Murty, K. Schubert, J. Less-Common Met. 21, 293 (1970).
- [65] T. Massalski, CD-ROM, Binary Phase Diagrams (ASM International, Materials Park, OH, 1996), second edn.
- [66] T. Nash, W. Jeitschko, J. Solid State Chem. 143, 95 (1999).
- [67] M. Boström, S. Lidin, J. Solid State Chem. 166, 53 (2002).
- [68] S. Thimmaiah, K. W. Richter, S. Lee, B. Harbrecht, Solid State Sciences 5, 1309 (2003).
- [69] X. Chen, W. Jeitschko, M. E. Danebrock, C. B. H. Evers, K. Wagner, J. Solid State Chem. 118, 219 (1995).
- [70] W. Hornfeck, S. Thimmaiah, S. Lee, B. Harbrecht, Chem. Eur. J. 10, 4616 (2004).
- [71] Q. Lin, J. D. Corbett, Inorg. Chem. 43, 1912 (2004).
- [72] H. Lind, M. Boström, V. Petricek, S. Lidin, Acta Crystallogr B59, 720 (2003).
- [73] P. J. Brown, Acta Crystallogr. 15, 608 (1962).
- [74] N. Gross, G. Kotzyba, B. Künnen, W. Jeitschko, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 627, 155 (2001).
- [75] B. Harbrecht, S. Thimmaiah, M. Armbrüster, C. Pietzonka, S. Lee, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.
  628, 2744 (2002).

- [76] D. Gratias, F. Puyraimond, M. Qusiquandon, A. Katz, Phy. Rev. B63, 024202 (2001).
- [77] E. Abe, A. P. Tsai, *Phy. Rev. Lett.* 83, 753 (1999).
- [78] R.-D. Hoffmann, R. Pöttgen, Z. Kristallogr. 216, 127 (2001).
- [79] N. Gross, G. Kotzyba, B. Künnen, W. Jeitschko, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 627, 155 (2001).
- [80] L. Arnberg, S. Westman, Acta Chem. Scand. 26, 513 (1972).
- [81] V. Edström, S. Westman, Acta Chem. Scand. 23, 279 (1969).
- [82] H. Bärnighausen, Commun. Math. Chem. 9, 139 (1980).
- [83] U. Müller, Inorganic Structural Chemistry (Wiley, Chichester, 1993).
- [84] A. J. Bradley, P. Jones, J. Inst. Metals 51, 131 (1933).
- [85] S. Mahne, B. Harbrecht, J. Alloys Comp. 203, 271 (1994).
- [86] F. Bonhomme, K. Yvon, J. Alloys Comp. 227, L1 (1995).
- [87] R. Nesper, H.-G. von Schnering, J. Solid State Chem. 70, 48 (1987).
- [88] A. J. Bradley, J. Thewlis, Proc. Roy. Soc. London 115A, 456 (1927).
- [89] L. Arnberg, Acta Crystallogr **B36**, 527 (1980).
- [90] S. Samson, Acta Crystallogr. **B28**, 936 (1972).
- [91] J. P. A. Makongo, Y. Prots, U. Burkhardt, R. Niewa, C. Kudla, G. Kreiner, *Phil. Mag. Let.* (In press).
- [92] S. Samson, D. A. Hansen, Acta Crystallogr. **B28**, 930 (1972).
- [93] L. Arnberg, A. Jonsson, S. Westman, Acta Chem. Scand. 30, 187 (1976).
- [94] C. Lupu, J. Mao, J. W. Rabalais, A. M. Guloy, J. J. W. Richardson, *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 3765 (2003).
- [95] M. L. Fornasini, B. Chabot, E. Parthé, Acta Crystallogr. B34, 2093 (1978).
- [96] S. Thimmaiah, M. Conrad, S. Lee, B. Harbrecht, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 630, 1762 (2004).
- [97] W. Jeitschko, E. Parthé, Acta Crystallogr 22, 417 (1967).
- [98] A. Wittmann, H. Nowotny, J. Less-Common Met. 9, 303 (1965).
- [99] S. v. Smaalen, Z. Kristallogr. **219**, 681 (2004).
- [100] W. Hume-Rothery, J. O. Betterton, J. Reynolds, J. Inst. Metals 52, 609 (1951).
- [101] C. Dong, *Phil. Mag.* A73, 1519 (1996).
- [102] S. Thimmaiah, B. Harbrecht, J. Alloys Comp. (In press).
- [103] NIMS, Paulingfile. http://crystdb.nims.go.jp/.
- [104] M. Hansen, K. Anderko, Constitution of Binary Alloys (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958), second edn.

- [105] G. P. Vassilev, J. Alloys Comp. 190, 107 (1992).
- [106] G. P. Vassilev, T. Gomez-Acebo, J. Tedenac, J. Phase Equi. 21, 287 (2000).
- [107] J. Schramm, Z. Metallkd **30**, 122 (1938).
- [108] R. P. Anantatmula, D. B. Masson, Metall. Trans. 5, 605 (1974).
- [109] J. K. Critchley, S. Denton, J. Inst. Metals 99, 26 (1971).

### Acknowledgments

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Dr. B. Harbrecht for giving me the opportunity to join his group, for his essential scientific and personal support, and for his participation in the preparation of this thesis.

I would also thank Prof. Dr. W. Massa for taking on the task of co-examiner.

My sincere thanks go to Dr. M. Conrad for introducing me into broad field of crystallography and essential support and suggestions he gave me whenever I encountered problems in this domain.

I am very much thankful to Prof. S. Lee, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA, for the scientific collaboration and for providing X-ray synchrotron data.

I am grateful to Prof. S. Lidin, University of Stockholm, Sweden, for the solutions of incommensurately modulated structures.

I would also thank Dr. M. Boström, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, France, for the collaboration and introducing me to the melt centrifugation technique.

Thanks to Dr. K. W. Richter, University of Vienna, Austria, for conducting the isopiestic measurements on my behalf.

I would like to thank Prof. H. Keller, University of Dortmund, for arranging the density measurements.

I am highly indebted to Prof. R. Seshadri, University of California Santa Barbara, USA, for introducing me into broad field of solid state of chemistry in the early stage of my research carrier.

My best thanks go to Ch. Wannek, M. Janetzky, C. Allio and A. Kitta for being very friendly co-workers during this project. Special thanks to W. Hornfeck for reading my manuscript and giving me helpful comments. A lot of thanks to C. Pietzonka for magnetic susceptibility, conductivity measurements and EDX analysis.

My thanks to S. Freistein, U. Kesper, R. Penzel and M. Lohmer for sample preparation, DTA measurements and technical assistance.

Last but not the least, I thank undergraduate students, specially S. Enck, T. Still and A. Holzmeister for partial experimental support for this project.

"DFG for my financial support".

## Declaration

I declare that I myself wrote this study and carried out the experimental work described in it, without using any other sources and aids than those that are stated.

Marburg, November 2005

#### Curriculum Vitae

#### SRINIVASA THIMMAIAH

Fachbereich Chemie Philipps-Universität Hans-Meerwein-Straße D-35032 Marburg

Personal: Single, born 27<sup>th</sup> March 1976, Jagalur, India

#### Education:

July 2001–September 2005 Pursuing Ph.D in the Department of Chemistry, Philipps University, Marburg, Germany.

1997–1999 M. Sc., Industrial Chemistry, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, India.

1994–1997 B. Sc., Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Chitradurga, India.

#### **Research Experience:**

July 2001–September 2005 Graduate student under supervision of Prof. B. Harbrecht in the Department of Chemistry, Philipps University, Marburg, Germany.

**Thesis:** Synthesis, characterization and phase relations of zinc-rich phases in the binary systems platinum-zinc and nickel-zinc.

Jan.2000–May 2001 Project Assistant with Prof. R. Seshadri in the Department of Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India (At present, University of California Santa Barbara).

Project1: Synthesis of nano particles via solvothermal decomposition of metal cupferron complexes.Project2: Synthesis of macro porous solids.

June 1999–Dec.1999 Project Assistant with Prof. M. S. Hegde in the Department Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.

 $\label{eq:project: Synthesis of rare earth manganates using NaCl / KCl flux.$
## **Publications:**

- i) **S. Thimmaiah**, M. Conrad, S. Lee and B. Harbrecht,  $Pt_{11}Zn_{32}$ , a  $\gamma$ -brass type related composite structure, (Manuscript under preparation).
- ii) S. Thimmaiah and B. Harbrecht, Pt<sub>29</sub>Zn<sub>49</sub>, a complex defective AlB<sub>2</sub>-type derivative structure, J. Alloys Compd., (In press).
- iii) **S. Thimmaiah**, M. Conrad, S. Lee and B. Harbrecht, The commensurate misfit structure of  $\gamma$ -brass-related Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., **630**(2004)1762 (Conference abstract).
- iv) W. Hornfeck, S. Thimmaiah, S. Lee and B. Harbrecht, Structure-composition relation for the partly disordered Hume-Rothery Phase  $Ir_{7+7\delta}Zn_{97-11\delta}$  (0.31 <  $\delta$  < 0.58), *Chem. Eur. J.*, **10**(2004)4616.
- v) S. Thimmaiah, K. W. Richter, S. Lee and B. Harbrecht,  $\gamma_1 Pt_5Zn_{21} a$  reappraisal of a  $\gamma$ -brass type complex alloy phase, *Solid State Sci.*, 5(2003)1309.
- vi) B. Harbrecht, **S. Thimmaiah**, M. Armbrüster, C. Pietzonka and S. Lee, Structure and properties of  $\gamma$ -brass-type Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11- $\delta$ </sub> (0.2 <  $\delta$  < 0.3), Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., **628**(2002)2744.
- vii) B. Harbrecht, S. Thimmaiah, M. Armbrüster, C. Pietzonka and S. Lee, Resolving structural differentiation in the Hume-Rothery phases Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>11-δ</sub> and Pt<sub>5±δ</sub>Zn<sub>21-δ'</sub>, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 628(2002)2150 (Conference abstract).
- viii) S. Thimmaiah, M. Rajamathi, N. Singh, P. Bera, F. Meldrum, N. Chandrasekhar and R. Seshadri, A solvothermal route to capped nanoparticles of γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, J. Mater. Chem., 11(2001)3215
- ix) C. Shiva Kumara, M. S. Hegde, T. Srinivasa, N. Y. Vasanthacharya, G. N. Subbanna and N. P. Lalla, Synthesis, structure and magnetic properties of Ln<sub>1-x</sub>A<sub>x</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> (Ln = Pr, Nd; A = Na, K) from NaCl or KCl flux, J. Mater. Chem., 11(2001)2572
- x) M. Rajamathi, S. Thimmaiah, P. E. D. Morgen and R. Seshadri, Macroporous materials from crystalline single-source precursors through decomposition followed by selective leaching, J. Mater. Chem., 11(2001)2489

## **Conferences:**

- i) C. Allio, S. Thimmaiah, W. Hornfeck and B. Harbrecht, Zinc-rich rhodium compounds phase and structure relations, GD für Kristallographie, February 28 to March 4, 2005, Köln, Germany.
- ii) S. Thimmaiah, M. Conrad, S. Lee and B. Harbrecht, The commensurate misfit structure of γ-brass-related Pt<sub>11</sub>Zn<sub>32</sub>, 12<sup>th</sup> Conference of GDCh Division for Solid State Chemistry and Material Research "Structure-properties -relation", September 13<sup>th</sup>-15, 2004, Marburg, Germany.
- iii) S. Thimmaiah, W. Hornfeck, M. Conrad and B. Harbrecht,  $IrZn_{10\pm\delta}$  and  $Pt_{5\pm\delta}Zn_{21-\delta'}$ , a comparative study of  $\gamma$ -related Hume-Rothery phases,  $9^{th}$  European Conference on Solid State Chemistry, September  $3^{rd}-6^{th}$ , 2003, Stuttagart, Germany.
- iv) B. Harbrecht, S. Thimmaiah, M. Armbrüster, C. Pietzonka and S. Lee, Resolving Structural Differentiation in the Hume-Rothery Phases  $Pt_2Zn_{11-\delta}$  and  $Pt_{5\pm\delta}Zn_{21-\delta'}$ ,  $11^{th}$  GDCh Conference on Structure, properties and relation of Solids, September  $24^{th}-26^{th}$ , 2002, Dresden, Germany.