

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The version of the following full text has not yet been defined or was untraceable and may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/112309>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-06 and may be subject to change.

ERRATUM

Generalized Rank Annihilation Method. II: Bias and Variance in the Estimated Eigenvalues, N. M. Faber, L. M. C. Buydens and G. Kateman, *J. Chemometrics*, **8**, 181–203 (1994)

Page 185, line 26: For GRAM the variance and bias factor are *not* identical. This is a marked difference compared to the multivariate case discussed in Appendix I. Let Φ_{nn} and Ψ_{nn} denote the variance and bias factor respectively. They are given by

$$\Phi_{nn} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})_{nn}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y})_{nn}^{-1}$$

and

$$\Psi_{nn} = [(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y})^{-1}]_{nn}$$

The symbol Ψ should be replaced by the symbol Φ in equations (10), (24), (25), (29) and (31). The results shown in Tables 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11 were calculated with the correct equations and therefore require no changes.

Page 186, line 7: “ $q = (\text{SEL})$ ” should be “ $q = (\text{SEL})^2$ ”

Page 186, line 8: “.14” should be “.14”

Page 186, line 29: “ $\hat{\Pi}_M^{-1}$ ” should be “ Π_M^{-1} ”

Page 191, line 13: “standard error” should be “variance”

Page 194, line 34: “ $E[\hat{\pi}]$ ” should be “ $E[\hat{\pi}]$ ”

Page 195, line 22: “ $E[\pi]$ ” should be “ $E[\hat{\pi}]$ ”

Page 195, line 35: “ $E[\pi]$ ” should be “ $E[\hat{\pi}]$ ”

Page 197, line 34: “ $E[\pi]$ ” should be “ $E[\hat{\pi}]$ ”

Page 200, line 12: “[]” should be “E[]”

Page 202, line 20: “(62)” should be “(60)”