Proceedings of the Nutrition Society (2015), 74 (OCE5), E325

Summer Meeting, 6–9 July 2015, The future of animal products in the human diet: health and environmental concerns

An investigation into the eating behaviours of adult patients with Type 1 diabetes using continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion therapy compared to those using multiple daily injections

R.J. Webb¹, I.G. Davies¹, T.S. Purewal², P.J. Weston², G. Morrison² and J.C. Abayomi¹

¹Liverpool John Moores University, Faculty of Education, Health & Community, Barkhill Road, Liverpool, L17 6BD and ²Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospital, Prescot Street, Liverpool, L7 8XP

Multiple daily injections (MDI) are an intensive method of administering an external source of basal and bolus insulin for patients with Type 1 diabetes (T1D). This therapy requires 4–5 injections per day, is regarded as an effective method of achieving glycaemic control and is commonly used. If HbA_{1c} remains above 8.5 % or if a patient is experiencing disabling hypoglycaemic episodes continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) therapy may be recommended¹. CSII provides flexible insulin administration via a small, electronic pump unit and has been associated with various benefits when compared to MDI, including increased glycaemic control, reduced occurrence of hypoglycaemia and improvements in the dawn phenomenon^{1,2}. Furthermore, the flexible nature of CSII potentially allows patients to enjoy a liberalised diet compared to those using the relatively structured MDI regime². There is a dearth of evidence focussing on the eating behaviours of these patients and research into this area would be useful to inform both treatment and the evaluation of risk.

Following ethical approval and informed consent patients with T1D using either CSII or MDI from the Royal Liverpool Hospital were asked to complete an EPIC-Norfolk food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). Responses were processed using FETA software and demographic data from participants' medical records were added. All data were initially analysed using descriptive statistics. Any data not normally distributed were transformed logarithmically and t-tests and Mann-Whitney-U-tests were then carried out.

Table 1. Selected FFQ results from patients using CSII and MDI compared to reference nutrient intakes^{3,4}

Nutrient	Amount per day (CSII group)	Amount per day (MDI group)	% energy intake per day (CSII group)	% energy intake per day (MDI group)	RNI (per day)	RNI (% energy intake per day)
Energy	1702.0 Kcal	1886-4 Kcal	N/A	N/A	2550 Kcal (Males) 1940 Kcal (Females)	N/A
Protein	79∙0 g/day	89.7 g/day	18.6	19.0	55.5 g/day (males) 45.5 g/day (females)	15
Total CHO	198.7 g/day	213.6 g/day	43.7	42.4	N/A	50
Total sugars	100.7 g/day	96.0 g/day	22.2	19.1	70 g/day (males) 50 g/day (females)	5 %
Total fat	67.6 g/day	76.4 g/day	35.7	36-4	N/A	35
Saturated fat	25.7 g/day	27.9 g/day	13.6	13.3	N/A	11

The study population consisted of 60 patients (33.3 % male, 66.7 % female) with 40 using CSII and 20 using MDI and a mean age of 48 ± 16 years. The majority of patients were overweight or obese (71.4 % CSII, 57.1 % MDI), however energy intake was below the reference nutrient intake (RNI) for both CSII and MDI groups. Patients using both CSII and MDI consumed protein above the RNI for males and females³. Despite this protein contributed towards 18.6 % of the daily energy intake of patients using CSII and 19.0 % of those using MDI. Total carbohydrate (CHO) consumption was below the RNI of 50 %, however it should be noted that consumption of total sugars was above the RNI for males and females in both groups. This may be partly explained by the consumption of fruit which was also higher in those using CSII. Total fat and saturated fat consumption was marginally above the RNI's. There were no statistically significant associations between any variables and the diets of the two populations appear largely homogenous, despite slight deviations of some nutrients from RNI's. Further analysis of total energy, protein and CHO quality is warranted.

- Department of Health (2007) Insulin Pump Services: Report of the Insulin Pumps Working Group
 Cummins E. et al. (2010) Health Technology Assessment, 14 1–208
 COMA (1991) Dietary Reference Values of Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom

- 4. WHO (2015) Sugar Intake for Adults and Children



