1   **Reliability of low-flow vasoreactivity in the brachial artery of adolescents**

2   **ABSTRACT**

3   **Purpose:** Macrovascular endothelial function is commonly assessed using flow-mediated

4   dilation (FMD) and is nitric oxide (NO) dependent. However, the vasoreactivity to low-flow

5   during the FMD protocol may complement FMD interpretation. This study aimed to

6   investigate in adolescents: 1) the day-to-day reliability of low-flow-mediated constriction (L-

7   FMC) and composite vessel reactivity (CVR); and 2) the relationship between L-FMC and

8   FMD.

9   **Methods:** A retrospective analysis of data on 27 adolescents (14.3 ± 0.6 y, 12 males) was

10   performed. Participants had two repeat measures, on separate days, of macrovascular function

11   using high-resolution ultrasound for assessment of L-FMC, FMD and CVR.

12   **Results:** On average, the L-FMC response was vasoconstriction on both days (-0.59 ± 2.22 %

13   and -0.16 ± 1.50 %, respectively). In contrast, an inconsistent response to low flow

14   (vasoconstriction, dilation or no change) was observed on an individual level. Cohen's Kappa

15   revealed poor agreement for classifying the L-FMC measurement between visits (k=0.04,

16   P>0.05). Assessment of the actual vessel diameter was robust with a coefficient of variation

17   of 1.7 % (baseline and peak) and 2.7 % (low-flow). The between-day correlation coefficient

18   between measures was r=0.18, r=0.96 and r=0.52 for L-FMC, FMD and CVR, respectively.

19   No significant correlation between FMD and L-FMC was observed for either visit (r=-0.06

20   and r=-0.07, respectively; P>0.05).

21   **Conclusion:** In adolescents, the low-flow vasoreactivity is inconsistent between days.

22   Whereas the actual vessel diameter is reproducible, the measurement of L-FMC and CVR has

poor between-day reliability compared to FMD. Finally, L-FMC and FMD are not

significantly correlated.

42

43

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the major cause of non-communicable deaths worldwide [1]. Although the clinical implications of CVD are not evident until later adulthood, its origins can be found in childhood [2]. Endothelial dysfunction is the initial stage in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis [3] and can be assessed non-invasively by flow-mediated dilation (FMD), typically performed at the brachial artery [4]. The FMD technique is both accurate and reproducible [5] and guidelines of best practice are available [6,7]. Briefly, the measurement of baseline artery diameter is followed by a 5 min period of cuff-induced local ischaemia. During this ischaemic time span, blood flow through the vessel is low followed by a period of high flow when the cuff is released. The peak arterial diameter post-occlusion is compared to baseline diameter and the change typically expressed as a percentage. The FMD response, which is nitric oxide (NO) dependent [8], has been subject to many investigations in children and adolescents, such as establishing endothelial function in children at risk of CVD [4] or the benefits of exercise [9,10].

The vasoreactivity to the low-flow condition during the cuff-occlusion phase of the FMD protocol has recently been subject to some investigation. When examined at the radial artery, studies consistently reported vasoconstriction during cuff-occlusion [11,12] which led to the term 'low-flow-mediated constriction' (L-FMC). It has been suggested that low-flow vasoreactivity is complementary to the traditional FMD measure as it enhances prognostic value [12,13]. Furthermore, measuring L-FMC may provide additional mechanistic insight of endothelial function as it is NO independent [12]. Finally, the combination of FMD and L-FMC

65   to create a vasoactive range (composite vessel reactivity, CVR) may aid to establish a more

66   comprehensive image of vascular health [12].

67   Gori, et al. [14] described good repeatability of the L-FMC measurement on the radial artery

68   (intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.8) in 25 healthy young adults. In contrast to the

69   radial artery, there is no homogeneous vasoreactivity to the low-flow condition in the brachial

70   artery, with reports of vasodilation, vasoconstriction and no alteration [13,15-17]. Bell, et al. [18]

71   reported good between-day reliability of the L-FMC measurement in the brachial artery of

72   adults (ICC of 0.87). Additionally, Aizawa, et al. [15] reported a significant association between

73   L-FMC and FMD in adults, suggesting that low-flow vasoreactivity contributes to the

74   magnitude of the FMD response. In children, only Thijssen, et al. [19] have investigated L-FMC

75   and found a significant[WC1], yet small (~ 0.04 mm), increase of the brachial artery diameter

76   when compared to baseline. However, the low-flow vasodilation was only reported as a

77   group mean and inter-individual differences were not presented. Furthermore, whereas the

78   FMD measurement is reliable in adolescents [20], no previous study has assessed whether L-

79   FMC of the brachial artery is reliable between days or examined its relationship with FMD in

80   adolescents.

81   The aims of the study were to address the following in an adolescent population: 1) to

82   describe the vasoreactivity to low flow at the brachial artery and to document the day-to-day

83   reliability of L-FMC; and 2) to characterise the magnitude of the relationship between L-

84   FMC and FMD.

85

86   **METHODS**

87   **Participants**

88   The data of the current investigation were obtained retrospectively from previous work

89   (reference[wc2]) and reanalysed statistically. An analysis of the low-flow data was not

90   presented in previous publications. The original sample comprised 40 participants but 13

91   participants were excluded from analysis due to poor image quality or movement during the

92   low flow period. Therefore, relevant data for the current investigation were available on

93   twenty-seven 12- to 15-year-old adolescents (twelve boys). The original investigations were

94   approved by the institutional ethics committee and both participants and their parents

95   provided written informed assent and consent, respectively, before commencement of the

96   studies. Exclusion criteria involved the use of any medication or substance known to

97   influence vascular function.

98

99   **Description and reliability of low-flow vasoreactivity**

100 On the first visit to the laboratory, body mass and height were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg

101 and 0.1 cm, respectively, before participants were familiarized to all measurements. For the

102 assessment of their fitness, participants performed a combined ramp and supramaximal

103 exercise protocol [21] in order to determine maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$). Pulmonary $\dot{V}O_2$

104 was monitored throughout the test (Cortex Metalyzer III B, Leipzig, Germany). All exercise

105 was performed on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport,

106 Groningen, the Netherlands). Definitions of low fitness and overweight/obesity were made

107 based on age- and sex-appropriate $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ [22] and body mass index (BMI) [23] cut points,

108 respectively. Participants' pubertal status was determined by a self-assessment of secondary

109 sexual characteristics using adapted drawings of the five stages of pubic hair development [24].

110

5

On two occasions separated by approximately one week, participants were transported to the laboratory at 08:00 h following a ~ 12 h overnight fast and then consumed 30 g of commercially available corn flakes with 130 mL of skimmed milk. The macronutrient contribution of this breakfast is unlikely to have influenced endothelial function [25]. At 08:45 h, participants rested in a darkened, temperature-controlled room (24° C) for 10 min before the assessment of vascular function.

Vascular assessment

High-resolution Doppler and B-mode images of the brachial artery were simultaneously acquired (Sequoia 512; Acuson; Siemens Corp, Aspen, CO, USA) with a 13 MHz linear array transducer in duplex mode, in accordance with recent guidelines [7] and our earlier work (reference). Following a 10 min acclimatization period to the temperature-controlled room (24° C) in the supine position, baseline arterial diameter was measured for 1.5 min. Low-flow brachial artery diameter was measured during the last 30 s of a 5 min ischaemic stimulus [11,15] induced by rapid forearm pneumatic cuff inflation (Hokanson, Bellevue, WA, USA) to 220 mmHg. Endothelium-dependent vasodilation of the brachial artery was measured for 3 min after the 5 min occlusion period. Baseline, low-flow and post-occlusion brachial artery diameters were assessed during end diastole using validated ECG-gating software (Medical Imaging Applications LLC, Coralville, IA, USA) [7,26]. All analyses were performed by the same investigator. The estimated shear rate for the low-flow period was calculated by averaging shear during the last 30 s of cuff occlusion. The area under the curve for estimated shear rate for FMD was calculated from the time of cuff deflation until peak dilation (SR_{AUC}) [7].

134 Mean arterial diameter over 1.5 min before cuff-occlusion (baseline) and its associated 95 %

135 confidence intervals (CI) were determined in order to classify L-FMC. L-FMC was

136 calculated as mean diameter over the last 30 s of the low-flow period and defined as

137 vasoconstriction (diameter < lower CI of mean baseline diameter), no response (diameter

138 within CI of mean baseline diameter), and vasodilation (diameter > upper CI of mean

139 baseline diameter). FMD, L-FMC, and CVR were calculated using the following equations:

140 FMD (%) = (Peak post-occlusion diameter - Mean baseline diameter) / (Mean baseline

141 diameter) x 100%

142 L-FMC (%) = (Mean diameter during last 30 s of occlusion - Mean baseline diameter) /

143 (Mean baseline diameter) x 100%

144 CVR (%) = (Peak post-occlusion diameter - mean diameter during last 30 s of occlusion) /

145 (Mean baseline diameter) x 100%

146

147 **Control for confounding variables**

148 With parental supervision, participants were asked to replicate their evening meal prior to

149 each laboratory visit. Furthermore, they also completed a food diary during the 48 h period

150 immediately preceding each visit, which were subsequently assessed for total energy and

151 macronutrient intake (CompEat Pro; Nutrition Systems, Banbury, UK). Participants were also

152 instructed to avoid strenuous exercise and wear a triaxial accelerometer on the wrist of their

153 non-dominant hand (GENEActiv; Activinsights Ltd, Cambridge, UK) during the 48 h prior to

154 each visit. Time spent performing moderate-to-vigorous physical activity was determined

155 using validated cut points for paediatric groups [27].

156

157

158

Statistical analyses

All data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated. Given the recent suggestion of adjusting FMD allometrically for baseline diameter [28], Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was applied to examine the relationship between both FMD and L-FMC and baseline diameter. However, as there were no significant correlations between FMD and baseline diameter ($r = -0.06$ and $r = 0.01$, both $P > 0.7$) or L-FMC and baseline diameter ($r = 0.01$ and $r = 0.1$, ~~all~~ both $P > 0.6$), allometric scaling was not undertaken. Descriptive statistics and Cohen's Kappa were employed to analyse the day-to-day reliability of the vasoreactivity (i.e. classified as vasoconstriction, vasodilation and no response) to the low-flow condition. The magnitude of agreement was classified according to Fleiss [29] with $k > 0.75$ as excellent, k between 0.40 and 0.75 as fair to good and $k < 0.40$ as poor agreement. The reliability of the vascular measurements was examined using the typical error (TE), the TE expressed as a coefficient of variation (CV) and the ICC [30]. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was employed for the analysis of the relationship between L-FMC and FMD. Statistical significance was accepted when $P < 0.05$. IBM SPSS Statistics software (Version 22; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) was used for all statistical analyses.

175

RESULTS

Characteristics for participants (n = 27) are presented in Table 1. Maturation status for boys and girls was as follows: Tanner stage 2, n = 1 and 0, stage 3, n = 6 and 1, stage 4, n = 3 and 11, stage 5, n = 2 and 3, respectively. No significant mean differences in total energy intake,

180 individual macronutrient contribution, or time spent performing moderate-to-vigorous

181 physical activity were apparent during the 48 h preceding each visit (all $P > 0.05$, data not

182 reported).

183 The reproducibility of macrovascular outcomes is illustrated in Table 2. The average

184 response on visit 1 was vasoconstriction (-0.59 ± 2.22 % of baseline diameter), which was

185 observed in 15 participants (56.6 %). Vasodilation was apparent in eight participants (29.6

186 %), and four (14.8 %) did not show any response to the low-flow condition. On the second

187 visit, participants demonstrated on average vasoconstriction (-0.16 ± 1.50 % of baseline

188 diameter). In contrast to visit 1, 12 participants (44.4 %) showed vasoconstriction on the

189 second visit, while vasodilation was exhibited by 10 participants (37.0 %). No alteration in

190 vasoreactivity during cuff inflation was apparent in 5 participants (18.5 %). Eleven

191 participants (40.7 %) presented the same low-flow vasoreactivity response on both visits.

192 Cohen's Kappa revealed a poor agreement for the classification of L-FMC between

193 measurements ($k = 0.04$, $P = 0.79$). Average shear rate during the low-flow period was 191.3

194 ± 71.1 cm·s^{-1} (visit 1) and 201.4 ± 96.9 cm·s^{-1} (visit 2), respectively. SR$_{AUC}$ was 739.9 ±

195 277.4 (visit 1) and 674.7 ± 209.3 (visit 2), respectively. There was no significant correlation

196 between L-FMC and FMD on visit 1 ($r = -0.06$, $P = 0.75$) or on visit 2 ($r = -0.07$, $P = 0.72$)

197 (Figure 1).

198

199 **DISCUSSION**

200 The current investigation is the first study to show that the average L-FMC response in the

201 brachial artery in an adolescent population is vasoconstriction. However, the response is

202 variable between participants and its subsequent classification into 'vasoconstriction', 'no

203 response' or 'vasodilation' is not reliable between days. Compared to FMD and CVR, the

204 measurement of L-FMC also has a poorer reliability in adolescents. Nevertheless, this study

205 supports the view that the measurement of L-FMC may add complementary information to

206 the FMD measurement due to the lack of a significant correlation between them.

207 Previous studies have shown that the vascular response to low flow is artery specific [17] and

208 the reactivity in the brachial artery is non-uniform in adults [13,15,16]. The only study concerned

209 with low-flow vasoreactivity in a paediatric population reported vasodilation (~ 0.04 mm) of

210 the brachial artery in children (9 – 10 y) [19], however, individual responses were not reported.

211 In the current study, vasoconstriction was apparent on both visits (-0.59 ± 2.22 % and -0.16 ±

212 1.50 %, respectively) but an inconsistent reactivity to low flow was observed. Across visits 1

213 and 2, vasoconstriction during low flow was apparent in the majority of participants (56.6 %

214 and 44.4 %, respectively), followed by vasodilation (29.6 % and 37.0 %, respectively) and no

215 response (14.8 % and 18.5 %, respectively).

216 Gori, et al. [14] measured L-FMC in the radial artery on two separate occasions with ≥ 24 h

217 between assessments and reported good reproducibility of the measurement with an ICC of

218 0.80. With regards to the brachial artery, Spiro, et al. [13] investigated the within-day (2 h apart)

219 reproducibility of L-FMC and FMD and found no significant differences in healthy young

220 volunteers, concluding that L-FMC can be measured reliably. Furthermore, Bell, et al. [18]

221 reported an ICC of 0.87 for L-FMC between days in their laboratory, however, within a very

222 small sample size (n = 5). These results concur with our findings in the brachial artery of

223 adolescents showing no significant mean differences (i.e. absence of an order effect) in L-

224 FMC, FMD and CVR between-days. A possible explanation for this finding could ~~be seen in~~

225 the different methodological approaches regarding the assessment of reliability. The analysis

226 by Spiro, et al. [13] is limited to a mean difference only and did not take into account ~~the~~

227 within-subject variation. The small sample size in the study by Bell, et al. [18] may also act to

228 inflate the ICC, especially for a heterogeneous sample. From a physiological perspective, a

possible explanation could be the age-related difference in arterial wall features, in particular the previously reported increase in arterial stiffness with advancing age [19,31,32]. Furthermore, the general decrease in endothelial function with age [19,33] might also be considered to explain the difference between adults and adolescents.

The measurement of the actual vessel diameter was robust with a CV of 1.7 % (baseline and peak diameter) and 2.7 % (low-flow diameter), respectively. However, when expressed as a change compared to baseline, L-FMC has inferior reliability compared to FMD and CVR. Due to the vasoconstrictive response on average to low-flow, a loglinear transformation for the calculation of a CV for L-FMC was not possible. However, the absolute TE for L-FMC was almost five times higher than that for FMD (1.74 % vs 0.36 %). Furthermore, the CV of 28.8 % for the CVR suggests larger variation in L-FMC considering that CVR is the sum of the absolute values of L-FMC and FMD, and the CV for FMD was only 5 %. However, the CV of CVR is consistent with previous FMD guidelines which stated that a CV of 20-30 % for FMD is a satisfactory level of repeatability [6]. Finally, there was a very strong correlation between the two FMD measurements (ICC = 0.95) in the current study whereas the correlation for L-FMC between days was weak (ICC = 0.17), resulting in a moderate correlation for CVR (ICC = 0.52). The inferior reproducibility of L-FMC may be due to the small magnitude of change, either positive or negative, in artery diameter during low flow and consequently presents considerable variation in L-FMC. In conclusion, despite excellent repeatability of the measurement of the low-flow diameter, the L-FMC measurement itself has poor reproducibility between-days in adolescents when compared to FMD and CVR. A practical consequence is that larger sample sizes will be needed in order to identify changes in the mean between conditions due to the greater noise caused by the large variation when contrasted to FMD and CVR.

253 Despite previous reports of non-uniform reactivity to low flow in the brachial artery [15,16,34] no

254 study has explored whether the classification into 'vasoconstriction', 'vasodilation' and 'no

255 response' is reliable. Harrison, et al. [16] reported a wide variation for L-FMC in healthy adults

256 and adults with risk factors for coronary artery disease from -5.6 to 5.0 %. They concluded

257 that the individual response to low flow 'cannot be assumed to remain unchanged' [16] but did

258 not discuss this further. We showed that almost 60 % of the adolescent participants presented

259 different responses to low flow and agreement between-days was poor [29]. As a consequence,

260 our data show poor reliability of the categorisation of the low-flow response on a day-to-day

261 basis. This inconsistent classification likely contributed to the poorer reliability of L-FMC

262 compared to FMD.

263 While FMD measures the ability of the endothelium to recruit or stimulate vasomotor

264 function following an increase in shear stress, only the L-FMC can measure the vascular

265 response at rest, i.e. reduced shear stress [12]. The two different measurements have been

266 proposed to complement each other to provide an extensive overview of vasomotor function

267 [12]. We did not find any significant correlation between L-FMC and FMD either on the first or

268 the second visit, which is in agreement with the results of Gori, et al. [14] using the radial artery

269 and in patients with coronary atherosclerosis using the brachial artery [13]. These findings are

270 likely to reflect observations that the measurement of L-FMC alongside FMD enhances

271 prognostic value [12,13] and provides insight into NO-independent mechanisms of endothelial

272 function. In contrast, others who measured L-FMC in the brachial artery reported a

273 significant but weak to moderate correlations between L-FMC and FMD in healthy older

274 adults ($r = 0.41$), those with increased CVD risk ($r = 0.19$) [15] or adults varying in age and

275 coronary artery risk factors ($r = 0.41$) [16]. However, the sample population in the

276 aforementioned studies differed significantly from the participants in the present study in

277 terms of age and health status. Another study has found a~~n~~ significant inverse correlation

12

278 between L-FMC and FMD in which FMD increased with larger L-FMC in healthy adults [13].

279 However, the sample size in that study was ~~relatively~~ small (n = 10) and it appears that the

280 direction of this correlation was caused by two of the participants[WC3].

281

282 **Conclusion**

283 On average, adolescents demonstrate vasoconstriction at the brachial artery during low flow.

284 However, on an individual level adolescents present vasoconstriction, vasodilation or no

285 change and these individual responses are not consistent between days. While the

286 measurement of the vessel diameter in the low-flow condition has high reproducibility, the

287 between-days assessment of L-FMC has poor reproducibility compared to FMD and CVR.

288 No significant correlation was observed between L-FMC and FMD showing~~suggesting~~ the

289 former provides complementary information about vascular endothelial function. However,

290 the poorer reliability of L-FMC compared to FMD and CVR indicates that larger samples

291 sizes will be needed to detect a given effect[WC4], at least in adolescents.

292

293

294 **REFERENCES**

295 1.   WHO WHO. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases. Geneva: WHO

296   Press; 2014.

297 2.   McGill HC, Jr., McMahan CA, Herderick EE, et al. Origin of atherosclerosis in

298   childhood and adolescence. The American journal of clinical nutrition 2000;72:1307s.

299   3.   Vanhoutte PM. Endothelial dysfunction: the first step toward coronary

300   arteriosclerosis. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation

301   Society 2009;73:595.

302   4.   Celermajer DS, Sorensen KE, Gooch VM, et al. Non-invasive detection of endothelial

303   dysfunction in children and adults at risk of atherosclerosis. Lancet (London,

304   England) 1992;340:1111.

305   5.   Sorensen KE, Celermajer DS, Spiegelhalter DJ, et al. Non-invasive measurement of

306   human endothelium dependent arterial responses: accuracy and reproducibility.

307   British heart journal 1995;74:247.

308   6.   Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, et al. Guidelines for the ultrasound

309   assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation of the brachial

310   artery: a report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. Journal of

311   the American College of Cardiology 2002;39:257.

312   7.   Thijssen DH, Black MA, Pyke KE, et al. Assessment of flow-mediated dilation in

313   humans: a methodological and physiological guideline. American journal of

314   physiology Heart and circulatory physiology 2011;300:H2.

315   8.   Green D. Point: Flow-mediated dilation does reflect nitric oxide-mediated endothelial

316   function. Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985) 2005;99:1233.

317   9.   Bond B, Gates PE, Jackman SR, et al. Exercise intensity and the protection from

318   postprandial vascular dysfunction in adolescents. American journal of physiology

319   Heart and circulatory physiology 2015;308:H1443.

320   10.   Bond B, Hind S, Williams CA, et al. The Acute Effect of Exercise Intensity on

321   Vascular Function in Adolescents. Medicine and science in sports and exercise

322   2015;47:2628.

323   11.   Dawson EA, Alkarmi A, Thijssen DH, et al. Low-Flow Mediated Constriction is

324   Endothelium-Dependent Effects of Exercise Training After Radial Artery

325   Catheterization. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions 2012;5:713.

326   12.   Gori T, Grotti S, Dragoni S, et al. Assessment of vascular function: flow-mediated

327   constriction complements the information of flow-mediated dilatation. Heart (British

328   Cardiac Society) 2010;96:141.

329   13.   Spiro JR, Digby JE, Ghimire G, et al. Brachial artery low-flow-mediated constriction

330   is increased early after coronary intervention and reduces during recovery after acute

331   coronary syndrome: characterization of a recently described index of vascular

332   function. European heart journal 2011;32:856.

333   14.   Gori T, Dragoni S, Lisi M, et al. Conduit artery constriction mediated by low flow a

334   novel noninvasive method for the assessment of vascular function. Journal of the

335   American College of Cardiology 2008;51:1953.

336   15.   Aizawa K, Elyas S, Adingupu DD, et al. Reactivity to low-flow as a potential

337   determinant for brachial artery flow-mediated vasodilatation. Physiological reports

338   2016;4.

339   16.   Harrison M, Parkhurst K, Tarumi T, et al. Low flow-mediated constriction:

340   prevalence, impact and physiological determinant. Clinical physiology and functional

341   imaging 2011;31:394.

342   17.   Weissgerber TL, Davies GA, Tschakovsky ME. Low flow-mediated constriction

343   occurs in the radial but not the brachial artery in healthy pregnant and nonpregnant

344   women. Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985) 2010;108:1097.

345   18.   Bell PL, Kelley ET, McCoy SM, et al. Influence of aerobic fitness on vasoreactivity

346   in young men. European journal of applied physiology 2017;117:2075.

347   19.   Thijssen DH, van Bemmel MM, Bullens LM, et al. The impact of baseline diameter

348   on flow-mediated dilation differs in young and older humans. American journal of

349   physiology Heart and circulatory physiology 2008;295:H1594.

350   20.   Bond B, Williams CA, Barker AR. The reliability of a single protocol to determine

351   endothelial, microvascular and autonomic functions in adolescents. Clinical

352   physiology and functional imaging 2016.

353   21.   Barker AR, Williams CA, Jones AM, et al. Establishing maximal oxygen uptake in

354   young people during a ramp cycle test to exhaustion. British journal of sports

355   medicine 2011;45:498.

356   22.   Adegboye AR, Anderssen SA, Froberg K, et al. Recommended aerobic fitness level

357   for metabolic health in children and adolescents: a study of diagnostic accuracy.

358   British journal of sports medicine 2011;45:722.

359   23.   Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, et al. Establishing a standard definition for child

360   overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ (Clinical research ed)

361   2000;320:1240.

362   24.   Morris NM, Udry JR. Validation of a self-administered instrument to assess stage of

363   adolescent development. Journal of youth and adolescence 1980;9:271.

364   25.   Vogel RA, Corretti MC, Plotnick GD. Effect of a single high-fat meal on endothelial

365   function in healthy subjects. The American journal of cardiology 1997;79:350.

366   26.   Mancini GB, Yeoh E, Abbott D, et al. Validation of an automated method for

367   assessing brachial artery endothelial dysfunction. The Canadian journal of cardiology

368   2002;18:259.

369   27.   Phillips LR, Parfitt G, Rowlands AV. Calibration of the GENEA accelerometer for

370   assessment of physical activity intensity in children. Journal of science and medicine

371   in sport / Sports Medicine Australia 2013;16:124.

372   28.   Atkinson G, Batterham AM. Allometric scaling of diameter change in the original

373   flow-mediated dilation protocol. Atherosclerosis 2013;226:425.

374   29.   Fleiss JL. Statistical methods for rates and proportions. New York: Wiley; 1981.

375   30.   Hopkins WG. Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. Sports medicine

376   (Auckland, NZ) 2000;30:1.

377   31.   Tanaka H, Seals DR, Monahan KD, et al. Regular aerobic exercise and the age-related

378   increase in carotid artery intima-media thickness in healthy men. Journal of applied

379   physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985) 2002;92:1458.

380   32.   Miyachi M, Donato AJ, Yamamoto K, et al. Greater age-related reductions in central

381   arterial compliance in resistance-trained men. Hypertension 2003;41:130.

382   33.   Taddei S, Galetta F, Virdis A, et al. Physical activity prevents age-related impairment

383   in nitric oxide availability in elderly athletes. Circulation 2000;101:2896.

384   34.   Rakobowchuk M, Harris E, Taylor A, et al. Heavy and moderate interval exercise

385   training alters low-flow-mediated constriction but does not increase circulating

386   progenitor cells in healthy humans. Experimental physiology 2012;97:375.

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397 **Table 1.** Participant characteristics.

	Participants (n = 27)
Age (years)	14.3 ± 0.6
Body mass (kg)	56.0 ± 11.0
Height (m)	1.64 ± 0.09
BMI (kg·m^{-2})	20.7 ± 2.4
Overweight (n (%))	2 (7%)
$\dot{V}O_{2\,max}$ (mL·min^{-1}·kg^{-1})	41.2 ± 6.7
Low fit (n (%))	10 (37%)

398 BMI, body mass index; $\dot{V}O_{2\,max}$, maximal oxygen uptake. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

399

400

Table 2. Reproducibility of macrovascular measurements.

	Visit 1 Mean ± SD	Visit 2 Mean ± SD	Change in mean	*P* value	Typical error	Typical error as CV (%)	ICC
Baseline diameter (mm)	3.17 ± 0.35	3.18 ± 0.36	0.01	0.61	0.06	1.7	0.98*
Low-flow diameter (mm)	3.15 ± 0.35	3.17 ± 0.37	0.02	0.34	0.09	2.7	0.94*
Peak diameter (mm)	3.44 ± 0.38	3.44 ± 0.40	0.01	0.73	0.06	1.7	0.98*
FMD (%)	8.42 ± 1.51	8.34 ± 1.68	-0.09	0.39	0.36	5.0	0.95*
L-FMC (%)	-0.59 ± 2.22	-0.16 ± 1.50	0.43	0.37	1.74	#	0.17
CVR (%)	9.02 ± 2.75	8.51 ± 2.34	-0.51	0.31	1.80	28.8	0.52*

CV, coefficient of variation; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; L-FMC, low-flow-mediated constriction; CVR, composite vessel reactivity; # Negative values did not allow a loglinear transformation for the calculation of the typical error as CV (%); * significant correlation, $P < 0.01$

Figure 1. Correlation between flow-mediated dilation (FMD) and low-flow-mediated constriction (L-FMC) on visit 1 (●) and visit 2 (○). The lines of best fit are emitted for clarity.