The challenge of habitat modelling for threatened low density species using 1 heterogeneous data: the case of Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean 2 3 Cañadas, A.^{a,b}, Aguilar de Soto, N.^{c,d}, Aissi, M.^e, Arcangeli, A.^f, Azzolin, M.^g, B-Nagy, A.^h, Bearzi, G.ⁱ, Campana, I.^j, Chicote, C.^k, Cotte, C.^{1,m}, Crosti, R.ⁿ, David, L.^t, Di Natale, A.^o, Fortuna, C.^{f,p}, Frantzis, A.^q, Garcia, P.^r, Gazo, M.^k, Gutierrez-Xarxa, R.^s, Holcer, D.^{p,ae}, Laran, 4 5 6 S.^t, Lauriano, G.^{f,u}, Lewis, T.^v, Moulins, A.^w, Mussi, B.^x, Notarbartolo di Sciara, G.^u, Panigada, S.^u, Pastor, X.^y, Politi, E.^u, Pulcini, M.^{f,z}, Raga, J.A.^{aa}, Rendell, L.^{ab}, Rosso, M.^w, 7 8 Tepsich, P.^{ac}, Tomás, J.^{aa}, Tringali, M.^{ad}, Roger, Th.^{af} 9 10 11 Alnilam, Pradillos 29, 28491 Navacerrada, Madrid, Spain, anacanadas@alnilam.info 12 Alnitak, Nalón 16, 28240 Hoyo de Manzanares, Madrid, Spain. 13 BIOECOMAC, University of La Laguna, Canary Islands, Spain. naguilar@ull.es 14 CREEM. University of St. Andrews. St. Andrews. Fife. Scotland. UK. 15 ATUTAX Centre de Biotechnologie de Borj Cedria, BP 901, Hammam-Lif, 2050, Tunisia. 16 mehdi.aissi@gmail.com Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale (ISPRA), via Brancati 48I-00144 Rome, Italy. 17 18 antonella.arcangeli@isprambiente.it 19 Gaia Research Institute Onlus. Corso Moncalieri 68B, 10133 Torino, Italy. tursiope.ve@libero.it 20 NURC (NATO Undersea Research Center). Viale San Bartolomeo 400, 19126 La Spezia, Italy. 21 Dolphin Biology and Conservation, 33084 Cordenons (PN), Italy. giovanni.bearzi@gmail.com ^j Academia Leviatano. Viale dell'Astronomia 19 – 00144 Rome, Italy. <u>ilariacampana@yahoo.it</u> 22 23 ^k SUBMON, Rabassa 49, local 1. 08024 Barcelona, Spain. <u>carlachicote@submon.org</u>, <u>manelgazo@submon.org</u> 24 ¹Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentation et Approches Numériques, Institut Pierre Simon 25 Laplace, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France 26 ^m Sorbonne Universités (UPMC, Univ Paris 06)-CNRS-IRD-MNHN, LOCEAN Laboratory, 4 Place Jussieu, F-27 75005 Paris, France. cedric.cotte@locean-ipsl.upmc.fr 28 ⁿ MATTM, Via Cristoforo Colombo 44, 00154 Rome, Italy. roberto.crosti@isprambiente.it 29 º ICCAT, Corazón de Maria 8, Madrid, Spain. antonio.dinatale@iccat.int 30 ^p Blue World Institute of Marine Research and Conservation (BWI), Kaštel 24, HR-51551 Veli Lošinj, Croatia. 31 fortuna.cm@gmail.com 32 ^q Pelagos Cetacean Research Institute. Terpsichoris 21, 16671 Vouliagmeni, Greece. afrantzis@otenet.gr 33 ^r ANSE. Plaza Pintor José María Párraga, 11, 30002, Murcia, Spain. pedrogm@asociacionanse.org 34 ^s Rescat de Fauna Marina (XRFM) Generalitat de Catalunya. Spain. 35 ^tEcoOcéan Institut, 18 rue des Hospices, 34090 Montpellier, France. ecoocean@wanadoo.fr ^u Tethys Research Institute, Viale G.B. Gadio 2, 20121 Milano, Italy. <u>lauriano@tin.it</u>, <u>panigada@inwind.it</u>, 36 37 elena.politi18@gmail.com, disciara@gmail.com IFAW (International Fund for Animal Welfare). 87-90 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7UD, UK. 38 39 tim.p.lewis@gmail.com 40 ^w CIMA Research Foundation. University Campus, Armando Magliotto, 2. 17100 Savona, Italy. 41 aurelie.moulins@cimafoundation.org, massimiliano.rosso@cimafoundation.org 42 ^x Oceanomare Delphis Onlus. Via G. Marinuzzi 74, 00124 Roma, Italy. barbara@oceanomaredelphis.org ^y Fundación Oceana. Leganitos 47, 6. Madrid, Spain. <u>xavierpastor50@gmail.com</u> 43 ^z CTS – Nature Conservation Department, Via A. Vesalio 6, 00161 Rome, Italy. pulcini.marina@tiscali.it 44 45 ^{aa} Unidad de Zoología Marina, Instituto Cavanilles de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, Parc Científic de la Universitat de València, Aptdo 22085, E-46071-Valencia, Spain. Toni.Raga@uv.es, jesus.tomas@uv.es 46 47 ^{ab} SMRU. Scottish Ocean Institute, University of St Andrews. St Andrews, Fife, KY16 8LB, UK. ler4@st-48 andrews.ac.uk ^{ac} DIBRIS, University of Genoa, Italy. paola.tepsich@cimafoundation.org 49 ^{ad} Ketos, Corso Italia 58 – 95127 Catania, Italy. ketos@hotmail.it 50 ^{ae} Croatian Natural History Museum, Demetrova 1, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. <u>drasko.holcer@hpm.hr</u> 51 ^{af} Decouverte du vivant, 33 impasse du chateau, 34820 ASSAS, France, troger@decouverteduvivant.fr 52 53 54 Corresponding author: Ana Cañadas, anacanadas@alnilam.info 55

56 ABSTRACT

The Mediterranean population of Cuvier's beaked whale (Ziphius cavirostris), a deep-diving 57 cetacean, is genetically distinct from the Atlantic, and subject to a number of conservation 58 59 threats, in particular underwater noise. It is also cryptic at the surface and relatively rare, so obtain robust knowledge on distribution and abundance presents unique challenges. Here we 60 use multiplatform and multiyear survey data to analyse the distribution and abundance of this 61 species across the Mediterranean Sea. We use a novel approach combining heterogeneous 62 63 data gathered with different methods to obtain a single density index for the region. A total of 594,996 km of survey effort and 507 sightings of Cuvier's beaked whales, from 1990 to 2016, 64 were pooled together from 24 different sources. Data were divided into twelve major groups 65 according to platform height, speed and sea state. Both availability bias and effective strip 66 width were calculated from the sightings with available perpendicular distance data. This was 67 extrapolated to the rest of the sightings for each of the twelve groups. Habitat preference 68 models were fitted into a GAM framework using counts of groups as a response variable with 69 the effective searched area as an offset. Depth, coefficient of variation of depth, longitude and 70 marine regions (as defined by the International Hydrographic Organization) were identified as 71 important predictors. Predicted abundance of groups per grid cell were multiplied by mean 72 group size to obtain a prediction of the abundance of animals. A total abundance of 5799 73 (CV=24.0%) animals was estimated for the whole Mediterranean basin. The Alborán Sea, 74 Ligurian Sea, Hellenic Trench, southern Adriatic Sea and eastern Ionian Sea were identified 75 as being the main hot spots in the region. It is important to urge that the relevant stakeholders 76 incorporate this information in the planning and execution of high risk activities in these high-77

risk areas.

79

80 **KEYWORDS:** Cuvier's beaked whales; abundance; distribution; conservation; density

81 surface modelling; correction factor; Mediterranean Sea

82 1. INTRODUCTION

83 The Cuvier's beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*) is the only member of the Ziphiidae family with a regular occurrence in the Mediterranean Sea, inhabiting both the western and eastern 84 basins (Notarbartolo di Sciara 2016; Podestà et al. 2016). Much of the early knowledge of this 85 species in the Mediterranean has come from stranding data (Figure S10 in Supplementary 86 Material). In total 316 animals were found between 1986 and 2003 (Podestà et al. 2006). 87 However, stranding data are potentially subject to severe bias because the location of the 88 89 strandings might be more related to the regional currents and the stranding place might be far away from where the animals actually were, so they cannot be used alone to make strong 90 inferences about at-sea distribution (Peltier et al. 2014). The lack of more quantitative 91 distribution and abundance data has certainly contributed to the current 'Data Deficient' 92 IUCN listing for this species (Cañadas 2006), which means that there was insufficient 93 information available to assess the conservation status, and no Red List Category could be 94 assigned. 95

96 Cuvier's beaked whales seem to be relatively abundant in the eastern Ligurian Sea, off

97 southwestern Crete and in the Alborán Sea, especially over and around canyons (Cañadas and

- Vázquez 2014; D'Amico et al. 2003; Frantzis et al. 2003). They appear to be regular
- 99 inhabitants of the western Ligurian Sea (Azzellino et al. 2008), the Hellenic Trench (Frantzis
- et al. 2003), the southern Adriatic Sea (Holcer et al. 2007) and the eastern section of the
- Alborán Sea (Canadas et al. 2005; Cañadas and Vázquez 2014). They also occur in the central
 Tyrrhenian Sea (Marini et al. 1992) and in Spanish Mediterranean waters (Raga and Pantoja
- Tyrrhenian Sea (Marini et al. 1992) and in Spanish Mediterranean waters (Raga and Pantoja
 2004); M. Castellote, pers. comm.). However, survey effort and the efficiency of stranding
- networks vary greatly across the region, with little or no effort to record sightings or to detect
- strandings in some areas, particularly in the southern and eastern parts of the basin, except for
- 106 Syria and Israel (Aharoni 1944; Gonzalvo and Bearzi 2008; Kerem et al. 2012). In addition,
- 107 they are very difficult to detect reliably because of their long dive times (over 60 min; (Baird
- et al. 2006; Baird et al. 2008; Cañadas and Vázquez 2014; Tyack et al. 2006) and usually
- inconspicuous and brief appearances at the surface (Heyning 1989). As a result, knowledge of the abundance and population trends in this population is severely limited. In the Gulf of
- Genova (eastern Ligurian Sea) mark-recapture analysis (2002-2008) yielded estimates
- between 95 (CV=9%) and 98 (CV=10%) using open population models (Podestà et al. 2016;
- 113 Rosso et al. 2009). In the Alborán Sea, off Southern Spain, spatial modelling of line transect
- 114 data (1992–2009) yielded an abundance estimate of 429 individuals (CV=22%, corrected for
- 115 availability bias; Cañadas and Vázquez 2014).
- 116 This species face multiple threats, of which the most significant are anthropogenic noise,
- fishery interactions and shipping. Firstly, underwater acoustic pollution is recognized as a
- threat for marine fauna, including deep diving species (Cox et al. 2006; Filadelfo et al. 2009).
- Beaked whales appear especially vulnerable, with recorded cases of mortality as a
- 120 consequence of high-intensity noise in areas including the Mediterranean, Canary Islands,
- 121 United States, Bahamas and Japan, (Arbelo et al. 2008; Balcomb III and Claridge 2001;
- 122 Fernández et al. 2012; Frantzis 1998; Podestà et al. 2006). They have also shown behavioural
- responses at sound levels well below those previously thought to affect this group (Cox et al.
- 124 2006; Fernández et al. 2012; Filadelfo et al. 2009; Pirotta et al. 2012; Tyack et al. 2011). The
- numerous cases where mass-strandings of beaked whales followed (and where related to)
- naval exercises (Balcomb III and Claridge 2001; Filadelfo et al. 2009; Frantzis 1998) have
 resulted in these species becoming indicators for the effects of high intensity anthropogenic
- 128 noise.
- 129 Secondly, fishery interactions are a consistent threat to all Mediterranean cetaceans (Reeves 130 and Notarbartolo di Sciara 2006) and this includes Cuvier's backed wholes. Fourteen were
- and Notarbartolo di Sciara 2006), and this includes Cuvier's beaked whales. Fourteen were

- reported as having been captured incidentally between 1972 and 1982 (11 in French waters
- and 3 in Spanish waters (Northridge 1984)) and two more in Italian waters in subsequent
- 133 years (Notarbartolo di Sciara 1990). Entanglement in fishing gear and other marine debris
- have also been recorded (Cañadas and Vázquez 2014; Podestà et al. 2016), but actual
- 135 occurrence is unknown.
- 136 Finally, the Mediterranean is one of the busiest shipping regions in the world. Large cetaceans
- 137 are vulnerable to ship strikes and increased sea ambient noise. While there are no data on ship
- strikes on Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean, Carrillo and Ritter (2010) reported
- that 12% of the strandings with signs of ship strikes in the Canary Islands correspond to
- beaked whales. Additionally, shipping increases ambient noise, with the potential to mask the
- 141 ultrasonic echolocation signals of beaked whales and thereby interfere with their sensory
- 142 biology (Aguilar Soto et al. 2006).
- 143 Increasing awareness of numerous and synergistic threats to cetaceans in the Mediterranean
- 144 Sea led, in part, to the creation of ACCOBAMS (Agreement for the Conservation of
- 145 Cetaceans in the Black Sea, Mediterranean Sea and Atlantic contiguous waters), under the
- auspices of the Convention on migratory species. The Fourth meeting of the Scientific
- 147 Committee of ACCOBAMS (Monaco, November 2006) addressed the issue of the impact of
- 148 anthropogenic noise on marine mammals in the Mediterranean, and noted that in the specific
- 149 case of Cuvier's beaked whales, fundamental information on their distribution and habitat use
- 150 in the Mediterranean waters was scarce. The Committee agreed that information on the
- 151 distribution and habitat use of Cuvier's beaked whales in the region should be made available
- to interested parties and stakeholders to prevent the production of high intensity noise in areas
- 153 of high density for this species. Given that appropriate data on distribution and relative (or
- absolute) abundance of Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean were lacking, the
- 155 Committee recommended that a habitat modelling exercise should be attempted for the
- 156 Mediterranean Sea.
- 157 The use of multiplatform and multiyear survey data from multiple sources to estimate the
- distribution and abundance of cetacean species is extremely challenging, but made necessary
- by the paucity of data and large scale objectives of the study. For species which are
- threatened, rare and difficult to detect, whose spatial range encompasses both international
- and waters of multiple nations, pooling together all available information is the only option
 for increasing knowledge. Heterogeneity in factors such as the data collection procedures,
- for increasing knowledge. Heterogeneity in factors such as the data collection procedures,
 height and speed of the platforms, observer experience, and so forth, can easily lead to biased
- results (Jewell et al. 2012). Pooling together large amounts of multiplatform data to yield a
- 165 single result per species has been previously achieved using both line transect data (Jewell et
- al. 2012; Roberts et al. 2016) and presence only data (Kaschner et al. 2006; Ready et al.
- 167 2010). Combining heterogeneous effort related data from both line transect data *and* non-line
- transect data (i.e. with and without perpendicular distances) to obtain a single density index
- 169 has not however been done before to our knowledge. Here we present the results of an effort
- to pool such data on Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean region. We adopted a novel
- approach to combine heterogeneous data into a single habitat preference model. This was
- based on stratification by platform type, extrapolation of perpendicular distance data
- according to such stratification, and the application of correction factors to take into account
- 174 availability bias according to platform type.
- 175

176 **2. METHODS**

177 2.1 Data collection and compilation

- 178 Twenty four institutions contributed data, totalling 594,996 km of survey effort in good to
- moderate visual conditions (sea state of Beaufort 3 or less). This survey effort yielded 507
- 180 sightings of Cuvier's beaked whales with a total of 1,166 individuals, covering a time span
- 181 from 1990 to 2016 (Table S1 in the Supplementary Material; Figure 1). These data are
- 182 divided by time period and platform type in the online supplementary material (Figures S1-6).
- Areas with a low research effort and areas with no research effort were due to lack of fundingand/or lack of permits in some countries.
- 185 It was not possible to constrict the data used to a single platform type (e.g. ships *vs* airplanes,
- 186 large ships *vs* small ships) because none of them cover all the areas, so very large portions
- 187 would remain empty of effort and the purpose of this collaborative and integrating effort
- 188 would be meaningless. However, to minimise the potential bias created by using different
- 189 platforms, a correction factor is fundamental (see point 2.2.2 below).
- 190

191 **2.2 Data organization**

192 2.2.1 Sampling units

- A grid of 7287 cells with a resolution of 0.2° (22.2 km) was built (with an average size of 494 422 h 2^{2} i d 2^{2} h 2^{2} i d 2^{2} h 2^{2} i d 2^{2} h 2^{2
- km^2 , ranging from 403 km^2 in the northern part of the area to 455 km^2 in the South). The size of the grid was chosen as a trade-off between limiting the number of grid cells for
- computational reasons and the resolution of the available covariates. A number of
- geographical and environmental covariates were associated to each grid cell. These were of
- 198 three types: (a) Geographic: latitude and longitude, and Marine Region; (b) Fixed: depth,
- distance from the 200, 1000 and 2000 m isobaths, coefficient of variation of depth, slope,
- 200 contour index ((max depth-min depth)*100/max depth), aspect (orientation of sea floor in
- 360°), factor with classification into three levels: Abyss, Slope and Shelf (Ab-Sl-Sh), factor
- with classification into three levels: Canyon, Escarpment, or None (Cany-Escarp-None),
 distance from the slope area (steep area between the continental shelf and the abyss plains),
- from canyons and escarpments, and from sea mounts; (c) Dynamic: SST_All (mean annual
- sea surface temperature 1990-2015) and SST.SD_All (Inter-annual standard deviation of the
 annual sea surface temperature 1990-2015). The covariate 'Marine Regions' (see Figure S7
- annual sea surface temperature 1990-2015). The covariate 'Marine Regions' (see Figure S'
 in supplementary material), is a subdivision of the Mediterranean basin into smaller areas,
- obtained from the International Hydrographic Organization (IHO 1953). The large Libyan-
- 209 Levantine basin was subdivided into Libyan and Levantine according to the ICES ecoregions
- 210 (ICES 2004). The Hellenic Trench was added as a separate region (IHO 2016). Figure S11
- shows the depth contours in the Mediterranean Sea.
- 212 Search effort was divided into segments fitting grid cells, with the tool *Identity* in ArcGis. In
- this way, each segment of search effort track was assigned to a grid cell, and the covariates
- associated with that grid cell were then associated to that segment, as well as the source (data
- owner), type of survey (aerial, ferry, large research ship or small ship/boat), day and sea state.
- This resulted in a total of 107,393 segments. These segments were aggregated in each grid
- cell according to source and year, totalling 16,554 units of source-year-cell, which constituted
- the sampling units, with total effort (in km), number of sightings, and number of animals associated with unit. The total number of grid cells containing effort was 4449, representing
- associated with unit. The total number of grid cens containing effort was 4449, representing61.0% of the total Mediterranean Sea.
- 5

- 221 No stratification was possible by season or year (nor was the temporal aspect included as a
- covariate) due to the high heterogeneity in coverage and platforms used among seasons and
 among years. Areas with year-round effort, such as the Alborán Sea (Cañadas and Vázquez)
- 224 2014) and Ligurian Sea (Rosso et al. 2011), have sightings of this species in the same areas in
- all seasons, suggesting that major seasonal changes in distribution do not occur, although it
- must be noted that these data pertain only to a sub-section of the study area.

227 2.2.2 Correction for availability

There was considerable heterogeneity in survey platforms (and therefore observer height and
platform speed). Platforms included aerial surveys (fast speed and pre-designed routes),
ferries (high observation point and speeds, usually around 30 km/h), research and whale

- watching ships or boats (speed ranging between 6 and 14 km/h, and observer heights between
 3 and 15 m). Platform speed was either provided directly or measured from the GPS data for
- all segments. While in most cases the approximate height of the observation platform (an
- approximation to the height of the observer's eye) was available, in some cases it was
- assumed based on the characteristics of the vessel.
- 236 Density estimates from line transect surveys are usually subject to availability bias, due to
- animals not always being available for detection (e.g. actually surfacing) while within
- detectable range (Buckland et al. 2004), and perception bias due to observers failing to detect
- animals even though they are available to be detected (Buckland and Elston 1993). For
- beaked whales, both sources of bias are known to be important (Barlow 1999, 2006; Borchers
- et al. 2013; Cañadas and Vázquez 2014). Correcting for perception bias typically requires
- some form of double platform approach, and was not possible here because no such data were
- available. However, we were able to take steps to mitigate the effect of availability bias.
- As no radial or perpendicular distances were available for most datasets, abundance could not 244 be estimated with the distance sampling method (Buckland et al. 2001). However, such 245 distances were available for some of the datasets, allowing the estimation of an availability 246 bias. The availability bias was used as a correction factor to minimize the heterogeneity in 247 platforms and the large spatial differences in coverage by different platform types, which 248 could yield a bias in the density surface modelling. Laake et al. (1997) developed a correction 249 factor, \hat{a} , to correct estimates for availability bias. This factor takes into account the average 250 duration of the availability (animals present at surface) and unavailability (animals 251 underwater) and the time an animal is within a detectable range. The detectable range was 252 estimated by dividing the maximum forward distance at which animals are expected to be 253 detected by the platform's speed. The average duration of availability and unavailability was 254 estimated using data on focal follows of Cuvier's beaked whales collected during surveys in 255 the Alborán Sea in 2008 and 2009 (Cañadas and Vázquez 2014). For the datasets with 256 available radial distances, these were used to estimate the forward distances for the sightings. 257 Subsequently the particular correction factor for availability bias for a range of platform 258 259 speeds for those datasets were estimated, using a cut-off point of 80% of the forward distances to avoid outliers (Cañadas and Vázquez 2014). The range of speeds used was 260 between 1 and 50 km/h (depending on the range of each platform, and at intervals of 0.1 261 km/h) and 185 km/h for aircraft. For other datasets without radial distance, the correction 262 factors of the platforms with similar attributes of type and height were assigned. Given that 263 the potential maximum radial distance of detection depends largely on the height of the 264 observation platform (as proxy to height of observer eye), data were divided into twelve major 265 groups according to the platform height, speed and sea state following Cañadas and Vázquez 266
- 267 (2014)(Table 1).
- 268 2.2.3 Correction for effective searched area

- A similar procedure was used to estimate an effective strip width (*esw*) which was associated
- with all segments of effort. Using the known perpendicular distances where available, specific
- detection functions were created for all the platform groups. The particular *esw* for each
- 272 platform type was estimated from their detection function and used for all platforms in that 273 group. An effective search area was calculated for each segment (included in the models as
- 273 group. An effective search area was calculated for each segment (included in the models as 274 offset), as L^{*2*esw} where L is the length of the segment (in kilometres). The mean speed for
- all segments of a particular platform and year was used to obtain a mean \hat{a} and *esw* for each
- platform/year. Finally, the calculated effective search area for each segment was multiplied by
- the appropriate mean \hat{a} to obtain the effective search area corrected for availability bias. This
- was then used as the final offset in the spatial models (Table 1).
- 279 We assumed that for similar platform type, height and speed, and similar sea state conditions,
- the mean availability bias and mean *esw* were similar. Other factors that might affect
- estimates of availability bias and *esw* include observer experience, the number of observers
- and searching protocols. However, as these could not rigorously be corrected for these factors,
- we assumed that the main sources of variability associated with platform height and speed
- 284 were taken into account.
- 285

286 2.3 Data analysis

287 2.3.1 Spatial models and abundance estimate

The response variable used to formulate the spatial models of abundance of groups was the count of groups (N) in each sampling unit (Hedley et al. 1999). The abundance of groups was

290 modelled using a Generalized Additive Model (GAM) with a logarithmic link function.

291 Overdispersion was tested in models with a Poisson distribution using the Poisson Pearson

residuals (Σ residuals²/(N-p) where N is the sample size of effort and p is the number of

parameters of the model). The results was 7.3, way above the acceptable limit of 1.5 for a

294 Poisson distribution. Therefore, a Tweedie error distribution was used, with a parameter p of

- 1.1, very close to a Poisson distribution but with some over-dispersion.
- 296 The general structure of the model was:

297
$$\hat{N}_i = \exp\left[\ln(a_i) + \theta_0 + \sum_k f_k(z_{ik})\right]$$
(2)

where the offset a_i is the search area for the i^{th} sampling unit (corrected for availability bias), θ_0 is the intercept, f_k are smoothed functions of the explanatory covariates, and z_{ik} is the value of the k^{th} explanatory covariate in the i^{th} segment.

301 Models were fitted using package 'mgcv' version 1.7-22 for R (Wood 2011). Model selection

302 was done manually using three diagnostic indicators: (a) the GCV (Generalised Cross

303 Validation score, an approximation to AIC; Wood 2000); (b) the percentage of deviance

304 explained; and (c) the probability that each variable was included in the model by chance (p-

value of the covariate in the model). Only one of the collinear covariates was used in each

iteration of model selection, unless the collinearity was weak and the inclusion of the two

307 covariates improved the model. Table S2 (Supplementary Material) shows the Pearson's product-

308 moment correlation among pairs of all continuous covariates.

The model returned a prediction for the abundance of groups in each grid cell. A model for group size was attempted but there were no significant results, so we assumed there was no

- 311 systematic variation in group size across the study area. Therefore, we multiplied the
- 312 predicted number of groups in each grid cell by the mean group size of the Marine Region to
- 313 which the cell belonged (Figure S7 in Supplementary Material). The point estimate of total
- abundance was then obtained by summing the abundance estimates of all grid cells over the
- study area and plotted as a density surface map in ArcGis 10.0.
- Finally, a non-parametric bootstrap with replacement with 400 iterations was used to generate
- the model coefficient of variation (CV) and 95% confidence intervals for the resulting habitat
- use prediction maps and abundance estimates. To obtain a total CV, the model CV was
- combined with the overall *esw* CV and mean \hat{a} CV through the Delta method (Seber 1982).
- 320

321 **3. RESULTS**

322

All the group size records ranged between 1 and 8 individuals, with only one large group of

- 324 20 animals in the Alborán Sea. Mean group sizes ranged between 1.6 in the Libyan Sea and
- 325 2.5 in the Ionian Sea. Figure S11 (Supplementary Material) shows the detection functions for
- all the combinations for which data were available, to obtain a measure of *esw*.
- 327 A total of 60 models were tried with different combinations of covariates. The best model for
- 328 abundance of groups, according to the diagnostics, included four covariates: depth, coefficient
- of variation of depth, longitude and marine region, with a total deviance explained of 34%
- 330 (Table 2; Figure 2). All the other models either had smaller deviance explained, larger GCV,
- 331 non-significant covariates or edge-effect issues.
- 332 The total abundance estimate obtained through modelling, once the correction factor for the
- effective searched area was applied, was 5799 animals in the whole Mediterranean (4261 when
- excluding the area south of 34.3°N and the Aegean Sea), with a total CV of 24.0%
- 335 (CV_{model} =11.5%; CV_{esw} =14.7%; CV_{a} =15.0%) and a 95% CI of 4807 7254. This would equate
- to an overall density of 0.00223 animals per km² for the whole Mediterranean.
- Figure 2 shows the smoothed functions of the continuous covariates selected in the final model.
- Cuvier's beaked whales show a highest density between 1000 and 1500m. Density declines
- 339 sharply in waters shallower than 1000m. There is also a preference for areas with medium to 340 high variability in bottom depth (CV of depth). However, the areas with highest CV of depth
- are associated with low data density, so have a large prediction uncertainty and results for
- these areas should therefore be interpreted with caution. The smooth term associated with
- ³⁴² longitude has a lower density around 14°E-18°E, including the northern Adriatic, eastern
- Tyrrhenian Sea and southeast of Sicily, and a much less pronounced area of low density
- 345 between 4°E-7°E (Figure 3) between France and Algeria.
- 346 The predicted abundance of Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean (Figure 3) shows
- two areas marked with diagonal lines: the area south of 34.3°N and the Aegean Sea, where
- reliability is low due to the very low effort (Figure 1). Figures S8 and S9 (Supplementary
- Material) show the lower and upper 95% confidence intervals. Figure S10 (Supplementary
- 350 Material) shows the beaked whale sighting and stranding locations overlying this prediction.
- 351

352 4. DISCUSSION

Little or no data were available for large portions of the region, so it is necessarily the case that

the conclusions we draw here regarding distribution and abundance need to be taken with

caution. Therefore, the results presented here ideally need to be validated by a systematic andregion-wide survey of the Mediterranean Sea.

357 *4.1 Habitat preferences*

Cuvier's beaked whales show a clear habitat preference for areas with depths over 1000m, 358 and medium to high variability in bottom depth (CV of depth), which would usually include 359 escarpments, canyons and sea mounts. This coincides with previous descriptions of the 360 habitat of this species in the Mediterranean and the Northeast Atlantic as a predominantly 361 oceanic species often associated with steep slope habitat and a marked preference for 362 submarine canyons and escarpments (D'Amico et al. 2003; Frantzis et al. 20013; MacLeod 363 2005; Podestá et al. 2006; Azzellino et al. 2008). Also in the Eastern Tropical Pacific habitat 364 modelling on this species show a preference for depths over 1000m (Ferguson et al. 2006), as 365 does an habitat-cetacean relationship study in the Gulf of Mexico (Davis et al. 1998), among 366 other studies.. The lower density around 14°E-18°E detected by the smoothed term of 367 Longitud, coincides with shallower areas of the northern Adriatic and the southeast of Sicily. 368 Considering that there is generally good effort coverage in this region it suggests that this is a 369 genuine area of relatively low density. In contrast, there is little effort between France and 370 Algeria (4°E-7°E, less pronounced area of low density), especially in the south, so this 371

- apparent gap in distribution should be treated with caution.
- 373 It is interesting to look at the effect of other covariates explored. The factor "Cany_Escarp",
- with three levels: Canyon, Escarpment or None, explained 7% of the deviance and had a
- positive effect (higher density) for Escarpment and negative for None, with respect to Canyon
- (which was the intercept). Its associated covariate "Dist_c_e" (distance from canyons and
 escarpments) explained 8.3% of the deviance and predicted higher numbers with declining
- distances from canyons and escarpments. The distance from sea mounts (Dist mounts
- explained 9.2% of the deviance, and showed a strong positive effect at the closest distances,
- and a second, smaller peak at long distances. Distance from the slope (Dist_Slope) explained
- 381 6% of the deviance and had a more positive effect at closer distances from the slope area. The
- same happened with "Dist_1000", explaining 9% of the deviance. This information is
- consistent with existing knowledge about habitat use by Cuvier's beaked whales (a preference
- for deep waters and steep floors; e.g. Cañadas and Vazquez 2014; Arcangelli et al. 2016;
 Podestà et al. 2016), suggesting that areas of high bathymetric relief are important for
- 386 Cuvier's beaked whales.
- 387 The main influence of the physical environment over cetacean distribution is most probably
- the aggregation of prey species (Baumgartner 1997; Davis et al. 1998). For beaked whales
- 389 main prey species, cephalopods, sea floor physiography could play an indirect role through
- 390 mechanisms such as topographically induced up-welling of nutrients (Guerra 1992; Rubin
- 1997), increased primary production, and aggregation of zoo-plankton due to the enhanced
- secondary production or convergence of surface waters (Rubin 1994). This would be in total
- accordance with the patterns described above for Cuvier's beaked whales.
- 394 *4.2 High-use areas*
- 395 The best model highlighted six high density areas for beaked whales: Ligurian Sea, Alborán
- 396 Sea, Hellenic Trench, northern Ionian Sea, southern Adriatic Sea and northern Tyrrhenian Sea
- 397 (listed in decreasing order of density). These areas, particularly the first three, are supported by
- a large proportion of the available sightings, giving more confidence that these are genuinely
- high-use areas. All these areas are also well represented in the predicted map of lower 95%
- 400 confidence interval (Figure S8, Supplementary Material). This map is useful to show which
- areas are the minimum hot spots for which we are certain at a 95% level of confidence. Most of
- these areas, with the exception of the Levantine and Libyan basins, have previously been

- reported as high-use areas by Cuvier's beaked whales (Arcangeli et al. 2016; Cañadas and
 Vázquez 2014; Rosso et al. 2009).
- 405 Akkaya Bas et al. (2014) reported sightings of Cuvier's beaked whales in Antalya Bay, Turkey.
- 406 In this area, where a deep canyon and steep escarpment exist, there is also one stranding
- 407 (Podestà et al. 2016). Low to medium model predictions of density in this area, despite poor
- 408 information available for the model, suggests that further research effort may be worthwhile
- 409 here.
- 410 Much less confidence can be accorded to many areas of low predicted density because of
- 411 insufficient effort. These include the south-eastern Mediterranean, the Aegean Sea, the waters
- north of Algeria and the Gulf of Lion. Additional survey effort should be made to assess the
- 413 occurrence of Cuvier's beaked whales in these regions. More generally, predictions in areas of
- 414 little or no effort are useful only in an exploratory region-wide context. This is why results for
- the whole section south of 34.3° N and the Aegean Sea should be considered with caution
- 416 (Figure 3).
- 417 *4.3 Abundance estimate*
- 418 The lack of data on perpendicular distances from the trackline in most datasets meant that our
- 419 estimate of abundance relied heavily on the correction factors applied and the extrapolation of
- 420 the estimated *esw* from the available data according to the characteristics of the platforms.
- 421 However, we still consider it worthwhile to contribute an estimate of the population size of
- 422 Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean, given the concern regarding its conservation. The
- 423 abundance estimate provided here, of approximately 5800 individuals, should be taken with
- 424 caution as it only provides a tentative order-of-magnitude estimate for the population size of
- 425 Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean.
- We were able to explore the reliability of our method by comparing with the only two available 426 427 abundance estimates of Cuvier's beaked whales in the Mediterranean: the Alborán Sea (Cañadas 428 and Vázquez 2014) and the Ligurian Sea (Rosso et al. 2009). When comparing the Alborán Sea, by summing up the grid cells corresponding to the area for which an abundance estimate was 429 provided (Cañadas and Vázquez 2014), results are very similar. The original abundance 430 estimate of Cañadas and Vázquez (2014) was 429 individuals (CV=22%), in both cases taking 431 into account the correction factor for availability bias. For the same area, in the current 432 modelling exercise the estimate was 417 individuals. Similarly, when comparing the area of the 433 Ligurian Sea, by summing up the grid cells corresponding to the area for which an abundance 434 estimate from photo-identification exists (Rosso et al. 2009), the results are comparable. Rosso 435 et al. (2009) calculated the abundance estimate to be 95-98 (SD=9-10) individuals. For the same 436 area, in the current modelling exercise the estimate was 94 individuals. 437
- Additionally, an abundance estimate was attempted with ISPRA-Tethys aerial surveys in the
 Ligurian Sea and Central and South Tyrrhenian Seas from 2009 to 2014, with all seasons
- 40 pooled together. There were only nine sightings of Cuvier's beaked whales. Despite this, a
- 441 detection function could be fitted given the pattern of the distance data for this species with
- 442 good diagnostics of goodness of fit (this abundance estimate should only be considered in the
- framework of this exploration, as sample size was too small). An abundance estimate of 59
- 444 individuals was obtained, which, corrected by the availability bias estimated for this survey
- 445 (0.078; see Table 1), yielded an estimate of 756 animals (CV=56.6%). When comparing the
- area corresponding to this survey using the same methods as for the Alboran Sea and Ligurian
- 447 Sea results are once again similar. In the current modelling exercise the estimate was 755
- individuals for the same area. Of course, the data from the surveys that generated these figures
- 449 were included in the present analysis, so it is not a genuinely independent test, but it does
- indicate that the modelling approach we adopted is comparable to more standard approaches.

- 451 Given that our estimate was obtained through an unorthodox process, a full basin-wide survey
- with line transect data collection is needed to obtain reliable estimates of abundance. Until then,
- the preliminary information provided here could be used as a baseline. This analysis used a
- compilation of 27 years of data, collected from a variety of survey platforms, by observers with
- variable experience, with heterogeneous geographic coverage, under both good and moderate
- 456 sighting conditions. Little or no data were available for large portions of the region. Therefore,
 457 the results presented here ideally need to be validated by a systematic and region-wide survey of
- the results presented here ideally need to be validated by a systematic and region-wide survey of the Mediterranean Sea. Such a line transect survey would also confirm the validity or otherwise
- 459 of the approach used here for analysing multiplatform, multiyear, heterogeneous data covering
- 460 large areas for which no systematic surveys exist

461 *4.4 Strandings and mass strandings*

- 462 A further check of our results can be made by comparing with independent observations of
- stranding events. Making inferences from strandings is problematic because carcasses may end
- 464 up stranding at a point on the coast which is actually distant from where the animal died.
- 465 Regardless, stranding records often compare well with sightings records (Maldini et al. 2005;
- 466 Peltier et al. 2014). Mass strandings can provide more useful information because these events
- 467 concern animals that strand alive or very fresh, potentially close to the area where they suffered
- the stress that made them strand. Most mass stranding events reported by Podestà et al. (2016)
- 469 coincide with, or are very close to areas, where our model predicted higher densities of Cuvier's
- 470 beaked whales (Figure S10 in Supplementary Material).
- 471 The southern portion of the Mediterranean lacks stranding data. This does not, however, mean
- that there are no strandings in that area, but rather that information is unavailable. Numerous
- stranding records, including one mass stranding reported off the coast of Israel (Kerem et al.
- 474 2012; Podestà et al. 2016) suggest that these events may also occur in surrounding areas, but
- 475 remain unreported.
- There have been a few mass strandings in the Balearic region, where the predicted density is not
- 477 particularly high. This corresponds with the fact that there are very few sightings in this region,
- 478 however, most of the surveys have been aerial, and the probability of detecting long divers like
- 479 Cuvier's beaked whales is rather low. Therefore, given the amount of strandings in this area,
- 480 coincident with the presence of some sightings and a medium density prediction, it would be
- advisable to survey this region with a platform that allows for easier detection of deep divers.
- 482 4.5 Implications for conservation and management
- 483 Assuming the abundance estimate is on the correct order of magnitude, our results could
- 484 contribute toward an IUCN Red List assessment and upgrading of the Mediterranean
- subpopulation of Cuvier's beaked whales, currently classified as Data deficient (Cañadas 2006).
- The areas of predicted high density, together with the areas of concentration of atypical mass strandings, constitute aeras of concern for conservation of the Mediterranean Cuvier's beaked whales population (Figures 3 and S10 in Supplementary Material). These maps concur with long-held opinions of the scientific and regulatory community: that there are a number of
- 490 Mediterranean areas where Cuvier's beaked whales are often found and can be considered to be
- 491 at risk of exposure to high intensity anthropogenic noise, such as the Alboran Sea, the Ligurian
- 492 Sea and the Hellenic Trench. The other areas are not risk free, but rather of unknown risk,
 493 where data are required to assess beaked whale presence prior to, and during, human activities
- 494 of potential impact (ACCOBAMS 2010; Kendra 2009). We know of multiple mass strandings
- 495 associated with intense anthropogenic noise production (Frantzis 1998; Podestà et al. 2016), but
- 496 mortality of Cuvier's beaked whales could be much higher considering that the probability of
- finding a carcass of a deep diving species can be as low as 3% (Williams et al. 2011).

- 498 Therefore, it is important to recommend caution in these high-risk areas of the Mediterranean,
- and urge that the relevant bodies incorporate this information in the planning and execution of
- 500 high risk activities, such as naval excercises and seismic surveys.
- 501 Avoiding the production of high levels of noise within the areas with predicted higher density of
- 502 Cuvier's beaked whales identified here (Figure 3) will undoubtedly reduce the risk of exposure
- and consequent mortalities for a significant part of the Mediterranean population of this species.
- 504 Mitigation should include dedicated surveys and monitoring efforts. Additionally, mitigation
- requirements should be incorporated into national regulations and incorporated into the
- planning, consultation and permitting processes whenever the use of high-intensity noise is
- 507 planned in the Mediterranean.
- 508

509 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 510 We are grateful to the ACCOBAMS Secretariat for their support in this work, including a
- small grant for the analysis. We would also like to thank the research group GREC for
- 512 providing their data, to all the volunteers who participated in the data collection for many of
- the participating organizations, and to P. Mackelworth for his early review and edit of the
- 514 manuscript. Finally, we thank the two anonymous reviewers for their useful suggestions that
- 515 greatly improved our manuscript.
- 516

517 **REFERENCES**

- ACCOBAMS, 2010. Guidelines to address the issue of the impact of anthropogenic noise on
 Cetaceans in the ACCOBAMS area, In Resolution 4.17. p. 11.
- 520 Aguilar Soto, N., Johnson, M., Madsen, P.T., Tyack, P.L., Bocconcelli, A., Borsani, F.J.,
- 521 2006. Does intense ship noise disrupt foraging in deep-diving Cuvier's beaked whales
- 522 (*Ziphius cavirostris*)? Marine Mammal Science 22, 690-699.
- Aharoni, I., 1944. Animals hitherto unknown to or little known from Palestine. Bulletin of theZoological Society of Egypt 6, 40-41.
- 525 Akkaya Bas, A., Lagoa, J.C., Atchoi, E., 2014. New records of Cuvier's beaked whales
- (*Ziphius cavirostris*) from the Turkish Levantine Sea. Turkish Journal of Zoology 40, 454 460.
- 528 Arbelo, M., De Quiros, Y.B., Sierra, E., Méndez, M., Godinho, A., Ramírez, G., Caballero,
- 529 M.J., Fernández, A., 2008. Atypical beaked whale mass stranding in Almeria's coasts:
- pathological study. Bioacoustics 17, 293-323.
- 531 Arcangeli, A., Campana, I., Marini, L., MacLeod, C.D., 2016. Long-term presence and habitat
- use of Cuvier's beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*) in the Central Tyrrhenian Sea. Marine
 Ecology 37, 269-282.
- Azzellino, A., Gaspari, S., Airoldi, S., Nani, B., 2008. Habitat use and preferences of
- cetaceans along the continental slope and the adjacent pelagic waters in the western Ligurian
 Sea. Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 55, 296-323.
- Baird, R.W., Webster, D.L., McSweeney, D.J., Ligon, A.D., Schorr, G.S., Barlow, J., 2006.
- 538 Diving behaviour of Cuvier's (*Ziphius cavirostris*) and Blainville's (*Mesoplodon densirostris*)
- beaked whales in Hawai'i. Canadian Journal of Zoology 84, 1120-1128.
- 540 Baird, R.W., Webster, D.L., Schorr, G.S., McSweeney, D.J., Barlow, J., 2008. Diel variation
- 541 in beaked whale diving behavior. Marine Mammal Science 24, 630-642.

- Balcomb III, K., Claridge, D., 2001. A mass stranding of cetaceans caused by naval sonar in
 the Bahamas. Bahamas Journal of Science 8, 2-12.
- 544 Barlow, J., 1999. Trackline detection probability for long-diving whales, In Marine Mammal
- 545 Survey and Assessment Methods. eds G. Gamer, S.C. Amstrup, I.L. Laake, B. EI Manly, L.L.
- 546 McDonald, D.G. Robertson, pp. 209-221. Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- Barlow, J., 2006. Cetacean abundance in Hawaiian waters during summer/fall 2002. Marine
 Mammal Science 22, 446–464.
- 549 Baumgartner, M. F., 1997. The distribution of Risso's dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) with
- respect to the physiography of the northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 13 (4):614-638.
- 552 Borchers, D.L., Zucchini, W., Heide- Jørgensen, M., Cañadas, A., Langrock, R., 2013. Using
- bidden Markov models to deal with availability bias on line transect surveys. Biometrics 69,
 703-713.
- Buckland, S., Elston, D., 1993. Empirical models for the spatial distribution of wildlife.
 Journal of Applied Ecology 30, 478-495.
- 557 Buckland, S.T., Anderson, D.R., Burnham, K.P., Laake, J.L., Borchers, D.L., Thomas, L.,
- 2004. Advanced distance sampling: Estimating abundance of biological populations. OxfordUniversity Press.
- 560 Buckland, S.T., Anderson, D.R., Burnham, K.P., Laake, J.L., Borchers, D.L., Thomas, T.,
- 2001. Introduction to distance sampling: estimating abundance of biological populations.Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 563 Cañadas, A., 2006. Cuvier's beaked whale (Ziphius cavirostris) Mediterranean subpopulation,
- In The status and distribution of Cetaceans in the Black Sea and Mediterranean Sea. eds R.R.
- Reeves, G. Notarbartolo di Sciara, pp. 84-90. IUCN Centre for Mediterranean Cooperation,
- 566 Malaga.
- 567 Canadas, A., Sagarminaga, R., De Stephanis, R., Urquiola, E., Hammond, P.S., 2005. Habitat
- 568 preference modelling as a conservation tool: proposals for marine protected areas for
- cetaceans in southern Spanish waters. Aquatic Conservation-Marine and Freshwater
 Ecosystems 15, 495-521.
- 571 Cañadas, A., Vázquez, J.A., 2014. Conserving Cuvier's beaked whales in the Alboran Sea
- (SW Mediterranean): Identification of high density areas to be avoided by intense man-made
 sound. Biological Conservation 178, 155-162.
- 574 Carrillo, M., Ritter, F., 2010. Increasing numbers of ship strikes in the Canary Islands:
- proposals for immediate action to reduce risk of vessel-whale collisions. Journal of Cetacean
 Research and Management 11, 131-138.
- 577 Cox, T.M., Ragen, T.J., Read, A.J., Vos, E., Baird, R.W., Balcomb, K., Barlow, J., Caldwell,
- J., Cranford, T., Crum, L., D'Amico, A., D'Spain, G., Fernandez, A., Finneran, J., Gentry, R.,
- 579 Gerth, W., Gulland, F., Hildebrand, J., Houser, D., Hullar, T., Jepson, P.D., Ketten, D., Mc
- Leod, C.D., Miller, P., al, e., 2006. Understanding the impacts of anthropogenic sound on
- beaked whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7, 177-187.
- 582 D'Amico, A., Bergamasco, A., Zanasca, P., Carniel, S., Nacini, E., Portunato, N., Teloni, V.,
- 583 Mori, C., Barbanti, R., 2003. Qualitative correlation of marine mammals with physical and
- biological parameters in the Ligurian Sea. IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering 28, 29-43.

- 585 Davis, R. W., Fargion, G. S., May, N., Leming, T. D., Baumgartner, M., Evans, W. E.,
- Hansem, L.J. and Mullin, K. 1998. Physical habitat of cetaceans along the continental slope in
 the northcentral and western Gulf of Mexico. Marine Mammal Science, 14(3), 490-507.
- 588 Ferguson, M. C., Barlow, J., Reilly, S. B., & Gerrodette, T. 2005. Predicting Cuvier's (Ziphius
- *cavirostris*) and Mesoplodon beaked whale population density from habitat characteristics in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 7(3), 287.
- 591 Fernández, A., Sierra, E., Martín, V., Méndez, M., Sacchinni, S., Bernaldo de Quirós, Y.,
- 592 Andrada, M., Rivero, M., Quesada, O., Tejedor, M., 2012. Last "atypical" beaked whales
- 593 mass stranding in the Canary islands (July, 2004). Journal of Marine Science: Research &
- 594 Development 3, 1-3.
- 595 Filadelfo, R., Mintz, J., Michlovich, E., D'Amico, A., Tyack, P.L., Ketten, D.R., 2009.
- 596 Correlating military sonar use with beaked whale mass strandings: what do the historical data 597 show? Aquatic Mammals 35, 435.
- 598 Frantzis, A., 1998. Does acoustic testing strand whales? Nature 392, 29.
- 599 Frantzis, A., Alexiadou, P., Paximadis, G., Politi, E., Gannier, A., Corsini-Foka, M., 2003.
- 600 Current knowledge of the cetacean fauna of the Greek seas. Journal of Cetacean Research and 601 Management 5, 219-232.
- 602 Gonzalvo, J., Bearzi, G., 2008. Action plan for the conservation of cetaceans in Syria, p. 45.
- 603 Guerra, A., 1992. Fauna Iberica. Vol. 1. Mollusca. Cephalopoda. In Fauna Ibérica, vol.1
- 604 (M.A. Ramos et al. eds.). Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales. Consejo Superior de
 605 Investigaciones Científicas. Madrid. 327pp.
- Hedley, S.L., Buckland, S.T., Borchers, D.L., 1999. Spatial modelling from line transect data.
 Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 1, 255-264.
- Heyning, J., 1989. Cuvier's beaked whale *Ziphius cavirostris* G. Cuvier, 1823, In Handbook
 of marine mammals. eds S.H. Ridgway, R.J. Harrison, pp. 289-308. Academic Press, London.
- 610 Holcer, D., Notarbartolo di Sciara, G., Fortuna, C.M., Lazar, B., Onofri, V., 2007. Occurrence
- of Cuvier's beaked whales in the southern Adriatic Sea: evidence of an important
- Mediterranean habitat. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom87, 359-362.
- 614 ICES, 2004. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment, 2004, In
- ICES Coop. Res. Rep. p. 283. Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment, International
 Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Denmark.
- IHO, 1953. Limits of Oceans and Seas, 3rd edition. International Hydrographic Organization,
 Monaco.
- 619 IHO, 2016. IHO-IOC GEBCO Gazetteer of undersea feature names.
- Jewell, R.L., Thomas, L., Harris, C.M., Kaschner, K., Wiff, R.A., Hammond, P.S., Quick,
- N.J., 2012. Global analysis of cetacean line-transect surveys. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 453, 227-240.
- Kaschner, K., Watson, R., Trites, A.W., Pauly, D., 2006. Mapping world-wide distributions of
- marine mammal species using a relative environmental suitability (RES) model. Marine
 Ecology Progress Series 316, 285-310.
- 626 Kendra, R.L., 2009. Marine mammal risk mitigation rules and procedures, In Special
- 627 Publication. p. 27. NATO Undersea Research Centre,.

- Kerem, D., Hadar, N., Goffman, O., Scheinin, A., Kent, R., Boisseau, O., Schattner, U., 2012.
- Update on the Cetacean fauna of the Mediterranean Levantine basin. Open Marine Biology
 Local Content of the Cetacean fauna of the Mediterranean Levantine basin. Open Marine Biology
- 630 Journal 6, 6-27.
- Laake, J.L., Calambokidis, J., Osmek, S.D., Rugh, D.J., 1997. Probability of detecting harbor
- porpoise from aerial surveys: estimating g (0). The Journal of Wildlife Management 61, 63 75.
- MacLeod C.D. 2005. Niche partitioning, distribution and competition in North Atlantic
 beaked whales. PhD Thesis. University of Aberdeen, UK.
- 636 Maldini, D., Mazzuca, L., Atkinson, S., 2005. Odontocete stranding patterns in the main
- Hawaiian islands (1937–2002): How do they compare with live animal surveys? Pacific
 Science 59, 55-67.
- 639 Marini, L., Consiglio, C., Angradi, A.M., Sanna, A., 1992. Four sightings of Ziphiidae
- 640 (Cetacea, Odontoceti) in the Central Tyrrhenian Sea. Hystrix, the Italian Journal of
- 641 Mammalogy 4.
- Northridge, S.P., 1984. World review of interactions between marine mammals and fisheries,
 In FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 251. p. 190. FAO, Rome.
- Notarbartolo di Sciara, G., 1990. A note on the cetaceans incidental catch in the Italian
- driftnet swordfish fishery, 1986-1988, In Report of the International Whaling Commission.pp. 459-460.
- 647 Notarbartolo di Sciara, G., 2016. Marine mammals in the Mediterranean Sea: An overview, In
- Advances in Marine Biology. eds G. Notarbartolo di Sciara, M. Podestà, B.E. Curry, pp. 1-36.
 Academic Press.
- 650 Peltier, H., Jepson, P.D., Dabin, W., Deaville, R., Daniel, P., Van Canneyt, O., Ridoux, V.,
- 651 2014. The contribution of stranding data to monitoring and conservation strategies for
- 652 cetaceans: Developing spatially explicit mortality indicators for common dolphins (*Delphinus*
- *delphis*) in the eastern North-Atlantic. Ecological Indicators 39, 203-214.
- Pirotta, E., Milor, R., Quick, N., Moretti, D., Di Marzio, N., Tyack, P., Boyd, I., Hastie, G.,
- 2012. Vessel noise affects beaked whale behavior: results of a dedicated acoustic response
 study. PLoS ONE 7, e42535.
- Podestà, M., Azzellino, A., Cañadas, A., Frantzis, A., Moulins, A., Rosso, M., Tepsich, P.,
- Lanfredi, C., 2016. Cuvier's Beaked Whale, *Ziphius cavirostris*, distribution and occurrence in
- the Mediterranean Sea: high-use areas and conservation threats, In Advances in Marine
- Biology. eds G. Notarbartolo di Sciara, M. Podestà, B.E. Curry, pp. 103-140. Academic Press.
- Podestà, M., D'Amico, A., Pavan, G., Drougas, A., Komnenou, A., Portunato, N., 2006. A review of Cuvier's beaked whale strandings in the Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Cetacean
- Research and Management 7, 251-261.
- Raga, J.A., Pantoja, J., 2004. Proyecto mediterráneo: zonas de especial interés para la
 conservación de los cetáceos en el Mediterráneo español. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente,
 Madrid.
- Ready, J., Kaschner, K., South, A.B., Eastwood, P.D., Rees, T., Rius, J., Agbayani, E.,
- 668 Kullander, S., Froese, R., 2010. Predicting the distributions of marine organisms at the global 669 scale. Ecological Modelling 221, 467-478.
- 670 Reeves, R.R., Notarbartolo di Sciara, G., 2006. The status and distribution of Cetaceans in the
- ⁶⁷¹ Black Sea and Mediterranean Sea. IUCN Centre for Mediterranean Cooperation, Malaga.

- Roberts, J.J., Best, B.D., Mannocci, L., Fujioka, E., Halpin, P.N., Palka, D.L., Garrison, L.P.,
- Mullin, K.D., Cole, T.V.N., Khan, C.B., McLellan, W.A., Pabst, D.A., Lockhart, G.G., 2016.
- Habitat-based cetacean density models for the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Scientific
- 675 reports 6, 22615.
- Rosso, M., Aurelie, M., Wurtz, M., 2009. Population size and residence patterns of Cuvier's
- 677 beaked whale (Ziphius cavirostris) in the Genova canyon, north-western Mediterranean Sea,
- In 18th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals. Society for Marine
- 679 Mammalogy, Quebec City, Canada.
- 680 Rosso, M., Ballardini, M., Moulins, A., Würtz, M., 2011. Natural markings of Cuvier's
- beaked whale Ziphius cavirostris in the Mediterranean Sea. African Journal of Marine
- 682 Science 33, 45-57.
- Rubín, J.P., 1994. El ictioplacton y el medio marino en los sectores norte y sur del mar de
 Alborán, en junio de, 1992. Informe Técnico del Instituto Español de Oceanografía 146.
- Rubin, J.P., 1997. La influencia de los procesos fisico-quimicos y biológicos en la
- composición y distribución del ictioplacton estival en el mar de Alborán y estrecho de
- 687 Gibraltar. Informe Técnico del Instituto Español de Oceanografía. 24.
- Tyack, P.L., Johnson, M., Soto, N.A., Sturlese, A., Madsen, P.T., 2006. Extreme diving of
 beaked whales. Journal of Experimental Biology 209, 4238-4253.
- 690 Tyack, P.L., Zimmer, W.M.X., Moretti, D., Southall, B.L., Claridge, D.E., Durban, J.W.,
- 691 Clark, C.W., D'Amico, A., DiMarzio, N., Jarvis, S., McCarthy, E., Morrissey, R., Ward, J.,
- Boyd, I.L., 2011. Beaked whales respond to simulated and actual navy sonar. PLoS ONE 6,e17009.
- 694 Williams, R., Gero, S., Bejder, L., Calambokidis, J., Kraus, S.D., Lusseau, D., Read, A.J.,
- Robbins, J., 2011. Underestimating the damage: interpreting cetacean carcass recoveries in
- the context of the Deepwater Horizon/BP incident. Conservation Letters 4, 228-233.
- Wood, S. N. 2000. Modelling and smoothing parameter estimation with multiple quadratic
 penalties. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 62(2),
 413-428.
- Wood, S.N. 2011. Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood
- 701 estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. Journal of the Royal Statistical
- 702 Society (B) 73(1):3-36

Table 1. Mean speed (km/hr), associated mean correction factor for availability bias (\hat{a}) , and 703 estimated esw (km) per group of platform type/height/sea state, total track length (km) total 704 area searched before correction (L^{*2*esw} , km²), and total area searched after correction 705 $(L*2*esw*\hat{a}, km^2)$. Large ships of more than 15m platform height used BigEyes binoculars 706 (usually more than 20x magnification), while large or medium ships of more than 10m 707 platform height did not use BigEyes binoculars. Small ships could either use crow's nest 708 platform (10-12 m height), deck (3-4.5 m) or both/undefined (3-12m). Sea state "0-3" means 709 it was undefined but less than 4 Beaufort. 710

Platform type	Platform height (m)	Sea state	Mean speed	Mean â	Estimated esw	Track length	Search area (not corrected)	Search area (corrected)
Large ship	>15	0-1	10.15	0.8677	2.280	1134	5173	4496
		2-3	10.02	0.7778	1.930	2676	10320	8055
Large or medium ship	>10	0-1	25.92	0.6582	1.410	7497	21141	10376
		2-3	38.26	0.4053	1.440	15296	44051	15048
		0-3	26.08	0.6710	1.460	17176	50153	32046
Small ship	10 - 12	0-1	8.77	0.6715	1.080	30313	65476	43911
	3 - 4.5	0-1	9.12	0.4654	0.480	24440	23462	10602
		0-3	13.05	0.3388	0.350	204190	142933	51076
	3 - 12	0-1	11.71	0.4519	0.980	19240	37711	17100
		2-3	10.31	0.2521	0.250	61391	30696	7688
		0-3	9.67	0.4392	0.780	18478	28862	12807
Aircraft		0-3	185	0.0781	0.615	193168	237597	18622
TOTAL			63.43	0.3016	0.573	594996	697538	231826

712	Table 2. Covariates selected in the model, their estimated degrees of freedom (approximately
713	number of knots in the smoothed function - 1) and their p-value (probability that their inclusion
714	in the model is by chance).

in the model is by chance).

Covariates	Estimated degrees of freedom	P value	
Depth	4.87	<< 0.0001	
Depth CV	4.99	<< 0.0001	
Longitude	8.83	<< 0.0001	
Marine Regions (factor)	Coefficient	P value	
(Intercept – Adriatic Sea)	-3.4714	0.0079	
Aegean Sea	-3.7951	0.0188	
Alborán Sea	-8.3304	0.0033	
Balearic Sea	-9.4726	<< 0.0001	
Hellenic Trench	-1.8803	0.0417	
Ionian Sea	-1.2692	0.0732	
Levantine Basin	-3.4277	0.0822	
Libian Basin	-1.5717	0.1255	
Ligurian Sea	-5.5045	0.0005	
NorthWestern Basin	-8.5522	<< 0.0001	
SouthWestern Basin	-10.9357	<< 0.0001	
Tyrrhenian Sea	-4.5613	0.0014	





Figure 1. Searching effort (track lines) and sightings of beaked whales from 1990 to 2016.



720Figure 2. Smoothed functions of the continuous covariates selected in the final model of721abundance of groups: depth, depth CV and longitude. The ticks on the x axis show the722distribution of the sample data used in the model for each covariate. The dashed lines723represent ± 1 se. The y-axis represents an index of relative density. When the fitted line of the724smooth function is greater than 0, the covariate has a positive effect and vice versa.





Figure 3. Predicted abundance of beaked whales in the whole Mediterranean (the grey scale
 represent the number of animals predicted in each grid cell). Results in striped areas (Aegean

Sea and South-eastern Mediterranean) are not very reliable due to very small sample size.