provided by LSE Research Online



LSE Research Online

Sarita Malik and Clive James Nwonka

Top boy: cultural verisimilitude and the allure of Black criminality for UK public service broadcasting drama

Article (Accepted version) (Refereed)

Original citation:

Malik, Sarita and Nwonka, Clive James (2017) Top boy: cultural verisimilitude and the allure of Black criminality for UK public service broadcasting drama. <u>Journal of British Cinema and Television</u>, 14 (4). pp. 423-444. ISSN 1743-4521

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3366/jbctv.2017.0387

© 2017 Edinburgh University Press

This version available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/id/eprint/91311

Available in LSE Research Online: December 2018

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

This document is the author's final accepted version of the journal article. There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Top Boy: Cultural Verisimilitude and the Allure of Black Criminality for UK Public Service Broadcasting Drama

Sarita Malik and Clive James Nwonka

Abstract:

In the early 2000s, a new form of multicultural television drama began to emerge in the UK, exploring contemporary gang life within Britain's black communities. A notable example of this 'black urban crime' genre is Top Boy, screened by the UK's leading multicultural public service broadcaster, Channel 4, in 2011 and 2013. This article produces an analysis, drawing on sociological and media studies perspectives, and through historicisation and contextualisation, that seeks to understand the fascination of the black urban crime genre for programme-makers, broadcasters and audiences in the contemporary British mediascape. It locates Top Boy at the intersection of complex media relations and modes of production that are themselves intertwined with political, legislative and cultural agendas tied to postmulticulturalist and neoliberal tendencies within public service broadcasting frameworks. The article suggests that black urban crime narratives do not advance understandings of the organisational structure of urban gangs or drug-related crime that are so central to these texts, nor do they offer a progressive contribution to contemporary debates or the representation of black criminality.

Keywords: black; Channel 4; crime drama; multiculturalism; post-multiculturalism; public service broadcasting; urban; youth.

Introduction

The rise of the urban, multicultural television drama in the 2000s represents a critical shift in the media's representations of

Journal of British Cinema and Television 14.4 (2017): 423-444 DOI: 10.3366/jbctv.2017.0387 © Edinburgh University Press

black communities. The trend is exemplified by a recent surge of programmes preoccupied with the notion of a black 'underclass', locked in by criminality, social disadvantage and a localised drugs trade; these are notably situated within a social realist framework. This article offers a critical examination of the rise of the black urban crime narrative in the context of public service broadcasting (PSB) in the United Kingdom and analyses the manifold motivations for its production in contemporary cultural and political contexts. The article considers the political milieu within which the genre has emerged and suggests that the New Labour governments' conceptualisation of both multiculturalism and 'urban' criminality within their neoliberal agenda in the 1990s and early 2000s influenced the particular nuance inscribed in this latest 'authentic' cultural representation of black criminality. We will draw on the example of the two critically acclaimed social realist series, *Top Boy*, screened on Channel 4 in 2011 and 2013. For researchers within and beyond television studies, *Top Boy* presents a powerful example of how traditional mainstream media (including media that is framed through the lens of public service) continues to produce and reaffirm normative cultural meanings around 'race' and criminality in contemporary contexts.

We propose that the mainstream media and state agents play a key role in instituting representations of black criminality and, specifically, the contemporary 'black gang, gun and knife crime' consensus. Along with an analysis of the series' textual features, a fundamental aspect of this discussion is *Top Boy*'s production context: the circumstances in which 'black urban crime drama' is itself conceptualised and produced within a television apparatus that is subject to and constituted by market and cultural influences that, in turn, help to shape the text's final form and meaning. This also involves acknowledging the legislative changes in the 1990s that led to an abatement of ethnic minority production in PSB in the UK, specifically with regard to ideas of (post) multiculturalism and how Channel 4 responded to this. The effects of these various influences are discussed in relation to a rearticulated multicultural social realist dramatic form that is centred on notions of the urban linked to the social constructions of 'blackness', crime and masculinity. We are particularly interested in the cultural dynamics that produce the racialised meanings associated with certain kinds of crime in these salient, current contexts.

Our analysis is divided into three interwoven sections with overlapping concerns that are addressed through historicisation and contextualisation. Firstly, we explore the wider agenda of the Channel 4/neoliberal politics embrace. Second, we consider media culture and

notions of cultural verisimilitude and the ways in which the black urban crime narrative articulates the depoliticisation and utilisation of the concept of Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) in media culture. And third, through an analysis of *Top Boy*, we consider the generic manoeuvres of social realism as a tool through which to represent black criminality to wider publics.

Channel 4, New Labour, and the allure of the black urban crime narrative

UK television's relationship with its ethnic minority population came to fruition with the advent of Channel 4 in 1982, with programming devised specifically for black and ethnic minority audiences emerging as a direct result of the Annan Report's recommendations for the fourth channel to cater for the interests of minority audiences as part of a wider commitment to PSB. The 1981 Broadcasting Act gave the Independent Broadcasting Authority (IBA) responsibility for establishing Channel 4 and decreed several programming obligations that the channel would need to fulfil in order to demonstrate its particular PSB remit. Most notably, they were required to 'ensure that the programmes contain a suitable proportion of matter calculated to appeal to tastes and interests not generally catered for by ITV'. This was of particular importance in the 1980s, considering existing racial tensions within British society, with Lord Scarman's report into the 1981 Brixton riots acting as the genesis for a 'comprehensive, grounded political programme of accommodating cultural minority needs' (Vinen, 2010: 90).

These macro developments emerged at the same time as ideas emanating from sociologists and cultural studies scholars such as Stuart Hall (1981), Paul Gilroy (1987) and John Solomos (1988) who advanced arguments that media representations of black youths were not only complicit in demonising and 'othering' them but that such approaches had significantly impacted upon the discriminatory experiences of black men within the British judicial system. Early attempts to formulate a sociological understanding of media representations of black British youths generally took a critical approach to the practices of institutional power, and Hall et al.'s *Policing the Crisis* (1978) stands out as the seminal text providing an analysis of the British state, authoritarian populism and the turn to market solutions. Hall's work in particular is important for highlighting how the specific cultural contexts (television, film and

print media) in which the construction of hegemony takes place are often heavily racialised through the use of racial stereotyping, which in turn works to create a consensus around the issue of black youths and crime.

The socio-political climate of the early 1980s supported the implementation of certain government initiatives that eventually led to the establishment of Channel 4 in 1982. The challenge to hegemonic narratives of race and blackness was most dramatically expressed in films of the black film and video independent workshop collectives that emerged in the mid-1980s such as the Black Audio Film Collective, Ceddo and Sankofa, with the sustained support of Channel 4 proving favourable for film-makers wishing to use screen media to produce visual counter-narratives to the prevailing hegemony (Mercer 1987). Many of these films were given a platform on the newly established Channel 4 and these investigated the discrimination, social exclusion and stigmas that defined everyday modes of existence for Britain's black communities at the time, and they did so in ways antithetical to British television's previous role as a largely consensus-producing vehicle for racial stereotyping. Notably, documentaries such as Ceddo's The People's Account (1985), which investigated the Broadwater Farm riots from the perspective of the communities involved, revealed 'the antagonistic relationship between the police and the residents and how the media colluded with the police in distorting the real causes of the uprising' (Friedman 1993: 131). Such films were defined by Kobena Mercer (1994: 239) as dialogical, in that they offered a counterhegemonic response to racist stereotypes, engaging with the lived politics of racism and representation in a way that produced a 'critical dialogue'. Many of the issues addressed by early Channel 4 with regard to black television production-the ontology of multiculturalism, the question of television as social practice, and issues of narrative choice and authorship-fed into ideas being explored by scholars and cultural theorists of the time, in particular questions of televisual representation and television's socio-cultural significance as a form of expression (Fiske 1987; Williams 1977).

However, the neoliberal model of PSB that began its ascendancy as a result of the 1990 Broadcasting Act and has deepened over the past two decades comprised an array of changes at the level of commissioning, production and content. As far as Channel 4 was concerned, these changes included a shift from a radical television culture as an outlet for minority viewpoints toward the commissioning of programming targeted at the (assumed) tastes and interests of mainstream British audiences. UK writers who have explored the introduction and

representational consequences of this shift of remit include Brown (2007) and Hobson (2007). The socio-political influences that imbued a redefinition of multicultural representation and the sidelining of black content providers were the consequence of an increasingly competitive marketplace that undermined many of the values of Channel 4's original remit (Malik 2013). This was also part of a broader turn, which had been developing since the start of the millennium, in which serious challenges to multicultural policies and institutional frameworks were being posed (Vertovec 2010). For PSB, this meant a discursive orientation away from those discrimination, inequality and social justice concerns so apparent in early Channel 4 and towards a broad conception of 'individuality', coupled with the arguments of the market state, as licensed by this new politics of post-multiculturalism (Malik 2013). Simultaneously, an emphasis on modernisation and change lay at the heart of the new self-identity advanced by the New Labour governments that were elected in 1997, 2001 and 2005. The scope of this modernisation included a pervasive discourse of inclusivity, particularly aimed at young black people in the aftermath of the 1999 Macpherson Report which followed the racially motivated murder of the black British teenager, Stephen Lawrence, in South London in 1993.

Meanwhile, changes in urban subculture included the popularising of black cultural products, such as UK Garage and Grime music, the commercialisation of 'urban clothing' and the prevalence of young black people in mainstream media. Such a commodification of black subculture served the social inclusion agenda well and was aimed at an emerging black British generation distanced from the racial politics of the 1980s and early 1990s. It marked a new modality of multicultural discourse predicated on an enthusiasm for cultural difference or 'cultural diversity'. Thus 'urban' initially acted as a commercial pseudonym that by the early 2000s was offering the illusion of inclusivity through the 'positive' mainstreaming of black youth subculture. Coinciding with these various developments was an additional social policy consequence that, paradoxically, was useful for the twin agendas of both New Labour and Channel 4 in fulfilling a market agenda while simultaneously carrying out their public-facing responsibilities. This involved a new connotation of 'urban'. A spate of knife and gun crimes among sections of black working-class youths in areas across London in the early 2000s revived issues of black alienation in the public consciousness. 'Black on black' and 'postcode shootings' became interchangeable terms used frequently in popular discourse to describe territorial conflicts between young people from

particular districts of London, contributing to a language in the mass media which developed a self-serving prophecy of black crime. For example, a piece in the *Observer*, 21 September 2003, headed 'Without a Gun, You're Dead', offered a sensationalised account of the influence of 'original Yardies' who are 'being challenged by gangs of British-born black people who have proved themselves to be capable of just as much violence'.

The journalistic appetite for the term 'gangs' and the euphemisms associated with gangs ('young black men' and 'urban'), went on to elicit both interest and fear among British publics towards certain cultural 'others'. The 'gangland Britain thesis' (Hallsworth and Young 2008: 182), based around an apparent rise in 'urban street-based' gangs, has been heavily contested within cultural criminology. The idea of a 'gang epidemic' has been critiqued on the grounds that it reflects tendencies within the media to sensationalise rather than provide evidence of the empirical realities of criminal activity. In addition, within the academic literature there is a strong critique of the ideological basis of 'gang problem' discourse in that it locates certain heavily racialised communities as a 'suitable enemy' (Christie 2001).

Sensationalist headlines such as 'Gun Crime Spreads "Like a Cancer" across Britain' (Observer, 5 October 2003), 'The Ethnic Connection' (Observer, 25 May 2003), 'They'll Shoot Anyone-Even the Police' (Guardian, 25 May 2003) and 'Homegrown Gangs Shoot to Power on Our Violent Streets' (Observer, 26 August 2001) combined to advance a culture of anxiety about young, black working-class men in Britain. Within these official discourses, we can identify a tendency to define urban crime as gang-related, a process by which black criminals become 'Yardie gangs'. As suggested by Van Duyne (2003), both the media and police regularly express concern over aspects of criminality that are yet to be significantly addressed by academic research but are routinely narrated as 'organised crime'. This context has given an added impetus to the alluring rise of the black urban crime narrative, despite the actually relatively fluid organisational structure of such criminal activity. Ethnicised accounts became the basis for a concerted effort by the press to establish the black gangs discourse and further catalysed media interest in black, urban youth criminality. This mode of racialised discourse also neglected analytical readings of crime as an outcome of problematic socio-economic structures or institutional power (Metcalf 2012). Since these crimes appeared to be concentrated within inner-city estates and committed by black people against black people, mainstream media culture combined to pathologise gun crime as emanating solely from within Britain's black community, and to

represent urban violence as intra-racial and thus a problem created by and within black society.

The work of Jewkes (2004) and Best (1995) has analysed the influence of media moral sensationalism on public discourse and opinion, underlining the potential dangers of such coverage in reaffirming cultural stereotypes and stigmas. Within the media, 'urban' became 'a powerful and recognizable "brand" to 'be pinned to alleged ethnic crime' (Hobbs 2006: 428). This new negative inflection of 'urban' (and its various hyphenated forms) reinforced notions of a black 'underclass' laying their own lives to waste, a decontextualised interpretation that consequently strengthened hegemonic narratives of black criminality. As McKenzie (2015: 198) argues, such culturalist discourses suggest that it is black people's 'self-destructive behaviour, through their own practices, tastes, what they wear, how they speak and who they decide to share their beds with that begins to represent a real threat to British values and national life'. Notions of cultural difference have been central to how both the police and mainstream media have defined gang culture and gun and urban crime in general.

A social consequence of such practices was the way in which black communities were deemed to require a particular form of policing. As such, and as has been discussed in other research around the link between black criminal typification and effects on policy (Welch 2007), the black urban crime narrative provided a rationale for policy directions and practical implementation, including initiatives such as racial profiling. Following the 1999 Lawrence Inquiry, the Metropolitan Police established Operation Trident in 2000 with the sole aim of investigating crime within London's black communities. This racialising of crime represented the further neoliberalisation of British social life; it seemed to suggest that the police regarded 'black on black' violence as a separate and quite specific mode of criminality. Thus, in the case of Operation Trident, we see that the state apparatus's response to urban criminality takes on the form of an ideological mode of policing, with mainstream media and state agents playing a key role in instituting the 'black gang, gun and knife crime' consensus.

Cultural verisimilitude, screen media and the black crime discourse

Film and televisual representations form a key role in helping to produce this discursive culture, with media repetition of black gang crime anecdotes producing a particular modality of racialised moral hysteria. The proliferation of the urban crime narrative prompted a number of British film-makers, inspired by the media discourses of the time, to engage with themes of black crime in their productions, and gave rise to a new contemporary subgenre in British film and television: the black (youth-orientated) urban crime drama. Two interrelated theories of genre – generic and cultural verisimilitude – are important when we start to explore how this genre reproduces dominant discourses of black criminality. For Steve Neale (2000), in his development of the concept of verisimilitude in theorising the narrative forms of cinema, film genres consist not only of expectations of the text, but also expectations of audiences. Such expectations (character, narrative, location) are cultivated through regimes of verisimilitude. Neale divides verisimilitude into two (sometimes hybridised) approaches: while generic verisimilitude refers to the established conventions of a genre to which the text must adhere, cultural (or social) verisimilitude pertains to the dominant ideological discourse, in that it adheres to what is believed to be a true reflection of its subject matter. Crucially, as Tzvetan Todorov (1981) has asserted, this does not suggest that cultural verisimilitude represents a fidelity to socio/cultural/political truths, but rather that it corresponds to popular expectations and discourses purporting to be true.

We can locate specifically both the generic and cultural regimes of verisimilitude that helped to produce the black urban crime drama on screen. The highly-acclaimed BBC drama Storm Damage (2000) marked an early demonstration of mainstream broadcasters tapping into gangsterism among Britain's BAME youths. The BBC Films/UKFC production Bullet Boy (2005) was released at the apex of the intensive media focus on gun crime and possesses narrative themes that have previously been defined as anti-national national cinema (Wayne 2002). Described by Philip French in the *Observer*, 10 April 2015, as a film that 'takes an unflinching look at the choices faced by young black men in today's Britain', the production appeared to possess all the hallmarks of a film which, according to Mike Wayne, displays an 'acute attunement to the specific social, political and cultural dynamics within the territory of the nation' (2002: 45). Given the dearth of black British film production and the UKFC's rhetoric of cultural diversity (the new mode of addressing ethnic diversity in a post-multiculturalist landscape), Bullet Boy was a critical triumph within liberal quarters and hailed as a milestone in realistic portrayals of Britain's inner-city 'underclass'. A number of films that purported to deal with issues of black crime and gang subculture in London followed, presented via various themes such as the underground music scene in Life and Lyrics (2006), youth delinquency in *Kidulthood* (2005), the drugs trade in

Rollin' with the Nines (2008), 1 Day (2009) and Shank (2010). This trend was also being replicated in television, with Kudos Film & Television developing West 10 LDN (BBC3, 2008) and Dubplate Drama (Channel 4, 2005–9). While sharing some of the thematic concerns of Bullet Boy, the most successful of these films was Adulthood (2008), which acted as a prototype for this production trend, its contemporary urban milieu and its thematic and narrative conventions demonstrating the genre's considerable commercial potential.

What was clear was that the urban crime narrative had accumulated a deep resonance in the public consciousness and was now a preferred genre for financial investment within the publicly funded cultural sector, often framed through media institutions' strategic purposes around cultural diversity: Adulthood, for example, was one of the top twenty British films of 2008. The sociological interest in this topic for producers and film-makers lay partly in the fact that it indicated a new form of social identity. Both Bullet Boy and Adulthood had the potential to provide an urgent visual encounter with the lived realities of urban crime, offering a counter-hegemonic account of gun crime in the context of wider socio-economic considerations. However, their decontextualised accounts reflected a broader media discourse by rejecting the idea that urban crime is constructed by socio-economic inequality and racial discrimination. Instead, the focus was on how urban youths actively marginalised themselves from wider society in an anti-social 'underclass' deliberately pursuing a subculture of criminality. This, of course, also chimed with the New Labour claim that knife and gun murders in London were not the outcome of a defective socio-economic structure but of a distinctive incubus within black culture. As Tony Blair put it when Prime Minister:

Economic inequality is a factor and we should deal with that, but I don't think it's the thing that is producing the most violent expression of this social alienation ... I think that is to do with the fact that particular youngsters are being brought up in a setting that has no rules, no discipline, no proper framework around them. (Quoted in the *Guardian*, 12 April 2007)

This rhetoric barely conceals the anxiety at the heart of the black youth crime discourse, and Blair's assessment of social alienation as a cultural outcome as opposed to a socio-political process was consistent with both broad public opinion and media representations. In this context, media reactions to urban crime were framed, we want to suggest, not by social inequality concerns but, rather, by concepts of how the black 'underclass' had created immoral communities. The

Sarita Malik and Clive James Nwonka

various expectations, modalities and indexes of urban crime dramas are particularly germane in the case of Channel 4's *Top Boy* because of the explicitly social realist framework through which it operated.

Top Boy and the manoeuvres of social realism

At the peak of gang-related crime in the 2000s, media interest in representations of 'black crime' was perceived as inevitable and mimetic. Indeed, the cultural concern within this discussion is not to dispute the validity of mainstream media representations of criminality among young black men. Rather, it is with how mainstream media discourses, framings and constructions of 'race' often work in relation to politically expedient circumstances. Moreover, it is with the specific allure of television drama as a method of social engagement in relation to ideas of 'black urban crime'. For Ellis, television 'acts as our forum for interpretations of the world' (1999: 69), therefore television drama possesses certain epistemological properties and, within a PBS paradigm, provides a common frame of reference for the construction of identities. Bignell et al. suggest that 'at its best, television drama has provided not only a window to the world but also a critical interrogation of it' (2000: 1). This is important because, when situating television drama within didactic contexts, socio-political epistemologies can emerge to counter hegemonic narratives located within the national sphere.

However, this only partially describes the issue, since practitioners are also influenced by, and operate within, wider media structures and political discourses. With regard to the black urban crime narrative, we can start to map the credentials of the genre in the 2000s in a way that also relates to notions of dramatic licence and realism. The term 'urban', for example, possesses an articulation beyond ethnicity and social position, and this is the idea of crossover. While the term 'black' had once 'functioned as a political category to unify people of colour in the face of white racism' (Wayne 2002: 122), with the evacuation of political enquiry post-multiculturalist television in this guise could be assimilated, categorised, instrumentalised and commercialised. Thus in terms of audience crossover, the black British urban drama genre functioned, in part, as a welcome (for some) departure from the polarised production culture of the 1980s, which had interrogated the social, political and economic marginalities of black Britain (for example, on early Channel 4). The new modality of black drama can therefore be understood not just in relation to a later, post-multiculturalist Channel 4, but also in relation to questions

of class, audience and market share, providing a narrative solution congruent with the way in which the middle classes and the liberal broadsheet press were able to imagine and pathologise black urban youths in relation to narratives of crime.

Channel 4's Top Boy exemplifies much of what is being described here, because it dramatises the hegemonic cultural idiolects which mainstream media advocate as being intrinsic to black working-class life. This form of representation can be considered in relation to a desire for a new kind of multicultural drama in pulling together many of the issues central to popular discourses in urban culture: deprived social housing, the temptations of violence, gangs and drugs, singleparent families, neglected/misguided children and misplaced loyalties. The catalyst for the development of *Top Boy* came about after Ronan Bennett, the series creator and screenwriter, observed a twelve-yearold orchestrating a drugs transaction in a supermarket car park in Hackney. The idea was later developed with Charles Steel and Alasdair Flind of Cowboy Films, under the supervision of Channel 4 producers Camilla Campbell and Robert Wulff-Cochrane. In a one-off open call, the casting team specifically sought BAME individuals between the ages of thirteen and sixteen, with previous acting experience not required. This form of 'street casting' was married with workshop techniques with a young ensemble cast: a vital strategy for achieving cultural impact among young BAME viewers by rendering 'credible' their lifestyles, behavioural characteristics and vernacular and for producing a representation of 'authenticity' on screen. As observed by Nosheen Iqbal in the *Guardian*, 9 August 2013:

Much was made of *Top Boy*'s tower-block authenticity, but timing, too, had its part to play; the first series arrived, serendipitously, soon after the 2011 London riots, and gave an honest account of inner-city life for young people with no jobs, no prospects and no power beyond their own postcode. It was neither patronising or try-hard – the usual twin criticisms of self-defining gritty urban dramas – and it cast kids from the estates over professional actors, which helped to give the show its bleak realism.

This commentary goes beyond the cultural verisimilitude we have been discussing. Here, interplay is established between the intentions of the critic, the text in question and the beliefs held by its readership. Iqbal attempts to locate *Top Boy* on a sociological terrain by summarising the content, hegemonic assumptions and narrative themes specific to the drama as part of a broader gambit of stimulating audience expectation through a system of generic images, subjecting *Top Boy* to an array of labels, terms and euphemisms in order to establish a

'generic corpus' (Neale 2000) that defines urban drama as a spectacle. Yet such generic language has the power to reinforce, rather than ameliorate, black youth crime-related stigma. By making a correlation between the 2011 London riots and Top Boy's social realism, terms such as 'inner-city life' reinforce dominant characterisations about the nature of 'blackness' and, indeed, its pervasive associations with 'gang culture'. As pointed out by Reading the Riots (Guardian/LSE 2011), which examines the causes and consequences of the 2011 London riots, the role of gangs in the riots was, in fact, minimal. It is evident, then, that Iqbal legitimises, through her emphasis on *Top Boy*'s 'honest account', the hegemonic assumptions about both the cause of and participants in urban criminality. According to the liberal press, the non-professional cast was perfectly suited to the roles; not only did they reproduce the generic images held in the public consciousness and were able to provide an authentic vernacular, but the actor's real-life backstory (Ashley Walters, the lead actor, had served a prison sentence for possession of a firearm) re-emphasises the generic verisimilitude of the drama.

The journalistic reviews thus promise authenticity and realism, and the actual representational strategy employed by Top Boy is related to critical questions of realism and generic/cultural verisimilitude. However, in order for the drama to be categorised as realist, it must contain certain generically verisimilar textual features. The production relied on the film-makers shooting on location with non-professional actors in order to emphasise the authenticity of Hackney's social milieu. However, culturally verisimilitudinous elements cannot be achieved without audience awareness and prior knowledge. Therefore the correspondence between the authenticity of the drama and public expectations have to be reinforced, as evidenced by Channel 4's press release. Here, sensationalist description provides a fundamental element in establishing the drama's narrative image, and 'an honest and gripping rendition of inner-city drug and gang culture' (Channel 4, 2011) anchors Top Boy in the generic imagery suited to its narrative intentions. This marketing approach allowed the drama to establish the generic corpus in a manner designed to attract its intended audience. To enhance the verisimilitude of the text, Bennett, who had lived in Hackney for more than 25 years, employed an ethnographic development technique for *Top Boy*, immersing himself for two years in the local drugs trade and maximising the use of testimony from drug dealers, schoolchildren, social workers and local residents in order to insert a particular authenticity into its narrative concerns

and dialogue. In explaining the distillation of these interviews into dramatic storytelling, Bennett stated in an article in the *Observer*, 9 October 2011:

I do what dramatists do-throw it all into the mix, stir it around and wait to see what characters and storylines emerge. There's not room for everything, and certain of my own preoccupations will always come to the fore: the struggles of disadvantaged kids; the absent father; the man who helps without being pious.

Here, the recurrent discourse on factuality and generic verisimilitude in relation to a purportedly realist black drama invokes a voyeuristic anticipation, feeding into what Jauss (1982: 79) has termed the 'horizon of expectation', a unity between the drama's content and an audience already familiar with both its generic corpus and associated media discourses. Here again, authenticity is guaranteed by the anthropological work of the practitioner. The feature reports on the extent of the ethnography required for the drama's development: a classic 'research agenda' is advanced not only to ensure its cultural verisimilitude but also to respond to the perpetual questions of fidelity of representation that arise, particularly in the context of ethnic minority representation in PSB. Ahead of its filming, the production drew criticism as a result of its sensationalised narrative, with the shooting location moving to Brixton when filming permission was refused by Hackney Council for the first series in 2011, with Hackney's Mayor quoted in the Hackney Citizen, 23 September 2011, to the effect that 'it was not fair on residents to run the risk of having their neighbourhood stigmatised on national television as riddled with drugs and gangs ... What possible justification could the Council give for being complicit in such negative stereotyping?'

Although this controversy over the drama created temporary logistical problems for its production, it generated a specific public/media interest in and expectations about the drama. In his analysis of film industry promotional practices, Ellis (1981) identifies the construction of a 'narrative image' that emerges from a combination of film industry publicity material and the discourses of mainstream media that have become internalised within the fabric of public consciousness. Both cultural and generic verisimilitude perform a defining role in producing the realist expectations evident in the publicity for the drama. We can look, for example, at the visual aesthetic of *Top Boy*'s promotional poster. Against the backdrop of twin high-rise tower blocks, the three principle characters stand, in a parody of an Olympic podium, on a damaged BMW, a dustbin, and a low-rise

brick wall surrounded by rubbish bins. The hierarchy established by the varying positions of its characters visually foretells the antagonistic relationship that materialises in the series. The promotional materials promise portrayals of alienated black youths, authentic locations, contemporary street apparel and urban settings. Further, the copy teases audiences with 'an incredible 4 nights of drama' suggesting not simply a new drama on the Channel, but a media event. Such an array of signifying practices combines to produce a strong idea of Top Boy's visual, aural and narrative authenticity. In addition, youth advertising agency Livity effectively maximised the use of social media as a form of promotion and engagement targeted at what Channel 4 identified as 'hard to reach audiences'. Top Boy achieved over one million On Demand views online and 123,000 tweets during the week in which it was broadcast, becoming the most tweeted Channel 4 programme since the Channel began analysing social media interaction. Further, there were over 23,000 plays of the drama's soundtrack. At the impact level, as well as its one million-plus viewership, positive reviews and requests by schools across London for educational film screenings, the series also achieved critical acclaim, winning a Broadcast Award for Best Drama Series.

These frames of production and interpretation are important in how we understand the linkages between crime, media and culture. Considering the effect of ideological 'codes' on televisual communication, Hall states 'they refer signs to the "maps of meaning" into which any culture is classified; and those "maps of social reality" have the whole range of social meanings, practices and usages, power and interest "written in" to them' (1980: 57). How do these codes produce meanings of the social groups represented in *Top Boy?* How is 'crime' understood in these community contexts and what does this tell us about changing discourses of multiculturalism? The drama itself centres on 26-year-old drug dealer and gang leader Dushane (Ashley Walters) and his ambition to rise to the apex of the East London drugs business, assisted by his friend Sully (Kane Robinson). The linear, cause-and-effect narrative takes the spectator through a number of dramatic set pieces-primarily, the violent acts committed by Dushane and Sully in their efforts to monopolise East London's drugs trade. The emotional heart of the drama lies with Ra'Nell (Malcolm Kamulete), a thirteen-year-old fending for himself in the absence of his mentally ill mother Lisa (Sharon Duncan Brewster). Ra'Nell's best friend Gem (Giacomo Mancini) is enticed into Dushane's drugs cartel while pregnant Heather (Kierston Wareing) enrolls Ra'Nell into her cannabis-growing scheme to raise the deposit that will help her to move off the estate. Tellingly, in a panel of local youths assembled by the *Observer* to critique the show, Heather emerged as 'the most virulently disliked by our panel. Not because she is white, maybe because she is middle-class, but definitely because she wants to get out', as the paper reported on 30 October 2011. As the relationship between her and Ra'Nell develops, we get the sense that Heather possesses a very basic desire, a dream of a middle-class home-life that contrasts with the urban 'ghetto' environment that so appals her. By setting up this basic aspirational contrast, the drama achieves a binary sympathy for the young of Hackney and hostility towards Dushane and Sully, who desire only profit from and dominance over others, and at any cost.

These storylines are condensed in interwoven narrative structures that attempt to demonstrate how the drugs trade (in a localised context) impacts the lives of the residents on the fictional Summerhouse Estate. This is also why *Top Boy* is an ensemble drama, with many of its characters of equal narrative significance. Visually, the directors Yann Demange (series 1) and Jonathan van Tulleken (series 2) attempt a social realist treatment of the milieu of disenfranchised black British youths. Both directors display a keen eye for detail and careful composition and there is a definite realist approach to the way they frame Hackney: sequence shooting and deep-focus cinematography, with a fidelity to real-time. The natural lighting and the hand-held camera and *cinéma vérité* style employed to generate a feeling of spontaneity are all used to marry cast and location together and give the impression that the fictional situations emerge spontaneously from the real social context.

The opening images of *Top Boy*'s first episode consist of establishing shots of East London tower blocks, hand-held images of black youths loitering against a car, our protagonists supervising a drugs deal while members of their crew are robbed at gunpoint by a rival all-black drugs gang to the soundtrack of urban music. This is observed from afar by an isolated and impressionable Ra'Nell. This sequence, which all takes place within three minutes, offers an indication of the representational strategy central to Top Boy. The geographical specificity of the show (a young boy is sent by Dushane to spy on a gang in London Fields) invites a literal representation of Hackney modelled on working-class housing estates. Top Boy frames crime as a means of social mobility and greed is central to the text, as indicated in the series' title. In this way, Top Boy is about capitalism, the violent accumulation of wealth and its tragic consequences. Tellingly, one of the duo's first acts after being given control of the Summerhouse Estate by drugs lord Bobby (Geoff Bell) is to sever the fingers of a rival criminal with a wire cutter,

followed by a series of violent reprisal attacks on various members of Hackney's underworld. Successively, Dushane and Sully set alight a relative of a rival and shoot dead Bobby's right-hand man Lee (Cirus Desir). Here, Dushane and Sully are crafted as anti-heroes, modern gangsters, and the drama has begun its critical turn away from social analysis and into a culturally verisimilitudinous urban spectacle.

As an unsurprising consequence, there is little interaction between Bennett's characters and wider social authorities such as schools, and a striking limit to the social realist ideals in Top Boy is its exclusion of state institutions. In Top Boy's second series, broadcast in four one-hour shows in November 2013, there is a concerted attempt by Bennett to expand both the thematic concerns and the nuances of Hackney's criminal world. The most obvious change is the appearance of state authority, with the police featuring more prominently as an antagonistic institution, and several instances of stop-and-search appear to suggest that the writer is tapping into high-profile issues in the public domain, with a greater willingness to display a more realistic relationship between the characters and the state apparatus. However, the presentation of the police in Top Boy does not offer a convincing critique of institutional racism towards young black males. Rather, there are small asides, such as the casual comment by Dusane to the effect that his detainment by police on a murder enquiry was 'a harassment ting'. In such a fashion, Top Boy embodies its evasion of actual social criticism. This casual narrative injection entails no real attempt to analyse the 'real' relationship between black youths and the criminal justice system, and the series does not engage critically with actual conflicts between the Metropolitan Police and the BAME

The increase in non-black characters offers a visual sense of an integrated Hackney. While this may be an attempt to interrupt hegemonic televisual representations of urban crime as a black idiolect, one of the virtues of the show is that the characters are not easily homogenised. Furthermore, while stereotypical instances of single-parent families are frequent in series 1, fatherhood becomes a continuing narrative thread in this second outing. The paternal instincts that both Dris (Shone Romulus) and Sully show to their children create characters who are more three-dimensional and demonstrate that the relationship between the elders and youngsters on the estate is not determined solely by the latters' practical use as drugs runners. However, Stuart Hall problematised what can be identified as the 'positive/negative strategy' at work here, suggesting that even the presence of positive images amid the 'largely negative

repertoire of the dominant regime of representation ... does not necessarily displace the negative. Since the binaries remain in place, meaning continues to be framed by them' (2001: 274). In other words, such narrative strategies do not recast being a young black male as a positive identity with the same dramatic focus as the negative identity. Rather, this reframing focuses on the entirely different identity of fatherhood. This can be illustrated by a simple minor subplot. In the third episode of the second series, Sully sits down a famished Jason over a pizza while inspecting the poor condition of the child's teeth. As he puts it: 'My mum, all she cared about was fucking junk. Doesn't exactly set you up for life does it, having a mum like that. You have to overcome all of that, trust me Jase ... you have to make something of this life even amongst all of this shit!' In this exchange, Sully is both educating Jason and berating 'broken Britain'.

Lisa McKenzie (2015: 100) has discussed the absence of context within media representations of Britain's working classes, arguing that such strategies chime with the discourse of 'the underclass and their lack of common societal values and morality, and their wilful selfdestruction and self-destructive behaviour'. Top Boy's socio-political message, which is delivered via the sentimental emphasis on Jason's emotional and physical suffering, is supported by a sense that none of the problems depicted in the series-economic inequality, crime, drugs, the youth, poverty and hunger-have socio-political causes. This subplot demonstrates how Bennett has subtracted the sociopolitical influences from the decisions made by his newly created white working-class characters: Jason's family's obvious problems are disconnected from the very social policy Bennett is proposing to critique. Thus, as in the first series, Top Boy is not anchored in any tangible socio-political realism but requires that the audience adopt a moral position based on the understanding of the characters' behaviour and motivation. As a result, we uncover through a series of clichés a narrative conflict between the cinematic realism of van Tulleken's visualisation of Hackney and the inauthenticity of Bennett's dramaturgy. Thus the problems are self-inflicted: this is the sole conclusion that can be drawn when the environment's relationship with the broader social context is extracted. At the very least, *Top Boy* offers no socio-political position from which to view either Dushane or his young disciples, and instead performs a moral critique of an immoral social landscape.

The liberalism of the broadsheet press provides a telling framework for understanding these representations. In the *Observer*, 30 October 2011, the first series was praised for 'giving daring and

ground-breaking work a platform to shed light on pressing issues from an informed perspective'. The reluctance of cultural commentators thoroughly to interrogate BAME representations remains a key issue in cultural commentary, in which aesthetic and critical judgements typically become muddled. In short, *Top Boy* is constructed by these hegemonic approaches to the representation of race and class. Such approaches, as we have argued in this article, show an inability to weave any social commentary imperative into an effective narrative strategy. This, in turn, produces a text that is unable to provide a form of representation that distinguishes between, on the one hand, urban crime as a matter of individual failings, and, on the other, as a sociopolitical consequence of factors beyond individual control.

Of course, *Top Boy* does and should show that oppressed groups possess moral failings like anyone else, but this does not override the stereotypical black identities with which it operates. For *Top Boy*, the representational schemas do not reside outside mainstream discourse but can be seen as being embedded in the very discourses they claim to challenge. Therefore the identity conferred by such representational strategies colludes with dominant trends of media representations that affirm liberal, middle-class anxieties that produce both fascination and fear. The critical responses in the liberal press to the series demonstrate how this form of decontextualised representation can easily be appropriated by reactionary discourses that subsequently serve to fuel myths: in this case, Hackney as a remote but dangerous place where 'black' and 'crime' appear as almost synonymous.

Furthermore, as noted earlier, the very concept of PSB has changed in a way that has affected Channel 4 and how it interprets its remit, not least with regard to multiculturalism, which itself has undergone changes in the wider society. This industrial context is therefore critical to the analysis of Top Boy and reaffirms that such dramas are never organic but are determined by a range of interwoven political, economic, industrial and cultural forces at the time of their production. Public service broadcasters, it is suggested, have assumed that culturally verisimilitudinous elements (rather than social realist ones) guarantee audience appeal and expectations. Therefore, the commercialisation of Channel 4 and a broader post-multicultural agenda have performed a gradual but no less decisive role in disarticulating the socio-political narratives that have arisen within the recent context of PSB. In other words, post-multiculturalism has also combined with neoliberalism at an economic level-shifting away from economic discourses of inequality and social division towards culturally based discourses around inclusion. This in turn has resulted

in the dwindling of more authentic televisual practices on Channel 4 and instead has provided a visual hotline to the social anxieties and grievances of the present day.

Conclusion

The major concern of this article has been to consider, through an analysis of Top Boy, the rise and allure of the black urban crime narrative, best understood against the vexed backdrops of postmulticulturalism and PSB. The critical responses to Top Boy in the liberal press highlight the complicit cultural relationship between different aspects of the media in constructing ideas of criminality through understandings of racial difference. The article has explored how such narratives come to be internalised and reified by creative producers and public service broadcasters in order to uphold the liberal and 'realistic' credentials of the black urban crime drama on television and, in turn, to reframe wider public understandings of black masculinity. Various overlapping circumstances have helped to produce a genre that is strongly dependent on notions of authenticity and realism but which actually lacks a rigorous positioning of the lived contexts in which criminal activity has taken place. Top Boy exemplifies a post-multiculturalist shift away from addressing issues of inequality and towards a suitably packaged commodification of urban culture couched in the language of diversity and authenticity and articulated through the tools of social realism. The conception, production and reception of Top Boy all converge around these different cultural, industrial and commercial currents.

We conclude by outlining how *Top Boy* highlights three critical imperatives in relation to the black urban crime genre. Firstly, the genre is both temporal and cyclical: its presence is aligned with broader media narratives and a complex combination of cultural, political and industrial conventions. Second, the genre cannot be seen simply as the product of any single author's vision, but as having been brought into being through a number of cultural dynamics. Third, the black urban crime drama should not be conflated with socio-political drama. While we have argued that the dramatisation of the media discourse of black criminality and its hybrid verisimilitude produce an allure for the spectator, it might also be contended that *Top Boy*'s critical success is the result of the drama's rejection of a definite political position (and thus embodying a perfectly post-multiculturalist cultural product). As Galen Wilson (2014: 71) notes in his discussion of HBO's *The Wire*: 'Realism, if uncritical, can become poverty porn

at best, another means of controlling the underclass at worst; in both cases realism's revolutionary potential will be safely contained by the system.'

For all the series' generic verisimilitude, the absence of socioeconomic realities produces a text easily consumable by an audience's fascination with the 'other'. The crossover imperative that has characterised the genre compromises any socio-political imperatives that the practitioner may wish to communicate, therefore challenging our understandings of social realism. Instead, they emerge through modes of realism that reduce the characters to peculiar objects for the benefit of middle-class, liberal observers. In this respect, Top Boy is archetypal UK urban drama in every way that we now conceive of the genre, indicative of a further incremental step away from 'black' as a political term to, paradoxically, a commoditised product within the framework of a post-multicultural PSB imperative (Malik 2013). This article has posed questions not only about what constitutes an urban drama, but whether post-multicultural television drama, however defined, can articulate counter-hegemonic perspectives and present an authentic image of our socio-political realities, including those that relate to crime and black Britain.

References

Best, J. (1995), Images of Issues: Typifying Contemporary Social Problems, New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

Bignell, J., Lacey, S. and Macmurraugh-Kavanagh, M. (eds) (2000), *British Television Drama: Past Present and Future*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Broadcasting Act 1981, available at http://legislation.data.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/68/enacted/data.htm?wrap=true (accessed 14 December 2014).

Brown, M. (2007), A Licence to Be Different: The Story of Channel 4, London: British Film Institute.

Christie, N. (2001) 'Det idealiska offret' ['The ideal victim'], in M. Åkerström and I. Sahlin (eds) *Det motspänstiga offret* [*The Defiant Victim*], Lund: Studentlitteratur, pp. 46–60.

Duyne, V. P. (2003), 'Organising cigarette smuggling and policy making: ending up in smoke', *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 39: 3, pp. 285–317.

Ellis, J. (1981), Visible Fictions: Cinema, Television, Video, London: Routledge.

Ellis, J. (1999), 'Television as working-though', in J. Gripsrud (ed.), *Television and Common Knowledge*, London: Routledge, pp. 55–70.

Fiske, J. (1987), Television Culture, London: Methuen.

Friedman, L. (1993), Fires Were Started: British Cinema and Thatcherism, London: UCL Press.

Gilroy, P. (1987), There Ain't No Black in the Union Jack, London: Hutchinson.

Guardian/LSE (2011), Reading the Riots: Investigating England's Summer of Disorder, London: Guardian/LSE.

Hall, S. (1980), 'Encoding/decoding', in S. Hall, D. Hobson, L. Love and P. Willis (eds), *Culture, Media, Language*, London: Hutchinson, pp. 117–27.

- Hall, S. (1981), 'The whites of their eyes: racist ideologies in the media', in G. Bridges and R. Brunt (eds), *Silver Linings: Some Strategies for the Eighties*, London: Lawrence & Wishart, pp. 28–52.
- Hall, S. (1995), 'Negotiating Caribbean identities', New Left Review, 209, pp. 3–12.
- Hall, S. (2001), 'The spectacle of the "other", in S. Hall (ed.), *Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*, Milton Keynes: Open University Press, pp. 223–79.
- Hall, S., Critcher, C., Jefferson, T., Clarke, J. and Roberts, B. (1978), *Policing the Crisis: Mugging, the State and Law and Order*, London: Macmillan.
- Hallsworth, S. and Young, T. (2008) 'Gang talk and gang talkers: a critique', Crime, Media, Culture, 4: 2, pp. 175–95.
- Hobbs, D. (2006), 'The nature and representation of organised crime in the United Kingdom', in C. Fijnaut and L. Paoli, L. (eds), Organised Crime in Europe: Concepts, Patterns and Control Policies in the European Union and Beyond, New York: Springer, pp. 413–34.
- Hobson, D. (2007), Channel 4: The Early Years and the Jeremy Isaacs Legacy, London: I. B. Tauris.
- Jauss, H. R. (1982), Towards an Aesthetic of Literary Reception, Brighton: Harvester Press. Jewkes, Y. (2004), Media and Crime, London: Sage.
- Lukács, G. (1978), 'Narrate or describe?', in Writer and Critic, and Other Essays, ed. A. Kahn, Pontypool: Merlin Press, pp. 110–48.
- McKenzie, L. (2015), Getting By: Estates, Class and Culture in Austerity Britain, Bristol: Policy Press.
- Malik, S. (2013), 'Creative diversity: UK public service broadcasting after multiculturalism', *Popular Communication: The International Journal of Media and Culture*, 11: 3, pp. 227–41.
- Mercer, K. (1987), Black Film, British Cinema, London: ICA.
- Mercer, K. (1994), Welcome to the Jungle: New Positions in Black Cultural Studies, London: Routledge.
- Metcalf, J. (2012), 'Reviewing "monsters": the press reception and media constructions of contemporary street gang memoirs', *Crime, Media, Culture*, 8: 3, pp. 333–53.
- Neale, S. (2000), 'Questions of genre', in R. Stam and T. Miller (eds), *Film and Theory: An Anthology*, New York: Blackwell, pp. 157–78.
- Nwonka, C. J. (2015), 'Diversity pie: rethinking social exclusion and diversity policy in the British film industry', *Journal of Media Practice*, 16: 1, pp. 73–9.
- Ross, K. (1996), Black and White Media: Black Images in Popular Film and Television, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rowe, M. (2012), Race and Crime: Key Approaches to Criminology, London: Sage.
- Solomos, J. (1988), Black Youth, Racism and the State: The Politics of Ideology and Policy, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stelfox, P. (1996), Gang Violence Strategic and Tactical Options, London: Home Office Police Research Group.
- Todorov, T. (1981), *Introduction to Poetics*, Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Vertovec, S. (2010), 'Towards post-multiculturalism? Changing communities, conditions and contexts of diversity', *International Social Science Journal*, 61: 199, pp. 83–95.
- Vinen, R. (2010), Thatcher's Britain: The Politics and Social Upheaval of the 1980, London: Simon & Schuster.
- Wayne, M. (2002), Politics in Contemporary European Cinema: Histories, Borders, Diasporas, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Sarita Malik and Clive James Nwonka

Welch, K. (2007), 'Black criminal stereotypes and racial profiling', *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 23: 3, pp. 276–88.

Williams, R. (1977), 'A lecture on realism', Screen, 18: 1, pp. 61-74.

Wilson, G. (2014), "The bigger the lie, the more they believe". Cinematic realism and the anxiety of representation in David Simon's *The Wire*', *South Central Review*, 31: 2, pp. 59–79.

Sarita Malik is Professor of Media, Culture and Communications at Brunel University London. Her research explores issues of social change, inequality, communities and cultural representation. She is currently leading 'Creative Interruptions', a major international research project funded by the AHRC, examining how the arts, media and creativity are used to challenge marginalisation.

Email: sarita.malik@brunel.ac.uk

Clive James Nwonka is a Lecturer in Film Studies at the London School of Economics and Political Science. His work explores issues of realism and representation in British, European and American cinema, and the institutional frameworks of the British film industry. These areas are underpinned by a mixed resource of film theory, politics, sociology and cultural studies, situating textual readings within contemporary sociopolitical debates. His published research includes writings on contemporary social realism, Black British cinema, film and architecture, and UK film policy.

Email: C.J.Nwonka@lse.ac.uk