

Citation for published version: Polesel, F, Torresi, E, Loreggian, L, Casas, ME, Christensson, M, Bester, K & Plósz, BG 2017, 'Removal of pharmaceuticals in pre-denitrifying MBBR – Influence of organic substrate availability in single- and three-stage configurations', Water Research, vol. 123, pp. 408-419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.068

DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.068

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Removal of pharmaceuticals in pre-denitrifying MBBR –
2	Influence of organic substrate availability in single- and
3	three-stage configurations
4	
5	Fabio Polesel ^{1,*} , Elena Torresi ¹ , Luca Loreggian ^{1,2} , Monica Escolá Casas ³ , Magnus
6	Christensson ⁴ , Kai Bester ³ , Benedek Gy. Plósz ^{1,5,*}
7	
8	¹ DTU Environment, Technical University of Denmark, Bygningstorvet B115, 2800 Kongens
9	Lyngby, Denmark
10	² Environmental Engineering Institute, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Station 6,
11	1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
12	³ Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000
13	Roskilde, Denmark
14	⁴ Veolia Water Technologies AB, AnoxKaldnes, Klosterängsvägen 11A, SE-226 47 Lund,
15	Sweden
16	⁵ Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY,
17	UK
18	
19	Corresponding authors: <u>fabp@env.dtu.dk</u> , <u>b.g.plosz@bath.ac.uk</u>
20	
21	

22 Abstract

23 Due to the limited efficiency of conventional biological treatment, innovative solutions are being 24 explored to improve the removal of trace organic chemicals in wastewater. Controlling biomass 25 exposure to growth substrate represents an appealing option for process optimization, as 26 substrate availability likely impacts microbial activity, hence organic trace chemical removal. 27 This study investigated the elimination of pharmaceuticals in pre-denitrifying moving bed 28 biofilm reactors (MBBRs), where biofilm exposure to different organic substrate loading and 29 composition was controlled by reactor staging. A three-stage MBBR and a single-stage reference 30 MBBR (with the same operating volume and filling ratio) were operated under continuous-flow 31 conditions (18 months). Two sets of batch experiments (day 100 and 471) were performed to 32 quantify and compare pharmaceutical removal and denitrification kinetics in the different 33 MBBRs. Experimental results revealed the possible influence of retransformation (e.g., from 34 conjugated metabolites) and enantioselectivity on the removal of selected pharmaceuticals. In 35 the second set of experiments, specific trends in denitrification and biotransformation kinetics 36 were observed, with highest and lowest rates/rate constants in the first (S1) and the last (S3) 37 staged sub-reactors, respectively. These observations were confirmed by removal efficiency data 38 obtained during continuous-flow operation, with limited removal (<10%) of recalcitrant 39 pharmaceuticals and highest removal in S1 within the three-stage MBBR. Notably, 40 biotransformation rate constants obtained for non-recalcitrant pharmaceuticals correlated with 41 mean specific denitrification rates, maximum specific growth rates and observed growth yield 42 values. Overall, these findings suggest that: (i) the long-term exposure to tiered substrate 43 accessibility in the three-stage configuration shaped the denitrification and biotransformation 44 capacity of biofilms, with significant reduction under substrate limitation; (ii) biotransformation 45 of pharmaceuticals may have occurred as a result of cometabolism by heterotrophic denitrifying

46 bacteria.

- 48 Keywords: Moving bed biofilm reactors, pharmaceutical biodegradation, heterotrophic
- 49 denitrification, reactor staging, organic substrate

50 1. Introduction

Elimination of pharmaceuticals and other trace organic chemicals represents a major challenge in conventional wastewater treatment systems. Innovative solutions, e.g., novel treatment technologies or process configurations, have been explored to improve the removal efficiency in biological wastewater treatment facilities.

55 Among the plethora of evaluated options, staging of biological reactors (defined as the 56 subdivision into two or more completely mixed sub-reactors in series) has been proposed to 57 optimize pollutant removal processes based on reaction kinetic principles (Scuras et al., 2001; 58 Joss et al., 2006; Grady et al., 2011). Staging of pre-denitrifying reactors (Plósz, 2007; Plósz et 59 al., 2010a) was accordingly hypothesized to enhance denitrification as compared to single-stage 60 configurations. In staged systems with prolonged physical biomass retention (i.e. as biofilms), 61 microbial adaptation to specific substrate availability conditions can be induced in each sub-62 stage. As to pre-denitrifying systems, shaping of microbial activity and community is determined 63 by the availability and the quality (e.g., in terms of degradability) of electron donating organic 64 substrate. Nevertheless, it is unknown how reactor staging would impact the removal (via 65 biotransformation) of trace organic chemicals. Although it has been hypothesized that the 66 degradation of more recalcitrant organics (such as xenobiotics) would occur in substrate-limited 67 staged denitrifying reactors (Plósz et al., 2010a)—as also observed under aerobic conditions 68 (Escolá Casas et al., 2015)—no evidence is currently available.

Recently, moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBRs) have been considered as an option to improve the removal of pharmaceuticals. In MBBRs, biomass grows on suspended plastic carriers (Ødegaard et al., 1994), with expected increase of biomass residence time compared to activated sludge systems. Enhanced biotransformation was accordingly observed in nitrifying MBBRs for a number of pharmaceuticals (Falås et al., 2012, 2013; Torresi et al., 2016). As the wide majority

of studies have focused on aerobic conditions (in line with overall literature on biodegradation
of xenobiotics; Ghattas et al., 2017), little is known about denitrifying MBBRs, with detailed
information only recently obtained for post-denitrifying systems (Torresi et al., 2017).

77 In this study, we investigated the elimination of pharmaceuticals in single-stage and three-stage 78 MBBR configurations for pre-denitrification of municipal wastewater. We assessed the effect of 79 organic substrate availability on pharmaceutical degradation and ensured prolonged biomass 80 exposure (via immobilization on carriers) to specific substrate availability conditions. During 81 long-term continuous operation of the two systems, batch experiments were performed at two 82 distinct time points to assess kinetics of heterotrophic denitrification and pharmaceutical 83 removal in each MBBR. Indigenous pharmaceutical concentrations in wastewater media were 84 used in the study, given the potentially significant influence of spiking on transformation kinetics 85 and pathways (Collado et al., 2012; Jewell et al., 2016) as well as the possibility of 86 retransformation from conjugated metabolites and structural analogues (Polesel et al., 2016).

The main objectives of our investigation were: (i) to assess and compare kinetics of denitrification (primary metabolic function) and pharmaceutical biotransformation (secondary metabolic function) in different MBBR systems; (ii) to assess the influence of biofilm exposure to different organic substrate loading and complexity, resulting from MBBR staging, on its capability of reducing nitrate and nitrite and biotransforming selected pharmaceuticals; and (iii) to eventually investigate the association between primary and secondary metabolic functions. Specifically, two alternative hypotheses were tested:

Strongly limiting organic substrate availability (in the downstream reactor stages) induces an
 improvement of pharmaceutical biotransformation kinetics, due to the possible improvement
 in utilizing more recalcitrant chemicals (e.g. of pharmaceuticals) as secondary/secondary cometabolic substrates;

Non-limiting organic substrate availability (occurring in the upstream reactor stages)
 positively influences pharmaceutical biotransformation kinetics by more effectively fueling
 denitrifying activity.

101

102 **2.** Materials and methods

103 2.1. System description and operation

104 Two laboratory scale pre-denitrifying MBBR configurations with K1 carriers (AnoxKaldnes, 105 Lund, Sweden; specific surface area = $500 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-3}$) were operated in parallel under continuous-106 flow conditions for 1.5 years (Fig. 1). The two configurations were operated under identical 107 conditions, i.e. influent flow rate, hydraulic residence time, influent medium characteristics, to 108 allow for a comparative assessment of system performance.

109 The single-stage configuration included a single bioreactor (U) with operating volume of 6 L. 110 The three-stage configuration was designed according to principles presented by Plósz (2007) 111 and included three reactors in series (named S1, S2, S3) with a total operating volume of 6 L 112 (1.5 L for S1 and S2 and 3 L for S3). To limit O₂ penetration, reactors were continuously sparged 113 with N₂ gas (\geq 99.996%, Aga A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark) and provided with polystyrene lids 114 and rubber top sealing, having a small opening that allowed for sparging gas to escape. These 115 solutions allowed establishing DO concentrations lower than 0.05 mg L⁻¹ in all MBBRs for the 116 duration of the experiment. Sparging of N₂ gas was also used for mechanical mixing of K1 117 carriers.

118 MBBR carriers with attached biofilm used for reactor seeding were collected from the post-119 denitrification zone of Sjölunda WWTP (Malmö, Sweden). The post-denitrifying train of 120 Sjölunda WWTP consists of two tanks in series, whereby dosage of external carbon source 121 (methanol) takes place in the first tank of the treatment train while MBBR carriers (and attached 122 biofilms) were collected from the second tank. A filling ratio of 33% was selected for all reactors.

123 All MBBRs were operated at ambient temperature (?).

124 The two configurations were continuously fed with primary wastewater effluent (Mølleåværket WWTP, Lundtofte, Denmark) at an influent flow rate of 15 L d⁻¹ for each system. Pre-clarified 125 126 wastewater was collected semi-weekly, stored in a 200 L stirred cooling tank (< 4°C) and fed to 127 the MBBR reactors using a peristaltic pump (Ole Dich, Hvidovre, Denmark). Nitrate was supplied to both MBBR systems from a 10 g L⁻¹ dosing solution of KNO₃ (\geq 99.9%, Merck 128 129 Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), fed at a flow rate of 1.2 L d⁻¹ using another peristaltic pump 130 (Ole Dich, Hvidovre, Denmark). The resulting influent nitrate concentration (103 mgN L⁻¹) 131 ensured that there was a residual nitrate in the treated effluent, thereby allowing for 132 denitrification to occur in all of the reactors at all times, i.e. that MBBR biofilm could 133 permanently be subject to anoxic conditions. As in full-scale pre-denitrifying systems, the 134 indigenous COD content of pre-clarified wastewater was the only electron donor available for 135 denitrification, i.e. no extra carbon source was supplied. Furthermore, during the entire operation 136 period both systems received only indigenous pharmaceutical concentrations present in the 137 feeding medium.

The two MBBR systems were monitored during continuous-flow operation for approximately 139 1.5 months before and/or after batch experiments (see Chapter 2.2). System performance in terms 140 of heterotrophic denitrification and pharmaceutical removal was assessed by monitoring influent 141 and effluent concentrations of COD, NO₃-N, NO₂-N and pharmaceuticals (see section S4, Table 142 S5). Characterization of organic substrates in the influent wastewater was carried out according 143 to Roeleveld and van Loosdrecht (2002).

144

< Figure 1 >

146 2.2. Batch experiments

147 2.2.1. Biotic

Batch experiments were performed to assess biokinetics of heterotrophic denitrification and pharmaceuticals elimination in the four MBBRs (U, S1, S2, S3). Two sets of batch experiments were performed during 1.5 year of continuous operation: Batch 1 (day 100) and Batch 2 (day 471). In both cases, the continuous MBBR systems were disconnected and experiments were performed with carriers from U, S1, S2 and S3 separately at the same boundary and initial conditions (feeding medium characteristics, filling ratio, reactor operation).

154 Similarly to continuous-flow operation, pre-clarified wastewater from Mølleåværket WWTP 155 was used as feeding medium in batch experiments. The medium was supplemented with KNO₃, 156 resulting in an initial NO₃-N concentration of 100-104 mgN L⁻¹. DO concentration was 157 minimized through continuous sparging of N₂ gas. Temperature and pH were continuously 158 monitored (SenTix® 980, WTW, Weilheim, Germany). Manual pH adjustment with 1 M HCl 159 was performed at the beginning and through the experiment to prevent microbial activity 160 inhibition. Experiments were performed at ambient temperature. Spiking of reference 161 pharmaceuticals was not used, and only the indigenous chemicals occurring in pre-clarified 162 wastewater were quantified. Aqueous samples for the analysis of pharmaceuticals and 163 conventional pollutants were withdrawn from MBBRs and concurrently a fixed number of 164 carriers were removed to maintain a constant filling ratio.

The duration of Batch 1 was 24 h, with MBBR filling adjusted to 20%. The temperature during batch experiments was (mean and standard deviation, 5 min frequency): $20.3 \pm 0.9^{\circ}$ C for S1, $20.2 \pm 1.3^{\circ}$ C for S2, $20.3 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ C for S3, $20.3 \pm 0.9^{\circ}$ C for U. In Batch 2, a filling ratio of 10% was used and the experiment duration was extended to 49 h. The temperature during batch experiments was (mean and standard deviation, 10 min frequency): $16.7 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for S1, $17.4 \pm$

170 0.5°C for S2, 16.8 ± 0.4 °C for S3, 17.0 ± 0.5 °C for U.

171

172 2.2.2. Control experiments

173 A reference batch experiment (abiotic control experiment) was additionally performed to assess 174 abiotic degradation of pharmaceuticals, based on the methodology proposed by Falås et al. 175 (2013). The experiment was conducted in a glass container with 200 mL pre-filtered (GF filter, 176 0.6 µm pore size, Advantec, Knebel, Denmark) pre-clarified wastewater (Mølleåværket WWTP, 177 Lundtofte, Denmark). Reactor mixing was ensured by N2 gas sparging. The experiment was 178 conducted in the absence (first 2 h) and in the presence of plastic carriers without biofilm (last 179 2 h), with an overall duration of 4 h. Aqueous samples were withdrawn at t=0, 2 and 4 h. An 180 evaporation control experiment was additionally carried out in parallel to assess the evaporation 181 of filtered wastewater due to N_2 gas sparging. Two glass containers (working volume = 200 mL) 182 were operated in parallel and under the same conditions of the reference control experiment. 183 Residual liquid volume was measured in the two reactors after 2 h and 4 h, respectively.

184

185 2.3. Analytical methods

186 Samples for the analysis of conventional pollutants were collected and analysed for total and 187 soluble COD, NO₃-N and NO₂-N. Non-filtered and filtered samples (0.45 µm GF filters; 188 Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) were stored in plastic vials at $\leq 4^{\circ}$ C until analysis (for non-189 filtered samples, within 24 h from collection). COD concentrations were quantified using Hach-190 Lange colorimetric kits (LCK314, LCK514) and Hach-Lange DR 2800 spectrophotometer. NO₃-191 N and NO₂-N concentrations were quantified with Merck colorimetric kits and subsequent 192 spectrophotometric quantification (batch 1) or with a Bran Luebbe® Auto Analyzer 3 digital 193 colorimeter (batch 2).

194 The concentration of attached biomass was determined from the weight difference of multiple 195 (≥ 5) dried carriers (105°C for >1 h) before and after biofilm removal, as described in Falås et 196 al. (2012). Attached biofilm was removed from plastic carriers using H₂SO₄ (4M) and thorough 197 brushing. The resulting biomass concentration was expressed in terms of total attached solids 198 (gTAS L⁻¹). Conversion factors TSS/TAS and VSS/TAS for biofilm in each MBBR were 199 determined via parallel measurements of TAS, TSS and VSS content. Biofilm from five carriers 200 for each MBBR was detached and resuspended in 50 mL tap water. Four replicate measurements 201 were performed, with overall biofilm detachment from 20 carriers per MBBR. TAS (in analogy 202 with total solids), TSS and VSS concentrations of the suspension were measured according to 203 APHA standard methods (Clesceri et al., 1998). Determination of TSS/TAS ratio allowed 204 converting attached biomass concentration to gTSS L⁻¹. The overall TSS concentration in each 205 MBBR was eventually determined by accounting for TSS in bulk aqueous phase (separate 206 measurement according to APHA standard methods).

207 Samples for pharmaceutical analysis were collected and prepared according to the procedure 208 described by Escolá Casas et al. (2015). Briefly, 4 ml wastewater samples were collected and 209 stored in glass vials (Chromacol 22 mL, Mikrolab, Aarhus, Denmark). 1.4 ml pure methanol (≥ 210 99.9%, Merck Millipore) was added to inhibit biological activity during the storage period. 211 Prepared samples were then preserved at -20°C. Subsequently, 1.5 mL was of each sample were 212 transferred to an HPLC vial and were centrifuged (6000 rpm, 10 minutes) to separate residual 213 solids. 900 μ L of the supernatant were transferred to a new vial, to which 100 μ L of internal 214 standard solution were added using a glass syringe. Samples were analyzed using HPLC-MS/MS 215 with an injected volume of 100 µL. Twenty-three active pharmaceutical substances, typically 216 present in wastewater influents, were targeted. A complete list of these substances is given in 217 the Supporting Information (section S4) and elsewhere (Escolá Casas et al., 2015).

218 Specifications of the HPLC-MS/MS analytical device and of the internal standard solution can
219 be found in Escolá Casas et al. (2015).

220

221 2.4. Anoxic respirometry

In batch 1 and 2, heterotrophic denitrification was assessed by measuring NO₃-N and NO₂-N (mgN L⁻¹) concentrations in different MBBRs. NO₂-N concentration was measured due to the possibility of nitrite accumulation, as shown in previous experiments (Ubay Çokgör et al., 1998; Kujawa and Klapwijk, 1999; Ekama and Wentzel, 1999), thus being accounted for when characterizing denitrification kinetics. Based on measured NO₃-N and NO₂-N concentrations, anoxic respirograms were derived for each batch experiment as NO_X utilization curves, where NO_X-N concentration (mgN L⁻¹) was calculated according to Eq. 1 (Ubay Çokgör et al., 1998):

$$229 NO_x - N = NO_3 - N + 0.6NO_2 - N (1)$$

In this equation, the coefficient 0.6 denotes the relative amount of electrons required to reduce NO₂ to N₂ (3 e⁻) compared to the reduction of NO₃ to N₂ (5 e⁻). It is anticipated that two distinct NO_x utilization rates could be distinguished during batch experiments (Fig. S5). Fast slow specific denitrification rates (k_1 and k_2 , respectively; mgN gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹) were accordingly derived in each MBBR through linear regression of NO_x concentrations and normalization to biomass concentration (as gTSS L⁻¹). In order to provide for a unique kinetic descriptor of denitrification, the mean specific denitrification rate \overline{k}_{NOX} (mgN gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹) was calculated (Eq. 2):

237
$$\bar{k}_{NOX} = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}$$
 (2)

Surface-normalized mean denitrification rates (\bar{r}_{NOX} , r_1 and r_2 , gN m⁻² d⁻¹) were also derived for each MBBR. The rationale for the interpretation of anoxic respirometric data, as well as the definition of denitrification rates, is described in detailed in the Supporting Information (section 241 S3).

242

243 2.5. Modelling pharmaceutical removal kinetics

Based on observations on pharmaceutical removal in Batch 1 and 2, model structures were
identified using the Activated Sludge Model framework for Xenobiotics (ASM-X) (Plósz et al.,
2010b, 2012), including recent extensions presented by Torresi et al. (2017). A summary of
identified model structures is shown in Table 1.

In the simplest model structure, pseudo-first-order degradation kinetics was used to describe
aqueous concentration decrease (Eq. 3)

250
$$\frac{dC_{LI}}{dt} = -\frac{k_{bio}}{(1 + K_d X_{TSS})} C_{LI} X_{TSS}$$
(3)

where C_{LI} denotes the aqueous pharmaceutical concentration (ng L⁻¹), k_{bio} the biotransformation 251 rate constant (L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹) and X_{TSS} the biomass concentration in MBBRs (gTSS L⁻¹). A 252 correction factor for sorption was considered, where K_d (L g⁻¹) denotes the sorption coefficient, 253 254 assuming instantaneous equilibrium between aqueous and sorbed concentrations (Joss et al., 255 2006). Constant X_{TSS} was assumed, considering negligible biomass growth during batch 256 experiments. The effect of diffusion into biofilm on the removal of pharmaceuticals from bulk 257 aqueous phase was lumped in the biotransformation rate constants (Falås et al., 2012, 2013; 258 Escolá Casas et al., 2015; Torresi et al., 2016, 2017).

The transformation of human metabolites and other fractions (Polesel et al., 2016) may lead to the formation of parent compounds and can be additionally described using pseudo-first-order kinetics (Eq. 4):

$$262 \qquad \frac{dC_{CJ}}{dt} = -k_{dec}C_{CJ}X_{TSS} \tag{4}$$

263 where the state variable C_{CJ} denotes the retransformable pharmaceutical fractions (e.g.,

264 conjugated metabolites) and k_{dec} (L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹) denotes the retransformation rate constant. 265 Negligible sorption was considered for the fraction C_{CJ} due to its potentially high hydrophilicity 266 (Göbel et al., 2005; Plósz et al., 2010b). Simultaneous parent compound formation and 267 biotransformation were thus described using Eq. 5:

268
$$\frac{dC_{LI}}{dt} = -\frac{k_{bio}}{(1+K_d X_{TSS})} C_{LI} X_{TSS} + k_{dec} C_{CJ} X_{TSS}$$
(5)

269 or, in case of only one major conjugated metabolite, using Eq. 6:

270
$$\frac{dC_{LI}}{dt} = -\frac{k_{bio}}{(1 + K_d X_{TSS})} C_{LI} X_{TSS} + \frac{M_{LI}}{M_{CJ}} k_{dec} C_{CJ} X_{TSS}$$
(6)

where M_{LI} and M_{CJ} (g mol⁻¹) denote the molecular mass of the parent compound and the conjugate, respectively, and their ratio denotes the stoichiometry coefficient of retransformation (Plósz et al., 2013; Torresi et al., 2017).

274

275 2.6. Parameter estimation

Estimation of transformation rate constants (k_{bio} , k_{dec}) required the initial assumption of K_d . Values measured in activated sludge—where available under denitrifying conditions—were collected from published literature (Ternes et al., 2004; Göbel et al., 2005; Maurer et al., 2007; Radjenovic et al., 2009; Wick et al., 2009; Plósz et al., 2010b; Hörsing et al., 2011; Plósz et al., 2012). In absence of published K_d values, negligible sorption was assumed. Table S6 summarizes K_d values for detected pharmaceuticals.

When parent compound formation was observed in the absence of C_{CJ} measurements, the initial concentration $C_{CJ,0}$ (ng L⁻¹) was defined by assuming the same initial ratio $n_{LI,CJ}$ (Eq. 7) in all simultaneous batch experiments:

285
$$n_{LI,CJ} = \frac{C_{LI,0}}{C_{CJ,0}}$$
 (7)

where $C_{LI,0}$ denotes the initial parent compound concentration (ng L⁻¹). In this case, calibration of Eq. 5 was performed for all experiments simultaneous, also with the estimation of $n_{LI,CJ}$.

In all cases, model calibration against experimental data in Batch 1 and 2 was performed using the secant method embedded in Aquasim 2.1d (Reichert, 1998). Surface-normalized transformation rate constants ($L^{-1} m^{-2} d^{-1}$) were eventually calculated from estimated k_{bio} and k_{dec} values.

292

< Table 1 >

3. Results and discussion

294 **3.1.** Heterotrophic denitrification

295 *3.1.1. Monitoring during continuous-flow operation*

296 Due to considerable fluctuations in the quality of the wastewater influent, dynamics in NO_X 297 removal were shown (Table S1, Fig. S2). Variability was observed in terms of influent concentrations of total COD ($207 \pm 57.3 \text{ mgCOD } \text{L}^{-1}$), soluble COD ($84 \pm 25.7 \text{ mgCOD } \text{L}^{-1}$), 298 biodegradable COD (136 ± 41.6 mgCOD L⁻¹), readily biodegradable COD (S_S , 60.5 ± 25.0 299 mgCOD L⁻¹) and hydrolizable COD (X_s , 75.4 ± 36.5 mgCOD L⁻¹). NO_X removal per influent 300 301 total COD was calculated, being 0.15-0.18 mgNO_X-N mgCOD⁻¹ for three-stage MBBR and 0.12–0.16 mgNO_X-N mgCOD⁻¹ for single-stage MBBR (Table S2). NO₂-N accumulation in the 302 303 MBBR reactors was accounted for when calculating NO_X removal as shown in Eq. 1.

Surface-normalized NO_X removal rates exhibited a significant decrease after 400 days of operation (Table S2). This may be attributed to the reduced average influent loading of organic substrate (before day 100: 3.95 ± 0.87 gCOD d⁻¹; after day 400: 2.66 ± 0.41 gCOD d⁻¹; here expressed as total COD).

308 Reactor staging effectively determined a declining gradient of influent substrate loading from 309 S1 to S3 (Table S3). Temporal trends of attached biomass concentration (gTAS L⁻¹) in each 310 MBBR indicated significant growth as compared to the inoculum (Fig. S1). Faster growth was 311 observed in S1, with stable biomass concentration reached after approximately 80 days, as 312 compared to the other reactors (> 100 d). Towards the end of the operation period, the highest 313 biomass concentration was found in S2 (5.2 gTAS L⁻¹) and comparable concentrations were 314 found in the other MBBRs (3.9–4.2 gTAS L⁻¹).

315

316 3.1.2. Batch experiments (1 and 2)

Measured concentration profiles of NO₃-N, NO₂-N, total and soluble COD are presented in Fig. S3 (Batch 1) and Fig. S4 (Batch 2). In all experiments, NO₂-N accumulation was observed, being more prominent in batch 2 (up to 10 mgN L⁻¹ in S3). The residual soluble COD concentration was found comparable ($52.7 \pm 3.2 \text{ mgCOD L}^{-1}$ in batch 1; 53.9 ± 6.9 in batch 2), representing the inert organic matter not utilizable as electron donor.

- In batch 1, depletion of organic substrate in the wastewater medium (based on soluble COD measurements) was reached after 0.2–0.4 d, typically coinciding (except for S3) with a change in NO_X reduction rate (Fig. S5a). As to NO_X reduction kinetics, no trend was shown for \overline{k}_{NOX} in the three staged MBBRs (Table S4).
- 326 A number of peculiar observations were made during batch 2, which will be thus discussed more 327 in detail. Fig. 2 presents measured concentration profiles of NO_X (a) and total COD (b) in the 328 different MBBRs during batch 2. Experimental data revealed significantly different 329 denitrification capacity and kinetics in MBBRs. Notably, initial lag phases in NO_X reduction 330 were found in S2, U (1.5 h) and S3 (3 h) (Fig. 2a), possibly resulting from the prior limited 331 exposure of biofilm in these MBBRs to readily biodegradable organic substrate. As to S2 and 332 S3, this indicates that the three-staged reactor design achieved exposing biofilm to limiting and 333 highly limiting organic substrate, respectively (Plósz, 2007). Furthermore, NO_X reduction during 334 continuous-flow operation predominantly relied on hydrolysis products also in the single-stage 335 system U.

NO_X reduction rates were determined by neglecting the initial lag phase (Fig. S5). Calculated \overline{k}_{NOX} values were 48.2 mgN gTSS⁻¹ for S1, 18.9 mgN gTSS⁻¹ for S2, 12.4 mgN gTSS⁻¹ for S3 and 20.3 mgN gTSS⁻¹ for U. When considering the three-stage system, \overline{k}_{NOX} (and the surfacenormalized rate \overline{r}_{NOX}) declined from S1 to S3, indicating that different organic substrate loading and availability influenced both the capacity and the kinetics of NO_X reduction in MBBR

biofilm. A substantial decrease of \overline{k}_{NOX} and \overline{r}_{NOX} was observed in batch 2 as compared to batch 1 (Table S4), being more pronounced in S2, S3 and U. Not unexpectedly, both biomass- and surface-normalized rates were significantly lower than in post-denitrifying MBBRs, where only easily degradable external organic substrate is used as electron donor (Torresi et al., 2017).

345 Different levels of NO_X reduction were achieved in all MBBRs with comparable total COD 346 removal ($\Delta COD=257-275 \text{ mgCOD L}^{-1}$ over 48 h) and utilization kinetics (Fig. 2b). Accordingly, 347 COD storage may have occurred in S2, S3 and U due to biofilm exposure to feast substrate 348 availability conditions following prolonged famine during continuous-flow operation, as 349 previously shown for denitrifying bacteria (Beun et al., 2000). The microbial growth yield, Y_H 350 (mgCOD mgCOD⁻¹) was thus calculated based on measured NO_X and COD concentrations. The 351 calculation of Y_H (Eq. 8) was based on NO_X and COD utilization during fast denitrification 352 (without considering the initial lag phase in S2, S3 and U), thus better approximating the true 353 yield:

354
$$Y_H = 1 - \frac{2.86 \cdot \Delta NO_X}{\Delta COD}$$
(8)

355 where 2.86 (mgCOD mgN⁻¹) denotes the COD equivalents of NO_X-N (with the reduced number 356 of COD equivalents of NO₂-N accounted for in Eq. 1). ΔNO_X (mgN L⁻¹) and ΔCOD (mgCOD L⁻ 357 ¹) denote the NO_X and total COD utilized during fast denitrification in batch 2, respectively, and 358 were quantified using an intercept-based method. Further details on the method (and examples 359 for S1 and S3) are provided in Fig. S6. A value of 0.53 was calculated for Y_H in S1, in agreement 360 with typical values for denitrification (Ubay Cokgör et al., 1998). Higher Y_H values were instead 361 found for S2, S3 and U (0.61, 0.77 and 0.67, respectively), further suggesting the occurrence of 362 substrate storage in these MBBRs (Muller et al., 2003).

363 Furthermore, maximum specific growth rates (μ_{max}) in each MBBR were derived from the fast

denitrification rate k_1 (using the calculated yield Y_H), according to the method proposed by Ekama and Wentzel (2008). Estimated μ_{max} values were 0.22, 0.12, 0.16 and 0.17 d⁻¹ in S1, S2, S3 and U, respectively. These values were lower than that estimated for nitrate and nitrite reduction in activated sludge using single organic substrate (Pan et al., 2015), but were in agreement with estimations for ethanol-fed post-denitrifying MBBR (Torresi et al., 2017). It should be noted that the presented values may include (for S2, U and especially S3) both the rates of growth and simultaneous substrate storage.

< Figure 2 >

- 371
- 372

373 **3.2. Removal of pharmaceuticals**

374 Based on measured concentrations during continuous-flow operation and in batch 1 and 2, it was 375 possible to characterize the removal of a number of substances, namely: (i) the beta-blockers 376 atenolol (ATN) and metoprolol (MET); (ii) the sulfonamide antibiotics sulfamethoxazole 377 (SMX), sulfamethizole (SMZ), sulfadiazine (SDZ) and its conjugated metabolite acetyl-378 sulfadiazine (AcSDZ), and the combination product trimethoprim (TMP); the macrolides 379 antibiotic erythromycin (ERY); (iv) the anti-inflammatory pharmaceuticals diclofenac (DCF) 380 and ibuprofen (IBU); (v) the X-ray contrast medium iohexol (IOH); (vi) and the anti-depressants 381 citalopram (CIT) and venlafaxine (VFX). Since only indigenous concentrations were considered 382 (i.e., no spiking of reference substances was performed), this is only a sub-sample of the initially 383 targeted pharmaceuticals. Further details on the quantified chemicals can be found in Table S5. 384 In the following sections, results will be thus presented and discussed for the listed substances.

385

386 *3.2.1.* Abiotic transformation during control experiments

387 Negligible (≤15%) or no removal was observed in the abiotic control experiment (Fig. S11), in
388 agreement with previous studies (Falås et al., 2012, 2013; Torresi et al., 2016). For almost all

substances (ATN, DCF, IOH, IBU, MET, SMZ, SDZ, AcSDZ, TMP, VFX), an increase of
aqueous concentration (on average +16%) was observed and could be attributed to wastewater
evaporation during the evaporation control experiment (Fig. S12).

392

393 3.2.2. Biotransformation kinetics (batch 1 and 2)

Measured pharmaceutical concentrations in batch 1 and 2 exhibited a number of typical patterns (Fig. 3), which could be interpreted in most cases using Eq. 3–6. A complete overview of measured concentration profiles in both batch experiments is given in Fig. S7–8. Differently from NO_X reduction, no lag phase in the removal of pharmaceuticals was shown in batch 2.

398 Several pharmaceuticals (TMP in batch 1; ATN, ERY, IBU, IOH, SMZ, TMP and VFX in batch 399 2) exhibited elimination as in Figure 3a, following pseudo-first-order kinetics (Eq. 3) that were 400 described by k_{bio} .

401 Initial increase of aqueous concentration was observed for SMX, SDZ, DCF and MET (Fig. 3b-402 c). Retransformation of these pharmaceuticals can occur from conjugated metabolites, structural 403 analogues and/or parent pharmaceuticals (Polesel et al., 2016) and was considered to explain 404 these profiles. SMX is excreted in the form of parent and two major conjugated metabolites, N₄-405 acetyl-SMX and SMX-N₁-glucuronide (Vree et al., 1995; van der Ven et al., 1995), which were 406 shown to transform back to parent SMX in laboratory- and full-scale studies (Göbel et al., 2005; 407 Plósz et al., 2010; Radke et al., 2009; Stadler et al., 2015). SDZ is known to have only one major 408 conjugated metabolite, AcSDZ (Vree and Hekster, 1987), which could also be quantified during 409 batch 1 (Fig S6e-f). Eq. 4 and 6 were thus simultaneously calibrated to AcSDZ and SDZ 410 measurements, respectively, allowing for the estimation of k_{dec} and k_{bio} . DCF is also excreted as 411 sulfate and glucuronide conjugates (Stierlin and Faigle, 1979), and its formation was reported in 412 laboratory experiments (Lee et al., 2012) and in full-scale WWTPs (Zhang et al., 2008; Plósz et al., 2012; Vieno and Sillanpää, 2014). As to MET, excretion as conjugated metabolite is
negligible (Escher et al., 2006). Formation of MET was still observed in pilot- and full-scale
WWTPs (Bendz et al., 2005; Radjenovic et al., 2009; Wick et al., 2009; de Graaff et al., 2011;
Jelic et al., 2011). Although these observations have been attributed to sampling and/or
analytical uncertainties (Alder et al., 2010), formation from a structurally analogue chemical
may not be excluded (in particular under denitrifying conditions).

419 In two cases (ATN in batch 1, CIT in batch 2), Eq. 3 did not adequately describe batch removal 420 kinetics. Notably, ATN and CIT are chiral and both enantiomers are present in wastewater 421 (Kasprzyk-Hordern and Baker, 2011; Evans et al., 2015). Similar observations were made for 422 another chiral pharmaceutical, propranolol (Escolá Casas et al., 2015). In analogy with the latter 423 study, enantioselective biotransformation was hypothesized to determine the two-rate profile 424 observed in batch experiments in all four MBBRs (Fig. 3d). Pseudo-first-order transformation 425 kinetics was assumed for the two enantiomers, being described by rate constants $k_{bio,1}$ and $k_{bio,2}$ (L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹). Biotransformation of ATN and CIT was thus described according to Eq. 9 (Table 426 427 1):

428
$$\frac{dC_{LI}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{(1 + K_d X_{TSS})} (k_{bio,1} C_{EN,1} + k_{bio,2} C_{EN,2}) X_{TSS}$$
(9)

429 where $C_{EN,1}$ and $C_{EN,2}$ (ng L⁻¹) denote the aqueous concentrations of the two enantiomers, 430 respectively, and C_{LI} (ng L⁻¹) is the sum of $C_{EN,1}$ and $C_{EN,2}$. Initial conditions for $C_{EN,1}$ and $C_{EN,2}$ 431 were set by considering in all simultaneous batch experiments the same initial enantiomeric 432 fraction *EF* (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Eq. 10):

433
$$EF = \frac{C_{EN,1}(t=0)}{C_{EN,1}(t=0) + C_{EN,2}(t=0)} = \frac{C_{EN,1}(t=0)}{C_{LI}(t=0)}$$
 (10)

434 Through calibration of Eq. 9 to all batch experiments simultaneously, the parameters $k_{bio,1}$, $k_{bio,2}$

and EF could be estimated. The enantioselective biotransformation model (Fig. 3d; Fig. S7a and S8k) was shown to significantly improve the prediction of measured concentrations for ATN and CIT ($R^2 = 0.95-0.99$) as compared to a simple first-order equation (Fig. S9). Nevertheless, this hypothesis requires further confirmation, with identification and quantification of the two enantiomers of ATN and CIT.

440

< Figure 3 >

Estimated rate constants (*k_{bio}*, *k_{dec}*, *k_{bio,1}*, *k_{bio,2}*) in batch 1 and 2 are presented in Fig. 4 (a and b,
respectively). In Table 2, rate constants from this study are compared with literature values for
denitrifying activated sludge (DNAS), nitrifying MBBR (NMBBR) and post-denitrifying MBBR
(DNMBBR).

In batch 1, the highest rate constant values were estimated either for S1 or U (Fig. 4a), while no overall trend could be identified when considering staged MBBRs only. All the quantifiable pharmaceuticals exhibited comparably high transformation and formation kinetics with the exception of DCF. Rapid retransformation was shown, with k_{dec} typically higher than 1 L g⁻¹ TSS⁻¹ and in agreement with previously observed formation (e.g., via deconjugation) kinetics for diclofenac and sulfonamide antibiotics in activated sludge (Plósz et al., 2010b, 2012; Falås et al., 2013) and MBBRs (Falås et al., 2013; Torresi et al., 2016, 2017).

In batch 2, the highest transformation rate constants were observed in S1 for all non-recalcitrant pharmaceuticals (exhibiting k_{bio} , $k_{dec} \ge 0.1$ L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹, according to the classification presented in Joss et al., 2006), with the exception of ATN. An overall decrease of the biotransformation kinetics in U was shown compared to batch 1. Notably, the order of rate constant values in staged MBBRs was consistently found to be S1>S2>S3 for all non-recalcitrant compounds, following the gradient of (i) denitrification rates \overline{k}_{NOX} observed in the same batch; and (ii) loading and complexity of available organic substrate during continuous-flow operation. Given that all MBBRs had the same specific surface area, the same consideration was valid for surface-normalized biotransformation rate constants (Fig. S10).

461 In analogy with our study, decreasing influent COD loading was found to negatively influence 462 the removal efficiency of the estrogen E1 under aerobic conditions (Tan et al., 2013). The 463 relationship between pharmaceutical transformation and heterotrophic denitrification kinetics 464 will be discussed more in detail in section 3.3.

465

< Figure 4 >

466 Enhanced biotransformation kinetics, as compared to previous findings in DNAS were shown 467 for SMX, ATN and ERY (Table 2). In particular, k_{bio} values higher than 1.0 L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹ were 468 found in S1, thus indicating a significant increase in biotransformation kinetics for MBBR 469 biofilm growing under non-limiting COD loading. Comparably high transformation kinetics was 470 also shown for SMX and ATN in DNMBBR, in the presence of methanol or ethanol was as 471 electron donor (Torresi et al., 2017). Nevertheless, significant differences in the transformation 472 kinetics of other substances (e.g., MET, SMZ and TMP) could be observed between pre- and 473 post-denitrifying conditions. This indicates that the type of electron donor used for NO_X 474 reduction influences not only the activity and the community composition of denitrifiers, but 475 also their capacity of biotransforming pharmaceuticals (including structural analogues, e.g. ATN 476 and MET).

477 Parent-to-retransformable chemical ratios in pre-clarified wastewater ($n_{LI,CJ}$) were estimated for 478 SMX, DCF and MET, indicating (particularly for SMX) comparably high concentration levels 479 of retransformable fractions (C_{CJ}). Values of $n_{LI,CJ}$ for SMX were in agreement with ratios 480 measured in pre-clarified sewage (Göbel et al., 2007; Plósz et al., 2010b).

481 Overall, DCF was found to be recalcitrant, in agreement with previous evidences from DNAS
482 and DNMBBR, but opposed to what observed in NMBBR (Table 2). In batch 2, other

483 pharmaceuticals (IBU, IOH, SMZ and VFX) were also found recalcitrant. IBU is known to be rapidly biodegradable in activated sludge under aerobic conditions (k_{bio} >10 L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹; Joss et 484 485 al., 2006). While this has been observed also in NMBBRs (Escolá Casas et al., 2015; Torresi et 486 al., 2016), significant variability in rate constants was shown, whereby also negligible IBU 487 removal was reported (Falås et al., 2012). Reduced biotransformation was also found in DNAS 488 (Suarez et al., 2010) and DNMBBR (Torresi et al., 2017) as compared to aerobic conditions, still 489 significantly higher than in this study. In agreement with our findings, VFX underwent limited 490 degradation both in NMBBR and DNAS (Falås et al., 2013; Escolá Casas et al., 2015; Torresi et 491 al., 2016), while enhanced biotransformation via cometabolism was observed in the presence of 492 methanol or ethanol in DNMBBR (Torresi et al., 2017).

493 A number of differences were found between the two batch experiments. Values of k_{bio} for TMP 494 and MET significantly decreased in batch 2, still within the variability of literature rate constants 495 in DNAS and NMBBR (Table 2). Furthermore, tentative results supporting enantioselective 496 ATN biotransformation were found in batch 1. Assuming that both ATN enantiomers were 497 present also during batch 2, this may indicate limited enantioselectivity by MBBR biofilm as a 498 result of long-term adaptation. Preferential elimination of the S(-)-enantiomer of ATN was 499 reported in full-activated sludge WWTPs, whereas limited enantioselectivity was shown in 500 biofilm systems (e.g., trickling filters) (Kasprzyk-Hordern and Baker, 2011). Enantioselective 501 removal of CIT was also considered to explain EF variations between WWTP influent and 502 effluent (MacLeod et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2015). Measured EF ratios in WWTP influents were 503 similar to what estimated in this study for CIT, while differences were shown for ATN (Table 504 2).

505

< Table 2 >

507 3.2.3. Removal efficiency during continuous-flow operation

The removal of pharmaceuticals was also investigated during continuous-flow operation of the single- and three-stage MBBRs, in proximity of batch 1 and 2. Removal efficiencies in the two systems, calculated from influent and effluent concentrations, are shown in Table S7. Removal efficiencies in each reactor stage (S1, S2 and S3) were calculated for ATN, CIT, TMP and ERY (Fig. S14).

513 Generally, removal efficiencies were in agreement with observations from batch experiments. 514 ATN and CIT underwent relatively high removal in both single- and three-stage MBRRs ($\geq 72\%$ 515 and 56-67% respectively), as previously observed in post-denitrifying MBBR (HRT=2 h; 516 Torresi et al., 2017). Intermediate removal efficiencies (25–50%) were shown for TMP and ERY, 517 also similarly to ethanol- and methanol-dosed post-denitrifying MBBRs, respectively (Torresi 518 et al., 2017). Formation of SMX and DCF was shown, thus resulting in negative removal 519 efficiencies (up to -157%) that are in agreement with findings in full-scale WWTPs (Plósz et al., 520 2010b, 2012; Göbel et al., 2005, 2007). Substances classified as recalcitrant based on estimated 521 k_{bio} also exhibited limited elimination (removal efficiency <10%).

In the three-stage system, non-recalcitrant and non-retransformable compounds showed highest removal efficiency in S1 (Fig. S14) with the exception of TMP, which underwent the highest removal in S3. Interestingly, differences between batch 1 and 2 were reflected by changes in removal efficiency. SMX and TMP underwent increased (batch 1: -157% and -135%; batch 2: -58% and -39%) and decreased (batch 1: 39% and 49%; batch 2: 24% and 29%) removal efficiency, respectively, in line with changes in estimated k_{bio} values.

528

529 **3.3.** Linking denitrification and pharmaceutical biotransformation (batch 2)

530 Long-term system operation (>450 d) was instrumental in ensuring sufficient biomass adaptation

531 (Weiss and Reemtsma, 2008), as exemplified by differences between batch 1 and 2. Prolonged 532 exposure to increased organic substrate loading and availability in S1 corresponded to an 533 enhancement of denitrification and biotransformation kinetics. At the same time, biofilm in S1 534 had access to a broader and more diverse array of carbon sources (including pharmaceuticals), 535 likely including a higher fraction of readily degradable organic substrates. Hence, on the one 536 hand, a more active denitrifying community likely populated the biofilm in S1 compared to S3, 537 of which the latter included a higher fraction of inert biomass (Boltz et al., 2017). This may have 538 led to a positive influence on the cometabolic biotransformation of a number of pharmaceuticals 539 (as further discussed in this paragraph) in S1. On the other hand, the exposure to different organic 540 loading resulted in the development of microbial communities with different degree of 541 biodiversity in the three-staged MBBR, possibly influencing pharmaceutical biotransformation. 542 Preliminary results on the influence of reactor staging on microbial community composition in 543 the MBBRs can be found in Torresi (2017).

Findings in this study are in analogy with observations in a two-stage managed aquifer recharge system, where carbon availability positively influenced the removal of a number of pharmaceuticals (as well as denitrifying activity) under anoxic conditions (Hellauer et al., 2017). Furthermore, increased carbon loading to aerobic activated sludge reactors was beneficial for the removal of estrone (Tan et al., 2013). On the contrary, staging of aerobic MBBRs resulted in an overall enhancement of biotransformation kinetics by substrate-limited biofilms in the last reactor stage (Escolá Casas et al., 2015).

The relationship between denitrification and biotransformation kinetics in S1, S2, S3 and U was further assessed in detail. Figure 5a and S12a present transformation rate constants for all nonrecalcitrant pharmaceuticals, i.e. SMX, ERY, TMP, ATN, and CIT, plotted as a function of \overline{k}_{NOX} . Strong linear correlations were shown for SMX, ERY and TMP (R²=0.90–0.99; Fig. 5a), while weaker correlation was found for ATN and CIT ($R^2=0.44-0.53$; Fig. S13a). Interestingly, varying regression slopes were shown for the selected pharmaceuticals, possibly indicating significantly different transformation potential by denitrifying communities (independently of the available organic substrate). Linear correlations have been previously observed between k_{bio} and specific nitrification rates for several pharmaceuticals, including trimethoprim (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2012).

561 Similarly, linear correlations were observed between k_{bio} , k_{dec} and μ_{max} (Fig. 5b) and 1-Y_H (Fig. 562 5c), although less strong as compared to \overline{k}_{NOX} (R²=0.54–0.78). The correlation obtained with 563 μ_{max} supports reasonably well the positive relationship between kinetics of denitrification and 564 pharmaceutical biotransformation. The parameter $1-Y_H$ denotes the fraction of electron 565 equivalents used for catabolic respiration (thus, NO_X reduction). Accordingly, the utilization of 566 organic substrate for storage and/or anabolism negatively influenced the removal of 567 pharmaceuticals, thus further suggesting the association biotransformation with denitrifying 568 activity. Taken together, these observations point towards the conclusion that biotransformation 569 of non-recalcitrant pharmaceuticals resulted from cometabolism by denitrifying bacteria. 570 Simultaneous increased loading and availability of organic substrate, as induced in reactor S1, 571 can result in a significant enhancement of both heterotrophic denitrification and pharmaceutical 572 biotransformation capacity as compared to single-stage systems and reactors operated under 573 substrate-limited conditions.

574 Considering the positive dependency with heterotrophic denitrification, a cometabolic 575 biotransformation model was proposed based on the correlations in Fig. 5a (Eq. 10):

576
$$\frac{dC_{LI}}{dt} = T_{C,NOX} \bar{k}_{NOX} C_{LI}$$
(10)

577 The coefficient $T_{C,NOX}$ (L mgN⁻¹; Eq. 11):

578
$$T_{C,NOX} = \frac{k_{bio,dec}}{\overline{k}_{NOX}}$$
(11)

579 is defined as the transformation coefficient associated to denitrification, and quantifies the 580 relative pharmaceutical mass (-) transformed per mgN L^{-1} reduced. $T_{C,NOX}$ was defined in analogy 581 to coefficients relating kinetics of pharmaceutical transformation and of ammonia oxidation 582 (Sathyamoorthy et al., 2013). Interestingly, selected pharmaceuticals exhibited a wide range of $T_{C,NOX}$ values (0.005–0.072 L mgN⁻¹), indicating significantly different transformation potential 583 584 by denitrifying communities (independently of the available organic substrate). The presented 585 model represents one of the first attempts to describe cometabolic biodegradation under pre-586 denitrifying conditions, and can be used for forward predictions of pharmaceutical removal as a 587 function of denitrifying activity. Nevertheless, this approach requires further confirmation 588 through validation with independent datasets.

< Figure 5 >

589

591 4. Conclusions

592 Based on the experimental (continuous-flow monitoring, batch experiments) and model-based 593 observations presented in this study, we could draw the following conclusions:

Reactor staging effectively determined a gradient in organic substrate loading and
 availability in the three-stage pre-denitrifying MBBR. Fluctuations in influent composition
 led to not negligible dynamics in the denitrification performance during continuous-flow
 operation, with an overall decrease of denitrification rates after 400 days of operation.

Retransformation from conjugated metabolites led to the formation of parent sulfonamide
 antibiotics, namely sulfamethoxazole and sulfadiazine. Possible enantioselective
 biotransformation was observed for atenolol and citalopram, and a model was developed and
 successfully calibrated to describe observed removal kinetics.

As compared to previous findings for nitrifying MBBR and denitrifying activated sludge,
 enhanced biotransformation kinetics were shown for sulfamethoxazole, erythromycin and
 atenolol, in particular at higher organic substrate availability. Hence, pre-denitrifying
 MBBRs operated under increased organic substrate availability may represent a valid option
 to improve the removal of these three pharmaceuticals.

Tiered organic substrate loading and quality in single-stage and three-stage MBBRs
 determined significant differences in the microbial community functions of denitrification
 and pharmaceutical biotransformation. After more than 450 days of continuous-flow
 operation, the highest denitrification and pharmaceutical biotransformation kinetics were
 shown in reactor S1, exposed to the highest electron donor loading and availability.

When considering all MBBRs, biotransformation rate constants of non-recalcitrant
 pharmaceuticals positively correlated with mean specific denitrification rates, maximum
 specific growth rates and catabolic electron fractions. This indicates that biotransformation

of pharmaceuticals is likely a cometabolic process, and that microbial activity both in terms
 of primary (denitrification) and secondary (pharmaceutical biotransformation) metabolic
 processes activity is more effectively supported by non-limiting organic substrate
 availability.

619

620 Acknowledgments

F. Polesel acknowledges financial support from the Technical University of Denmark (DTU), Department of Environmental Engineering for a PhD research fellowship. This research was also supported by MERMAID, ITN funded by the People Programme (Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions) of the EU FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n° 607492', and by the AUFF Center for Advanced Water Purification. The authors are grateful to Carlos Domingo-Félez for scientific discussion and to Mona Refstrup for the support with chemical analyses.

627

628 **References**

Alder, A.C., Schaffner, C., Majewsky, M., Klasmeier, J., Fenner, K. (2010). Fate of β-blocker human pharmaceuticals in surface water: Comparison of measured and simulated concentrations in the Glatt Valley Watershed, Switzerland. Water Res. 44, 936–948.

Bendz, D., Paxeus, N.A., Ginn, T.R., Loge, F.J. (2005). Occurrence and fate of pharmaceutically
active compounds in the environment, a case study: Höje River in Sweden. J. Hazard. Mater.

634 122, 195–204.

635 Beun, J.J., Verhoef, E.V., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Heijnen, J.J. (2000). Stoichiometry and

- 636 kinetics of poly-β-hydroxybutyrate metabolism under denitrifying conditions in activated
- 637 sludge cultures. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 68, 496–507.
- 638 Boltz, J.P., Johnson, B.R., Takács, I., Daigger, G.T., Morgenroth, E., Brockmann, D., Kovács,

- R., Calhoun, J.M., Choubert, J.M., Derlon, N. (2017). Biofilm carrier migration model
 describes reactor performance. Water Sci. Technol., accepted, doi: 10.2166/wst.2017.160
- 641 Clesceri, L.S., Greenberg, A.E., Eaton, A.D. (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of
 642 Water and Wastewater (20th edition). APHA, Washington, US.
- 643 Collado, N., Buttiglieri, G., Ferrando-Climent, L., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Barceló, D., Comas, J.,
- Rodriguez-Roda, I. (2012). Removal of ibuprofen and its transformation products:
 Experimental and simulation studies. Sci. Total Environ. 433, 296–301.
- 646 de Graaff, M.S., Vieno, N.M., Kujawa-Roeleveld, K., Zeeman, G., Temmink, H., Buisman,
- 647 C.J.N. (2011). Fate of hormones and pharmaceuticals during combined anaerobic treatment
- and nitrogen removal by partial nitritation-anammox in vacuum collected black water. Water
 Res. 45, 375–383.
- Ekama, G.A., Wentzel, M.C. (1999). Denitrification kinetics in biological N and P removal
 activated sludge systems treating municipal wastewaters. Water Sci. Technol. 39, 69–77.
- Ekama, G.A., Wentzel, M.C. (2008). Nitrogen removal. In Henze, M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M.,
- Ekama, G., Brdjanovic, D., "Biological wastewater treatment—principles, modelling and
 design", IWA Publishing, London, UK.
- Escher, B.I., Bramaz, N., Richter, M., Lienert, J. (2006). Comparative ecotoxicological hazard
 assessment of beta-blockers and their human metabolites using a mode-of-action-based test
 battery and a QSAR approach. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 7402–7408.
- Escolá Casas, M., Chhetri, R.K., Ooi, G., Hansen, K.M.S., Litty, K., Christensson, M.,
 Kragelund, C., Andersen, H.R., Bester, K. (2015). Biodegradation of pharmaceuticals in
 hospital wastewater by staged Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors (MBBR). Water Res. 83, 293–
 302.
- 662 Evans, S. E., Davies, P., Lubben, A., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. (2015) Determination of chiral

- pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs in wastewater and sludge using microwave assisted
 extraction, solid-phase extraction and chiral liquid chromatography coupled with tandem
 mass spectrometry. Anal. Chim. Acta 882, 112–126.
- 666 Falås, P., Baillon-Dhumez, A., Andersen, H.R., Ledin, A., la Cour Jansen, J. (2012). Suspended
- biofilm carrier and activated sludge removal of acidic pharmaceuticals. Water Res. 46, 1167–
 1175.
- Falås, P., Longrée, P., la Cour Jansen, J., Siegrist, H., Hollender, J., Joss, A. (2013).
 Micropollutant removal by attached and suspended growth in a hybrid biofilm-activated
 sludge process. Water Res. 47, 4498–4506.
- Fernandez-Fontaina, E., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Carballa, M. (2012). Influence of nitrifying
 conditions on the biodegradation and sorption of emerging micropollutants. Water Res. 46,
 5434–5444.
- 675 Ghattas, A.K., Fischer, F., Wick, A., Ternes, T. (2017). Anaerobic biodegradation of (emerging)
 676 organic contaminants in the aquatic environment. Water Res., accepted.
- 677 Göbel, A., Thomsen, A., McArdell, C.S., Joss, A., Giger, W. (2005). Occurrence and sorption
- behavior of sulfonamides, macrolides, and trimethoprim in activated sludge treatment.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 3981–3989.
- Göbel, A., McArdell, C.S., Joss, A., Siegrist, H., Giger, W. (2007). Fate of sulphonamides,
 macrolides, and trimethoprim in different wastewater technologies. Sci. Total Environ., 372,
 361–371.
- 683 Grady, Jr., C.P.L., Daigger, G.T., Love, N.G., Filipe, C.D.M. (2011). Biological Wastewater
 684 Treatment (3rd edition). IWA Publishing, London, UK.
- Hellauer, K., Mergel, D., Ruhl, A.S., Filter, J., Hübner, U., Jekel, M., Drewes, J.E. (2017).
 Advancing sequential managed aquifer recharge technology (SMART) using different

- 687 intermediate oxidation processes. Water 9, 221.
- Hörsing, M., Ledin, A., Grabic, R., Fick, J., Tysklind, M., la Cour Jansen, J., Andersen, H.R.
- 689 (2011). Determination of sorption of seventy-five pharmaceuticals in sewage sludge. Water
 690 Res. 45, 4470–4482.
- 691 Jelic, A., Gros, M., Ginebreda, A., Cespedes-Sánchez, R., Ventura, F., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D.
- 692 (2011). Occurrence, partition and removal of pharmaceuticals in sewage water and sludge
 693 during wastewater treatment. Water Res. 45, 1165–1176.
- 694 Jewell, K.S., Castronovo, S., Wick, A., Falås, P., Joss, A., Ternes, T.A. (2016). New insights
- 695 into the transformation of trimethoprim during biological wastewater treatment. Water Res.696 88, 550–557.
- Joss, A., Zabczynski, S., Göbel, A., Hoffmann, B., Löffler, D., McArdell, C.S., Ternes, T.A.,
 Thomsen, A., Siegrist, H. (2006). Biological degradation of pharmaceuticals in municipal
 wastewater treatment: Proposing a classification scheme. Water Res. 40, 1686–1696.
- Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Baker, D.R. (2011). Enantiomeric profiling of chiral drugs in wastewater
 and receiving waters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 1681–1691.
- Kujawa, K., Klapwijk, B. (1999) A method to estimate denitrification potential for
 predenitrification systems using NUR batch test. Water Res. 33, 2291–2300.
- 704 Lee, H.J., Lee, E., Yoon, S.H., Chang H.R., Kim, K., Kwon, J.H. (2012). Enzymatic and
- 705 microbial transformation assays for the evaluation of the environmental fate of diclofenac and
- its metabolites. Chemosphere 87, 969–974.
- 707 MacLeod, S.L., Sudhir, P., Wong, C.S. (2007). Stereoisomer analysis of wastewater-derived β-
- 708 blockers, selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors, and salbutamol by high-performance liquid
- chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1170, 23–33.
- 710 Maurer, M., Escher, B.I., Richle, P., Schaffner, C., Alder, A.C. (2007). Elimination of β-blockers

- 711 in sewage treatment plants. Water Res. 41, 1614–1622.
- Muller, A., Wentzel, M.C., Loewenthal, R.E., Ekama, G.A. (2003). Heterotroph anoxic yield in
 anoxic aerobic activated sludge systems treating municipal wastewater. Water Res. 37, 2435–
 2441.
- 715 Nikolai, L.N., McClure, E.L., MacLeod, S.L., Wong, C.S. (2006). Stereoisomer quantification
- of the β-blocker drugs atenolol, metoprolol, and propranolol in wastewaters by chiral highperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1131,
 103–109.
- Ødegaard, H., Rusten, B., Westrum, T. (1994). A new moving bed bofilm reactor—Applications
 and results. Water Sci. Tech., 29, 157–165.
- Pan, Y., Ni, B.J., Lu, H., Chandran, K., Richardson, D., Yuan, Z. (2015). Evaluating two
 concepts for the modelling of intermediates accumulation during biological denitrification in
 wastewater treatment. Water Res. 71, 21–31.
- Plósz, B.G. (2007). Optimization of the activated sludge anoxic reactor configuration as a means
 to control nutrient removal kinetically. Water Res. 41, 1763–1773.
- 726 Plósz, B.G., Vogelsang, C., Macrae, K., Heiaas, H.H., Lopez, A., Liltved, H., Langford, K.H.
- 727 (2010a). The BIOZO process a biofilm system combined with ozonation: occurrence of
- xenobiotic organic micro-pollutants in and removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and
 nitrogen from landfill leachate. Water Sci. Technol. 61, 3188–3197.
- Plósz, B.G., Leknes, H., Thomas, K.V. (2010b). Impacts of competitive inhibition, parent
 compound formation and partitioning behaviour on antibiotic micro-pollutants removal in
 activated sludge. Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 734–742.
- 733 Plósz, B.G., Langford, K.H., Thomas, K.V. (2012). An activated sludge model for trace
- 734 xenobiotic chemicals (ASM-X): Assessment of diclofenac and carbamazepine. Biotechnol.

- 735 Bioeng., 109, 2757–2769.
- Plósz, B.G., Reid, M.J, Borup, M., Langford, K.H., Thomas, K.V. (2013). Biotransformation
 kinetics and sorption of cocaine and its metabolites and the factors influencing their
 estimation in wastewater. Water Res., 47, 2129–2140.
- 739 Polesel, F., Andersen, H.R., Trapp, S., Plósz, B.G. (2016). Removal of antibiotics in biological
- 740 wastewater treatment systems—A critical assessment using the Activated Sludge Modeling
- 741 Framework for Xenobiotics (ASM-X). Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 10316–10334.
- Radjenovic, J., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D. (2009). Fate and distribution of pharmaceuticals in
 wastewater and sewage sludge of the conventional activated sludge (CAS) and advanced
 membrane bioreactor (MBR) treatment. Water Res. 43, 831–841.
- Radke, M., Lauwigi, C., Heinkele, G., Mürdter, T.E., Letzel, M. (2009). Fate of the antibiotic
 sulfamethoxazole and its two major human metabolites in a water sediment test. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 43, 3135–3141.
- Reichert, P. (1998). AQUASIM 2.0—Computer Program for the Identification and Simulation
 of Aquatic Systems. EAWAG, Dübendorf, Switzerland.
- Ribeiro, A.R., Afonso, C.M., Castro, P.M.L., Tiritan, M.E. (2013). Enantioselective HPLC
 analysis and biodegradation of atenolol, metoprolol and fluoxetine. Environ. Chem. Lett. 11,
 83–90.
- Roeleveld, P.J., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. (2002). Experience with guidelines for wastewater
 characterisation in The Netherlands. Water Sci. Technol. 45, 77–87.
- 755 Sathyamoorthy, S., Chandran, K., Ramsburg, C.A. (2013). Biodegradation and cometabolic
- modeling of selected beta blockers during ammonia oxidation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47,
 12835–12843.
- 758 Scuras, S.E., Jobbágy, A., Grady, Jr., C.P.L. (2001). Optimization of activated sludge reactor

- configuration: kinetic considerations. Water Res. 35, 4277–4284.
- 760 Stadler, L.B., Su, L., Moline, C.J., Ernstoff, A.S., Aga, D.S., Love, N.G. (2015). Effect of redox
- 761 conditions on pharmaceutical loss during biological wastewater treatment using sequencing
- batch reactors. J. Hazard. Mater. 282, 106–115.
- 763 Stierlin, H., Faigle, J.W. (1979). Biotransformation of diclofenac sodium (Voltaren®) in animals
- and in man.: II. Quantitative determination of the unchanged drug and principal phenolic
 metabolites, in urine and bile. Xenob. 9, 611–621.
- Su, L., Aga, D., Chandran, K., Khunjar, W.O. (2015). Factors impacting biotransformation
 kinetics of trace organic compounds in lab-scale activated sludge systems performing
 nitrification and denitrification. J. Hazard. Mater. 281, 116–124.
- Suarez, S., Lema, J.M., Omil, F. (2010). Removal of Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products
 (PPCPs) under nitrifying and denitrifying conditions. Water Res. 44, 3214–3224.
- Tan, D.T., Arnold, W.A., Novak, P.J. (2013). Impact of organic carbon on the biodegradation of
 estrone in mixed culture systems. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 12359–12365.
- Ternes, T.A., Herrmann, N., Bonerz, M., Knacker, T., Siegrist, H., Joss, A. (2004). A rapid
 method to measure the solid–water distribution coefficient (K_d) for pharmaceuticals and musk
 fragrances in sewage sludge. Water Res. 38, 4075–4084.
- 776 Torresi, E., Fowler, S.J., Polesel, F., Bester, K., Andersen, H.R., Smets, B.F., Plósz, B.G.,
- 777 Christensson, M. (2016). Biofilm thickness influences biodiversity in nitrifying MBBRs –
- T78 Implications on micropollutant removal. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 9279–9288.
- 779 Torresi, E., Escolá Casas, M., Polesel, F., Plósz, B.G., Christensson, M., Bester, K. (2017).
- 780 Impact of external carbon dose on the removal of micropollutants using methanol and ethanol
- 781 in post-denitrifying Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors. Water Res. 108, 95–105.
- 782 Torresi, E. (2017). Removal of micropollutants in Moving Bed Biofilm reactors (MBBRs) —

- 783 Microbial structure and function relationships. PhD dissertation, DTU Environment,
 784 Technical University of Denmark.
- Ubay Çokgör, E., Sözen, S., Orhon, D., Henze, M. (1998). Respirometric analysis of activated
 sludge behaviour—I. Assessment of the readily biodegradable substrate. Water Res. 32, 461–
 475.
- van der Ven, A.J.A.M., Vree, T.B., van Ewijk-Beneken Kolmer, E.W.J., Koopmans, P.P., van
 der Meer, J.W.M. (1995). Urinary recovery and kinetics of sulphamethoxazole and its
 metabolites in HIV-seropositive patients and healthy volunteers after a single oral dose of
- sulphamethoxazole. Br. J. Clin. Pharmac. 39, 621–625.
- Vazquez-Roig, P., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Blasco, C., Picó, Y. (2014). Stereoisomeric profiling
 of drugs of abuse and pharmaceuticals in wastewaters of Valencia (Spain). Sci. Total Environ.
 494–495, 49–57.
- Vieno, N., Sillanpää, M. (2014). Fate of diclofenac in municipal wastewater treatment–A review.
 Environ. Intern. 69, 28–39.
- 797 Vree, T.B., Hekster, Y.A. (1987). Clinical pharmacokinetics of sulfonamides and their
 798 metabolites An encyclopedia. Antibiot. Chemother. 37, 1–214.
- Vree T.B., van der Ven, A.J.A.M., Koopmans, P.P., van Ewijk-Beneken Kolmer, E.W.J.,
 Verwey-van Wissen, C.P.W.G.M. (1995). Pharmacokinetics of sulfamethoxazole with its
- 801 hydroxy metabolites and N4-acetyl-, N1-glucuronide conjugates in healthy human volunteers.
- 802 Clin. Drug Invest. 9, 43–53.
- 803 Weiss, S., Reemtsma, T. (2008). Membrane bioreactors for municipal wastewater treatment A
- 804 viable option to reduce the amount of polar pollutants discharged into surface waters? Water
 805 Res. 42, 3837–3847.
- 806 Wick, A., Fink, G., Joss, A., Siegrist, H., Ternes, T.A. (2009). Fate of beta blockers and psycho-

- 807 active drugs in conventional wastewater treatment. Water Res. 43, 1060–1074.
- 808 Zhang, Y., Geißen, S.U., Gal, C. (2008). Carbamazepine and diclofenac: Removal in wastewater
- treatment plants and occurrence in water bodies. Chemosphere 73, 1151–1161.
- 810

811 Tables

812 Table 1. Summary of model structures identified and used in this study to describe

Cara	December	State variables					
Case	Processes	C _{LI}	$C_{EN,1}$	$C_{EN,2}$	C _{CJ}	Rate equation	
Biotransformation only (Fig. 3a)	Biotransformation of <i>C</i> _{LI}	-1				$\frac{k_{bio}}{1+K_d X_{SS}} C_{LI} X_{SS}$	
Biotransformation and	Biotransformation of C_{LI}	-1				$\frac{k_{bio}}{1+K_d X_{SS}} C_{LI} X_{SS}$	
retransformation (Fig. 3b-c)	Formation of <i>C</i> _{LI}	$^{+1}_{(+F)*}$			-1	$k_{dec}C_{CJ}X_{SS}$	
Enantioselective	Biotransformation of enantiomer 1	(-1)	-1			$\frac{k_{bio,1}}{1+K_d X_{SS}} C_{EN,1} X_{SS}$	
(Fig. 3d)	Biotransformation of enantiomer 2	(-1)		-1		$\frac{k_{bio,2}}{1+K_d X_{SS}} C_{EN,2} X_{SS}$	

813 pharmaceutical concentration profiles observed during batch experiments (batch 1 and 2).

*In case the pharmaceutical has only one known retransformable conjugate (SDZ and AcSDZ), the stoichiometry coefficient F should be used, being equivalent to the ratio of the molecular weight of parent (M_{LI}) and conjugated (M_{CJ}) pharmaceutical.

Table 2. Summary of kinetic (k_{bio} , k_{dec} , $k_{bio,1}$, $k_{bio,2}$ in L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹) and stoichiometric (*EF*—including the two respective fraction of enantiomer 1 and 2—and $n_{LI,CJ}$) parameters estimated in this study on pharmaceutical biotransformation and comparison with literature values.

Chemical	Parameter	Batch 1	Batch 2	Literature	Ref ⁴	Conditions ⁵
	$k_{bio, l}$	2.7-4.3				
	$k_{bio,2}$	0.0				
ATN1.3	EF	0.19 (0.81)		0.30-0.53	A–E	RI
AIN				0.7–0.8	 F	DNAS
	k_{bio}		1.1-2.4	0.5 - 5.0	F, G, H	NMBBR
				5.1-6.4	Ι	DNMBBR
	k _{bio, l}		2.9-5.1			
	$k_{bio,2}$		0.0 - 0.4			
CIT ³	k			0.7 - 3.1	Н	NMBBR
				2.9–4.3	Ι	DNMBBR
	EF		0.52 (0.48)	0.56 - 0.60	<i>B</i> , <i>E</i>	RI
				0.2	J	DNAS
ERY^{1}	k_{bio}		0.3 - 1.0	0.2 - 0.9	<i>G</i> , <i>H</i>	NMBBR
				0.2–0.6	Ι	DNMBBR
				1.5	J	DNAS
IBU^{1}	k_{bio}		0.00-0.03	0-31	<i>H, K</i>	NMBBR
				0.5–1.4	1	DNMBBR
IOH^1	k _{bio}		0.00-0.03	0.0-2.2	<i>G</i> , <i>H</i>	NMBBR
	₽ bio			0.3-0.7		DNMBBR
SMZ^1	k_{bio}		0.02 - 0.09	0.2-0.9	G, H	NMBBK
				0.0-3.3		DNMBBK
\mathbf{TMP}^1	k_{bio}	0.4–1.0	0.1–0.3	$\leq 0.1 - 1.5$	г, L F. G. H	DNA5 NMBBB
1 1011				0.1-5.5 2 1_4 1	I', 0, 11 I	DNMBBR
				<0.1	$\frac{I}{F}$	DNAS
VFX^1	khia		0.02-0.06	<0.1-0.3	F. G. H	NMBBR
	10010			0.1–1.9	I	DNMBBR
				< 0.1-1.0	F, J, M	DNAS
	k_{bio}	0.0	0.00-0.05	0.1 - 5.8	F, G, H, K	NMBBR
				0.0	Ι	DNMBBR
DCF^2	k _{dec}	2774		5.0	M	DNAS
		3./-/.4		1.6-2.5	G	NMBBR
	n _{LI,CJ}	4.50		0.85	М	SI
				≤0.1	F	DNAS
	<i>k</i> _{bio} 0.1–0.3	0.1-0.3	0.0	0.3-1.2	F, G, H	NMBBR
MET^2				0.4 - 0.8	Ι	DNMBBR
14112-1	k _{dec}	0.5–2.4				
	n _{LI.CJ}	3.80				
	,					

				0.4	N	DNAS
	k_{bio}	0.7 - 2.2	1.0-3.5	0.2 - 1.0	<i>G</i> , <i>H</i>	NMBBR
SMV ²				0.1-3.2	Ι	DMBBR
SIMA	1-	1065	0521	1.1–7.9	<i>F</i> , <i>N</i>	DNAS
	Kdec	1.9-0.3	0.3-2.1	0.7 - 1.6	F	NMBBR
	n _{LI,CJ}	0.73	0.15	0.13-0.66	N, 0	SI
	k.	0717	0717		<i>G</i> , <i>H</i>	NMBBR
SDZ^2	n bio	0./-1./		0.6–1.0	Ι	DNMBBR
(Ac-SDZ)	(SDZ) k_{dec} 1.0–2.0		<0.1-8.4	<i>G, H</i>	NMBBR	
		1.0-2.0	1.0-2.0		Ι	DNMBBR

¹Biotransformation only (Eq. 3); ²Bio- and retransformation (Eqs. 4–6); ³Enantioselective biotransformation (Eq. 9–10); ⁴References: A=Nikolai et al. (2006); B=MacLeod et al. (2007); C=Kasprzyk-Hordern and Baker (2011); D=Vazquez-Roig et al. (2014); E=Evans et al. (2015); F=Falås et al. (2013) (rate constants as L gTAS⁻¹ d⁻¹); G=Torresi et al. (2016) (rate constants as L gTAS d⁻¹); H=Escolá-Casas et al. (2015) (rate constants as L gTAS d⁻¹); I=Torresi et al. (2017) (rate constants as L gTAS d⁻¹); J=Suarez et al. (2010) (rate constants as L gVSS⁻¹ d⁻¹); K=Falås et al. (2012) (rate constants as L gTAS⁻¹ d⁻¹); L=Su et al. (2015) (rate constants as L gCOD⁻¹ d⁻¹); M=Plósz et al. (2012) (rate constants as L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹); N=Plósz et al. (2010b) (rate constants as L gTSS⁻¹ d⁻¹); O=Göbel et al. (2007); ⁵Abbreviations: DNAS=Denitrifying Activated Sludge; NMBBR=Nitrifying MBBR; DNMBBR=Denitrifying MBBR; RI=Raw Influent; SI=Secondary Influent.

Figures



Figure 1. Schematic representation of three-stage (S1, S2, S3) and single-stage (U) MBBR systems under continuous-flow operation. The two MBBRs, having overall the same filling ratio (33%) and working volume (6 L), were operated in parallel with the same influent flow rate (16.2 L d⁻¹) and thus the same hydraulic residence time (HRT=8.9 h). External dosing of potassium nitrate (KNO₃) was performed to achieve an influent NO₃-N concentration of 103 mgN L⁻¹ in S1 and U reactors. Sparging of N₂ gas was used in each MBBR to minimize oxygen penetration and ensure carrier mixing in the reactors.



Figure 2. Concentration profiles of NO_X (a) and total COD (b) measured during anoxic
respirometry (batch 2) in different MBBR reactors. The x-axis in (a) is intentionally subdivided
in two parts of different scales to highlight lag phases in NO_X reduction in S2, U and S3.



Figure 3. Typical profiles of pharmaceutical concentrations observed during batch experiments
(circles) and simulation results with calibrated model (full, dashed and dotted lines): (a)
biotransformation only (ATN, batch 2; Eq. 3); (b, c) retransformation and biotransformation (b:
SMX, batch 1; c: DCF, batch 1; Eq. 5–6); (d) enantioselective biotransformation (ATN, batch 1;
Eq. 9).



Figure 4. Estimated transformation rate constants for pharmaceuticals in batch 1 (a) and batch 2 (b). The dashed line at $k_{bio} = 0.1 \text{ L gTSS}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Joss et al., 2006) is used as threshold to identify recalcitrant and non-recalcitrant pharmaceuticals.



Figure 5. Estimated transformation rate constants (k_{bio} , k_{dec}) for non-recalcitrant pharmaceuticals plotted as a function of (a) mean specific denitrification rate (\overline{k}_{NOX}), (b) maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}) and (c) catabolic electron fraction (1- Y_H) during batch 2 in different MBBR reactors (S1, S2, S3, U). Linear regressions (solid lines) are used to indicate the possible correlation between denitrification and pharmaceutical removal kinetics for each substance (numbers in the figure denote the R² values of each regression).