



Citation for published version:
Daniels, J, Osborn, M, Davis, C & Hill, P 2017, 'Better safe than sorry? Frequent attendance in a community hospital emergency department' British Pain Society 50th Anniversary Annual Scientific Meeting, Birmingham, UK United Kingdom, 3/05/17 - 5/05/17, .

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

University of Bath

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policyIf you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 13. May. 2019





Better Safe Than Sorry?

Frequent Attendance in a Community **Hospital Emergency Department**

Jo Daniels **Cara Davis**

University of Bath Dept. Psychology

Patrick Hill Mike Osborn

Pain Clinic Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust

Introduction

Pain accounts for up to 78% of Emergency Department (ED) attendances [1], including repeat attenders for similar or related problems. Frequent Attenders (FA's) typically present as complex, chaotic and with unexplained symptoms [2]. In addition to health difficulties they are considered to be psychologically and socially vulnerable [3].

Decision-making regarding seeking medical help is complex: psychological, social and medical factors are interrelated and cannot be understood purely in terms of health need¹¹: research indicates that there are many psychological factors likely to influence patients' decisions to access the ED, including understanding of their health condition[4], accessibility of other services[5], level of distress and influence of others, such as health professionals or families[6]. Frequent attendance is associated with health problems that could be better managed elsewhere [7].

Aims

This exploratory study was commissioned to:

- 1. Identify the defining characteristics and unmet needs of FA's
- 2. Explore the staff perceptions and attitudes towards FA's
- 3. Make recommendations for reducing frequent attendance



What if we discharge them too early ...and we have missed something?



Methods

A mixed methods approach was used to explore the specific needs of FA's and the multi-system context of this behaviour:

- Brief structured interviews with FA's referred to the 'majors' section of the ED, conducted in vivo (N=30), to assess relevant factors associated with attendance. Interview questions were based on current evidence base and developed iteratively between the ED lead consultant and study authors.
- Quantitative analysis of hospital business data of the most FA's (N=50) including hospital related activity, demographic information and referral path.
- Case note analysis of FA's (N=10) with a qualitative analysis of common themes.
- Staff interviews: a sample of ED and pain clinic staff (N=8) were interviewed using a brief semi-structured format to elicit views and attitudes towards FAs.

Results

FA Demographics:

- 21- 40 years of age, 50:50 male and female
- 70% attended via emergency services
- 100% had long-term conditions
- Approx. 50% had complex psychosocial needs
- Majority had unexplained symptoms and used multiple medications

Top 50 most frequent attenders:

- Averaged 18 attendances per annum
- 49% were admitted
- 60% were discharged within 48 hours
- >80% were discharged without intervention

Influences on attendance at ED:

- Familial history of serious illness
- Relatives encouragement to attend
- VAS score of 7/10 indicated common perceived necessary level intervention

Staff views:

Split between those who viewed FA's compassionately and others who were less sympathetic:



Impressions ranged from compassion...to considering the FA's to be attention seeking



Staff agreed that current systems were ineffective at meeting the need of the FA's, which contributed to their anxieties around missing serious illness.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, there are a number of changes which could address the needs of this population:

- 1. Frequent attender policy: a system wide approach to respond to the needs of FA's
- 2. Multi-faceted care pathways: to meet clinical need and offer smooth transition for FA's
- 3. Multi-disciplinary care plans: encouraging consistent approach to treating each FA
- 4. Staff education & support: multi-level education and support re FA needs and FA policies
- 5. Screening tools in the ED: to triage mental health and inform care plans
- 6. Written information: leaflets with information on alternative urgent care services
- 7. Brief discharge action plans: Given at discharge and shared with both patient and GP

Discussion

Frequent attendance indicates a poor fit between medical model emergency services and vulnerable patient groups, with compromised psychological and social circumstances. This is thought to contribute to the ambiguity experienced by ED staff managing FA's and more likely to result in a *better safe than sorry* culture

US studies indicate that the most successful interventions for FAs comprise a case management approach, with or without the inclusion of multidisciplinary input[8, 9].

Implementation of care plans along with other study recommendations are likely to lead to better met need of the FA and more appropriate use of health services.

References

[1]Todd, K.H., et al (2007) Pain in the emergency department: results of the pain and emergency medicine initiative (PEMI) multicenter study. Journal of Pain, 8(6): p. 460-466.

[2] Olsson, M., & Hansagi, H. (2001) Repeated use of the emergency department: qualitative study of the patient's perspective. Journal of Emergency Medicine; 18:430-434.

[3] Byrne, M., Murphy, A.W., Plunkett, P.K., McGee, H.M., Murray, A. and Bury, G. (2003) Frequent attenders to an emergency department: a study of primary health care use, medical profile, and psychosocial characteristics. Annals of Emergency Medicine. 41

[4] Murphy AW. 'Inappropriate' attenders at accident and emergency departments I: definitions, incidence and reasons for attendance. The Journal of Family Practice. 1998; 15: 23-32.

[5] Penson R, Coleman P, Mason S, Nicholl J. Why do patients with minor or moderate conditions that could be managed in other settings attend the Emergency Department? Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2011; 29: 487-91.

[6] Rajpar SF, Smith MA, Cooke MW. Study of choice between accident and emergency departments and general practice centres for out of hours primary care problems. Journal of Accident and Emergency Medicine 2000; 17: 18-21.

[7] Gill JM, Mainous AG 3rd, Nsereko M. The effect of continuity of care on emergency use. Archives of Family Medicine 2000; 9: 333-8 [8]Pillow, M.T., Doctor, S., Brown, S., Carter, K. and Mulliken, R. (2013) An emergency department-initiated, web-based, multidisciplinary approach to decreasing emergency department visits by the top frequent visitors using patient care plans. Journal of Emergency

[9]Skinner, J., Carter, L. and Haxton, C. (2009) Case management of patients who frequently present to a Scottish emergency department. Emergency Medicine Journal. 26 (2), pp. 103-5.

Medicine. 44 (4), pp. 853-60.

Contact: j.daniels@bath.ac.uk

Conclusion

The FA problem is likely to be of a systemic nature and a new overarching framework should be defined by the understanding that this group are vulnerable, complex and presenting with genuine need.

Pain associated with high levels of anxiety on the part of the patient or clinician in the ED environment is fuelling a better 'safe than sorry' culture.