

*Citation for published version:* Exposito, AJ, Patterson, DA, Mansor, WSW, Monteagudo, JM, Emanuelsson, E, Sanmartin, I & Duran, A 2017, 'Antipyrine removal by TiO2 photocatalysis based on spinning disc reactor technology', Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 187, pp. 504-512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.11.012

DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.11.012

Publication date: 2017

**Document Version** Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY-NC-ND

# **University of Bath**

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

<ul> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>10 ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>13 A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>14 Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>10 Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>11 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>19 Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li>21 Plant Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>22 Pepartment of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>23</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>24</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	1	
<ul> <li>3</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>10 ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>13 A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>14 Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>10 Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>11 Universidad de Castilla-I.a Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>19 Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li>19 Path Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>10 Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>11 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>12 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	2	
<ul> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>11 ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>12</li> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>14 Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>19 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>10 Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>11 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>23</sup> Plath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	3	
<ul> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>10 ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>12 A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>14 Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li>15</li> <li>19 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>10 Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>10 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>19 Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. emaîl: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>23</sup> Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	4	
<ul> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>3</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	5	
<ul> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	6	
8         9         10       ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO2 PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED         11       ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY         12       A.J. Expósito <sup>1</sup> , D.A. Patterson* <sup>2</sup> , W.S.W. Mansor <sup>2</sup> , J.M. Monteagudo <sup>1</sup> , E.         14       Emanuelsson <sup>2</sup> , I. Sanmartín <sup>1</sup> , A. Durán* <sup>1</sup> 16       Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)         11       Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).         12       Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es         13       *Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,         15       Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.         16       *To whom correspondence should be addressed	7	
<ul> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	8	
<ul> <li>ANTIPYRINE REMOVAL BY TiO<sub>2</sub> PHOTOCATALYSIS BASED</li> <li>ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E. Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	0	
<ul> <li>ALTHI TREACT RELATIONAL DT TROY THOR OCCATACINGT BASED</li> <li>ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson<sup>*2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán<sup>*1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	9 10	ΑΝΤΙΡΥΡΙΝΕ ΡΕΜΟΥΛΙ ΒΥ ΤΙΟ, ΡΗΟΤΟΓΑΤΑΙ VSIS ΒΑSED
11       ON SPINNING DISC REACTOR TECHNOLOGY         12       A.J. Expósito <sup>1</sup> , D.A. Patterson* <sup>2</sup> , W.S.W. Mansor <sup>2</sup> , J.M. Monteagudo <sup>1</sup> , E.         14       Emanuelsson <sup>2</sup> , I. Sanmartín <sup>1</sup> , A. Durán* <sup>1</sup> 15       16         16       17         17       18         19 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros         10       Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)         11       Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).         12       Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es         13 <sup>2</sup> Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,         12       Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.         13       * To whom correspondence should be addressed         13       * To whom correspondence should be addressed	10	ANTITI TRIVE REMOVAL DI TIOZITIOTOCATALISIS DASED
<ul> <li>A.J. Expósito<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Patterson*<sup>2</sup>, W.S.W. Mansor<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo<sup>1</sup>, E.</li> <li>Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán*<sup>1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	11	UN SPINNING DISC KEACTUK TECHNOLOGY
<ul> <li>A.J. Exposito', D.A. Patterson<sup>-,-</sup>, w.S. w. Mansor<sup>-</sup>, J.M. Monteagudo', E.</li> <li>Emanuelsson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmartín<sup>1</sup>, A. Durán<sup>*1</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	12	A L Frendrich D. A. Detterrenze *2 W.C.W. Manager 2 I.M. Manadara del F
<ul> <li>Emandersson<sup>2</sup>, I. Sanmarun<sup>2</sup>, A. Duran<sup>22</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros</li> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	13	A.J. Exposito", D.A. Patterson <sup>***</sup> , W.S.W. Mansor", J.M. Monteagudo", E.
<ul> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI) Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li><sup>20</sup> Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>21</sup> <sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li><sup>26</sup> * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li><sup>31</sup> * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	14	Emanuelsson <sup>-</sup> , I. Sanmartin <sup>+</sup> , A. Duran <sup>++</sup>
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI) Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	15	
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI) Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	17	
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI) Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	18	
<ul> <li>Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)</li> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	19	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Grupo IMAES. Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros
<ul> <li>Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).</li> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	20	Industriales, Instituto de Investigaciones Energéticas y Aplicaciones Industriales (INEI)
<ul> <li>Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> </ul>	21	Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 3, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain).
<ul> <li><sup>23</sup></li> <li><sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li><sup>26</sup></li> <li><sup>27</sup></li> <li><sup>28</sup></li> <li><sup>29</sup></li> <li><sup>30</sup></li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li><sup>31</sup></li> </ul>	22	Phone: 0034 926295300, ext: 96565. email: antoniojose.exposito@uclm.es
<ul> <li><sup>24</sup> <sup>2</sup>Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,</li> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>* To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	23	
<ul> <li>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 /AY, UK.</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	24	<sup>2</sup> Bath Process Intensification Laboratory and Centre for Advanced Separations Engineering,
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	25 26	Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, BA2 /AY, UK.
<ul> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	20 27	
<ul> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	27	
<ul> <li>30</li> <li>31 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	20 29	
<ul> <li>31 * To whom correspondence should be addressed</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>	30	
32 33	31	* To whom correspondence should be addressed
33	32	r ar a secondaria de la composición
	33	

- 34 ABSTRACT

The photo-degradation of the emerging contaminant antipyrine (AP) was studied and optimized in a novel photocatalytic spinning disc reactor (SDR). A heterogeneous process (UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>) was used. TiO<sub>2</sub> was immobilized on the surface of a glass disc using a sol-gel method. A factorial design of experiments followed by a Neural Networks fitting allowed the optimal conditions to be determined for treating 50 mg/L of AP. Under these conditions (pH=4;  $[H_2O_2]_0$ = 1500 mg/L; disc speed= 500 rpm; flowrate = 25 mL/s), AP was completely degraded in 120 minutes and regeneration of the disc allowed 10 cycles with no loss in efficiency. The value of the apparent volumetric rate constant was found to be  $6.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> with no apparent mass transfer limitation. Based on the main intermediates identified, a mechanism is proposed for antipyrine photodegradation: Firstly, cleavage of the N-N bond of penta-heterocycle leads to the formation of two aromatic acids and N-phenylpropanamide. An attack to the C-N bond in the latter compound produces benzenamine. Finally, the phenyl ring of the aromatic intermediates are opened and molecular organic acids are formed. \* corresponding authors: email: antonio.duran@uclm.es; d.patterson@bath.ac.uk Keywords: AOPs; emerging contaminant; neural netwoks; pathway; pharmaceuticals; UV radiation 

# 65 1. INTRODUCTION

66

67 Emerging contaminants (EC) have received significant attention in the recent years since 68 they are new chemicals whose effects are unknown on environment and human health 69 (Durán et al., 2013). Therefore, EC are shown as potential pollutants which must be 70 removed. Antipyrine (AP), which is included in this group, is a common analgesic and 71 anti-inflammatory drug used ubiquitously by the pharmaceutical industry. AP is a 72 complex molecule which is not able to be removed by the traditional techniques used in 73 wastewater treatment, hence it can be accumulated in the natural water cycle (Verlichi et 74 al., 2012). At present, low concentrations of antipyrine have been measured in previous 75 works at up to the  $\mu$ g/L level in municipal sewage effluents, ground water and drinking 76 water (Cai et al., 2013).

77

78 Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) have been shown to be a potential technique that 79 is able to remove refractory molecules. These processes are based on the production of 80 highly reactive radicals Liu et al., 2016). The radicals have a short life, reacting quickly 81 and can mineralize the organic pollutants. One of the most interesting AOPs consists of 82 the use of semiconductors as photocatalysts. The semiconductor TiO<sub>2</sub> has been 83 extensively studied because of its high photo-reactivity, stability to corrosion/dissolution, 84 low price, ready availability and ability to generate electron-hole pairs when illuminated 85 by UV which initiate both radical generation and direct oxidation of organic pollutant 86 species, enabling mineralization to be achieved (Naeem and Ouyang, 2013; Domínguez 87 et al., 2015). In addition, it is not toxic, it is biologically and chemically inert and it can 88 be regenerated several times without significant loss of activity. However, comparing 89 TiO<sub>2</sub> results between different scales and configurations is difficult, complicating its

90 applicability (Rao and Chu, 2009; Domínguez et al., 2015). This is in the main due to the 91 almost universal application of TiO<sub>2</sub> as a powder suspension, which when applied in 92 different reactor systems and at different scales produces a difficult to predict change in 93 photo-reactivity due to complex to model effects such as light scattering, shadowing, 94 mass transfer limitations in multiphase flow systems (such as is the norm in gas-liquid-95 solid or liquid-solid photocatalytic systems). Recovery of the suspended catalyst can also 96 complicate and increase the cost of the overall process, which may be a further barrier to 97 implementation and comparison between different configurations. Consequently, using 98 immobilised/supported TiO<sub>2</sub> is a simple way to overcome most, if not all, of these problems, in particular enabling simpler scale-up since there is no solid phase creating 99 100 multiphase flow, shadowing and avoiding the need for photocatalyst separation 101 (Boiarkina et al., 2011). Sol-gel coating is an established method for the synthesis of thin 102 and porous layers of TiO<sub>2</sub> and as such is used in this work (Miranda-García et al., 2014). 103

The use and properties of  $H_2O_2$  as an oxidant is thoroughly known. It can be easily activated by UV light generating hydroxyl radicals. Furthermore,  $H_2O_2$  is cheap, easily stored and it is soluble in water, with negligible mass transfer limitations (Li et al., 2015). There are several studies which have shown the improvement of TiO<sub>2</sub> effectiveness in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  because it can react with TiO<sub>2</sub> giving rise to the formation of Ti-OOH complexes (Li et al., 2001; Domínguez-Sanchez et al., 2013). This complex has a coloured surface which enhances the photocatalyst effect Li et al., 2001).

111

112 Despite all of the extensive work on photocatalysis and processes based on  $TiO_2$  they still 113 suffer from particularly show slow kinetics and low mass transfer. It is therefore 114 necessary to find new ways able to improve the efficiency. Intensification processes can 115 reduce costs, increase the intrinsic safety and minimize the environmental impact, as well 116 as enhance the efficiency (Ling et al., 2004). The Spinning Disc Reactor (SDR) is an 117 intensification process based on the creation of a thin film. The reactor consists of a 118 spinning disc, where the feed fluid is fed to the centre top surface of the disc. In the 119 photocatalytic SDR, the surface of the disc is coated with the photocatalyst. The feed fluid 120 is spread out over the surface of the disc because of the centrifugal acceleration, 121 generating a thin film of 20-200 µm (Boodhoo et al., 2000). The thin film improves the 122 mass and heat transfer, especially in solid-liquid systems, and the penetration of UV light 123 to the surface of the disc, which can be an advantage over other types of photoreactor 124 systems when using coloured and/or UV light absorbing solutions, since this facilitates 125 higher light penetration to the photocatalyst per volume of feed on the catalyst, increasing 126 the volumetric efficiency of the reaction. Moreover, shorter retention times are needed 127 since the efficiency is increased. Boiarkina et al. (2011) verified that the effect of the flow 128 and the speed of the disc were important in this kind of reactor because these two 129 parameters have a significant influence on the flow regime and therefore in the mass and 130 heat transfer to and from the photocatalyst surface.

The behaviour of the photocatalytic SDR for pollutant removal has been studied for methylene blue (Ling et al., 2004; Boiarkina et al., 2011; Boiarkina et al., 2013) as a common reference pollutant and dehydroabietic acid (Boiarkina et al., 2013), a pollutant from the paper industry.

Therefore, the aim of this paper is to study the applicability of a  $TiO_2$  photo-degradation process to efficiently degrade a synthetic effluent containing antipyrine in a novel spinning disc reactor (SDR). We are the first to comprehensively map out the effect of the important operating parameters of the photocatalytic spinning disc reactor, by applying statistical experimental design. As this has not been done for SDRs in general, 140 this paper is the first comprehensive assessment of the operating space for this important 141 class of process intensification reactor. To this end, a factorial design of experiments 142 which is a proven technique successfully used in removal of pollutants with AOPs 143 (Monteagudo et al., 2008) was used changing four variables. Later, experimental results 144 of antipyrine degradation were fitted with neural networks (NNs) since they have shown 145 to be robust and applicable in almost all situations (Khatae and Kasiri, 2010). In addition, 146 by analysis of reaction products by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and 147 liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy (LC-MS), this paper proposes a new and 148 comprehensive photocatalytic degradation pathway for antipyrine.

149

# 150 2. EXPERIMENTAL

# 151 **2.1. Materials**

152 Antipyrine (99%) was obtained from Acros. 30% hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and 153 methanol (99.8%) were purchased from Fisher Scientific and VWR-Chemical 154 respectively and were used as received. The pH of the solution was adjusted with  $H_2SO_4$ 155 and NaOH.

Acetic acid (99.7%, Sigma Aldrich), acetylacetone (99%, Sigma Aldrich) and isopropanol (99.5%, Sigma Aldrich) were used for sol-gel preparation. Deionized water used for preparing solutions was obtained from a purifying machine (ELGA PURELAB). Glass discs (200mm in diameter, 3mm thick) were supplied by Roman Glass (Bath, UK).

#### 160

# 2.2. Experimental set-up and procedure

161 The experimental setup is shown in Figure 1. The experiments were carried out in 162 recirculating batch mode. For the UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> process, the solution to be treated (750 163 mL) is initially kept in a 1L reservoir under constant magnetic stirring. After pH fitting, 164 the solution is fed with a peristaltic pump (MasterFlex Easy-load II) into a tightly sealed 165 glass flask, which avoided the flow pulsations generated in the pumping process. Then 166 the solution is fed into the spinning disc reactor. Both the reservoir and the flask were 167 covered with aluminum foil in order to avoid the light incidence in case some TiO<sub>2</sub> 168 particles were detached from the disc. The solution is cooled with tap water with a Liebig 169 cooler. The liquid containing AP goes into through the center of the disc where it falls 170 down on the disc spreading out the solution. During this process, the solution is irradiated 171 by a low pressure mercury UV lamp inside a quartz tube (20 W, monochromatic,  $\lambda$ =254 172 nm, Steriflow, supplied by Davey Water Products NZ, part nr. GPH369N/S). The lamp 173 is situated at the focus of a parabolic mirror in order to leverage the maximum possible 174 radiation. The liquid is collected by a funnel beneath the disc and is returned to the 175 reservoir by gravity.

The speed and flow were set for every experiment according to the experiment design as explained below. The lamp was switched on and the  $H_2O_2$  was added after 20 minutes in the dark to allow pollutant adsorption by the TiO<sub>2</sub>. Sodium sulfite anhydrous, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> was used for quenching inmediatly after sampling. pH was kept constant (± 0.1) during the experiments. In exceptional cases, addition of drops of sulfuric acid or sodium hydroxide were needed.

182

#### Figure 1

183 **2.3. Analytical methods** 

184 The removal of antipyrine was evaluated immediately after sampling using a Gilson 231 185 XL high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system with UV detection under 186 an isocratic mode and an Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 × 250 mm). 60:40 (v/v)

- 187 methanol/(water with 0.1% acetic acid) mixture at acidic pH was used as the mobile phase
- 188 (detection wavelength,  $\lambda = 252$  nm; flow rate of 0.6 ml min<sup>-1</sup>).
- 189 Total organic carbon concentration was determined using a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu
- 190 TOC-5000A).  $H_2O_2$  concentration was measured by titration with an aqueous solution of
- 191 potassium permanganate (0.025 mol/L).
- 192 Intermediate by-products were identified by mass spectrometry (MS) using a TOF-MS
- 193 micrOTOF-Q II (Bruker Daltonics Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) with electrospray ionization
- source and negative and positive-ion polarity equipped with a 2.5 mL or 500 µL Hamilton
- 195 gastight syringe (Hamilton, Reno, NV, USA) to deliver the samples . MS measurements
- 196 were taken over the range  $50 \le m/z \le 600$ .
- 197 The X-ray diffraction spectrum obtained with a p-XRD-BRUKER D8-Advance (Cu198 radiation) shows characteristic anatase peaks. No rutile peaks are present.
- 199 2.4. Sol gel method for TiO<sub>2</sub> preparation

200 TiO<sub>2</sub> was supported onto the surface of the glass discs using a sol-gel process according 201 to the method used by Boiarkina et al. (2013). The glass discs were extracted from the sol 202 at 1 mm/s, allowed to air dry for 5 min in the fume-hood and then transferred to an oven at 100 °C for 30 min. This process was repeated once more before the discs were 203 204 transferred to a furnace (Gallenkamp Muffle Furnace Size 3 with a Vertex VT4826 205 controller) for calcination at 500 °C for 1 hour to obtain the photocatalytically active 206 anatase crystal structure. The furnace was ramped up at a rate of 2 °C/min to minimize 207 cracking. Once the discs had cooled, the process was repeated once more to obtain a total 208 of four TiO<sub>2</sub> layers, two of which were calcined.

# 209 2.5. Factorial design and neural network strategy

A Central-Composite Experimental Design was applied to investigate the effects of four variables (initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide, speed of the disc, flowrate and pH) on the selected Response Function (degradation of antipyrine). It consisted of three series of experiments for k= 4 variables (Table 1) including:

- i) a factorial design with 2k trials with all possible combinations of codified values
  +1 and -1), experiments 1 to 16 in Table 1
- 216 ii) selection of the axial distance of the star points (codified values  $\alpha = 2k/4 = \pm 2$ ) 217 consisting of 2k experiments 17 to 24 in Table 1, and
- 218 iii) replicates of the central point (four experiments, 25-28).
- 219

The complete experimental design and additional experiments, including variable ranges and the values of the obtained response functions, are also shown in Supplementary Material (Table 1). Disc speed and flow rate were changed into the allowed limits of the experimental set-up. pH was varied from 4 to 8 (above and below the the zero point charge for  $TiO_2$ , which is between 5.6 and 6.4). Lower pHs were not tested, since the mirrored chrome UV-lamp protection in the reactor could be damaged.

226

# Supplementary material, Table 1

227 The experimental results (removal of AP in each test) were fitted using a neural network 228 applied with two neurons, previously used in literature (Monteagudo et al., 2008). It uses 229 a simple exponential activation function and a solution strategy based on a back-230 propagation algorithm (Morgan and Scofield, 1991). Parameters were fitted using the 231 Solver tool in a custom spreadsheet in Microsoft Excel using a nonlinear fitting method. 232 As a final point, a measure of the saliency of the input variables was made to analyze the 233 relevance of each variable with respect to the others (expressed as percentages) based on 234 the connection weights of the neural networks (Nath et al., 1997). Fitting with a

235	polynomial model derived from the factorial design was also tested, but error estimation
236	was higher, so it was discarded.

# **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 238 **3.1. Synergic effect**

Firstly, some control and initial experiments were carried out to analyze the viability of this novel reactor technology for AP photocatalytic degradation. These experiments were run under the following conditions: speed = 300 rpm; flowrate = 25 mL/s; TiO<sub>2</sub> = 0.4 g;

Figure 2

- $[H_2O_2] = 1000 \text{ mg/L}$ . The results obtained are shown in Figure 2.
- 243

244 It can be seen that TiO<sub>2</sub> alone does not degrade AP at all. Molecular degradation with 245 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> reaches up to about 12.6 % of AP degradation, whereas UV photo-degradation 246 produces up to 76.4 % of AP removal in 120 minutes. UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> 247 processes reach 93% and 95.9% respectively, although the overall process is faster at the 248 beginning. The increase in the kinetic constant is 6% if we consider the whole operating 249 time. In addition, a low synergic effect can be seen among these processes during the first 250 40 minutes of reaction. For this reason, this system was selected for the experimental 251 design.

The synergism between the individual processes and the overall  $UV/H_2O_2/TiO_2$  system was quantified using the first order rate constants for AP removal according to equation (1) (Joseph et al., 2011):

255 
$$Synergy(\%) = \frac{k_{\rm UV/H2O2/TiO2} - (k_{\rm UV/H2O2} - k_{\rm UV/TiO2})}{k_{\rm UV/H2O2/TiO2}} = \frac{0.0403 - (0.0223 + 0.0163)}{0.0403} = 4.21 \quad (1)$$

256

The detailed mechanism of this process has been widely discussed in the literature for different pollutants (Galindo et al., 2001; Daneshvar et al., 2004). The high oxidative

potential of the hole  $(h_{VB}^{+})$  photogenerated in the catalyst allows the direct oxidation of 259 260 the organic molecule (AP) to reactive intermediates. Hydroxyl radicals, HO, are 261 produced from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> photodecomposition, but can also be formed either by the oxidation 262 of water or by the reaction of the hole with OH<sup>-</sup>. The photogenerated electrons in the 263 conduction band  $(e_{CB})$  on the catalyst surface can reduce molecular oxygen, adsorbed on 264 the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface or dissolved in water, to superoxide anion or reduce the pollutant molecule. OH radicals together with other oxidant species such as peroxide radicals are 265 266 thus responsible for the heterogeneous TiO<sub>2</sub> photodecomposition of AP.

# 267 **3.2. Effect of variables**

A complete set of experiments were made based on the previously described factorial design to analyze the effect of four parameters (speed of the disc, flowrate, pH and initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide). The initial antipyrine concentration was 50 mg/L. However, after analyzing the results, two additional experiments increasing the concentration of  $H_2O_2$  were made in order to obtain the optimal conditions. The complete series of tests is shown in Table 1 together with AP removal after 120 minutes.

The experimental results obtained for AP degradation were fitted with NNs, resulting in an average error of less than 4% (Supplementary material, Figure S1). The equation and fitting parameters are shown Supplementary material (Table 2). N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> are general factors related to the first and the second neurons, respectively.  $W_{11}$  to  $W_{14}$  are the contribution parameters to the first neuron and represent the influence of each of the variables in the process (initial concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, disc speed, flow and pH);  $W_{21}$  to  $W_{24}$  are the contributions to the second neuron corresponding to the same variables.

281

Supplementary material (Table 2, Figure S1)

The results of saliency analysis on the input variables for each neural network (%) are shown in Supplementary material (Figure S2). From these results, it was possible to deduce the effect of each parameter on the antipyrine removal. Thus, it was confirmed
that the removal was mainly influenced by the initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide
(69.5%).

287

# Supplementary material (Figure S2)

288 A simulation of the influence of each parameter from NNs equation is shown in Figure 3. 289 A decrease in pH slightly improves AP degradation (Figure 3a). The differences in 290 photoactivity of various substrates at different pHs are mainly attributable to the different 291 equilibrium species present in solution. It is not easy to correlate the photoreactivity with 292 the properties of molecules, but an attempt can be made by considering their pK<sub>a</sub> values. 293 The zero point charge for TiO<sub>2</sub> is at pHs between 5.6 and 6.4 (Monteagudo et al., 2008). 294 Hence, at more acidic pH values, the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface is positively charged. The slight 295 increase in degradation efficiency in our case can be explained by considering that only 296 one main species for AP is likely to exist at the different pHs tested (from 4 to 8), owing 297 to the AP pK<sub>a</sub> being 1.4 (Molinari et al., 2006). Considering that a low pH could damage 298 the mirrored chrome UV-lamp protection in the reactor, pH=4 was consequently selected 299 as the optimal value.

300

# Figure 3

The optimal initial concentration of  $H_2O_2$  was found to be around 1500 mg/L (Figure 3a). The excess of  $H_2O_2$  reacts with the HO• radicals to produce HO<sub>2</sub>• radicals, which are less reactive, decreasing AP degradation according to the well-known scavenger effect:

304

$$H_2O_2 + HO \cdot \to HO_2 \cdot + H_2O \tag{2}$$

305 Additionally unproductive reactions may also occur:

$$HO \cdot + HO \cdot \rightarrow H_2O_2 \tag{3}$$

$$H0 \cdot +H0_2 \to H_20 + O_2 \tag{4}$$

Finally, it is also evident from Figure 3b that the disc speed and the flowrate favor a mass transfer rate producing a higher AP degradation. For disc speed, an additional effect is the increased mass transfer of oxygen into the system through the air-water interface on the liquid film surface of the spinning disc. This dissolved oxygen has the potential to be an additional oxidant source, potentially enhancing degradation rate. The maximum allowed values of these parameters were consequently selected as optimal conditions (speed = 500 rpm; flowrate = 25 mL/s).

Previous works with SDR treating methylene blue (Boiarkina et al., 2011) concluded that mass transport limitations were affecting the reaction rate, since they did not find any correlation between the photonic efficiency with the film height. The authors recommended to increase mixing and turbulence on the disc in future works in order to eliminate the mass transfer perpetrated reaction rate drop.

In order to determine if mass transfer limitation is occurring in our system, the film height
distribution across the surface has been calculated using the Nusselt model that assumes
laminar flow across the surface of the disc according to Eq (5) (Burns et al., 2003).

324 
$$h = \left(\frac{3Qv}{2\pi r^2 \omega^2}\right)^{1/3} = Ar^{-2/3}$$
(5)

325

Where h is the liquid film thickness at radius r, Q is the volumetric flow rate, v is the kinematic viscosity,  $\omega$  is the rotational speed and A is a parameter combining all the constants.

329

This model can be used as an approximation for Ekman numbers higher than 2 (Burns et al., 2011) or 1.62 (Caprariis et al., 2012). However it can not be used accurately for the inertial flow conditions characterized by low Ekman numbers, which is defined in equation (6) as:

334 
$$E = \left(\frac{\nu}{h^2 \omega}\right) \tag{6}$$

In this work, the Ekman number range was between 0.1 and 6.9, being higher than 2 for r/R> 0.33. This means that there will be some error in thin film estimation near the center of the disk. In spite of this limitation, average results for thin film height for all the tests are shown in Table 1. Figure 4 shows the film height distribution for optimal conditions.

339

# Figure 4

On the other hand, assuming a pseudo-first order reaction  $R_i = -kC$ , with k being a pseudo-constant including the contribution of both the kinetic constant and the concentration of hydrogen peroxide (which would be in great excess) and considering that the SDR behaves like a plug flow reactor (Boiarkina et al., 2011), leads to the following expression for the change in concentration with respect to radius:

345 
$$C = C_0 exp\left(\frac{Q}{V} \left[ exp\left( -\frac{3\pi Ak}{2Q} \left( R^{4/3} - R_0^{4/3} \right) \right) - 1 \right] t \right)$$
(7)

346

where R is the outer radius of the disc and  $R_0$  is the inlet nozzle radius. A plot of ln (C/C<sub>0</sub>) versus time should yield a straight line relationship for a pseudo-first order reaction (see figure S3 in supplementary material).

350

# Figure S3

351

Although the model is just an approximation, there is no apparent decrease in the order of magnitude of reaction rate (the apparent volumetric rate constant ranges from 2.05•  $10^{-4}$  to  $4.82 \cdot 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> as summarized in Table 1) with film height (111-222 µm). Additionally, the irradiance profile is relatively uniform due to the parabolic mirror with the UV lamp in its focus. There is no dependence of the photonic efficiency on the film height, since the irradiance profile varies from 12 to 23 W/m<sup>2</sup>, in the same order of 358 magnitude (Boiarkina et al, 2011). Consequently, it is reasonable to believe that no mass359 transfer limitations are occurring.

360

# 361 **3.3. Optimal conditions selected**

The degradation of AP and the evolution of the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> under optimal conditions are shown in Figure 5a. Under these conditions (pH= 4;  $[H_2O_2]_0= 1500 \text{ mg/L}$ ; Speed= 500 rpm; Flow= 25 mL/s;  $[AP]_0= 50 \text{ mg/L}$ ), AP was completely degraded after 120 minutes. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> still remained in solution, so that degradation of intermediate products could be achieved. However, as shown in Figure 5b, mineralization is very slow, reaching only 35% in 4 hours.

368

# Figure 5

New experiments were conducted increasing temperature up to 45 and 60 °C under optimal conditions to try to improve reaction kinetics (Figure 6). However, no positive effect was obtained since dissolved oxygen (DO) is lower when increasing temperature, and accordingly a lower concentration of radicals are formed according to the main reactions involved when  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2$  are present in solution (Miralles-Cuevas et al., 2014):

$$R + HO \cdot \rightarrow R \cdot \tag{8}$$

$$376 R \cdot + O_2 \to ROO \cdot (9)$$

$$377 \qquad ROO \cdot + RH \rightarrow ROOH + R \cdot \tag{10}$$

$$378 \qquad ROO \cdot + H_2O \rightarrow ROH + HO_2 \cdot \tag{11}$$

$$HO_2 \cdot + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO \cdot + H_2O + O_2 \tag{12}$$

$$HO \cdot + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 \cdot + H_2O \tag{13}$$

381

382 Furthermore, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is decomposed by unproductive reactions at high temperatures.

Figure 6 384 385 In order to confirm that hydroxyl radical is the main active species responsible for the 386 degradation of antipyrine in this system, comparison experiments of scavenger-loaded conditions with 200 mM methanol (an hydroxyl radical scavenger,  $k = 9.7 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) 387 388 were undertaken (Matta et al., 2011). It can be seen that methanol slows the antipyrine 389 degradation rate (Figure S4). Total inhibition is not achieved due to UV radiation and in 390 a lower extent to molecular degradation with  $H_2O_2$  (see Figure 1). 391 Figure S4 392 3.4. Intermediates and proposed pathway 393 Intermediates/by-products were identified using a mass spectrophotometer (Figure 7). 394 Although it is a qualitative analysis, the main intermediates could be identified and were 395 observed in the system to be benzenamine, anthranilic acid and butanedioic acid. Their 396 concentration increased until 1 hour of reaction, and decreased slowly later, indicating 397 that they are very difficult to mineralize using the UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> system in the SDR.

398 Other intermediates found in minor concentration were fumaric acid, 4-oxo-pentanoic 399 acid, 1,4 benzenedicarboxylic acid and N-phenyl propinamide.

400

#### Figure 7

401 The toxicity of some intermediates, especially benzenamide (which is the main 402 constituent) is higher than antipyrine (Miao et al., 2015), so its mineralization is extremely 403 important.

404 The knowledge on the degradation products of AP during UV-based AOPs is highly 405 limited (Tana et al., 2013). According to literature, degradation of AP with different AOPs 406 may proceed with different intermediates. Tana et al. (2013) also identified N-407 phenylpropinamide and benzenamine in the UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment process, while only N-

408 phenylpropinamide was detected in the UV/persulfate system. They proposed a 409 degradation pathway based on the attack of C-C bond in the pentacyclic ring by HO• 410 leading to the formation of N-phenylpropinamide. Subsequently, hydroxyl radicals 411 continued to attack the C-N bond in branch to produce benzenamine.

In contrast, in the UV/persulfate system, sulfate radicals seemed not to decompose Nphenylpropinamide into benzenamine, so that degradation would progress in a different
pathway.

415 In our case, the high concentration of benzenamide and low concentration of N-416 phenylpropinamide found seems to indicate that the  $UV/H_2O_2/TiO_2$  process degradation 417 mechanism is similar to that occurring in the  $UV/H_2O_2$  system.

418 The main intermediates found in this research also included small concentrations of 419 aliphatic acids (1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid, 4-oxo-pentanoic acid and 2-butenedioic 420 acid) which agrees with previous findings found for AP degradation in a UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe/US 421 system (Durán et al., 2013). Thus, an adapted mechanism can be propsed for AP 422 photodegradation in Figure 8 for the UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> process. The cleavage of the N-N 423 bond of penta-heterocycle leads to the formation of two aromatic acids and N-424 phenylpropanamide. An attack to the C-N bond in the latter would produce benzenamine. 425 Finally, the phenyl ring of all of them is opened and small molecular organic acids are 426 formed. In a later stage, these acids may decompose into CO<sub>2</sub>.

427

## Figure 8

428 Given the above mechanism and the previous results, this work shows that the 429 phocatalytic SDR is an effective and robust wastewater treatment technology for the 430 degradation of antipyrine producing degradation products (such as molecular organic

431 acids) that could be further mineralised by conventional biological wastewater treatment432 systems.

433 **3.5.** Catalyst operation mode and regeneration

The applicability of the SDR with coated TiO<sub>2</sub> was confirmed, since up to 10 disc regeneration cycles were performed without loss in efficiency (Figure 9), confirming that the SDR is an interesting alternative to traditional reactor configurations, avoiding TiO<sub>2</sub> filtration. Thermal regeneration was performed by calcination each time after the experiment at 500 °C for 2 hours.

439

## Figure 9

# 440 4. CONCLUSIONS

The photo-degradation process of AP was studied in a novel spinning disc reactor
(SDR) under a heterogeneous process (UV-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>). The synergism between
the individual processes and the overall UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> system was quantified to
be 47%.

445 A factorial design of experiments followed by a Neural Networks fitting allowed 446 to obtain the optimal conditions: pH=4;  $[H_2O_2]0=1500$  mg/L; disc speed= 500 447 rpm; flowrate = 25 mL/s. Under these conditions, 50 mg/L of AP were completely 448 degraded in 120 minutes. The process can be made after disc regeneration up to 449 10 cycles with no loss in efficiency. This is the first comprehensive mapping out 450 the effect of the important operating parameters of the photocatalytic spinning 451 disc reactor, by applying statistical experimental design. As this has not been done 452 for SDRs in general, this is therefore the first comprehensive assessment of the 453 operating space for this important class of process intensification reactor.

• There is no apparent decrease in the order of magnitude of reaction rate (the apparent volumetric rate constant ranges from 2.05•  $10^{-4}$  to 4.82•  $10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) with film height (111-222 µm) as estimated with the approximate Nusselt model. There is no dependence of the photonic efficiency on the film height either, so it is reasonable to believe that no mass transfer limitations are occurring.

459

After intermediates identification, a simple mechanism can be proposed for AP
 photodegradation during the UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> process. Anthranilic acid, 1,4 benzenedicarboxylic acid and benzenamide would be the main reaction
 intermediates. The phenyl ring of all of them would be later opened to form small
 molecular organic acids (2-butenedioic acid, butenedioic acid and 4-oxopentanoic
 acid).

466

Given the above mechanism and optimized reaction conditions determined, this
 work shows that the phocatalytic SDR is an effective and robust wastewater
 treatment technology for the degradation of antipyrine producing degradation
 products (such as molecular organic acids) that could be further mineralised by
 conventional biological wastewater treatment systems.

472

# 473 **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

474 Financial support from MINECO (CTM2013-44317-R) and from UCLM (Ayuda para la
475 Financiación de Grupos de Investigación GI20142907) is gratefully acknowledged.

476 The authors also acknowledge financial support from Marie Curie Career Integration

477 Grant (CIG) FP7- 333952 and from the University of Bath International Research Fund

478 Future Research Leaders Incubator Scheme.

The authors would also like to thank Fernando Ramon Acosta, Daniel Lou-Hing,Alexander Ciupa and Anneke Lubben at the University of Bath for technical support in

481 this work.

# 483 NOMENCLATURE

*v* kinematic viscosity ( $m^2 s^{-1}$ )

 $\omega$  rotational speed of spinning disc (rad s<sup>-1</sup>)

Q volumetric flow rate (m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

*h* height of the liquid film at radius r (m)

*Ri* reaction rate (mol/L s)

*C* concentration of substrate (mol/L)

- $C_0$  initial concentration of substrate (mol/L)
- *V* reactor volume (m<sup>3</sup>)
- $k_{app}$  pseudo-first or second order reaction rate constant based on reactor volume (s<sup>-1</sup>)
- *A* Nusselt film height equation constant  $(m^{5/3})$
- *R* outer disc radius (m)
- $R_0$  inlet nozzle radius (m)
- *t* time (s)

# 499 **6. REFERENCES**

- 500
- Boiarkina, I, Norris, S., Patterson, D. A., 2013. Investigation into the effect of flow
  structure on the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue and dehydroabietic acid in
- sol a spinning disc reactor, Chem. Eng. J. 222, 159-171.
- 504 Boiarkina, I, Pedron, S., Patterson, D. A., 2011. An experimental and modelling
- 505 investigation of the effect of the flow regime on the photocatalytic degradation of
- 506 methylene blue on a thin film coated ultraviolet irradiated spinning disc reactor, Appl.
- 507 Catal. B: Environ., 110, 14-24.
- 508 Boodhoo, K. V. K., Jachuck, R.J., 2000. Process intensification: spinning disk reactor for
- 509 styrene polymerisation, Appl. Thermal Eng., 20, 1127-1146.
- 510 Burns, J.R., Ramshaw, C., Jachuck, R.J., 2003. Measurement of liquid film thickness and
- the determination of spin-up radius on a rotating disc using an electrical resistance
  technique, Chem. Engi. Sci., 58 (11), 2245–2253.
- 513 Cai, M., Zhang, L., Qi, F., Feng, L., 2013. Influencing factors and degradation products
- of antipyrine chlorination in water with free chlorine. J. Environ. Sci., 25(1), 77-84.
- 515 Caprariis, B., Di Rita, M., Stoller, M., Verdone, N., Chianese, A., 2012. Reaction-
- 516 precipitation by a spinning disc reactor: influence of hydrodynamics on nanoparticles
- 517 production. Chem. Eng. J. 76, 73-80.
- 518 Daneshvar, N., Rabbani, M., Modirshahla, N. and Behnajady, M.A., 2004. Kinetic
- 519 modelling of photocatalytic degradation of Acid Red 27 in UV/TiO<sub>2</sub> process. J.
- 520 Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 168, 39-45.
- 521 Domínguez Sánchez, L., Michel Taxt-Lamolle, S. F., Olaug Hole, E., Krivokapic, A.,
- 522 Sagstuen, E., Jostein Haugen, H., 2013. TiO<sub>2</sub> suspension exposed to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in ambient light

- 523 or darkness: Degradation of methylene blue and EPR evidence for radical oxygen species,
- 524 Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 142-143, 662-667.
- 525 Domínguez, S., Ribao, P., Rivero, M. J., Ortiz, I. 2015. Influence of radiation and TiO<sub>2</sub>
- 526 concentration on the hydroxyl radicals generation in a photocatalytic LED reactor.
- 527 Application to dodecylbenzenesulfonate degradation, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 178, 165-
- 528 169.
- 529 Durán, A., Monteagudo, J. M., Sanmartín, I., García-Díaz, A. 2013. Sonophotocatalytic 530 mineralization of antipyrine in aqueous solution, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 138-139, 318-531 325.
- 532 Durán, A., Monteagudo, J.M., Sanmartín, I., García-Díaz, A., 2013. Sonophotocatalytic
- 533 mineralization of antipyrine in aqueous solution. Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 138-139, 318-534 325.
- 535 Galindo, C., Jacques, P. and Kalt, A., 2001. Photooxidation of the phenylazonaphthol 536
- AO20 on TiO<sub>2</sub>: kinetic and mechanistic investigations. Chemosphere 45, 997-1005.
- 537 Joseph, C.G., Li Puma, G., Bono, A., Taufiq-Yap, Y.H., Krishnaiah, D. 2011. Operating
- 538 parameters and synergistic effects of combining ultrasound and ultraviolet irradiation in

539 the degradation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, Desalination 276, 303-309.

- 540 Khatae, A.R., Kasiri, M.B., 2010. Artificial neural networks modeling of contaminated
- 541 water treatment processes by homogeneous and heterogeneous nanocatalysis., J. Molec.
- 542 Catal. A: Chem. 331, 86-100
- 543 Li, X., Cao, R., Lin, Q., Solvent-free Baeyer–Villiger oxidation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as oxidant
- 544 catalyzed by multi-SO<sub>3</sub>H functionalized heteropolyanion-based ionic hybrids, Catal.
- 545 Commun. 63, 79-83.

- 546 Li, X., Chen, C., Zhao J., 2001. Mechanism of photodecomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on TiO<sub>2</sub>
- 547 surfaces under visible light irradiation, Langmuir, 17, 4118-4122.
- 548 Ling, C.M., Mohamed, A.R., Bhatia, S., 2004. Performance of photocatalytic reactors
- 549 using immobilized TiO<sub>2</sub> film for the degradation of phenol and methylene blue dye
- 550 present in water stream, Chemosphere, 57, 547-554.
- 551 Liu, Y., He, X., Fu, Y., Dionysiou, D. D., 2016. Degradation kinetics and mechanism of
- oxytetracycline by hydroxyl radical-based advanced oxidation processes, Chem. Eng. J.,
  284, 1317-1327.
- Matta, R., Tlili, S., Chiron, S., Barbati, S., 2011, Removal of carbamazepine from urban
  wastewater by sulfate radical oxidation, Environ. Chem. Lett., 9, 347–353.
- 556 Miao, H.F., Cao, M., Ren, H.Y., Zhao, M.X., Huang, Z.X., Ruan, W.Q., 2015.
- 557 Degradation of phenazone in aqueous solution with ozone : influencing factors and 558 degradation pathways. Chemosphere 119, 326-333.
- 559 Miralles-Cuevas, S., Prieto-Rodríguez, L., Torres-Socías, E., Polo-López, M.I.,
- 560 Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Oller, I., Malato, S., 2014. Strategies for hydrogen peroxide dosing
- 561 based on dissolved oxygen concetration for solar photo-Fenton treatment of complex
- 562 wastewater, Global Nest J. 16, 553-560.
- 563 Miranda-García, N., Suárez, S., Ignacio Maldonado, M., Malato, S., Sánchez, B., 2014.
- 564 Regeneration approaches for TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized photocatalyst used in the elimination of
- 565 emerging contaminants in water, Catal. Today, 230, 27-34.
- 566 Molinari, R., Pirillo, F., Loddo, V., Palmisano, P., 2006., Heterogeneous photocatalytic
- 567 degradation of pharmaceuticals in water by using polycrystalline TiO<sub>2</sub> and a
- 568 nanofiltration membrane reactor. Catal. Today 118, 205-213.

- 569 Monteagudo, J.M., Durán, A., Guerra, J., García-Peña, F., Coca, P., 2008. Solar TiO<sub>2</sub>-
- 570 assisted photocatalytic degradation of IGCC power station effluents using a Fresnel lens,
- 571 Chemosphere, 71, 161-167.
- 572 Monteagudo, J.M., Durán, A., López-Almodóvar, 2008. Homogeneous ferrioxalate-
- 573 assisted solar photo-Fenton degradation of Orange II aqueous solution, Appl.
- 574 Catal.B:Environ 83, 46-55.
- 575 Morgan, D.P. and Scofield, C.L., 1991. Neural Networks and Speech Processing.
  576 Kluwver Academic Publishers. London.
- 577 Naeem. K., Ouyang, F. 2013. Influence of supports on photocatalytic degradation of
- 578 phenol and 4-chlorophenol in aqueous suspensions of titanium dioxide, J. Environ. Sci.
- 579 25, 399-404.
- Nath, R., Rajagopalan, B., Ryker, R., 1997. Determining the saliency of input neural
  classifiers, Comput. Oper. Res., 24, 767-773.
- Rao, Y. F., Chu, W., 2009. Reaction Mechanism of Linuron Degradation in TiO<sub>2</sub>
  Suspension under Visible Light Irradiation with the Assistance of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Environ. Sci.
  Technol. 43, 6183-6189.
- 585 Tana, C., Gaoa, N., Dengb, Y., Zhanga, Y., Suia, M., Denga, J., Zhoua, S., 2013.
- 586 Degradation of antipyrine by UV, UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and UV/PS. J. Hazar. Mater. 260, 1008– 587 1016.
- 588 Verlichi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E., 2012. Occurrence of pharmaceutical 589 compounds in urban wastewater: removal, mass loa and environmental risk after a 590 secondary treatment-a review. Sci. Total Environ. 429, 123-155.
- 591
- 592
- 593
- 594

# 595 FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 596 Figure 1. Experimental installation. a) schematic diagram, b) reactor, c) detail of the597 scanning disc.
- 598 Figure 2. Antipyrine removal (%) under different systems.  $[AP]_0=35 \text{ mg/L}; [H_2O_2]_0=$
- 599 1000 mg/L; Speed= 300 rpm; Flow= 15 mL/s.
- **Figure 3.** Effect of variables in antipyrine degradation for the  $UV/H_2O_2/TiO_2$  process.
- 601 Simulation from neural networks at the central point. a)  $[H_2O_2]_0$  vs pH (Flow= 15 mL/s,
- 602 Speed = 300 rpm), b) Speed vs Flow ( $[H_2O_2]_0 = 1000 \text{ mg/L}; \text{ pH} = 6$ ).
- Figure 4. Distribution of film thickness on the disc according to Eq (5) under optimalconditions.
- **Figure 5.** Results under optimal conditions (pH= 4;  $[H_2O_2]_0$ = 1500 mg/L; Speed= 500
- 606 rpm; Flow= 25 mL/s;  $[AP]_0$ = 50 mg/L) a) [AP] and  $[H_2O_2]$ ; b) TOC concentration.
- Figure 6. Influence of a) temperature and b) dissolved  $O_2$  concentration under optimal conditions (pH= 4; [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub>= 1500 mg/L; Speed= 500 rpm; Flow= 25 mL/s; [AP]<sub>0</sub>= 50 mg/L).
- 610 **Figure 7.** Intermediates evolution obtained by MS (Conditions: pH=4;  $[H_2O_2]0=1500$ 611 mg/L; Speed= 500 rpm; Flow= 25 mL/s;  $[AP]_0= 50$  mg/L).
- Figure 8. Antypirine degradation pathway induced by UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (adapted from
  [28])
- 614 Figure 9. Catalysis behavior after regeneration under optimal conditions (pH= 4;
- 615  $[H_2O_2]_0= 1500 \text{ mg/L}; \text{ Speed}= 500 \text{ rpm}; \text{ Flow}= 25 \text{ mL/s}; [AP]_0= 50 \text{ mg/L})$
- 616
- 617
- 618



a)



b)



FIGURE 1



t (min)

FIGURE 2













b)



FIGURE 5









# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# 

#### Table 1. Experimental design, film thickness and apparent volumetric rate constant for the degradation of antipyrine in SDR.

IIV/H2O2/TiO2 n

Process:	U	//H2	$O_2/$	I IO

					Response		
	Factor	rial Desigr	<b>,</b>		Function		
	racio	liai Desigi	1		after 120		
					minutes		
Exposimont	$H_2O_2$	Speed	Flow	nЦ	([AP]0-	k *10 <sup>4</sup>	h
Experiment	(mg/L)	(rpm)	(mL/s)	рп	[AP])/[AP] <sub>0</sub>	(s <sup>-1</sup> )	(µm)
1	1125	400	20	7	0,981	4.848	
2	375	400	20	7	0,827	3.322	138.1
3	1125	400	20	5	0,984	5.180	
4	375	400	20	5	0,879	2.930	
5	1125	400	10	7	0,926	3.607	
6	375	400	10	7	0,812	2.523	111.0
7	1125	400	10	5	0,956	4.384	
8	375	400	10	5	0,865	2.694	
9	1125	200	20	7	0,918	3.352	
10	375	200	20	7	0,824	2.323	
11	1125	200	20	5	0,938	3.838	222.0
12	375	200	20	5	0,849	2.657	
13	1125	200	10	7	0,918	3.362	
14	375	200	10	7	0,759	2.055	176.2
15	1125	200	10	5	0,937	3.670	
16	375	200	10	5	0,780	2.118	
17	1500	300	15	6	0,985	4.297	
18	0	300	15	6	0,709	1.689	153.9
19	750	300	15	8	0,856	3.320	
20	750	300	15	4	0,943	3.938	
21	750	300	25	6	0,917	3.503	182.5
22	750	300	5	6	0,872	3.007	106.7
23	750	500	15	6	0,940	3.770	109.4
24	750	100	15	6	0,868	2.850	320.3
25	750	300	15	6	0,904	3.460	
26	750	300	15	6	0,879	2.888	
27	750	300	15	6	0,880	3.027	153.9
28	750	300	15	6	0,886	2.887	
Additional Ex	periments	(for deter	mination o	f optimu	um conditions)		
29	1750	300	15	6	0,956	4.372	153.9
30	2000	300	15	6	0,951	4.389	

# 

# Table 2. Equation and parameters for the NN fitting.(Process: UV/H2O2/TiO2)

$\frac{[AP]_0 - [AP]}{[AP]_0} = N_1$ $\cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{e}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}(W_{11}\cdot[H_2O_2]_0+W_{12}\cdot\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{Speed+W_{13}\cdot Flow+W_{14}\cdot pH)}\bigg)$	
$+N_2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{e^{(W_{22})}}\right)$ Neurons and Weight Factors	+W <sub>23</sub> ·Flow+W <sub>24</sub> ·pH) Values of neurons and factors		
N <sub>1</sub>	Neuron	0.88	
$W_{11}$	$\left[\mathrm{H_2O_2}\right]_0$	3.81	
W <sub>12</sub>	Speed	0.11	
W <sub>13</sub>	Flow	0.43	
$W_{14}$	pH	-0.1	
N <sub>2</sub>	Neuron	0.44	
W <sub>21</sub>	$\left[\mathrm{H_2O_2}\right]_0$	-1.44	
W <sub>22</sub>	Speed	0.59	
W <sub>23</sub>	Flow	0.01	
W <sub>24</sub>	pН	-0.66	







Figure S2. Saliency Analysis for the  $UV/H_2O_2/TiO_2$  system (%).



