

Citation for published version:
Thomas, S & O'Mahony, A 2014, Postsecularity and the Contending Visions of the European Political Imagination. in L Mavelli & F Petito (eds), Towards a Postsecular International Politics: New Forms of Community, Identity, and Power. Palgrave Macmillan, New York, London, pp. 105-128.

Publication date: 2014

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication

#### **University of Bath**

**General rights** 

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 13. May. 2019

Why the West Fears Islam: An Exploration of Muslims in Liberal Democracies By Jocelyne Cesari

Towards a Postsecular International Politics: New Forms of Community, Identity, and Power Edited by Luca Mavelli and Fabio Petito

# TOWARDS A POSTSECULAR INTERNATIONAL POLITICS

NEW FORMS OF COMMUNITY, IDENTITY, AND POWER

Edited by Luca Mavelli and Fabio Petito

W

Lorelon + New Yorkpalgrave (2014) macmillan

### CHAPTER 6

# POSTSECULARITY AND THE CONTENDING VISIONS OF THE EUROPEAN POLITICAL IMAGINATION IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Scott M. Thomas and Anthony O'Mahony

We are bound to the past in the intellectual order as in every other, and if were to forget that we are animals which are specifically political, we should be surprised to discover how historically we think, how traditional we are, even when we are claiming to make all things new. It is then, right that we should go some distance into the past in our search for the roots and first germinative principle of the ideas that rule the world today. It is when an idea appears above ground, when it is hig with the future, that it has the greatest interest for us and we can best grasp its real significance.

-facques Maritain1

How to draw on a great past without smothering change? How to change without losing one's roots? Above all, what to do with the stranger in one's midst—with men excluded in a traditionally aristocratic society, with thoughts denied expression by a traditional culture, with needs not articulated in conventional religion, with the utter foreigner from across the frontier. These are the problems which every civilized society has had to face.

−Peter Brown²

#### Introduction

"European workers" welcome in Europe to work anywhere. Beyond ers are, well, French, British, and German workers after all, and not culties arose, it became clear that French, British, or German workcontinuation of these bourgeois objectives? When economic diffiobjectives undermines for many, if not most, Europeans what is prosperity for Europeans. The recent failure or unraveling of these as a bourgeois, technocratic project to guarantee employment and recent form has been sold in various referenda to European publics twenty-first century. The European Union (EU) project in its most the European project and to the future of European security in the Europe for are questions central to the meaning and to the future of made it clear that the identity of Europe, what is Europe, and what is The worst global economic crisis since the Great Depression has other countries is not the details of economic or technocratic disat the root of the debate over EU bailout funds to Greece and to the bickering of France and Germany, or France and the United Europe—indeed, what is it now for, if it can no longer guarantee the states-system, and what the English School would recognize as a agreements, but the identity of Europe and the nature of the bond Kingdom (depending on which national newspapers one reads), (regional) type of the society of states or international society. between them. This is what makes the EU more than a (regional) between European states, and the conception of rights and duties

0

ment, democracy, immigration, and how the sense of collective idenare questions central to understanding the dynamics of the multiple the role of culture and religion in Europe, what binds Europeans racism, ethnic discrimination, and so on-now increasingly, and for even mismanaged?) with discourses concerning "minority rights" once managed (or would it be better to say contained, controlled, or tity, meaning, and responsibility is now interpreted. Issues that were crises facing the contemporary European project-debt, unemployhistorical questions about origins, regarding the remote past. They together, and the sources of this identity and meaning are not dusty, sion to them. most Europeans, uncomfortably, have a cultural and religious dimen-In other words, the identity of Europe, the meaning of Europe

gious pluralism, local communities that are also part of global religious ımmıgration has wrought-the globalization of cultural and reli-Multifaith or multireligious relations are complicated by what

> of political order in the European Union. upsetting what many secular Europeans took for granted as the basis practices, and the role of faith and reason in democracy.3 Religion is social conception of religion as a more public ensemble of rituals and the sacred and the secular, religion as set of private beliefs versus a to religious conversion, support for terrorism, the boundaries of honor killing, refugee status, women's rights, rights to apostasy or eral issues and questions, such as the veil, religious symbols, marriage, Europe is causing a rethink of secularism in the West through sevdiaspora communities. In other words, a variety of social issues in

not only a more united Europe, but also a more diverse Europe since in European identity and security. The end of the Cold War and the a more pluralistic Europe that has contributed to the current crisis especially for John Paul II and Benedict XVI, is relevant to debates about the "idea of Europe" and European unity since Pius XII, and changing cultural and political reality that the Vatican's thinking marker in the contemporary religious identity of Europe. It is in this divided by tradition and modern history find their relationship a key geographic sweep Western and Eastern Christian churches, which 1989. The political structures of the continent now include within its fall of the communist states-system have led to the emergence of over European identity and security. 4 Papal thought has helped give the idea of Islam as part of an emerging Europe. form to the Vatican's attitude towards Turkey and, more recently, to Moreover, it is not only the way globalization has contributed to

## Culture, Religion, the Postsecular, and International Society

should be situated within the dynamics of culture, religion, and hisevance to the study of European politics or international relations dynamics of cultural and religious change were not as prominent a unsure about how to examine it, one of the reasons may be that the global resurgence of religion in international relations, and were national society. If scholars were initially taken by surprise by the domestic society, and how this is related to social change in interpostsecular is about the dynamics of social and cultural change in most basic understanding of the binary formulation of the secular the postsecular enters the study of international relations. For the tory. Cultural and religious change is the main way the debate about This is why any analysis of the concept of the postsecular and its rel-

BER

ence political change. change, and not how social, cultural, or religious change may influ of international relations. Scholars were more interested in political words, this is another way religion was marginalized in the study tions or in the study of change in international relations.5 In other feature as they should have been in the study of international rela-

"Christianization" of Europe, the transition in late antiquity from examine the original cultural and religious change in Europe-the between the secular and postsecular in international relations to tory. This is why it is useful for understanding the relationship part of the dynamics of culture, religion, and social change in his-In fact, an overlap of this kind is not really new; it is an inevitable theory), but it is a new type of social and religious configuration overcoming of modernity (which was the mistake of modernization postsecular, and it remains a part of the postsecular. It is not an to which it is not a linear concept, for the secular overlaps with the paganism to Christianity. One of the main debates regarding the postsecular is the extent

of Europe-what first became "Christendom"-was constructed in standing Europe in relation to the rest of the world.8 The identity power in international relations.9 one) cannot avoid the way ideas, beliefs, and values are a part of the change (the pagan/Christian transition or the secular/postsecular in the modern world. Therefore, understanding social and cultural tion of cultural and religious pluralism an inevitable part of living Romans, the German barbarians. Globalization has made the questhat inhabited the European continent-the Jews, the Greeks, the relation to the cultural and religious pluralism of the many peoples remains central today for understanding Europe and for underthe set of binaries—pagan/Christian and the secular/postsecular the culture and religion of modern Europe."7 The construction of Christianization of the Roman world "lies at the root of much of European politics and international relations. The first is that the dynamics of power and authority, and the dynamics of the balance o important for understanding the secular/postsecular binary in There are two reasons why this original religious transition is

tional system, the state, society, and individual levels of analysis, "in tify and treat different types of location"-most notably, the internaproblem of the levels of analysis. This is the problem of "how to idenrelations. The impact of living in a global world has complicated the The second reason is in relation to the theory of internationa

> is a more comprehensive account of how the dynamics of culture and study their unmistakable interaction."11 Therefore, what is missing the real question is not whether the levels "are distinct but how to utility of this framework can be questioned for globalization since structure, and interaction capacity (i.e., the level of transportation, cepts used in the levels of analysis—such as the units, process, system than fitting "religion" under a vague category called "culture").12 (i.e., the dynamics of both culture and religion taken together, rather religion fit into the levels of analysis and the relations between them communications, and organizational capability in the system). The (emphasis added).10 Theory has subsequently tried to refine the conwhich the sources of explanation for observed phenomena can be found'

mutually constitutive in politics and international relations. assumptions, given how the key concepts—culture, religion, the state, this section seeks to demonstrate, what are increasingly untenable that these are, or even may be, unrelated questions is to make, as and how does religion matter in international relations?"5 The idea and international relations.13 "What difference did Christianity make questions now asked about the "religious turn" in the study of politics describe late Roman antiquity, is remarkably similar to the kind of rise of "ancient Christianity," the concept Peter Brown invented to the secular, and the political, and the boundaries between them are (in late antiquity)?"14 "What difference does religion make?" "When It is for these reasons that the historical question asked about the

religious and the secular that is central to theories of secularization religious discourses rather than the binary opposition between the ent" (emphasis added).17 It is the weight of the secular past within if they speak of the process as a linear, comprehensive description world then (like accounts of the postsecular now) are misleading of social dynamic? Accounts of the Christianization of the Roman in the modern period indicates a codependency between secular and ing to grapple with. The way religion and secularism are intertwined the postsecular present that the concept of the postsecular is tryhe argues, "the weight of the pagan past within the Christian presthe interrogation of the secular/postsecular distinction. There was, formulation of the problem for ancient Christianity is reflected in that seems to imply a single all-embracing explanation.16 Brown's Christian and the secular/postsecular shifts are part of a similar type the dynamics of social and cultural change? Is it that the pagan and modernization. 18 What do we learn from the pagan/Christian transition about

the very construction of social categories—culture, religion, the state, authority, and belief-ideology, coercion, and calculation relate to politics and international relations.20 for understanding the relationship between culture and religion, and dynamics of the time and space within the transition that is crucial the boundaries between them. Thus, it is the social, cultural, and political the political, and the secular—and to the politics of the construction of unavoidable problem to critically investigate how power-politics, their era in this (triumphal) manner. In other words, it is a very old, the interest in portraying the cultural, religious, and social change of well as now) to those who have the power, authority, knowledge, and distinction.19 However it is the kind of distinction useful (then as and cultural change happens. It is to make quite an ahistorical was between the pagan and Christian worlds. This is not how social how this relationship is central to understanding their influence in between the secular and postsecular worlds any more than there It should be unsurprising that there is no sharp discontinuity

with Jerusalem?"), and later on with the encounters crucial to the and Rome (Tertullian's famous question, "What has Athens to do initial Christian encounter with the classical tradition of Greece is, at times when the society encounters foreign cultures, such as the were most acute at times of rapid or profound cultural change, that societies change.)22 Now, questions like these, Brown points out, any religious tradition the answers to these questions can change as of all stripes today who also may not want to recognize that within or for an authentic Christian life? (This is the problem of believers differences for taking Christianity seriously, for living faithfully, authority, legitimacy, and coercion), and bow significant were such ference, and who decides what those differences are (the problem of much were they "culture," and how much were everyday habits and tightly was Christianity bound to particular cultural forms?" How rally defeated, pagan worship could be abolished, but how much was still messy and confusing (as it still is). Paganism was supernatu-Christianity—the Germanic tribes and the barbarian invasions, construction of European unity, and the definition of Western everyday habits, rituals, practices, and ways of doing things. "How paganism lived on in "culture"—broadly defined for the moment as antiquity may have been all well and good, but back on earth life Islam and the Arab conquest of the East, the Vikings in the North.<sup>23</sup> practices associated with the pagan gods; and bow do you tell the dif-Christ's supernatural defeat in the heaven of the god(s) in late

> covery" encountered the Ottoman Empire and the peoples of South Later on this process continued when Europe during the "age of dis-

were "simply their way of doing things"?27 riences (i.e., "culture")?26 What was the difference between ancient distinguished their "religious" from their "secular" lives and expedid (late Roman) Christians, lay and clerical, draw the lines that between "religion" and other constituent elements of "culture." How tudes toward life" (emphasis added).25 Markus wanted to distinguish municate, perpetuate, and develop their knowledge about and atticonceptions expressed in symbolic forms by means of which men comcultural system," and argues that religion is "an historically transmitdistinguish between what was "religion" and what it was not, and about culture, religion, authenticity, and faithfulness was often to ted pattern of meanings embodied in symbols, a system of inherited Markus adopts Clifford Geertz's famous definition of "religion as a to provisionally call "culture" all the other set of activities. Robert idolatry, paganism, and social practices, and traditional customs that The way for modern scholars to answer these kind of questions

argues that ancient Christianity did not develop its own way of doing doing everything. everything nor today would there be an Islamic or Christian way of easier, Markus emphasizes, to do so in the ancient world than it is journalists, educators, historians, or social scientists. In fact, Markus today for religious believers themselves, nor for religious leaders, However, it is difficult to apply these distinctions. It was not any

to contemporary ones. can be distinguished from the "secular") applicable through some tural, transhistorical definition of "religion" or the "religious" (which activity)—cannot be (or can no longer be) adopted as concepts that secular, and the political (or any other areas of social life or human Archimedean point to past societies (like ancient Christianity) or are abstract, universal, and timeless. There is no universal, transculwhat constitutes the concepts or categories-culture, religion, the ogy and anthropology of religion-increasingly recognize is that variety of disciplines-theology, religious studies, and the sociolsecular modernity? It is unlikely. The reason is that what a wide religion in this (modern) way, that is, in a way that is a product of would distinguish between the constituent elements of culture and Muslims, Christians, or anyone today who takes religion seriously, It can be asked if Christians in late antiquity, or for that matter,

culture, religion, the state, the secular, and the political-and the configurations of power authorize the way the categories themselvescoercion, and calculation needs to be a part of any analysis of what purposes.<sup>29</sup> This reduces religion to being epiphenomenal in social theory and political or economic analysis. William Cavanaugh or economic power or through cultural hegemony and ideology (as elites "manipulate" something called "religion" through political Marxist) about how (unscrupulous) states, politicians, or politica or not mainly, the common story (critical theory, Marxist, or neochange in domestic politics or international relations. This is not, employed. There is nothing sacred in itself, only things sacred in relation" (emphasis added). So who draws the conceptual maps, ety and in international society.30 boundaries between them are mutually constitutive in domestic soci has clearly shown that the politics of authority, reason, discipline, Antonio Gramsci perceptively discussed) for their own nefarious be separated from the dynamics of culture, religion, and social and in whose interests are they drawn? Power then or now cannot egories, with mobile boundaries which shift according to the maps Rather, they are social constructs, "situational or relational cat

The constituent "elements" that make up culture and religion are not an open menu of choice; they are historically specific. The idea that there can be a definition of religion or some autonomous essence of religion (separate from the alleged autonomous essence of law, politics, economics, or any other human activity) that can be value-free, trans-historical, and trans-cultural is itself a historical product of specific discursive processes, those of the modern West (or Western Europe). Therefore, attempts to make such separations are prone to essentialism and anachronism. The modern concept of religion, and the politics surrounding its definition, as the next section shows, was only invented after the (so-called) wars of religion in early modern Europe. This modern invention of religion remains one of the main aspects of the European (secular) political imagination.

## Postsecularity and the Crisis of European Political Imagination

The concept of postsecularity has gained increasing saliency and relevance in international relations. Why is it happening now, at this time in global politics and history (and not some other time)? At almost any time a coherent picture of the surface stream of events

can be given—wars, civil wars, revolutions, the rise and fall of governments—and on each of these events is the impact of the variety of technological processes now called globalization.

However, why concepts emerge, how they are developed and the saliency they gain (for good or for ill) in scholarly or public discourse, and even why funding bodies, especially government ones, should decide to investigate them happens in a specific context of society, culture, politics, and economics. This is why it is not possible to properly understand the concept of the "postsecular" and its relevance to the study of contemporary Europe, or the study of international relations, without recognizing it has arisen within a specific cultural dynamic—the erosion of the (Western or European) cultural foundations of global order.

and religiously pluralistic international order. the EU to engage more effectively with the emerging more culturally actors in a newly emerging pluralistic Europe offers the best way for be that the EU's ability to engage more constructively with religious and interreligious dialogue in articulating the basis for a pluralistic and new perspectives for organizing global order?33 It can be argued religiously pluralistic global system, with new agendas, new interests. approaches, it can be asked: what binds together a more culturally and centers, not fully embedded in the liberal international order (e.g., outside it.32 However, if the (Western established) liberal order is disgain to restore leadership-American leadership, of course, although is argued, it simply needs better "governance" and a new global baralized in widely influential accounts of US efforts to build a postwar and political change in international relations. They are still marginand balanced European order as well as global order. Indeed, it may there may be a growing role for religious actors, cultural diplomacy, China, Russia), and so with new values, interests, perspectives, and integrating, with new states, new (non-Western) powers, new power this does seem to be more a view from within the United States than liberal international order. If the liberal order is not disintegrating, it religion were marginalized in the understanding of social change is still contested. The previous section examined how culture and (and so any discussion of the secular or the religious) to global order Indeed, the impact or even the relevance of culture and religion

In fact, the contention that the rise of postsecular discourse has accompanied the erosion of the (Western or European) cultural foundations of global order should not be so surprising an observation. Where did modernity, where did the secular, come from? What

of interpreting European modernization and industrialization (as the capitalism, industrialization, and modernization).34 ogy who examined the original transition from peasant societies to story is conventionally told regarding the founding fathers of sociol ization—emerged in the early sociology of religion not only as a way the world religions, the sacred, the secular, modernity, and moderntion defined by European civilization. The main concepts-religion, shoots of European civilization-the United States, South Africa, Australia, or New Zealand). Modernity emerged as a single condi-It was actually Europeans of course (and not the peoples in the off did it mean to be modern, and who decided what this meaning was

city-states, etc.).38 created a civilization with such immense self-confidence and selfcomfort, and hegemony of the European balance of power, which what Tuchman called the "proud tower," erected on the security, come later than the lower forms (pantheism, polytheism, tribes the higher form (monotheism, the modern state) were assumed to of culture and religion-beliefs, conduct, and institutions in which relations.37 This background, of course, was also applied to the study evolution was expected in a wide variety of areas of life, inevitably which no backsliding was possible-progress, positivism, and social society to modern society.36 The course of civilization was set, in eral principles, ideal types, pattern variables, and so on to describe this has been for world civilization, can provide the basis for the gentiny, white, minority of Christians (or Europeans), as important as now, as well as ethno-centric, to assume that the experience of a spiritual primacy (roughly 1815-1914).35 It all seems rather heroic nology is or should be the basis for global cultural, political, and even satisfaction, as if the ability to improve material technique or techfrom lower to higher forms of organization, including international the future experience of the entire world, the shift from traditional ing the unique conditions the European middle classes enjoyed-The main concepts of the sociology of religion also emerged dur-

struct the concept of "the secular" in international relations? There early modern Europe based on a highly selective reading of religion ing of religion and politics. It goes back to the wars of religion in is, the way most Europeans still think about religion and the mixpolitical imagination is the "political mythology of liberalism," that are at least two significant sources. The first source of the European nation come from, what are its sources, and how has this helped con-Where does the contemporary (secular) European political imagi-

> concept of the state, legitimating the "migration of the holy" from propagandists—Bodin, Locke, and Hobbes—constructed the modern gion was integrally a part of the way state-building elites, and their order. Moreover, Cavanaugh has shown the modern concept of religion and politics) are needed for domestic stability and international into domestic or international politics, it causes intolerance and the to global politics and international security. What the wars of religion secularism, and conflict, and how this informs the European political the church to the state.<sup>39</sup> personal life), and political secularization (i.e., the separation of relipotential for modern wars of religion or clashes between civilizations. imagination in ways that have implications for European approaches Therefore, the state, religious privatization (i.e., religion restricted to (seemingly) indicated is that when religion is brought into public life,

mixing of religion and politics. Casanova has rightly argued they have of European identity for this is what informs the European political a mythic quality, as well as constitutive function in the construction regarding what constitutes "religion" and the norms regarding the imagination regarding religion, European security, and international The political mythology of liberalism is about the European ideas

social groups or societies that had not sufficiently modernized. In gion from politics, from public life, so religion loses political and and political story here is that secularization-the separation of relitence of religion in the modern world or global secular cosmopolitan other words, fundamentalism offered an explanation for the persissphere, could be dismissed as outcrops of "fundamentalism" among development. The reemergence of religion in politics, the public considered to be an inevitable part of modernization and economic social significance, even though it may be a part of private life-is European experience of modernization and development. The moral revolt against the modern world). modernity (indeed, fundamentalism was first defined as part of a The second source of the European political imagination is the

world. In the study of theology and religious studies, compared to religion and secularization in Europe to be the model for the entire and engage with cultural diversity-still seem to expect the model of this legacy is, and how rooted the concept of religion is in the ism. Many Europeans—even those willing to shed Euro-centricism European experience of modernization, colonialism, and imperial-However, it is now more widely acknowledged how Euro-centric

MBER

the study of international relations, there is a greater recognition that the concept of religion—as a set of ideas, beliefs, doctrines, and the boundaries of what is called the sacred and the secular—as well as the concept of the "world religions," were Western or European in origin, invented and constructed to facilitate colonial rule. 41 The origin of many of the core concepts in the sociology of religion emerged out of the European experience of modernization, which was driven as much by science and state expansion as by religious convictions. They are now being reconstructed as global concepts, adapted from the cultural and religious experience of religiosity and modernization in the global South that is transforming the sociology of religion. 42 There is now a growing recognition that there are multiple, non-Western ways of being modern in the twenty-first century. 43 Europeans need to rethink their understanding of religion given the religious world of the global South.

Thus, the rise of the postsecular indicates the end of the secular narrative of modernity. What is replacing the European vision is the transition to a variety of cultural and religious narratives of modernity—a recognition that we now live with the rise of the religious world of the global South, with multiple ways of being modern that do not reflect the European experience of modernization and development.

### "Europe's World" or the Religious World of the Global South

What does the postsecular have to do with that the rise of the global South, that is, the rise of new powers—the BRIC or BASIC countries—Brazil, South Africa, India, and China, and so on? This geopolitical transformation is often considered to be to one of the defining characteristics of international relations in the twenty-first century. The answer is that this geopolitical transformation is also the rise of the religious world of the global South. The saliency of religion is occurring in countries with a wide variety of religious traditions, which are also at different levels of economic development. It is not driven, or is not primarily driven, by poverty or social exclusion (mega-cities, mega-churches, and educated, middle class lifestyles from Sao Paulo, to Lagos, to Seoul, to Jakarta all seem to go together). It is also more broadly based than what is called religious fundamentalism, which briefly can be defined as the strict, rigid adherence to a set of rituals, doctrines, and practices.<sup>44</sup>

The religious world of the global South is being reinforced by the global politics of religious demography. The future global religious landscape is characterized by the massive, general demographic shift in population from the developed countries in the North with their declining or stagnating populations—Western Europe (more so than North America), the lands of the former Soviet Union, to the booming populations of the developing countries (the story is complicated by falling fertility in Japan and China). 45 The North accounted for 32 percent of the world's population in 1900, 29 percent in 1970, about 18 percent in 1900, and it is estimated that the North will account for only 10–12 percent of the world's population in 2050. The term "global South" reflects this demographic reality of international relations.

senting nearly a third of the estimated 2010 global population of which is set to increase dramatically, making this country in due within the continent. Today, however, approximately one-quarter of of global Christianity; which is not the case for other religious tradimeans that no single region can indisputably claim to be the center 6.9 billion. Christians are to be found across the globe, which today in 2011 assessed that there are some 2.18 billion Christians, repreon the Size and Distribution of the World's Christian Population" world, outstripping the United States. 47 course the one with the largest concentration of Christians in the it is estimated that up to 10 percent of the population is Christian, 28 million in 1910 to more than 285 million in 2010.46 In China today configuration of Christianity-in sub-Saharan Africa a 60-fold (13 percent). The report noted extraordinary changes in the global all Christians live in Europe (26 percent), the Americas (37 percent), tions. This is in contrast to the past when Europe held that position. in 2010; and in the Asia-Pacific region, a 10-fold increase, from about increase, from fewer than 9 million in 1910 to more than 516 million in sub-Saharan Africa (24 percent), and in Asia and the Pacific for example, in 1910 about two-thirds of the world's Christians lived The Pew Foundation report titled "Global Christianity: A Report

What is driving this demographic shift to the global South? One of the most important reasons is religious demography, that is, how faith influences lifestyle, and when religion is believed, when its values, beliefs, rituals, and so on are practiced. Theology has emerged as one of the most accurate indicators of fertility, far better than religious, denominational, or ethnic identities. Why? More devout families, Jews, Muslims, and Christians, believe

MBER

South (Christian, it should be emphasized, as well as Muslim, tics in the secular, liberal West-especially for Europe? It is that than their secular counterparts. What does this means for the poliwas at the beginning.48 may be more religious at the end of the twenty-first century than it although this is less visible in the public or secular consciousness), its population, and especially with its immigrants from the global children are a blessing from God, and so they have more of them

that increasingly reflects the religious values, beliefs, and concepts living in at the beginning of the twenty-first century. It is a world making.51 It is not the kind of world most Europeans expected to be ple with the fact that the world is no longer a world of Europe's complicated).50 The concept in many ways is an attempt to grapwhat used to be called Eastern Europe fits this picture is more religion in (Western) European identity and imagination (how as European politics and society.49 The concept of the postsecuincreasingly be a part of the politics of the global South as well experience of religion and modernization in most countries, will lar emerges out of profound anxieties over the role of culture and Thus, religion, contrary to European expectations, given the

ful for the solidarity and moral consensus of society. The problem is utilitarian reasons: religion, whether true or not, is socially useful." or at least a positive view of Judaism and Christianity, but "for quite South and the EU's eastward extension. The "turn to the postsecuthe debate on the postsecular given the religious world of the global and the presence of God in the world. This is what will shape their interpreted is a complex part of their theology, spirituality, conceppret their personal lives and social world. So how the social world is been an important part of the way people in the global South interity ceases when nobody believes this anymore."33 Religion always has that there is the supernatural reality that religion affirms. The utilthat this utility depends on at least some people actually believing However, "any sociologist will agree that religion, true or not, is uselar," Berger argues, indicates for Habermas a positive view of religion, amid the problems of world poverty, climate change, conflict, and worldview and inform their struggles for dignity, democracy, social tions of piety, faithfulness, and understanding of the nature of God justice, and economic development, as they seek to live faithfully development in the twenty-first century.54 The crux of Peter Berger's argument touches on the relevance of

# European Identity, the Postsecular, Easterr Christianity, and European Security

and Protestantism), will need to engage with the new Europe and sense rooted in the culture and religion of European Catholicism constitutes "Europe" or the European Union is now moving eastwards also now living is a new dynamic institutional context, in which what rity, migration, immigration, and multifaith relations). Europe is rise of the rest (having a transnational impact in Europe on secucontext because of the rise of the global South, with the religious this means engaging with Eastern Christianity. mainly has been articulated by Western intellectuals (and so in some (incorporating states and cultures rooted in Eastern Christianity).55 However, Europe is not only living in a new dynamic geopolitical The possible utility of the concept of the postsecular, in so far as it has

security, and the study of international relations.59 postsecular, and what it means or might mean for European politics text of politics, theology, and history from which to interrogate the political within Europe.58 This has created an entirely different conchurch and state, and on how the secular, the religious, relate to the ing discourses within an expanding Europe, and new perspectives on dominant in the Middle East. 57 Eastern Christianity brings competthe Oriental Orthodox tradition (Coptic, Armenian, and Syriac) are trated in Eastern Europe and the Eastern Mediterranean,56 although the Middle East. In fact Eastern Christianity is especially concenor the frontier contexts of North Africa, the Mediterranean, and of the "political" that have either emerged out of communist Europe different streams of religious concepts, history, and understandings Thus, both geographic shifts in culture and power incorporate

global Christian population. 60 Approximately a hundred million est Christian denomination with approximately 12 percent of the wide, although estimates can vary, which makes it the third largnificant geographical and cultural reality. Relations between the Union, now the Russian Federation and the neighboring states. not just based upon geopolitical concerns but a desire to protect the temporary importance that is often missed in Western policy.61 Russian Orthodox Church and Islam are both of historic and con-This fact makes Eastern Christian relations with Europe a sig-Orthodox Christians live in the countries of the former Soviet Russia's position regarding the contemporary conflict in Syria is Eastern Christianity has about 260 million members world

under increasing criticism with regard to the presence and future eration by Western, and in particular, US policy, which is coming The reality of Eastern Christianity is often not taken into considremains Russia, Eastern Europe, and the Eastern Mediterranean. domestic religious concerns. The second largest concentration of of Christianity in the Middle East and the position of Ecumenical Eastern Orthodox tradition is a global tradition, its center of gravity states of South America, mainly Argentina and Brazil. Whilst the Syria and Lebanon, as well as in Africa (300,000), Australia, Orthodox live in Western Europe and the Eastern Mediterranean Bulgaria, Serbia, Montenegro, and Cyprus. 62 Significant numbers of Orthodox is in Central-South Eastern Europe: Greece, Romania Christian population (approximately 10 percent), which relates to political circles.63 Patriarchate in modern Turkey, which is gaining a wide purchase in Canada, and the United States and many millions in the various

engagement with pluralism is one of "discernable ambivalence." In in its Catholic and Protestant traditions. roots of Europe that have often been linked to Western Christianity Orthodox Christians in the EU has increased from 10 to 40 million nism, and (iv) democratization.65 With the accession to the EU of theocratic legacy, (ii), the Ottoman legacy, (iii) the legacy of commuinto consideration several historical experiences: (i) the Byzantine fact, Eastern Christianity and its relation with Europe need to take ticular the Orthodox churches is not straightforward. Orthodoxy's This changes the religious and cultural assumptions of the Christian Cyprus (2004) and of Bulgaria and Romania (2007) the number of However, the relationship between Eastern Christianity and in par-

and cohabitation with Muslims and Islam. 68 For Orthodox Europe cially in the Middle East and Turkey, will also be influenced by the the EU.67 Relations between Europe and the Muslim world, espeassumptions and what will be the contribution of Orthodoxy to the cally strengthened Orthodox presence in the EU will challenge these been singled out as a special case within the EU. However, the numeri-Europe), which have a centuries long historical record of interactions Southeast Europe (in contrast to many Christians in the Western Orthodox Church, which now has a special permanent mission to definition of a common European identity, remains to be seen."60 long historical encounter between Eastern Orthodox Christians ir This new reality brings the EU into conversation with the Russian "Orthodox Greece has been a EU member since 1981, and has often

d'in d'Adam an Palabelle de la Constantion de la

world of Islam.69 Christian Europe is one of the continent's main frontiers with the Islam constitutes less "the Other" than it does for the West. Eastern

church-state relations in all states that are witnessing a changing plunear future. This in due course might have profound significance for ence that in turn enlarges how the present will help articulate the more plural drawing upon a wider range of modern historical experiof their relations will be an important marker in the religious iden-"political" framework. This means that in the long term the nature ward has meant that for the first time in history both Eastern and ecclesial relationship and to a common Christian reflection on what sense of common roots and shared values will add quality to the changed as large states with majority Orthodox populations have the common jurisdiction by Western churches bodies. the migration of large numbers of Eastern Christians into what was ral environment This can mean simple religious diversity as well as tity of the continent. European identity has become or is becoming Christianity, and very significantly, the enlargement of the EU eastis Europe. This is an important new context for Eastern and Western tions in Western Europe have been the key relationship, but this has gravity is shifting not only for the continent's political life but also ing Europe. The expansion of Europe eastward means the center of a new and significant marker for Christian identity in the emerg-Ukraine and Georgia await that possibility in due course. A renewed become part of the EU, Bulgaria and Romania, which join Greece. its religious character. In recent times Catholic-Protestant rela-Western churches find themselves side by side in a new European Thus, relations among Catholics, Protestants, and Orthodox are

#### Conclusion

are influenced by the sources of the European political imagination. of European identity, and the way conceptions of European security of EU politics and international relations for some time to come. This ance of power. Religion is going to be an increasingly important part and political change that is accompanying the global shift in the balshould emerge now at a time of rapid and profound social, cultural, It is not surprising that a debate over the secular and the postsecular unexpected reality is bringing up for Europeans haunting, uncom-What is "Europe," what is Europe for, what constitutes Europe, and fortable historical questions regarding culture, religion, the sources

UMBER

European Political Imagination in IR 1828

sion of European security. The turn towards the postsecular is the capable of helping to sustain this vision amid the EU's future chalthey have of the future, and what sets of ideas, values, and beliefs are to give convincing reasons for the defense of who they are, what vision of the answer to these kinds of questions. beginning of a recognition that religion is or needs to become a part tant for European identity-it will be increasingly difficult for them not sustain a culture for at most they are only means to some end lenges. Ultimately, the debate over what is Europe is a crucial dimenidentity—who they are, what their values are, and why they are imporprimary means for constituting and sustaining European culture. It has been Christianity that for over a thousand years has been the what is it for? Free trade, open markets, or financial services canwhat does its civilization still offer the world? What is the EU, and If Europeans can no longer give a compelling account of European

SELF TRANSFER

even be the case that the EU's ability to engage more constructively well as global liberal order. Indeed, almost counterintuitively, it may late the basis for a new pluralistic and balanced European order as cultural diplomacy, and interreligious dialogue in helping to articuthe social and political forces in a more culturally and religiously pluthe best way for it to engage more constructively globally, and with with religious actors in a newly emerging pluralistic Europe will offer ralistic world order. It can be argued that religious actors may have a growing role in

Jacques Maritain, Three Reformers: Luther, Descartes, Rousseau (London: Sheed & Ward, 1950), 3.

**建1965 1.罗姆热脚**图图

- 2. Peter Brown, The World of Late Antiquity (London: Thames and Hudson, 1971), 8.
- 3. Craig Calhoun, Mark Juergensmeyer, and Jonathan Van Antwerpen (eds.), Rethinking Secularism (New York: Oxford University Press,
- 4. See Luca Mavelli, Europe's Encounter with Islam: The Secular and the Chapter 4. Postsecular (Abingdon and New York: Routledge, 2012), particularly
- 5. An early exception is N. J. Rengger, "Culture, Society, and Order in University Press, 1993), religion is epiphenomenal and is reduced to Scholte, International Relations of Social Change (Buckingham: Open World Politics (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1992), 85-103. For Jan Aart World Politics," in John Baylis and N. J. Rengger (eds.), Dilemmas of

Studies, 19, 1 (1993): 3-22. concepts-culture, politics, economics, psychology, and ecology cesses of social change can be sufficiently accounted for" in other sacred," Scholte says, "as phenomena whose involvement in the pro-Alternative Focus for International Studies," Review of International (p. 149). See also Jan Aart Scholte, "From Power to Social Change: An religious revivalism and fundamentalism. "I treat notions of the

6. O. R. Holsti et al., Change in International System (Boulder, CO: of Change and Continuity (Princeton: Princeton University, 1990). Westview, 1980); Robert Gilpin, War and Change in World Politics (London: 1981); James N. Rosenau Turbulence in World Politics: A Theory

7. Peter Brown, Authority and the Sacred: Aspects of the Christianization of the Roman World (Cambridge: Canto/Cambridge University Press,

8. Grace Davie, Europe-the Exceptional Case? (London: Darton, Ashgate, 2008). Religious America, Secular Europe? A Theme and Variations (London: Longman, Todd, 2002); Peter Berger, Grace Davie, and Effic Fokas,

9. Richard A. Horsley, Jesus and Empire: The Kingdom of God and the New Press, 2007). Christ & Empire: From Paul to Postcolonial Times (Minneapolis: Fortress World Disorder (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2003); Joerg Rieger,

10. Barry Buzan, "The Level of Analysis Problem in International Relations Reconsidered," in Ken Booth and Steve Smith (eds.), International Relations Theory Today (Oxford: Polity Press, 1995), 199.

Peter Gourevitsch, "Domestic Politics and International Relations," 309-328. in Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, and Beth A. Simmons (eds.). Handbook of International Relations (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2002)

12. Scott M. Thomas, The Global Resurgence of Religion and the Transformation of International Relations (New York: Palgrave, 2005).

13. Brown, The World of Late Antiquity.

14. Ramsay MacMullan, "What Difference Did Christianity Make?" Roman Empire (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1990), Historia, 35 (1986): 322-343, in Ramsay MaMullan, Changes in the

15. Eva Bellin, "Faith in Politics: New Trends in the Study of Politics and and Global Politics (New York: Norton, 2011), 8. Philpott, and Timothy Samuel Shah, Gods Century: Resurgent Religion Religion," World Politics, 60, 2008): 316; Monica Duffy Toft, Daniel

16. Brown, Authority and the Sacred.

Arvind-Pal S. Mandair, "Modernity, Religion-Making and the Postsecular," in Markus Dressler and Arvind-Pal S. Mandair (eds.),

- Peter Sztompka, Sociology of Social Change (Oxford: Blackwell, 1999);
   Alberto Melucci, Nomads of the Present (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989).
- 20. Robert Markus begins *The End of Ancient Christianity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990) by discussing debates and language familiar to the study of religion in international relations (Section 1 of the book is entitled "The Crisis of Identity," and Chapter 1 is called "Secularity").
- 21. 1DIU., 1-2
- 22. What "social practices" can be treated with "indifference" and what ones are "supremely relevant" for religious authenticity? However, are "there any criteria to determine relevance?" If it is the previous religion and not the manner of life, that is, the "secular" customs, that need to be renounced, "where does 'religion' end and 'culture' begin?" Ibid., 6.
- 23. Christopher Dawson, The Making of Europe: An Introduction to the History of European Unity (London: Sheed & Ward, 1932).
- 24. Iver B. Neumann and Jennifer M. Welsh, "The Other in European Self-Definition: An Addendum to the Literature on International Society," Review of International Studies, 17 (1991): 327–348.
- 25. Clifford Geertz, "Religion as a Cultural System," in Clifford Geertz, The Interpretation of Cultures (New York: Basic Books, 1973), 89.
- 26. Markus, The End of Ancient Christianity, 14-15.
- 27. Ibid., 2.
- 28. Jonathan Z. Smith, Imagining Religion: From Babylon to Jonestown (Chicago: University of Chicago, 1988), 55.
- Fred Halliday, "Culture and International Relations: A New Reductionism?" in Michi Ebata and Beverly Neufeld (eds.), Confronting the Political in International Relations (London: Macmillan, 2000), 47–71.

**影的点: | 图描图描述** 

- o. William T. Cavanaugh, *The Myth of Religious Violence* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009).
- Talal Asad, "The Construction of Religion as an Anthropological Category," in *Genealogies of Religion* (Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1993), 27–54.
- 32. G. John Ikenberry, Liberal Leviathan: The Origins, Crisis, and Transformation of the American World Order (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2011).
- 3. Philip G. Cerny. Rethinking World Politics: A Theory of Transnational Neopluralism (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010).
- 34. Charles Taylor, A Secular Age (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007); Craig Calhoun, "Secularism, Citizenship, and the Public Sphere," in Rethinking Secularism, 111–133.

35. Barbara Tuchman, The Proud Tower: A Portrait of the World before the War, 1890–1914 (New York: Macmillan, 1966).

NUMBER

- 36. Felipe Fernandez-Armesto argues we are living through one of the great historical transitions. After a period of Western dominance the world is returning to where it was a millennium ago, to the world hegemony of Pacific Rim cultures. Millennium: A History of the Last Thousand Years (New York: Scribner, 1995).
- 37. See Michael Cox, Nicholas Rengger, Fred Halliday, John Vincent, and the idea of progress in international relations, *International Affairs*, 87, 5 (2011): 1045–1050.
- 38. Adam Watson, The Evolution of International Society (London Routledge, 1992).
- 39. Thomas, The Global Resurgence of Religion, 21–26, 33, 39, 54–55, 92, 151; Cavanaugh, The Myth of Religious Violence, 69–85; Cavanaugh, Migrations of the Holy: God, State, and the Political Meaning of the Church (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 2011).
- 40. Jose Casanova, "The Secular, Secularizations, and Secularisms," in Craig Calhoun, Mark Juergensmeyer, and Jonathan Van Antwerpen (eds.), Rethinking Secularism (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011), 79–110, esp. 104–106; "The Problem of Religion and the Anxieties of European Secular Democracies," in Gabriel Motzkin and Yochi Fischer (eds.), Religion and Democracy in Contemporary Europe (London: Alliance Publishing, 2008), 63–74.
- 41. Tomoko Masuzawa, The Invention of World Religions: Or, How European Universalism Was Preserved in the Language of Pluralism (Chicago: University of Chicago, 2005); Derek Peterson and Darren Walhof (eds.), The Invention of Religion: Rethinking Belief in Politics and History (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2002); Timothy Fitzgerald (ed.), Religion and the Secular: Historical and Colonial Formations (London: Equinox, 2007).
- 42. Jose Casanova, "Religion, the New Millennium, and Globalization," Sociology of Religion, 62 (2001): 415–441; Grace Davie Sociology of Religion (London: Sage, 2007).
- 43. S. N. Eisenstadt, Comparative Civilizations and Multiple Modernities 2 vols. (Leiden: Brill, 2003).
- 44. John Micklethwait and Adrian Wooldridge, God Is Back: How the Global Rise of Faith Is Changing the World (London: Penguin, 2009); Scott M. Thomas, "Outwitting the Developed Countries?" Journal of International Studies, 61, 1 (2007): 21–46; Scott M. Thomas, "A Globalized God," Foreign Affairs, 89, 6 (2010): 93–101.
- 45. See the trilogy by Philip Jenkins, The Next Christendom: The Coming of Global Christianity (Oxford University Press, 2002); The New Faces of Christianity: Believing the Bible in the Global South (Oxford University Press, 2006); God's Continent: Christianity, Islam and Europe's Religious Crisis (Oxford University Press, 2007); and Ian Linden: Global

UMBER

NUMBER

- 46. "Global Christianity: A Report on the Size and Distribution of the /Global-Christianity-exec.aspx, accessed December 19, 2011. World's Christian Population": http://www.pewforum.org/Christian
- 47. Joseph Tse-Hei Lee, "Christianity in Contemporary China," fournal of Church and State, 49, 2 (2007): 277-304.
- 48. Eric Kaufmann, Shall the Religious Inherit the Earth? (London: Profile

る世界製造工作

- 49. Timothy A. Byrnes and Peter J. Katzenstein (eds.), Religion in an Expanding Europe (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- 50. Religious confession and territory went together or were forced back to Westphalia, which legitimated the state, territory, and with the early modern European state-building projects, going Ecclesiology," in International Journal for the Study of the Christian Anthony O'Mahony, "Between Rome and Constantinople': The religious affiliation. Another context for conviviality between Protestant-Lutheran, and Orthodox ruling aristocracies, contrasts Lithuanian Commonwealth, with its multiconfessional Catholic, to go together through war and religious cleansing. The Polish-Church, 8, 3 (2008): 232-251. Italian-Albanian Church: A Study in Eastern Catholic History and Western and Eastern Christianity occurred in southern Italy. See
- ŞI. The glossy covered magazine, Europe's World seems like a title belong ing more to the nineteenth century than to the twenty-first: www .europesworld.org.
- 52. Peter Burger, "What Happens When a Leftist Philosopher Discovers God?" Religion and Other Curiosities, Peter Berger's Blog, The American Interest, September 21, 2011, accessed October 14, 2011.
- 53. Burger, "What Happens When a Leftist Philosopher Discovers
- 54. Séverine Deneulin with Masooda Bano, Religion in Development Security (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012). Wellman, Jr. and Clark B. Lombardi (eds.), Religion and Human Rewriting the Secular Script (London: Zed Books, 2009); James K.
- Peter J. Katzenstein and Timothy A. Byrnes, "Transnational Religion in an Expanding Europe," Perspectives on Politics, 4, 4 (2006):
- 56. Sotiris Roussos, "Eastern Orthodox Christianity in the Middle in the Modern Middle East (London, Routledge, 2010), 107-119. East," in A. O'Mahony and Emma Loosely (eds.), Eastern Christianity
- 57. See the articles by Sebastian Brock (Syrian Orthodox Church) Anthony O'Mahony (Coptic Church), and John Wholley (Armenian

Modern Middle East. Church) in O'Mahony and Loosely (eds.), Eastern Christianity in the

- 58. Daniela Kalkandjieva, "A Comparative Analysis on Church-State fournal of Church and State, 53, 4 (2011): 587–614. Relations in Eastern Orthodoxy: Concepts, Models and Principles,"
- 59. Victor Roudometof and Vasilios N. Makrides (eds.), Orthodox dox," Journal of Democracy, 15, 2 (2004): 62-75. Prodromou, "Christianity and Democracy: The Ambivalent Ortho-Christianity in 21st Century Greece (London: Ashgate, 2010); Elizabeth
- 60. http://www.pewforum.org/Christian/Global-Christianity-orthodox
- 61. Russia has the largest concentration of Muslims in Europe (c. 15 percent + of its population); see Alicja Cecylia Curanović, "Relations Orthodox Church, Islam and Christian-Muslim relations in Russia," between the Orthodox Church and Islam in the Russian Federation, Manchester University Press, 2008), 38-53; Gordon M. Hahn, Russia's Islam: Muslim-Christian Relations in the Modern World (Manchester: in A. O'Mahony and Emma Loosley (eds.), Christian Responses to Journal of Church and State, 52, 2 (2010): 503-539; Basil Cousins, "The
- Islamic Threat (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007).
  62. Christine Chaillot (ed.), LÉglise orthodoxe en Europe orientale au XXe siècle (Paris: Cerf, 2009).
- 63. Elizabeth H. Prodromou, "The Politics of Human Rights: Orthodox Christianity Gets the Short End," Washington, D.C., May 8, 2013: http://www.archons.org/news/detail.asp?id=638.
- 64. Elizabeth Prodromou, "Orthodox Christianity and pluralism a Pluralistic World," Concilium, 1 (2011): 39-50; L. Leustean, "Eastern Christianity and Human Security in Postwar Europe," in James K. Churches in a Pluralistic World: an Ecumenical Conversation (Geneva: Moving beyond Ambivalent?" in E. Clapsis (ed.), The Orthodox Wellman and Clark Lomardi (eds.), Religion and Human Security. A Cross Press 2004), 22-46; Ina Merdjanova, "Orthodox Christianity in World Council of Churches Publications/Brookline, MA: Holy Global Perspective (Oxford University Press, 2012), 228-243.
- 65. Merdjanova, "Orthodox Christianity in a Pluralistic World."
- 66. Ibid.
- 67. L. Leustean, "Representing Religion in the European Union: A Typology of Actors," Politics, Religion and Ideology, 12, 3 (2011): 295–315; Alicja Curanović, The Religious Factor in Russia's Foreign Policy (London, Koutledge, 2012).
- 68. Milka Andonova Hristova, "Modèles historiques de coexistence pacifique entre musulmans et chrétiens orthodoxes pendant les periodes byzantine et post-byzantine," Byzantinoslavica, 61, 1 (2003)

128

69. Dia Anagnostou and Ruby Gropas, "Domesticating Islam and Muslim Immigrants: Political and Church Responses to Constructing a Central Mosque in Athens," in Victor Roudometof and Vasilios N. Makrides (eds.), Orthodox Christianity in 21st Century Greece The Role of Religion in Culture, Ethnicity and Politics Orthodox Christianity in 21st Century Greece (London, Ashgate, 2010), 89–110.

### CHAPTER 7

# THE CLASH OF POSTSECULAR ORDERS IN CONTEMPORARY RUSSIA

Richard Sakwa

secularized political spirituality. of organized religion, but also on the advancement of new forms of espousal of a secular progressivism based not only on the destruction tions of social emancipation, giving rise to the intense and violent of humanistic progress with nineteenth-century materialist concep-1917 combined rationalistic eighteenth-century Enlightenment ideals pear as a significant factor in social life. The Bolshevik Revolution in of modernization, to the point that religion was expected to disapment. Henceforth a secularizing dynamic was built into most variants valorization of independent knowledge. The formal religious element religion. The emergence of a new social rationalism during the was removed from the public eschatology of progress and develop-Enlightenment emphasized individual conscience and the profound religious terms, provoking bitter internecine conflict and wars of I ometime in the eighteenth century a fundamental shift dreams of emancipation, freedom, and utopia were cast in took place in the European political imagination. Hitherto

In 1991 these multiple but entwined projects collapsed, opening up a complex era of political reconstitution in which the appropriate model of the good life remains intensely contested. Russian post-communism is a forward-looking project, but it also demonstrates a powerful remedial aspect, which at its most basic reduces to the countersecular moment of desecularization. The latter is only one facet of contemporary development, and postsecularism is quite compatible with both the restoration of religion into public affairs and