

Citation for published version: Witard, OC, Turner, JE, Jackman, SR, Tipton, KD, Jeukendrup, AE, Kies, AK & Bosch, JA 2012, 'High-intensity training reduces CD8+ T-cell redistribution in response to exercise', Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 1689-1697. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318257d2db

DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318257d2db

Publication date: 2012

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in O. C. Witard, J. E. Turner, S. R. Jackman, K. D. Tipton, A. E. Jeukendrup, A. K. Kies, J. A. Bosch, 'High-Intensity Training Reduces CD8+ T-cell Redistribution in Response to Exercise' in Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise (September 2012) Volume 44, Issue 9, pp. 1689 - 1697. DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318257d2db

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

High-intensity training reduces CD8⁺ T cell redistribution in response to exercise

OLIVER C. WITARD^{1,2,*}, JAMES E. TURNER^{1,3}, SARAH R. JACKMAN^{1,5}, KEVIN D.

TIPTON^{1,3}, ASKER E. JEUKENDRUP¹ ARIE K. KIES⁴ & JOS A. BOSCH¹.

¹School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham,

B15 2TT.

²Health and Exercise Sciences Research Group, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA.

³School of Cancer Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of

Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT.

⁴DSM Food Specialities, Delft, The Netherlands.

⁵Sport & Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, St Luke's Campus,

University of Exeter, Exeter, EX1 2LU, Devon, UK.

*Corresponding author:

Dr Oliver C. Witard

School of Sports Studies

University of Stirling

Stirling

Scotland

FK9 4LA.

Tel: +44(0)1786 466 298

Fax: +44(0)1786 464 551

E-mail : <u>oliver.witard@stir.ac.uk</u>

Running title: Exercise training and immune surveillance

Funding received from DSM Foods, The Netherlands.

Conflict of Interest Statement: All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

1 Abstract

Purpose: We examined whether exercise-induced lymphocytosis and lymphocytopenia are 2 impaired with high-intensity training. Methods: Eight trained cyclists ($\dot{V}O_2$ max: 64.2 ± 6.5 3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) undertook one week of normal-, and a second week of high-intensity training. On 4 5 day seven of each week, participants performed a cycling task, consisting of 120 min sub-maximal 6 exercise followed by a 45 min time trial. Blood was collected before, during and after exercise. 7 CD8⁺ T lymphocytes (CD8⁺TLs) were identified, as well as CD8⁺TL sub-populations on the basis 8 of CD45RA and CD27 expression. **Results:** High-intensity training $(18,577 \pm 10,984 \text{ cells}) \mu L \times$ 9 \sim 165 min) was associated with a smaller exercise-induced mobilization of CD8⁺TLs compared with 10 normal-intensity training (28,473 \pm 16,163 cells· μ L× ~165 min, p = 0.09). The response of highly cytotoxic CD8⁺TLs (CD45RA⁺CD27⁻) to exercise was smaller following one week of high- (3.144 11 12 \pm 924 cells· μ L× ~165 min) compared with normal-intensity training (6,417 \pm 2,143 cells· μ L× ~165 13 min; p < 0.05). High-intensity training reduced post-exercise CD8⁺TL lymphocytopenia (-436 ± 234 cells· μ L) compared to normal-intensity training (-630 ± 320 cells· μ L; p < 0.05). This was 14 15 driven by a reduced egress of naïve CD8⁺TLs (CD27⁺CD45RA⁺). High-intensity training was 16 associated with reduced plasma epinephrine (-37%) and cortisol (-15%) responses (p < 0.05). **Conclusions:** High-intensity training impaired CD8⁺TL mobilization and egress in response to 17 18 exercise. Highly cytotoxic CD8⁺TLs were primarily responsible for the reduced mobilization of 19 CD8⁺TLs, which occurred in parallel with smaller neuro-endocrine responses. The reduced capacity for CD8⁺TLs to leave blood post-exercise with high-intensity training was accounted for primarily 20 by naïve, and also, highly cytotoxic CD8⁺TLs. This impaired CD8⁺TL redistribution in athletes 21 22 undertaking intensified training may imply reduced immune-surveillance.

23

Key words: Exercise training, CD8⁺ T Lymphocytes, immune surveillance, epinephrine, cortisol,
humans.

29 Introduction

30 **Paragraph 1.** Epidemiological evidence shows that athletes undertaking prolonged periods of high-31 intensity exercise training are more susceptible to infectious disease (e.g., upper respiratory tract 32 infection (URTI) (12). Longitudinal studies demonstrate a 40% increase in the incidence of URTI in 33 athletes undertaking a very high training load (11). To better understand the mechanisms that may 34 underlie these observations, a variety of immune parameters have been investigated in response to, 35 and following bouts of exercise (23). For example, intense exercise can alter several aspects of cell 36 mediated immunity including; impaired neutrophil function, natural killer (NK) cell cytotoxicity, 37 and lymphocyte cytokine production (12,21,34). These immune parameters, however, appear not to 38 be predictive of viral infection incidence in athletes (23).

39

40 **Paragraph 2.** One process that has not been examined in the context of high-intensity exercise 41 training is lymphocyte redeployment. Lymphocytes continuously traffic into and out of the blood 42 and this process is essential to immune surveillance and elimination of virally infected self cells 43 (20). The trafficking pattern of lymphocytes is very sensitive to exercise and is largely driven by CD8⁺ T cells (CD8⁺TL) and Natural Killer (NK) cells (39). Indeed, the exercise-induced 44 45 mobilization and egress of lymphocytes into and out of the blood, respectively, referred to as 46 lymphocytosis and lymphocytopenia, is one of the most established effects of exercise on the immune system (6). It has been argued that this exercise-induced mobilization of cells evolved to 47 48 reflect a 'primed' immune system under conditions where tissue damage and infection is more 49 likely (6).

50

51 *Paragraph 3.* Likewise, the post-exercise lymphocytopenia, whereby cell counts fall below baseline 52 levels, is also thought to be important for immune surveillance and antigen detection (6,20,39). 53 Recently, it has become clear that lymphocytopenia is driven by a preferential egress of highly cytotoxic CD8⁺TLs from peripheral blood (39). Moreover, animal studies have shown that 54 55 lymphocytes migrate to 'front-line' locations such as the skin and lungs in response to exercise (20). Thus, changes in the cellular composition of peripheral blood during and following exercise appear 56 57 to be adaptive immunological processes. If high-intensity exercise training is associated with decreased lymphocyte trafficking, and potentially impaired immune surveillance, then this might 58 provide one explanation why athletes are at greater risk of infection following high-intensity 59 60 exercise training (4,6).

61

Paragraph 4. The mobilization and egress of CD8⁺TLs and NK cells in response to exercise is 62 63 primarily driven by specific highly cytotoxic sub-populations (2,39). Thus, the trafficking of CD8⁺TLs is determined by the kinetics of four CD8⁺ sub-populations: a subset of antigen 64 inexperienced cells; the naïve population (NA; CD45RA⁺CD27⁺) and three types of antigen-65 experienced memory cells; central memory (CM; CD45RA⁻CD27⁺); effector memory (EM; 66 67 CD45RA⁻CD27⁻); and terminally differentiated CD8⁺TL which have re-expressed the 'naïve' cell 68 marker CD45RA (EMRA; CD45RA⁺CD27⁻) (15,35,39). NA and CM CD8⁺TL re-circulate between 69 the blood and secondary lymphoid organs, whereas EM and EMRA CD8⁺TL preferentially migrate to the peripheral tissues, such as the skin and lungs (15,35). EM and EMRA subsets are able to 70 71 employ immediate effector functions, such as the killing of virally infected cells (15,35).

72

Paragraph 5. NK cells also can be divided into sub-populations with distinct functional properties,
 identified as cells that express high levels of CD56 (CD56^{hi}) and cells which express low levels of
 CD56 (CD56^{lo}) (5). The CD56^{lo} subset is comparable to CD8⁺ EM and EMRA cells; preferentially
 migrating into peripheral tissues and capable of rapidly killing target cells (22).

78 **Paragraph 6.** Considering the association between exercise training load and infection risk (12), it 79 is unknown whether the redeployment of CD8⁺TL and NK cells is maintained or impaired during a 80 period of high-intensity exercise training. Therefore, this study compared the exercise-induced 81 mobilization and subsequent egress of CD8⁺TL and NK cells, and their sub-populations, following 82 one week of either normal- or high-intensity exercise training. To improve the relevance and applicability of results, lymphocyte responses were assessed following controlled sub-maximal 83 84 exercise (simulating aspects of training), in addition to a maximal effort time trial (which simulates 85 competition). On the basis of prior research, it was hypothesised that lymphocytosis (i.e., cell mobilization) and lymphocytopenia (i.e., cell egress) of CD8⁺TL and NK cells would be reduced 86 following a period of high-intensity training. Further, we speculated that any effects of high-87 88 intensity training would be most prominent in the most cytotoxic CD8⁺TL and NK cell sub-89 populations.

90

91 Methods

92 **Participants**

Paragraph 7. Eight well-trained male cyclists (Mean \pm SD; age 27 \pm 8 yr; body mass index 22.8 \pm 2.2 kg·m⁻² maximal oxygen uptake ($\[MO_2\] max$) 64.2 \pm 6.5 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) took part in this study. Participants were non-smokers, not taking any medication and had remained free from respiratory infection for four weeks. All participants provided informed written consent and the study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK.

99

100 Experimental design

101 Paragraph 8. Participants were engaged in a seven day period of prescribed normal-intensity 102 exercise training, followed by another seven day period of high-intensity exercise training (see 103 Exercise training conditions). The order of these conditions was not randomised. On the final day 104 of each condition, and at least 18 h after the last exercise session, participants undertook a 105 standardized exercise trial (see Exercise trials), in which immune cell responses to exercise were 106 quantified.

107

108 **Preliminary exercise testing and familiarization**

109 **Paragraph 9.** Participants visited the laboratory for two preliminary visits prior to the experimental period. During the first visit, participants undertook a maximal oxygen uptake test (\dot{V} O₂max test; 110 workloads of 35 watts. 3 minute intervals) to exhaustion on a cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur 111 112 Sport, Groningen, Netherlands) as described previously (14). In the final min of each stage, heart rate was recorded (Polar Vantage NV, Kempele, Finland) and expired gas samples were collected 113 114 using Douglas bags. The intensity of each acute exercise trial was determined using \dot{V} O₂ max values assessed during preliminary testing, whereas the intensity of the two experimental training 115 116 conditions (normal- and high-intensity exercise training) was derived using percentages of maximal heart rate (HRmax) as previously described (40) (see Exercise training conditions). The second 117 118 preliminary visit consisted of a familiarisation exercise trial conducted under fasted conditions.

119 Exercise training conditions

Paragraph 10. Five training zones, defined in accordance with British Cycling guidelines (14) were defined: zone 1: <70% HRmax, zone 2: 70-80% HRmax, zone 3: 80-90% HRmax, zone 4: 90-95% HRmax, and zone 5: >95% HRmax. During the normal-intensity training condition, participants engaged in their usual level of regular exercise (one session/day, 4-5 training days/wk). The high-intensity training condition required athletes to markedly increase training load: exercise volume,

125 (i.e., duration of each training session) and exercise intensity (i.e., difficulty of each training 126 session) were increased by ~70% relative to normal-intensity training (1-2 sessions/day, 7 training 127 days/wk). In the high-intensity training condition, cyclists typically undertook one sprint interval 128 session per day and one continuous ride. To rule out immunological differences during exercise 129 trials as a result of possible changes in PO_2 max following the normal- and high-intensity exercise 130 training conditions, a PO_2 max test was conducted on day 6 of each training condition.

131

132 Exercise trials

133 *Paragraph 11.* Exercise trials took place on the seventh day of each exercise training condition. Trials started at ~06:30 after an overnight fast. Following a 15 min rest, a baseline blood sample 134 135 was drawn from a forearm vein using an indwelling catheter. The catheter was flushed regularly 136 with saline to maintain patency. Each exercise trial was identical, and consisted of two stages 137 completed in short succession. First, participants cycled for 120 min at a fixed exercise intensity equivalent to ~60% \dot{V} O₂ max (sub-maximal effort cycling). Second, participants undertook a 138 maximal-effort time trial lasting ~45 min (~85-100% \dot{V} O₂ max). The time trial required a target 139 140 amount of work (mean \pm SD, energy target; 670 ± 52 kJ) to be completed in as short a time as 141 possible (18). The total amount of work to be performed was individualized, based on their Wattmax achieved during the \dot{V} O₂ max test, and was calculated using the following formula: 142 143 Total work (J) = $0.7 \times$ Watt-max $\times 2700$ s.

144 A two-stage exercise trial was employed to assess endurance performance in a glycogen depleted145 state for a separate investigation (40).

146

147 *Paragraph 12.* Physiological and psychological data (e.g., HR, RPE, \dot{V} O₂ and RER) were collected 148 at 20 min intervals during sub-maximal effort cycling. To minimize distraction to the cyclist during the maximal-effort time trial, only HR and RPE were assessed at 25, 50, 75 and 100% completion

150 of this phase. Cyclists could monitor task progress (i.e., kJ of energy expended) however

151 performance time, power output or cadence (RPM) data were not made available.

152

153 Paragraph 13. In addition to the resting sample before exercise, blood was collected in the final 154 min of each exercise stage and also 1 h post-exercise. Pilot data showed that 1 h post-exercise was 155 the nadir of lymphocytopenia.

156

157 Dietary control

Paragraph 14. To rule out possible immunological changes during exercise which might be caused 158 159 by a differential energy expenditure between the normal- and high-intensity exercise training conditions, diet was controlled to maintain energy balance (i.e., energy intake was equal to energy 160 expenditure in both training conditions) (40). Daily energy requirements were calculated by the 161 summation of basal metabolic rate (kcal·day⁻¹) (predicted using the Harris Benedict equation) and 162 163 estimated energy expenditure during cycling activity (26). All food and drink consumed by 164 participants was provided, and was matched to the energy expenditure of each training condition using internet-based nutrition software (weightlossresources.co.uk). In both training conditions, the 165 macronutrient composition of the diet was equivalent to 1.5 g of protein kg^{-1} body mass day^{-1} and 6 166 g of CHO \cdot kg⁻¹ body mass \cdot day⁻¹, with the remainder of energy derived from fat. Calorie intake was 167 higher during high-intensity training $(4410 \pm 437 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{day}^{-1})$ compared with normal-intensity 168 training $(3711 \pm 456 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{dav}^{-1}, p < 0.05)$. Participants consumed only food and drink provided, and 169 did not consume alcohol and caffeine. All athletes maintained a stable body weight throughout the 170 171 experimental period.

172 Flow Cytometry

Paragraph 15. Blood was collected into K₃EDTA Vacutainers and processed within 5 h. Whole 173 174 blood was incubated with two separate antibody panels for 25 min at room temperature. Panel 1: CD45RA FITC, CD27 PE, CD3 PERCP and CD8 APC. Panel 2; CD16 FITC, CD56 PE, CD3 175 PERCP and CD14 APC (Antibodies from Pharmingen San Diego, USA, except CD3 PERCP; 176 Becton Dickinson San Jose, USA). Erythrocytes were lysed using FACS lysing solution (Becton 177 178 Dickinson, San Jose, USA). Cells were washed by centrifugation at 500 \times g for 6 min at 4°C, and 179 re-suspended in 300 µL of 2% paraformaldehyde phosphate buffered saline. Cells were stored in the dark at 4°C and read on a FACS calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, USA), 180 181 collecting 20,000 lymphocytes.

182

Paragraph 16. CD8⁺TL subsets were identified by the expression of the cell-surface molecules
CD45RA in and CD27, as previously described (15,39). NK cells were identified as being CD3⁻
and CD56⁺. The NK sub-populations; CD56^{hi} and CD56^{lo} were determined by CD16 expression as
described by Cooper *et al.* (5). Data were analyzed using FlowJo v5.2 (Tree Star Inc., Aston,
Oregon). Whole blood cell counts (i.e., total leukocytes, lymphocytes, granulocytes and monocytes)
were determined based on the Coulter principle using an automated haematology analyzer (Coulter
GEN-S, Beckman-Coulter, Miami, USA).

190 Neuro-endocrine measurements

191 *Paragraph 17.* Plasma cortisol and epinephrine concentrations were measured using commercially 192 available kits (Cortisol, IDS, Tyne and Wear, UK; CAT-COMBO, IDS, Tyne and Wear, UK, 193 respectively). Plasma was obtained by centrifugation at $1500 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C. Samples were 194 stored at -80° C until analysis. Epinephrine was not measured 1 h post-exercise due to the short 195 half-life in the blood following the exercise stimulus.

196 Statistical analyses

197 Paragraph 18. Leukocyte, neuro-endocrine, physiological and psychological responses to exercise 198 were analyzed within-subjects using two-way repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA). 199 Post-hoc least-significant difference (LSD) tests identified time-point differences. To examine 200 differences in immune cell counts between training conditions over the defined exercise period (measurements collected at baseline, sub-maximal exercise and maximal exercise, only), data were 201 202 expressed as incremental area under the curve (iAUC) (33). iAUC is routinely used to detect 203 differences across multiple time-points (33). An advantage of using this technique is that both the 204 magnitude of response (increases in immune cell counts above baseline) and changes over time 205 (i.e., time intervals between consecutive measurements of immune cell counts) are accurately 206 captured, irrespective of non-uniform time intervals between measurements (10,33). Post-exercise 207 lymphocytopenia was expressed as absolute change in cell number (i.e., cell count upon immediate 208 cessation of time trial minus cell count 1 h post-exercise). Differences in mobilization or egress of 209 cells between training conditions were examined with paired samples *t*-tests, or ANOVA, including 210 key variables entered as individual covariates (ANCOVA). This strategy allows investigation of 211 variables mediating observed relationships. Key variables included change in epinephrine, cortisol 212 (expressed as iAUC), HR, RPE, performance time and power output between normal and highintensity exercise training. This approach is routinely used in analyses of this sort (39) and provides 213 214 a robust statistical test for mediation (17).

215

216*Paragraph 19.* All data were expressed as means \pm SEM, unless otherwise stated. iAUC was217calculated using PRISM software (v4 Graph pad INC., San Diego, CA) with baseline set as the218basal immune cell sub-population/subset count measured in the corresponding training condition.219Data were analysed using SPSS 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., USA). Significance was set at the *p*220< 0.05 level.</td>

221 Results

222 Exercise training conditions

Paragraph 20. Training volume (duration of exercise per week) during high-intensity training was 223 224 increased compared to normal-intensity training (Mean \pm SD; 1084 \pm 203 min vs. 650 \pm 173 min, 225 respectively; Paired samples t-test; $t_{(7)} = -14.9$, p < 0.001). As a measure of exercise intensity (i.e., difficulty of exercise training each week), average heart rate during training was increased during 226 227 high- compared to normal-intensity training (Mean \pm SD; 140 \pm 7 bpm vs. 131 \pm 5 bpm, 228 respectively; Paired samples t-test; $t_{(7)} = -6.2$, p < 0.05). The high-intensity training regimen did not improve cardio-respiratory fitness, but instead caused a slight reduction (Normal-intensity 229 training: $63.3 \pm 4.9 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$; High-intensity training: $56.9 \pm 7.2 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, Paired 230 samples *t*-test; $t_{(7)} = 1.9$, p < 0.05). 231

232

233 Physiological and psychological responses to exercise

Paragraph 21. Physiological responses to sub-maximal cycling were not different between trials, 234 235 $(\dot{V} O_2 \text{ and RER data not shown})$ although RPE was greater following high-intensity training (see 236 supplementary table which shows exercise duration, power output, RPE and HR responses to 237 exercise following normal- and high-intensity training). Time to completion (min) and power output 238 (watts) during maximal-effort cycling were slightly reduced following high-intensity training 239 (Paired samples *t*-test; $t_{(7)} < 1.9$, p < 0.05), however, HR and RPE were not significantly different (Paired samples *t*-test; $t_{(7)} > 4.4$, p > 0.05; see supplementary table). Physiological, and 240 241 psychological variables were not significant covariates mediating any of the training-induced immunological responses to acute exercise (all interaction effects; $F_{(1,6)} < 3.2$, p > 0.05, $\eta_2 < 0.3$). In 242 243 other words, changes in these variables between training conditions did not confound any of the 244 results reported below.

245

246 Leukocyte responses to exercise

247 Paragraph 22. Table 1 presents total leukocyte and leukocyte sub-population responses to exercise following the normal- and high-intensity training conditions, respectively. In both training 248 249 conditions, total leukocytes, lymphocytes, granulocytes and monocytes showed the largest increase 250 upon immediate completion of the maximal-effort time trial. Sixty minutes post-exercise, total 251 leukocytes and granulocytes remained elevated, monocytes returned to baseline, and lymphocytes 252 fell below pre-exercise levels (see Table 1, main effects of time all; $F_{(1,7)} > 8.5$, p < 0.05). High-253 intensity training was associated with a smaller exercise-induced mobilization of leukocytes (Mean 254 \pm SD iAUC; 366 \pm 50 vs. 467 \pm 69 cells/ μ L; high- and normal-intensity training, respectively) and granulocytes (Mean \pm SD iAUC; 255 \pm 51 vs. 377 \pm 76 cells/ μ L; high- and normal-intensity 255 256 training, respectively) (Paired samples *t*-tests both; $t_{(7)} > 3.2$, p < 0.05). There were no significant 257 differences in total lymphocyte and monocyte responses to exercise between training conditions 258 (see Table 1).

259

260 Total CD8⁺T Lymphocyte responses to exercise

Paragraph 23. Figure 1 shows CD8⁺TL responses to exercise following the normal- and highintensity training conditions. CD8⁺TL increased during exercise (~300 %) and fell below baseline levels 1 h post-exercise (~45 %) (see Figure 1 A, Main effects of time $F_{(1,7)} = 29.8$; p < .05). There was a trend for a smaller exercise-induced mobilization of CD8⁺TL in the high-intensity training condition (see Figure 1 B, Paired samples *t*-tests iAUC between training conditions; $t_{(7)} = 2.1$; p =0.09). The egress of CD8⁺TL 1 h post-exercise (Paired samples *t*-tests between training conditions; $t_{(7)} = 3.047$; p < 0.05) was attenuated in the high-intensity training condition (see Figure 1 C).

269 **CD8⁺T Lymphocyte subset responses to exercise**

270 *Paragraph 24.* Figure 2 shows EMRA CD8⁺TL responses to exercise following the normal- and 271 high-intensity training conditions. The mobilization of EMRA CD8⁺TL was smaller in the high-272 intensity condition (see Figure 2 B, Paired samples *t*-test iAUC between conditions; $t_{(1,7)} = 2.5$; p <273 0.05). 1 h post exercise, the number of circulating EMRA CD8⁺TL was reduced by ~65% compared 274 to pre-exercise with normal-intensity training. In the high-intensity condition, there was a trend for 275 a smaller post-exercise egress; EMRA CD8+TL fell by ~55% relative to baseline (see Figure 2 C, 276 Paired samples *t*-tests between conditions; $t_{(1,7)} = 2.2$; p = 0.06).

277

Paragraph 25. There were no differences in the mobilization of NA, CM and EM CD8⁺TL to exercise between the normal and high-intensity training conditions (data only shown for NA, see Figure 3 A-B). The post-exercise egress of NA CD8⁺TL was smaller in the high-intensity training condition (see Figure 3 C, Paired samples *t*-tests between training conditions; $t_{(7)} = 3.6$; p < 0.05), however the egress of CM and EM was unaffected (data not shown),

283

284 NK cell responses to exercise

285 *Paragraph 26.* NK cells increased during exercise (~420%) and fell below baseline 1 h post-286 exercise (~36%). NK CD56^{lo} cells showed a larger mobilization into peripheral blood (~430%) 287 compared to CD56^{hi} cells (~240%) without any differences between the normal- and high-intensity 288 training conditions (data not shown).

289

290 Neuro-endocrine responses to exercise

Paragraph 27. Figure 4 shows the plasma epinephrine and cortisol responses to exercise following
the normal- and high-intensity training conditions. Epinephrine concentrations showed the expected

increase in response to exercise (see Figure 4 A, main effects of time; $F_{(1,7)} = 4.9$; p < 0.05). Highintensity training was associated with a smaller epinephrine response to exercise (Mean ± SD iAUC between conditions; 24,133 ± 31,792 pg/mL× ~165min *vs.* 33,120 ± 36,078 pg/mL× ~165min; high- and normal- intensity training, respectively; Paired samples *t*-test; $t_{(7)} = 3.3$, p < 0.05).

297

Paragraph 28. Cortisol concentrations increased in response to the maximal-effort time trial and remained elevated at 1 h post-exercise (see Figure 4 B, main effects of time; $F_{(1,7)} = 20.0$; p < 0.05). Cortisol responses to exercise were reduced after high-intensity training (Mean ± SD iAUC; 10,344 \pm 3382 ng/mL× ~165min; 8754 \pm 1258 ng/mL× ~165min during normal- and high-intensity training, respectively; Paired samples *t*-test; $t_{(7)} = 2.4$, p < 0.05).

303

304 *Paragraph 29.* Epinephrine and cortisol concentration were not significant covariates mediating any 305 of the training-induced immunological responses to acute exercise (all interaction effects; $F_{(1,6)}$ < 306 $3.5, p > 0.05, \eta_2 < 0.4$). In other words, the reduced neuro-endocrine response to exercise with high-307 intensity training, was not responsible for the training-induced reduced immune responses to 308 exercise.

309

310 **Discussion**

Paragraph 30. This study investigated whether CD8⁺TL mobilization and subsequent egress from blood in response to a standardized bout of exercise, is affected by a period of high-intensity exercise training. Using a validated model of high-intensity training (14), our data suggest that both the mobilization and egress of CD8⁺TL to an acute bout of intense exercise is reduced after a week of high-intensity training in well trained cyclists. Further analyses showed that these effects observed in total CD8⁺TL were driven by a differential response of CD8⁺TL sub-populations. 317 EMRA CD8⁺TL cells exhibited a smaller mobilization during exercise with high-intensity exercise
318 training. Following exercise, the reduced egress of CD8⁺TL was largely driven by a smaller egress
319 of NA and to a lesser extent, a smaller egress of EMRA cells.

320

321 **Paragraph 31.** The smaller mobilization of CD8⁺TL with high-intensity exercise training occurred in parallel with a reduced epinephrine response to exercise. CD8⁺TL, and in particular the EMRA 322 323 sub-population, express the B2 adrenergic receptor very densely at the cell surface (19). Exercise is 324 associated with increased adrenergic activity, and these cells become selectively mobilized via an adrenergic-dependent mechanism (8,19). Moreover, epinephrine infusion alone causes a similar 325 mobilization of lymphocytes (8,19) which confirms previous assumptions that exercise-induced 326 lymphocytosis is largely dependent on epinephrine release (28). The observed blunting of 327 epinephrine and CD8⁺TL responses to acute exercise following high-intensity training is consistent 328 329 with previous reports. For example, physically fit individuals, who engage regularly in exercise training, exhibit a smaller exercise-induced lymphocytosis compared to unfit individuals, which is 330 independent of absolute exercise intensity (16,29). It has previously been reasoned that B2 331 332 adrenergic receptors are desensitized due to repeated exercise-induced adrenergic activity (16,29). Also consistent with our findings is the observation that relative to unfit individuals, physically fit 333 334 individuals tended to show more modest epinephrine responses to stress and exercise tasks (16). 335 Thus, our findings and those of others, suggest that periods of high-intensity exercise training are associated with a reduced CD8⁺TL mobilization, which might be in part mediated by B2 adrenergic 336 337 receptor down-regulation, a decline in adrenergic output, or a combination of the two.

338

Paragraph 32: Adrenergic stimulation is not the only mechanism behind exercise-induced
lymphocytosis. For example, lymphocytes are mobilized non-specifically due to increased cardiac
output and associated shear forces (36). Indeed, our results suggest that the smaller mobilization of

342 lymphocytes following high-intensity training is likely to be mediated by several mechanisms. For 343 example, our analyses showed that the smaller epinephrine response to exercise following high-344 intensity training was not a significant covariate in the smaller training-induced immune responses to exercise. This indicates that the reduced epinephrine response to exercise is not entirely 345 346 responsible for our observation. Thus, other known processes (e.g., cardiac output and associated shear stresses), as well as unknown factors (e.g., possible changes in lymphocyte adhesion 347 348 molecule, or tissue ligand expression with high-intensity training) also might have mediated the 349 reduced mobilization of cells in response to exercise.

350

351 Paragraph 33. Although the mobilization of lymphocytes into blood during exercise is well studied, investigation of post-exercise lymphocytopenia and the mechanism behind this process 352 remains unclear. Cortisol is proposed as a neuro-endocrine mediator of lymphocyte extravasation 353 354 from blood (9,32). Indeed, Dimitrov et al. (7) showed that cortisol infusion causes a selective egress 355 of NA CD8⁺TL from peripheral blood. Consistent with these findings, we observed a smaller NA CD8⁺TL egress occurring in parallel with a reduced cortisol release with high-intensity exercise 356 357 training. Together, these findings imply that an impaired ability of NA CD8⁺TL to leave blood postexercise might, at least in part, be mediated by a blunted cortisol response. However, our mediation 358 analyses suggest that a smaller cortisol response to exercise following high-intensity training was 359 360 not responsible for the reduced NA CD8⁺TL (or any other CD8⁺TL population) lymphocytopenia. 361 Thus, if cortisol does indeed play a role in lymphocytopenia, it might be related to down-stream mechanistic processes. For example, as with lymphocyte B2 adrenergic receptor down regulation 362 with chronic adrenergic stimulation (16), glucocorticoid receptor density might similarly be affected 363 364 with chronic cortisol stimulation. Likewise, high-intensity exercise training and/or chronic cortisol 365 release might influence other aspects of lymphocytopenia not investigated in this study. For example, lymphocyte migration to bone marrow, as observed with exercise in rodents (20), is 366

367 dependent on lymphocyte expression of the adhesion molecule CXCR4 (25). In turn, the actions of 368 CXCR4 are dependent on bone-marrow derived ligands (e.g., CXCL12), and the expression of 369 CXCR4 and CXCL12 is partly governed by cortisol levels (27,31). Thus, it is not surprising that 370 measuring cortisol levels alone does not explain the reduced lymphocytopenia following high-371 intensity exercise training in this study.

372

373 Paragraph 34. It has also been proposed that lymphocytopenia is a result of exercise-induced 374 apoptosis in blood (24). However, apoptosis cannot fully explain the often observed -50% fall in lymphocytes following exercise, as <10% of cells in blood become apoptotic (24). In addition, 375 blood lymphocyte numbers recover within several hours of exercise completion. Replacement of 376 'deleted' lymphocytes so guickly is therefore unlikely. A more accepted view is that CD8⁺TLs, 377 important for the detection and elimination of antigen, extravasate from peripheral blood post-378 exercise, as part of immune surveillance (6,20). As periods of intense exercise training are 379 380 associated with an increased incidence of infection in athletes, we predicted that high-intensity 381 exercise training would result in a reduced egress of total CD8⁺TLs post-exercise. Analysis of 382 CD8⁺TL numbers following exercise confirmed our hypothesis. Further analysis of CD8⁺TL sub-383 populations showed, although not statistically significant, that there was a strong trend fewer EMRA CD8⁺TL to leave the blood post-exercise. Together, these findings imply that high-intensity 384 385 exercise training might be associated with a reduced trafficking of lymphocytes to peripheral tissue, 386 which in turn might be associated with compromised immune surveillance.

387

388 Paragraph 35. An alternative possible implication of the current findings is that beneficial effects 389 of regular exercise on immunity might be reduced if an excessive volume of exercise training is 390 undertaken. A hypothetical but attractive framework put forward by Simpson (37) suggests exercise 391 as a mechanism for the 'deletion' of clonally expanded virus-specific T cells, which deleteriously

392 accumulate with ageing. The T cell compartment is assumed to be relatively stable or 'fixed' 393 because thymic output of naive T cells becomes almost negligible around the time of adolescence 394 (3). Thus, the 'immune space' available for the expansion of memory T cells upon infection with a 395 novel pathogen is limited, and the relative 'size' of the naive T cell pool declines with ageing (1,3). 396 This narrowing of the T cell repertoire (also known as immune senescence) is associated with lower antibody responses to vaccination, exacerbated inflammation and an increased susceptibility to 397 398 infection (1,3). Simpson (37) suggests that regular exercise might promote apoptotic removal of 399 some virus-specific T cells by extravasation from blood post-exercise and subsequent exposure to pro-apoptotic signals (e.g., reactive oxygen species). In support, a cross-sectional study by 400 401 Spielmann et al. (38) showed that individuals with a high aerobic fitness (compared to less fit 402 individuals) had lower proportions of senescent T cells in blood (e.g., CD8⁺TL EMRA cells). In the present study, athletes undertook a very high training load, which, as with immune senescence, is 403 404 also associated with increased susceptibility to infection (12). Subsequently, these athletes showed a 405 smaller egress of senescent EMRA CD8⁺TLs. Although speculative, our results, interpreted in the 406 context of the theory proposed by Simpson (37), suggest that fewer senescent cells may be 'deleted' 407 from the T cell repertoire during a period of high-intensity exercise training. Thus, the possibility of 408 delayed immune senescence with regular exercise as suggested by Simpson (37), might not be 409 gained from excessive volumes of exercise training. Although this is an attractive implication of the 410 current findings and relevant to infection risk and possible immune senescence in athletes, we 411 emphasise that our interpretations are speculation at present.

412

413 **Paragraph 36.** It is important to note that the high-intensity exercise training manipulation in the 414 present study was not designed to improve fitness. Such improvements in fitness might have made 415 the exercise trial following the high-intensity training condition less demanding. Interestingly, high-416 intensity training caused a small reduction in \dot{V} O₂ max which is common following very intense 417 training regimens (14). Thus, it could be argued that the exercise trial following high-intensity 418 training was in fact more demanding, providing further support that the smaller immune-response 419 observed was not caused by an 'easier' exercise trial. In support, HR and RPE during maximal exercise were not different between trials. Further, our mediation analyses showed that performance 420 421 (i.e., exercise duration and power output), physiological (i.e., HR) and psychological (i.e., RPE) variables were not significant covariates in the training-induced reductions in immune cell 422 423 mobilization with acute exercise. In other words, training-induced alterations in these variables did 424 not confound the observed immunological responses to exercise.

425

426 **Paragraph 37.** As well as alterations in the adaptive immune compartment, the present study 427 showed that high-intensity exercise training influenced the response of granulocytes, but not NK cells (cell populations of the innate immune system). Given that similar to the CD8⁺TL EMRA 428 population, NK cells exhibit high adrenergic sensitivity (4), the failure of high-intensity exercise to 429 influence NK cells might seem surprising. However, as NK cells mobilize more than CD8⁺TLs (2), 430 suggesting a greater adrenergic sensitivity or receptor density, it is possible that the relatively small 431 432 reduction in sympathetic activity with high-intensity training was not enough to affect these highly 433 exercise-sensitive cells. Moreover, although adrenergic activity is just one of the mechanisms behind lymphocytosis (6), as mentioned earlier, other well established processes (e.g., cardiac 434 435 output and increased shear forces) as well as less investigated processes (e.g., tissue homing and 436 adhesion molecule expression) may play a significant roles in the context of high-intensity training. 437 Unlike NK cells, the mobilization of granulocytes into peripheral blood during exercise was reduced with high-intensity training. These effects observed in the total granulocyte pool were 438 439 likely driven by neutrophils, an exercise-sensitive sub-population (50-60%) of granulocytes, which 440 exhibit both α - and β -adrenergic receptors (13). These cells are important for the elimination of 441 microbial pathogens (30). Although direct measurements of granulocyte or neutrophil function were not conducted, our data provide some support for the possibility that innate immune responsesmight be impaired following high-intensity exercise training (41).

444

Paragraph 38. When interpreting the results of this study, it should be considered that in humans, 445 446 the exact destination of cells leaving peripheral blood post-exercise is unknown. Animal studies provide strong evidence for exercise-induced leukocyte migration to peripheral tissues such as the 447 448 skin, and lungs (6,20). In these models, it is thought that leukocyte tissue migration facilitates the 449 detection and elimination of antigen. Another consideration is that clinical diagnosis of URTI episodes were not made in this study. It therefore remains unclear whether altered redistribution 450 patterns of CD8⁺TLs following high-intensity exercise training translates into increased 451 susceptibility to infection. Future studies are needed to investigate whether altered leukocyte 452 responses to bouts of intense exercise are predictive of clinically diagnosed infectious disease 453 454 episodes in athletes. Functional measures of lymphocytes (e.g., activation, proliferation and cytokine production) and granulocytes or neutrophils (e.g., chemotaxis, phagocytosis and 455 superoxide production) might provide useful adjunct measurements for follow-up investigations. 456 457 Further, in light of the present results, mechanistic studies are now warranted to better understand how high-intensity exercise training influences lymphocytosis and lymphocytopenia. For example, 458 relevant to lymphocytosis, cyclic-adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) assays on isoproterenol 459 460 stimulated T-cell subsets could be conducted in vitro to examine whether B2 adrenergic receptors 461 are indeed desensitized. Relevant to lymphocytopenia, adhesion and tissue homing molecule expression could be investigated ex vivo with athletes undertaking very large volumes of exercise. 462 Similar analyses could be made in vitro, examining lymphocyte cell-surface molecule expression in 463 464 response to cortisol exposure.

466 **Paragraph 39.** We recently showed that CD8⁺TL mobilization and egress in response to exercise 467 was amplified in CMV+ individuals (39). The within-subjects study design employed in the present 468 study negates the possibility that CMV status confounded our observation of a blunted mobilization and egress of CD8⁺TL sub-populations in response to high-intensity exercise training. However, 469 470 investigations into possible interactions between lymphocyte responses to acute exercise, highintensity exercise training, and CMV serostatus is justified. For example, it might be hypothesized 471 472 that compared to CMV- individuals, CMV+ individuals would exhibit a smaller 'blunting' effect of 473 high-intensity training because they exhibit exaggerated lymphocyte responses to exercise.

474

475 *Paragraph 40.* In summary, we show that the redistribution of CD8⁺TLs in response to a 476 standardized bout of exercise was reduced by a period of high-intensity training. Although the 477 mechanism(s) behind this observation remains unclear, it is possible that aspects of immune-478 surveillance might be impaired with very large volumes of exercise training.

479

480 Acknowledgments

481 DSM Food Specialities, Delft, The Netherlands funded this study. Leila Anane, Natalie Riddell and
482 John Campbell provided technical assistance with flow cytometry analysis.

483

484 The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

485 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

486

- 489
- 490
- 491
- 492

493 494 495 496		Reference List
497 498	(1)	Akbar AN, Fletcher JM. Memory T cell homeostasis and senescence during aging. <i>Curr Opin Immunol</i> . 2005 October;17(5):480-5.
499 500 501 502	(2)	Anane LH, Edwards KM, Burns VE, Drayson MT, Riddell NE, van Zanten JJ, Wallace GR, Mills PJ, Bosch JA. Mobilization of gammadelta T lymphocytes in response to psychological stress, exercise, and beta-agonist infusion. <i>Brain Behav Immun.</i> 2009 August;23(6):823-9.
503 504	(3)	Blackman MA, Woodland DL. The narrowing of the CD8 T cell repertoire in old age. <i>Curr Opin Immunol</i> . 2011 August;23(4):537-42.
505 506 507	(4)	Bosch JA, Berntson GG, Cacioppo JT, Marucha PT. Differential mobilization of functionally distinct natural killer subsets during acute psychologic stress. <i>Psychosom Med.</i> 2005 May;67(3):366-75.
508 509 510	(5)	Cooper MA, Fehniger TA, Turner SC, Chen KS, Ghaheri BA, Ghayur T, Carson WE, Caligiuri MA. Human natural killer cells: a unique innate immunoregulatory role for the CD56(bright) subset. <i>Blood</i> . 2001 May 15;97(10):3146-51.
511 512 513	(6)	Dhabhar FS, Miller AH, McEwen BS, Spencer RL. Stress-induced changes in blood leukocyte distribution. Role of adrenal steroid hormones. <i>J Immunol</i> . 1996 August 15;157(4):1638-44.
514 515 516	(7)	Dimitrov S, Benedict C, Heutling D, Westermann J, Born J, Lange T. Cortisol and epinephrine control opposing circadian rhythms in T cell subsets. <i>Blood</i> . 2009 May 21;113(21):5134-43.
517 518	(8)	Dimitrov S, Lange T, Born J. Selective mobilization of cytotoxic leukocytes by epinephrine. <i>J Immunol.</i> 2010 January 1;184(1):503-11.
519 520 521	(9)	Fauci AS. Mechanisms of corticosteroid action on lymphocyte subpopulations. II. Differential effects of in vivo hydrocortisone, prednisone and dexamethasone on in vitro expression of lymphocyte function. <i>Clin Exp Immunol</i> . 1976 April;24(1):54-62.
522 523 524	(10)	Fekedulegn DB, Andrew ME, Burchfiel CM, Violanti JM, Hartley TA, Charles LE, Miller DB. Area under the curve and other summary indicators of repeated waking cortisol measurements. <i>Psychosom Med.</i> 2007 September;69(7):651-9.
525 526	(11)	Foster C. Monitoring training in athletes with reference to overtraining syndrome. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc.</i> 1998 July;30(7):1164-8.
527 528	(12)	Gleeson M. Immune function in sport and exercise. <i>J Appl Physiol</i> . 2007 August;103(2):693-9.

- (13) Gosain A, Gamelli RL, DiPietro LA. Norepinephrine-mediated suppression of phagocytosis
 by wound neutrophils. *J Surg Res.* 2009 April;152(2):311-8.
- (14) Halson SL, Bridge MW, Meeusen R, Busschaert B, Gleeson M, Jones DA, Jeukendrup AE.
 Time course of performance changes and fatigue markers during intensified training in trained cyclists. *J Appl Physiol*. 2002 September;93(3):947-56.
- (15) Hamann D, Baars PA, Rep MH, Hooibrink B, Kerkhof-Garde SR, Klein MR, van Lier RA.
 Phenotypic and functional separation of memory and effector human CD8+ T cells. *J Exp Med.* 1997 November 3;186(9):1407-18.
- (16) Hong S, Johnson TA, Farag NH, Guy HJ, Matthews SC, Ziegler MG, Mills PJ. Attenuation
 of T-lymphocyte demargination and adhesion molecule expression in response to moderate
 exercise in physically fit individuals. *J Appl Physiol*. 2005 March;98(3):1057-63.
- 540 (17) Jennings JR, Stine LA. Salient method, design and analysis concerns. Handbook of
 541 Psychophysiology.Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2007. p. 870-99.
- 542 (18) Jeukendrup A, Saris WH, Brouns F, Kester AD. A new validated endurance performance
 543 test. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1996 February;28(2):266-70.
- Karaszewski JW, Reder AT, Anlar B, Kim WC, Arnason BG. Increased lymphocyte betaadrenergic receptor density in progressive multiple sclerosis is specific for the CD8+. *Ann Neurol.* 1991 July;30(1):42-7.
- 547 (20) Kruger K, Lechtermann A, Fobker M, Volker K, Mooren FC. Exercise-induced
 548 redistribution of T lymphocytes is regulated by adrenergic mechanisms. *Brain Behav* 549 *Immun.* 2008 March;22(3):324-38.
- Lancaster GI, Halson SL, Khan Q, Drysdale P, Wallace F, Jeukendrup AE, Drayson MT,
 Gleeson M. Effects of acute exhaustive exercise and chronic exercise training on type 1 and
 type 2 T lymphocytes. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2004;10:91-106.
- Lima M, Teixeira MA, Queiros ML, Leite M, Santos AH, Justica B, Orfao A.
 Immunophenotypic characterization of normal blood CD56+lo versus CD56+hi NK-cell subsets and its impact on the understanding of their tissue distribution and functional properties. *Blood Cells Mol Dis.* 2001 July;27(4):731-43.
- MacKinnon LT. Chronic exercise training effects on immune function. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2000 July;32(7 Suppl):S369-S376.
- Mars M, Govender S, Weston A, Naicker V, Chuturgoon A. High intensity exercise: a cause of lymphocyte apoptosis? *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1998 August 19;249(2):366-70.
- (25) Mazo IB, Honczarenko M, Leung H, Cavanagh LL, Bonasio R, Weninger W, Engelke K,
 Xia L, McEver RP, Koni PA, Silberstein LE, von Andrian UH. Bone marrow is a major
 reservoir and site of recruitment for central memory CD8+ T cells. *Immunity*. 2005
 February;22(2):259-70.

- 565 (26) McArdle W, Katch F, Katch V. Energy expenditure in household, occupational,
 566 recreational, and sports activities. Sports and Exercise Nutrition.Baltimore, Maryland:
 567 Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1999. p. 589-601.
- Mendez-Ferrer S, Lucas D, Battista M, Frenette PS. Haematopoietic stem cell release is
 regulated by circadian oscillations. *Nature*. 2008 March 27;452(7186):442-7.
- Mills PJ, Haeri SL, Dimsdale JE. Temporal stability of acute stressor-induced changes in
 cellular immunity. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 1995 April;19(3):287-90.
- 572 (29) Mills PJ, Hong S, Redwine L, Carter SM, Chiu A, Ziegler MG, Dimsdale JE, Maisel AS.
 573 Physical fitness attenuates leukocyte-endothelial adhesion in response to acute exercise. J
 574 Appl Physiol. 2006 September;101(3):785-8.
- (30) Nauseef WM. How human neutrophils kill and degrade microbes: an integrated view.
 Immunol Rev. 2007 October;219:88-102.
- (31) Okutsu M, Ishii K, Niu KJ, Nagatomi R. Cortisol-induced CXCR4 augmentation mobilizes
 T lymphocytes after acute physical stress. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2005
 March;288(3):R591-R599.
- (32) Onsrud M, Thorsby E. Influence of in vivo hydrocortisone on some human blood
 lymphocyte subpopulations. I. Effect on natural killer cell activity. *Scand J Immunol*.
 1981;13(6):573-9.
- (33) Pruessner JC, Kirschbaum C, Meinlschmid G, Hellhammer DH. Two formulas for
 computation of the area under the curve represent measures of total hormone concentration
 versus time-dependent change. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2003 October;28(7):916-31.
- (34) Robson PJ, Blannin AK, Walsh NP, Castell LM, Gleeson M. Effects of exercise intensity,
 duration and recovery on in vitro neutrophil function in male athletes. *Int J Sports Med.*1999 February;20(2):128-35.
- (35) Sallusto F, Lenig D, Forster R, Lipp M, Lanzavecchia A. Two subsets of memory T
 lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. *Nature*. 1999 October
 14;401(6754):708-12.
- (36) Shephard RJ. Adhesion molecules, catecholamines and leucocyte redistribution during and
 following exercise. *Sports Med.* 2003;33(4):261-84.
- Simpson RJ. Aging, persistent viral infections, and immunosenescence: can exercise "make
 space"? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2011 January;39(1):23-33.
- (38) Spielmann G, McFarlin BK, O'Connor DP, Smith PJ, Pircher H, Simpson RJ. Aerobic
 fitness is associated with lower proportions of senescent blood T-cells in man. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2011 November;25(8):1521-9.
- 599 (39) Turner JE, Aldred S, Witard OC, Drayson MT, Moss PM, Bosch JA. Latent
 600 cytomegalovirus infection amplifies CD8 T-lymphocyte mobilisation and egress in response
 601 to exercise. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2010 November;24(8):1362-70.

- (40) Witard OC, Jackman SR, Kies AK, Jeukendrup AE, Tipton KD. Effect of increased dietary
 protein on tolerance to intensified training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011 April;43(4):598-607.
- (41) Yaegaki M, Umeda T, Takahashi I, Yamamoto Y, Kojima A, Tanabe M, Yamai K,
 Matsuzaka M, Sugawara N, Nakaji S. Measuring neutrophil functions might be a good
 predictive marker of overtraining in athletes. *Luminescence*. 2008 September;23(5):281-6.

612	Table	captions

614	Table 1: Total leukocyte and leukocyte sub-population responses to exercise following normal- and
615	high-intensity training.
616	
617	Supplementary table: Physiological and psychological responses to exercise following normal-
618	and high-intensity training.

621 Figure legends

622

Figure 1: Total CD8⁺TL responses to exercise following normal- and high-intensity exercise training. A) changes in cell number over time; B) mobilization of cells expressed as incremental area curve (iAUC) during exercise; C) egress of cells 1 hour post-exercise. SM: sub-maximal exercise, MAX: maximal exercise, Post 1 h: 1 hour following maximal exercise. Values are means \pm SEM (n = 8). [†]significantly different from baseline in corresponding training period (p < 0.05). *significantly different from normal-intensity training (p < 0.05).

629

Figure 2: CD45RA⁺ effector memory CD8⁺TL (EMRA) responses to exercise following normaland high-intensity exercise training. A) changes in cell number over time; B) mobilization of cells expressed as incremental area curve (iAUC) during exercise; C) egress of cells 1 hour post-exercise. SM: sub-maximal exercise, MAX: maximal exercise, Post 1 h: 1 h following maximal exercise. Values are means \pm SEM (n = 8). [†]significantly different from baseline in corresponding training period (p < .05). *significantly different from normal-intensity training (p < 0.05).

636

Figure 3: Naïve (NA) CD8⁺TL (CD45RA⁺CD27⁺) responses to exercise following normal- and
high-intensity exercise training. A) changes in cell number over time; B) mobilization of cells
expressed as incremental area curve (iAUC) during exercise; C) egress of cells 1 hour post-exercise.
SM: sub-maximal exercise, MAX: maximal exercise, Post 1 h: 1 hour following maximal exercise.
Values are means ± SEM (n = 8). [†]significantly different from baseline in corresponding training

642 period (p < .05). *significantly different from normal-intensity training (p < 0.05).

643

Figure 4: Neuroendocrine responses to exercise following normal- and high-intensity exercise
training. A) Plasma epinephrine; B) plasma cortisol. Concentrations expressed as pg/ml and ng/ml

646	respectively. SM: sub-maximal exercise, MAX: maximal exercise, Post 1 h: 1 hour following
647	maximal exercise. Values are means \pm SEM (n=8). [†] significantly different from baseline in
648	corresponding training week ($p < .05$). *significantly different from normal-intensity training at
649	corresponding time-point ($p < 0.05$).
650	
651	
652	
653	
654	
655	
656	
657	
658	
659	
660	
661	
662	
663	

Table	1
-------	---

 Total leukocyte and leukocyte sub-population responses to exercise following normal- and high-intensity training.

 Normal-intensity training
 Main effect of time (both normal- and high-intensity training)

 Cells × 10⁹/L
 Baseline
 Submaximal- Maximal- Post 1 h
 Baseline
 Cells × 10⁹/L
 Baseline
 Submaximal- Maximal- Post 1 h
 Baseline
 Submaximal- Maximal- Post 1 h
 Baseline
 Submaximal- Maximal- Post 1 h
 Baseline
 Submaximal- Maximal- Maximal- Post 1 h
 Baseline
 Submaximal- Maximal- Ma

		cycling (120 min)	cycling (~45 min)			cycling (120 min)	cycling (~45 min)		
Leukocytes	4.8±1.0	8.2±1.9 [†]	12.8±3.6 [†]	10.2±4.1 [†]	4.7±0.8	7.6±1.6 [†]	10.3±3.0 ^{†*}	8.4±1.7 [†]	$F_{(1,7)} = 11.5; p < 0.05$
Lymphocytes	2.0±0.4	$2.8{\pm}0.6^{\dagger}$	$4.0{\pm}0.5^{\dagger}$	1.5±0.3 [†]	1.9±0.3	$2.7{\pm}0.5^{\dagger}$	$3.6{\pm}0.7^{\dagger}$	1.6±0.4 [†]	$F_{(1,7)} = 62.3; p < 0.05$
Granulocytes	2.5±2.4	4.8±1.3	8.5±3.1 [†]	8.4±0.3	2.5±0.7	4.5±1.2 [†]	6.3±2.5 ^{†*}	6.6±0.4	$F_{(1,7)} = 8.5; p < 0.05$
Monocytes	0.4±0.1	0.6±0.2 [†]	$0.7{\pm}0.2^{\dagger}$	0.5±0.2	0.3±0.1	$0.5 {\pm} 0.1^{\dagger}$	$0.6{\pm}0.2^{\dagger}$	0.3±0.1	$F_{(1,7)} = 13.2; p < 0.05$

Values are means \pm SD. Main effect of time combines both normal- and high-intensity training values. [†]significantly different from baseline in corresponding training week (p < .05). *significantly different from Normal-intensity training in corresponding time-point (p < .05).

Supplementary table: Physiological and psychological responses to exercise following normal- and high-intensity training.

Power output and performance time during maximal-cycling was reduced following high-intensity training despite no significant differences in heart rate and perceived effort. None of these variables mediated or confounded the reduced immunological response to exercise.

	Normal-intensity training			Н	igh-intensity tr	Main effect of time	
Parameter	Baseline	Submaximal- Cycling	Maximal- cycling	Baseline	Submaximal- cycling	Maximal- cycling	(both normal- and high-intensity training)
Exercise duration (min:sec)	-	120:0±00:00	42:35±02:45	-	120:00±00:00	48:13±04:59*	n/a
Power output (watts)	-	177±14	267±47	-	177±14	237±46*	$F_{(1,7)} = 41.6; p < 0.05$
RPE	-	11±1	18±1	-	13±1*	18±1	$F_{(1,7)} = 56.7; p < 0.05$
HR (beats·min ⁻¹)	50±6	$128\pm8^{\dagger}$	159±4 [†]	53±3*	$125{\pm}10^{\dagger}$	$153\pm5^{\dagger}$	<i>F</i> _(1,7) = 575.4; <i>p</i> < 0.05

Values are means \pm SD. Data were not collected 1h post-exercise. Main effect of time combines data from normal- and high-intensity training and shows increased physiological demand between baseline (HR only), submaximal and maximal-cycling. HR is an average of measurements made continuously during submaximal and maximal cycling. RPE during submaximal-cycling is an average from 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 min. RPE during maximal cycling is an average from 25, 50, 75 and 100% of task completion. VO2 and RER were measured at the same periods as RPE during sub-maximal cycling: no differences were observed between trials (data not shown for clarity). [†]significantly different from baseline in corresponding training week (p < .05). *significantly different from Normal-intensity training at corresponding time-point (p < .05).





A









A

Figure 4



*+

Q