

Citation for published version: Tillett, W, Shaddick, G, Korendowych, E, De Vries, CS & McHugh, N 2012, 'Joint count reliability in psoriatic arthritis observational trials: an unreported problem', *Rheumatology*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 1333-1334. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kes095

DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes095

Publication date: 2012

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Joint count reliability in psoriatic arthritis observational trials- an unreported problem

- W. Tillett BSc, MB ChB, MRCP¹
- G. Shaddick MSc, PhD²
- E. Korendowych PhD, MRCP¹
- CS. de Vries MA MSc PhD FISPE FRSM³
- N. McHugh MB ChB, MD, FRCP^{1,3}

Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases¹

Department of Mathematics, University of Bath, UK²

Department of Pharmacy and Bath Pharmacology, University of Bath, UK³

Sir, multiple observers are a reality of large observational and multicentre studies and introduce the challenge of addressing inter-rater reliability. Long term Outcomes in Psoriatic Arthritis II (LOPAS II) is a multicentre prospective observational study investigating Work Disability (WD) in Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA). The primary endpoint is presenteeism (reduced effectiveness at work) but the secondary endpoints include tender and swollen joint scores. Clinical assessments will be undertaken at multiple sites across the UK. We set out to undertake a reliability exercise to estimate joint count reliability in LOPAS II.

We invited assessors from each centre to an education day at the lead site. A one hour seminar on the study was followed by a forty five minute clinical training session on joint counts lead by 2 trainers, each with more than 10 years' experience in PsA joint assessment. The session was followed by a joint count reliability exercise. Four patients of differing disease duration (1 to 33yrs) and activity (from 22 tender and 9 swollen to 2 tender and 2 swollen joints as assessed by the instructors) were assessed using a modified (asymmetrical) Latin square design. Reliability was measured using the Krippendorff's alpha, a reliability coefficient that accommodates the modified Latin square design¹. Analyses were undertaken on the group as a whole then repeated excluding those who self-reported to be unconfident or who had never performed joint assessments before.

Twelve assessors from seven units attended, one doctor, seven rheumatology nurse specialists, one occupational therapist and three research nurses (of whom one had rheumatology experience). Reliability is reported in table 1. Inter-rater reliability for all was low irrespective of experience but was higher amongst those with experience.

There are limited reports of joint count reliability in PsA $^{2-5}$ and these have focused on the reliability amongst physicians with an interest in PsA. Even amongst such experts, inter class correlation coefficients (ICC) are poor for determining peripheral joint swelling 0.1³, 0.5⁵, 0,24² and moderate for tenderness (or 'activity'), 0.7³, 0.7⁵, 0.7². It is noteworthy that none of these studies have included the wider multidisciplinary team.

To our knowledge, none of the recently published large observational studies or registry reports has reported on joint count reliability ⁶⁻¹⁰. Only the Toronto research group has reported on joint count reliability and this was at the time of the cohort's

inception ⁴. The Toronto study involved 3 rheumatologists and 2 trainees assessing five patients in a Latin square design. There was a less than 1% observer variance indicating good reliability of assessment. To enable direct comparison the analysis of variance in our study showed the proportion of variance attributable to (all) raters was much higher; swollen joints 56% (p=0.094) and tender joints 60% (p=0.004). It is noteworthy that the Toronto study was undertaken over twenty years ago and since that time the expansion of the multidisciplinary team has meant that clinical assessments are now performed by a wider clinical team including doctors, nurses and extended scope therapists. The general lack of reporting of joint count reliability may reflect a mixture of publication bias, insufficient recognition of the potential problem or misplaced confidence. The poor reliability identified in our study is important to our current study (LOPAS II) but also to assessors from centres in the United Kingdom (UK) and further afield who collect data for other large observational studies in PsA.

The joint count training offered in the LOPAS II training day was minimal as we had only anticipated the need for some 'fine tuning' to standardise the assessment techniques. More training is required as was mentioned by the assessors themselves in the feedback from the training day. We are attempting to standardise assessments and improve our reliability by using an instructional joint count training video as well as offering one to one tuition at the lead site. We are also encouraging a period of mentoring within each unit for those with less experience as well as aiming to use the same assessor to perform the joint counts at serial appointments.

To our knowledge this is the first study investigating the joint count reliability amongst assessors routinely contributing data in the PsA clinical and research setting. We suggest future reporting of joint count outcomes should include some assessment of joint count reliability in order to interpret results, particularly negative findings. Furthermore we suggest that to optimise data collection, individual units document joint count reliability with a view to determining a potential training need.

Acknowledgements:

LOPAS II is supported by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network. We would like to thank Nina Griffith, Nicola Waldron, Sarah Whitford, Karen Brown, Rebecca Rowland, Jennifer Brown, Beverly Vale, Julie Taylor, Wendy Wilmott, Miriam Skelton, Mandy Knight and Charlotte Cavill for their involvement with the training day. Funding:

The authors have an unrestricted grant form Abbott pharmaceuticals.

Disclosure statement:

NM has received grants, honoraria as a speaker and sponsorship to attend meetings from; Abbott, Janssen-Cilag, Wyeth and Schering-Plough.

Refrences

1. Krippendorff K. *Content analysis : an introduction to its methodology*. 2nd. ed. ed. Thousand Oaks, [Calif.] ; London: SAGE, 2004, p.xiii, 413 p.

2. Chandran V, Gottlieb A, Cook RJ, et al. International multicenter psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis reliability trial for the assessment of skin, joints, nails, and dactylitis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009; 61: 1235-42.

3. Gladman DD, Cook RJ, Schentag C, et al. The clinical assessment of patients with psoriatic arthritis: results of a reliability study of the spondyloarthritis research consortium of Canada. *J Rheumatol.* 2004; 31: 1126-31.

 Gladman DD, Farewell V, Buskila D, et al. Reliability of measurements of active and damaged joints in psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol*. 1990; 17: 62-4.
Gladman DD, Inman RD, Cook RJ, et al. International spondyloarthritis interobserver reliability exercise--the INSPIRE study: I. Assessment of spinal measures. *J Rheumatol*. 2007; 34: 1733-9.

6. Saad AA, Ashcroft DM, Watson KD, Symmons DP, Noyce PR and Hyrich KL. Efficacy and safety of anti-TNF therapies in psoriatic arthritis: an observational study from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Rheumatology* (*Oxford*). 2010; 49: 697-705.

7. Scarpa R, Atteno M, Lubrano E, et al. The effectiveness and safety of TNFalpha blockers in the treatment of early psoriatic arthritis: an Italian multicentre longitudinal observational pilot study. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2011; 30: 1063-7.

8. Yang Q, Qu L, Tian H, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of psoriatic arthritis in Chinese patients with psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2011; 25: 1409-14.

9. Lindqvist UR, Alenius GM, Husmark T, Theander E, Holmstrom G and Larsson PT. The Swedish early psoriatic arthritis register-- 2-year followup: a comparison with early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol*. 2008; 35: 668-73.

10. Kristensen LE, Gulfe A, Saxne T and Geborek P. Efficacy and tolerability of anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy in psoriatic arthritis patients: results from the South Swedish Arthritis Treatment Group register. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2008; 67: 364-9.