

Citation for published version: Peeters, S & Gilmore, A 2013, 'How online sales and promotion of snus contravenes current European Union legislation', *Tobacco Control*, vol. 22, pp. 266-273. https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050209

DOI: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050209

Publication date: 2013

Link to publication

This article has been accepted for publication in Tobacco Control. The definitive copyedited, typeset version will be available online at: http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 TITLE PAGE

- 2
- 3 How online sales and promotion of snus contravenes current European Union
- 4 legislation
- 5
- 6 Silvy Peeters (1), Anna Gilmore
- 7 (1) Corresponding author: Silvy Peeters, Department for Health, University
- 8 of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom, Email:
- 9 s.peeters@bath.ac.uk, Phone: +44 (0)1225 385447, Fax: +44 (0)1225
- 10 383833
- 11
- 12

13 Word count

- 14 Abstract: 294
- 15 Main text: 3593 (excluding acknowledgements, what is known on this topic,
- 16 what this paper adds, tables and figures, and bibliography)
- 17
- 18

19 Abbreviations used:

- 20 COP: Conference of the Parties
- 21 EU: European Union
- 22 FCTC: Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
- 23 PACT Act: Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking Act
- 24 TPD: Tobacco Products Directive
- 25 UK: United Kingdom
- 26 US: United States
- 27 VAT: Value Added Tax
- 28 WHO: World Health Organization

1 ABSTRACT

2

Context: The European Union (EU) Tobacco Products Directive which bans sales of snus (a form of oral tobacco) in EU countries other than Sweden is currently under review. Major tobacco companies favour the ban being overturned. This study aims to explore compliance with the current ban on snus sales and examine the conduct of online snus vendors, including their compliance with two other EU Directives on excise and tobacco advertising and Swedish legislation banning sales of snus outside Sweden.

10

11 Methods:

To determine who is currently distributing snus via the Internet in the EU, searches were carried out in Google, followed by searches in the WHOIS and Amadeus databases. Five online test purchases of snus were made in each of 10 EU Member States using a standardised protocol. Feedback from the test purchases and further analysis of the websites accessed for test purchases were used to critically examine snus retailers' conduct.

18

Results: The majority of online vendors operate from Sweden and target non-Swedish EU citizens. Test purchases were successfully made in all 10 EU Member States; of 43 orders placed, only 2 failed. Age verification relied only on self-report. The majority of sales applied Swedish taxes, contrary to EU requirements. Copious sales promotion activities, many price-based, are incorporated in these websites contravening the EU regulation, and 3 test purchases were delivered with gifts.

26

Conclusions: Snus is currently being sold on the single market via the Internet in contravention of Swedish legislation and three EU Directives. The apparent willingness of the tobacco industry to contravene EU and Swedish legislation and profit from unlawful sales raises questions about their status as stakeholders in consultations on future policy developments. The findings highlight how national and regional tobacco control legislation can be undermined in an increasingly globalised world.

1 BACKGROUND

2 Snus is a form of moist snuff traditionally used in Sweden and, due to the way 3 in which it is manufactured and stored, has lower levels of carcinogens than 4 other smokeless tobaccos[1, 2]. In 2002 Gallaher Group plc (now part of 5 Japan Tobacco International) became the first transnational tobacco company to add smokeless tobacco to its smoked tobacco portfolio by acquiring 6 7 Swedish snus manufacturer Gustavus[3]. Close to a decade after the 8 Gustavus acquisition, all the major cigarette manufacturers, albeit in varying 9 degrees, have entered the snus market. Swedish Match, the only listed 10 smokeless manufacturer yet to be acquired by a major cigarette manufacturer, has now established a joint venture with Philip Morris 11 12 International to globalise snus sales [4].

13

14 These market developments are significant given that the issue of snus is currently high on the policy agenda in Europe, because the 2001 European 15 16 Union (EU) Tobacco Products Directive (TPD), which prohibits the sale of 17 snus outside Sweden, is currently under review. This ban, first introduced in 18 1992, was reaffirmed in the 2001 TPD[5]. When Sweden joined the EU in 19 1995 it was granted an exemption from this ban on the basis that it would 20 ensure that snus would not be placed for sale on the markets of other EU 21 Member States. To this end, Swedish Ordinance 1994:1266 prohibits the 22 export of snus to other EU Member States[6]. Consequently, snus can only be 23 legally transported from Sweden to other Member States by someone 24 physically travelling and carrying snus for personal use (or as a gift for 25 personal use).

26

27 From September to December 2010 the European Commission ran a public 28 consultation on potential revisions to the TPD[7]. Online snus vendors have 29 been encouraging customers to write to Members of the European Parliament 30 and the EU Commissioner for Health and Consumer Policy to voice their 31 opposition to the ban [8, 9]. Although consultation responses were not made 32 publicly available, the Commission recently reported that most tobacco 33 industry respondents were in favour of lifting the EU ban on snus, and claimed 34 that snus use can be an effective way to reduce or guit smoking[10].

1

2 A July 2010 European Commission report suggested that snus appears to be 3 available via the Internet through the EU, but that no data exists about where 4 vendors operate from[6]. In November 2010 Finland's ASH and the Cancer 5 Society of Finland requested an inquiry into online snus sales with the Swedish International Prosecutor, based on two Finnish purchases made in 6 7 April 2009 and September 2010 on www.snusworldwide.com./fi. To date, no 8 published peer-reviewed studies have examined online snus sales in the EU, 9 and no study has critically assessed the conduct of online snus vendors.

10

11 This study aims to explore compliance with the current ban on snus sales and 12 examine the conduct and location of online snus vendors. In so doing it aims 13 to inform debates around revisions to the TPD and to assess broader 14 implications given growing interest in the snus market globally. Two further aspects of EU legislation are noteworthy. First, Directive 2008/118/EC 15 16 concerning the general arrangements for excise duties, which states that 17 excise products supplied from one Member State directly to a customer in 18 another Member State (known as distance selling) are subject to excise tax 19 and Value Added Tax (VAT) in the Member State where the customer 20 receives the goods[11]. Second, Directive 2003/33/EC which outlaws tobacco 21 advertising across Member State borders including online advertising[12]. We 22 sought to explore compliance with these two pieces of EU legislation, as well 23 as the TPD.

24

25 METHODS

26

27 Google Search for online vendors

To scope the online availability of snus, and determine who is currently distributing snus via the Internet in the EU, the authors initially searched for 'buy snus' at www.google.co.uk on 25 October 2010, yielding more than 60,000 results. The purpose of this exercise was to scope the online sales presence of snus, not to provide a comprehensive list of online snus vendors, and thus we only reviewed the first 300 Google search results (at this stage the results became saturated and did not yield any new websites). The WHOIS database at www.domaintools.com was then queried to identify the vendors behind the websites. Where the domain owner was a company, further searches were carried out in the Amadeus database (accessed at the British Library Business & IP Centre in London on 26 November 2010) to gather additional information on the company.

6

7 Snus test purchases

8 To demonstrate that snus is not just available online, but *sold* to EU residents, 9 test purchases were made between May and November 2010 in a purposive 10 sample of ten EU Member States; Czech Republic, Germany, Greece, 11 Ireland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia and United Kingdom (UK). 12 Finland was deliberately excluded as buying snus online became a criminal 13 offence there from October 2010[13]. Test purchasers were volunteers 14 recruited through existing professional and personal contacts of the Tobacco 15 Control Research Group at the University of Bath. All were familiar with 16 accessing the Internet, although their knowledge of snus varied from some to 17 no knowledge at all. To understand how easy it is to locate and buy snus 18 online, we asked test purchasers to conduct their own searches, using search 19 engines and terms of their choice, to identify online snus vendors. We then 20 asked them, if feasible, to buy snus from 5 different outlets. They were requested to forward the vendor's order confirmation and/or invoice to the 21 22 authors, answer a few basic questions about their experience: 'which vendor 23 did you buy from', 'did you find the ordering process easy to understand', 24 'were you ID checked and how', and 'what postal service was used?' and to 25 share further observations they considered useful to the research. All contact 26 between the authors and test purchasers took place via email.

27

28 Further analysis of test purchase websites

Between November 2010 and March 2011 we further examined the websites that the test purchasers had used to buy snus in order to determine whether vendors were targeting non-Swedish audiences, using promotions to encourage sales or attract new customers, and whether they made explicit statements on how taxes would be applied. In addition to the latter, we used the invoices to calculate how taxes were levied.

1

2 **RESULTS**

3 Online vendors

4 The authors' preliminary Google search identified 80 websites selling snus. 5 This is not an exhaustive list; we only reviewed the first 300 Google search 6 results and although the results became saturated at this stage and did not 7 yield any new websites, the test purchasers reported an additional six 8 websites (possibly via different search terms including different languages). 9 All 80 websites (domains) were traced back to a small, distinct group of 10 vendors (Table 1). The vast majority of these vendors operate from Swedish 11 territory. Swedish Match website www.generalsnus.com (promoting its 12 premium snus brand General) links directly to several websites operated by 13 the top four vendors identified in Table 1.

14

15 In five instances it was impossible to ascertain the true identity of the vendor. 16 The owner of snuscentral.com appears to have paid a fee for private domain 17 registration as their details were hidden from public view, the WHOIS 18 database does not keep records on www.snus2.se for reasons unbeknownst 19 to the authors, and three domain names (dosnus.com, snusdirect.com, and 20 snus.net) are owned by individuals with no apparent links to registered 21 commercial enterprises.

22

23 One inconsistency in regard to domain name swedishsnus.com, ostensibly 24 owned by vendor Mysnus AB, is noteworthy. According to an announcement 25 on swedishsnus.com (accessed on 18 May 2011), Mysnus AB took over 26 'operations' of this website from Swedish Match in November 2009. Yet 27 WHOIS records still show Swedish Match as the domain owner. A recent visit 28 to the website on 7 December 2011 revealed that the website no longer sells 29 snus, but instead automatically redirects to www.svensktsnus.se, a Swedish 30 Match website, promoting (in English) snus use and Swedish Match products.

31

32 Test purchases

Forty-three of a possible fifty test purchases were attempted across the ten
 EU Member States (Table 2). In Greece, Italy and Slovenia only two, two and

four attempts respectively (rather than five) were made. There is no indication that the Greek and Slovenian disparity from the protocol was associated with difficulty locating online snus stores. The test purchaser in Italy reported that running a Google search for "comprare snus Italia" easily located only two websites selling snus, but linked to blogs and social networks where advice (in Italian) on buying snus could be obtained.

7

8 Despite sales of snus being illegal in the EU, only two test purchases failed, 9 one on www.buysnus.com and one on www.snusjet.com (which is no longer 10 trading). In both instances the purchasers' credit cards were rejected for 11 unknown reasons (both test purchasers tried repeatedly with no success). 12 Purchases were made from eighteen unique websites in total (Table 2).

13

The ordering process was reported as simple and easy to understand in all forty-three instances. In twenty-two of forty-three instances purchaser's age was checked by asking for date of birth; in nineteen instances purchasers were asked to tick a box confirming legal age. At the Polish auction website (www.allegro.pl), age was indirectly verified by the auction being open to adults (over 18 years) only ('normal account' holders). It was reported that www.swedishsnus.com does not check age.

21

22 All forty-one purchases, save the purchase from www.allegro.pl which was 23 posted locally in Poland, were posted from Sweden (assessment based on 24 shipment carrier and/or shipping label), and delivered within 3 to 11 days. No 25 identification was required at point of delivery and, at least in the UK 26 instances, delivery occurred without the recipient present. Three orders 27 arrived with an unexpected gift: a free can of General snus and two 28 complimentary cans of Choice (a nicotine and tobacco free snus) to the UK 29 and Irish test purchasers respectively (both from www.northerner.com), and a 30 free iPod case from www.buysnus.de to the German test purchaser.

31

32 Further analysis of the test purchases & the websites used

33 Target audience

1 Further analysis of the websites used in the test purchases suggests that 2 vendors are targeting non-Swedish European audiences (Table 3). All but one 3 website was in English, with many offering a selection of other languages, 4 notably German. In addition, over half of the websites (11 of 18) provide a 5 customer location drop-down menu on the start page which allows for an EU location other than Sweden (Table 3 and Figure 1), and the Euro is the most 6 7 common payment currency offered (despite not being used in Sweden) while 8 payment in other EU currencies (the British Pound, Danish Krone, and 9 Estonian Kroon) is also offered.

10

11 Furthermore we also note that three test purchases were made on German 12 websites (www.snuskaufenonline.de, www.buysnus.de, and www.snus.de) 13 (Table 2), and that the Italian test purchaser accessed an Italian front-page, 14 www.snusitalia.it, which automatically redirected to Northerner's main English website (www.northerner.com). Furthermore, MaKe WeBo, which we 15 16 identified as the second largest online vendor, explicitly claims that 17 "www.buysnus.com makes it really easy and convenient for you to order the 18 Swedish snus outside the borders of Sweden" (www.buysnus.com, 18 May 19 2011).

20

21 Application of taxes

22 Twenty-six of forty-one invoices were sufficiently specified to enable further 23 analysis of the tobacco duties levied on the snus sales. We calculated that 24 twenty-two of twenty-six sales applied Swedish smokeless tobacco tax of 336 25 SEK per kilogram [14]. Calculations of four invoices were inconclusive. Thirty-26 one of forty-one invoices specified VAT; calculations and vendor statements 27 determined a 25% tax rate in all cases. Of the countries involved in the test 28 purchases only Sweden applied a 25% VAT rate in 2010; VAT in the ten 29 destination countries ranged from 17.5% in the UK to 23% in Greece. In 30 addition, analysis of the websites indicates that the vendors do not always 31 supply accurate information concerning the tax responsibilities of vendor and 32 customer. Mysnus claims that "it is the responsibility of the buyer to pay for 33 any applicable taxes and duty fees related to their purchase from snus.de" 34 (www.snus.de, 18 May 2011). Likewise MaKe WeBo argues that "Should

there be any charge, taxes or duty in the country where the snus is being
 delivered, the receiver is responsible for these" (www.snusexpress.com, 18
 May 2011).

4

5 Sales promotions

In addition to the free gifts and samples delivered with the test purchases, 6 7 analysis of the purchase websites provides further evidence that marketing of 8 snus is common, and usually price based. We identified bulk-buy promotions 9 (11 of 18 websites), direct price discounts (2 of 18), competitions (4 of 18), 10 loyalty programmes (9 of 18) and referral schemes (3 of 18), with many 11 websites running numerous promotions. One vendor makes explicit the 12 reasons for its referral scheme: "Snus is a tobacco product and therefore our 13 promotion possibilities are limited. That's why we could use your help to tell 14 others about us" (www.snus4all.com, 27 May 2011). Examples of the most common sales promotion technique, the bulk-buy promotion, include 'Buy 8 15 16 cans get 10' (www.northerner.com, 27 May 2011), 'Buy 10, pay for 7' (www.buysnus.com, 27 May 2011), or 'Buy 8 cans of Northerner Citrus & 17 18 Menthol, (6mg) for € 22,80 and get 2 free!' (www.snuskaufenonline.de, 27 19 May 2011). Snus merchandise was also on offer (see Figure 2).

20

Of the four websites running prize competitions, three competitions aimed at encouraging bulk buying. For instance, Northerner offers customers a chance to win a snus fridge by buying ten Taboca snus cans plus ten Montecristo snus cans (www.northerner.com, 7 April 2011). In another, on www.swedishsnus.com, the customer has to 'like' the vendor on Facebook, a social networking site, automatically alerting all the customer's Facebook network ('friends') to the vendor and its online store (Figure 3).

28

29 **DISCUSSION**

Our findings suggest that the online sale and promotion of snus documented in this study contravene three aspects of EU legislation - the Tobacco Products Directive which bans sales of snus outside Sweden, Directive 2008/118/EC which requires that excise duties on distance sales are levied in the country of destination, and the Tobacco Advertising Directive which bans

1 online tobacco advertising. Although sale of snus has been prohibited in the 2 EU since 1992, we found that snus is currently being sold on the single 3 market via the Internet, and was easily purchased in all 10 EU Member States 4 where test purchases were attempted. Importantly, our findings suggest that 5 online vendors were deliberately targeting non-Swedish nationals and that 6 most operate from Sweden, despite Swedish Ordinance 1994:1266 banning 7 the export of snus to other EU Member States. Furthermore, our findings 8 suggest that age verification methods are inadequate and that price-based 9 promotions are widespread.

10

The main limitation of this study is that we were unable to conduct test purchases in all 27 EU Member States (bar Sweden – where sales are legal). Nevertheless, the fact that all but 2 purchases were successful, that these failures were unrelated to the sales websites but attributable to credit card problems, that the websites are generic and would operate in any Member State, suggest that purchases could be made from anywhere in the EU.

17

18 Our finding that the vast majority of vendors selling snus online in the EU 19 operate from Sweden suggests that Swedish Ordinance 1994:1266 is not 20 being enforced. By contrast, the Swedish Government is reportedly urging 21 the EU to lift the ban on snus, viewing it as a violation of free trade principles 22 rather than a public health issue[15]; an argument first presented by Swedish 23 Match over a decade ago [16, 17]. Furthermore it is unclear how the excise 24 duties from these sales are processed in Sweden, whether they are collected 25 by the Swedish authorities or remain in the pockets of the online vendors. 26 Although these taxes should, in theory at least, be paid in the country 27 receiving the products, achieving this is difficult given that other EU Member 28 States (as far as we are aware) don't have a policy for taxing snus.

29

Our findings also document widespread use of price-based snus promotions. Given the dearth of evidence on the tobacco industry's use of price promotions outside the US[18], this is an important finding and supports emerging evidence that price-based promotions are increasing in Europe [19, 20]. The EU Tobacco Advertising Directive bans tobacco advertising with

1 cross border implications and defines advertising as "any form of commercial 2 communications with the aim or direct or indirect effect of promoting a tobacco 3 product". We would argue that this definition includes the promotions detailed 4 in this study. In addition, such promotions contravene Article 13.4 (c) of the 5 World Health Organization's (WHO) Framework Convention on Tobacco 6 Control (FCTC) which has been ratified by both the European Community and 7 Sweden[21], and which calls for the restriction of direct and indirect incentives 8 that encourage the purchase of tobacco[22]. Furthermore, the 2008 9 Guidelines for the Implementation of FCTC Article 13 state that Internet sales 10 of tobacco should be banned as they inherently involve tobacco advertising 11 and promotion[23].

12

13 This paper raises further questions about the Internet as a vehicle to market 14 and promote tobacco products, in particular to young people. Arguably 15 merchandise with texts like 'snus is the shit' are targeted at, and will appeal 16 most to, a younger audience. What's more, texts like "stop the EU ban on 17 snus" suggest that vendors are attempting to involve customers in EU policy 18 debates. Furthermore, vendors used inadequate age verification procedures, 19 relying entirely on self-report; an important finding in the context of preventing 20 under-aged sales of other tobacco products (e.g. cigarettes) that are legally 21 sold via the Internet in the EU. In this respect it is noteworthy that the conduct 22 of the vendors selling snus online in the EU does not differ significantly from 23 that of vendors selling cigarettes in the US [24, 25].

24

25 Policy and research implications

26 Given Sweden's apparent failure to fulfill its responsibilities under EU law, it 27 would seem appropriate for the European Commission (which is responsible 28 for ensuring that EU law is correctly applied) to consider starting infringement 29 proceedings and, if necessary, refer the case to the European Court of 30 Justice. Furthermore, other interested parties could raise a complaint with the 31 Swedish International Prosecutor. Resulting investigations could include 32 examination of the conduct of these online vendors and, given the way in 33 which Swedish Match's website links directly to the online vendors and 34 indications that one of the websites may still be directly owned by Swedish

Match, such an investigation should extend to the manufacturers who directly
 benefit from such sales. It should also examine the payment of taxes on these
 sales in order to determine the extent of mispayment.

4

5 The Swedish government could consider a licensing system as a means of 6 addressing the issues raised, with the license being revoked if legislation is 7 breached. Such a system would need to extend from the producers, through 8 to the distributors. Tobacco sales licensing systems have been successfully 9 introduced in several countries, including the United States (US), Singapore, 10 Canada and Australia[26].

11

12 The conduct of the vendors involved in selling snus and the difficulties of 13 enforcing existing legislation, need to be borne in mind by the Commission as 14 it revises the TPD. This paper provides strong evidence that those selling and 15 distributing snus (and requesting a reversal of the ban as part of the TPD 16 revision) cannot be trusted to behave responsibly; consequently their rhetoric 17 on harm reduction and "responsibility" should be treated with caution [27, 28]. 18 A specific clause prohibiting the sale of snus via the Internet and a clear 19 indication of the penalties those contravening legislation will face, should be 20 included in the revised text to remove any ambiguity. Furthermore, stricter age 21 verification methods for online tobacco sales could help prevent underage 22 online tobacco sales in Europe. Interventions currently used in the US may be 23 helpful. Voluntary agreements with the major credit card companies aimed to 24 prevent the use of credit cards to buy cigarettes online and similar 25 agreements with private couriers to prevent their distribution has been shown 26 to decrease traffic on websites selling cigarettes[29]. Similarly, PayPal's policy 27 currently prohibits transactions for tobacco products[30], though the authors 28 found one snus vendor offering PayPal as a payment method (this website 29 has since removed the PayPal method of payment, following a complaint from 30 the authors to PayPal). In 2010 the US also introduced more stringent age verification methods via the Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking Act (PACT Act) 31 32 to reduce underage tobacco sales over the Internet [31]. This Act requires all 33 online vendors to check US customers' age and address at time of purchase

against 3rd party databases, *and* to ship the tobacco via a courier requiring an
 adult signature at point of delivery.

3

4 Finally, our findings highlight the need for careful monitoring and enforcement 5 of existing legislation. With other avenues of tobacco advertising quickly 6 diminishing, the Internet is one of the last communication channels left to the 7 industry where it can have a visible presence and very efficiently and 8 effectively communicate with customers worldwide to promote and sell its 9 products. Serious thought needs to be given as to how such monitoring is 10 undertaken. In 2008 the WHO Conference of the Parties (COP) set up an expert group on cross-border advertising, promotion and sponsorship, 11 12 mandated to keep the COP informed on developments in this area. Despite 13 the establishment of this Expert Group, and this paper showing that 14 monitoring can be easily done, without responsibility and funding for such 15 work clearly allocated, this sort of monitoring is frequently overlooked. This 16 and the lack of appropriate penalties will only encourage industry to 17 contravene legislation.

18

Our preliminary findings of the industry's efforts to encourage users to promote products via social networking sites indicates the need for further research to examine how social networking sites and viral marketing techniques are used to promote and increase user acceptance of novel tobacco products.

- 24
- 25

26 What is known on this topic

Existing EU legislation bans the sale of snus outside Sweden, requires taxes on products sold between Member States via the Internet to be paid in the country of receipt, and bans online tobacco advertising. The EU Tobacco Products Directive which bans the sales of snus (as well as establishing rules on tobacco product labelling and ingredients) is currently under review. Major tobacco companies and the Swedish Government have indicated publicly that they support the ban on snus sales being overturned.

1 What this paper adds

- 2 This is the first study that demonstrates that:
- Snus is being sold on the single market via the Internet in contravention of
 the existing EU Tobacco Products Directive.
- 5 Taxes levied on these sales contravene EU tax and customs legislation.
- Most of the online retailers operate from Swedish Territory and make
 extensive use of the Internet to promote sales of snus contravening the
 EU Tobacco Advertising Directive.

9 In short, in their efforts to sell and promote snus, certain segments of the 10 tobacco industry appear to be willing to contravene three pieces of EU 11 legislation. As such, the credibility and integrity of these parties as 12 stakeholders in consultations on future policy developments must seriously be 13 questioned. The findings further highlight how national and regional tobacco 14 control legislation can be undermined in an increasingly globalised world.

1 Table 1: List of online vendors marketing snus to EU audience

Online vendor	No of registered domains
Northerner Scandinavia AB (Sweden)	63
MaKe WeBo AB (Sweden)	5
Snus World Wide AB (Sweden)	2
Mysnus AB	2
(Sweden) The Dorco Group	1
(Netherlands) Swedlex	1
(Sweden) Snus2	1
(Sweden) Snus Shoppen	1
(Denmark) Individuals	3*
(Sweden)	1
Private registration (Unknown)	1
Total	80

- 4
- 5 Table 2 Summary of snus test purchases made in 10 EU Member States,
- 6 between May and November 2010

COUNTRY FROM WHICH TEST PURCHASE MADE	WEBSITE USED IN TEST PURCHASE	VENDOR	VENDOR ORIGIN	Successful Yes/No
Czech Republic				
1	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.northerner.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.swedish-snus.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
5	www.snus.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
Germany				
1	www.snus.de	Mysnus AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.snuskaufenonline.de	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.buysnus.de	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
5	www.snus4all.com	Dorco Company (NL)	Sweden	Yes
Greece				
1	www.northerner.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
Ireland				
1	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.northerner.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes

4	www.snus4all.com	Dorco Company (NL)	Netherlands	Yes
5	www.premiumsnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
Italy				
1	www.snusitalia.it ¹	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	No
Poland				
1	www.allegro.pl	Snusmarket Adam Kramarz	Poland	Yes
2	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.snusen.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
5	www.snusjet.com	No longer trading (May '11)	Uncertain	No
Portugal				
1	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.swedishsnus.com ²	Mysnus AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.premiumsnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
5	www.snus4all.com	Dorco Company (NL)	Netherlands	Yes
Slovakia				
1	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
2	www.northerner.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.snusomatic.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.snus.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
5	www.swedish-snus.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
Slovenia				
1	www.snusjet.com	No longer trading (May '11)	Uncertain	Yes
2	www.snusexpress.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
3	www.buysnus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.schweden-snus.com	MaKe WeBo AB	Sweden	Yes
United				
Kingdom				
1	www.snussupply.com	No longer trading (May '11)	Sweden	Yes
2	www.snus4all.com	Dorco Company (NL)	Netherlands	Yes
3	www.swedish-snus.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
4	www.snus.de	Mysnus AB	Sweden	Yes
5	www.northerner.com	Northerner Scandinavia AB	Sweden	Yes
SUMMARY: Eig	hteen unique websites were r	andomly accessed to attempt 43	test purchases,	of which 41
were successful.				

1 1 This website automatically redirected to www.northerner.com (see text)

2 2. Website no longer sells snus, and instead has become a Swedish Match promotional website (see

3 text)

- 1 Table 3 Further analysis of test purchase websites (N=18) to explore whether
- 2 EU citizens (outside Sweden) are targeted

Languages offered		
English	17	(94%)
German	11	(61%)
Swedish	9	(50%)
Dutch	5	(28%)
French	5	(28%)
Danish	3	(17%)
Spanish	2	(17%)
Italian	1	(6%)
Japanese	1	(6%)
Turkish	1	(6%)
Polish	1	(6%)
Shipment to EU		
Freight country option specified within drop down	9	(50%)
menu as: "within EU", "EU", or "EU countries"		
Drop down menu includes EU countries	2	(17%)
No drop down list but still posted to EU countries as		
part of test purchase	7	(39%)
Payment currencies offered		
Euro (EUR)	16	(89%)
US dollar (USD)	14	(78%)
Swedish krona (SEK)	13	(72%)
Swiss franc (CHF)	9	(50%)
Japanese yen (JPY)	6	(33%)
British pound sterling (GBP)	5	(28%)
Danish krone (DKK)	5	(28%)
Estonian Kroon (EEK)	5	(28%)
Australian dollar (AUD)	5	(28%)
Polish zloty (PLN)	1	(6%)
Total websites accessed: 18		

No. of websites (%)

3 Total websites accessed: 18

4

5 Figure 1 Screenshot www.buysnus.com (accessed 18 February 2011), 6 highlighting the selection menu for purchaser's location, with one of the four 7 choices being 'within the EU'.

8 [separately attached]

9

10 Figure 2 Screenshot www.northerner.com (accessed on 22 March 2010),

11 showing a selection of snus merchandise with printed messages on them

12 ranging from fun texts to political messages

13 [separately attached]

14

Figure 3 Screenshot www.swedishsnus.com (accessed 18 March 2011)
showing the vendor running a (social media) competition to promote its snus
products

18 [separately attached]

- 19
- 20
- 21

Contributorship statement SP conducted background literature search, conducted test purchases in the UK and coordinated test purchases in 9 other EU countries, analysed the test purchase findings, undertook Google and database searches and further analysis of websites accessed by the test purchases, and drafted and revised the paper. AG directed the overall project, supervised the test purchases and analysis of the snus websites, wrote sections of and edited the paper.

8

9 Acknowledgements The authors are indebted to the test purchasers who
10 generously donated their time and effort to carry out test purchases on our
11 behalf.

12

13 Ethics approval

14 The project was approved by the University of Bath's Research Ethics15 Approval Committee for Health.

16

17 Competing Interests None

18

19 Funding This work is supported by the 'Pricing Policies and Control of 20 Tobacco in Europe (PPACTE)' project and funded by the EC FP7 Grant 21 Agreement HEALTH-F2-2009-223323. AG is supported by a Health 22 Foundation Clinician Scientist Fellowship. The snus was not purchased using 23 money from either of these grants. AG is a member of the UK Centre for Tobacco Control Studies (UKCTCS), a UK Centre for Public Health 24 25 Excellence. Funding to UKCTCS from the British Heart Foundation, Cancer 26 Research UK, the Economic and Social Research Council, the Medical 27 Research Council and the National Institute of Health Research, under the 28 auspices of the UK Clinical Research Collaboration, is gratefully 29 acknowledged.

30

The funders played no role in the study design, analysis, and interpretation of data, nor writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for publication.

34

1		Bibliography
2 3	1	Foulds I at al Effect of such along to be appendix on such in a sublic
5 4	1.	Foulds, J., et al., <i>Effect of smokeless tobacco (snus) on smoking and public health in Sweden</i> . Tobacco Control, 2003. 12 (4): p. 349-359.
5	2.	Royal College of Physicians, <i>Harm reduction in nicotine addiction: helping</i>
6	2.	people who can't quit. A report by the Tobacco Advisory Goup of the Royal
7		College of Physicians. 2007, RCP: London.
8	3.	FD (Fair Disclosure) Wire, Preliminary 2002 Gallaher Group PLC Earnings
9		Conference Call - Transcript. 2003, FDCHeMedia, Inc.: Lanham, USA.
10	4.	Euromonitor International, Smokeless Tobacco - Is it the Future of the
11		Industry? 2010.
12	5.	European Union, Directive 2001/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the
13		Council of 5 June 2001 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and
14		administrative provisions of the Member States concerning the manufacture,
15		presentation and sale of tobacco. Official Journal of the European
16	6	Communities, 2001. L 194 (18/07/2001): p. 26-34.
17 18	6.	European Commission, Report from the Commission to the Council on the
18 19		implementation by the Kingdom of Sweden of the measures necessary to
19 20		ensure that oral tobacco is not placed on the market in other Member States. 2010: Brussels.
20 21	7.	European Commission Directorate General for Health and Consumers. <i>Public</i>
21	7.	consultation on the possible revision of the Tobacco Products Directive
23		2001/37/EC. 2010; Available from:
24		http://ec.europa.eu/health/tobacco/consultations/tobacco_cons_01_en.htm.
25	8.	Northerner Scandinavia AB. Please Read, EU customers fight for snus! 2011
26		[cited 2011 17 May]; 5 April 2011:[Available from:
27		http://www.facebook.com/notes/northernercom/please-read-eu-customers-
28		fight-for-snus/200511709980925.
29	9.	MaKe WeBo AB, Snus to EU - 5% off-SnusExpress [email sent on 28 April
30		2011 to subscribers of <u>www.snusexpress.com</u> newsletter]. 2011.
31	10.	European Commission Health and Consumers Directorate-General, Report on
32		the public consultation on the possible revision of the Tobacco Products
33		<i>Directive</i> (2001/37/EC). 2011: Brussels.
34	11.	The Council of the European Union, <i>Council Directive 2008/118/EC of 16</i>
35		December 2008 concerning the general arrangements for excise duty and
36	10	repealing Directive 92/12/EEC. 2010, Official Journal of the European Union.
37	12.	The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, <i>Directive</i>
38 39		2003/33/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 May 2003
39 40		on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the advertising and sponsorship of tobacco
40 41		products. 2003: Official Journal of the European Union,.
41	13.	Ministry of Social Affairs and Health Finland, <i>Unofficial English Translation</i>
43	15.	of No 693/1976 Tobacco Act. 2010.
44	14.	Sveriges Rikstag, Regeringens proposition 2010/11:13. Anpassningar av
45	± 11	tobaksbeskattningen med anledning av ändrade tobaksskattedirektiv. 2010.
46	15.	Gustafsson, K., Sweden Urges EU To Lift Ban On Snus Exports, in The
47		Wallstreet Journal Online. 2011.
48	16.	Swedish Match. Arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. 2002 [cited
49		2011 2 June]; Available from:

1		http://www.swedishmatch.com/en/Media/Articles/Arbitrary-unreasonable-
2		and-discriminatory/.
3	17.	Swedish Match. Press Release 28 June 2000: The legality of the EU ban on
4		Swedish snus to be reviewed. 2000 [cited 2011 30 June]; Available from:
5		http://www.swedishmatch.com/en/Media/Pressreleases/Press-releases/1996-
6		2004/Thelegality-of-the-EU-ban-on-Swedish-snus-to-be-reviewed/.
7	18.	Smith, K., et al., Tobacco Industry Pricing, Price-Related Marketing and
8		Lobbying Strategies. IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention: Tobacco
9		Control. Volume 14. Effectiveness of Price and Tax Policies for Control of
10		Tobacco (in press). 2011, Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
11	19.	Moodie, C. and G. Hastings, <i>Making the Pack the Hero, Tobacco Industry</i>
12	17.	Response to Marketing Restrictions in the UK: Findings from a Long-Term
12		
13 14		<i>Audit.</i> International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 2011. 9 (1): p. 24-
	20	38.
15	20.	Garman, K., B. Tavakoly, and A. Gilmore, <i>UK: price-based promotions target</i>
16	01	<i>poor</i> . Tobacco Control, 2010. 19 (4): p. 264-5.
17	21.	World Health Organization. Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on
18		Tobacco Control. 2011 [cited 2011 14 March]; Available from:
19		http://www.who.int/fctc/signatories_parties/en/index.html.
20	22.	World Health Organization, WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco
21		Control. 2003: Geneva.
22	23.	World Health Organization Conference of the Parties. Guidelines for the
23		Implementation of Article 13 of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco
24		Control (Tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship). 2008; Available
25		from: http://www.who.int/fctc/protocol/guidelines/adopted/en/.
26	24.	Ribisl, K., K. Annice, and R. Williams, Are the Sales Practices of Internet
27		Cigarette Vendors Good Enough to Prevent Sales to Minors? American
28		Journal of Public Health, 2002. 92 (6): p. 940-941.
29	25.	Ribisl, K., R. Williams, and K. Annice, Internet Sales of Cigarettes to Minors.
30		Journal of the American Medical Association, 2003. 290(10): p. 1356-1359.
31	26.	ASH Scotland, Tobacco licensing - a briefing paper. 2008.
32	27.	Philip Morris Limited, PML's Response to the DH's Consultation on the future
33		of tobacco control, 8 September 2008. 2008: Brentford.
34	28.	British American Tobacco, BAT response to the Department of Health
35	201	discussion document 'Consultation on the future of tobacco control, May
36		2008'. 5 September 2008. 2008.
37	29.	Ribisl, K., et al., Effectiveness of State and Federal Government Agreements
38	27.	with Major Credit Card and Shipping Companies to Block Illegal Internet
39		Cigarette Sales. PLoS One,, 2011. 6(2).
40	30.	Paypal. What types of tobacco products does PayPal prohibit? 2011 [cited
40	50.	2011 7 December]; Available from:
42		= -
42 43		https://www.paypal.com/helpcenter/main.jsp;jsessionid=XL2PTfGZZ82qX8H
43 44		<u>C7yp4yBd3cNt2CWzFJFWQPs2C2BQQRRpD0v6B!</u>
44 45		<u>1667391597?t=solutionTab&ft=homeTab&ps=⌖=_parent&solutionId=1</u>
		<u>63755&locale=en_GB&_dyncharset=UTF-</u>
46	21	8&countrycode=GB&cmd=_help&serverInstance=9016.
47	31.	Hickey, B., The PACT Act. Preventing Illegal Internet Sales of Cigarettes &
48		Smokeless Tobacco, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, Editor. 2010,
49 50		Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids.
50		

2 3