I IoT based Students Interaction Framework using Attention-

2	Scoring Assessment in eLearning
3	¹ Muhammad Farhan, ² Sohail Jabbar, ³ Muhammad Aslam, ⁴ Shehzad Khalid, ⁵ Mohammad Hammoudeh,
4	⁶ Murad Khan, ² Kijun Han
5	¹ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan
6	² School of Computer Science and Engineering, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, S. Korea3
7	³ Department of Computer Science, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Sahiwal, Pakistan
8	⁴ Department of Computer Science, Bahria University, Islamabad, Pakistan
9	⁵ School of Computing, Mathematics and Digital Technology, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom
10	⁶ Sarhad University of Science and Information Technology, Peshawar, Pakistan
11 12	farhansajid@gmail.com, sjabbar.research@gmail.com, maslam@uet.edu.pk, shehzad_khalid@hotmail.com, m.hammoudeh@mmu.ac.uk, muradkhan23@gmail.com, kjhan@knu.ac.kr
13	
14	

16 Abstract

17 Students' interaction and collaboration using Internet of Things (IoT) based infrastructure is a convenient 18 way. Measuring student attention is an essential part of educational assessment. As new learning styles 19 develop, new tools and assessment methods are also needed. The focus in this paper is to develop IoT 20 based interaction framework and analysis of the student experience of electronic learning (eLearning). 21 The learning behaviors of students attending remote video lectures are assessed by logging their behavior 22 and analyzing the resulting multimedia data using machine learning algorithms. An attention-scoring 23 algorithm, its workflow, and the mathematical formulation for the smart assessment of the student 24 learning experience are established. This setup has a data collection module, which can be reproduced by 25 implementing the algorithm in any modern programming language. Number of faces, eyes, and status of 26 eyes are extracted from video stream taken from a webcam using this module. The extracted information 27 is saved in a dataset for further analysis. The analysis of the dataset produces interesting results for 28 student learning assessments. Modern learning management systems can integrate the developed tool to 29 take student learning behaviors into account when assessing electronic learning strategies.

Keywords: Internet of Things (IoT), interaction in eLearning, learning behavior, learning management
 system (LMS), visual attention, IoT services

32

33 Introduction

In this paper, we have presented Internet of Things (IoT) based interaction framework using data collection workflow and an algorithm for attention scoring. This was applied to students attending video lectures comprising an electronic learning component of their studies. Most learning, business, entertainment, and correspondence are now happening over the web, and the measurement of information is rising due to the data available for processing as a result. It has driven the development of systems for 39 assembling smaller packets of information from this corpus of big data. Multimedia data analysis for 40 eLearning assessment is a new field of research. It is used to improve the selection of learning 41 opportunities and to refine educational practices to better fit student needs [1]. Analysts and designers of 42 internet learning frameworks have started to investigate practically identical methods for extracting 43 knowledge from student experiences on the internet. Internet-based learning frameworks are used in 44 online courses or intuitive learning situations. Online courses are offered through a course administration 45 framework, such as Sakai (https://sakaiproject.org), Moodle (https://moodle.org), Blackboard 46 (http://anz.blackboard.com/sites/international/globalmaster/), or learning platforms like DreamBox 47 Learning (http://www.dreambox.com) and Knewton (https://www.knewton.com). Cases of effective 48 learning in different situations include those from Kaplan (http://www.kaptest.com), Khan Academy 49 (https://www.khanacademy.org), and Agile Mind (http://www.agilemind.com). At this point, internet-50 learning frameworks use available information to change or adapt according to the behavior of the 51 student, resulting in varied learning situations for individual students.

52 When learning, the behavior displayed by students is frequently indicative of the students' cognitive 53 activity, and this behavior can be used as an intermediary measurement of engagement. This method 54 relies on the same types of learning information utilized as a part of student learning prediction. In 55 addition to different measurements, for example, the amount of time a student spends on the web, whether 56 a student has finished a course, recorded changes in the classroom or the school's connection, 57 participation, and lateness, are used to predict the learning experience. Considering a student's level of 58 learning as induced by his/her interaction with the framework and other such sources of information, such 59 as sanctioned test scores, is also useful. Student activity can be analyzed with a setup comprising video 60 camera, computer, and the multimedia data can be analyzed using machine learning techniques [2, 3]. 61 This setup facilitates students to interact with each other using IoT based infrastructure and services [4, 62 5].

The learning analytics can give instructors a mechanism to support their goals through an iterative procedure improving the efficacy of their courses [6]. The learning analytics toolkit empowers educators to investigate student characteristics and conduct. This toolkit's primary purpose is to process extensive information sets in microseconds, keeping in mind that the ultimate aim is to help both educators and students to think about innovative upgraded demonstration and learning situations, and to recognize opportunities for action and change [7]. The use of intelligent algorithms to automate the process makes this investigating more effective.

70 Machine learning is a field dealing with smart algorithms. Machine learning methods involve information 71 mining, managing unstructured information, discovering samples and symmetries in the information, and 72 separating semantically significant data. Attention scoring is an essential and integral part of the 73 interactive assessment of the student learning experience [8]. The activities of the students in the 74 eLearning environment can be effectively modeled and measured, and this paper proposes a method for 75 assessing the learning experience using a measurement of student attention based on the observation of 76 the face and eyes. The proposed methodology is an attention-scoring model (ASM) described later in the 77 paper.

78 The paper is organized into six principal sections. The next section presents a review of the relevant 79 scholarship to date. Web and learning analytics are discussed to highlight the importance of data in the 80 eLearning domain. Section 3 describes IoT based interaction in eLearning using proposed ASM [8], 81 including the workflow, the model, the algorithm, and the mathematical formulation. The workflow and 82 algorithm are presented using diagrammatic and pseudo code based approaches. The mathematical 83 formulation of the model is elaborated in sub-section 3.2. Section 4 analyzes the scoring data using linear 84 and generalized linear models. Section 5, presents the results achieved by applying different test methods 85 to the data collected using the ASM and some further discussion. Section 6 offers some conclusions and 86 outlines directions for future research.

88 Literature Review

89 We humans are surrounded by many of the objects arranged in the form of different network settings, 90 which we call them as Internet of Things (IoT) [9]. This type of arrangement of devices in the connected 91 scenario leads us towards ubiquitous computing and smarter learning setupts. The authors of [10] found 92 that gaming practices, for example, clicking until the system gives a right answer and progressing inside 93 of the educational program, were firmly connected with a reduction in learning for students with below 94 normal scholastic accomplishment levels. Accordingly, they adjusted the framework to identify and react 95 to these students and furnish them with additional activities. This produced a significant improvement in learning [10]. Web-learning frameworks mine the students' data to recognize student practices linked 96 97 with learning [11]. The authors discussed a Blackboard Vista-upheld course and discovered variables that 98 connected with the student's most recent grade. The authors demonstrate that motivation is the principal 99 variable influencing the execution of tasks by online students, confirming its significance as a source of 100 instructive efficiency [12]. The author of [13] states that student experience, as measured by the ability to 101 keep up, is vital for organizations offering online courses [12].

102 Instructive Information Mining (IIM) [14] is another research field concerned with creating and applying 103 automated techniques to recognize substantial accumulations of instructive information. The goal of IIM 104 is to better understand how students learn and to recognize the settings in which the teachers figure out 105 how to enhance useful results and to clarify and add information to learning material. This can be done 106 using data compatibility and IoT based interacting devices [15]. IIM is an interdisciplinary field, which 107 combines systems and procedures for software engineering, instruction design, and machine learning 108 [16]. Online learning management systems are developed using web technologies and offer various 109 functionalities to students and teachers. Interactive and graphic representations of the statistical results 110 produced using different tools help students to visualize the results so that they can take full advantage of 111 them and adapt as necessary.

113 Web Data Analytics

114 This utilization of web data investigates online conduct by using instruments that log and report web page 115 visits, the location of the user, and the links that were navigated. This type of web investigation is used to 116 understand and enhance how individuals use the web. However, now organizations have developed 117 strategies to track increasingly complex client interactions with their sites [17, 18]. Through the web 118 social activities, for example, bookmarking popular destinations, presenting on blogs or Twitter, and 119 commenting on stories, can be traced and analyzed. Two areas that are relevant to the utilization of 120 enormous information on learning are IMM and learning assessment [19-22]. For the most part, IMM 121 searches for new samples of data and develops new calculations and new models, while learning research 122 applies known models to instructional frameworks [23, 24]. Advancements in systems for various levels of information mining and extensive information display have been critical for mining educational 123 124 information [19]. Big data does not have a consistent size; any number allocated to characterize it would 125 change as processing innovations advance to handle more information. [25-27]. The research on machine 126 learning has yielded strategies for information mining that find new and conceivably valuable data in 127 unstructured information [28].

128

129 Learning Analytics

Learning investigation refers to the transformation of an extensive variety of information, delivered by the teacher and accumulated for the benefit of the students, with the goal of evaluating academic advancement, anticipating future performance, and identifying potential issues [29, 30]. The objective of learning investigation is to empower instructors and schools to tailor instructive opportunities to each student's needs and capacity [18]. In contrast to IIM, learning investigation has for the most part not addressed the advancement of new computational strategies for information assessment, but instead addresses the use of known routines and models to answer critical inquiries that influence student learning and learning frameworks [6, 19, 31]. The objectives of learning investigation is to empower instructors and schools to tailor instructive opportunities to every student [19]. Web analytics for knowledge extraction in eLearning is necessary and essential for the next generation of learning management systems. New and innovative learning approaches require new pedagogical and assessment methods [8, 32] to be formulated and used to measure and improve the process efficiently.



Fig 1. IoT based Interaction and Collaboration of Students in eLearning

144 Attention measurement plays a critical part in improving the student learning experience as well as

teaching performance [33, 34]. An ASM [8] for this process is proposed here.

147 **IoT based Interaction in eLearning**

Students' interaction and collaboration in IoT based infrastructure is convenient. Students setup their details through learning management system (LMS) and allow fellows to interact with them as per choice and need for the discussion on any selected topic. Students share their location, availability and other contact details using LMS. Attention scoring module assesses attention of the student in the video lecture. This process is done using Algorithm 1. Topic wise analysis of students' attentiveness provides information to other students using LMS. The system provides interaction opportunities based on their grip or weakness on the topics as shown in **Fig 1**.

155

156 Attention-Scoring Model

157 Online learning offers a several advantages over traditional classroom-based learning [35]. The number of 158 students that can take the class is not constrained by the size of a physical classroom. Learning 159 management systems (LMS) are web-based and are a platform on which to fabricate and convey modules 160 courses. Open-source versions include Sakai (https://lms.brocku.ca/portal/), and ILIAS 161 (http://www.ilias.de/docu/ilias.php?baseClass=ilrepositorygui&reloadpublic=1&cmd=frameset&ref id=1 162) and Moodle.

The proposed model i.e. attention-scoring model (ASM) incorporates an accepted model. This model can detect student movement from fundamental behavioral information, i.e., the students' connections with a teacher [36]. The video camera monitors the students' activities while watching recorded lectures. A large amount of academic content is being generated in the medium of video, making it a good candidate for multimedia big data. The video sequence of the student's activity is analyzed with the help of EmguCV (http://www.emgu.com/wiki/index.php/Main_Page), a library used for building computer vision

- applications. On the back end, OpenCV (<u>http://opencv.org/</u>) is used. Image frames are processed in a
- 170 sequential order. Each image undergoes analysis to detect the face, the eyes, and the state of the eyes, i.e.,
- 171 whether the eye is open or closed as shown in Fig 2. The process starts with the video camera or webcam
- 172 by taking video stream of the student, and the subsequent steps are:
- 173 Step 1: Image frames are extracted from the video stream.
- 174 Step 2: Face is detected in each frame and image segment is cropped.
- 175 Step 3: Eyes are sought for and cropped out of the face image if found.
- 176 Step 4: State of the eyes is classified as either opened or closed.
- 177 Step 5: Scores and other information extracted during step 2 to 4 are saved.



- 179 Fig 2. ASM workflow. The data collection module used to monitor and collect the data for student attentiveness
 180 using a webcam.
- The image is not processed further if a face is not detected in the image. If a face is detected, the image is processed and the score is calculated using the ASM Scoring Algorithm. This algorithm is applied to a sequence of images or a video stream. One by one, the frames are extracted from the video stream. Each

184 frame is searched for multi-scale faces. After detection, the face detection score is saved to the log file, 185 the face portion of the image is cropped, and all faces in that particular frame are kept in a generic array. 186 Then one face image is taken from that array and is searched for eyes. If eyes are identified, then that 187 portion of the face image is cropped, the eye detection score is logged, and those are kept in a separate 188 array. Now each eye image is taken from the collection of cropped images and checked to see whether the 189 eves are open or closed. Then the appropriate values are assigned to the log file. This score is saved for 190 further processing and the validation of the results. Cronbach's alpha test is then applied using a SPSS 191 software tool (http://www-01.ibm.com/software/analytics/spss/) to validate the dataset collected using the 192 developed tool. The total numbers of items is 8 and the statistical reliability value is 0.852, which 193 confirms that the dataset is valid. Our focus in developing the model is:

- Predicting future learning behavior by making models that link essential data such as student
 learning information, inspiration, metacognition, and demeanor;
- Discovering or enhancing models that describe the subject to be learned and ideal instructional
 delivery;
- 198 3. Studying the impact of the various types of pedagogical support; and
- 1994. Advancing relevant information about learning and students through building computational200models that fuse models representing the student, the space, and the teaching method [37].
- 201

202 Mathematical Formulation of ASM

ASM's mathematical formulation represents the formal working of the module. The face detection score is calculated as zero if no face is found and calculated as one for each face, as denoted by Eq. (1):

205
$$F(f) = y \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if no face} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i & \text{on each face} \end{cases}$$
(1)

206 Detection of the eyes is calculated in the same way, as denoted by Eq. (2):

207
$$E(f) = x \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if no eye} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i & \text{on each eye} \end{cases}$$
(2)

209 Where *f* is a single frame captured through camera, T_s represents the total score of detection in a second, 210 as denoted in Eq. (3):

211
$$T_{s} = \sum_{i=5}^{n} \left(E(f_{i}) + F(f_{i}) \right)$$
(3)

212 T_s is the ideal case, whereas λ represents environmental factors affecting the results, as represented in Eq.

- 213 (4):
- 214 $T_s' \approx \lim_{x \to 1} \lambda_x T_s$

215
$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}T_s(x)\right) \approx (\lambda_1 T_s)$$
(4)

216 : 1

217 When $\lambda = 1$, $T_s' = T_s$ such that the effects of error-prone factors, like resources, time, processing, etc., are

nullified. Then, using $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i)$, a single image extracted from the video stream. It uses the ASM to

collect the scoring data, so pre-trained XML cascades are used as sub-routines in the algorithm. This algorithm creates a strong predictor by combining weighted simple weak predictors in a linear fashion. One predictor is assigned to all the images, and this can be calculated by taking the inverse of the total number of positive candidate images. If we have N positive images and the weight of all the positive images is *w*, then we can define the predictor function using Eq. (5). A pseudo-code representation elaborates on the functioning of the model and helps to work out computational time complexity. The asymptotic time complexity of the ASM algorithm is $O(n^2)$.

227	lgorithm 1: A score-counting algorithm based on automated detections of faces and number of opened-closed
228	res
229	put: Video stream and image holders i.e. imgOriginal, faceOnly and faceWithEyes
230	utput: Scoring of each image
231	1. Begin
232	2. <i>If faceDetected = false Then</i>
233	3. Start the video capturing process
234	4. <i>While Loop</i> video sequence
235	5. <i>imgOriginal = get an image/frame from the video sequence</i>
236	6. <i>Detect multiscale face image using cascade classifier</i>
237	7. <i>For Loop Rectangle rect in detectFace</i>
238	8. Draw rectangle around face image
239	9. <i>Copy imgOriginal to faceOnly</i>
240	10. $faceOnly.ROI = rect$
241	11. $faceDetected = true$
242	12. Insert face detection score
243	13. End For Loop
244	14. Crop and Copy face image
245	15. Detect multiscale eye image using cascade classifier
246	16. <i>Loop For</i> Rectangle eyeRect in detecteye
247	17. Draw rectangle around eye image
248	18. If $(faceDetected == true)$ then
249	19.Insert eye detection score
250	20. Else
251	21. <i>Append 0 score for the eye detection</i>
252	22. End If
253	23. End For Loop
254	24. Crop and Copy Eye image

255 2	25. Detect EOC using co	ascade classifier
256 2	26. Loop For Recta	angle EOC_Rect in detecteye
257 2	27. Draw	rectangle around eye image
258 2	28. If (EC	DC == true) then
259 2	29.	Insert EOC score 1
260 3	30. <i>Else</i>	
261 3	31.	Insert score 0
262 3	32. End I	f
263 3	33. End For Loop	
264 3	34. End While Loo	op
265 3	35. <i>Return the Attentic</i>	on Score
266 3	36. <i>End</i>	

Furthermore, ASM uses three different trained XML cascades. One is for frontal or profile face detection, one for eye detection, and the last one for determining whether the eyes are open. These cascades are used to calculate the score for each frame extracted from the video stream grabbed from the webcam. We calculate the score using Eq. (6):

272 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{SF}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if no face} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{m} F_i + \sum_{k=0}^{p} E_j + EOC(x_i) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ (6)

SF	Score computed in a frame	F_i	Number of faces detected in a frame
\overline{E}_{j}	Number of eyes detected in a frame	EOC	Either eye open or closed
	x_i	Individu	al frame or image being processed for score

By looking at this information, teachers can identify students who may require additional help or support and distinguish areas in which they are struggling [38]. Learning frameworks usually track the students at their expertise level, e.g., the quadratic mathematical statement as shown in Table 1. This analysis can

help students to identify what to focus on and teachers to know the areas where they need to developfurther guidelines [39].

278

Table 1. Variable means for student dat	Table 1.	Variable	means for	student	data
---	----------	----------	-----------	---------	------

Face	Frontal or profile	Eyes	Number of eyes	FPS	Total score
0.91	0.85	0.91	0.85	0.51	0.88

279

280 Pattern analysis in general refers to the act of gathering data and endeavoring to detect the next example, 281 or pattern, in the data. Online organizations, such as Khan Academy, use pattern examination to anticipate 282 what students are intrigued by or how learner investment increases or decreases. In education, pattern 283 analysis answers questions such as what changes happen in student learning over time. At the school 284 level, pattern investigation can be utilized to analyze test scores and other student markers over time and 285 to help to assess the impact of various strategies as shown in Table 2. In IMM, pattern investigation regularly refers to methods for separating a basic sample, which may be somewhat or entirely obscured 286 by information that does not contribute to the model, i.e., noise. Despite the fact that the real information 287 288 required for pattern investigation changes contingent upon what data is of a premium, usually extensive 289 information from no less than three points in time is required.

290

Table 2. Cluster centers for the attention assessment variables

No.	Face	Frontal or profile	Eyes	Number of eyes	FPS	Total score
1	1	0.5	1	0.5	0.25	0.71
2	1	1	1	1	0.2	1
3	1	0.5	1	0.5	0.75	0.71
4	0	0	0	0	0.5	0
5	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	1	1	1	1	0.75	1
8	1	1	1	1	0.5	1
9	1	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	0.71
10	1	0.5	1	0.5	1	0.71

The data analysis group is, generally, more tolerant of open experimentation attempts as they drive information mining and examination innovations [40]. As learning examination, practices have been connected principally with advanced education up to this point.

Expanding the utilization of eLearning offers chances to coordinate appraisal and realization with the goal that data expected to enhance future guidelines can be accumulated; when students are learning on the web, there are numerous chances to abuse the force of innovation for a developmental evaluation. The same innovation that supports learning exercises also supports data collection and that data can be utilized for assessment. The objective of making an interconnected input framework aims to guarantee that key choices about learning are made in an informed way, the information is accumulated, and made open at all levels of the learning framework to ensure constant adaptation and improvement.

302

303 Linear and Generalized Linear Models

A direct relapse model is a routine technique for fitting a quantitative model to information. It is suitable for use when the objective variable is numeric and continuous. The gathering of data focuses with non-Gaussian distributions. Straight relapse models are iteratively fit to the information after changing the objective variable to a certain numeric value. A dataset with a numeric value, thorough target variable, develop the same model, using an alternate count. The calculated estimation is parameterized by the scattering of the objective variable and an associated limit relating the mean of the objective to the inputs as shown in Table 3.

	Coefficients								
	Intercept	Face	Frontal or profile	Eyes	Number of eyes	Total score	FPS		
1	-100.46	21.93	-26.49	21.93	-26.49	12.82	14.89		
2	-83.35	-8.54	14.72	-8.54	14.72	3.82	9.18		
	Std. Errors								

1	63158.43	15120.88	20279.59	15120.88	20279.59	5714.65	12033.86			
2	297.10	297.95	631.39	297.95	631.39	2155.06	6166.24			
	Value/SE (Wald statistics)									
1	0.00159	0.0014	-0.0013	0.0014	-0.0013	0.0022	0.0012			
2	0.2805	-0.0286	0.0233	-0.0286	0.0233	0.0017	0.0014			
	R	esidual Devia AIC: 16.	nce: 0.0001 0001		Log likeliho Pseudo R-	od: -0.000 (8 df) Square: 1.0000				

³¹²

Examples of utilizing expectation incorporate tasks like distinguishing certain student practices, such as gaming the framework, taking part in inappropriate conduct, or neglecting to answer an inquiry accurately regardless of having an ability as shown in Table 4. The model has been utilized for students' assessment that what practice as a part of an online learning environment.



Table 4. Analysis of deviance for response of attentiveness with ANOVA test

Attributes	LR Chisq	Df	Pr (> Chisq)
Face	0.0000398	2	1
Frontal or Profile	0.0000451	2	1
Eyes	0.0000398	2	1
Number of eyes	0.0000451	2	1
Total score	0.0000159	2	1
FPS	-0.000151	2	1

Utilizing these measures, educators can identify students who are not engaging and those who are attempting to but are struggling, and then formulate a guideline for keeping the group at the same level. Ordinarily, the point-by-point learning information the framework gives can be broken into student subgroups, for instance, to assess how students without a course perform, male and female advancement in the course, how the course performs by educator or by year. The learning framework information can support investigation of how well students learn with specific interventions, and how resolutions could be advanced.

326 **Results and Discussion**

These results are derived from statistical analysis using various methods. The variables and data utilized in each instance are the same in order to make the outcome more robust and reliable. Working inside of whatever parameters are set by the establishment in which the course is offered, the educator explains the course is learning destinations and recognizes assets and encounters through which those learning objectives can be achieved as shown in Fig 3. The instructed critical thinking allows students to work through complex issues and construct the relevant frameworks, e.g., the way related issues are settled and insights to help them are indicated.



334

Fig 3. Analysis of response of attentiveness using all variables of ASM using ANOVA test. This chart shows
 participating variables for classifying the attentiveness of the student.

337

338 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is a non-parametric test comparing two means. The paired and the twosample tests are performed. The statistic calculated is the gathered D estimation. For similar portions, the estimation approaches zero. If the p-value is under 0.05, then we dismiss the assumption and acknowledge the theory at the 95% level of certainty [41] as shown in Table 5. The two samples being
looked at originate from the "total_score" variable, accumulated by 'attentiveness', with qualities zero
and one.

345

T	ab	le	5.	Kol	lmogo	rov-	Smi	irnov	test	resu	lts
---	----	----	----	-----	-------	------	-----	-------	------	------	-----

STATISTIC	P-VALUE		
D TWO SIDED	1	Alternative Two-Sided	< 2.2e-16
D^- LESS	0	Alternative Exact Two-Sided	< 2.2e-16
D^+ GREATER	1	Alternative Less	1
		Alternative Greater	< 2.2e-16

346

347 Wilcoxon Signed Rank and Rank Sum Tests

The two-sample, non-parametric Wilcoxon signed rank test is performed on the two predetermined 348 349 samples, and these two samples need to be combined. The speculation is that the dispersals are the same. 350 This test does not predict that the two specimens will be equally dispersed. If the p-value is less than 0.05, 351 then we dismiss the theory and acknowledge the assumption, at the 95% level of certainty. The two 352 samples being compared are two variables, 'total score' and 'frontal or profile' as shown in Table 6. 353 The two-sample, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test, equivalent to the Mann-Whitney test, is 354 performed on the two predefined examples. The theory is that the movements are the same, i.e., there is 355 no shift in the region of the two flows. This test does not predict that the two samples are ordinarily 356 dispersed, however, it does accept they have assignments of the same shape. If the p-value is less than 357 0.05, then we dismiss the assumption and acknowledge the theory that the two samples have diverse medians, at the 95% level of certainty. The two samples being compared come from the 'total score' 358 359 variable, grouped by 'attentiveness', with values '0' and '1'.

360

361

Table 6. Wilcoxon test results of the validation of ASM

Wilco	oxon signed rank test	Wilcoxon rank sum test		
V	3428	W	0	
P-value	< 2.2e-16	P-value	< 2.2e-16	
Alternative hypothesis	true location shift is not equal to 0	Alternative hypothesis	true location shift is not equal to 0	

364

363

Since the value is not equal to zero, this means the total score is dependent on the face, which either is frontal or in profile. It is important that the face location be set to the correct aspect. Frontal face indicates the student is attentive and concentrating on the video lecture [42]. The student's attention gives us the correct score measurement technique, indicating that the ASM is accurate.

369

370 **Two-Sample F-Test**

The two-sample F-test is performed on the two predefined samples. The theory is that the extent of the differences of the values from which they were pulled is equivalent to one. This test accepts that the two samples are normally distributed. If the p-value is less than 0.05, then we dismiss the assumption and acknowledge the theory that the two samples have different variances, at the 95% level of certainty [43]. The two samples being compared come from the 'total_score' variable, grouped by the 'attentiveness' attribute, with values 0 and 1 as shown in Table 7.

2	7	7
J	1	/

Table 7. Two-sample f-test results p	performed on attention score data
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Parameter	Test score
Hypothesized ratio	1
Numerator df	819
Denumerator df	1079

379 **Correlation Test**

The two-sample correlation test is performed on the two predefined samples. The two samples are expected to be correspond. The theory is that the two specimens have no relationship as shown in Table 9. If the p-value is less than 0.05, then we dismiss the assumption and acknowledge the theory that the samples are associated, at the 95% level of certainty. The two samples being compared are the variables, 'total score' and 'frontal or profile' as shown in Table 8.

385 Table 8. Two-sample correlation test results using "total score" and frontal "face or profile face"



	•		
XIO P	10	hli	20
v 21	12	.,	
		~ 1	

Parameters		P-val	ie
Degrees of freedom	9098	Alternative Two-Sampled	< 2.2e-16
Sample Estimates		Alternative Less	1
Correlation	0.9761	Alternative Greater	< 2.2e-16
Statistic		Confidence	Interval
		Two-Sampled	0.9751, 0.977
Т	428.3963	Less	-1, 0.9769
		Greater	0.9753, 1

387

Relationship mining includes the location of connections between variables in a dataset. For instance, relationship mining can distinguish the connections between items bought in web shopping. Association mining can be used to discover student mistakes, which happen simultaneously and for rolling out improvements to educating methodologies. These strategies can be used to work with a learning administration framework, with student grades, or to sort out such inquiries. The next example is mining to capture the associations among events, and discovering natural groupings.

	FPS	Frontal or profile	Number of eyes	Face	Eyes	EOC	Total score
FPS	1	0.0791	0.0791	0.0987	0.0987	0.0987	0.0903
Frontal or profile	0.0791	1	1	0.8546	0.8546	0.8546	0.9756
Number of eyes	0.0791	1	1	0.8546	0.8546	0.8546	0.9756
Face	0.0987	0.8546	0.8546	1	1	1	0.9476
Eyes	0.0987	0.8546	0.8546	1	1	1	0.9476
EOC	0.0987	0.8546	0.8546	1	1	1	0.9476
Total score	0.0903	0.9756	0.9756	0.9476	0.9476	0.9476	1

Table 9. Correlation of the data using Pearson method

396

The correlation is drawn for the data collected using the ASM data collection module. The total number 397 398 of variables is 6, i.e., frames per second, face frontal or in profile, number of eyes, total score, face 399 present or not, and total eyes detected. The key educational uses of relationship mining include revealing 400 the relationship between student activities and discovering which pedagogical methodologies [44] lead to 401 more effective learning. This last field is of increasing significance, and it is suggested that it will offer 402 scientists some assistance in building automated frameworks that model how viable instructors work by 403 mining their use of useful frameworks [45]. The Conditional Tree Model for classification is summarized 404 in Fig 4.







Fig 4. Correlation for attentiveness measure for input variables for the collected data

Each range is investigated in more detail alongside cases from both industry practice and scholarly research. Numerous learning and innovation specialists are excited about the possibility of information driving the student experience as shown in Fig 5. Student data analysis empowers a learning framework that only gives the appropriate measure of direction. Various specialists warn against using an examination alone to identify which topics or abilities students work on next or whether they progress to the next stage.



Fig 5. Decision tree for the data. This is created by the decision tree classifier and collected data was used to train
 the classifier

Consequently, withholding a student on the presumption that difficulty with one topic will prevent them from progressing in another may not be the best strategy. Student information display has been embraced in the manufacture of versatile hypermedia, recommender, and mentoring frameworks. A well-known strategy for evaluating student information is Corbett and Anderson's knowledge tracing model, which is based on the Bayesian system and it, assesses the likelihood considering observations of his or her attempts to perform the task.

423

424

426 **Conclusion and Future Work**

427 We have found that comparison is a suitable examination procedure to break down the complex and 428 multi-directional connections in inputs and learning. Working with data and utilizing information mining 429 is quickly becoming fundamental to the education sector. The information mining of student behavior in online courses has uncovered contrasts in successful and unsuccessful students in relation to variables 430 431 such as the level of interest, and the number of tests finished. To interpret information collected for visual 432 attention assessment requires systematic learning of the predictor, analysts have hitherto been the 433 predominant group to utilize this technique. In the future, advances in visual information, examination, and human-computer interface configuration may well make it possible to make devices that, for 434 435 example, policymakers, executives, and instructors can utilize. Working from student information can help instructors to both track and advance student progress, and to understand which instructional 436 437 practices are effective. The student can analyze their evaluation information to distinguish their strengths, 438 shortcomings and to set their own learning objectives by collaborating with each other using IoT based 439 infrastructure and services. The analysis of these activities can also indicate to the instructor that the 440 visual arrangements of the lecture need to be improved.

441 Further research is required in this field with the specific aim of verifying these results for different types 442 of online courses, as well as for classroom-based courses and for the approaches leading to innovative 443 ideas. A step forward is required in the assessment of the relationship between the progressive structures 444 of teaching and learning in colleges and universities. The scientists working on IMM and learning 445 examination seek to make claims about student learning and consider the student's association with an 446 eLearning framework. Contrasting scores on evaluations and course reviews can verify these cases. Consolidating diverse information sources to make claims about student learning is well established and 447 loaded with challenges in assessment [46], and when applied to high-stakes activities, it must meet proper 448 449 standards for objective student assessment. Better interaction opportunities can be offered to students if they are aware of their fellows' progress, strengths and weaknesses. IoT based services can help them tolearn, collaborate and interact in a better way.

452

453 Acknowledgments

454 We are thankful to Mr. Kaleem Razzaq Malik for his valuable suggestions. Last but not the least, we are

also thankful for the participants for helping us to collect the dataset.

456

457 **References**

- Al-Sakran, H., An agent-based architecture for developing e-learning system. Information
 Technology Journal, 2006. 5(1): p. 121-127.
- 460 2. Alessi, S.M. and S.R. Trollip, *Multimedia for learning: methods and development*. 2001: Allyn &
 461 Bacon, Incorporated.
- 462 3. Chen, L., et al. *Towards automated assessment of public speaking skills using multimodal cues*. in
 463 *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. 2014. ACM.
- 464 4. Jabbar, S., et al., *Semantic Interoperability in Heterogeneous IoT Infrastructure for Healthcare.*465 Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, 2017. 2017.
- Farris, I., et al., *MIFaaS: A Mobile-IoT-Federation-as-a-Service Model for dynamic cooperation of IoT Cloud Providers.* Future Generation Computer Systems, 2017. **70**: p. 126-137.
- 468 6. Dyckhoff, A.L., et al., *Design and implementation of a learning analytics toolkit for teachers.*469 Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 2012. **15**(3): p. 58-76.
- Wiley, E.W., R.J. Shavelson, and A.A. Kurpius, On the factorial structure of the SAT and *implications for next-generation college readiness assessments*. Educational and Psychological
 Measurement, 2014: p. 0013164414528332.
- 8. Borkulo, S.P., et al., *What Can Be Learned from Computer Modeling? Comparing Expository and Modeling Approaches to Teaching Dynamic Systems Behavior.* Journal of Science Education and
 Technology, 2011. 21(2): p. 267-275.

- 476 9. Gubbi, J., et al., *Internet of Things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions.*477 Future Generation Computer Systems, 2013. 29(7): p. 1645-1660.
- 478 10. Abualkishik, A. and K. Omar. Framework for translating the Holy Quran and its reciting rules to
 479 Braille code. in International Conference on Research and Innovation in Information Systems
 480 (ICRIIS), 2013. 2013. IEEE.
- 11. Truncali, A., et al., *Teaching physicians to address unhealthy alcohol use: A randomized controlled trial assessing the effect of a Web-based module on medical student performance.*Journal of substance abuse treatment, 2011. **40**(2): p. 203-213.
- 484 12. Krause, S., et al., Implicit Interpersonal Attraction in Small Groups Automatically Activated
 485 Evaluations Predict Actual Behavior Toward Social Partners. Social Psychological and Personality
 486 Science, 2014: p. 14-20.
- 487 13. Hess, F. and B. Saxberg, *Schooling Rebooted*. Education Next, 2014. **14**(2).
- 488 14. Chai, J., et al., eCROPS-CA: a systematic approach toward effective and sustainable cancer
 489 prevention in rural China. BMC cancer, 2015. 15(1): p. 233.
- 490 15. Malik, K.R., et al., *Multiagent Semantical Annotation Enhancement Model for IoT-Based Energy-* 491 *Aware Data*. International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks, 2016.
- Deutsch, M., et al., World Professional Association for Transgender Health EMR Working Group.
 Electronic medical records and the transgender patient: recommendations from the World
 Professional Association for Transgender Health EMR Working Group. J Am Med Inform Assoc,
 2013. 20(4): p. 700-703.
- Alkhalaf, S., et al., *E-Learning system on higher education institutions in KSA: attitudes and perceptions of faculty members.* Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2012. 47(2012): p.
 1199-1205.
- 499 18. Yu, A.Y., et al., *Can learning be virtually boosted? An investigation of online social networking*500 *impacts.* Computers & Education, 2010. 55(4): p. 1494-1503.
- Alkhattabi, M., D. Neagu, and A. Cullen, *Assessing information quality of e-learning systems: a web mining approach.* Computers in Human Behavior, 2011. 27(2): p. 862-873.
- Arenas-Gaitán, J., P.E. Ramírez-Correa, and F.J. Rondán-Cataluña, *Cross cultural analysis of the use and perceptions of web based learning systems.* Computers & Education, 2011. 57(2): p.
 1762-1774.

- 506 21. Detmer, D., model: improving health through robust population health records. J Am Med Inform
 507 Assoc 2010; 17: 367–369. The website linked in the sixth reference, h ttp://dpc. senate. J Am
 508 Med Inform Assoc, 2012. 19(6): p. 1121.
- 509 22. Garrick, B.G. and D. Pendergast, *The impact of national agenda on a local education authority's* 510 *website: a visual semiotic analysis.* Cambridge Journal of Education, 2014. **44**(3): p. 299-317.
- 511 23. Eysink, T.H., et al., Learner performance in multimedia learning arrangements: An analysis
 512 across instructional approaches. American Educational Research Journal, 2009. 46(4): p. 1107 513 1149.
- Ramírez-Correa, P.E., J. Arenas-Gaitán, and F.J. Rondán-Cataluña, *Gender and Acceptance of E- Learning: A Multi-Group Analysis Based on a Structural Equation Model among College Students in Chile and Spain.* PloS one, 2015. **10**(10): p. e0140460.
- 51725.Reddekopp, M.D.-W. and J. Stausberg, *ICD-10 codes used to identify adverse drug events in*518administrative data: a systematic review. J Am Med Inform Assoc, 2014. **21**(3): p. 547-557.
- 519 26. Ts, Z., et al., Design and development of an international clinical data exchange system: the
 520 international layer function of the Dolphin Project. PubMed commons. J Am Med Inform Assoc,
 521 2014. 18(5): p. 683-9.
- 522 27. Tseng, M.-L., R.-J. Lin, and H.-P. Chen, *Evaluating the effectiveness of e-learning system in* 523 *uncertainty*. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 2011. **111**(6): p. 869-889.
- Narayan, S. and S.D. Gowda. Discrimination of handwritten and machine printed text in scanned
 document images based on Rough Set theory. in World Congress on Information and
 Communication Technologies (WICT), 2012. 2012. IEEE.
- 527 29. Bowman, J., et al., *The development, content validity and inter-rater reliability of the* 528 *SMART-Goal Evaluation Method: A standardised method for evaluating clinical goals.* Australian 529 occupational therapy journal, 2015.
- S30 30. Niessen, A.S.M., R.R. Meijer, and J.N. Tendeiro, *Predicting Performance in Higher Education Using Proximal Predictors.* PloS one, 2016. **11**(4): p. e0153663.
- 532 31. Veldhuis, M. and M. van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, *Primary School Teachers' Assessment Profiles in* 533 *Mathematics Education*. PloS one, 2014. 9(1): p. e86817.
- Jagodziński, P. and R. Wolski, Assessment of Application Technology of Natural User Interfaces in
 the Creation of a Virtual Chemical Laboratory. Journal of Science Education and Technology,
 2014. 24(1): p. 16-28.

- 537 33. Kienstra, N., et al., Doing Philosophy Effectively: Student Learning in Classroom Teaching. PloS
 538 one, 2015. 10(9): p. e0137590.
- Wang, Y.-F., et al., A new method for computing attention network scores and relationships
 between attention networks. PloS one, 2014. 9(3): p. e89733.
- Worm, B.S., Learning from simple ebooks, online cases or classroom teaching when acquiring *complex knowledge. A randomized controlled trial in respiratory physiology and pulmonology.*PloS one, 2013. 8(9): p. e73336.
- 544 36. Ellaway, R. and K. Masters, *AMEE Guide 32: e-Learning in medical education Part 1: Learning,*545 *teaching and assessment.* Medical teacher, 2008. **30**(5): p. 455-473.
- 546 37. Tallent-Runnels, M.K., et al., *Teaching courses online: A review of the research*. Review of 547 educational research, 2006. **76**(1): p. 93-135.
- 38. Woolf, B.P., Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing
 e-learning. 2010: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Guzmán Guzmán, M.A., *The smart test system: teachers' views about this formative assessment*for mathematics. 2014.
- 40. Enock, P.M., S.G. Hofmann, and R.J. McNally, Attention bias modification training via
 smartphone to reduce social anxiety: a randomized, controlled multi-session experiment.
 Cognitive therapy and research, 2014. 38(2): p. 200-216.
- Terenzini, P.T. and R.D. Reason, *Rethinking Between-College Effects on Student Learning: A New Model to Guide Assessment and Quality Assurance, in Measuring Quality of Undergraduate Education in Japan.* 2014, Springer. p. 59-73.
- Donkor, F., Assessment of learner acceptance and satisfaction with video-based instructional
 materials for teaching practical skills at a distance. The International Review of Research in
 Open and Distributed Learning, 2011. 12(5): p. 74-92.
- 43. Flynn, J., *The effect of mobile phone attachment on an attention task among secondary school and college students.* Bachelors Final Year Project, Dublin Business School, 2014.
- 44. Beatty, I.D. and W.J. Gerace, *Technology-Enhanced Formative Assessment: A Research-Based Pedagogy for Teaching Science with Classroom Response Technology.* Journal of Science
 Education and Technology, 2009. 18(2): p. 146-162.
- Lumley, M.A., et al., *The Relationship of Chronic and Momentary Work Stress to Cardiac Reactivity in Female Managers: Feasibility of a Smart Phone–Assisted Assessment System.*Psychosomatic medicine, 2014. **76**(7): p. 512-518.

569	46.	Frezzo, D.C., J.T. Behrens, and R.J. Mislevy, Design Patterns for Learning and Assessment:
570		Facilitating the Introduction of a Complex Simulation-Based Learning Environment into a
571		Community of Instructors. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 2009. 19(2): p. 105-114.