1 Influence of Exercise Intensity on training-induced Tendon Mechanical Properties changes in
2 Older Individuals
3
4 Jean-Francois Grosset <sup>1,2</sup> , Leigh Breen <sup>3,4</sup> , Claire E. Stewart <sup>5,6</sup> , Katherine E. Burgess <sup>7</sup> ,
5 Onambélé Gladys L. <sup>4,8</sup>
6
7 <sup>1,</sup> CNRS UMR 7338, Biomécanique et Bioingénierie, Université de Technologie de
8Compiègne, 60205 Compiègne cedex, France
9 <sup>2</sup> Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, UFR Santé Médecine et Biologie Humaine, 93017
10Bobigny, France
11 <sup>3</sup> School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham,
12Birmingham, UK.
13 <sup>4</sup> Department of Exercise and Sports Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Crewe,
14 <i>UK</i>
$15^{5}$ Institute for Biomedical Research into Human Movement and Health, Manchester
16Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK
17 <sup>6</sup> School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, UK
187 School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK
19 <sup>8</sup> Institute for Performance Research, Manchester Metropolitan University, Crewe, UK
20
21Running head: Loading magnitude and tendon adaptations in elderly subjects
22
23Corresponding author: Dr Jean-Francois Grosset. CNRS UMR 7338, Biomécanique et
24Bioingénierie, Université de Technologie de Compiègne, 60205 Compiègne cedex, France
25Tel +33 (0) 3 44 23 43 92; Email: jgrosset@utc.fr

#### 1Abstract

2This study compared the effects of low versus high intensity training on tendon properties in 3an elderly population.

4Participants were pair-matched (gender, habitual physical activity, anthropometrics, and 5baseline knee extension strength) and then randomly assigned to low (LowR, ie. ~40% 1RM) 6or high (High R, ie ~80% 1RM) intensity resistance training programmes for 12 weeks,  $3 \times$ 7per week (LowR, n=9, age 74±5 years; HighR, n=8 age 68±6 years).

8Patellar tendon properties (stiffness (K), Young's Modulus (YM), cross sectional area 9(TCSA), and tendon length (TL)) were measured pre and post training using a combination of 10Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), B-mode ultrasonography, dynamometry,

11electromyography and ramped isometric knee extensions.

12With training K showed no significant change in the LowR group while it incremented by 1357.7% in the HighR group (p<0.05). The 51.1% group difference was significant (p<0.05). 14These differences were still apparent when the data was normalized for TCSA and TL, i.e. 15significant increase in YM post-intervention in HighR (p<0.05), but no change in LowR. 16These findings suggest that when prescribing exercise for a mixed genders elderly 17population, exercise intensities of  $\leq$ 40% 1RM may not be sufficient to affect tendon 18properties.

19

20Key words: Elderly; Tendon Properties, Resistance training, Load Intensity;

#### 1Introduction

Over the last twenty years, the number of people in the United Kingdom (UK) aged 3above 65 years has increased by 16%, and this age bracket currently accounts for 17% of the 4total population. These figures are set to rise with the percentage of the UK population aged 5over 65 years estimated to reach 21% in 2026. Aging is associated with a significant decline 6in neuromuscular function and performance, which can lead to the loss of functional mobility 7and independence, resulting in reliance on long-term care services. The increase in the 8elderly population size is set to place a growing burden on these care services. Whilst life 9expectancy has increased, the number of years lived in good health has not risen at the same 10rate .

11 One of the major problems contributing to impaired mobility in aging adults is the 12increasing occurrence of serious falls . Approximately 28-35% of people aged 65 years and 13older, fall each year, leading to injury and subsequent decreases in quality of life, and often 14death. The majority of falls occur due to a sudden loss of balance and/or inherent ageing-15related decline in balance ability. The ability to maintain balance or stability has previously 16been associated with the structural and mechanical properties of tendons in the lower limbs, 17 with stiffer tendon structures associated with increased balance ability. A proposed 18 explanation for this finding is related to the force transmission which is the primary function 19of tendons. Specifically, stiffer tendon structures enable more rapid, and ultimately higher, 20 force transfers than compliant systems and thus, increase the efficiency of the muscle tendon 21complex in correcting the 'catch and throw' actions involved in maintaining postural 22balance . Indeed stiffer tendon structures are shown to improve postural balance 23performance. Tendon properties also have the capacity to affect muscle force output and 24 function, especially in the early stages of muscle contraction, with increased tendon 25compliance associated with detrimental muscle contractile characteristics .

1

1 The previously reported decline in tendon stiffness with aging is a reversible process. 2Indeed, mechanical loading has been shown to influence tendon properties. It has been 3demonstrated previously that high intensity exercise training increases the stiffness of 4tendons . In an elderly population, 14 weeks of high load resistance training (at 80% 5RM) 5has been shown to increase the stiffness of the patella tendon by 65% . Similar findings have 6been reported by others including descriptions on how training modality and/or gender 7impact on the tendon responses . In contrast, it has been observed that lower intensity 8exercise in the form of a 6-month progressive walking program does not influence tendon 9properties in older adults . In younger habitual distance runners (age <65 years), tendon 10stiffness is similar to that in non-running controls . Similarly, six months of training using 11body weight squats (50 repetitions (reps) daily) has been reported to have no significant 12effect on the stiffness of the vastus lateralis tendon , thereby further indicating the possibility 13of loading threshold for tendon stiffness increments.

The majority of exercises prescribed for an elderly population is of a lower intensity 15than that which has produced beneficial adaptations in tendon properties. These 16recommendations are due to age-related co-morbidities such as osteoarthritis, in order to 17prevent older adults lifting heavy weights . However, it is possible that lower intensity 18exercise does not produce the required stimulus for tendon adaptation. Given the aging 19population demographics, and the barriers that exist in this population in terms of exercise 20participation, determining the level of exercise intensity required to induce tendon 21adaptations is of paramount importance in this population. Therefore the purpose of this study 22was to: 1) determine whether a low intensity exercise training program (resistance ~40% 231RM) affects tendon mechanical properties in an elderly population, and 2) compare these 24effects to those of a higher intensity exercise training protocol (resistance ~80% 1RM).

- 25
- 1

2

#### 1 Methods

#### **2Participants**

3 Twenty elderly adults participated in the present study. All participants gave their 4written informed consent to take part in the study. The participants were healthy, non-obese 5(BMI<28), did not take any prescription medication, had no known joint, muscle or tendon 6pathology, and no known history of cardiovascular, inflammatory, or myopathic disease, and 7were community-dwelling, habitually active individuals (as determined using an abbreviated 8version of the Allied Dunbar Activity Survey questionnaire which helps to quantify habitual 9activity in min/week), with no recent history of structured resistance training (i.e., had 10partaken in  $\leq$ 1.0 hour/week of resistance training in the 12 months prior to participating in 11this study). The local Human Ethics Committee approved all experimental procedures.

Of the twenty participants who started the study, seventeen completed the 12-week 13exercise intervention (see table 1). Participants were pair-matched in terms of: gender, 14habitual physical activity level, anthropometrics, and baseline strength. Subjects were 15assigned to either a low (LowR) or a high (HighR) resistance training group. In the LowR 16group, 9 individuals completed the intervention (5 females, 4 males) while 8 individuals 17completed the intervention (5 females 3 males) in the HighR group.

18

#### **19Assessment of Tendon Mechanical Properties**

20 Prior to (no more than 14 days (range 4-14 days)) and following (no more than 7 days 21(range 4-7 days)) the 12-week exercise intervention, the patellar tendon mechanical 22properties were determined using the following procedures:

23

#### 24 Measurement of patellar tendon forces

## 1

1 Torque output during isometric knee extension was determined using a dynamometer 2(Cybex NORM, New York, USA) with data sampled at 2000Hz. The knee was fixed at 90° 3 flexion (full extension =  $0^{\circ}$ ), and hip at 85° (supine =  $0^{\circ}$ ). The centre of rotation of the 4dynamometer lever arm was aligned with the knee joint centre, and straps were fixed across 5the chest, hip, and thigh of the test limb to prevent any extraneous movement. A lever 6attachment cuff was placed on the lower leg at 3cm above the medial malleolus. Three 7maximal isometric knee extension efforts were carried out to ensure tendon pre-conditioning 8prior to the test. Participants were instructed to perform ramped isometric knee extensions to 9maximum over a 4s time period. Two trials of the test were performed with 3 minutes rest 10between the contractions. Tendon force was calculated as  $F_{tend} = (P + P_{antag})/T_{arm}$  where  $F_{tend}$  is 11the force in the patellar tendon, P is the observed knee extensor torque output, P<sub>antag</sub> is the 12antagonistic (hamstring) co-contraction torque, and T<sub>arm</sub> is the patellar tendon moment arm. In 13order to measure T<sub>arm</sub> participants laid on their side with the knee joint of their dominant leg 14held straight in a 0.2-Tesla Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scanner (E-scan, Esaote 15Biomedica, Genoa, Italy). MRI scans were taken in the sagittal plane using a spin-echo TI 16(time to inversion or delay time) half fourier (HF) sequence with a slice thickness of 8mm, 17 inter-slice gap of 0.6mm and the parameters time to repetition/echo time/number of 18excitations (TR/TE/NEX), 420/18/1; field ofview, 160.160mm; matrix, 256.256 pixels. 19

# 20 Estimation of hamstring co-contraction during knee extension - using 21electromyographic activity

The electromyographic activity (EMG) of the long head of the biceps femoris muscle 23(BF) was measured in order to ascertain the level of antagonistic muscle co-contraction 24during the isometric knee extension performances in order to accurately quantify quadriceps 25muscle forces. Assumptions were that BF was representative of its constituent muscle group

1

land the BF EMG relationship with knee flexors torque was linear . Two self-adhesive Ag-2AgCl electrodes 10mm in diameter (Medicotest, Rugmarken, Denmark), were placed in a 3bipolar configuration with a constant inter-electrode distance of 20mm, at a site 4corresponding to the distal one-third of the length, in the mid-line of the belly of the BF. Prior 5to electrode attachment the skin was prepared by shaving, abrading, and cleaning with an 6alcohol-based solution in order to minimise its resistance. The reference electrode was placed 7on the lateral tibial condyle of the test limb. The raw EMG signal was sampled at 2000Hz, 8preamplified, and filtered using high- and low-pass filters set at 10 and 500Hz, respectively 9(Biopac Systems Inc., CA, USA). All EMG and torque signals were displayed in real time in 10Acknowledge software (Biopac systems, Inc., CA, USA) via a computer (Macintosh G4). 11Two maximal isometric knee flexion contractions were carried out to obtain the EMG at 12maximal flexion torque. The root mean square (RMS) EMG activity corresponding to the 13peak torque period was analysed over 50ms epochs and averaged for a 1s period during the 14plateau of peak torque. This has previously been suggested to be acceptable in terms of signal 15to noise ratio. Electromyographic activity of the BF during knee extension was divided by 16the maximal BF flexor EMG, and the maximal flexor torque was then multiplied by this 17value to determine co-contraction torque.

18

#### 19 Measurement of patella tendon elongation.

Elongation of the patella tendon was assessed during the graded isometric knee 21 extensions using a 7.5MHz, 40mm linear array, B-mode ultrasound probe (AU5, Esaote 22Biomedica, Italy) with a depth resolution of 49.3mm. The probe was positioned in the sagittal 23plane over the patella tendon at the apex of the patella. Three efforts graded to maximum 24were recorded. An echo-absorptive marker was placed between the probe and the skin to act 25as a fixed reference from which measures of elongation could be made.

1

1 Ultrasound images were recorded in real time onto mini DV via S-video output and 2captured onto PC at 25Hz using Quintic Biomechanics (9.03 v 11). The ultrasound output 3was synchronized (using an electronic square-wave signal generator) with the force and EMG 4records to allow temporal alignment. Tendon displacements were determined at intervals of 510% of the maximal force (from 0 to 100%) using image J (National Institute of Health, 6Bethesda, MD, USA).

7

8

#### Calculation of tendon properties.

9 The tendon force-elongation relationships were fitted with second order polynomial 10 functions forced through zero. Tendon stiffness (K) measures (in N·mm<sup>-1</sup>) were calculated 11 from the slopes of the tangents at 10% force intervals. In addition, K was also computed 12 from the gradient of a linear fit between 60 and 100%MVC to allow comparison with 13 previous studies that have used this method . Patella tendon resting length (T<sub>L</sub>) and cross-14 sectional area (T<sub>CSA</sub>) were also assessed with the knee joint at 90°. T<sub>L</sub> was determined from 15 sagittal-plane ultrasound images and measured form the inferior pole of the patellar to the 16 superior aspect of the tibial tuberosity. T<sub>CSA</sub> was measured as the average from transverse-17 plane ultrasound images taken at 25, 50, and 75% T<sub>L</sub>. Young's modulus (YM) was calculated 18 as the product of stiffness and the ratio between T<sub>L</sub> to T<sub>CSA</sub>. Tendon strain (%) was calculated 19 as the ratio of tendon elongation to the T<sub>L</sub>. Tendon stress was calculated by dividing force in 20 the tendon by T<sub>CSA</sub>.

21

#### 22Exercise Intervention

#### 23 Determination of one repetition maximum (1RM)

During a familiarisation session 2-7 days prior to the 12-week intervention, the 1RM 25of the participants were determined for all exercises included in the training programme: the

1

1seated leg press (to load the quadriceps, hamstrings, gluteus maximus & minimus muscle 2groups), leg extension (to load the quadriceps muscle group), calf rotator (to load the triceps 3surae muscle group), and gluteal conditioner (to load both the gluteal and hamstring muscles) 4machines (Technogym, Gambettola, Italy). The participants first performed a standardised 5warm-up on the leg press ( $6 \times 50\%$  perceived 1RM;  $4 \times 70\%$  perceived 1RM with 3-min 6recovery). The perceived sub maximal efforts (as determined through both individual 7heart/pulse rate monitors and a 10-point Borg RPE scale) were used to ensure fatigue was not Sinduced in the participants and to reduce the risk of injury by asking them to perform a 9maximal contraction on a 'cold' muscle. After warming up, the load was set at 90% of the 10initially estimated 1RM and increased after each successful lift by 5kg until failure. Each 11participant was given six lifting attempts in order to achieve their 1RM, and a maximum of 12two attempts to lift the weight, once it had been established. The greatest amount of weight 13lifted successfully was recorded to determine the training load. Between successive attempts, 143-min rest periods were allowed. A repetition was valid if the participant used correct form 15 and was able to complete the entire lift in a controlled manner without assistance up to full 16 extension or flexion depending on the exercise. The 1RM of the participants in each of the 4 17exercises performed in the training program were reviewed every 2<sup>nd</sup> week during training. If 181RM had increased, the training load was adjusted accordingly. Additionally, if any 19participants felt that in-between 1RM assessments the training load was not providing 20adequate resistance, the load was increased so they were always lifting at the desired 21percentage of their maximum.

22

23 Training programme.

The training programme was twelve weeks in duration and consisted of one 25supervised gym-based class, and two home-based sessions per week in LowR. In HighR, the

1

2

1programme was two supervised gym based classes, and one home-based session per week. 2All exercise sessions were 1h in duration. Briefly, the supervised exercise classes consisted of 3a 10-15min warm-up (stretching, aerobic, and coordination work), and resistance exercises 4using cable weight machines for the lower limb muscles of interest (detailed above). 5Progression was from 8–11 reps in 2–4 sets at 40% (LowR) or 80% (HighR) 1RM). A cool-6down (i.e., static stretches, Pilates, Tai Chi) was also incorporated at the end of each training 7session (~5min).

8 The unsupervised home-based exercises were similar in design to the supervised 9classes, with the exception that all the resistance work was carried out using resistance bands 10(Thera-band, The Hygenic Corporation, Akron, USA), and a 20-min brisk walk was also 11included. The home-based training lasted ~1h-1h20min. A custom-made exercise booklet 12illustrated, using photographic and/or cartoons, all the home exercises in detail, with a 13demonstration of each exercise carried out at the onset of the study. The exercise band 14protocol used in the home-based programs loaded the same muscle groups as those used for 15the gym equipment, though at much lower intensities (repetitions and numbers of sets were 16matched for the gym and home-based sessions). Home-based exercise was not to be 17performed the day preceding or following the supervised class exercise.

18

#### **19Statistical Analyses**

SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA) was used to run statistical analyses. 21The data obeyed the assumptions of parametricity (as determined using the Shapiro-Wilk and 22Levene's tests). Hence , independent samples Student's *t*-tests were used to test for 23differences between the LowR and HighR groups at baseline. For all reported variables, 24differences in time (pre- and post-intervention, the within factor) and group (LowR and 25HighR, the between factor) were tested using a factorial mixed-design analysis of variance (2

1

 $1 \times 2$  ANOVA), with post hoc Tukey tests applied where a significant main effect was 2 identified. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM (unless otherwise stated). Statistical 3 significance was set at p $\leq 0.05$ .

4

#### 5 **Results**

6 The mean anthropometric and habitual physical activity data for the two groups are 7reported in Table 1. The two intervention groups did not differ significantly in age, habitual 8physical activity levels, height, mass, 1RM measures, or any of the measured patella tendon 9properties (Table 2) at the onset of the study (p>0.05).

#### 10 $\rightarrow$ TABLE 1 NEAR HERE

At baseline, the maximal patella tendon force was also not significantly different 12between the two groups (p>0.05). With training, maximal tendon force was significantly 13increased in HighR group by  $13.6 \pm 6.9\%$  (2428.8  $\pm$  293.7 N and 2725.6  $\pm$  330.4 N 14respectively pre and post-intervention) (p < 0.05), while the increase was  $17.8 \pm 5.5\%$  in the 15LowR group (2346.7  $\pm$  265.8N and 2751.6  $\pm$  330.4N respectively pre and post intervention) 16(p<0.05).

17 As shown in Table 2, with training, anatomical characteristics of the Patella tendon 18( $T_L$  and  $T_{CSA}$ ) were neither significantly altered in the LowR group nor in the HighR group 19(p>0.05).

20

#### $\rightarrow$ TABLE 2 NEAR HERE

Following the completion of the training programme a two-way ANOVA for tendon 22stiffness (K) at 100% MVC revealed a significant 57.7±15.7% increase in the HighR group 23(940.7 ± 91.4N·mm<sup>-1</sup> pre intervention vs. 1461.0 ± 192.7N·mm<sup>-1</sup> post intervention, p < 0.05). 24However, no significant change was observed in the LowR group (953.3 ± 121.0N·mm<sup>-1</sup> pre-25intervention vs. 1016.4 ± 139.4N·mm<sup>-1</sup>, p > 0.05). Where all the force levels at 10% intervals

1

1of MVC were taken into account to compute an average K and YM over the entire force-2elongation relationship, significantly higher mean K values were reported post-intervention in 3the HighR group, but not in the LowR group (See Figure 1; p<0.05).

4  $\rightarrow$  FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE

5 When the maximal tendon stiffness data was normalised for  $T_L$  and  $T_{CSA}$  by 6converting to Young's modulus (YM), a two-way ANOVA revealed a significant 757.9±17.8% increase in YM at 100% MVC in the HighR group after training as compared 8with pre intervention (p<0.01), while no change was found in the LowR group (2.0±8.6%) 9(p>0.05) (Figure 2). The analysis of variance also highlight a significantly higher YM at 10every 10% relative force (10-100% MVC) post-intervention in the HighR than in the LowR 11group (p<0.01).

12

#### $\rightarrow$ FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE

When tendon stiffness and Young's modulus were calculated over the 60-100% MVC 14linear fit, similar findings were observed. The two-way ANOVA shows that following the 15intervention period,  $K_{60-100}$  significantly increased by 59.0±16.3% (p<0.05), and YM 16significantly increased by 58.9±18.1% (p<0.05) in the HighR group, whereas the LowR 17group showed non-significant changes in both K and YM<sub>60-100</sub> (respectively 5.5±9.7% and 180.7±8.8%) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

19

#### 20Discussion

The purpose of this study was: 1) to determine whether a low intensity exercise 22training program (resistance ~40% 1RM; LowR) would affect tendon mechanical properties 23in an elderly population, and 2) to compare these effects to those of a higher intensity 24exercise training protocol (resistance ~80% 1RM; HighR). The present results show that 12 25weeks of LowR had no significant effect on the mechanical properties of the patella tendon in

1the elderly (p>0.05). As expected however, HighR did produce significant increases in 2tendon stiffness and Young's modulus. Consequently, HighR produced significantly greater 3changes in patella tendon stiffness and Young's modulus than LowR.

The results reported in the present study are in line with the previous studies in terms 5of the magnitude of increase in tendon stiffness observed following 'high' intensity resistance 6training protocols. Specifically, the 57.7% increase in patella tendon stiffness at 100% MVC, 7and 59.0% at 60-100% MVC are congruent with previous data from Reeves et al., and 8Onambélé et al.. These previous studies demonstrated an increase in patella tendon stiffness 9in the range of 54-65% when measured at 60-100% MVC following prolonged resistance 10training programmes ( $\geq 12$  weeks) at 80% 1RM. The congruency between findings was 11echoed in the reported changes in Young's modulus, 57.9% reported here at 100% MVC 12versus 69-70% reported previously.

The novelty of the present study's findings lies in the observation that no significant 14difference in patella tendon stiffness and Young's modulus were found when the intensity of 15the resistance training was low (~40% 1RM). Although not previously observed, in elderly 16humans this finding is in line with the theory that there is a threshold value for the exercise-17induced increase in mechanical properties of tendon tissue . This is related to the changes in 18collagen synthesis which are observed following mechanical loading. Thus, the current data 19has important implications for older persons seeking to offset aging-related mal-adaptations, 20given that LowR does not appear to promote similar benefits as HighR, insofar as tendon 21mechanical properties are concerned.

When tendon is subjected to repeated mechanical loading, a number of signals at the 23extracellular matrix may be induced . In order to regulate specific alterations in the 24extracellular matrix's composition, tenocytes sense force-induced deformations in their 25extracellular matrix , triggering specific anabolic and catabolic pathways in response to

1

2

1loading . It is thought that there is a 'set point' beyond which the induction of these 2signals/pathways occurs (which can be regulated by the cells), and when loading is below 3this, the biological response is not initiated . Interestingly, it is thought that once the stimulus 4is beyond this current threshold, the upregulation in collagen synthesis appears to be 5minimally affected by the magnitude of exercise . Given the current findings, it could be 6speculated that LowR was insufficient to meet (or indeed surpass) the threshold required to 7initiate the signaling response required for altering collagen synthesis.

8 Findings from previous studies support the idea that the mechanical loading threshold 9for tendon adaptation was not met with LowR. Kubo et al demonstrated that the 10tendon/apponeurosis properties of the *vastus lateralis* and *medial gastrocnemius* muscles in 11an elderly population were unchanged following a 6 month progressive walking program. 12Kongsgaard *et al* confirmed that in a young male population, high intensity, single leg 13resistance training (70% 1RM) increased patella tendon stiffness in the high intensity 14resistance trained limb. However, in addition these authors also found that performing low 15load resistance training with the contra-lateral leg, produced no significant changes in the 16mechanical properties of the patella tendon in that limb. Not only does this fit with the 17'threshold' concept, it also highlights the need for the mechanical loading to be site specific. 18In the current study the training performed would have induced loading in the patella tendon 19leading to changes in its mechanical properties in the HighR group.

These findings have implications when prescribing exercise interventions in an 21elderly population. Previous studies and guidelines have advocated the use of progressive 22resistance in an elderly population at moderate-to-high intensity levels , with exercises 23performed using 50-80% 1RM producing more credible results than those using lighter 24weights or elastic bands . These recommendations would also be appropriate to gain 25beneficial changes in the mechanical properties of tendon. However, although this 'moderate-

1

2

Ito-high' intensity resistance training is advocated in the literature, very few elderly
2individuals are engaged in this level of exercise. Data from the USA's Centers for Disease
3Control and Prevention indicate that few older persons engage in regular physical activity,
4with only 31% of individuals aged 65 to 74 years reporting participating in 20 minutes of
5moderate physical activity 3 or more days per week. Even with those who were more
6physically active, few would meet the intensity requirements for tendon adaptation.
7Anecdotally, when looking at the provision of exercise classes and exercise regimes for the
8elderly, the majority of these involve little resistance exercise or low-load
9resistance/theraband exercises (similar to the LowR group in this study). In order to get the
10maximum benefits there needs to be alignment between the recommendations and what
11occurs in practice. However, there are many barriers and difficulties in obtaining engagement
12in exercise in an older population, and these might be partially responsible for the disparity
13between recommendations and practice (see Bunn et al. , and Schutzer and Graves for
14reviews).

Further to this point, recent evidence in young adults suggests that low-load resistance flexercise (30% 1RM) performed to volitional failure, promoted an equivalent rise in acute rates of myofibrillar protein synthesis to that seen with traditional high-load resistance lexercise loads lifted to failure . Furthermore, when practiced as part of a regular exercise of nyofibring . It has been suggested that this form of low-load, high-volume resistance exercise is 21an attractive alternative to traditional high-load lifting for older adults, insofar as muscle 22hypertrophy is concerned, due to the reduction in force loading about compromised older 23joints . Based on the current data, it cannot be said whether a greater number of repetitions in 24the LowR group to promote near-fatigue, would have modulated tendon properties similar to 25HighR. However, this seems unlikely given that tendon collagen adaptations are induced

1

2

1through mechanical force which is defined by the load lifted, whereas muscle adaptation is 2brought about through the orderly and maximal recruitment of muscle fibres, a process that 3can be achieved through a wider range of loading stimuli. To date, the impact of low-load 4resistance exercise to failure on acute and chronic muscle/tendon adaptive responses in the 5elderly has not been investigated, but clearly warrants further study given the practical 6relevance of lifting lighter loads for geriatric populations.

Future work should also determine whether this effect may be gender specific since 8 previous work hints to older females responding to resistance training at loads <40% 1RM, 9 and male counterparts at loads >40%. Similarly, the mixed gender in the current study may 10 have masked such an effect. Indeed the small population size in the current study did not 11 allow for a gender effect to be investigated. Moreover, future work could address the 12 interesting finding of similar degree of maximal force at the tendon increases in the presence 13 of both high and low intensity resistance exercise. It may be that differences in habitual 14 physical activity in the two training populations (which was not controlled in our study), or a 15 negative impact of high intensity exercise induced cytokines elevation, in an already inflamed 16 endocrine milieu (as seen in normal ageing), may have modulated the response we have 17 observed in this study.

18

#### 19Conclusion

Low intensity resistance exercise does not result in beneficial tendon adaptations in an 21elderly population. Increases in tendon stiffness and Young's modulus are possible in an 22elderly population with high intensity resistance training, and this increased stiffness has been 23associated with increased balance ability, and hence lower fall risk. In order to gain these 24beneficial adaptations in this population high intensity resistance training would therefore

1

2

1need to be recommended. Further work needs to be conducted to assist with the 2implementation of this recommendation in an elderly population.

### 1 References

LowR Group HighR Group  $n = 9 (5 \text{ }^{\circ}_{+})$  $n = 8 (5 \text{ }^{\circ}_{+})$ 74 ± 5  $68 \pm 6$ Age (yrs) Height (m)  $1.64\pm0.08$  $1.64\pm0.07$ Body mass (kg)  $71.3\pm10.9$  $73.5\pm12.1$ Habitual Physical  $300.0\pm56.7$  $339.8\pm42.7$ Activity (min/week)

1Table 1: Anthropometric data and physical activity of the investigated population in LowR 2and HighR groups. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD.

	LowR Group		HighR Group	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
K60-100% MVC (N/mm)	851 ± 110	$891\pm120$	$828\pm83$	1295 ± 177 *†
YM60-100% MVC (GPa)	$0.48\pm0.04$	$0.48\pm0.04$	$0.56\pm0.07$	$0.84 \pm 0.09$ *†
$K_{\text{mean10-100\%MVC}}$ (N/mm)	700 ± 95	$780 \pm 120$	$680 \pm 63$	1068 ± 137*†
$T_{CSA} (mm^2)$	$78.5 \pm 3.5$	$80.5 \pm 4.0$	$71.8 \pm 5.3$	$72.1 \pm 5.8$
$T_{L}$ (mm)	$45.9 \pm 1.7$	$45.0 \pm 1.5$	$47.3 \pm 1.3$	$47.0 \pm 1.3$

1Table 2: Patella tendon mechanical and structural properties for LowR and HighR, pre and 2post intervention.

4K 60-100% MVC is Patella tendon stiffness calculated as the gradient of a linear fit between 560 and 100%MVC, YM 60-100% MVC is Young's modulus calculated as the gradient of a 6linear fit between 60 and 100%MVC,  $T_{CSA}$  is tendon cross-sectional area, and  $T_L$  is tendon 7resting length. \* indicates significant difference between pre and post values. † indicates 8significant differences between LowR and HighR groups regarding post-intervention data. 9Data are mean ± SEM.





4Figure 1 : Patella tendon stiffness at 100% MVC pre and post training intervention for the 5LowR and HighR groups. A) Every 10% MVC; B) Maximal stiffness. \* indicates significant 6pre to post training differences as well as significant differences between groups at the post 7training phase. Data are mean ± SEM.



2Figure 2: Young's modulus of the patella tendon pre and post training intervention for the 3LowR and HighR groups. \* indicates significant pre to post training differences as well as 4significant differences between groups at the post training phase. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM.