



**UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available.  
Please [let us know](#) how this has helped you. Thanks!**

<b>Title</b>	Feasibility and usability of a virtual reality intervention to enhance men's awareness of testicular disorders (E-MAT)
<b>Author(s)</b>	Saab, Mohamad M.; Landers, Margaret; Cooke, Eoghan; Murphy, David; Hegarty, Josephine
<b>Publication date</b>	2018-10-06
<b>Original citation</b>	Saab, M. M., Landers, M., Cooke, E., Murphy, D. and Hegarty, J. (2018) 'Feasibility and usability of a virtual reality intervention to enhance men's awareness of testicular disorders (E-MAT)', <i>Virtual Reality</i> , doi: 10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x
<b>Type of publication</b>	Article (peer-reviewed)
<b>Link to publisher's version</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x">https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x</a> <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x</a> Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
<b>Rights</b>	© Springer-Verlag London Ltd., part of Springer Nature 2018. This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in <i>Virtual Reality</i> . The final authenticated version is available online at: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0368-x</a>
<b>Embargo information</b>	Access to this article is restricted until 12 months after publication by request of the publisher
<b>Embargo lift date</b>	2019-10-06
<b>Item downloaded from</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10468/7140">http://hdl.handle.net/10468/7140</a>

Downloaded on 2019-12-02T14:25:23Z



# UCC

University College Cork, Ireland  
Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

1 **Feasibility and Usability of a Virtual Reality Intervention to Enhance Men's Awareness of Testicular**  
2 **Disorders (E-MAT)**

3  
4 Mohamad M. Saab <sup>a,\*</sup>

5 Margaret Landers <sup>a</sup>

6 Eoghan Cooke <sup>b</sup>

7 David Murphy <sup>b</sup>

8 Josephine Hegarty <sup>a</sup>

9  
10 <sup>a</sup> School of Nursing and Midwifery, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

11 <sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

12  
13 **\*Corresponding author at:**

14 Mohamad M. Saab

15 School of Nursing and Midwifery, University College Cork, Brookfield Health Sciences

16 Complex, College Road, Cork, Ireland, T12 AK54

17 **Tel.:** +353 21 490 1518

18 **Fax:** +353 21 490 1493

19 **E-mail address:** [msaab@ucc.ie](mailto:msaab@ucc.ie); [mohamad.m.saab@gmail.com](mailto:mohamad.m.saab@gmail.com)

20 **ORCID ID:** [0000-0002-7277-6268](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7277-6268)

21  
22  
23  
24 **Acknowledgments:** This study was supported by a PhD scholarship granted by the School of Nursing and  
25 Midwifery, University College Cork.



1 **1. Introduction**

2 Globally, a significant health disparity exists between the two genders due to various factors, including  
3 men’s disengagement with health services and the underrepresentation of men in health promotion research (Baker  
4 et al. 2014; Robinson and Robertson 2010). While a number of male-specific diseases do not get enough attention  
5 in the literature on health promotion, disorders of the testes are especially seldom discussed.

6 Testicular cancer (TC) predominantly affects men who are younger than 50 (Hashibe et al. 2016). The  
7 incidence of TC doubled over the past five decades and is highest in Western Europe, New Zealand, Australia,  
8 and the United States (Shanmugalingam et al. 2013). Surgical resection of the affected testis (i.e. orchiectomy) is  
9 the primary curative treatment for TC and is often followed by radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy (National  
10 Cancer Institute 2016). TC treatment is known to cause long-term complications including cardiovascular  
11 diseases, pulmonary toxicity, and infertility (Saab et al. 2014; Sprauten et al. 2012).

12 Benign testicular diseases are more prevalent than TC. One in 889 males is expected to develop testicular  
13 torsion by the age of 25 years (Shteynshlyuger and Yu 2013). Epididymo-orchitis, often sexually transmitted  
14 among men younger than 50, is the most common cause of testicular enlargement and pain. This disorder  
15 accounted for 1 in 144 outpatient visits among men aged 18 to 50 years in the United States (Trojian et al. 2009).  
16 Like TC, testicular torsion and epididymo-orchitis can cause complications ranging from minor discomfort to  
17 incapacitating pain, testicular ischemia, sepsis, and infertility (Bayne et al. 2017). Varicocele is another benign  
18 testicular disease characterized by a dilation of the veins in the testes (Wampler and Llanes 2010). This disease  
19 affects 10 to 20% of adolescents and young adults (Gordhan and Sadeghi-Nejad 2015). Hydrocele and  
20 spermatocele are rare benign testicular conditions that involve fluid collection in the testes (Rioja et al. 2011).  
21 Varicocele, hydrocele, and spermatocele are often asymptomatic and discovered accidentally (Saab et al. 2016a).

22 Despite reporting being aware of TC, men’s knowledge of TC risk factors, symptoms, and treatment  
23 were lacking (Kennett et al. 2014; Powe et al. 2007; Saab et al. 2017a). As for non-malignant testicular diseases,  
24 men’s awareness of testicular torsion was deficient in a study by Clark et al. (2011). These findings are echoed in  
25 a study on breast cancer awareness, whereby 88% of 1,255 women reported that they had heard of breast self-  
26 examination, yet 87% reported not performing this practice because they did not know how to examine their  
27 breasts (Yoo et al. 2012).

28 Interventions promoting awareness of TC and testicular self-examination exist. Examples include self-  
29 reflection and briefing (Kedzierewicz et al. 2011), print media (Evans et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2012; McCullagh

1 et al. 2005; Umeh and Chadwick 2016), and awareness sessions (Shallwani et al. 2010). In contrast, no  
2 interventions exist to promote awareness of benign testicular disorders (Saab et al. 2016a). This requires research  
3 using targeted interventions to enhance awareness of testicular diseases, whilst using strategies that appeal to  
4 younger men (Saab et al. 2017b).

5 In this paper, we describe the development, feasibility, and usability of a virtual reality (VR) intervention  
6 designed to enhance men's awareness of testicular disorders (E-MAT).

## 7 **2. Methods**

### 8 **2.1 Study design**

9 A feasibility study was conducted to determine whether the E-MAT intervention can work, does work,  
10 and will work prior to conducting a pilot or definitive study (Orsmond and Cohn 2015; Whitehead et al. 2014). A  
11 usability study, on the other hand, helped evaluate the appropriateness of VR to the purpose of the E-MAT  
12 intervention (Brooke 1996, 2013).

### 13 **2.2 The E-MAT intervention**

14 The development, feasibility, and usability testing of the E-MAT intervention were guided by the  
15 Medical Research Council (MRC) framework for complex intervention development and testing (Craig et al.  
16 2013). The evidence base was identified through conducting one integrative and two systematic reviews of the  
17 literature on men's awareness of testicular disorders (Saab et al. 2016 a, b, c), as well as a qualitative study  
18 exploring men's (n=29) awareness of testicular disorders, help seeking intentions for testicular symptoms, and  
19 preferred learning strategies in relation to testicular disorders (Saab et al. 2017a, b). Furthermore, the Preconscious  
20 Awareness to Action Framework was developed to underpin E-MAT (Fig1) (Saab et al. 2018b). The steps  
21 underpinning the development of E-MAT are summarized in Table 1.

22 E-MAT was designed using Unreal Engine 4.12 (UE4), a freely available game engine that supports the  
23 development of numerous platforms. The intervention was developed for use with a VR headset and controller  
24 with haptic feedback. A professionally recorded voiceover was delivered via headphones in order to provide  
25 prompts and scientific facts and help transition between the various levels. The script that guided the voiceover  
26 scored 83.4 on the Flesch-Kincaid test, indicating that it was suitable for sixth Graders and written using  
27 conversational English (Flesch 1981).

28 E-MAT comprised three level. The first level involved a 3D space with two walnuts that were used to  
29 represent the testes (Fig2). The user was required to move around the walnuts using the controller, while the

1 voiceover provided information about the normal size and shape of the testes. A lump, swelling, and pain started  
2 appearing consecutively and were accompanied with lighthearted responses from the voiceover such as: “that  
3 doesn’t look good! that wasn’t supposed to be there! that escalated quickly! and so on.” Participants were required  
4 to walk towards the walnuts while using the controller and to “touch” each abnormality with the hand avatar,  
5 which triggered a humorous response from the voiceover and haptic feedback from the controller.

6 The second level involved a 3D model of a real testis with structures such as the spermatic cord and  
7 epididymis. During this level, the voiceover linked some of the abnormalities experienced in the first level to  
8 testicular structures. For example, a purple lump appeared on the surface of the testis to indicate a cancerous  
9 growth.

10 During the third level, the key messages from the intervention were reiterated, namely the importance of  
11 knowing one’s own testes, performing self-examination, and seeking timely medical attention for testicular  
12 symptoms.

### 13 **2.3 Participants and procedures**

14 The study was granted ethical approval by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee. Non-probability  
15 sampling was used to recruit men, residing in the Republic of Ireland, and aged between 18 and 50 years. Men  
16 with a history of VR sickness were not eligible for inclusion. VR sickness is characterized by ocular (e.g. eyestrain,  
17 blurred vision, and pain) and/or non-ocular (e.g. fatigue, drowsiness, dizziness, and nausea) symptoms that rarely  
18 occur among VR users (Fernandes and Feiner 2016).

19 An e-mail was circulated to university students and staff inviting them to take part in the study and  
20 encouraging them to invite other men to participate. Data were collected in a VR lab. Participants were provided  
21 with a study information leaflet. The use of the VR headset and controller was explained and participants were  
22 notified about the risk of VR sickness. After providing written informed consent, participants were exposed to a  
23 short demonstration in order to become familiar with the technology. After which, they were exposed to the E-  
24 MAT intervention. Two researchers were present during testing to ensure that the participants did not experience  
25 any technical difficulties and did not develop VR sickness. Participants completed a questionnaire immediately  
26 following the intervention.

## 1 **2.4 Instruments**

2 Data were collected using a sociodemographic questionnaire with seven items, a feasibility scale, a  
3 satisfaction item, the System Usability Scale (SUS) (Brooke 1996, 2013), and open-ended questions that explored  
4 what elements of the intervention worked/did not work.

5 The feasibility of the technology, the representation of the testes, and the appropriateness of the  
6 intervention for men from different sociodemographic backgrounds were measured using a 21-item questionnaire.  
7 The level of agreement for each item was assessed on a 5-point Likert scale. Scores ranged between 1 and 5, with  
8 higher scores indicating greater feasibility. Cronbach's alpha was 0.9, indicating excellent reliability (Hinton et  
9 al. 2004).

10 Participants' overall level of satisfaction with the intervention was measured using a single item and  
11 assessed on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "Extremely Dissatisfied" to "Extremely Satisfied." Scores ranged  
12 between 1 and 5, with higher scores indicating greater satisfaction. In addition, findings in relation to satisfaction  
13 were captured in the responses to the open-ended questions.

14 The 10-item valid and reliable SUS was used to assess the usability of the technology in terms of  
15 ease/complexity, functions, and level of confidence. The level of agreement for each item was assessed on a 5-  
16 point Likert. The scores per item were added and ranged between 0 and 4. The sum of scores was then multiplied  
17 by 2.5 in order to obtain an overall score ranging between 0 and 100 (Brooke 1996, 2013). Cronbach's alpha was  
18 0.8, indicating high reliability (Hinton et al. 2004).

## 19 **2.5 Data Analysis**

20 Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sample characteristics and study variables. These included  
21 frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, and measures of central tendency (mean and median), and  
22 dispersion (minimum, maximum, standard deviation [SD], and interquartile range [IQR]) for continuous variables.  
23 Content analysis was used to analyse findings from open-ended questions (Elo and Kyngäs 2008).

## 24 **3. Results**

25 A total of 15 men participated in this study. Participants were aged between 19 and 31 years (Mean 26,  
26 SD 3.8). On average, it took the participants five to six minutes to complete the intervention. The  
27 sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 2.

### 1 **3.1 Feasibility**

2 The majority of participants (n=12) agreed that the headset was comfortable and none found the elements  
3 of the intervention confusing. All 15 participants agreed that the controller was easy to use; the testes were well  
4 represented using walnuts; testicular pain, swelling, and lumps were well represented; and the verbal instructions  
5 provided by the voiceover were clear. In addition, 14 participants agreed that the elements of the intervention  
6 were humorous; the font, color, and size of the written words were clear; the 3D testis in the second level was  
7 well represented; and the scientific facts provided by the voiceover were easy to understand.

8 As for the appropriateness of the intervention, 14 participants believed that the intervention would work  
9 with men aged 18 to 50 years and men with different sexual orientations. The majority of the participants (n=10)  
10 agreed that the intervention would work with men from different educational backgrounds; the remainder were  
11 either neutral (n=4) or in disagreement (n=1). All 15 participants found the intervention to be applicable to real  
12 life and 14 believed that they have learned something valuable. The mean score on the feasibility scale was 4.5  
13 (SD 0.3, range 4-4.9). Findings from the feasibility scale are presented in Table 3.

### 14 **3.2 Satisfaction**

15 All 15 participants were either “Satisfied” or “Extremely Satisfied” with the intervention (Median 5, IQR  
16 4 to 5). One participant stated that he “*did not expect to be so engaged on a topic so technical*” and that “*technical*  
17 *terms and definitions were well-tempered to suit a non-medical audience.*” Also, “*the use of vibrations to indicate*  
18 *problems was very effective*” for one participant. Another participant believed that the intervention was “*very*  
19 *enjoyable, relatable, and fun.*” One participant stated that he was “*impressed with the information provided in*  
20 *such a short space of time*” and another stated that he was “*very impressed by the overall aesthetic packaging of*  
21 *the intervention.*”

### 22 **3.3 Usability**

23 Overall, 14 participants agreed that they would like to use the system frequently. All 15 participants  
24 believed that the system was easy to use, found that the different functions within the system were well integrated,  
25 believed that people would learn how to use the system quickly, and reported feeling very confident using the  
26 system. Participants did not think that the system was complex; that they needed assistance to use VR; that there  
27 was inconsistency in the system; that the system was cumbersome; and that they needed to learn many things  
28 before using the system. The overall score on the SUS was 91.7. Findings from the SUS are presented in Table 4.



1 **3.4 Open-Ended Questions**

2           When asked what elements of the intervention worked for them, participants identified the following:  
3 the interactive aspect of the intervention; the information provided by the voiceover in terms of clarity,  
4 conciseness, and humor; the use of walnuts to represent the testes; the representation of testicular symptoms; being  
5 able to move around the walnuts and view them from different angles; haptic feedback from the controller; the  
6 hand avatar used to find changes; and the ease of control and immersion in the VR experience.

7           As for the elements that did not work, some participants reported that the finer details were hard to see  
8 and suggested a prior explanation of the use of sound to indicate direction. One participant suggested that the  
9 voiceover could adopt a stronger tone and another reported that the icons used in the final level were difficult to  
10 read. One participant was unsure whether the scientific facts in relation to the epididymis were clearly explained.

11           Overall, participants stated that they would not change anything in the intervention as they “*really*  
12 *enjoyed it.*” One participant stated that he would improve the graphical quality of the “*inside look.*” Others  
13 suggested placing the walnuts in the bathroom or shower, elongating the spermatic cord, seeing more of the testis,  
14 and having more textual information.

15 **4. Discussion**

16           Overall, participants were positive that the intervention would work in terms of layout and content and  
17 were comfortable using the technology. These findings were echoed in a number of feasibility studies conducted  
18 in different health contexts. For example, Oliveira et al. conducted a study to discuss the development and  
19 feasibility of a VR intervention aimed at assessing cognitive functioning in older adults (Oliveira et al. 2016). The  
20 intervention was found to be feasible and appropriate for older adults, including those who were not familiar with  
21 the technology. Another example is a study conducted to assess the feasibility of a VR interface in promoting  
22 motor rehabilitation (Foreman and Engsberg 2017). Overall, participants perceived the interface as motivating,  
23 engaging, and safe.

24           The usability of the E-MAT intervention was established using the valid and reliable SUS. Likewise, the  
25 usability of a number of VR-based interventions has been established using the same instrument. For instance,  
26 Dhiman et al. used the SUS to evaluate the usability of a VR-based rehabilitation intervention with audio, visual,  
27 and haptic feedbacks (Dhiman et al. 2016). The intervention was perceived as user-friendly and potentially  
28 effective in the rehabilitation of patients with upper limb movement disorders. Similarly, high SUS scores have  
29 been reported in a randomized controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness of a VR-based tele-rehabilitation

1 programme among patients with stroke (Lloréns et al. 2015). Of note, VR is gaining popularity in mental health  
2 research and VR exposure therapy is being increasingly used in the management of phobias including fear of  
3 spiders (Miloff et al. 2016), and fear of flying (Cardoş et al. 2017). On the other hand, the use of VR to promote  
4 men's health is lacking (Saab et al. 2016a, b).

5 Participants in the present study highlighted the feasibility of using visual, auditory, and haptic feedbacks  
6 in raising testicular awareness. Similar findings have been reported in a review of studies on multimodal feedbacks  
7 (Sigrist et al. 2013), whereby visual, auditory, and haptic feedbacks were perceived as most applicable to real life.  
8 This was reflected in a number of contexts such as robot-assisted surgery (van der Meijden and Schijven 2009);  
9 medical education (Lemole et al. 2007); neuro-rehabilitation (Gomez-Rodriguez et al. 2011); management of  
10 chronic illnesses (Oosterom-Calo and López 2016); social cognition training (Kandalaft et al. 2013); and cardiac  
11 life support training (Vankipuram et al. 2014).

12 The use of light humor was highlighted as an effective aspect of the E-MAT intervention. The use of  
13 humor is not uncommon in studies involving men. An example is a study conducted among prostate cancer  
14 survivors, whereby humor was instrumental in promoting inclusivity and discussions about sexual health (Olliffe  
15 et al. 2009). Moreover, a humorous public service announcement was effective in increasing men's intentions to  
16 perform testicular self-examination (Nabi 2016). However, a review of best practice in teaching men to perform  
17 testicular self-examination found that "cheeky humor" and puns were perceived as offensive and proved  
18 ineffective in increasing testicular self-examination (Thornton 2015). This was reflected in the qualitative study  
19 that helped us develop E-MAT, whereby men recommended using light humor and lighthearted messages rather  
20 than humor that can be offensive to men in general and survivors of testicular disorders in particular (Saab et al.  
21 2017b).

22 In the present study, we hypothesized that a VR-based intervention was feasible for men in general and  
23 younger men in particular. This was based on findings from the qualitative study that underpinned the  
24 development of the E-MAT intervention, whereby men recommended moving away from traditional strategies,  
25 such as print media, and stressed the importance of innovative and stimulating strategies (Saab et al. 2017b). In  
26 fact, 14 of the 15 participants in this study agreed that the intervention was suited for men aged 18 to 50 years.  
27 These findings were echoed in a study that compared the use of technology in education between male and female  
28 students (He and Freeman 2010). It was found that males were more computer-oriented and reported greater

1 computer self-efficacy than females. Evidence also suggests that younger men are more likely than older men to  
2 engage with health information delivered using technological means (Jensen et al. 2010).

3 Despite using a demonstration to familiarize men with the technology, writing the voiceover script using  
4 a language suited for sixth Graders, and ensuring sociocultural neutrality, some participants did not agree that the  
5 intervention would work with men from different educational backgrounds. In fact, a systematic review of 31  
6 studies exploring men's information-seeking behaviors in relation to cancer prevention found that men with low  
7 literacy levels were unlikely to engage with interventions involving technology (Saab et al. 2018a).

8 A number of limitations are worthy of note. A key limitation is the risk of VR sickness, which usually  
9 resolves upon removing the VR headset. For this reason, a full explanation regarding the technology was offered  
10 to the participants who were asked to report any discomfort. Another limitation is the cost of equipment; while  
11 cheaper alternatives to VR are available, the technology itself remains relatively expensive. Despite using a gender  
12 and socio-culturally neutral tone, the intervention might not be applicable to men from different sociodemographic  
13 backgrounds. This was reflected in the fact that a third of the participants did not agree that the intervention was  
14 suitable for men with different educational backgrounds. In addition, diseases such as varicocele, hydrocele, and  
15 spermatocele were not covered in E-MAT; therefore, it is possible to include these benign testicular diseases in  
16 future iterations of the E-MAT intervention.

17 From a methodological perspective, the generalizability of findings is compromised due to the small  
18 sample size. Of note, there is no gold standard for sample size calculation in feasibility studies and a 15-person  
19 sample size for feasibility and usability testing is regarded as appropriate (Orsmond and Cohn 2015). Moreover,  
20 participants were recruited using non-probability sampling, which hinders sample representativeness, increases  
21 the risk of self-selection bias, and compromises the generalizability of findings. As for data collection instruments,  
22 only a single item was used to measure the participants' overall satisfaction with the intervention. This could be  
23 improved by using other elements of satisfaction identified in a meta-analysis of the empirical evidence on  
24 customer satisfaction (Szymanski and Henard 2001). These include: expectations, disconfirmation of  
25 expectations, performance, affect, and equity. Moreover, Verhagan et al. (2011) introduced an integrated model  
26 of experiential value to assess user satisfaction with virtual worlds. Key elements of this model include: escapism  
27 (escaping reality); entertainment value (perception of the system as fun and pleasant); economic value (buyers'  
28 net gain from purchasing the system); and ease of use (navigating the system freely and effortlessly) (Verhagan  
29 et al. 2011).

1           In this paper, we discussed the development, feasibility, and usability of the E-MAT intervention, which  
2 was designed as an educational experience and delivered via a VR headset, controller, and over-ear headphones.  
3 The three levels of this intervention were aimed at familiarizing men with the normal testes and common testicular  
4 symptoms and disorders, highlighting the importance of feeling one’s own testes, and increasing men’s ability to  
5 differentiate between normal and abnormal testicular findings. The intervention also stressed the importance of  
6 early help seeking for testicular symptoms. The feasibility and usability of the intervention were established with  
7 15 men who were satisfied with the intervention and perceived it as user-friendly and interactive and enjoyed its  
8 different elements including the voiceover, haptic feedback, and light humor.

9           The E-MAT intervention can be used as a public educational tool by making it available on public  
10 platforms that men can access at their own leisure. Examples include websites of national and international cancer  
11 organizations, organizations involved in health promotion, and high schools and universities. It is also important  
12 to disseminate the E-MAT intervention among men who are at risk for health inequities. This could be achieved  
13 by making the intervention available in settings frequented by these men, such as men’s sheds, sports clubs, and  
14 youth organizations.

15           VR is increasingly gaining popularity among youths and the number of VR users is expected to increase  
16 by 147% between 2016 and 2021 (Mind Commerce 2016). The fast-paced growth of this technology has led to a  
17 significant reduction in the cost of access to VR headsets. Furthermore, it is possible to disperse the intervention  
18 as a traditional, non-VR experience that would run in a normal desktop environment. This format is best suited  
19 for those who do not have access to VR and those who suffer from VR sickness. From a research perspective, we  
20 recommend gathering more data regarding the key elements that explain the relative success of E-MAT to inform  
21 the design of new systems for related health topics such as sexually transmitted infections and prostate cancer.  
22 We also recommend testing the effectiveness of E-MAT and comparing it to other media such as audio-visual  
23 and/or written information without the interactive VR element.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **Conflict of interest:** None to declare

2 **Ethical approval:** Granted by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee, University College Cork, Ireland, ECM

3 4 (ss) 15/11/16.

4 **Figure captions:**

5 Fig1. The Preconscious Awareness to Action Framework (Saab et al. 2018b).

6 Fig2. The 3D models used to represent the testes in the first level of the intervention.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 **References**

- 2 Baker P, Dworkin SL, Tong S, Banks I et al. (2014) The men's health gap: Men must be included in the global  
3 health equity agenda. *Bull World Health* 92: 618-620.
- 4 Bayne CE, Villanueva J, Davis TD et al. (2017) Factors associated with delayed presentation and misdiagnosis of  
5 testicular torsion: A case-control study. *J Pediatr* 186:200-204.
- 6 Brooke J (1996) SUS: A “quick and dirty” usability scale. In: Jordan PW, Thomas B, Weerdmeester BA,  
7 McClelland AL (eds) *Usability evaluation in industry*. Taylor and Francis, London.
- 8 Brooke J (2013) SUS: a retrospective. *JUS* 8:29-40.
- 9 Brown CG, Patrician PA, Brosch LR (2012) Increasing testicular self-examination in active duty soldiers: An  
10 intervention study. *Medsurg Nurs* 21:97-102.
- 11 Cardoso RAI, David OA, David DO (2017) Virtual reality exposure therapy in flight anxiety: A quantitative meta-  
12 analysis. *Comput Hum Behav* 72:371-380.
- 13 Clark K, JaNeille S, Gerry H (2011) Testicular torsion: A case study and evaluation of young men’s knowledge  
14 about testicular pain. *W V Med J* 107:35-37.
- 15 Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S et al (2013) Developing and evaluating complex interventions: The new Medical  
16 Research Council guidance. *Int J Nurs Stud* 50:587-592.
- 17 Dhiman A, Solanki D, Bhasin A et al (2016) Design of adaptive haptic-enabled virtual reality based system for  
18 upper limb movement disorders: A usability study. *IEEE Xplore*. DOI:10.1109/BIOROB.2016.7523803
- 19 Elo S, Kyngäs H (2008) The qualitative content analysis process. *J Adv Nurs* 62:107-115.
- 20 Evans RE, Beeken RJ, Steptoe A, Wardle J (2012) Cancer information and anxiety: Applying the Extended  
21 Parallel Process Model. *J Health Psychol* 17:579-589.
- 22 Fernandes AS, Feiner SK (2016) Combating VR sickness through subtle dynamic field-of-view modification.  
23 *IEEE Xplore*. DOI:10.1109/3DUI.2016.7460053
- 24 Flesch RF (1981) *How to write plain English*. Barnes & Noble, New York.
- 25 Foreman M, Engsberg JR (2017) Feasibility of a virtual reality interface for shaping compensation during motor  
26 rehabilitation. *Am J Occup Ther* 71. DOI:10.5014/ajot.2017.71S1-PO314
- 27 Gomez-Rodriguez M, Peters J, Hill J et al (2011) Closing the sensorimotor loop: Haptic feedback facilitates  
28 decoding of motor imagery. *J Neur Eng* 8:1-12.
- 29 Gordhan CG, Sadeghi-Nejad H (2015) Scrotal pain: Evaluation and management. *Korean Journal of Urology* 5:  
30 3-11.

1 Hashibe M, Abdelaziz S, Al-Temimi M et al. (2016) Long-term health effects among testicular cancer survivors.  
2 J Cancer Surviv 10:1051-1057.

3 He J, Freeman LA. Are men more technology-oriented than women? The role of gender on the development of  
4 general computer self-efficacy of college students. Journal of Information Systems Education 2010; 21:203-  
5 212.

6 Hinton PR, Brownlow C, McMurray I, Cozens B (2004) SPSS Explained. Routledge, London and New York.

7 Jensen JD, King AJ, Davis LA, Guntzviller LM. Utilization of Internet technology by low-income adults: The  
8 role of health literacy, health numeracy, and computer assistance. J Aging Health 2010; 22:804-826.

9 Kandalaft MR, Didehbani N, Krawczyk DC et al (2013) Virtual reality social cognition training for young adults  
10 with high-functioning autism. J Autism Dev Disord 43:34-44.

11 Kedzierewicz R, Chargari C, Le Moulec S et al (2011) Knowledge and screening of testicular cancer in the French  
12 armed forces: A prospective study. Mil Med 176:1188-1192.

13 Kennett A, Shaw JW, Woolley PD (2014) Testicular self-examination amongst genitourinary medicine clinic  
14 attendees. Int J STD AIDS 25:844-850.

15 Lemole GM, Banerjee PP, Luciano C et al (2007) Virtual reality in neurosurgical education: Part-task  
16 ventriculostomy simulation with dynamic visual and haptic feedback. Neurosurgery 61:142-149.

17 Lloréns R, Noé E, Colomer C, Alcañiz M (2015) Effectiveness, usability, and cost-benefit of a virtual reality-  
18 based telerehabilitation program for balance recovery after stroke: A randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys  
19 Med Rehabil 96:418-425.

20 McCullagh J, Lewis G, Warlow C (2005) Promoting awareness and practice of testicular self-examination. Nurs  
21 Stand 19:41-49.

22 Miloff A, Lindner P, Hamilton W (2016) Single-session gamified virtual reality exposure therapy for spider  
23 phobia vs. traditional exposure therapy: Study protocol for a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial.  
24 BioMed Central 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-016-1171-1>

25 Mind Commerce (2016). Virtual reality market outlook: Technology, solutions, content, and applications 2016 –  
26 2021.  
27 [http://www.mindcommerce.com/virtual\\_reality\\_market\\_outlook\\_technology\\_solutions\\_content\\_and\\_applications\\_2016\\_2021.php](http://www.mindcommerce.com/virtual_reality_market_outlook_technology_solutions_content_and_applications_2016_2021.php). 2016. Accessed February 22, 2018.

28  
29 Nabi RL. Laughing in the face of fear (of disease detection): Using humor to promote cancer self-examination  
30 behaviour. Health Communication 2016; 31:873-883.

1 National Cancer Institute (2016). SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Testis Cancer.  
2 <https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/testis.html>. 2016. Accessed February 22, 2018.

3 Oliffe JL, Ogrodniczuk J, Bottorff JL et al (2009) Connecting humor, health, and masculinities at prostate cancer  
4 support groups. *Psychooncology* 18:916-926.

5 Oliveira CR, Lopes Filho BJ, Sugarman MA et al (2016) Development and feasibility of a virtual reality task for  
6 the cognitive assessment of older adults: The ECO-VR. *Span J Psychol* 19:E95.

7 Oosterom-Calo R, López R (2016) Teaching older adults with multiple chronic conditions to use a tablet and  
8 patient application for health management. *International Conference on Human Aspects of IT for the Aged*  
9 *Population* 342-351.

10 Orsmond GI, Cohn ES (2015) The distinctive features of a feasibility study: Objectives and guiding questions.  
11 *OTJR (Thorofare N J)* 35:169-177.

12 Powe BD, Ross L, Wilkerson D et al (2007) Testicular cancer among African American college men: Knowledge,  
13 perceived risk, and perceptions of cancer fatalism. *Am J Mens Health* 1:73-80.

14 Rioja J, Sánchez-Margallo FM, Usón J, Rioja LA (2011) Adult hydrocele and spermatocele. *BJU International*  
15 107: 1852-1864.

16 Robinson M, Robertson S (2010) Young men's health promotion and new information communication  
17 technologies: Illuminating the issues and research agendas. *Health Promot Int* 25:363-370.

18 Saab M, Noureddine S, Huijter HAS, Dejong J (2014) Surviving testicular cancer: The Lebanese lived experience.  
19 *Nurs Res* 63:203-210.

20 Saab MM, Landers M, Hegarty J (2016a) Males' awareness of benign testicular disorders: An integrative review.  
21 *Am J Mens Health* 18. DOI:10.1177/1557988315626508

22 Saab MM, Landers M, Hegarty J (2016b) Promoting testicular cancer awareness and screening: A systematic  
23 review of interventions. *Cancer Nurs* 39:473-487.

24 Saab MM, Landers M, Hegarty J (2016c) Testicular cancer awareness and screening practices: A systematic  
25 review. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 43:E8-E23.

26 Saab MM, Landers M, Hegarty J (2017b) Exploring men's preferred strategies for learning about testicular  
27 disorders inclusive of testicular cancer: A qualitative descriptive study. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 26: 27-35.

28 Saab MM, Landers M, Hegarty J (2018b) The preconscious awareness to action framework: An application to  
29 promote testicular awareness. *Nurs Res* 67:169-176.



- 1 Saab MM, Landers M, Hegarty J. (2017a) Exploring awareness and help-seeking intentions for testicular  
2 symptoms among heterosexual, gay, and bisexual men in Ireland: A qualitative descriptive study. *Int J Nurs*  
3 *Stud* 67:41-50.
- 4 Saab MM, Reidy M, Hegarty J et al (2018b) Men's information-seeking behaviour regarding cancer risk and  
5 screening: A meta-narrative systematic review. *Psychooncology* 27:410-419.
- 6 Shallwani K, Ramji R, Ali TS, Khuwaja AK (2010) Self examination for breast and testicular cancers: A  
7 community-based intervention study. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 11:383-386.
- 8 Shanmugalingam T, Soutati A, Chowdhury S et al. (2013) Global incidence and outcome of testicular cancer.  
9 *Clin Epidemiol* 17:417-427.
- 10 Shteynshlyuger A, Yu J (2013) Familial testicular torsion: A meta analysis suggests inheritance. *J Pediatr Urol*  
11 9:683-690.
- 12 Sigrist R, Rauter G, Riener R, Wolf P (2013) Augmented visual, auditory, haptic, and multimodal feedback in  
13 motor learning: A review. *Psychon Bull Rev* 20:21-53.
- 14 Sprauten M, Darrah TH, Peterson DR et al. (2012) Impact of long-term serum platinum concentrations on neuro-  
15 and ototoxicity in cisplatin-treated survivors of testicular cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 20:300-307.
- 16 Szymanski DM, Henard DH (2001) Customer satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the empirical evidence. *J Acad*  
17 *Mark Sci* 29:16-35.
- 18 Thornton CP (2015) Best practice in teaching male adolescents and young men to perform testicular self-  
19 examinations: A review. *J Pediatr Health Care* 30:518-527.
- 20 Trojian TH, Lishnak TS, Heiman D (2009) Epididymitis and orchitis: An overview. *Am Fam Physician* 79:583-  
21 587.
- 22 Umeh K, Chadwick R (2016) Early detection of testicular cancer: Revisiting the role of self-efficacy in testicular  
23 self-examination among young asymptomatic males. *J Behav Med* 39:151-160.
- 24 van der Meijden OAJ, Schijven MP (2009) The value of haptic feedback in conventional and robot-assisted  
25 minimal invasive surgery and virtual reality training: A current review. *Surg Endosc* 23:1180-1190.
- 26 Vankipuram A, Khanal P, Ashby A et al (2014) Design and development of a virtual reality simulator for advanced  
27 cardiac life support training. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics* 18:1478-1484.
- 28 Verhagen T, Feldberg F, van den Hooff B, Meents S, Merikivi J (2011) Satisfaction with virtual worlds: An  
29 integrated model of experiential value. *Information & Management* 6: 201-207.
- 30 Wampler SM, Llanes M (2010) Common scrotal and testicular problems. *Prim Care* 37: 613-626.

- 1 Whitehead AL, Sully BG, Campbell MJ (2014) Pilot and feasibility studies: Is there a difference from each other
- 2 and from a randomised controlled trial? *Contemp Clin Trials* 38:130-133.
- 3 Yoo BN, Choi KS, Jung KW, Jun JK (2012) Awareness and practice of breast self-examination among Korean
- 4 women: Results from a nationwide survey. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 13:123-125.