

## RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This author's accepted manuscript may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving](#).

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Epidemiology of road traffic accidents in cats attending emergency-care practices in the UK

AUTHORS: M. Conroy, D. O'Neill, A. Boag, D. Church, D. Brodbelt

JOURNAL: Journal of Small Animal Practice

PUBLISHER: Wiley

PUBLICATION DATE: 1 November 2018

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsap.12941>

1 Objectives: To estimate the incidence proportion of road traffic accidents in cats attending  
2 emergency out-of-hours clinics in the UK, identify major risk factors for road traffic accident  
3 occurrence and for survival to discharge.

4  
5 Methods: A retrospective study of a cohort of 33053 cats in the VetCompass database attending  
6 emergency-care practice between 1/1/2012 – 15/2/2014. Incidence proportion was calculated and  
7 logistic regression was used to identify risk factors for road traffic accident and survival to discharge  
8 following road traffic accident.

9  
10 Results: Incidence proportion was estimated at 4.2% (95% confidence interval 4.0% - 4.4%). Cats  
11 aged 6 months – 2 years were at increased odds of road traffic accident, as were male cats and  
12 crossbred cats. Odds of road traffic accident was highest in the autumn. Spinal injury, abdominal  
13 injury and increasing count of injuries were associated with increased odds of death.

14  
15 Impact: Road traffic accident is a frequent presentation in emergency-care practice. Identification of  
16 risk factors for death within the first 24 hours following a road traffic accident can aid veterinarian  
17 and owner decision making for treatment of cats involved in a road traffic accident.

## 18 19 Introduction

20 Road traffic accidents (RTA) in cats are a common presentation to primary-care practitioners in the  
21 UK, with estimates of between 1.4 and 4.6% of primary-care consultations in cats attributed to RTA  
22 (Kolata, 1980; Edney, 1997; Rochlitz, 2003a; O'Neill *et al.*, 2014; McDonald *et al.*, 2017). This  
23 increases to 14.1% in primary emergency out-of-hours veterinary clinics in the UK (Firth *et al.*, 2014).  
24 RTAs have been shown to result in substantial injury, with injuries to the extremities and head and  
25 neck most commonly seen and an average of 1.6 areas injured per cat (Rochlitz, 2004). There is

26 limited information on survival in cats following a RTA, with a mortality proportion ranging from 9-  
27 16% (Kolata, 1980; Rochlitz, 2004), and an age standardised mortality rate of 29 deaths per 10,000  
28 cat years reported in insured cats in Sweden (Egenvall and Nødtvedt, 2009) Trauma has been  
29 reported as the most common cause of mortality in young cats in the UK and the second most  
30 common cause of mortality in cats in Sweden (Egenvall and Nødtvedt, 2009; O'Neill *et al.*, 2015).  
31 Despite this, there is limited previous research into risk factors for and survival of cats involved in an  
32 RTA. Previously identified risk factors include age, sex and being out at night (Kolata, 1980; Childs  
33 and Ross, 1986; Rochlitz, 2003a, 2003b; McDonald *et al.*, 2017). There is also some evidence of a  
34 seasonal trend for RTA, with increased proportion of RTAs occurring in the summer (Kolata, 1980;  
35 Childs and Ross, 1986; Rochlitz, 2003a, 2003b). As RTAs are reported to present most frequently at  
36 night (Rochlitz, 2003b), this suggests that using data from emergency-care practice may be the most  
37 appropriate for studying the risk factors for RTAs and survival following RTA in cats (Drobatz *et al.*,  
38 2009).

39 This study aimed to evaluate the incidence proportion of RTA in cats presenting to emergency-care  
40 practices in the UK, and to investigate risk factors associated with RTA events and with death  
41 following RTA.

## 42 **Materials and Methods**

43 Ethics approval was granted by the Royal Veterinary College Ethics and Welfare committee (M2014  
44 0021). De-identified electronic patient records (EPR) were made available from Vets Now Ltd  
45 through collaboration in the VetCompass Programme (VetCompass, 2016). Data were available on  
46 patient demographic information (species, date of birth, sex, neuter status and breed), clinical notes,  
47 summary diagnosis terms using VeNom codes (Venom Coding Group, 2016) applied to the EPR by  
48 the emergency-care teams and treatment.

49 Sample size calculations estimated that at least 1500 cats  $\leq$  5 years and 1500 cats  $>$  5 years of age  
50 would be required to detect an odds ratio (OR) of at least 1.5 for RTA in cats  $\leq$  5 years compared

51 with cats > 5 years of age (assuming 5% of cats > 5years of age have an RTA, 80% power, 95%  
52 confidence) (Epi Info 7,CDC).

53 The study population included all cats with at least one summary term, treatment, clinical note, or  
54 bodyweight recorded at any of 50 Vets Now clinics between 1<sup>st</sup> January 2012 and 15<sup>th</sup> February  
55 2014. Each cat was included in the population only once. The number of cats attending a clinic  
56 during the study period ranged from 219 – 1535. The case inclusion criteria for RTA required that the  
57 cat presented dead or alive to a Vets Now participating clinic and had RTA (or synonym) recorded in  
58 the EPR as a reason for the current presentation. Exclusion criteria included cats presenting with  
59 traumatic injuries that the veterinarian did not record as being related to an RTA. Potential RTA  
60 cases were identified by searching the free clinical text using the following search terms: *hit, RTA,*  
61 *RTC, HBC, ran over, run over, knock, traffic, collision, vehicle, car.* Potential cases were aggregated  
62 from each search and the clinical records of all identified cats were manually reviewed in detail to  
63 evaluate them against those that met the case definition. Additional data were extracted on  
64 confirmed RTA cases to record count and location of injuries sustained, treatments received, if the  
65 cats were owned, if any financial concerns for veterinary care costs were recorded, if the cat  
66 survived to discharge and mechanism of death if appropriate. All cats that were not identified as  
67 potential RTA cases or were ruled out as RTA cases were included as non-RTA cases for the risk  
68 factor analyses. Cats that had injuries that the veterinarian did not ascribe a cause to were excluded  
69 from the analysis to limit misclassification of case RTAs.

70 Demographic information was extracted for all cats in the study. Age at presentation was grouped (<  
71 6 months, 6 months – < 1 year, 1 – < 3 years, 3 – < 6 years, 6 – < 10 years, 10 – < 15 years, ≥ 15 years,  
72 not recorded). Cats were categorised into purebred (recognised breed by International Cat Care)  
73 (International Cat Care, 2015) and crossbred, with purebred status further categorised into  
74 individual breeds. The breed variable included any breed with >100 cats in the overall study as an  
75 individual breed, with the remaining purebred cats grouped together as “other purebred” and all  
76 crossbred cats in one group. Date of presentation was categorised by season (March – May “Spring”,

77 June – August “ Summer”, September – November “ Autumn, December – February “Winter” (Met  
78 Office, 2015)). Injuries were individually recorded and also grouped by the body location affected  
79 (head, thorax, abdomen, pelvis, limbs and tail) and any previously diagnosed disease was also  
80 recorded. Any missing data were coded as ‘not recorded’.

81 Data were exported to a spreadsheet (Excel 2013, Microsoft Corp.) for checking and cleaning before  
82 further export to Stata 13.1 (Stata Corporation) for statistical analyses.

83 Incidence proportion was determined by calculating the proportion of RTA cases out of all cats  
84 included in the study. The 95% confidence interval was calculated using standard techniques  
85 assuming binomial distribution, as for proportions (Kirkwood and Sterne, 2003). Descriptive statistics  
86 were generated to describe the age, sex, neuter status, purebred status and breed for the cases and  
87 non-cases. Injuries sustained and treatments received were also described for the RTA cases.

88 Separate univariable logistic regression models were constructed to examine associations between  
89 potential risk factors and presentation with RTA as the outcome, and also potential risk factors  
90 associated with all-cause death before discharge following RTA. Multivariable logistic regression was  
91 then used to examine associations between risk factors and each outcome, whilst controlling for the  
92 confounding effects of other variables in the model. Demographic risk factors were examined in  
93 both models, whilst variables associated with injuries and treatment were additionally examined in  
94 the model for death following RTA for cats presenting alive. Variables were carried forward to be  
95 assessed in the multivariable modelling if they were loosely associated with the outcome in the  
96 univariable analysis ( $p < 0.2$ ). All variables that were dropped at this stage were assessed in the final  
97 model for a confounding effect, by examining changes to the odds ratio when included in the  
98 multivariable model. Changes of greater than 10% were considered to indicate confounding by the  
99 variable. Biologically appropriate pairwise interactions were assessed. Linearity of continuous  
100 variables was assessed by comparing the model with the continuous variable and the model with the  
101 categorical variable to assess best fit using the likelihood ratio test. Clinic attended was evaluated in

102 the final model as a random effect to assess for clustering (Dohoo, 2010). The final model fit was  
103 assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000). Significance was set at  
104 the 5% level.

## 105 **Results**

### 106 **Incidence proportion of RTA in cats attending primary emergency out-of-hours veterinary care**

107 Overall, the study included 33,586 cats with at least one EPR at participating Vets Now clinics from  
108 14<sup>th</sup> December 2011 to 14th February 2014. Of those, data searching identified 2,371 potential RTA  
109 cases from which 1,407 (59.3%) cats were confirmed as RTA cases. Of the remaining 964 cats, 431  
110 were ruled out as RTA and classified as part of the non-RTA population and the remaining 533 cats  
111 were excluded from the risk factor analysis. This resulted in an incidence proportion of RTA events of  
112 4.2% (95% confidence interval (C.I) 4.0% - 4.4%) for the study period. Median age at presentation for  
113 RTA cats was 2.6 years (interquartile range (IQR) 1.0 years – 5.9 years), and median age at  
114 presentation for non-RTA cats was 7.9 years (IQR 2.5 years – 14.8 years). Of cats with recorded  
115 demographic data, most with an RTA event were male (739; 64.8%), neutered (682 ;59.8%), and  
116 crossbred (830; 93.2%), as were most cats not presenting with a RTA event (56.3% male, 63.5%  
117 neutered and 88.2% crossbred). Age data were available for 89% of cats, sex and neuter data for  
118 79.2% of cats and breed data for 60.7% of cats. The number of confirmed RTA cases at each clinic  
119 ranged from 4 – 68.

### 120 **Descriptive analysis of cats presented with RTA**

121 Of the 1,407 cats that presented with RTA, 94 (6.7%) were dead on arrival at the clinic. Of the 1,313  
122 cats that presented alive, 433 (33%) subsequently died during the emergency-care period. Most of  
123 these cats were euthanased during the initial consultation (260; 60.2%), and a further 11 (2.6%) died  
124 without assistance at the clinic before admission to the hospital. After admission, 121 (28%) cats  
125 were euthanased, and 41 (9.3%) died without assistance.

126 Following an alive RTA presentation, 816 (62.1%) cats were admitted for hospitalisation, and 392  
127 cats (29.9%) underwent radiography and 111 (8.5%) ultrasonography. In cats presented alive, general  
128 anaesthesia or sedation was used in 196 (14.9%) cats, 224 (17.1%) received oxygen therapy outside  
129 of anaesthesia, and 481 (36.6%) received at least one blood test. Just under half (45.6%) of cats  
130 presented alive received intravenous fluid therapy, with 2 (0.2%) being administered a fresh blood  
131 transfusion and 1 (0.1%) receiving a synthetic blood transfusion. Mannitol therapy was used in 19  
132 (1.5%) of all cats and hypertonic saline in 9 (0.7%) cats, with 2 cats (0.2%) receiving both. Analgesia  
133 was provided to 1,096 (83.5%) cats. Opioid analgesia was the most commonly used pain relief (671 ;  
134 51.2%), and 216 cats (16.5%) did not receive any analgesia. Most of the cats that did not receive any  
135 analgesia (183; 84.7%) were euthanased in the initial consultation, with a further 5 (2.3%) dying  
136 before treatment in the initial consultation. Financial concerns were reported in 211 (16.1%) of cats  
137 and a further 293 (22.4%) had no owner identified.

138 The most common body locations injured were the skin (361; 27.5%), the pelvis (298; 22.7%), and  
139 limbs (276; 21.1%). Half of all cats (664; 50.7%) sustained two or more injuries, with 77 cats (5.9%)  
140 having no specific injury recorded during examination but were still reported as an RTA.

#### 141 **Risk factors for RTA in cats attending primary emergency out-of-hours veterinary care**

142 Univariable analysis indicated associations ( $p < 0.2$ ) between age, sex, neuter status, breed and  
143 season presented, and presentation with RTA as the outcome (see supplementary table 3). These  
144 variables were all carried forward for evaluation using multivariable modelling. Once controlled for  
145 confounding in the multivariable modelling, age, sex, purebred status and season of presentation all  
146 remained significantly associated with RTA. Clustering was identified at the clinic level ( $p < 0.001$ ) so  
147 the final reported model was a mixed-effect logistic regression model (Table 1). No evidence of  
148 confounding or interaction was identified. There was adequate model fit (Hosmer-Lemeshow  
149  $p = 0.19$ ). Cats between 6 months and 6 years of age were at increased odds of RTA in comparison to  
150 cats 6 – 9 years ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Male cats and crossbred cats were at 1.3 and 1.9 times the odds of RTA

151 in comparison to female cats and purebred cats respectively (Table 1) Cats were at increased odds of  
152 RTA in the autumn (OR 1.19 95% CI 1.01 – 1.40) and at reduced odds in the winter (OR 0.83 95% C.I  
153 0.70 – 0.96), in comparison with the spring ( $p < 0.0001$ ).

154 **Risk factors for all-cause death following RTA in cats presenting to primary emergency out-of-**  
155 **hours veterinary care**

156 Univariable analysis for risk factors associated with mortality after RTA identified loose associations  
157 ( $p < 0.2$ ) between breed, sex, neuter status, financial concerns, season of presentation, age,  
158 admission, radiography, ultrasonography, sedations/general anaesthesia, IVFT, mannitol use,  
159 analgesia use, oxygen use, blood tests, type of injury received and total count of injuries, and death  
160 among the RTA cases as an outcome (see supplementary table 4). These variables were carried  
161 forward for multivariable modelling.

162 The multivariable model contained 1,283 individuals (91.2% of all RTA cases), with 433 deaths. The  
163 use of NSAID therapy alone perfectly predicted survival (no deaths), so the thirty cats that received  
164 only NSAID as pain relief were dropped from the model. The fit of the final model was adequate  
165 (Hosmer-Lemeshow test result  $p = 0.18$ ). No significant clustering within clinics attended was  
166 identified ( $\rho = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $p = 1.00$ ) so the results of the non-random effect model were reported.  
167 Age was included as it confounded the other risk factors in the model (Table 2). The body area  
168 injured was associated with death, with an increase odds of death seen in cats with an abdominal  
169 injury (OR 2.77 95% C.I 1.49 – 5.014  $p = 0.001$ ), spinal injury (OR 2.51 95% C.I 1.57 – 4.04  $p < 0.001$ )  
170 or a concurrent disease reported (OR 22.41 95% C.I 2.86 – 175.88  $p = 0.003$ ) and a decreased odds of  
171 death was associated with a skin injury (OR 0.30 95% C.I 0.19 - 0.48  $p < 0.001$ ) compared with cats  
172 without these injuries. An increasing count of injuries was associated with an increase in odds of  
173 death (OR 1.66 95% C.I 1.38 - 1.99  $p < 0.001$ ). Oxygen administration was associated with increased  
174 odds of death (OR 5.31 95% C.I 3.50-8.06  $p < 0.001$ ). Admission to hospital and receiving blood tests



175 were associated with decreased odds of death (OR 0.32 95% CI 0.21 – 0.49  $p < 0.001$  and OR 0.32  
176 95% C.I 0.21-0.48  $p < 0.001$  respectively).

## 177 **Discussion**

178 This study identifies RTA as a relatively common reason for presentation of cats to emergency  
179 primary-care clinics, with just over 4% of cats that presented during the study period being recorded  
180 with RTA. Younger cats and crossbred cats were at increased odds of RTA, and increased odds were  
181 also identified during the summer and autumn months compared to spring. Increasing total count of  
182 injuries recorded following a RTA was associated with increased odds of death, as were injuries to  
183 the spine and abdomen. Injuries to the skin alone were associated with a decreased odds of death.

184 The incidence proportion of RTA in cats presenting to emergency primary-care providers (4.2% 95%  
185 C.I 4.0% - 4.4%) identified in the current study is similar to the prevalence of traumatic injuries in  
186 cats presenting to primary-care practices (4.6% 95% C.I 3.8% - 5.3%) (O'Neill *et al.*, 2014). However,  
187 only 60% of these injuries were due to RTA. A study from the US reported that between 2.3% and  
188 3.8% of cat admissions to two university referral hospitals were due to RTA (Kolata, 1980), and RTA  
189 related injuries account for 1.4% of consultations in primary-care practice in the UK (Edney, 1997).

190 The higher prevalence seen in the current study most likely reflects the emergency nature of the  
191 Vets Now caseload but could be affected also by changes in the cat population or road traffic activity  
192 over time. It has previously been suggested that RTAs are more likely to occur at night (Rochlitz,  
193 2003b) and as Vets Now clinics are mostly open overnight this may help to explain the higher  
194 prevalence estimated in the current study. Data on the precise time of presentation were not  
195 available for the present study, but would be interesting for further research in the future. .

196 The current study identified that younger cats, males and crossbred cats had greater odds of RTA.  
197 These risk factor results are consistent with earlier studies (Rochlitz, 2003a, 2003b). The increased  
198 risk associated with cats 6 months – 2 years, male cats and crossbred cats may reflect behavioural  
199 differences between these groups and older, female and purebred cats. Kittens under 6 months of

200 age are likely to be kept indoors and it is possible that older cats spend more time indoors as they  
201 are less active and therefore intrinsically have lower exposure to roads and cars. It is also possible  
202 that cats learn to avoid high risk areas with increasing age, as they get to know their home range and  
203 become more adept at avoiding traffic risks (Rochlitz, 2003a, 2003b). Purebred cats have been  
204 reported to spend significantly less time outdoors than crossbred cats and therefore have  
205 intrinsically lower exposure to roads and cars (Rochlitz, 2003a), possibly partially explaining the  
206 reduced risk seen in purebred cats in the current study. It could also be hypothesised that purebred  
207 cats would be more likely to present to emergency clinics for owner economic reasons than  
208 crossbred cats, and as such this might partially account for the reduced risk of purebred cats  
209 presenting specifically for RTA. However, given the proportion of purebred cats reported in this  
210 study (11.9%) is very similar to that reported in recent work from non-emergency general practice  
211 (11.0%(O'Neill *et al.*, 2014)), this was considered less likely. No evidence of a difference in risk  
212 between individual purebred breeds was found, though this may reflect limitations of power as  
213 counts of cats within individual breeds were relatively small. The increased risk seen in male cats  
214 may be associated with differing behaviour, such as roaming habits, compared with females. There is  
215 conflicting evidence on whether male and female cats do have differing roaming habits so there may  
216 be other unknown behaviour factors underlying the apparent association (Barratt, 1997; Liberg *et*  
217 *al.*, 2000; Rochlitz, 2005). Interestingly, no interaction between sex and neuter status was detected  
218 in the current study. This may be due to not having a recorded neuter status for all cats resulting in  
219 the study being underpowered to detect any interaction. A seasonal trend was found with an  
220 increased odds of RTA in summer and autumn and decreased odds in winter compared to spring,  
221 that was similar to those reported in previous studies (Childs and Ross, 1986; Rochlitz, 2003b). This is  
222 also similar to a trend seen in overall trauma admissions at a veterinary hospital in the US, where an  
223 increase in the proportion of admissions was reported in July – September in comparison to January  
224 - March (Drobatz *et al.*, 2009). It is possible that this trend is due to seasonal changes in behaviour,  
225 with cats spending more time outdoors in the summer and autumn, and more time indoors in the

226 winter. The ability of owners to find their cats following an RTA, or transport them to a vet may also  
227 be influenced by the season and weather patterns.

228 The proportion of cats that died (both euthanasia and unassisted death) during the emergency-care  
229 period following presentation after an RTA (33% 95% C.I 30% - 35%) was higher in this study than  
230 that reported in a previous case series from primary-care day practice, where 16.2% of cats  
231 presenting alive following a RTA died (Rochlitz, 2004). In the same case series, only 5% of cats  
232 presenting due to RTA were euthanased, whilst 29% of cats in the current study were euthanased.  
233 Differences between studies may in part reflect the current study including cases only out of hours  
234 versus the previous study that related to presentations throughout the day. It has previously been  
235 indicated that RTAs are more likely to occur at night (Rochlitz, 2003), and it is possible that cats with  
236 more severe injuries may be presented to a veterinary clinic outside of normal working hours, whilst  
237 the owners of cats with less severe injuries may opt to wait until their usual daytime veterinary  
238 provider is available.

239 The distribution of injured body locations following RTA identified in the current study was in  
240 agreement with other studies, with injury to extremities more frequently recorded (Kolata, 1980;  
241 Rochlitz, 2004). As cats are most likely to be hit whilst running, it is plausible that cats are unlikely to  
242 be crushed by a wheel, with either end of the body or a limb being clipped by the wheel as it passes  
243 the cat. It is also possible that those cats that are crushed by the vehicle die before presentation to a  
244 veterinary surgeon, so are less likely to be presented. It was not possible to ascribe an animal trauma  
245 triage score to these cats due to limited information within the clinical notes. It is possible that this  
246 would be found to be associated with death prior to discharge as has been found in previous studies  
247 (Rockar, Drobotz and Shofer, 1994) given that the number of injuries recorded was negatively  
248 associated with death prior to discharge.

249 The associations identified between specific injury types and death after presentation are likely also  
250 to be related to the prognosis associated with different injuries. Spinal injuries have usually been

251 associated with poor long-term prognosis (Negrin, Schatzberg and Platt, 2009) and veterinarians  
252 may also ascribe a poor short-term prognosis to abdominal injuries that require surgery due to the  
253 increased risks of general anaesthesia in an emergency scenario (Brodbelt *et al.*, 2007). This may  
254 result in some owners opting for euthanasia rather than treatment. It is also possible that the cost of  
255 treatments for severe injuries is prohibitive to many owners, and they may opt for euthanasia over  
256 treatment. The increased odds of death following RTA in cats with a concurrent condition recorded  
257 may be due to owners being less likely to pursue treatment if their pet has other chronic conditions,  
258 or these patients may be sicker overall and have an increased risk of death due to their poor health  
259 status. There was only eight of these cats in the analysis, so it is also possible that this association  
260 seen was due to an unrepresentative sample.

261 It is likely that cats with the poorest prognosis are euthanased soon after presentation which may  
262 explain the reduced odds of death following RTA in cats that were hospitalised. It may also explain  
263 the reduced odds associated with pain relief treatment as cats that were euthanased at presentation  
264 did not receive pain relief. The number of cats that were reported to have not received analgesia  
265 and were not euthanased at presentation was too small to allow any meaningful analysis of the  
266 association between pain relief and death in cats not euthanased at presentation.

267 A number of the associations with euthansia seen are likely due to reverse causality. For example  
268 less severely injured cats may be more likely to receive blood tests and other investigations than  
269 more severely injured cats which may be euthanased or have invasive procedures postponed, rather  
270 than the blood tests themselves having a protective effect. Owner willingness to treat may be  
271 reflected in the reduced odds of death in cats receiving blood tests, rather than opting for  
272 euthanasia or first aid treatment only. It is also possible that cats receiving blood tests had problems  
273 identified that were then successfully treated. Additionally, oxygen would have been provided to the  
274 more severely injured cats which would naturally be at higher risk of death, which is reflected in the  
275 increased odds of death of cats receiving oxygen treatment. However, this does provide evidence for

276 veterinarians that cats that require oxygen therapy do have an increased risk of euthanasia in the  
277 first 24 hours and may aid owner decision making when deciding on treatment options. .

278 In the multivariable model for risk factors for death, financial concerns of the owner were not  
279 associated with death as an outcome, suggesting that welfare, prognosis and veterinary guidance  
280 play a greater role in the management of these cats than the owners' ability to afford or willingness  
281 to pay for treatment. However, it is possible that an element of owner responses may reflect a  
282 reluctance to admit to having financial considerations when discussing treatment options which may  
283 have biased this finding. Stray cats were included within the variable for financial concerns. Despite  
284 being at increased risk of death at the univariable level, this association was not maintained within  
285 the multivariable model, indicating that veterinarians are opting to treat those cats without owners  
286 on a basis of their injuries sustained and prognosis rather euthanizing due to lack of owner or funds  
287 to treat.

288 The study had some limitations. These clinical records were not recorded primarily for research  
289 purposes, so there is the potential for some variation in the quality of data recording across clinics  
290 and veterinarians. The case definition for an RTA may lack sensitivity as veterinarians had to  
291 correctly attribute injury to a traffic incident, which may mean the apparent incidence estimated is  
292 lower than the true incidence of RTAs in cats presenting to emergency-care practices in the UK.  
293 Injuries were not always recorded in the clinical notes in some cases, so there was the possibility of  
294 injuries being misclassified or not recorded. Although, as all patients are transferred to their usual  
295 vet when they are next open, the clinical notes were usually very detailed to ensure suitable hand  
296 over of cases. Veterinary care within the UK is complex, with practices varying in size, structure and  
297 ownership and owners may have differing levels of loyalty to a veterinary practice, with some  
298 owners 'shopping around' rather than maintaining a bond with one practice. This can result in  
299 selection bias in practice based research, as accounting for these differences within the study design  
300 and methods is difficult. However, the use of big data to undertake primary-care research, such as

301 the present study, will help limit and reduce this selection bias by ensuring large numbers of  
302 practices can be included in the study. Finally, there may be differences in the population of cats  
303 that attend emergency practice and those that do not, such as owners opting to wait for their day  
304 time vet if the cat appears to only have sustained minor injuries or if the owner cannot afford or do  
305 not know about the availability of emergency practice, limiting the generalisability of these results  
306 beyond emergency clinic attending cats.

### 307 **Conclusion**

308 This study has shown that younger, male, and crossbred cats had higher odds of emergency-care  
309 presentation with RTA. Cats with spinal and abdominal injuries following RTA were at increased odds  
310 of death or euthanasia, as were cats with a greater count of injuries. Pain relief was administered to  
311 nearly every cat that was not euthanased, indicating that emergency vets have a high awareness of  
312 the analgesic requirements for cats diagnosed with RTA. Some associations reported, in particular  
313 association of death with oxygen therapy and blood tests, may reflect reverse causality and over-  
314 interpretation of these risk factors would be cautioned. Nonetheless, an increased awareness of risk  
315 factors associated with RTA diagnosis and all-cause death can aid veterinarians in guiding their  
316 management and decision making when considering treatment options. .

317 No conflicts of interest have been declared

318

319

### 320 **References**

321 Barratt, D. G. (1997) 'Home range size, habitat utilisation and movement patterns of suburban and  
322 farm cats *Felis catus*', *Ecography*, 20(3), pp. 271–280. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0587.1997.tb00371.x.

323 Brodbelt, D. C. *et al.* (2007) 'Risk factors for anaesthetic-related death in cats: results from the  
324 confidential enquiry into perioperative small animal fatalities (CEPSAF).', *British journal of*

325 *anaesthesia*. Oxford University Press, 99(5), pp. 617–23. doi: 10.1093/bja/aem229.

326 Childs, J. and Ross, L. (1986) 'Urban cats: Characteristics and estimation of mortality due to motor  
327 vehicles', *American journal of veterinary research*, 47(4), pp. 1643–1648.

328 Dohoo, I. R. (2010) *Veterinary Epidemiologic Research*. 2nd edn. Charlottetown, Canada: VER Inc.

329 Drobatz, K. J. *et al.* (2009) 'Association of holidays, full moon, Friday the 13th, day of week, time of  
330 day, day of week, and time of year on case distribution in an urban referral small animal emergency  
331 clinic: Retrospective Study', *Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care*. Blackwell Publishing  
332 Inc, 19(5), pp. 479–483. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-4431.2009.00452.x.

333 Edney, A. (1997) *An observational study of presentation patterns in companion animal veterinary  
334 practices in England*. University of London, UK.

335 Egenvall, A. and Nødtvedt, A. (2009) 'Mortality of Life-Insured Swedish Cats during 1999–2006: Age,  
336 Breed, Sex, and Diagnosis', *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*, pp. 1175–1183. doi:  
337 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2009.0396.x.

338 Firth, A. *et al.* (2014) 'Most common small animal emergency problems in the UK', in *1st  
339 International EBVM Network Conference*. Available at: [https://rcvsknowledge.conference-  
340 services.net/reports/template/onetextabstract.xml?xsl=template/onetextabstract.xsl&conferenceID  
341 =4065&abstractID=839391](https://rcvsknowledge.conference-services.net/reports/template/onetextabstract.xml?xsl=template/onetextabstract.xsl&conferenceID=4065&abstractID=839391).

342 Hosmer, D. W. and Lemeshow, S. (2000) *Applied Logistic Regression*. 2nd edn. Hoboken, New Jersey:  
343 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

344 International Cat Care (2015) *Cat Breeds*. Available at: <http://icatcare.org/advice/cat-breeds>  
345 (Accessed: 1 August 2016).

346 Kirkwood, B. and Sterne, J. (2003) *Essential Medical Statistics*. 2nd edn. Singapore: Blackwell Science  
347 Ltd.

348 Kolata, R. . (1980) 'Trauma in Dogs and Cats: An overview', *Veterinary Clinics of North America -*  
349 *Small Animal Practice*, 10(3), pp. 515–522.

350 Liberg, O. *et al.* (2000) 'Density, spatial organisation and reproductive tactics in the domestic cat and  
351 other fields', in Turner, D. and Bateson, P. (eds) *The Domestic Cat: The biology of its behaviour*. 2nd  
352 edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 119–147.

353 McDonald, J. L. *et al.* (2017) 'Mortality due to trauma in cats attending veterinary practices in central  
354 and south-east England', *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, n-a, p. n-a. doi: 10.1111/jsap.12716.

355 Met Office (2015) *When does Spring start? The difference between meteorological and astronomical*  
356 *seasons*. Available at: [http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/learning/learn-about-the-weather/how-](http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/learning/learn-about-the-weather/how-weather-works/when-does-spring-start)  
357 [weather-works/when-does-spring-start](http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/learning/learn-about-the-weather/how-weather-works/when-does-spring-start) (Accessed: 20 July 2015).

358 Negrin, A., Schatzberg, S. and Platt, S. R. (2009) 'The paralyzed cat. Neuroanatomic diagnosis and  
359 specific spinal cord diseases', *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 11, pp. 361–372. doi:  
360 10.1016/j.jfms.2009.03.004.

361 O'Neill, D. G. *et al.* (2014) 'Prevalence of disorders recorded in cats attending primary-care  
362 veterinary practices in England', *The Veterinary Journal*, 202(2), pp. 286–291. doi:  
363 10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.08.004.

364 O'Neill, D. G. *et al.* (2015) 'Longevity and mortality of cats attending primary care veterinary  
365 practices in England', *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 17(2), pp. 125–133. doi:  
366 10.1177/1098612X14536176.

367 Rochlitz, I. (2003a) 'Study of factors that may predispose domestic cats to road traffic accidents: part  
368 1', *Veterinary Record*, 153(18), pp. 549–553. doi: 10.1136/vr.153.18.549.

369 Rochlitz, I. (2003b) 'Study of factors that may predispose domestic cats to road traffic accidents: part  
370 2', *Veterinary Record*, 153(19), pp. 585–588. doi: 10.1136/vr.153.19.585.



371 Rochlitz, I. (2004) 'Clinical study of cats injured and killed in road traffic accidents in  
372 Cambridgeshire.', *The Journal of small animal practice*, 45(8), pp. 390–4.

373 Rochlitz, I. (2005) 'A review of the housing requirements of domestic cats (*Felis silvestris catus*) kept  
374 in the home', *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 93(1–2), pp. 97–109. doi:  
375 10.1016/j.applanim.2005.01.002.

376 Rockar, R. A., Drobatz, K. S. and Shofer, F. S. (1994) 'Development Of A Scoring System For The  
377 Veterinary Trauma Patient', *Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care*. Blackwell Publishing  
378 Ltd, 4(2), pp. 77–83. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-4431.1994.tb00118.x.

379 Venom Coding Group (2016) *Veterninary Nomenclature*. Available at:  
380 <http://www.venomcoding.org/VeNom/Welcome.html> (Accessed: 31 October 2016).

381 VetCompass (2016) *VetCompass: Health surveillance for UK companion animals*. Available at:  
382 [www.rvc.ac.uk/vetcompass](http://www.rvc.ac.uk/vetcompass) (Accessed: 26 June 2015).

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393 **Table 1: Multivariable analysis of risk factors for road traffic accident diagnosis in cats presenting**  
 394 **to Vets Now practices between 14/12/11 and 14/2/14**

Variable		RTA (%)	Non-RTA (%)	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P - value
<b>Age</b>	< 6months	59 (4.2%)	2117 (6.7%)	0.99 (0.72 - 1.35)	<0.001
	6months-<1year	211 (15%)	2442 (7.7%)	3.02 (2.41 - 3.78)	
	1-<3yrs	359 (25.5%)	5008 (18.8%)	2.47 (2.01 - 3.04)	
	3-<6yrs	206 (14.6%)	4375 (13.8%)	1.65 (1.32 - 2.06)	
	6-<10yrs	130 (9.2%)	4524 (14.3%)	Reference	
	10-<15yrs	62 (4.4%)	5879 (18.6%)	0.37 (0.27 - 0.51)	
	15-<20yrs	39 (2.8%)	4018 (12.7%)	0.35 (0.25 - 0.51)	
	Not recorded	341 (24.2%)	3283 (10.4%)	3.95 (3.19 - 4.89)	
<b>Sex</b>	Male	739 (52.5%)	14087 (44.5%)	1.28 (1.13 - 1.45)	<0.001
	Female	401 (28.5%)	10947 (34.6%)	Reference	
	Not recorded	267 (19.0%)	6612 (20.9%)	0.82 (0.69 - 0.98)	
<b>Purebred status</b>	Crossbred	830 (59.0%)	16885 (53.4%)	1.9 (1.45 - 2.48)	<0.001
	Purebred	61 (4.3%)	2270 (7.2%)	Reference	
	Not recorded	516 (36.7%)	12491 (39.5%)	1.61 (1.22 - 2.12)	
<b>Season</b>	Spring	246 (17.5%)	5641 (17.8%)	Reference	<0.001
	Summer	328 (23.3%)	6544 (20.7%)	1.17 (0.98 - 1.39)	
	Autumn	529 (37.6%)	10347 (32.7%)	1.19 (1.01 - 1.40)	
	Winter	304 (21.6%)	9114 (28.8%)	0.83 (0.70 - 0.99)	
<b>Veterinary Clinic (random effect)</b>	Rho			0.02 (0.009 - 0.04)	<0.001
	Sigma			0.26 (0.18-0.37)	

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403 **Table 2: Multivariable analysis for risk factors for death prior to discharge following road traffic**  
 404 **accident diagnosis in cats attending Vets Now practices between 14/12/11 and 14/2/14N=1283)**

Variable		N	Deaths (%)	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	p- value
Abdominal Injury	No	1190	397 (33.4%)	Reference	0.001
	Yes	93	36 (38.7%)	2.77 (1.49 - 5.14)	
Spinal Injury	No	1104	334 (30.3%)	Reference	<0.001
	Yes	179	99 (55.3%)	2.51 (1.57 - 4.04)	
Skin Injury	No	999	383 (38.3%)	Reference	<0.001
	Yes	284	50 (17.6%)	0.3 (0.19 - 0.48)	
Concurrent Illness	No	1275	427 (33.5%)	Reference	0.003
	Yes	8	6 (75%)	22.41 (2.86 - 175.88)	
Number of recorded Injuries	(continuous)			1.66 (1.38 - 1.99)	<0.001
Admitted to the practice	No	473	271 (58.3%)	Reference	<0.001
	Yes	810	162 (20.0%)	0.32 (0.21 - 0.49)	
Pain relief	None	216	199 (92.1%)	Reference	<0.001
	NSAID <sup>1</sup>	30	0	~	
	Opioid	672	207 (30.8%)	0.06 (0.04 - 0.11)	
	NSAID & Opioid	395	27 (6.8%)	0.02 (0.007 - 0.03)	
Oxygen	No O2	1059	314 (29.7%)	Reference	<0.001
	O2	224	119 (53.1%)	5.31 (3.50 - 8.06)	
Blood tests	No Blood test	804	368 (45.8%)	Reference	<0.001
	Blood test	65	65 (13.6%)	0.32 (0.21 - 0.48)	
Age	< 6months	51	17 (33.3%)	Reference	0.62
	6months-<1year	192	48 (25.0%)	0.72 (0.29 - 1.75)	
	1-<3years	332	77 (23.2%)	0.70 (0.30 - 1.66)	
	3-<6years	193	52 (26.9%)	0.81 (0.33 - 2.00)	
	6-<10years	119	38 (31.9%)	0.90 (0.35 - 2.33)	
	10-<15years	61	27 (44.3%)	1.05 (0.36 - 3.11)	
	15-<20years	35	24 (68.6%)	2.36 (0.61 - 9.12)	
	No age recorded	300	150 (50%)	1.51 (0.65 - 3.54)	

405 <sup>1</sup> zero effect cell

406

407

408

409

410 **Supplementary Table 3: Univariable analysis of risk factors for road traffic accident diagnosis in**  
 411 **cats presenting to Vets Now practices between 14/12/11 and 14/2/14**

Variable		RTA (%)	Not RTA (%)	Odds Ratio for RTA	95% Confidence Interval	P-Value*
<b>Age (N=29429)</b>	Less than 6months	61 (5.7%)	2139 (7.5%)	0.4	0.30 - 0.53	
	6months-<1year	209 (19.6%)	2422 (8.5%)	1.2	1.01 – 1.44	
	1-<2yrs	359 (33.7%)	5007 (17.7%)	Base		
	3-<5yrs	206 (19.3%)	4374 (15.4%)	0.66	0.55 – 0.78	<0.0001
	6-<9yrs	130 (12.2%)	4524 (16.0%)	0.4	0.33 – 0.49	
	10-<14yrs	62 (5.8%)	5879 (20.7%)	0.15	0.11 – 0.19	
	15-<19yrs	39 (3.7%)	4018 (14.2%)	0.14	0.10 – 0.19	
<b>Sex (N=26174)</b>	Male	739 (64.8%)	14087 (56.3%)	1.29	1.13 - 1.50	<0.001
	Female	401 (35.2%)	10947 (43.7%)	Base		
<b>Neuter Status (N=26174)</b>	Entire	458 (40.2%)	9127 (36.5%)	1.17	1.04 - 1.32	0.01
	Neutered	682 (59.8%)	15907 (63.5%)	Base		
<b>Breed (N=20046)</b>	Crossbred	830 (93.2%)	16885 (88.1%)	1.19	1.06-1.33	<0.001
	Purebred	61 (6.9%)	2270 (11.9%)	Base		
<b>Most Common Breed (N=20046)</b>	Crossbred	830 (93.2%)	16885 (88.1%)	Base		
	Bengal	19 (2.9%)	304 (1.6%)	1.27	0.80 - 2.03	
	British Shorthair	10 (1.2%)	295 (1.5%)	0.69	0.37 – 1.30	
	Persian	3 (0.3%)	297(1.6%)	0.21	0.07 – 0.64	<0.0001
	Siamese	5 (0.7%)	271 (1.4%)	0.38	0.16 - 0.91	
	Burmese	2 (0.2%)	217 (1.1%)	0.19	0.05 - 0.76	
	Maine Coon	7 (0.8%)	181 (0.9%)	0.79	0.37 - 1.68	
	Ragamuffin	3 (0.3%)	185 (1.0%)	0.33	0.11 - 1.03	
	Other Purebred	12 (1.4%)	520 (2.7%)	0.47	0.26 - 0.84	
<b>Season presented (N=33053)</b>	Spring	246 (17.5%)	5641 (17.8%)	Base		
	Summer	328 (23.3%)	6544 (20.7%)	1.15	0.97-1.36	<0.0001
	Autumn	529 (37.6%)	10347 (32.7%)	1.17	1.00 - 1.37	
	Winter	304 (21.6%)	9114 (28.8%)	0.77	0.64 - 0.91	

\* All p-values calculated using the Likelihood Ratio Test

412

413

414

415 **Supplementary Table 4 part 1: Univariable analysis for risk factors for death following RTA in cats**  
 416 **presented to VetsNow practices between 14/12/11 and 14/2/14**

		Total (%)	Deaths (%)	Odds Ratio	95% C.I <sup>1</sup>	p-value <sup>2</sup>
<b>Breed (N=835)</b>	Crossbred	755 (92.9%)	252 (32.5%)	Base		0.27
	Purebred	59 (7.1%)	15 (25.4%)	0.71	0.39 – 1.30	
<b>Most Common Breed (N=835)</b>	Crossbred	775 (92.8%)	252 (32.5%)	Base		0.19
	Bengal	18 (2.2%)	7 (38.9%)	1.32	0.51 - 3.44	
	British Shorthair	10 (1.2%)	1 (10%)	0.23	0.03 - 1.83	
	Other Pedigree	32 (3.8%)	7(21.9%)	0.58	0.25 - 1.64	
<b>Sex (N=1075)</b>	Male	696 (64.7%)	211 (30.3%)	Base		0.11
	Female	379 (35.2%)	133 (35.1%)	1.24	0.95 - 1.62	
<b>Neuter Status (N=1075)</b>	Entire	431 (40.1%)	167 (38.8%)	Base		<0.001
	Neutered	644 (59.9%)	177 (27.5%)	0.6	0.46 - 0.78	
<b>Financial Concerns (N=1311)</b>	No financial Concerns	809 (61.6%)	223 (27.6%)	Base		<0.0001
	Stray	293 (22.4%)	120 (56.9%)	3.47	2.53 - 4.74	
	Financial concerns	211 (16.1%)	90 (30.7%)	1.17	0.87 - 1.56	
<b>Season of presentation (N=1311)</b>	Spring	234 (17.8%)	82 (35.0%)	Base		0.44
	Summer	307 (23.4%)	110 (36.0%)	1.45	0.73 - 1.48	
	Autumn	490 (37.3%)	152 (31.0%)	0.83	0.60 - 1.16	
	Winter	282 (21.5%)	89 (31.6%)	0.86	0.59 - 1.23	
<b>Age (N=1011)</b>	<6months	53 (5.3%)	16 (30.2%)	Base		<0.0001
	6months-<1year	201 (19.9%)	49 (24.4%)	0.75	0.38 - 1.46	
	1-≤2years	342 (33.8%)	7 (22.5%)	0.67	0.35 - 1.27	
	3-≤5years	196 (19.4%)	52 (26.5%)	0.84	0.43 - 1.63	
	6-≤9years	123 (12.2%)	38 (30.9%)	1.03	0.51 - 2.08	
	10-≤14years	61 (6.1%)	27 (44.3%)	1.84	0.85 - 3.98	
	15-≤20years	35 (3.5%)	24 (68.6%)	5.05	2.00 - 12.70	
<b>Admit (N=1313)</b>	Not Admitted	497 (37.9%)	271 (54.5%)	Base		<0.001
	Admitted	816 (62.1%)	162 (19.8%)	0.21	0.16 - 0.26	
<b>Radiograph (N=1311)</b>	No Radiograph	921 (70.1%)	346 (37.6%)	Base		<0.001
	Radiograph	392 (29.9%)	87 (22.2%)	0.47	0.36 - 0.62	
<b>Ultrasound (N=1311)</b>	No ultrasound	1202 (91.5%)	414 (34.4%)	Base		<0.001
	Ultrasound	111 (8.5%)	19 (17.1%)	0.39	0.24 - 0.66	

417 <sup>1</sup> Confidence Interval

418 <sup>2</sup> All p-values calculated using the likelihood ratio test

419

420

421 **Supplementary Table 4 part 2: Univariable analysis for risk factors for death following RTA in cats**  
 422 **presented to VetsNow practices between 14/12/11 and 14/2/14**

		Total (%)	Deaths (%)	Odds Ratio	95% C.I. <sup>1</sup>	p-value <sup>2</sup>
<b>Maximum sedation or anaesthesia (N=1311)</b>	None	1117 (85.1%)	396 (35.0%)	Base		<0.0001
	Sedation	104 (7.9%)	23 (22.1%)	0.52	0.32 - 0.83	
	General Anaesthesia	92 (7%)	14 (15.2%)	0.33	0.18 - 0.58	
<b>IVFT (N=1311)</b>	No IVFT	714 (54.5%)	323 (45.2%)	Base		<0.001
	IVFT	599 (45.6%)	110 (18.4%)	0.27	0.21 - 0.35	
<b>Blood Transfusion (N=1311)</b>	None	1310 (99.8%)	432 (33%)	Base		-
	Fresh blood	2 (0.16%)	0	-	-	-
	Synthetic blood	1 (0.08%)	1 (100%)	-	-	0.21
<b>Mannitol Infusion (N=1311)</b>	None	1283 (97.7%)	420 (32.7%)	Base		<0.0001
	Mannitol	19 (1.45%)	6 (31.6%)	0.95	0.36 - 2.52	
	Hypertonic Saline	9 (0.69%)	6 (66.7%)	4.11	1.02 - 16.51	
	Mannitol & Hypertonic Saline	2 (0.15%)	1 (50%)	2.06	0.13 - 32.93	
<b>Analgesia (N=1311)</b>	None	216 (16.5%)	199 (92.1%)	Base		<0.001
	NSAID	30 (2.3%)	0	-	-	
	Opioid	671 (51.2%)	207 (30.9%)	0.04	0.02 - 0.06	
	NSAID & Opioid	395 (30.1%)	27 (7.4%)	0.006	0.003 - 0.01	
<b>Oxygen (N=1311)</b>	No O2	1089 (82.9%)	314 (28.8%)	Base		<0.0001
	O2	224 (17.1%)	119 (53.1%)	2.8	2.09 - 3.75	
<b>Bloods Test (N=1311)</b>	No Blood test	832 (63.5%)	368 (44.2%)	Base		<0.001
	Blood Test	481 (36.5%)	65 (13.5%)	0.2	0.14 - 0.27	
<b>Abdomen (N=1311)</b>	No Abdominal injury	1220 (92.9%)	397 (32.5%)	Base		0.22
	Abdominal Injury	93 (7.1%)	36 (38.7%)	1.31	0.85 - 2.02	
<b>Thorax (N=1311)</b>	No Thoracic Injury	1070 (81.5%)	316 (28.8%)	Base		<0.001
	Thoracic Injury	243 (18.5%)	117 (48.1%)	2.22	1.67 - 2.94	
<b>Head (N=1311)</b>	No Head Injury	893 (68%)	250 (28.0%)	Base		<0.001
	Head Injury	420 (32%)	183 (43.6%)	1.99	1.56 - 2.53	
<b>Limb (N=1311)</b>	No Limb Injury	1037 (78.9%)	361 (34.8%)	Base		0.006
	Limb Injury	276 (21.1%)	72 (26.1%)	0.66	0.49 - 0.89	
<b>Spine (N=1311)</b>	No Spinal Injury	1132 (86.2%)	334 (29.5%)	Base		<0.001
	Spinal Injury	181 (13.8%)	99 (54.7%)	2.89	2.10 - 3.97	

423 <sup>1</sup> Confidence Interval

424 <sup>2</sup> All p-values calculated using the likelihood ratio test  
 425

426 **Supplementary Table 4 part 3: Univariable analysis for risk factors for death following RTA in cats**  
 427 **presented to Vetsnow practices between 14/12/11 and 14/2/14**

		Total (%)	Died (%)	Odds Ratio	95% C.I. <sup>1</sup>	P-value <sup>2</sup>
<b>Pelvis (N=1311)</b>	No Pelvic Injury	1015 (77.3%)	337 (33.2%)	Base		0.75
	Pelvic Injury	298 (22.7%)	96 (32.2%)	0.96	0.73 - 1.26	
<b>Skin (N=1311)</b>	No Skin Injury	952 (72.5%)	381 (40.0%)	Base		<0.001
	Skin Injury	361 (27.5%)	52 (14.4%)	0.25	0.18 - 0.35	
<b>Hypovolaemic Shock (N=1311)</b>	No Hypovolaemic Shock	1156 (88.1%)	363 (31.4%)	Base		0.001
	Hypovolaemic Shock	157 (12.0%)	70 (44.6%)	1.76	1.25 - 2.47	
<b>Concurrent conditions (N=1311)</b>	No Concurrent conditions	1304 (99.3%)	427 (32.8%)	Base		0.05
	Concurrent conditions	9 (0.7%)	6 (66.7%)	4.11	1.02 - 16.57	
<b>Total number of recorded injuries (N=1311)</b>	0	77(5.9%)	21 (27.3%)	Base		<0.0001
	1	572 (43.6%)	145 (25.4%)	0.91	0.53 - 1.55	
	2	415 (31.6%)	157 (37.8%)	1.62	0.94 - 2.78	
	3	179 (13.6%)	78 (43.6%)	2.06	1.15 - 3.68	
	4	56 (4.3%)	26 (46.4%)	2.31	1.12 - 4.78	
	5+	14 (1.1%)	6(42.86%)	2	0.62 - 6.45	

428 <sup>1</sup> Confidence Interval

429 <sup>2</sup> All p-values calculated using the likelihood ratio test

430

431