

LJMU Research Online

Crowley, C, van Hout, MC, Lambert, J, Cullen, W, Kelly, E and Murphy, C

Barriers and facilitators to Hepatitis C (HCV) Screening and Treatment – A Prisoners' Perspective

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/9672/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Crowley, C, van Hout, MC, Lambert, J, Cullen, W, Kelly, E and Murphy, C Barriers and facilitators to Hepatitis C (HCV) Screening and Treatment – A Prisoners' Perspective. Harm Reduction Journal. ISSN 1477-7517 (Accepted)

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

Barriers and Facilitators to Hepatitis C (HCV) Screening and Treatment – A Description of Prisoners' Perspective.

Des Crowley, Marie Claire Van Hout, John S Lambert, Enda Kelly, Carol Murphy, Walter Cullen

Corresponding author

Dr Des Crowley

Email –doctordes@hotmail.com

Abstract

Background: Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) infection is a global epidemic with an estimated 71 million people infected worldwide. People who inject drugs (PWID) are over represented in prison populations globally and have higher levels of HCV infection than the general population. Despite increased access to primary health care while in prison, many HCV infected prisoners do not engage with screening or treatment. With recent advances in treatment regimes, HCV in now a curable and preventable disease and prisons provide an ideal opportunity to engage this hard to reach population.

Aim: To identify barriers and enablers to HCV screening and treatment in prisons

Methods: A qualitative study of four prisoner focus groups (n=46) conducted at two prison settings in Dublin, Ireland.

Results: The following barriers to HCV screening and treatment were identified, lack of knowledge, concerns regarding confidentiality and stigma experienced and inconsistent and delayed access to prison health services. Enablers identified included; access to health care, opt-out screening at committal, peer support, and stability of prison life which removed many of the competing priorities associated with life on the outside. Unique blocks and enablers to HCV treatment reported were, fear of treatment and having a liver biopsy, the requirement to go to hospital and in-reach hepatology services and fibroscaning.

Conclusion; The many barriers and enablers to HCV screening and treatment reported by Irish prisoners will inform both national and international public health HCV elimination strategies. Incarceration provides a unique opportunity to upscale HCV treatment and linkage to the community would support effectiveness.

Introduction

Hepatitis C infection (HCV) is a major global epidemic, with an estimated 71 million people chronically infected worldwide[1]. HCV carries a significant global disease burden with an estimated 399,000 dying annually from HCV related liver failure and cancer[1,2]. Unsafe injecting drug use (IDU) is the main route of HCV transmission in developed countries, with an estimated 20 million people who inject drugs (PWID) infected worldwide [2].

There are an estimated 10 million people incarcerated globally on any one day with many more coming in contact with the criminal justice system annually[2]. PWID are over represented in prison populations due to the criminalisation of drug use and the engagement in criminal activity to fund illicit drug habits [3,4]. A number of HCV transmissions risks in prisoners have already been reported in the literature these include unsafe IDU, sharing of drug taking paraphernalia, prison tattooing, and factors independent of these but linked to incarceration such as violent assault, sharing of tooth brushes and razors and possibly other unidentified factors[3,5–7]. There is also evidence in the literature of increased HCV transmission among HIV -infected men who have sex with men (MSM), which is a concern in prisons without access to condoms[8]. The incidence of HCV in general prison populations is estimated at 1.4 per 100 person years (py), increasing to 16.4 per 100 py in inmates who inject drugs [3]. The global HCV prevalence in incarcerated populations is estimated at 26%, increasing to 64% among those with a history of IDU [3].

Prisoners have complex physical and psychological needs with poor access to and uptake of health services ([9,10]. While incarcerated, prisoners have better access to primary health care

and lower mortality than when released back into the community [9,11]. Prison provides structured routine, access to good nutrition and exercise and removes many of the stressors experienced in the community [9]. HCV treatment can be effectively provided in prisons with outcomes equal or better than community based treatment [12,13]. Despite the recognised potential to screen and treat this high-risk group for HCV infection, uptake remains low [14].

The rate of imprisonment in Ireland is approximately 79 per 100,000 of population [15]. There are 3674 persons incarcerated in Ireland on any given day and the annual turnover of prisoners is 14,182[15]. In common with other prison populations, the majority of inmates are serving sentences of less than 12 months [15]. Similar to other prison populations globally, there are high levels of poverty, social deprivation, homelessness, early school dropout, unemployment, illiteracy, mental illness and drug use [4,6,9]. Over half of Irish prisoners report a history of opiate use with 43 % reporting a history of injecting [6]. A 2000 study estimated the prevalence of HCV infection in the Irish prison population at 37% increasing to 81% % in those with a history of IDU [16]. A later study found a reduced HCV prevalence of 13% [6]. The Irish National HCV strategy (2014) identifies prisons as key locations to screen and treat HCV infection [17]. The Irish Prison Services (IPS) delivers primary health care through a network of general practitioners (GPs) and nurses in all 15 prison locations in the republic of Ireland (ROI). GPs and GP addiction specialist oversee blood borne virus (BBV) screening. Presently in Ireland there is no structured approach to HCV screening in prisons. National guidelines recommend that all prisoners should be tested for HCV infection [41] however no data is available on screening and treatment uptake in Irish prisons. In-reach specialist hepatology services and fibroscanning services are provided in three prison locations in the Dublin area. These services provide HCV treatment equivalent to that provided in the community [18]. Direct acting anti-viral (DAA) therapies can only be prescribed by specialists and their costs are funded by the national health service (HSE) and does not impact on the prison health care budget.

Barriers to HCV screening and treatment in the community have been previously identified [19–21]. These include; lack of knowledge and awareness of HCV, substance misuse, mental illness, poor motivation, fear of treatment, fear of liver biopsy, competing priorities, rigid hospital

appointment system, treatment eligibility criteria and access, health insurance and transportation [19,21,22]. Similar blocks have been identified among prisoners [23,24] with other unique challenges have been described for prison populations. These include; short prison sentence, inter-prison transfers, prison bureaucracy and the cost to prison health care budgets. Critical enablers to HCV screening and treatment have been identified and these include, in-reach hepatology services, improved models of health care delivery, increasing prisoners' awareness and understanding of HCV infection and treatment options, educating both operational and clinical staff and involvement of peer educators in increasing knowledge and reducing stigma[25,26].

Similar to other developed countries, Ireland has a large cohort of untreated chronically infected HCV prisoners [6,16]. New screening techniques (dried blood spot testing), liver disease screening tools(elastography) and drug therapies (DAA) have revolutionised HCV screening and treatment, both in the community and in prisons.[27–30] Many studies have identified barriers and enablers to community based HCV screening and treatment but very little research has been published on prison populations. HCV is now considered a preventable and curable infection but challenges remain to accessing those infected[11,31]. Prisons offer a unique opportunity to overcome these challenges and increasing prison-based screening and treatment is an essential public health strategy in tackling this global epidemic[32]. The aim of this study is to augment the existing scant published literature on blocks and enablers to prison HCV screening and treatment and inform the Irish National HCV treatment Program on strategies to maximise HCV screening and treatment uptake in the Irish prison population.

Methodology

The location of the study was The Mountjoy Campus in Dublin, Ireland and involved two of the three institutions. Mountjoy Prison is a closed, medium security prison for adult males with an operational capacity of 554. The Dochas Centre is a closed, medium security prison for adult females with an operational capacity of 105. Four focus groups took place during 2017. Ethical

approval was granted by the Mater Hospital Ethics Committee as part of Seek and Treat component of The European Hep Care Project and ratified by the Irish Prison Services.

Participants were recruited at both sites by open invitation through posters and directly by custodial and healthcare staff. Focus groups were conducted onsite and in a room located in the medical section of each prison to ensure privacy. No inducements were offered for participation. On completion of the focus groups all participants were offered an opportunity to link with a specialist nurse. All interested prisoners (n=46) were given a patient information sheet and a consent form to sign. Following, a review of the literature on the topic, completion of a scoping review and consultation with the research group and national experts in the area a focus group guide was finalised. This guideline included a series of open-ended questions covering the following areas; experience of community –based and prison- based HCV screening and treatment, barriers and enablers to uptake, challenges related to incarceration and release, inter-prison variations in health care delivery and role of security staff and peers in prison HCV management.

Focus groups were facilitated by an experienced team of facilitators. Researcher 1 (DC) and 3 (CM) facilitated focus group 1,2 and 4 (n= 37). Researcher 2 (MVH) and researcher 3 (CM) facilitated focus group 3 (n=9). The average time for the focus group was 75 minutes with a range between 45-90 minutes. The focus groups were recorded and the audio files were transcribed using Microsoft Word10 by researcher 1(DC). A grounded theory approach informed both the collection and analysis of the data. QSR NVivo 10.0 was used for organising and thematic coding of the transcribed data. The thematic coding was revised with the analysis of each focus group and analysis ceased when thematic saturation was achieved (agreed by researcher 1 and 2).

Results

The following themes related to barriers to both HCV screening and treatment emerged from the analysis; lack of knowledge, concerns regarding confidentiality, fear of being stigmatised, inconsistent access to prison health services with delays in screening and receiving results.

Further themes related to enablers were identified including; access to health care, opt-out screening at committal, peer support, and stability of prison life which removed many of the competing priorities associated with life on the outside. Unique themes identified related to treatment included, fear of treatment and having a liver biopsy, the requirement to go to hospital and in-reach hepatology services and fibroscanning.

Lack of knowledge

All focus groups identified lack of knowledge as a major block to engagement with HCV treatment services. Prisoners were aware of their own lack of knowledge and were often confused about the different types of hepatitis.

"I didn't know anything about it. I think I have (it) before I came in here but I don't know if it was Hep B or C". (male aged 28 years)

"You have a high risk of catching it even if you're not using it so I don't know if it was Hep C or Hep B, there should be more education on it". (female aged 30 years)

"I wouldn't have known anything about Hep C and we might be transferring it without knowing. Everyone should go and have a test done because you don't have to be on drugs all the time for that to happen". (male aged 33 years)

"I didn't know about the Hep till I came to Dublin a year ago and I heard 'be careful about the Hep' and I was like what the fuck is Hep". (female aged 24 years)

Many prisoners were confused about modes of transmission.

"Think you can get it from smoking a rollie or from toilets. Haven't got any information ". (male aged 28 years)

"Does it live for six months on the floor?" (male aged 22 years)

Others commented on the misinformation that existed among other prisoners.

"The misinformation that's out there. People think once it's there it's there for life like you're riddled". (male aged 22 years)

"Most people who don't have an understanding. You talk to someone who says something then you ask someone else and they'd tell you a completely different story. So, there's misinformation. We still don't know anything about it you know". (female aged 24 years)

Fear of liver biopsy and Treatment

Many prisoners spoke about their fear of treatment and the "horror" stories they had heard from other inmates. Many found that their own experiences of treatment were much more positive,

"Yeah, I heard of people losing their hair. It'd put you off. But it didn't affect me one bit the way
I thought it would. Need more education on that part of it". (male aged 28 years)

"They used to get the injection but it made her sick or something. It did. She said she was very sick. Some people say I'd rather die than do the treatment". (female aged 26 years)

A number of prisoners described the fear of liver biopsy and similar to their experience found the reality of having the biopsy less onerous.

"I remember years ago they'd go in through the top of the shoulder. So, I wouldn't do it. Hear people 'don't get that liver biopsy' but I went through it myself.... it was grand, no pain". (male aged 34 years)

"'They're going to hit your lung' just scaremongering". (male aged 27 years)

Concerns regarding confidentiality

Prisoners expressed concerns regarding confidentiality. Some believed that non-medical staff had access to their medical records.

"They (prison officers) say they won't check but that's bullshit you can go into any computer and access whatever you want". (male aged 28 years)

Many explained that the process of being called for bloods and hospital appointments was not confidential and prisoners were often called on the landing for certain blood tests and hospital appointments which revealed their medical status to the other prisoners and security staff.

"Getting called for tests as you walk onto the landing and there you're getting called for blood test and people see you going and say why's he getting a blood test, why why why you know?" (male aged 34 years)

Fear of being stigmatised

Coupled with anxiety around confidentiality was the fear of being stigmatised by other prisoners and staff if they became aware of their HCV status.

"There's a stigma attached to it. There is!!" (female aged 25 years)

"Then one of them called me aside because it's gone around that I had the virus. That's why I got sacked out of the kitchen because I had the virus.... Pure ignorance to take me out of the kitchen, just because I had Hepatitis C and at that stage it was gone". (male aged 36 years)

"Yeah definitely! They feel like they're gonna get judge". (female aged 26 years)

Many prisoners described a double stigma, the first associated with their HCV status and the second with being identified as a prisoner in a hospital setting. The policy of handcuffing male prisoners for security reason while attending out-patient appointments was identified as increasing the chances of experiencing stigma and shame. There appeared to be regional variation, with prisoner have more negative experiences in rural areas.

"When I was in the Joy (Mountjoy Prison) you'd hate it because you'd have chains all over you. Handcuffs and that shit". (male aged 26 years)

"In Dublin when you're brought to the hospital they're more used to it. When you go into the hospitals in the country in handcuffs even the doctors and nurses are looking at you". (male aged 34 years)

"Talking to me like a piece of shit, and this man was taking my bloods. It makes an awful lot of difference". (male aged 36 years)

Systemic barriers

Many participants expressed frustration at the many systemic blocks to HCV screening and treatment they experienced while incarcerated. These included delays in having bloods taken

"Could be months down the line and they forget about it and then they come out of nowhere". (male aged 29 years)

"Not chased up, it's not efficient". (female aged 24 years)

Many also experienced long delays in receiving the results once the blood was taken.

"I've waited two and a half years badgering for results so again that happens even if you did ask it takes too long so need to move quicker". (male aged 29 years)

"They're getting out before they even get blood tests. Then when you're coming back in, they're doing the same tests again and again". (male aged 36 years)

Others felt they had to wait too long for treatment despite being motivated and willing to engage with services.

"Very slow process, to the point of treatment. For me it took years. For me I wanted the treatment as soon as possible and it still took ages". (male aged 36 years)

Enablers

Opt-out screening at committal

Screening on comital was seen by most inmates as an enabler to treatment describing it as "more private" and "more suitable ". Some proposed an opt-out type of screening program.

"Make it automatic, straight away when you come in on committal". (male aged 26 years)

"It should be done when you land in the prison. Should be just done". (female aged 44 years)

However, some participants were concerned that committal was already a stressful time for many inmates adapting to their new surroundings with some having to manage withdrawals.

"A big group to do everyone there and then people are coming in with withdrawals ... it's a difficult situation for us to be in too. That's why they leave it for a while so people fit into the routine. Although if you're only remanded for a week you wouldn't get it". (male aged 34 years)

In-reach hepatology

Participants identified the presence of in-reach hepatology services at both locations as a facilitator to engagement with HCV treatment. The availability of on-site specialist hepatology reduced the need for patients to attend hospital outpatients.

"It'd be much better if the services were in the prison so you didn't even need to go to hospital". (female aged 29 years)

Access to in-reach fibroscanning

The majority of prisoner expressed satisfaction with access to and the experience of fibroscanning. They described it as "no problem" "just a scan "and "simple". They identified it as an enabler to screening and treatment.

"People go and get tested quicker". (male aged 34 years)

"No hesitation at all, the woman that does it is nice. She explains it all to you, she's good at talking simple". (male aged 26 years)

"If people know it's nothing big just a bit of gel then it's no problem. When she showed me the machine it was no problem. Everyone would jump on that a lot quicker. Test so easy to get done". (male aged 28 years)

Participants highlighted that they had quicker and easier access to fibroscanning within prison than in the community.

"It's hard to get an appointment on the outside but it only takes 5-10 minutes in the (prison) clinic. When you know it's that simple you'll go 10 times quicker than hospital". (female aged 24 years)

"Outside like...if you have an appointment you'd put it off and put it off. You've nothing but time in this place, It's easier". (male aged 32 years)

Stability of prison life eliminating competing priorities

All focus group participants agreed that prison afforded and ideal opportunity to engage with HCV screening and treatment. Prison eliminated many of the blocks experienced by this cohort in the community in particular, homelessness, personal motivation, competing priorities, access to health care and drug treatment.

"I think you take the opportunity while you're here instead of.... Especially when you're in prison". (female aged 28 years)

"You make excuses on the outside, make excuses about everything. In prison 100% you do it". (female aged 28 years)

"I'm in jail now I better get sorted ... outside to get to the doctor, accommodation, drug use same kind of thing if you're not getting accommodation you're not going to go to any doctor.

No accommodation and on drugs you don't chase any of that up". (male aged 34 years)

"Every single time I got out, I go to nothing. I said it to welfare, when I get out of here I have nowhere to go, I don't want to get out and go to nothing. Walking around saying stuff and it's like with hospital appointments or anything you don't think about it". (male aged 38 years)

"Hard enough for us to cope as it is outside with everyday life without throwing that on top. The opportunity to do it in prison you don't have all the stresses of life to go with it, you're more willing to take it on". (female aged 36 years)

"Yeah going back out with no address you know... walking down the streets, you just wouldn't go to hospital. Prison, quieter". (female aged 25 years)

Peer support

Many participants identified peer educators as a potential facilitator to HCV screening and treatment. A number of prisoners had experienced mass HIV and TB screening programs involving Red Cross peer workers while serving previous sentences and described it as facilitating their engagement. They described trusting the peers, in particular those prisoners who had completed HCV treatment.

"Someone who's been through it and knows about it and knows about the body. Someone that's been through it that's been through the treatment that understands it". (male aged 28 years)

"It is helpful when you're talking to someone like that and they know what they're talking about, it's comforting". (male aged 34 years)

"Yeah from a prisoner to a prisoner. It's not like you're going to be a teacher giving a lecture.

You're just sitting down talking about how you catch it and just educating people". (female aged 27 years)

"Prisoners would give more time to other prisoners. You lose track with nurses because you just get fed up sometimes Where if it came from a prisoner who had it you'd listen more because

you relate to what they're after going through so at least you'd have a bit more understanding at the end of the day because you know they're not judging you". (female aged 28 years)

Discussion

Many of the barriers to HCV screening and treatment in prisoners identified in this study have been reported previously in earlier studies conducted in the pre-DAA era [23,24]. As outlined in the introduction these include, lack of knowledge and awareness of HCV, poor motivation, fear of treatment, liver biopsy and stigma, competing priorities and prison bureaucracy. Lack of information regarding HCV and its management and fear of treatment are recognised as challenges to HCV elimination in PWID and prisoners[19,23]. Much of the fear surrounding treatment is related to interferon-based therapies and the historical requirement for pretreatment liver biopsy[33]. Pan-genotypic DAA and non-invasive mobile elastography have simplified HCV treatment[34,35]. The findings from this study, the first conducted on this issue in the DAA era, supports the need for a program of education to disseminate this information among PWID and prisoners, who still report fear as a barrier to engagement.

Participants identified peer-educators as a facilitator to engagement with health services while incarcerated and important sources to access health information. The importance of peer to peer education is well documented[36]. Peer education has been adopted in health promotion in various settings because of its cost-effectiveness over professionally delivered services [37]. Furthermore, peers are seen by other prisoners as a credible source of information and have the potential to address the lack of HCV related knowledge and stigma reported among prison populations [37].

Study participants experienced delays in accessing HCV screening and in receiving results. It is recognised that HCV screening programs in prisons are often ad hoc, inconsistent and incomplete[38–41].. This research found an inconsistent approach to HCV screening with many prisoners only being tested at their own request. Consideration should be given to introducing an opt- out screening program on committal to prison in Ireland [39–41]. This screening strategy has been shown to be cost effective and has the potential to reduce HCV transmission

and HCV related liver disease primarily in the community [39,42]. It was also supported by many of the focus group participants. Importantly it has the potential to reduce stigma[40,43]. There was widespread support for opt-out screening at committal from the participants. The routine and structured nature of the committal process was seen as a means to embed HCV screening as a routine part of prison health care. A small number of participants expressed concerns about adding screening into an already stressful time for new committals that might be struggling with withdrawal symptoms. This concern has been reported previously in the literature[39,44].

Research shows prison based HCV treatment to have equivalent or better outcomes to community and hospital- based treatment if the prisoner was not released or transferred during treatment [12,30]. Despite their high cost, the use of DAAs in prison populations, are shown to be cost effective[45]. In Ireland in-reach hepatology services exists in three institutions and two of these are included in this study. Prisoners identified these services as enablers to screening and treatment. These services reduce the need for hospital appointments, save on prison escorts, reduce risk to the general population and the embarrassment and stigma experienced by prisoners when attending these services while hand cuffed. In Ireland, the handcuffing of patients for hospital visits only occurs in the male prison population. In this study the female prison focus group did not experience the same stigma and embarrassment as their male counterparts when attending for hospital appointments, with many enjoying "the day out" as break from the monotony and boredom of prison life.

Reviewing this policy may have an impact on compliance and uptake of HCV treatment.

Consideration should be given to piloting in an Irish setting other prison HCV treatment delivery models, shown to be effective in other jurisdictions. These include, nurse led clinics, teleconferencing and upskilling prison general practitioners and addiction doctors[46–48]. Different models may work best for different prisons depending on HCV prevalence, the structure and skill set of local health care teams and the availability and relationships with specialist hepatology services.

Any HCV screening and treatment model adopted by the IPS needs to take into consideration the need for continuity of treatment in the event of an inter-prison transfer or community release both identified as barriers to completing HCV treatment. Prisoners are often released without notice or pre-release planning. Linking community and prison in-reach hepatology will reduce the risk of patient drop-out on release. Inter-prison transfers need to be organised in a way that ensures prisoners on HCV treatment are only transferred to prisons where their treatment can be continued. Continuity of treatment is a key component to the cost-effectiveness of active case finding and treatment in prisons and transitioning back to the community is now considered a high risk period for HCV transmission in prisons[49]. Focus group participants described the negative impact that transition back to the community with homelessness, unemployment, drug user and other competing impacts can have on HCV treatment compliance. HCV treatment is seen as a relative need and often not the most pressing in PWID's life in the community[50]

A consistent theme expressed in all the focus groups was the stigma and shame felt by many of the prisoners who were HCV infected or had a history of drug use. This is well recognised in the literature [19,23,51]. Repeated concerns were voiced in the focus groups around confidentiality. Many prisoners believed that prison officers had access to their computerised health records. Some prisoners identified that having bloods taken or seeing certain staff members linked with hepatology services identified them among their fellow prisoners as drug users. Prisoners described being publicly called on their landings for certain appointments which were clearly associated with being assessed or treated for HIV/HCV infection. Many HCV infected patients are also in receipt of methadone maintenance treatment (MMT). The provision of MMT in both study locations is a large daily operational exercise making it impossible to protect the confidentiality of those attending the services. Maintaining absolute confidentiality is difficult in prison settings[52,53]. Despite such limitations every effort should be made to ensure medical confidentiality by educating and training of both clinical and non-clinical staff on the issue and having appropriate information sheets for prisoners on how their medical records are stored and who has access to them.

All participants favoured peer worker involvement in HCV management in Irish prisons. Peer educators are often used in prison setting to deliver education and training programs [54]. The model has also been shown to be effective in increasing HCV screening and treatment in community settings.[22,28] . Research shows high levels of satisfaction among service users and staff in community-based drug treatment clinics with this role [55]. There is further evidence to suggest that engagement in HCV care may be facilitated by the influence of peers who completed treatment [56]. The ETHOS Study in Australia reported a very strong positive response to peer workers by staff and service users which lead to improved access to services, a more client-friendly treatment environment and increased support to services users with assessment and engagement with HCV treatment [56]. Involving peer educators helps to dispel many of the myths regarding HCV treatment. It is also a very effective vehicle to develop education programs around HCV infection and treatment options. Peers can also be an effective support system for patients on treatment particularly in prison settings where traditional family and community support structures are absent. Many of the focus group participants identified the presence of a peer support network as an enabler to HCV screening and treatment.

The strength of this study is that we were able to evaluate how different groups discussed HCV together and how they debated the merits and weaknesses of identified blocks including their own experiences. The use of the focus group methodology allowed for the engagement of large numbers of prisoners with limited use of prison staff. This is an important consideration for any research conducted in real-life prison settings with limited staff resources and competing priorities. The engagement of both male and female prisoners was identified as a strength and increased the generalisablity of the findings both nationally and internationally. There are a number of limitations to this study including; participants may not have revealed their complete HCV narrative in the presences of others, researcher 1 was known to the male participants and the involvement of only two of the 15 prisons located in the ROI. Apart from age and gender other demographics on focus group participants were not collected. Knowledge of incarceration and drug use history along with HCV status and treatment history of the participants could have increased the interpretation and understanding of the focus group

narratives. While the focus groups were conducted in only two locations, many of the participants had experience of other prisons and contributed these during the interviews. This may increase the generalisablity of the findings to prisons outside of Dublin.

Conclusion

Irish prisons are a key setting to identify and treat HCV infected PWID. This important public health strategy can only be achieved by the elimination of identified barriers to HCV screening and treatment in Irish Prisons. The availability of short-acting, tolerable and highly effective DAA can eliminate many of these barriers but effective education programs highlighting the benefits of these treatments are required. Expanding the provision of HCV treatment to non-specialist health services such as general practitioners, within the prison and community, has the potential to increase HCV treatment uptake and outcomes. Opt-out screening at committal with engagement of peer educators has the potential to increase engagement but to maximise treatment uptake it is imperative that pre-treatment assessment and treatment is offered as early as possible in the sentence to optimise completion and outcomes. The expansion of inreach hepatology services and peer -educators to all prisons in the ROI should be considered. This research identified the fear of stigma as a major barrier to engagement with HCV treatment. Efforts to upscale training and education among security and health care prison staff are required. At a broader policy level consideration should be given to the de- criminalisation of drug users and the development of health services underpinned by inclusion and acceptance.

Abbreviations

HCV -Hepatitis C Virus

PWID - People who inject drugs

IDU-Injecting Drug Use

PY-Person years

IPS- Irish Prison Service

GP-General Practitioner

ROI – Republic of Ireland

BBV- Blood borne virus

HSE- Health Service Executive

DAA- Direct acting anti-viral

HIV – Human Immunodeficiency Virus

MMT – Methadone maintenance treatment

Declaration

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was granted by the Mater Hospital Ethics Committee as part of Seek and Treat component of The European Hep Care Project and ratified by the Irish Prison Services.

All interested prisoners (n=46) were given a patient information sheet and a consent form to sign (available on request).

Consent for publication

Ethical approval included consent for publication and was also included in the participant information sheet.

Availability of data materials

Data sharing is not applicable article as no data sets were generated or analysed during this current study. Transcripts of the focus groups are stored as per ethical approval requirement.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was self-funded

Authors Contributions

Conception and design; DC, MCVH, JSL, EK, CM, WC

Data collection; DC, MCVH, CM

Analysis and interpretation; DC, MCVH

First Draft of manuscript; DC

Critical revision for important intellectual content; DC, MCVH, JSL, EK, CM, WC

Final approval of version to be published; DC, MCVH, JSL, EK, CM, WC

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

The authors would like to thank the IPS staff and management who facilitate this research.

References

- [1] WHO 2017. Global Hepatitis Report 2017, World Health Organization. Geneva: 2017.
- [2] Nelson PK, Mathers BM, Cowie B, Hagan H, Des Jarlais D, Horyniak D, et al. Global

- epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C in people who inject drugs: Results of systematic reviews. Lancet 2011;378:571–83. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61097-0.
- [3] Larney S, Kopinski H, Beckwith CG, Zaller ND, Jarlais D Des, Hagan H, et al. Incidence and prevalence of hepatitis C in prisons and other closed settings: Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepatology 2013;58:1215–24. doi:10.1002/hep.26387.
- [4] Post JJ, Arain A, Lloyd AR. Enhancing assessment and treatment of hepatitis c in the custodial setting. Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:S70–4. doi:10.1093/cid/cit265.
- [5] Cunningham EB, Hajarizadeh B, Bretana NA, Amin J, Betz-Stablein B, Dore GJ, et al.

 Ongoing incident hepatitis C virus infection among people with a history of injecting drug use in an Australian prison setting, 2005-2014: The HITS-p study. J Viral Hepat 2017;24:733–41. doi:10.1111/jvh.12701.
- [6] Drummond A, Codd M, Donnelly N, McCausland D, Mehegan J, Daly L, et al. Study on the prevalence of drug use, including intravenous drug use, and blood-borne viruses among the Irish prisoner population. Dublin: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd; 2014.
- [7] Vescio MF, Longo B, Babudieri S, Starnini G, Carbonara S, Rezza G, et al. Correlates of hepatitis C virus seropositivity in prison inmates: A meta-analysis. J Epidemiol Community Health 2008;62:305–13. doi:10.1136/jech.2006.051599.
- [8] Jordan AE, Perlman DC, Neurer J, Smith DJ, Des Jarlais DC, Hagan H. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection among HIV+ men who have sex with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J STD AIDS 2017;28:145–59. doi:10.1177/0956462416630910.
- [9] Fazel S, Baillargeon J. The health of prisoners. Lancet 2011;377:956–65.doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61053-7.
- [10] Fazel S, Bains P, Doll H. Substance abuse and dependence in prisoners: A systematic review. Addiction 2006;101:181–91. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01316.x.
- [11] Martin NK, Vickerman P, Dore GJ, Hickman M. The hepatitis C virus epidemics in key populations (including people who inject drugs, prisoners and MSM): The use of direct-acting antivirals as treatment for prevention. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 2015;10:374–80.

- doi:10.1097/COH.0000000000000179.
- [12] Aspinall EJ, Mitchell W, Schofield J, Cairns A, Lamond S, Bramley P, et al. A matched comparison study of hepatitis C treatment outcomes in the prison and community setting, and an analysis of the impact of prison release or transfer during therapy. J Viral Hepat 2016;23:1009–16. doi:10.1111/jvh.12580.
- [13] Maru DS, Bruce RD, Basu S, Altice FL. Clinical Outcomes of Hepatitis C Treatment in a Prison Setting: Feasibility and Effectiveness for Challenging Treatment Populations. Clin Infect Dis 2008;47:952–61. doi:10.1086/591707.
- [14] Lazarus J V., Sperle I, Maticic M, Wiessing L. A systematic review of Hepatitis C virus treatment uptake among people who inject drugs in the European Region. BMC Infect Dis 2014;14:S16. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-S6-S16.
- [15] Irish Penal Reform Trust. Facts & Dirish Penal Reform Trust (IPRT). Prison Info Facts Fig 2018.
- [16] Allwright S, Bradley F, Long J, Barry J, Thornton L, Parry J V. Prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV and risk factors in Irish prisoners: results of a national cross sectional survey. BMJ 2000;321:78–82. doi:10.1136/BMJ.321.7253.78.
- [17] Health Service Executive. National Hepatitis C Strategy 2011-2014. 2012.
- [18] Lines R. From equivalence of standards to equivalence of objectives: The entitlement of prisoners to health care standards higher than those outside prisons. Int J Prison Health 2006;2:269–80. doi:10.1080/17449200601069676.
- [19] Swan D, Long J, Carr O, Flanagan J, Irish H, Keating S, et al. Barriers to and Facilitators of Hepatitis C Testing, Management, and Treatment Among Current and Former Injecting Drug Users: A Qualitative Exploration. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2010;24:753–62. doi:10.1089/apc.2010.0142.
- [20] Crowley D, Cullen W, Laird E, Lambert JS, Mc Hugh T, Murphy C, et al. Exploring patient characteristics and barriers to Hepatitis C treatment in patients on opioid substitution treatment attending a community based fibro-scanning clinic. J Transl Intern Med

- 2017;5:112–9. doi:10.1515/jtim-2017-0017.
- [21] Barocas JA, Brennan MB, Hull SJ, Stokes S, Fangman JJ, Westergaard RP. Barriers and facilitators of hepatitis C screening among people who inject drugs: A multi-city, mixed-methods study. Harm Reduct J 2014;11:1. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-11-1.
- [22] Roose RJ, Cockerham-Colas L, Soloway I, Batchelder A, Litwin AH. Reducing Barriers to Hepatitis C Treatment among Drug Users: An Integrated Hepatitis C Peer Education and Support Program. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2014;25:652–62. doi:10.1353/hpu.2014.0096.
- [23] Yap L, Carruthers S, Thompson S, Cheng W, Jones J, Simpson P, et al. A descriptive model of patient readiness, motivators, and hepatitis C treatment uptake among Australian prisoners. PLoS One 2014;9:e87564. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087564.
- [24] Khaw FM, Stobbart L, Murtagh MJ. «I just keep thinking I haven't got it because I'm not yellow»: A qualitative study of the factors that influence the uptake of Hepatitis C testing by prisoners. BMC Public Health 2007;7:98. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-7-98.
- [25] Woodall J, South J, Dixey R, De Viggiani N, Penson W. Expert views of peer-based interventions for prisoner health. Int J Prison Health 2015;11:87–97. doi:10.1108/IJPH-10-2014-0039.
- [26] South J, Woodall J, Kinsella K, Bagnall AM. A qualitative synthesis of the positive and negative impacts related to delivery of peer-based health interventions in prison settings.

 BMC Health Serv Res 2016;16:525. doi:10.1186/s12913-016-1753-3.
- [27] European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C 2016. J Hepatol 2017;66:153–94. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2016.09.001.
- [28] Arain A, De Sousa J, Corten K, Verrando R, Thijs H, Mathei C, et al. Pilot Study: Combining Formal and Peer Education with FibroScan to Increase HCV Screening and Treatment in Persons who use Drugs. J Subst Abuse Treat 2016;67:44–9.

 doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2016.04.001.
- [29] Martin NK, Hickman M, Miners A, Hutchinson SJ, Taylor A, Vickerman P. Cost-

- effectiveness of HCV case-finding for people who inject drugs via dried blood spot testing in specialist addiction services and prisons. BMJ Open 2013;3:e003153. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003153.
- [30] Howes N, Lattimore S, Irving WL, Thomson BJ. Clinical care pathways for patients With hepatitis C: Reducing critical barriers to effective treatment. Open Forum Infect Dis 2016;3:ofv218. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofv218.
- [31] Hajarizadeh B, Grebely J, Matthews GV, Martinello M, Dore GJ. The path towards hepatitis C elimination in Australia following universal access to interferon-free treatments. J Hepatol 2017;66:S291–2. doi:10.1016/S0168-8278(17)30899-1.
- [32] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Public health guidance on active case finding of communicable diseases in prison settings Prevention and control of communicable diseases in prison settings Public health guidance on active case finding of communicable diseases in prison settings. Stockholm and Lisbon: 2018. doi:10.2900/619331.
- [33] Palumbo E. Pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment for hepatitis C virus infection. Ther Adv Chronic Dis 2011;2:39–45. doi:10.1177/2040622310384308.
- [34] Reau NS. Pangenotypic regimens and the next generation hepatitis C virus therapy. Clin Liver Dis 2017;9:131–3. doi:10.1002/cld.635.
- [35] Grebely J, Bruneau J, Bruggmann P, Harris M, Hickman M, Rhodes T, et al. Elimination of hepatitis C virus infection among PWID: The beginning of a new era of interferon-free DAA therapy. Int J Drug Policy 2017;47:26–33. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.08.001.
- [36] Broadhead RS, Heckathorn DD, Altice FL, Van Hulst Y, Carbone M, Friedland GH, et al. Increasing drug users' adherence to HIV treatment: Results of a peer-driven intervention feasibility study. Soc Sci Med 2002;55:235–46. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(01)00167-8.
- [37] Arain A, Robaeys G, Stöver H. Hepatitis C in European prisons: A call for an evidence-informed response. BMC Infect Dis 2014;14:S17. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-S6-S17.
- [38] He T, Li K, Roberts MS, Spaulding AC, Ayer T, Grefenstette JJ, et al. Prevention of hepatitis

- C by screening and treatment in U.S. prisons. Ann Intern Med 2016;164:84–92. doi:10.7326/M15-0617.
- [39] Morris MD, Brown B, Allen SA. Universal opt-out screening for hepatitis C virus (HCV) within correctional facilities is an effective intervention to improve public health. Int J Prison Health 2017;13:192–9. doi:10.1108/IJPH-07-2016-0028.
- [40] Rumble C, Pevalin DJ, OMoore É. Routine testing for blood-borne viruses in prisons: A systematic review. Eur J Public Health 2015;25:1078–88. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckv133.
- [41] ECDC; EMCDD. Systematic review on active case finding of communicable diseases in prison settings. Stockholm: 2017. doi:10.2900/348536.
- [42] He T, Roberts MS, Grefenstette JJ, Chhatwal J. Cost-effectiveness of hepatitis C screening in united states prisons: An agent-based approach. Value Heal 2014;17:A37. doi:10.1016/j.jval.2014.03.225.
- [43] Bulteel N, Partha Sarathy P, Forrest E, Stanley AJ, Innes H, Mills PR, et al. Factors associated with spontaneous clearance of chronic hepatitis C virus infection. J Hepatol 2016;65:266–72. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2016.04.030.
- [44] O'Connell S, Lillis D, Cotter A, O'Dea S, Tuite H, Fleming C, et al. Opt-out panel testing for HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C in an urban emergency department: A pilot study. PLoS One 2016;11:e0150546. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150546.
- [45] Martin NK, Vickerman P, Brew IF, Williamson J, Miners A, Irving WL, et al. Is increased hepatitis C virus case-finding combined with current or 8-week to 12-week direct-acting antiviral therapy cost-effective in UK prisons? A prevention benefit analysis. Hepatology 2016;63:1796–808. doi:10.1002/hep.28497.
- [46] Lloyd AR, Clegg J, Lange J, Stevenson A, Post JJ, Lloyd D, et al. Safety and effectiveness of a nurse-led outreach program for assessment and treatment of chronic hepatitis c in the custodial setting. Clin Infect Dis 2013;56:1078–84. doi:10.1093/cid/cis1202.
- [47] Ní Cheallaigh C, O'Leary A, Keating S, Singleton A, Heffernan S, Keenan E, et al.

 Telementoring with project ECHO: A pilot study in Europe. BMJ Innov 2017;3:144–51.

- doi:10.1136/bmjinnov-2016-000141.
- [48] Zhou K, Fitzpatrick T, Walsh N, Kim JY, Chou R, Lackey M, et al. Interventions to optimise the care continuum for chronic viral hepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Lancet Infect Dis 2016;16:1409–22. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30208-0.
- [49] Stone J, Martin NK, Hickman M, Hutchinson SJ, Aspinall E, Taylor A, et al. Modelling the impact of incarceration and prison-based hepatitis C virus (HCV) treatment on HCV transmission among people who inject drugs in Scotland. Addiction 2017;112:1302–14. doi:10.1111/add.13783.
- [50] Harris M, Rhodes T. Venous access and care: Harnessing pragmatics in harm reduction for people who inject drugs. Addiction 2012;107:1090–6. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03749.x.
- [51] Harris M, Rhodes T. Hepatitis C treatment access and uptake for people who inject drugs: a review mapping the role of social factors d. g. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-10-7.
- [52] Møller L, Gatherer A, Juergens R, Stöver H, Nikogosian H. Health in Prisons. vol. 227.
 World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 1936. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(01)37037-X.
- [53] World Health Organization. Prisons and health. Geneva: 2014.
- [54] Bagnall A-M, South J, Hulme C, Woodall J, Vinall-Collier K, Raine G, et al. A systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of peer education and peer support in prisons. BMC Public Health 2015;15:290. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1584-x.
- [55] Treloar C, Rance J, Haber P, Bath N, Day C, Dore G, et al. How to build trustworthy hepatitis C services in an opioid treatment clinic? A qualitative study of clients and health workers in a co-located setting. Int J Drug Policy 2014;25:865–70. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2014.01.011.
- [56] Alavi M, Grebely J, Micallef M, Dunlop AJ, Balcomb AC, Day CA, et al. Assessment and treatment of hepatitis c virus infection among people who inject drugs in the opioid substitution setting: ETHOS study. Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:S62--S69.

doi:10.1093/cid/cit305.