

Article

Physiological, kinematic, and electromyographic responses to kinesiology-type patella tape in elite cyclists

Hébert-Losier, K, Yin, NS, Beavena, CM, Li, CTC and Richards, James

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/24887/

Hébert-Losier, K, Yin, NS, Beavena, CM, Li, CTC and Richards, James ORCID: 0000-0002-4004-3115 (2019) Physiological, kinematic, and electromyographic responses to kinesiology-type patella tape in elite cyclists. Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology, 44 . pp. 36-45. ISSN 1050-6411

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2018.11.009

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/ and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>



1	Physiological, kinematic, and electromyographic responses to kinesiology-type patella
2	tape in elite cyclists
3	
4	Kim Hébert-Losier ^a *, Ngieng Siew Yin ^b , C. Martyn Beaven ^a , Chris Tee Chow Li ^b , and Jim
5	Richards ^c
6	
7	^a Faculty of Health, Sport and Human Performance, University of Waikato, Tauranga, New
8	Zealand
9	
10	^b Department of Sports Science, National Sports Institute of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur,
11	Malaysia
12	
13	^b School of Health Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, Lancashire, United
14	Kingdom
15	
16	*Corresponding Author: Kim Hébert-Losier,
17	e-mail: kim.hebert-losier@waikato.ac.nz
18	
19	Keywords: 3D analysis; biomechanics; electromyography; kinesiology; performance;
20	physiology
21	
22	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
23	None.
24	
25	FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE
26	None.
27	

28 ABSTRACT

29

Kinesiology-type tape (KTT) has become popular in sports for injury prevention, 30 rehabilitation, and performance enhancement. Many cyclists use patella KTT; however, its 31 benefits remain unclear, especially in uninjured elite cyclists. We used an integrated 32 approach to investigate acute physiological, kinematic, and electromyographic responses to 33 34 patella KTT in twelve national-level male cyclists. Cyclists completed four, 4-minute 35 submaximal efforts on an ergometer at 100 and 200 W with and without patella KTT. 36 Economy, energy cost, oxygen cost, heart rate, efficiency, 3D kinematics, and lower-body 37 electromyography signals were collected over the last minute of each effort. Comfort levels 38 and perceived change in knee stability and performance with KTT were recorded. 39 The effects of KTT were either unclear, non-significant, or clearly trivial on all collected 40 physiological and kinematic measures. KTT significantly, clearly, and meaningfully 41 enhanced vastus medialis peak, mean, and integrated electromyographic signals, and vastus 42 medialis-to-lateralis activation. Electromyographic measures from biceps femoris and 43 biceps-to-rectus femoris activation ratio decreased in either a significant or clinically 44 meaningful manner. Despite most cyclists perceiving KTT as comfortable, increasing 45 stability, and improving performance, the intervention exerted no considerable effects on all physiological and kinematic measures. KTT did alter neuromuscular recruitment, which has 46 potential implications for injury prevention. 47

48 INTRODUCTION

49 Many health professionals, athletes, and coaches use kinesiology-type tape (KTT), with the intent to manage musculoskeletal sport injuries; however, growing evidence suggests no 50 51 additional benefit from KTT application compared to placebo taping or active control 52 treatment methods when managing musculoskeletal conditions (Ouyang et al., 2018, 53 Williams et al., 2012). On the other hand, several beneficial effects from KTT application 54 have been reported, including enhancement in muscle activation (Gilleard et al., 1998); 55 improved biomechanics, joint, and patella alignment (Lyman et al., 2017, Merino-Marban et 56 al., 2013); and decreased pain (Bockrath et al., 1993, Merino-Marban et al., 2013). It is 57 worth noting, however, that many studies report no effect from KTT on these measures 58 (Halski et al., 2015) or athletic performance (Lins et al., 2013, Reneker et al., 2018). 59 Underlying reasons for such contrasting scientific findings likely include the varied 60 application methods, differences in the mechanical properties of KTT across brands 61 (Matheus et al., 2017), targeted population, and individuals' perceived benefits of KTT with 62 a potential for placebo effect (Mak et al., 2018).

63

Cycling is a popular recreational and competitive sporting activity worldwide, and a 64 common exercise modality used during rehabilitation. At an elite level, athletes and coaches 65 continually seek for ways to improve performance through marginal gains and prevent the 66 occurrence of injuries. KTT is routinely used by coaches and athletes as an ergogenic aid 67 (Reneker et al., 2018), with various forms of taping employed to prevent injury occurrence 68 or recurrence (Zech and Wellmann, 2017). Given that up to 94% of professional cyclists 69 70 suffer from at least one overuse injury annually (Silberman, 2013), the visible increase in 71 use of KTT amongst elite cyclists for prophylactic purposes is not surprising.

72

73 Taping or bracing are frequently used to alleviate patellofemoral pain (PFP) symptoms and

- can impact knee motion during cycling (Theobald et al., 2012). Non-specific KTT
- application has been shown to be as effective as specific application for reducing pain and

population group (Theobald et al., 2014). However, any potential positive effect of taping on

recruitment patterns, and performance of

asymptomatic high-level cyclists has not been examined, despite the visibly increased

- 80 prevalence of use in the cycling community and KTT marketing campaigns.
- 81

82 Using an integrated approach, our aim was therefore to investigate the acute physiological,

83 kinematic, and electromyographic outcomes in response to applying KTT to the knee of elite

84 cyclists. As perceptions may influence outcomes of interventions, individual perceptions

85 were also assessed. We hypothesised that taping would be accompanied by changes in

86 muscle recruitment patterns, cycling economy and efficiency, and perceived stability that

87 have the potential to modulate cycling performance.

88

89 MATERIALS & METHODS

90 Participants

91 All male cyclists of the National Cycling Team training at the National Sports Institute for 92 Malaysia (n = 12) were invited and accepted to participate in this study. These 12 national 93 cyclists (mean \pm standard deviation (SD): age, 21.7 \pm 2.8 years; body mass, 65.6 \pm 5.4 kg; and height, 172.7 ± 3.4 cm) with at least four years of training experience provided written 94 informed consent to participate in this study, which adhered to The Code of Ethics of the 95 World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and was approved by our Institutional 96 97 Ethical Review Board (ISNRP 29/2015). Inclusion criteria were cyclists training at the 98 National Sports Institute of Malaysia for the National Cycling Team, good self-reported general health, and at least 18 years of age. Cyclists with current or recent (< 1 month) 99 100 musculoskeletal injuries, joint pathologies, or medical contraindications to physical exertion 101 were excluded.

102

103 Design

All cyclists attended 3 sessions at the biomechanics laboratory of the National Sports
Institute of Malaysia, one week apart. The first two weeks were familiarization sessions and
the third week was used to investigate the acute effects of KTT application through a
repeated-measures randomized experimental study design.

108

109 Given that ergometer versus outdoor cycling can affect cycling physiological measures 110 (Bertucci et al., 2012) and pedalling biomechanics (Bertucci et al., 2007), cyclists brought 111 their road bikes to the laboratory the first week of testing. Bike setup parameters were 112 recorded and employed to individualize setup on a Lode cycling ergometer (Excalibur Sport, 113 Lode B.V., Groningen, Netherlands), with all cyclists using their habitual cleats. The final 114 ergometer setup was recorded and used across the three weeks. Baseline demographics, 115 including leg dominance (self-perceived stronger cycling side), were recorded. Body mass 116 (kg) was measured weekly and subsequently used to calculate relative physiological 117 variables.

118

119 Cyclists began all sessions with a 2-min cycling warm-up on the ergometer after being set-120 up with the monitoring equipment. Cyclists then performed a submaximal 4-minute cycling 121 effort at 100 W, followed immediately by a second submaximal 4-minute cycling effort at 122 200 W. The efforts were completed without KTT during the familiarization weeks, and 123 twice on the third week: once with and once without KTT. The no tape (NT) and KTT 124 conditions were completed in a block-randomized order and separated by a 5-minute passive 125 seated rest. Thus, all cyclists completed four 4-minute efforts: NT 100 W, NT 200 W, KTT 126 100 W, and KTT 200 W. The powers of 100 and 200 W were selected to ensure that cycling 127 efforts were below the anaerobic threshold and to compute delta efficiency (Coyle et al., 128 1992) (see **Physiology**). Furthermore, the application of patella KTT at these powers has 129 been shown to alter lower-body cycling biomechanics in previous studies (Theobald et al., 130 2014), with these power levels set alongside the National Cycling Team of Malaysia to 131 inform their practice and use of KTT application in longer steady-state riding situations.

133 Taping method

134 The taping application we used was based on a method previously reported to induce 135 changes in cycling biomechanics within the power ranges here examined (Theobald et al., 136 2012, Theobald et al., 2014). With cyclists seated on the ergometer with the leg at the bottom of their power stroke, a strip of KTT (RockTape™, RockTape Inc., California) of 137 138 length equal to 50% of individual knee circumference was applied on to the centre of the 139 patella with light tension (approximately 25% of stretch to the tape). The medial and lateral 140 tape edges were aligned with the medial and lateral knee-joint lines (Figure 1). The same 141 experienced physiotherapist applied the tape to all cyclists. This simple KTT method (i.e., 142 across the patella) was selected given its ease-of-use and findings from previous studies 143 indicating that such a method impacts cycling biomechanics in a manner that is comparable 144 to that of a more intricate KTT application method (Theobald et al., 2014). Given the 145 minimalist KTT method applied, a "placebo" taping method was not implemented. ***Insert Figure 1*** 146

147

148 Physiology

Oxygen consumption (VO₂), carbon dioxide output (VCO₂), and heart rate were monitored 149 150 throughout the 4-minute experimental efforts using a calibrated K5 wearable metabolic technology system (COSMED, Rome, Italy). All physiological measures were averaged over 151 152 the last minute where steady state was observed. VO2 was used to determine steady-state 153 relative oxygen cost (mL/kg/km) and absolute cycling economy (W/L/min). From the VO₂ 154 and CO_2 data, the relative energy cost of efforts (kcal/kg/km) was estimated using the energy 155 expenditure equations described by Jeukendrup and Wallis (2005). Gross efficiency (%) and 156 delta efficiency (%) were calculated as suggested by Coyle et al. (1992) using the ratio of 157 work accomplished (watts converted to kcal/min) to absolute energy cost (kcal/min) for 158 gross efficiency, and the reciprocal of the slope that describes the relationship between the 159 absolute energy cost and work accomplished for delta efficiency.

161 *Kinematics*

162	Lower-body, trunk, and pelvis movements were captured in 3D during the last minute of
163	each 4-minute cycling effort at 300 Hz using 10 Oqus 300 infrared cameras and the Qualisys
164	Track Manager Software version 2.12 (Qualisys AB, Gothenburg, Sweden). Forty-six retro-
165	reflective markers (12 mm in diameter) were affixed to the skin, clothes, and shoes of
166	cyclists based on the Calibrated Anatomical System Technique (Cappozzo et al., 1997) and
167	following established guidelines (Grood and Suntay, 1983). All 46 markers were used for
168	static calibration; whereas 14 markers were removed for the cycling efforts (Figure 2).
169	
170	***Insert Figure 2***
171	
172	An 8-segment biomechanical model with 6 degrees of freedom at each joint was constructed
172 173	An 8-segment biomechanical model with 6 degrees of freedom at each joint was constructed in Visual3D Professional [™] Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD,
173	in Visual3D Professional TM Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD,
173 174	in Visual3D Professional [™] Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD, USA), with the local coordinates of the trunk, pelvis, thighs, shanks, and feet derived from
173 174 175	in Visual3D Professional [™] Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD, USA), with the local coordinates of the trunk, pelvis, thighs, shanks, and feet derived from the static calibration and the pelvis used to define hip-joint centres (Bell et al., 1989). Prior
173 174 175 176	in Visual3D Professional [™] Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD, USA), with the local coordinates of the trunk, pelvis, thighs, shanks, and feet derived from the static calibration and the pelvis used to define hip-joint centres (Bell et al., 1989). Prior to each session, the measurement volume was calibrated using a 750-mm wand and L-frame
173 174 175 176 177	in Visual3D Professional [™] Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD, USA), with the local coordinates of the trunk, pelvis, thighs, shanks, and feet derived from the static calibration and the pelvis used to define hip-joint centres (Bell et al., 1989). Prior to each session, the measurement volume was calibrated using a 750-mm wand and L-frame that defined the Cartesian origin of the laboratory. Cyclists were then requested to sit on the
173 174 175 176 177 178	in Visual3D Professional [™] Software version 5.02.30 (C-Motion Inc., Germantown, MD, USA), with the local coordinates of the trunk, pelvis, thighs, shanks, and feet derived from the static calibration and the pelvis used to define hip-joint centres (Bell et al., 1989). Prior to each session, the measurement volume was calibrated using a 750-mm wand and L-frame that defined the Cartesian origin of the laboratory. Cyclists were then requested to sit on the saddle of the ergometer, with legs hanging to the side, and remain motionless to allow static

181 *Electromyography*

182 The electromyography (EMG) signals from the following four muscles were recorded on

both the dominant and non-dominant sides: vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL),

- 184 rectus femoris (RF) and biceps femoris (BF). Signals were recorded using Noraxon's Dual
- 185 EMG surface Ag/AgCl electrodes (17.5 mm inter-electrode distance), wireless EMG
- sensors, and Desktop DTS data logger (Noraxon USA Inc., Scottsdale, AZ). EMG data were
- sampled at 1500 Hz, low-pass filtered at 500 Hz, and digitally integrated through the

Qualisys Track Manager Software. Skin preparation and electrode positioning followed the
Surface EMG for Noninvasive Assessment of Muscle (Hermens et al., 2000), International
Society of Electrophysiology and Kinesiology (Merletti and di Torino, 1999), and published
protocols (Gilleard et al., 1998). Cyclists completed a few cycling revolutions before
experimentation to allow visual inspection of EMG signal quality. Sensors were checked and
reapplied if artefacts were observed.

194

195 *Perception*

Perceived change in knee stability and performance with KTT compared to NT was assessed
at the end of the experimental session using a 5-point Likert (1932) Scale from negative (1)
to positive (5) perception, with the mid-point value representing no change (3). Comfort
level of KTT was also assessed using a similar method. Anchor points ranged from very

200 uncomfortable (1), much less stable (1), and much worse (1) to very comfortable (5), much

201 more stable (5), and much better (5) for comfort, knee stability, and performance,

202 respectively.

203

204 Data processing

205 Kinematic and EMG data were exported to the C3D format and processed in Visual 3D. Marker data were filtered using a 4th order zero-lag 15 Hz Butterworth bidirectional filter. 206 207 Kinematic parameters were then calculated using rigid-body analysis and Euler angles 208 obtained from the static calibration. Hip, knee, and ankle angles in the sagittal (flexion-209 extension), coronal (adduction-abduction), and transverse (internal-external rotation) planes 210 were calculated using an x-y-z Cardan sequence equivalent to the Joint Coordinate System 211 (Grood and Suntay, 1983), with the pelvis angles in the sagittal (anterior-posterior), coronal 212 (dominant, non-dominant obliquity), and transverse (dominant, non-dominant rotation) 213 planes defined relative to the laboratory. Trunk angles in the sagittal (flexion-extension), 214 coronal (dominant, non-dominant lateral flexion), and transverse (dominant, non-dominant 215 rotation) planes were also defined in relation to the laboratory coordinates. Data were

divided into movement cycles and time-normalized based on maximal knee flexion events.
Ensemble-average kinematic curves were generated for each participant and cycling effort,
and range of motion (ROM) values extracted.

219

220 EMG signal data were zeroed to remove any baseline offset and a 20-Hz high-pass filter 221 applied to remove movement artefacts. Signals were subsequently rectified and linear envelopes generated by smoothing the data using a low-pass, 4th order, zero-lag 15 Hz 222 223 Butterworth filter. The linear envelope for each muscle was then normalized to the highest 224 observed signal across all four conditions examined (% max). Similar to the kinematic data, 225 ensemble-average EMG signal curves time normalized to maximal knee flexion events were 226 generated from which mean and peak EMG signal values were extracted. An integrated 227 EMG (iEMG) signal was also generated by integrating the linear envelop from the start to 228 the end of each movement cycle, which was then normalized to the maximal observed iEMG 229 across all four efforts (% max).

230

231 Statistical analysis

232 Mean and SD values were computed for all parameters for both the 100 and 200 W efforts 233 and dominant and non-dominant sides. Changes in mean (Δ_{mean}) and standardized effect 234 sizes (ES) were computed to quantify the acute effect of KTT; with ES considered small, 235 moderate, large, and very large when reaching thresholds of 0.2, 0.6, 1.2, 2.0, and trivial 236 when < 0.2 (Smith and Hopkins, 2011). An effect was deemed 'clear' when its 90% 237 confidence limit did not overlap the thresholds for small positive and small negative effects 238 (i.e., 5%); and 'likely' to be clinically meaningful when its probability exceeded 75% (Smith 239 and Hopkins, 2011).

240

Paired *t*-tests were used to investigate differences between the tape and no-tape condition for the measures of interest, with the threshold for statistical significance set at $P \le 0.05$. All

243	data were analysed using customized statistical spreadsheets (Microsoft Excel 2013,
244	Microsoft Corp, Redmond WA, USA).
245	
246	RESULTS
247	Physiology
248	KTT had clear and trivial effects on oxygen cost and energy cost measures at 200 W that did
249	not reach statistical significance. The effect of KTT on all other physiological parameters
250	was unclear or unlikely, and not statistically significant (Table 1).
251	
252	***Insert Table 1***
253	
254	Kinematics
255	The clear and likely effects of KTT on ROM values at 100 W (Table 2) and 200 W (Table
256	3) were trivial, except for the mean ankle ROM in the transverse plane at 100 W on the
257	dominant side, where a small non-significant increase was noted (ES, 0.35; P, 0.097; Table
258	2). In all other cases, the effect of KTT was unclear or unlikely, and not statistically
259	significant.
260	
261	***Insert Table 2***
262	
263	***Insert Table 3***
264	
265	Electromyography
266	The effect of KTT on certain VM, VM-to-VL ratio, BF, and RF-to-BF ratio measures were
267	clear, likely, and significant at 100 W (Table 4) and 200 W (Table 5). Changes primarily
268	affected the efforts performed at 100 W.
269	

270	At 100 W, the effect of KTT on the non-dominant side was clear, likely, and significant for
271	increasing VM peak (ES, 1.35; P, 0.044), and decreasing the RF-to-BF ratio peak (ES, -0.42;
272	P, 0.021) and mean (ES, -0.62; P, 0.016) measures. There was also clear and likely non-
273	significant increases in VM iEMG (ES, 0.72; P, 0.128); and VM-to-VL ratio peak (ES, 2.20;
274	P, 0.118), iEMG (ES, 1.26; P, 0.097), and mean (ES, 1.21; P, 0.08) measures.
275	
276	At 100 W, the effect of KTT on the dominant side was clear, likely, and significant for
277	increasing VM iEMG (ES, 0.98; P, 0.024) and mean (ES, 0.95; P, 0.030); increasing VM-to-
278	VL ratio peak (ES, 2.19; <i>P</i> , 0.009), iEMG (ES, 1.63; <i>P</i> , 0.020), and mean (ES, 1.21; <i>P</i> ,
279	0.029); and decreasing BF mean (ES, -0.36; P, 0.047) measures. There was also a clear and
280	likely non-significant increase in VM peak (ES, 0.87; P, 0.056); and decrease in RF peak
281	(ES, -0.39; P, 0.135) and mean (ES, -0.51; P, 0.137) measures.
282	
283	***Insert Table 4***
284	
285	At 200 W, the effect of KTT on the non-dominant side was clear, likely, and significant for
286	increasing VM iEMG (ES, 1.04; P, 0.014). There was also a clear and likely non-significant
287	increase in VM peak (ES, 0.92; P, 0.122) and mean (ES, 0.92; P, 0.088); increase in VM-to-
288	VL ratio peak (ES, 1.41; <i>P</i> , 0.157), iEMG (ES, 0.88; <i>P</i> , 0.124), and mean (ES, 2.07; <i>P</i> ,
289	0.098); and decrease in BF mean (ES, -0.39; P, 0.194). At 200 W, there was a clear and
290	likely non-significant effect of KTT on decreasing peak BF (ES, -0.69; P, 0.077) measures.
291	
292	***Insert Table 5***
293	
294	Perception
295	Most cyclists perceived KTT as being comfortable, providing additional stability to the
296	knee, and enhancing performance (Figure 3). However, three cyclists felt that KTT was
297	uncomfortable, with one cyclist feeling more unstable with KTT.

299 ***Insert Figure 3***

300

301 **DISCUSSION**

302 Despite most cyclists perceiving enhanced performance and knee stability with patella KTT; 303 the effects of the intervention were either unclear, non-significant, or clearly trivial for all 304 physiological and kinematic measures, except for a small non-significant increase in ankle 305 ROM on the dominant side in the transverse plane at 100 W. KTT affected the EMG-306 determined muscle activation patterns the most, notably increasing VM and VM-to-VL ratio 307 measures at both powers; and decreasing BF and RF-to-BF ratio measures. Overall, our 308 findings indicate a potential for patella KTT to alter the neuromuscular recruitment patterns 309 of elite cyclists with no current musculoskeletal injury at low powers, which could have 310 implications in the prevention of overuse injuries.

311

312 Physiology

313 Cycling biomechanics and neuromuscular function can alter energy cost, oxygen cost, and 314 cycling efficiency. For instance, cycling in a more aerodynamic than upright position can 315 increase oxygen cost by 1.5% (Gnehm et al., 1997). This increase is speculated to result in 316 part from a shift in mean hip-joint angles towards greater flexion, which alters the operating 317 points of the hip- and knee-joint muscles on the force-velocity and force-length curves, as 318 well as an increase in hip adductor activation to prevent out-of-plane motion in extreme hip 319 flexion. The biomechanical and neuromuscular differences associated with changing cycling 320 positions from aerodynamic to upright are inherently much larger than those potentially 321 resulting from KTT application, especially proximally at the trunk, pelvis, and hip (Dorel et 322 al., 2009). It is likely that the neuromuscular changes observed here in VM, VM-to-VL ratio, 323 BF, and RF-to-BF ratio measures with KTT were not sufficient to cause significant or clear 324 alterations in the physiological parameters monitored.

326 Kinematics and muscle activation

327 Ideally, the legs should act as pistons during cycling (Sanner and O'Halloran, 2000), with 328 lower-body motion mainly directed upwards and downwards, and cyclists in a saddle 329 position that allows knee extension with minimal valgus angulation. Most studies addressing 330 lower-body kinematics during cycling have focused on sagittal plane motion, with our 331 sagittal ROM values agreeing with those typically reported (Bini et al., 2011). Although a 332 certain amount of 'out-of-plane' motion is anticipated, lower-body misalignment and 333 excessive out-of-plane motion are reported to contribute to musculoskeletal injuries in 334 cyclists (Bini et al., 2011, Gregor and Wheeler, 1994). One of the proposed benefits of KTT 335 is to assist in joint alignment through improvements in proprioception, which in turn can 336 improve movement patterns and cycling efficiency. Hence, we anticipated less out-of-plane 337 motion at the knee with KTT; however, such a reduction was not evident.

338

339 Previous studies have shown that patellar taping can affect movement patterns in both 340 healthy and symptomatic individuals (Theobald et al., 2014), as well as muscle recruitment 341 of VM (Gilleard et al., 1998), VL (Gilleard et al., 1998), and RF (Konishi, 2013). These 342 changes in neuromuscular function are suggested to result from the tactile stimulation of the 343 skin (Konishi, 2013), rather than by the actual tape configuration or alterations in patellar positioning (Bockrath et al., 1993). Conversely, several other studies have observed no 344 effect from therapeutic taping on neuromuscular function (Halski et al., 2015, Lins et al., 345 346 2013), with little evidence supporting improved athletic performance or muscle strength 347 (Csapo and Alegre, 2015, Lins et al., 2013). Our results support the hypothesis that applying 348 KTT across the knee stimulates VM activation and increases the VM-to-VL ratio in 349 asymptomatic elite cyclists during submaximal efforts, without inducing significant or clear 350 changes in knee biomechanics. 351

The VM muscle is the dynamic medial stabilizer of the patella and functionally important in aligning the patella within the patella-femoral joint trochlea, which cannot be readily

354 examined using skin-markers and 3D motion capture. Our KTT application had no mechanical intent, and the altered muscle activation seen here most likely resulted from the 355 356 enhanced tactile input that altered the excitability of the central nervous system and 357 modulated proprioceptive afferent feedback loops (Simoneau et al., 1997). The enhanced 358 VM activation seen in our cyclists when wearing KTT could be beneficial for preventing 359 patellofemoral pain given that VM is important for the dynamic alignment of the patella. 360 Studies have shown that individuals with PFP exhibit lower activity levels of all vastus 361 muscles during walking (Powers et al., 1996) and VM-to-VL ratios across a range of 362 functional and isometric contraction tasks (Souza and Gross, 1991). Furthermore, delayed 363 onset of EMG activity of the VM in relation to VL (-0.67 ms) has been identified as a 364 contributing factor to the development of PFP in one prospective study (Van Tiggelen et al., 365 2009). That said, prospective studies on this topic in elite cyclists are needed to confirm the 366 prophylactic effect of patella KTT on knee injury occurrence in this population group. 367

368 Although the VM and VL muscles play a critical role in power output during cycling, there 369 is also a high activation of the RF and BF muscles (Akima et al., 2005), with proper co-370 activation of the hamstrings, which has been suggested to reduce stress at the knee during 371 cycling (So et al., 2005). Hence, reducing the RF-to-BF ratio may have meaningful clinical 372 implications for athletes who exhibit imbalances between knee extensor and flexor strength, poor coordination, and non-optimal activation patterns (i.e., athletes who are quadriceps 373 374 dominant). With KTT application; there was a clear, likely, and significant decrease in mean 375 BF signals on the dominant side, as well as and peak and mean RF-to-BF ratio values at 100 W on the non-dominant side; with only a small non-significant decrease in RF-to-BF mean 376 377 observed at 200 W. Despite our results indicating some potential for alterations in RF-to-BF 378 muscle activation patterns, larger sample sizes would be needed to confirm outcomes and 379 implications of these changes.

381 Most of the neuromuscular effects observed at 100 W became unclear and non-significant at 200 W, pointing to an interaction effect between power output and neuromuscular responses 382 383 to taping. It is well established that muscle contraction forces increase primarily due to an 384 increase in the number of motor units active and associated firing rates in a non-linear 385 fashion (Merletti and Parker, 2004), with previous cycling studies showing progressive 386 increase in muscle activation with progressive loads from ~150, 220, 290, and 370 W 387 (Carpes et al., 2010a). It is plausible that the effect of KTT on the neuromuscular control 388 diminished with increased overall muscle recruitment, explaining the attenuated effects of 389 KTT at 200 W; however, the underlying mechanisms are unclear given the paucity of 390 literature investigating the effect of KTT at different contraction levels and loads within a 391 given exercise.

392

393 The non-dominant and dominant holistically demonstrated comparable responses to KTT, 394 although some clear effects and significant findings were only detected on one side. This 395 discrepancy might be linked to preferred movement patterns of our cyclists, previous injuries 396 with residual neuromuscular inhibition or muscle weakness, or our limited sample size that 397 reduced our statistical power. Most studies suggest that bilateral pedalling asymmetries in 398 terms of power, work, or force increase as the workload decreases (Carpes et al., 2010b), 399 which might explain some of the differential responses between legs that were observed. 400 However, given that work was controlled, and power and force not monitored, the 401 mechanistic reasons behind the between-leg differences remain undetermined.

402

403 Perception

Applying RockTape[™] to the anterior aspects of the arms and legs and posterior aspects of
the neck and back has previously been shown to decrease 'overall' and 'chest' ratings of
perceived exertion of trained cyclists, but not alter 'arm' and 'leg' ratings of perceived
exertions or gross efficiency (Miller et al., 2015). The physiological findings from this same
investigation were unable to support improved athletic performance with RockTape[™] use

409 (Miller et al., 2015). Although most of our cyclists perceived additional knee stability and 410 enhanced performance with KTT; the biomechanical and physiological findings were unable 411 to support that KTT improved knee stability or economy, with KTT application exerting 412 unclear, non-significant, or clearly trivial effects on knee ROM and physiological measures. 413 It is likely that our cyclists' perceptions result from the EMG changes observed or a placebo 414 effect (Mak et al., 2018). Nonetheless, KTT application may still provide some benefits to 415 certain cyclists given the changes observed in the EMG parameters, notably the increased 416 VM activation and alterations in the VM-to-VL and RF-to-BF activation ratios.

417

418 Individual responses

419 One cyclist perceived KTT as uncomfortable and decreasing knee stability. Nonetheless, this 420 particular cyclist felt that KTT improved his performance. This cyclist's data indicated a 421 slight worsening in cycling economy measures at 200 W, with a general increase in knee 422 ROM in all planes of motion with KTT. Simultaneously, EMG signals for VM, VL, and RF, 423 and the VM-to-VL and RF-to-BF ratios increased with KTT, and decreased for BF. In this 424 particular case, perceptions matched well with biomechanical findings, but not necessarily 425 with the physiological ones. In contrast, several cyclists who perceived an increased knee 426 stability, an improved performance, and felt comfortable with KTT application showed 'negative' responses, with their perceptual ratings disagreeing with their objective measures. 427 Hence, although individual data suggest the presences of 'positive responders', 'negative 428 429 responders', and 'non-responders', we were unable to clearly define subgroups from the subjective data collected. 430

431

432 Limitations

433 Small sample sizes are an inherent limitation in any high performance sport environment,

434 which reduced our statistical power. All male National Team cyclists available for testing

- 435 accepted to participate. Our sample size could not be increased further without
- 436 compromising the external validity of our findings (i.e., testing lower-level cyclists). Future

437 research should examine the repeatability of the effect of KTT application and the potential for any long-term effect or habituation to KTT more thoroughly. We tested only elite male 438 439 cyclists since the national-level female cyclists were training overseas at the time of data 440 collection and thus the findings may be specific to this population. Female athletes differ 441 physiologically, morphologically, and with respect to injury risk factors compared to male 442 athletes, therefore specific investigations of how female cyclists respond to KTT are 443 warranted. We also acknowledge that the power settings selected were submaximal for elite 444 cyclists and that responses at higher powers might differ. Using lower powers was a 445 necessity to calculate steady state oxygen consumption, economy, and efficiency, and for 446 practical relevance to the National Cycling Team of Malaysia. It should be noted however, 447 that during tour events cyclists often perform for prolonged periods at relatively low levels 448 of power production. For example, Alexander Kristoff's average power output during the 449 first hour of Stage 4 of the 2017 Tour de France was 118 W and his average power output 450 over the entire 4:53:54 of the stage (in which he finished second), was 189 W 451 (www.trainingpeaks.com). Finally, given the minimalist taping technique applied, it was not 452 possible to implement a "placebo" taping method or different taping configurations to confer 453 differences in proprioceptive input.

454

455 CONCLUSIONS

Most cyclists perceived increased performance and knee stability with patella KTT, but the 456 457 intervention had little impact on physiological measures and mostly trivial non-significant 458 effects on knee ROM values. However, patella KTT decreased ROM at the pelvis and trunk 459 at the higher power and appeared to stabilize the segments proximally, which could be a 460 favourable adaptation in cyclists (McDaniel et al., 2005). KTT application did alter EMG 461 responses, notably increasing VM activation and altering the VM-to-VL activation ratio at 462 100 and 200 W, and changes indicating an increase in BF recruitment in relation to RF at 463 100 W. Our findings imply that there is a potential for patella KTT to alter neuromuscular 464 recruitment patterns in elite uninjured cyclists, which could have implications for injury

465 prevention and especially the development of PFP by assisting with patella alignment and

alleviating knee-joint stress through neuromuscular pathways as opposed to altering knee

- 467 biomechanics. As such, the neuromuscular changes we observed indicate that cyclists may
- benefit acutely from patella KTT, although the longitudinal effects of KTT use have not yetbeen established.

470

471 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 472 The authors would like to thank Dr. Yeo Wee Kian and Mohd Izham Bin Mohamad for
- 473 assistance in coordinating the project, Mee Chee Chong for helping during data collection,
- and all cyclists for their voluntary participation.

475

476

477 **REFERENCES**

- 478 Akima H, Kinugasa R, Kuno S. Recruitment of the thigh muscles during sprint cycling by
- 479 muscle functional magnetic resonance imaging. Int J Sports Med. 2005;26:245-52.
- 480 Bell AL, Brand RA, Pedersen DR. Prediction of hip joint centre location from external
- 481 landmarks. Hum Mov Sci. 1989;8:3-16.
- 482 Bertucci W, Grappe F, Groslambert A. Laboratory versus outdoor cycling conditions:
- 483 Differences in pedaling biomechanics. J Appl Biomech. 2007;23:87-92.
- 484 Bertucci WM, Betik AC, Duc S, Grappe F. Gross efficiency and cycling economy are higher
- in the field as compared with on an Axiom stationary ergometer. J Appl Biomech.
- 486 2012;28:636-44.
- 487 Bini R, Hume PA, Croft JL. Effects of bicycle saddle height on knee injury risk and cycling
- 488 performance. Sports Med. 2011;41:463-76.
- 489 Bockrath K, Wooden C, Worrell T, Ingersoll CD, Farr J. Effects of patella taping on patella
- 490 position and perceived pain. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25:989-92.
- 491 Cappozzo A, Cappello A, Croce UD, Pensalfini F. Surface-marker cluster design criteria for
- 492 3-d bone movement reconstruction. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1997;44:1165-74.

- 493 Carpes FP, Diefenthaeler F, Bini RR, Stefanyshyn DJ, Faria IE, Mota CB. Influence of leg
- 494 preference on bilateral muscle activation during cycling. J Sports Sci. 2010a;29:151-9.
- 495 Carpes FP, Mota CB, Faria IE. On the bilateral asymmetry during running and cycling A
- 496 review considering leg preference. Phys Ther Sport. 2010b;11:136-42.
- 497 Coyle EF, Sidossis LS, Horowitz JF, Beltz JD. Cycling efficiency is related to the
- 498 percentage of type I muscle fibers. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1992;24:782-8.
- 499 Csapo R, Alegre LM. Effects of Kinesio® taping on skeletal muscle strength—A meta-
- analysis of current evidence. J Sci Med Sport. 2015;18:450-6.
- 501 Dorel S, Couturier A, Hug F. Influence of different racing positions on mechanical and
- electromyographic patterns during pedalling. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2009;19:44-54.
- 503 Gilleard W, McConnell J, Parsons D. The effect of patellar taping on the onset of vastus
- 504 medialis obliquus and vastus lateralis muscle activity in persons with patellofemoral pain.
- 505 Phys Ther. 1998;78:25-32.
- 506 Gnehm P, Reichenbach S, Altpeter E, Widmer H, Hoppeler H. Influence of different racing
- 507 positions on metabolic cost in elite cyclists. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1997;29:818-23.
- 508 Gregor RJ, Wheeler JB. Biomechanical factors associated with shoe/pedal interfaces.
- 509 Implications for injury. Sports Med. 1994;17:117-31.
- 510 Grood ES, Suntay WJ. A joint coordinate system for the clinical description of three-
- dimensional motions: Application to the knee. J Biomech Eng. 1983;105:136-44.
- 512 Halski T, Dymarek R, Ptaszkowski K, Słupska L, Rajfur K, Rajfur J, et al. Kinesiology
- 513 Taping does not modify electromyographic activity or muscle flexibility of quadriceps
- 514 femoris muscle: A randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study in healthy volleyball players.
- 515 Med Sci Monit. 2015;21:2232-9.
- 516 Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Disselhorst-Klug C, Rau G. Development of recommendations for
- 517 SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2000;10:361-74.
- 518 Jeukendrup AE, Wallis GA. Measurement of substrate oxidation during exercise by means
- of gas exchange measurements. Int J Sports Med. 2005;26:S28-37.

- 520 Konishi Y. Tactile stimulation with Kinesiology tape alleviates muscle weakness attributable
- to attenuation of Ia afferents. J Sci Med Sport. 2013;16:45-8.
- 522 Likert R. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. Arch Psychol. 1932;22:5-55.
- 523 Lins CADA, Neto FL, de Amorim ABC, Macedo LdB, Brasileiro JS. Kinesio Taping® does
- 524 not alter neuromuscular performance of femoral quadriceps or lower limb function in
- healthy subjects: Randomized, blind, controlled, clinical trial. Man Ther. 2013;18:41-5.
- 526 Lyman KJ, Keister K, Gange K, Mellinger CD, Hanson TA. Investigating the effectiveness
- 527 of Kinesio(R) taping space correction method in healthy adults on patellofemoral joint and
- 528 subcutaneous space. Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2017;12:250-7.
- 529 Mak DN, Au IP, Chan M, Chan ZY, An WW, Zhang JH, et al. Placebo effect of facilitatory
- 530 Kinesio tape on muscle activity and muscle strength. Physiother Theory Pract. 2018:1-6. doi:
- 531 10.1080/09593985.2018.1441936
- 532 Matheus JPC, Zille RR, Gomide Matheus LB, Lemos TV, Carregaro RL, Shimano AC.
- 533 Comparison of the mechanical properties of therapeutic elastic tapes used in sports and
- clinical practice. Phys Ther Sport. 2017;24:74-8.
- 535 McDaniel J, Subudhi A, Martin JC. Torso stabilization reduces the metabolic cost of
- producing cycling power. Can J Appl Physiol. 2005;30:433-41.
- 537 Merino-Marban R, Mayorga-Vega D, Fernandez-Rodriguez E. Effect of kinesio tape
- application on calf pain and ankle range of motion in duathletes. J Hum Kinet. 2013;37:129-
- **539** 35.
- 540 Merletti R, di Torino P. Standards for reporting EMG data. J Electromyogr Kinesiol.
- 541 1999;9:III–IV.
- 542 Merletti R, Parker P. Electromyography: Physiology, Engineering, and Noninvasive
- 543 Applications. Hoboken, NJ: IEEE/John Wiley & Sons; 2004.
- 544 Miller MG, Michael TJ, Nicholson KS, Petro RV, Hanson NJ, Prater DR. The effect of
- 545 Rocktape on rating of perceived exertion and cycling efficiency. J Strength Cond Res.
- 546 2015;29:2608-12.

- 547 Ouyang JH, Chang KH, Hsu WY, Cho YT, Liou TH, Lin YN. Non-elastic taping, but not
- 548 elastic taping, provides benefits for patients with knee osteoarthritis: Systemic review and
- 549 meta-analysis. Clin Rehabil. 2018;32:3-17.
- 550 Powers CM, Landel R, Perry J. Timing and Intensity of Vastus Muscle Activity During
- 551 Functional Activities in Subjects With and Without Patellofemoral Pain. Phys Ther.
- 552 1996;76:946-55.
- 553 Reneker JC, Latham L, McGlawn R, Reneker MR. Effectiveness of kinesiology tape on
- sports performance abilities in athletes: A systematic review. Phys Ther Sport. 2018;31:83-
- **555** 98.
- 556 Sanner W, O'Halloran W. The biomechanics, etiology, and treatment of cycling injuries. J
- 557 Am Podiatr Med Assoc. 2000;90:354-76.
- 558 Silberman MR. Bicycling injuries. Curr Sports Med Rep. 2013;12:337-45.
- 559 Simoneau GG, Degner RM, Kramper CA, Kittleson KH. Changes in ankle joint
- 560 proprioception resulting from strips of athletic tape applied over the skin. J Athl Train.
- 561 1997;32:141.
- 562 Smith TB, Hopkins WG. Variability and predictability of finals times of elite rowers. Med
- 563 Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43:2155-60.
- 564 So RCH, Ng JKF, Ng GYF. Muscle recruitment pattern in cycling: A review. Phys Ther
- 565 Sport. 2005;6:89-96.
- 566 Souza DR, Gross MT. Comparison of vastus medialis obliquus: vastus lateralis muscle
- 567 integrated electromyographic ratios between healthy subjects and patients with
- 568 patellofemoral pain. Phys Ther. 1991;71:310-6.
- 569 Theobald G, Parry S, Richards J, Thewlis D, Tootill I, Selfe J. Biomechanical effects of
- 570 different treatment modalities used in knee pain during cycling. Physiother Pract Res.
- 571 2012;33:16-21.
- 572 Theobald G, Selfe J, Richards J, Roddam H. An investigation of the biomechanical efficacy
- and clinical effectiveness of patello-femoral taping in elite and experienced cyclists. J Sci
- 574 Cycling. 2014;3:57-63.

- 575 Van Tiggelen D, Cowan S, Coorevits P, Duvigneaud N, Witvrouw E. Delayed vastus
- 576 medialis obliquus to vastus lateralis onset timing contributes to the development of
- 577 patellofemoral pain in previously healthy men: A prospective study. Am J Sports Med.
- 578 2009;37:1099-105.
- 579 Williams S, Whatman C, Hume PA, Sheerin K. Kinesio taping in treatment and prevention
- 580 of sports injuries. Sports Med. 2012;42:153-64.
- 581 Zech A, Wellmann K. Perceptions of football players regarding injury risk factors and
- prevention strategies. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0176829.

583	Table 1. Mean \pm SD values for oxygen cost (mL/kg/km), energy cost (kcal/kg/km), cycling
584	economy (W/L/min), heart rate (bpm), and gross efficiency (%) in the No Tape (NT) and
585	Kinesiology-Type Tape (KTT) conditions during the 100 and 200 W cycling efforts. Delta
586	efficiency (%) in NT and KTT conditions is also presented. Differences between conditions
587	are expressed using mean change (Δ_{mean}); standardized effect size (ES); and paired <i>t</i> -test
588	statistical significance values (P). Thresholds for clear ES are provided (trivial, small, large,
589	and very large) and significant changes ($P \le 0.05$) are highlighted in grey.

Parameters	NT	KTT	$\Delta_{ m mean}$	ES (threshold)	Р
			100 W		
Oxygen cost	175.4 ± 30.6	175.2 ± 33.5	$\textbf{-0.2} \pm 14.1$	-0.01 \pm 0.42 (unclear)	0.963
Energy cost	0.86 ± 0.15	0.86 ± 0.16	0.001 ± 0.07	0.001 ± 0.43 (unclear)	0.993
Economy	53.4 ± 5.6	53.6 ± 5.5	0.2 ± 4.5	0.03 ± 0.78 (unclear)	0.885
Heart rate	115.3 ± 9.8	115.6 ± 9.5	0.3 ± 5.8	0.03 ± 0.58 (unclear)	0.845
Gross efficiency	15.5 ± 1.6	15.6 ± 1.6	0.04 ± 1.31	0.02 ± 0.79 (unclear)	0.926
			200 W		
Oxygen cost	277.3 ± 48.0	273.4 ± 46.1	$\textbf{-3.9} \pm 12.6$	-0.08 ± 0.26 (trivial)§	0.311
Energy cost	1.37 ± 0.23	1.35 ± 0.22	$\textbf{-0.02} \pm 0.06$	$-0.09 \pm 0.26 \text{ (trivial)}^{\$}$	0.263
Economy	67.4 ± 5.2	68.4 ± 5.9	1.0 ± 3.1	0.19 ± 0.54 (trivial)	0.303
Heart rate	147.6 ± 9.2	146.8 ± 8.4	$\textbf{-0.8} \pm 6.4$	$-0.08\pm0.70~(unclear)$	0.691
Gross efficiency	19.5 ± 1.5	19.8 ± 1.7	0.3 ± 0.9	$0.21\pm0.54~(small)$	0.260
Delta efficiency	26.5 ± 3.1	27.3 ± 2.3	0.8 ± 3.0	0.25 ± 1.04 (unclear)	0.380

590 *Note.* An effect was deemed 'unclear' when its 90% confidence limit overlapped the

thresholds for small positive and small negative effects (i.e., 5%). [§]Probability of the effect

592 exceeds 75% and is 'likely' to be clinically meaningful.

593 **Table 2.** Mean \pm SD values for range of motion values (°) in the sagittal (X), coronal (Y), 594 and transverse (Z) planes in the No Tape (NT) and Kinesiology-Type Tape (KTT) 595 conditions during the 100 W cycling efforts for the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) 596 sides. Differences between conditions are expressed using mean change (Δ_{mean}); standardized effect size (ES); and paired *t*-test statistical significance values (P). Thresholds for clear ES 597 598 are provided (trivial, small, large, and very large) and significant changes ($P \le 0.05$) are

Joint	Side	Plane	NT	KTT	Δ_{mean}	ES	Р
		Χ	19.5 ± 8.1	19.9 ± 8.7	0.4 ± 2.1	0.05 (trivial) [§]	0.517
	ND	Y	5.3 ± 1.7	5.5 ± 1.9	0.2 ± 0.6	0.11 (trivial) [§]	0.289
A 1 1.		Ζ	5.7 ± 2.3	5.7 ± 1.9	0.0 ± 1.5	0.02 (unclear)	0.920
Ankle		Χ	21.4 ± 4.9	20.3 ± 6.0	-1.1 ± 3.9	-0.22 (small)	0.355
	D	Y	4.3 ± 1.8	5.0 ± 1.7	0.6 ± 1.2	0.35 (small)§	0.097
		Ζ	5.5 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 1.0	-0.3 ± 1.1	-0.44 (unclear)	0.350
		Χ	76.7 ± 3.1	76.2 ± 3.6	$\textbf{-0.5} \pm 1.5$	-0.16 (trivial)	0.270
	ND	Y	9.2 ± 3.6	9.0 ± 3.3	-0.2 ± 1.5	-0.04 (trivial) [§]	0.727
Vmaa		Ζ	9.2 ± 4.9	9.3 ± 3.9	0.1 ± 2.6	0.03 (unclear)	0.867
Knee		Χ	78.8 ± 2.3	78.2 ± 2.2	$\textbf{-0.5} \pm 1.7$	-0.23 (small)	0.304
	D	Y	8.3 ± 2.6	8.1 ± 2.3	-0.2 ± 1.1	-0.08 (trivial)§	0.526
		Ζ	12.3 ± 5.3	12.4 ± 4.7	0.0 ± 1.8	0.003 (trivial)§	0.976
		X	48.7 ± 3.5	48.8 ± 3.8	0.1 ± 1.5	0.02 (unclear)	0.901
	ND	Y	6.3 ± 3.4	6.2 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 1.3	-0.01 (trivial)§	0.915
Hin		Ζ	11.5 ± 3.8	11.4 ± 4.3	0.0 ± 1.8	-0.01 (unclear)	0.937
Hip		Χ	47.5 ± 2.9	47.4 ± 2.8	- 0.1 ± 1.1	-0.04 (trivial)§	0.694
	D	Y	6.6 ± 1.8	6.6 ± 1.9	0.0 ± 1.4	-0.005 (unclear)	0.983
		Ζ	10.0 ± 3.7	9.5 ± 3.2	-0.5 ± 1.4	-0.12 (trivial)	0.283
		Χ	8.1 ± 1.8	8.3 ± 2.2	0.2 ± 1.4	0.10 (unclear)	0.647
Pelvis		Y	3.5 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 1.1	0.1 ± 0.5	0.09 (trivial)	0.561
		Z	3.7 ± 1.9	3.6 ± 1.3	-0.1 ± 2.2	-0.05 (unclear)	0.881
		X	8.8 ± 3.9	10.1 ± 8.2	1.3 ± 8.9	0.34 (unclear)	0.620
Trunk		Y	0.8 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.1	-0.07 (trivial) [§]	0.643
		Ζ	8.4 ± 4.1	9.6 ± 8.5	1.2 ± 9.4	0.30 (unclear)	0.663

599 highlighted in grey.

600 Notes. Sagittal (X): ankle dorsiflexion and plantar flexion, knee and hip flexion and 601 extension, pelvis and trunk anterior and posterior tilt; Coronal (Y): ankle inversion and

602 eversion, knee valgus and varus, hip abduction and adduction, pelvis and trunk non-

603 dominant side and dominant side tilt; Transverse (Z) ankle, knee, and hip internal and

604 external rotation, pelvis and trunk non-dominant side and dominant side rotation. An effect

- 605 was deemed 'unclear' when its 90% confidence limit overlapped the thresholds for small
- 606 positive and small negative effects (i.e., 5%). [§]Probability of the effect exceeds 75% and is

607 'likely' to be clinically meaningful. 608 **Table 3.** Mean \pm SD values for range of motion values (°) in the sagittal (X), coronal (Y), 609 and transverse (Z) planes in the No Tape (NT) and Kinesiology-Type Tape (KTT) 610 conditions during the 200 W cycling efforts for the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) 611 sides. Differences between conditions are expressed using mean change (Δ_{mean}); standardized effect size (ES); and paired *t*-test statistical significance values (P). Thresholds for clear ES 612 are provided (trivial, small, large, and very large) and significant changes ($P \le 0.05$) are 613

Joint	Side	Plane	NT	KTT	Δ_{mean}	ES	Р
		X	21.7 ± 7.8	22.7 ± 8.3	1.0 ± 2.6	0.12 (trivial) [§]	0.235
	ND	Y	5.9 ± 2.0	6.2 ± 2.6	0.2 ± 1.0	0.11 (trivial)	0.450
Ankle		Ζ	5.9 ± 1.8	5.7 ± 1.9	-0.2 ± 1.0	-0.11 (trivial)	0.529
Alikie		X	24.0 ± 6.9	24.7 ± 5.7	0.7 ± 3.0	0.10 (trivial) [§]	0.435
	D	Y	4.7 ± 1.3	4.9 ± 1.5	0.2 ± 0.6	0.14 (trivial)	0.342
		Ζ	6.0 ± 1.6	5.8 ± 1.7	$\textbf{-0.2}\pm0.4$	-0.14 (trivial) [§]	0.123
		X	78.4 ± 3.7	78.9 ± 3.1	0.5 ± 1.7	0.14 (trivial)	0.302
	ND	Y	8.3 ± 2.6	8.2 ± 2.6	-0.7 ± 1.4	-0.03 (unclear)	0.849
Knee		Ζ	9.4 ± 4.1	8.8 ± 3.1	-0.6 ± 1.8	-0.14 (trivial)	0.277
KIEE		Χ	80.1 ± 2.3	80.9 ± 2.5	0.8 ± 2.1	0.34 (small)	0.224
	D	Y	7.7 ± 2.1	8.1 ± 2.1	0.4 ± 0.9	0.19 (trivial)	0.146
		Ζ	11.5 ± 4.5	11.2 ± 4.5	-0.3 ± 1.6	-0.07 (trivial) [§]	0.496
		Χ	49.6 ± 3.9	49.3 ± 4.5	-0.9 ± 2.1	-0.07 (unclear)	0.661
	ND	Y	7.4 ± 3.7	7.4 ± 3.4	0.0 ± 1.0	0.001 (trivial) [§]	0.988
Hip		Ζ	11.5 ± 3.4	12.1 ± 3.4	0.6 ± 1.7	0.18 (trivial)	0.245
mb		Χ	47.4 ± 2.8	47.5 ± 2.4	0.1 ± 1.4	0.02 (unclear)	0.874
	D	Y	7.1 ± 2.5	6.9 ± 2.2	-0.3 ± 1.2	-0.10 (trivial)	0.490
		Z	10.3 ± 3.1	10.4 ± 3.2	0.1 ± 1.5	0.03 (unclear)	0.812
		Χ	8.4 ± 2.4	7.9 ± 2.2	-0.5 ± 1.1	-0.19 (trivial)	0.178
Pelvis		Y	3.5 ± 1.3	3.7 ± 1.5	0.2 ± 0.5	0.14 (trivial)	0.269
		Z	4.0 ± 1.8	3.6 ± 1.1	-0.4 ± 1.8	-0.22 (unclear)	0.447
		Χ	9.5 ± 4.2	8.6 ± 2.7	$\textbf{-0.9} \pm 3.4$	-0.21 (unclear)	0.391
Trunk		Y	0.9 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.3	-0.04 (unclear)	0.834
		Ζ	8.6 ± 3.8	7.8 ± 2.6	-0.9 ± 3.6	-0.22 (unclear)	0.430

614 highlighted in grey.

615 Notes. Sagittal (X): ankle dorsiflexion and plantar flexion, knee and hip flexion and 616 extension, pelvis and trunk anterior and posterior tilt; Coronal (Y): ankle inversion and 617 eversion, knee valgus and varus, hip abduction and adduction, pelvis and trunk non-618 dominant side and dominant side tilt; Transverse (Z) ankle, knee, and hip internal and external rotation, pelvis and trunk non-dominant side and dominant side rotation. An effect 619 was deemed 'unclear' when its 90% confidence limit overlapped the thresholds for small 620 positive and small negative effects (i.e., 5%). [§]Probability of the effect exceeds 75% and is 621 622 'likely' to be clinically meaningful. 623

624 Table 4. Mean (%_{max}), peak (%_{max}), and integrated EMG (iEMG, %_{max}) signal values (mean 625 ± SD) for the vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL), rectus femoris (RF), and biceps 626 femoris (BF) muscles in the No Tape (NT) and Kinesiology-Type Tape (KTT) conditions 627 during the 100 W cycling efforts for the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) sides. The VM-to-VL and RF-to-BF activation ratios are also presented. Differences between 628 conditions are expressed using mean change (Δ_{mean}); standardized effect size (ES); and 629 630 paired *t*-test statistical significance values (P). Thresholds for clear ES are provided (trivial, 631 small, large, and very large) and significant changes ($P \le 0.05$) are highlighted in grey.

Muscle	Side	EMG	NT	KTT	Δ_{mean}	ES (threshold)	P
		Peak	29.5 ± 5.9	37.4 ± 5.1	8.0 ± 7.4	1.35 (large) [§]	0.047
	ND	iEMG	39.9 ± 10.1	47.3 ± 7.9	7.3 ± 9.9	0.72 (moderate)§	0.128
VM		Mean	9.4 ± 1.7	9.2 ± 2.6	-0.2 ± 2.6	-0.13 (unclear)	0.840
		Peak	31.4 ± 9.3	39.5 ± 8.0	8.1 ± 10.1	0.87 (moderate)§	0.05
	D	iEMG	38.1 ± 11.9	49.7 ± 16.0	11.7 ± 8.9	0.98 (moderate)§	0.02
		Mean	7.9 ± 2.1	9.9 ± 2.2	2.0 ± 2.1	0.95 (moderate)§	0.03
		Peak	39.3 ± 11.0	36.8 ± 8.0	-2.5 ± 15.8	-0.23 (unclear)	0.71
	ND	iEMG	47.3 ± 11.0	36.8 ± 8.0	$\textbf{-0.5} \pm 18.0$	-0.05 (unclear)	0.95
VL		Mean	9.4 ± 1.7	9.2 ± 2.6	-0.2 ± 2.6	-0.13 (unclear)	0.84
		Peak	37.0 ± 5.4	36.9 ± 8.2	-0.2 ± 10.0	-0.03 (unclear)	0.96
	D	iEMG	44.7 ± 10.1	47.2 ± 12.4	2.5 ± 10.2	0.25 (unclear)	0.54
		Mean	8.7 ± 1.8	9.0 ± 2.4	0.2 ± 1.8	0.13 (unclear)	0.72
		Peak	24.7 ± 4.5	22.7 ± 2.5	-2.0 ± 5.7	-0.44 (unclear)	0.48
	ND	iEMG	35.1 ± 6.8	33.0 ± 4.4	-2.1 ± 4.8	-0.30 (unclear)	0.39
RF		Mean	7.5 ± 1.0	7.0 ± 0.6	-0.6 ± 1.0	-0.54 (unclear)	0.27
		Peak	29.6 ± 11.0	25.2 ± 8.7	-4.3 ± 4.3	-0.39 (small)§	0.13
	D	iEMG	39.0 ± 10.0	36.1 ± 5.8	-3.0 ± 4.6	-0.30 (unclear)	0.28
		Mean	7.3 ± 1.3	6.7 ± 0.7	$\textbf{-0.7} \pm 0.6$	-0.51 (small)§	0.13
		Peak	37.2 ± 11.9	39.2 ± 8.6	1.9 ± 6.0	0.16 (unclear)	0.51
	ND	iEMG	42.8 ± 11.7	42.8 ± 6.7	$\textbf{-0.9} \pm 9.3$	-0.08 (unclear)	0.83
BF		Mean	7.9 ± 2.0	8.4 ± 1.5	0.5 ± 1.1	0.25 (unclear)	0.35
		Peak	42.0 ± 5.2	38.5 ± 3.3	-3.5 ± 3.2	-0.67 (moderate)§	0.12
	D	iEMG	42.7 ± 5.1	40.7 ± 4.7	-2.1 ± 3.3	-0.41 (unclear)	0.30
		Mean	7.6 ± 1.3	7.4 ± 1.4	$\textbf{-0.6} \pm 0.3$	-0.36 (small)§	0.04
		Peak	81.6 ± 8.0	99.2 ± 12.2	17.6 ± 19.8	2.20 (very large)§	0.11
	ND	iEMG	82.2 ± 16.0	102.4 ± 18.3	20.1 ± 24.2	1.26 (large)§	0.09
VM:VL		Mean	76.8 ± 11.0	95.4 ± 14.2	18.6 ± 22.8	0.95 (moderate) [§]	0.08
		Peak	75.1 ± 14.7	107.2 ± 29.2	32.1 ± 18.8	2.19 (large)§	0.00
	D	iEMG	84.9 ± 14.0	107.7 ± 26.0	22.8 ± 16.6	1.63 (large)§	0.02
		Mean	91.9 ± 19.6	115.6 ± 27.7	23.7 ± 23.4	1.21 (large)§	0.02
		Peak	68.0 ± 21.8	58.8 ± 18.7	-9.1 ± 6.5	-0.42 (small)§	0.02
	ND	iEMG	85.6 ± 19.8	80.7 ± 16.0	-5.0 ± 13.1	-0.25 (unclear)	0.44
RF:BF		Mean	99.5 ± 22.8	85.5 ± 17.2	-14.0 ± 7.8	-0.62 (moderate) [§]	0.01
•		Peak	69.5 ± 18.8	65.4 ± 20.2	-4.1 ± 8.1	-0.22 (unclear)	0.38
						· · · ·	
	D	iEMG	91.6 ± 23.6	89.5 ± 18.2	-2.1 ± 5.7	-0.09 (trivial)	0.51

632 *Note.* An effect was deemed 'unclear' when its 90% confidence limit overlapped the

thresholds for small positive and small negative effects (i.e., 5%). An effect was deemed

634 'unclear' when its 90% confidence limit overlapped the thresholds for small positive and

- 635 small negative effects (i.e., 5%). [§]Probability of the effect exceeds 75% and is 'likely' to be
- clinically meaningful.

Table 5. Mean ($\%_{max}$), peak ($\%_{max}$), and integrated EMG (iEMG, $\%_{max}$) signal values (mean \pm SD) for the *vastus medialis* (VM), *vastus lateralis* (VL), *rectus femoris* (RF), and *biceps femoris* (BF) muscles in the No Tape (NT) and Kinesiology-Type Tape (KTT) conditions during the 200 W cycling efforts for the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) sides. The VM-to-VL and RF-to-BF activation ratios are also presented. Differences between conditions are expressed using mean change (Δ_{mean}); standardized effect size (ES); and paired *t*-test statistical significance values (*P*). Thresholds for clear ES are provided (trivial,

small, large, and very large) and significant changes ($P \le 0.05$) are highlighted in grey.

Muscle	Side	EMG	NT	KTT	Δ_{mean}	ES (threshold)	Р
		Peak	39.4 ± 9.4	48.0 ± 4.4	8.6 ± 12.7	0.92 (moderate) [§]	0.122
	ND	iEMG	58.2 ± 9.6	68.1 ± 5.7	9.9 ± 7.7	$1.04 \text{ (moderate)}^{\$}$	0.014
VM		Mean	10.7 ± 1.5	12.1 ± 1.8	1.4 ± 1.8	0.92 (moderate) [§]	0.088
		Peak	47.0 ± 9.4	48.2 ± 5.3	1.2 ± 10.9	0.13 (unclear)	0.741
	D	iEMG	69.1 ± 10.7	70.1 ± 6.2	1.0 ± 11.4	0.09 (unclear)	0.830
		Mean	13.0 ± 2.4	14.1 ± 1.7	1.1 ± 3.0	0.46 (unclear)	0.380
		Peak	46.4 ± 9.5	47.7 ± 6.2	1.3 ± 12.4	0.13 (unclear)	0.797
	ND	iEMG	66.4 ± 6.9	68.9 ± 11.5	2.5 ± 13.7	0.37 (unclear)	0.643
VL		Mean	12.8 ± 1.8	12.8 ± 3.2	0.1 ± 2.2	0.05 (unclear)	0.924
		Peak	50.2 ± 7.0	47.7 ± 9.0	-2.6 ± 9.3	-0.37 (unclear)	0.433
	D	iEMG	65.8 ± 8.1	69.4 ± 9.0	3.6 ± 9.4	0.45 (unclear)	0.284
		Mean	13.0 ± 1.6	13.1 ± 2.1	0.1 ± 1.7	0.09 (unclear)	0.831
		Peak	34.4 ± 12.6	37.1 ± 2.5	2.8 ± 14.5	0.22 (unclear)	0.657
	ND	iEMG	54.4 ± 15.9	53.7 ± 6.7	-0.7 ± 15.1	-0.04 (unclear)	0.906
RF		Mean	11.9 ± 3.0	11.9 ± 1.7	-0.1 ± 3.4	-0.02 (unclear)	0.971
		Peak	39.5 ± 10.1	40.8 ± 6.6	1.3 ± 7.2	0.13 (unclear)	0.672
	D	iEMG	62.1 ± 11.9	63.7 ± 7.8	1.6 ± 13.6	0.14 (unclear)	0.761
		Mean	11.6 ± 1.7	11.4 ± 1.8	-0.2 ± 2.2	-0.11 (unclear)	0.844
		Peak	42.6 ± 11.6	45.1 ± 6.4	2.6 ± 16.0	0.22 (unclear)	0.711
	ND	iEMG	57.8 ± 12.9	58.8 ± 8.7	1.0 ± 12.9	0.08 (unclear)	0.846
BF		Mean	12.7 ± 4.8	12.2 ± 3.0	-0.5 ± 3.7	-0.11 (unclear)	0.735
		Peak	44.1 ± 8.1	38.5 ± 5.9	-5.6 ± 6.2	-0.69 (moderate)§	0.077
	D	iEMG	52.8 ± 13.1	52.8 ± 6.8	0.0 ± 13.4	-0.01 (unclear)	0.999
		Mean	10.7 ± 3.1	9.6 ± 2.1	-1.0 ± 2.4	-0.34 (unclear)	0.338
		Peak	85.6 ± 8.5	97.6 ± 15.5	11.9 ± 17.6	1.41 (large) [§]	0.157
	ND	iEMG	87.8 ± 12.8	101.5 ± 20.9	13.7 ± 20.3	0.88 (moderate) [§]	0.124
VM:VL		Mean	84.6 ± 6.8	98.7 ± 21.5	14.2 ± 19.2	2.07 (very large) [§]	0.098
		Peak	94.8 ± 19.3	98.5 ± 19.8	3.8 ± 27.2	0.20 (unclear)	0.707
	D	iEMG	96.6 ± 20.9	104.4 ± 18.3	7.8 ± 23.1	0.37 (unclear)	0.340
		Mean	100.2 ± 13.1	110.8 ± 27.9	10.6 ± 25.2	0.80 (unclear)	0.311
		Peak	82.6 ± 24.3	83.3 ± 9.7	0.7 ± 30.6	0.03 (unclear)	0.955
	ND	iEMG	98.3 ± 34.4	92.9 ± 18.2	-5.3 ± 27.1	-0.16 (unclear)	0.620
RF:BF		Mean		106.7 ± 16.2		-0.39 (small)§	0.194
	_	Peak	92.5 ± 27.2	106.8 ± 17.0	14.3 ± 24.7	0.53 (unclear)	0.214
	D	iEMG	124.1 ± 36.9	121.5 ± 15.1	-2.7 ± 40.3	-0.07 (unclear)	0.867
		Mean	118.3 ± 43.9	121.8 ± 27.7	3.6 ± 37.6	0.09 (unclear)	0.825

645 *Note.* An effect was deemed 'unclear' when its 90% confidence limit overlapped the

646 thresholds for small positive and small negative effects (i.e., 5%). [§]Probability of the effect

647 exceeds 75% and is 'likely' to be clinically meaningful.

648 Figure captions

649

- Figure 1. Cyclist set-up for data collection with the patella kinesiology-type tape (KTT)applied.
- 652

653	Figure 2. Marker placement for 3D motion capture from anterior (left), posterior (middle),
654	and lateral (right) views. Anatomical reference markers were placed bilaterally on the
655	acromial processes, anterior superior iliac spines, posterior superior iliac spines, greater
656	trochanters, medial and lateral femoral epicondyles, medial and lateral malleoli, and 1st and
657	5 th metatarsal heads. Tracking markers were placed bilaterally on the heel, mid-foot, and
658	forefoot, and 4-marker rigid clusters were placed on the lateral aspect of the pelvis and
659	bilaterally on the lateral aspects of the thighs and shanks. Anterior superior iliac spine,
660	greater trochanter, femoral epicondyle, malleolus, and 1st metatarsal head markers were
661	removed before the dynamic cycling efforts (red circles).
662	
663	Figure 3. Ratings of comfort levels and perceived change in knee stability and cycling
664	performance with the application of kinesiology-type tape (KTT) compared to no tape (NT)
665	on a 5-point Likert scale. Data presented are the number of cyclists (n) that provided a given
666	rating. Comfort level: 1, very uncomfortable; 2, uncomfortable; 3, no change; 4,

667 comfortable; 5, very comfortable. Knee stability: 1, much less stable; 2, less stable; 3, no

change; 4, more stable; 5, much more stable. Performance: 1, much worse; 2, worse; 3, no

⁶⁶⁹ change; 4, better; 5, much better.