

Reactivity of Tp^{Me_2} -Containing Hydride-Iridafurans with Alkenes, Alkynes and H_2

Ángela Vivancos,[†] Cristina M. Posadas,[†] Yohar A. Hernández,[†] Nuria Rendón,[†] Silvia García-Rubín,[‡] Eleuterio Álvarez,[†] Margarita Paneque,^{*,†} and Manuel L. Poveda^{*,†}

[†]Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (IIQ), Departamento de Química Inorgánica, and Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), CSIC and Universidad de Sevilla, Avda. Américo Vespucio 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain


[‡]Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química and Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: paneque@iiq.csic.es.

RECEIVED DATE

ABSTRACT: The Tp^{Me_2} -containing hydride-iridafurans **2a,b** (Tp^{Me_2} = hydrotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate) cleanly reacted with ethylene to give the bicyclic derivatives **6a,b**. Formation of the latter complexes is a reversible process and it is proposed to occur by an electrocyclic ring closure that takes place between C_2H_4 and the $16e^-$ unsaturated intermediates **A** resulting from hydride migration to the α -carbon of the metallacycle. Similar reactions were observed with a variety of alkynes $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CR}$ ($\text{R} = \text{H}, \text{Ph}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me}$) and $\text{R}'\text{C}\equiv\text{CH}$ ($\text{R}' = \text{CO}_2\text{Me}, \text{Ph}, \text{CMe}_3$) with the regioselectivity observed for the latter substrates depending on the nature of R' . In the case of $\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}\equiv\text{CH}$ the structure of an

unexpected byproduct indicates that an alkyne-vinylidene rearrangement has taken place on the metal coordination sphere during the reaction and this observation suggests that in the mechanism of all these coupling processes the corresponding π -adducts are active intermediates. Finally, complexes **2a,b** reacted with H_2 to give products derived from the hydrogenation of their alkenyl arms.




INTRODUCTION


Metallafurans¹ are the most common members of the family of 6π -aromatic metallacycles, which also include the growing type of metallabenzenes.² They are known for quite a few transition metals and have been synthesized by a variety of methods. In a recent paper³ we have described the synthesis of hydride-iridafurans of structure **2** by the reaction of the bis(ethylene) Ir(I) species $Tp^{Me_2}Ir(C_2H_4)_2$ (**1**) (Tp^{Me_2} = hydrotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate) with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) in the presence of aromatic aldehydes $ArCHO$ (CH_2Cl_2 , 60 °C, 14 h, Scheme 1). Both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents in the *para* position of the phenyl ring of the aldehyde were successfully used for iridafuran formation and DMAD and 1 equiv. of aldehyde formally coupled in the iridium coordination sphere to form the metallacycle. However, a second molecule of the aldehyde is required for the formation of complexes **2**. Thus, the reaction of **1** and $ArCHO$ (1:2, 25 °C), gives rise to the very fast and almost quantitative formation of intermediates **3**, and these species eventually convert

into the final compounds **2**. However, species **3** evolve differently depending of the nature of the aldehyde. At variance with what we have reported for **3a** (Scheme 2), where a mixture of the iridafuran **2a** and the aldehyde adduct **4a** is initially formed, we have noticed that for the case of *p*-O₂N-C₆H₄-CHO, a new compound, resulting from the formal addition of the released C₂H₄ to the corresponding hydride-iridafuran **2b**, was slowly formed. This unexpected result launched the study reported herein where we describe the reactivity of complexes **2a,b** against alkenes, alkynes and finally H₂.

Scheme 1. Formation of the hydride-iridafurans 2 by the reaction of complex 1 with DMAD and aromatic aldehydes




Scheme 2. Formation of the alkoxy intermediate 3a in route to complex 2a and evolution of the former species in CH₂Cl₂



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


Reactivity of the hydride-iridafurans 2a,b with olefins. Monitorization (¹H NMR, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C) of the reaction of **1** with 1 eq. of DMAD and 2 eq. of *p*-O₂N-C₆H₄-CHO indicated very fast quantitative formation of intermediate **3b**, which slowly evolved ([Ir] ≈ 0.07 mM, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 14 h) at room temperature to a mixture of the products depicted in Scheme 3. In addition of **2b**, two other species, **5b** and **6b**, were also formed.

Scheme 3. Formation and room temperature evolution of complex 3b in CH₂Cl₂ (spectroscopic yields in parenthesis)



Complex **5b**, a water adduct,⁴ was easily isolated in pure form due to its insolubility in CH₂Cl₂ and probably derived from the reaction of **2b** with adventitious water and, in fact, it is formed in *ca.* 80% yield if the reaction was carried out in the presence of added water. Interestingly, only the iridafuran **2b** affords an aquo adduct as neither **2a** nor the parent species derived from benzaldehyde³ reacted with water in this way. Species **6b**, with a metallabicyclic structure, was easily purified by chromatography, and completely characterized by the usual analytical and spectroscopic methods. Thus, the two adjacent CH₂ groups resonate at 3.00 and 2.46 (IrCH₂CH₂) and at 2.08 (IrCH₂CH₂) ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum while the corresponding ¹³C signals appear at 37.8 (IrCH₂CH₂) and at -15.2 (IrCH₂CH₂) ppm. The β carbon =C(CO₂Me) in **2b** was transformed in a β aliphatic quaternary carbon atom in **6b** and consequently the corresponding ¹³C resonance shifts upfield from 137.0 ppm to 75.8 ppm. From the structure of complex **6b** it can be concluded that its formation is the formal result of the coupling of a molecule of free ethylene, released from complex **1**, with the iridafuran **2b** and in fact this species cleanly reacted with C₂H₄ at 25 °C to give **6b** (Scheme 4). The stereochemistry of the Ir-CHR-carbon, easily deduced from the NOESY spectrum, is in accord with the mechanism proposed for its formation (see below).

Scheme 4. Reversible reaction of 2a,b with ethylene



Formation of complex **6b** is a reversible process and, upon heating (CH₂Cl₂, 60 °C), it returned to the starting hydride-iridafuran **2b** (Scheme 4). It was also found that the reactivity just commented

was independent on the nature of the Ar-substituent of the iridafuran as complex **2a** also experienced the processes shown in Scheme 4 but, in contrast, neither propene ($\text{CH}_2=\text{CHMe}$) nor methyl acrylate ($\text{CH}_2=\text{CHCO}_2\text{Me}$) were effective reaction partners and this may reflect, at least partially, the influence of the steric effects on the addition process. The structure of complex **6a** has been confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 1).





Figure 1. X-ray structure of compound **6a** (30% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms except the H atoms on C(19) and B(1) omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (\AA) and angles (deg): Ir(1)—C(16) = 2.077(2), Ir(1)—C(19) = 2.1004(19), Ir(1)—O(1) = 2.0587(15), Ir(1)—N(1) = 2.1294(17), Ir(1)—N(3) = 2.0530(17), Ir(1)—N(5) = 2.2075(17), C(16)—C(17) = 1.536(3), C(16)—Ir(1)—C(19) = 82.07(8), C(16)—Ir(1)—O(1) = 83.29(7), C(19)—Ir(1)—O(1) = 78.56(7).

With respect to the mechanism of formation of the bicyclic derivatives **6** it is proposed that after hydride migration to the α -carbon of species **2**³ the resulting unsaturated species **A** of Scheme 5 experiences a 1,3-electrocyclic coupling with C_2H_4 to give the final products.⁵ The same mechanism is probably responsible for the reaction of complexes **2** with the alkynes described below, and acetylene

has been used as the representative reacting species in Scheme 5. In these couplings it is not known if π -adduct formation is a necessary step previous to the electrocyclic closure, *i.e.* if we are dealing with a neat, more or less isochronous, concerted step or with a mechanism consisting of two well defined steps. As will be discussed later, the formation of compound **19a** (see below) may suggest a two-steps mechanism.


Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism for the reaction of complexes **2 with C_2H_4 and C_2H_2 .**



Reactivity of complexes **2 with $MeO_2CC\equiv CCO_2Me$ (DMAD), $HC\equiv CH$ and $PhC\equiv CPh$.** On the basis of the ethylene reactivity already commented we studied the reaction of complexes **2a,b** with DMAD. Thus, complex **2b** reacted with an excess (3 equiv.) of this alkyne (CH_2Cl_2 , 80 °C, 48 h) to form a related bicyclic species **7b** isolated in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 6a). Complex **7b** was completely characterized by, among other analytical techniques, NMR spectroscopy (see Experimental Section). Interestingly, when the same reaction was carried out with **2a** the corresponding species **7a** was not selectively formed but the carboxylate-bound⁶ compound **8a** (*ca.* 3:1 ratio, Scheme 6b) was also generated. These two species were easily separated and purified by chromatography and both have been characterized unambiguously, among other techniques, by single crystal X-ray studies (Figures 2 and 3). Complex **8a** seems to proceed from **7a** by hydrolysis of the β - CO_2Me substituent of **7a**, coordination of

the resulting carboxylate group to the iridium center and transfer of the released proton to the carbon atom of the cyclic structure which was previously bound to the Ir center. However, attempts to induce this hydrolysis reaction in dichloromethane solutions by a variety of methods were fruitless.

Scheme 6. Reactions of complexes 2a,b with DMAD (isolated yields in parenthesis)






Figure 2. X-ray structure of compound **7a** (30% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms except the H atom on C(23) and B(1) omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ir(1)—C(16) = 2.001(2), Ir(1)—C(23) = 2.072(2), Ir(1)—O(9) = 2.0599(16), Ir(1)—N(1) = 2.0459(19), Ir(1)—N(3) = 2.1451(19), Ir(1)—N(5) = 2.180(2), C(16)—Ir(1)—C(23) = 78.77(9), C(16)—Ir(1)—O(9) = 84.28(8), C(23)—Ir(1)—O(9) = 84.28(8).





Figure 3. X-ray structure of compound **8a** (30% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms except the H atoms on C(30) and B(1) omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ir(1)—C(30) =

2.060(6), Ir(1)—O(1) = 2.063(4), Ir(1)—O(3) = 2.037(4), Ir(1)—N(1) = 2.036(5), Ir(1)—N(3) = 2.057(5), Ir(1)—N(5) = 2.105(5), C(30)—Ir(1)—O(1) = 81.45(18), C(30)—Ir(1)—O(3) = 89.76(18), O(1)—Ir(1)—O(3) = 83.84(15).

It is worth of comment that the order of addition of the reagents in these reactions is a determining factor on the results. Thus, and although compound **7a** is made up formally from two molecules of DMAD and one of anisaldehyde, it has to be obtained following strictly the procedure described herein. In fact, if two equiv. of DMAD are added first to compound **1**, the substitution of the two ethylenes is kinetically very favorable, and the iridacyclopentadiene fragment $[\text{Tp}^{\text{Me}_2}\text{Ir}(\overline{-\text{C}(\text{R})=\text{C}(\text{R})-\text{C}(\text{R})=\text{C}(\text{R}))}]$ is formed.^{4,7} Addition of aldehyde to this species gives rise to a different product,⁸ a bicyclic isomer of **7** with a different functionality derived from the aldehyde moiety: a carbene instead of a keto group, with an unexpected configuration of the carbon atom of the Ir-CHR moiety (Scheme 7).⁸


Scheme 7. Influence of the order of addition of the reagents to the reaction of complex **1 with 2 equiv. of DMAD and 1 equiv. of ArCHO.**



As commented before the formation of compounds **2a,b** requires the use of 2 equiv. of aldehyde, with one of them recovered at the end of the reaction. Therefore, purification of these complexes normally requires silica gel column chromatography although we have now found that, for the case of **2a**, a simple washing of the crude product with several portions of cold hexane removes the excess of aldehyde and gives the iridafuran in pure form (90% yield vs. 75% following chromatography). However, since an excess of aldehyde does not retard nor interfere the reaction of **2a,b** with DMAD, the synthesis of the bicyclic keto compounds **7a,b** can be carried out in an “one-pot, two-steps” procedure, which, for obvious reasons, are higher yield processes.


We have studied the reaction with the alkynes acetylene and diphenylacetylene only for the case of **2a** and the results obtained are shown in Scheme 8. Complexes **9a** and **10a** have been completely characterized by the usual analytical and spectroscopic techniques and no additional comments are necessary.

Scheme 8. Reactivity of complex **2a** with $\text{HC}\equiv\text{CH}$ and $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CPh}$




Reactivity of complexes **2a,b with the terminal alkynes $\text{MeO}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CH}$ (MP), $\text{Me}_3\text{CC}\equiv\text{CH}$, $\text{PhC}\equiv\text{CH}$ and $\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}\equiv\text{CH}$.** The reactions of iridafurans **2a,b** with an excess of MP furnished related bicyclic structures with a regioselectivity that depends on the starting iridafuran. Thus, complex **2a** reacted with MP (CH_2Cl_2 , 80 °C, 48 h) to give exclusively the regioisomer **11a** (Scheme 9a) with the CO_2Me group in β position but, in contrast, the addition of this alkyne to **2b** was not completely regioselective and the isomers **11b** and **12b** were formed in *ca.* 4:1 ratio (Scheme 9b). It is interesting to note the considerable chemical shift difference, in the ^1H NMR spectra, between the CH alkenyl hydrogens of **11b** and **12b**. Thus, while the signal for the former appears at a very low field, 11.43 ppm, in the latter case it is located at 7.20 ppm. It is well known that metal alkenyls exhibit nucleophilic reactivity at the β -carbon because of the contribution of a carbene canonical form to the resonance hybrid (see for instance reference 9 and references cited therein). For compound **11b**, a CO_2Me substituent in this β -carbon stabilizes this carbene form and shifts the C_αH resonance to a lower field. In comparison, the corresponding signals for **13a** and **15a** (with CMe_3 and Ph substituent, respectively) are located at *ca.* 9 ppm (see below) indicating less contribution of the carbene form.

Scheme 9. Reactions of complexes **2a,b** with methyl propiolate (MP)




For the rest of the alkynes in this section only the reactivity of the iridafuran **2a** was tested and the reactions of this complex with Me₃CC≡CH and PhC≡CH afforded almost equimolar mixtures of the regioisomers **13a**, **14a** and **15a**, **16a**, respectively (Scheme 10).

Scheme 10. Reactions of complex **2a** with Me₃CC≡CH and PhC≡CH




However, the reaction of **2a** with $\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}\equiv\text{CH}$ is somewhat more interesting in the sense that, in addition to the expected mixture of the regioisomers **17a** and **18a** the formation of a new bicyclic structure, *i.e.* complex **19a**, completely characterized by NMR spectroscopy (see Experimental Section), was observed (Scheme 11). In this latter species the alkyne gives rise to a $\text{C}=\text{CH}_2$ group¹⁰ acting as a bridge between the metal and the β -C(R) carbon atom of the starting iridafuran.

Scheme 11. Reaction of complex 2a with $\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}\equiv\text{CH}$




Formation of **19a** is best explained (Scheme 12) if an initial π -alkyne species **B** experiences a, well-precedented,¹¹ rearrangement to the vinylidene species **C** followed by electrocyclization and hydrolysis of the $\text{C}-\text{SiMe}_3$ bond. As SiMe_3 substituents in vinylidenes are not prone to adventitious hydrolysis in $\text{Tp}^{\text{Me}_2}\text{Ir(III)}$ systems^{11h} we inferred that the $\text{C}-\text{SiMe}_3$ hydrolytic cleavage takes place after the cyclization step.^{11c} As anticipated, this result may be an indication of the two steps nature of the mechanism shown in Scheme 5 for the all addition outcomes of intermediates **A** with unsaturated substrates.

Scheme 12. Proposed mechanism for the formation of complex 19a



Reactivity of iridafurans 2a,b towards H₂. In an attempt to cleave the Ir—C bond of intermediate A of Scheme 5 by hydrogenolysis, the reaction of complex **2a** with H₂ was carried out in cyclohexane (90 °C, 2 atm, 24 h) but instead of the desired process, the formation of two isomeric metallacycles, compounds **20a** and **21a**, in a *ca.* 4:1 ratio was observed (Scheme 13).

Scheme 13. Reaction of complex **2a** with H₂




The benzoiridafuran **20a** is the formal result of the hydrogenation of the alkenyl moiety in **2a** followed by an *ortho*-metallation of the aromatic ring. This compound was obtained as a unique stereoisomer, shown by NMR spectroscopy to have the structure depicted in Scheme 13 but existing in

solution as an equilibrated mixture of conformational rotamers (*i.e.* atropoisomers) (NOESY evidence) in a *ca.* 1:0.2 ratio. We proposed that the presence of the two CO₂Me substituents in the -CHRCH₂R chain generates two rotameric, energetic local minima. Compound **21a** is, in turn, the result of a *trans* (NOESY evidence) stereospecific hydrogenation of the alkenyl moiety of **2a** and it is best characterized by the two doublets (5.29 and 5.13 ppm, ³J_{HH} = 1.6 Hz) in the ¹H NMR spectrum which correspond to the Ir-CH(R)-CH(R)- hydrogens respectively.

When **2a** was reacted with D₂ under identical conditions (C₆H₁₂, 3 atm, 90 °C, 24 h) **20a-d_{1.8}** was obtained thus confirming the stoichiometry of the reaction and showing, as deduced from the ¹H NMR spectrum, that no further scrambling, with excess of D₂, occurred. The label was found to be distributed among the hydride ligand and the three CH positions and a similar distribution of deuteriums was observed for **21a-d_{1.8}**.


Having in mind the experimental fact that **21a** did not afford complex **20a** after prolonged heating in C₆H₁₂ at 90 °C and the distribution of deuterium just mentioned, the formation of both species may be explained with the mechanism shown in Scheme 14. First, an iridium(V) trishydride¹² intermediate **D**, having a κ²-Tp^{Me₂} ligand, is formed with all the three hydrides (D₂H in the reaction with D₂) behaving as equivalent. From this intermediate different routes can be advanced for the formation of **20a** and **21a** and two of them, not described textually in any detail, are shown in Scheme 14. In both cases, the keto-enol tautomerization proposed as the final step should be a reversible process and therefore the isomer with a *cisoid* H,H chain stereochemistry (*i.e.* *epi-21a*) should also be accessible kinetically but we believe that its unfavourable thermodynamics is preventing its detection.

Scheme 14. Proposed mechanisms for the reaction of complex 2a with hydrogen



Hydrogenation of compound **2b** under the same reaction conditions (C_6H_{12} , 3 atm, 90°C , 24 h) gave rise to complex **20b** as the main reaction product. In this case the expected hydride **21b** was not detected but the carbonyl derivative **22b** was formed instead (Scheme 15). Both compounds were easily separated and purified by chromatography and fully characterized by the usual methods. The carbonyl ligand of **22b** is responsible for a strong absorption, at 2040 cm^{-1} , in the IR spectrum and a $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR resonance at 163.0 ppm. In turn, the Ir- CH_2 group gives rise to two doublets in the ^1H NMR spectrum (4.00 and 3.62 ppm, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 13.9\text{ Hz}$) and to a signal at 3.4 ppm in the $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum. With respect to the mechanism of its formation, it can be proposed that the $\alpha\text{-CO}_2\text{Me}$ group in **2b** has been hydrogenated according to the formal equation: $\text{H-Ir-C}(\text{CO}_2\text{Me})=\text{ + H}_2 \rightarrow \text{OC-Ir-CH}_2\text{- + MeOH}$. This is not the first time that a CO_2Me substituent in this type of iridacycles is involved in a reaction.⁶

Scheme 15. Reactivity of complex **2b** with hydrogen



CONCLUDING REMARKS

The Tp^{Me2}-containing hydride-iridafurans studied in this report normally react through 16e⁻ unsaturated intermediates that result from the hydride migration to the α -carbon of the metallacycle. In contrast to the adduct formation observed previously for hard or soft (NCMe, CO) Lewis bases, with ethylene and alkynes as substrates they experience electrocyclic ring closure to give bicyclic structures. Based in some experimental evidence it is suggested that π -adducts species act as active intermediates before the electrocyclic coupling takes place.


EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. All the manipulations were carried out under an inert atmosphere, following Schlenk techniques. The solvents employed were dried before use. The elemental analyses of the new compounds were carried out using a Perkin-Elmer Series II CHNS/O 2400 analyzer at the Microanalytical Service of the Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (Sevilla). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer system 2000 FT-IR. NMR instruments were Bruker models DPX-300, DRX-400, and DRX-500. ^1H and ^{13}C resonances were referenced with respect to SiMe_4 using the residual protio solvent peaks as internal standard (^1H NMR) and the characteristic resonances of the solvent ^{13}C nuclei (^{13}C NMR). Most of the ^1H and ^{13}C assignments were based in one- and two-dimensional experiments ($^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ gated, COSY, NOESY, ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC, and HMBC). Compounds **2a,b** were prepared by the procedures described in the literature.³

One-pot synthesis of compound 2a. To a solution of compound **1** (0.30 g, 0.55 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) at $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\text{MeO}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2\text{Me}$ (0.066 mL, 0.55 mmol) and *p*-anisaldehyde (0.135 g, 1.10 mmol) were added sequentially. The cold bath was then removed and the resulting mixture stirred at $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ 20 h. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure and the residue washed with hexane at $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (8x6 mL). Yield: 0.393 g (93%) of compound **2a** with enough purity to be use as starting material.


Synthesis of compound 5b. To a solution of compound **1** (0.10 g, 0.18 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 mL) at $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\text{MeO}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2\text{Me}$ (0.022 mL, 0.18 mmol) and water (0.2 mL, 11.0 mmol) were added sequentially. After stirring for 10 min at this temperature, *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (0.056 g, 0.36 mmol) was added. The cold bath was then removed and the resulting mixture stirred at

room temperature for 14 h. After this period of time, a bright yellow precipitate of **5b** was formed, which was separated by filtration from a dark brown solution and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.12 g (80%).




^1H NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.13, 7.75 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H^{B} , 2 H^{A} , resp.), 6.55 (br s, 2 H, H_2O), 6.12 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 5.84, 5.81, 5.59 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 3.41, 2.93 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.65, 2.45, 2.44, 2.37, 2.37, 2.34 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 185.0 (C^3), 184.3, 168.0 (CO_2Me), 154.6, 154.0, 152.0, 145.2, 144.0, 143.8 (C_{qpz}), 148.6 (C^4), 147.7 (C^7), 130.9, 122.6 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 112.0 (C^2), 108.8, 108.5, 107.3 (CH_{pz}), 50.0, 49.6 (CO_2Me), 17.7 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 132$ Hz), 14.8, 13.9, 13.1, 12.6, 12.6, 12.0 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{35}\text{BN}_7\text{O}_8\text{Ir}\cdot 0.5\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (sample crystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{:Et}_2\text{O}$): C, 40.6; H, 4.1; N, 11.6. Found: C, 40.8; H, 4.4; N, 11.2. IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{OH})$ 3361, (CO, CO_2Me) 1704, 1681, (NO_2) 1550 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compound 6a. Complex **2a** (0.15 g, 0.19 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (7 mL) and the solution placed in a Fisher-Porter vessel which was pressurized with C_2H_4 (3 atm). The resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 14 h. The volatiles were removed under vacuum and quantitative conversion into compound **6a** was ascertained by ^1H NMR. This compound was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using diethylether:hexane (1:3) \rightarrow diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixtures as eluent. Yield: 0.14 g (90%). An analytically pure sample, as dark red crystals, was obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a dichloromethane solution at room temperature.




^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.79, 6.89 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2 CH^{A} , 2 CH^{B} , resp.), 5.81, 5.68, 5.65 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.43 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.84 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.68, 3.25 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.95, 2.39 (td, m, 1 H each, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 11.3$, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 3.3$ Hz, H^{E} , H^{F} , resp.), 2.62, 2.46, 2.35, 2.31, 2.14, 1.91 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}), 2.20, 2.03 (m, 1 H each, H^{C} , H^{D} , resp.). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 222.4 (C^3), 184.7, 172.3 (CO_2Me), 163.2 (C^7), 152.5, 152.1, 149.6, 143.7, 143.2, 142.5 (C_{pz}), 131.1, 113.3 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 129.4 (C^4), 109.1, 107.0, 106.9 (CH_{pz}), 75.8 (C^2), 55.5 (C^7OMe), 51.8, 50.4 (CO_2Me), 38.8 (C^8 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 131$ Hz), 24.7 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 138$ Hz), 16.0, 13.4, 13.3, 13.2, 12.8, 12.5 (Me_{pz}), -15.9 (C^9 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 130$ Hz). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{40}\text{BN}_6\text{O}_6\text{Ir}$: C, 46.8; H, 5.0; N, 10.5. Found: C, 47.2; H, 5.4; N, 10.0. $R_f = 0.29$ (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1721, 1678 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compound 6b. To a solution of $\text{Tp}^{\text{Me}_2}\text{Ir}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$ (**1**) (0.50 g, 0.92 mmol) in dichloromethane (7 mL) at -20 °C, $\text{MeO}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2\text{Me}$ (0.11 mL, 0.92 mmol) was added. After stirring for 10 min at this temperature, *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (0.28 g, 1.83 mmol) was added. The cold bath was then removed and the resulting mixture stirred at room temperature for 14 h. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and ^1H NMR monitoring revealed the presence of **2b**, **6b** and **5b** in 35, 40 and 25% spectroscopic yield, respectively. Only the title compound was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel using diethylether:hexane (1:5) \rightarrow diethylether mixtures as eluent. Yield: 0.23 g (30%) of a violet microcrystalline solid.



^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.25, 7.90 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.4$ Hz, 2 H^{B} , 2 H^{A} , resp.), 5.83, 5.69, 5.65 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.64 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.62, 3.31 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 3.00, 2.46 (ddd, m, 1 H each, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 11.2$, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.0$, 2.3 Hz, H^{E} and H^{F} , resp.), 2.61, 2.47, 2.35, 2.31, 2.13, 1.86 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}), 2.08 (m, 2 H, H^{C} and H^{D}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 226.3 (C^3), 185.9, 171.2 (CO_2Me), 152.5, 152.0, 149.5, 143.8, 143.8, 142.8 (C_{pz}), 149.0 (C^7), 143.9 (C^4), 128.0, 123.1 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 109.3, 107.1, 107.0 (CH_{pz}), 78.4 (C^2), 52.0, 50.8 (CO_2Me), 37.9 (C^8 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 131$ Hz), 25.5 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 141$ Hz), 16.1, 13.3, 13.3, 13.2, 12.8, 12.4 (Me_{pz}), -15.2 (C^9 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 133$ Hz). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{37}\text{BN}_7\text{O}_7\text{Ir}\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (sample crystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{-Et}_2\text{O}$): C, 41.6; H, 4.4; N, 11.0. Found: C, 41.2; H, 4.8; N, 11.2. Rf = 0.20 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1737 (br), (NO_2) 1550 cm^{-1} .


Synthesis of compounds 7a and 8a. To a solution of compound **2a** (0.15 g, 0.19 mmol) in dichloromethane (7 mL), $\text{MeO}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2\text{Me}$ (0.07 mL, 0.58 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h, and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. ^1H NMR analysis revealed the formation of **7a** and **8a** in 80 and 10% spectroscopic yield, respectively. They were isolated by column chromatography on silica gel using diethylether:hexane (1:1) \rightarrow ethylacetate:diethylether (1:1) mixtures as eluent. Yield: 0.13 g (72%, yellow solid) and 0.025 g (14%, orange solid) of **7a** and **8a**, respectively. Analytically pure samples of both were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into dichloromethane solutions at room temperature (bright yellow crystals, **7a**; bright orange crystals, **8a**).



7a: 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.34, 6.89 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{HH} = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H^A , 2 H^B , resp.), 5.90 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 5.80, 5.71, 5.61 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 3.84 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.73, 3.70, 3.31, 3.22 (s, 3 H each, 4 CO_2Me), 2.48, 2.48, 2.36, 2.31, 2.10, 1.58 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 214.8 (C^3), 182.5, 175.1, 169.4, 162.3 (CO_2Me), 169.3 (C^9), 164.4 (C^7), 155.1, 152.7, 150.6, 144.3, 143.7, 142.8 (C_{qpz}), 134.7 (C^8), 133.6, 113.2 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 128.7 (C^4), 108.6, 107.5, 106.1 (CH_{pz}), 79.7 (C^2), 55.5 (C^7OMe), 52.1, 51.8, 50.7, 50.5 (CO_2Me), 37.1 (C^1 , $^1J_{CH} = 141$ Hz), 17.1, 13.5, 13.3, 12.6, 12.5, 12.3 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $C_{35}H_{42}BN_6O_{10}Ir$: C, 46.2; H, 4.6; N, 9.2. Found: C, 46.0; H, 4.8; N, 8.7. $R_f = 0.50$ (silica gel, 6:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(CO, CO_2Me)$ 1758, 1721, 1691 cm^{-1} .

8a: 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.97, 6.87 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{HH} = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H^A , 2 H^B , resp.), 6.82 (s, 1 H, C^9H), 6.05 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 5.76, 5.74, 5.66 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 3.87 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.76, 3.74, 2.89 (s, 3 H each, 3 CO_2Me), 2.49, 2.44, 2.41, 2.33, 2.07, 2.00 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 218.0 (C^3), 183.2, 169.5, 168.3 (CO_2Me), 166.6 (C^{10}), 165.7 (C^7), 152.7, 152.6, 150.7, 145.0, 143.2, 142.9 (C_{qpz}), 140.0 (C^8), 133.8, 114.2 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 128.5 (C^4), 128.0 (C^9 , $^1J_{CH} = 162$ Hz), 108.7, 108.6, 106.6 (CH_{pz}), 73.8 (C^2), 55.8 (C^7OMe), 52.4, 52.4, 50.6 (CO_2Me), 26.0 (C^1 , $^1J_{CH} = 138$ Hz), 14.6, 14.6, 12.9, 12.7, 12.0, 12.0 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $C_{34}H_{40}BN_6O_{10}Ir$: C, 45.6; H, 4.5; N, 9.4. Found: C, 45.2; H, 5.0; N, 9.9. $R_f = 0.14$ (silica gel, diethylether). IR (Nujol): $\nu(CO, CO_2Me, CO_2Ir)$ 1728, 1702 cm^{-1} .


Synthesis of compound 7b. To a solution of compound **2b** (0.075 g, 0.137 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), MeO₂CC≡CCO₂Me (0.05 mL, 0.411 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h, and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. ¹H NMR analysis revealed the formation of **7b** in 90% spectroscopic yield. Compound **7b** was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using diethylether:hexane (1:1) → ethylacetate mixtures as eluent. Yield: 0.05 g (56%) of a dark red microcrystalline solid.



¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.39, 8.25 (d, 2 H each, ³J_{HH} = 8.5 Hz, 2 H^A, 2 H^B, resp.), 6.03 (s, 1 H, C¹H), 5.83, 5.76, 5.62 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 3.74, 3.70, 3.33, 3.27 (s, 3 H each, 4 CO₂Me), 2.51, 2.48, 2.38, 2.32, 2.14, 1.50 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): δ 219.5 (C³), 183.1, 174.6, 168.7, 162.4 (CO₂Me), 170.9 (C⁹), 155.2, 152.7, 150.5, 144.7, 144.1, 143.1 (C_{qpz}), 149.9 (C⁷), 142.2 (C⁴), 133.7 (C⁸), 130.3, 122.8 (C⁵, C⁶, resp.), 108.9, 107.7, 106.3 (CH_{pz}), 81.3 (C²), 52.3, 52.2, 50.9, 50.9 (CO₂Me), 37.6 (C¹, ¹J_{CH} = 143 Hz), 17.2, 13.6, 13.3, 12.9, 12.4, 12.3 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for C₃₄H₃₉BN₇O₁₁Ir: C, 44.2; H, 4.2; N, 10.6. Found: C, 44.0; H, 4.6; N, 10.3. R_f = 0.42 (silica gel, 3:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): ν(CO, CO₂Me) 1757, 1723, 1699, (NO₂) 1551 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of compound 9a. Method a: Compound **2a** (0.09 g, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL), placed in a Fisher-Porter vessel, pressurized with C₂H₂ (2 atm) and then stirred at 60 °C for 14 h. The volatiles were removed under vacuum and quantitative conversion into compound **9a** was ascertained by ¹H NMR. This compound, a bright orange microcrystalline solid, was purified by


column chromatography on silica gel using a diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.075 g (81%).



Method b: To a solution of compound **1** (0.10 g, 0.18 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 mL) at -20 °C, $\text{MeO}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{CCO}_2\text{Me}$ (0.022 mL, 0.18 mmol) and *p*-anisaldehyde (0.045 mL, 0.36 mmol) were added sequentially. The cold bath was then removed and the resulting mixture stirred at 60 °C for 20 h. After cooling at 25 °C, the reaction mixture was placed in a Fisher Porter vessel, pressurized with C_2H_2 (2 atm) and then stirred at 60 °C for 14 h. Compound **9a** was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using diethylether:pentane (1:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.111 g (76%).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 9.18 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 5.8$ Hz, 1 H, C^9H), 7.76, 6.87 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^{A} and 2 H^{B} , resp.), 6.19 (d, 1 H, C^8H), 5.73, 5.71, 5.31 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.31 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.83 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.77, 3.30 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.47, 2.40, 2.38, 2.34, 1.95, 1.80 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 215.8 (C^3), 184.3, 171.9 (CO_2Me), 162.7 (C^7), 153.7, 153.0, 149.5, 143.8, 143.6, 142.4 (C_{qpz}), 149.1 (C^9), 130.9 (C^5), 129.5 (C^4), 127.6 (C^8), 113.6 (C^6), 108.3, 107.4, 105.7 (CH_{pz}), 82.0 (C^2), 55.3 (C^7OMe), 51.8, 50.4 (CO_2Me), 34.5 (C^1), 15.7, 13.2, 13.1, 12.7, 12.2, 10.9 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{38}\text{BIrN}_6\text{O}_6$: C, 46.9; H, 4.8; N, 10.6. Found: C, 46.8; H, 4.9; N, 10.3. $R_f = 0.24$ (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1720, 1680, 1600 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compound 10a. To a solution of compound **2a** (0.07 g, 0.09 mmol) in dichloromethane (3.5 mL), PhC≡CPh (0.048 g, 0.29 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Quantitative conversion into **10a** was ascertained by ¹H NMR. An analytically pure sample of **10a** was obtained by column chromatography on silica gel using a diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.071g (82%) of bright orange crystals.




¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): δ 7.98, 6.93 (d, 2 H each, ³J_{HH} = 8.6 Hz, 2 H^A and 2 H^B, resp.), 7.05 (m, 3 H, 3 CH(Ph)), 6.88 (d, 2 H, 2 CH(Ph)), 6.74 (t, 1 H, CH(Ph)), 6.56 (t, 2 H, 2 CH(Ph)), 6.36 (d, 2 H, 2 CH(Ph)), 6.02 (s, 1 H, C¹H), 5.88, 5.65, 5.36 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 3.87 (s, 3 H, C⁷OMe), 3.29, 3.21 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO₂Me), 2.60, 2.59, 2.38, 2.31, 1.87, 1.31 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 219.5 (C³), 185.4, 169.7 (CO₂Me), 162.1 (C⁷), 154.1, 151.9, 149.2, 143.8, 143.3, 132.3 (C_{qpz}), 153.6 (C⁹), 145.7, 140.7 (C_{qar}), 138.0 (C⁸), 132.2 (C⁴), 131.3 (C⁵), 130.3, 129.0, 127.5, 126.2, 125.6, 124.2 (2:2:2:2:1:1, CH(Ph)), 112.6 (C⁶), 108.5, 107.2, 105.2 (CH_{pz}), 87.0 (C²), 55.2 (C⁷OMe), 51.1, 50.5 (CO₂Me), 33.2 (C¹), 15.8, 13.3, 12.9, 12.6, 12.3, 12.2 (Me_{pz}).

Elemental analysis for C₄₃H₄₆BIrN₆O₆: C, 54.6; H, 4.9; N, 8.9. Found: C, 54.4; H, 5.1; N, 8.7. R_f = 0.42 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (KBr): ν(CO, CO₂Me) 1750, 1720, 1690 cm⁻¹.


Synthesis of compound 11a. To a solution of compound **2a** (0.09 g, 0.12 mmol) in dichloromethane (3.5 mL), MeO₂CC≡CH (0.032 mL, 0.35 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h, and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. ¹H NMR analysis

revealed the formation of **11a** in $\geq 90\%$ spectroscopic yield. Compound **11a** was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a diethylether:hexane (2:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.065 g (76%) of red crystals.



^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 11.14 (s, 1 H, C^9H), 8.21, 6.79 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H^{A} , 2 H^{B} resp.), 5.72, 5.67, 5.58 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.60 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.74 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.67, 3.63, 3.17 (s, 3H each, 3 CO_2Me), 2.40, 2.35, 2.27, 2.24, 2.08, 1.38 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 215.3 (C^3), 183.0, 170.0, 161.9 (CO_2Me), 176.1 (C^9), 163.9 (C^7), 153.6, 152.9, 149.6, 144.2, 144.0, 142.6 (C_{qpz}), 134.7 (C^8), 133.1 (C^5), 129.0 (C^4), 113.1 (C^6), 108.5, 107.6, 105.9 (CH_{pz}), 79.1 (C^2), 55.4 (C^7OMe), 51.9, 51.4, 50.5 (CO_2Me), 38.1 (C^1), 15.5, 13.5, 13.2, 12.8, 12.2, 10.8 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{40}\text{BIrN}_6\text{O}_8$: C, 46.5; H, 4.7; N, 9.9. Found: C, 46.7; H, 5.0; N, 9.5. IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1740, 1690, 1600 cm^{-1} .


Synthesis of compounds 11b and 12b. To a solution of compound **2b** (0.140 g, 0.18 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), $\text{MeO}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CH}$ (0.048 mL, 0.54 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h, and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. ^1H NMR analysis of the resulting residue revealed the formation of **11b** and **12b** in 75 and 20% spectroscopic yield, respectively. They were isolated, as dark red microcrystalline solids, by column chromatography on silica gel using a diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.065 g (40%) and 0.015 g (10%) of **11b** and **12b**, respectively.



11b: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 11.43 (s, 1 H, C^9H), 8.32, 8.22 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^{A} , 2 H^{B} , resp.), 5.83, 5.71, 5.67 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.78 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.75, 3.72, 3.28 (s, 3 H each, 3 CO_2Me), 2.49, 2.44, 2.35, 2.31, 2.18, 1.39 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 219.2 (C^3), 183.5, 169.3, 162.0 (CO_2Me), 178.1 (C^9 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 158$ Hz), 153.7, 152.9, 149.5, 144.5, 144.4, 142.9 (C_{qpz}), 149.7 (C^7), 142.5 (C^4), 133.3 (C^8), 130.0, 122.8 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 108.8, 107.8, 106.1 (CH_{pz}), 80.7 (C^2), 52.2, 51.7, 50.8 (CO_2Me), 38.5 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 143$ Hz), 15.6, 13.6, 13.2, 12.8, 12.2, 10.7 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{37}\text{BN}_7\text{O}_9\text{Ir}\cdot 0.5\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ (sample crystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2:\text{Et}_2\text{O}$): C, 45.1; H, 4.6; N, 10.8. Found: C, 44.7; H, 4.7; N, 10.5. $R_f = 0.19$ (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1749, 1685, (NO_2) 1548 cm^{-1} .

12b: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.24, 7.90 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.8$ Hz, 2 H^{B} , 2 H^{A} , resp.), 7.20 (s, 1 H, C^8H), 5.74, 5.70, 5.64 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.60 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.71, 3.34, 3.33 (s, 3 H each, 3 CO_2Me), 2.50, 2.39, 2.34, 2.24, 1.92, 1.59 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 219.2 (C^3), 185.1, 170.1, 170.0 (CO_2Me), 153.8, 153.0, 150.5, 144.4, 143.6, 142.3 (C_{qpz}), 149.2 (C^9), 148.8 (C^7), 143.2 (C^4), 136.9 (C^8 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 174$ Hz), 128.5, 123.2 (C^5 , C^6 , resp.), 108.3, 107.9, 105.6 (CH_{pz}), 84.0 (C^2), 52.4, 51.1, 51.0 (CO_2Me), 35.3 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 143$ Hz), 16.0, 13.3, 13.3, 12.8, 12.4, 11.4 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{37}\text{BN}_7\text{O}_9\text{Ir}\cdot 0.5\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (sample crystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2:\text{Et}_2\text{O}$): C, 42.9; H, 4.2; N, 10.8. Found: C, 42.5; H, 4.0; N, 10.4. $R_f = 0.31$ (silica gel, 3:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1790, 1715, 1684, (NO_2) 1549 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compounds 13a and 14a. To a solution of compound **1** (0.10 g, 0.18 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 mL) at -20 °C, Me₃CC≡CH (0.022 mL, 0.18 mmol) and *p*-anisaldehyde (0.045 mL, 0.36 mmol) were added sequentially. The cold bath was then removed and the resulting mixture stirred at 60 °C for 20 h. After cooling at 25 °C, *tert*-butylacetylene (0.134 mL, 1.10 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture stirred at 80 °C for 48 h to afford a *ca.* 1:1 mixture of **13a** and **14a**. They were separated, as orange and red microcrystalline solids, by column chromatography on silica gel using a diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.052 g (33%) and 0.056 g (36%) of **13a** and **14a**, respectively.




13a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 9.06 (s, 1 H, C⁹H), 7.79, 6.84 (d, 2 H each, ³J_{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 2 H^A and H^B, resp.), 5.73, 5.71, 5.67 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.61 (s, 1 H, C¹H), 3.82 (s, 3 H, C⁷OMe), 3.71, 3.31 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO₂Me), 2.46, 2.39, 2.33, 1.85, 1.81 (s, 1:2:1:1:1, 6 Me_{pz}), 1.02 (s, 9 H, CMe₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 220.7 (C³), 185.9, 171.8 (CO₂Me), 161.4 (C⁷), 153.4, 152.2, 149.1, 143.6, 143.3, 142.3 (C_{qpz}), 145.5 (C⁸), 140.9 (C⁹), 132.6 (C⁴), 130.9 (C⁵), 112.1 (C⁶), 108.3, 107.0, 105.7 (CH_{pz}), 83.5 (C²), 55.1 (C⁷OMe), 51.4, 50.5 (CO₂Me), 37.0 (CMe₃), 35.6 (C¹), 30.6 (CMe₃), 15.2, 13.1, 12.7, 12.6, 12.1, 11.1 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis for C₃₅H₄₆BiN₆O₆: C, 49.5; H, 5.5; N, 9.9. Found: C, 49.6; H, 5.7; N, 9.5. R_f = 0.39 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): ν(CO, CO₂Me) 1735, 1680, 1600 cm⁻¹.

14a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.89, 6.89 (d, 2 H each, ³J_{HH} = 8.9 Hz, 2 H^A and H^B, resp), 5.80 (s, 1 H, C¹H), 5.76, 5.72, 5.70 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.24 (s, 1 H, C⁸H), 3.84 (s, 3 H,

C⁷OMe), 3.81, 3.45 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO₂Me), 2.54, 2.44, 2.37, 2.36, 2.12, 1.83 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}), 0.54 (s, 9 H, CMe₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 217.3 (C³), 182.7, 170.8 (CO₂Me), 163.5 (C⁷), 153.7, 152.2, 145.0, 143.9, 143.2, 142.3 (C_{qz}), 137.0 (C⁸), 132.8 (2C⁵), 128.3 (C⁴), 115.1 (C⁹), 113.4 (C⁶), 108.7, 106.5, 106.3 (CH_{pz}), 88.9 (C²), 55.3 (C⁷OMe), 51.4, 51.0 (CO₂Me), 32.6 (CMe₃), 29.2 (CMe₃), 17.5, 13.7, 13.2, 12.6, 12.4, 12.2 (Me_{pz}), 9.5 (C¹). Elemental analysis for C₃₅H₄₆BIrN₆O₆: C, 49.5; H, 5.6; N, 9.9. Found: C, 49.3; H, 5.3; N, 9.8. R_f = 0.21 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): ν(CO, CO₂Me) 1725, 1690, 1605 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of compounds 15a and 16a. To a solution of compound **2a** (0.10 g, 0.13 mmol) in dichloromethane (6 mL), PhC≡CH (0.043 g, 0.39 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture stirred at 80 °C for 14 h to afford a *ca.* 1:1 mixture of **15a** and **16a**. They were separated by column chromatography on silica gel using a diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixture as eluent. Yield: 0.058g (50%, bright red crystals) and 0.048g (40%, of bright red crystals) of **15a** and **16a**, respectively.





15a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 9.34 (s, 1 H, C⁹H), 7.69, 6.74 (d, 2 H each, ³J_{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 2 H^A and 2H^B, resp.), 7.10, 7.04 (m, 2 H, 3 H, 5 CH(Ph)), 5.80 (s, 1 H, C¹H), 5.68, 5.67, 5.62 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 3.74 (s, 3 H, C⁷OMe), 3.44, 3.25 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO₂Me), 2.41, 2.40, 2.34, 2.27, 1.91, 1.68 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 218.1 (C³), 185.2, 170.6 (CO₂Me), 162.3 (C⁷), 153.6, 152.6, 149.5, 143.8, 143.7, 142.5 (C_{qz}), 150.5 (C⁹), 140.7 (C_{qar}), 139.6 (C⁸), 131.4 (C⁴), 131.1 (C⁵), 127.8, 127.6, 125.8 (CH(Ph)), 112.7 (C⁶), 108.5, 107.3, 105.9 (CH_{pz}), 84.9 (C²), 55.3 (C⁷OMe), 51.6, 50.6 (CO₂Me), 36.4 (C¹), 15.5, 13.3, 13.1, 12.8, 12.3, 11.1 (Me_{pz}). Elemental analysis

for $C_{37}H_{42}BIrN_6O_6$: C, 51.1; H, 4.9; N, 9.7. Found: C, 51.1; H, 5.0; N, 9.4. IR (Nujol): $\nu(CO, CO_2Me)$ 1740, 1690, 1600 cm^{-1} .

16a: 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.83, 6.83 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{HH} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^A and 2 H^B , resp.), 6.72 (t, 1 H, *p*-CH(Ph)), 6.58 (m, 4 H, 4 CH(Ph)) 6.44 (s, 1 H, C^8H) 5.70 (s, 2 H, C^1H and CH_{pz}), 5.59, 5.39 (s, 1 H each, 2 CH_{pz}), 3.82, 3.43 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 3.77 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 2.43, 2.34, 2.31, 2.27, 1.83, 1.64 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 216.9 (C^3), 182.8, 171.1 (CO_2Me), 164.0 (C^7), 154.7, 152.5, 151.3, 144.3, 143.6, 142.5 (C_{qpz}), 137.7 (C_{qar}), 133.0 (C^5), 128.6 (C^9), 128.2 (C^8), 128.0 (C^4), 127.0, 126.5, 125.5 (CH(Ph)), 113.7 (C^6), 108.6, 107.0, 106.0 (CH_{pz}), 88.5 (C^2), 55.6 (C^7OMe), 52.0, 51.4 (CO_2Me), 15.6, 13.3, 12.7, 12.6, 12.3, 12.1 (Me_{pz}), 9.0 (C^1). Elemental analysis for $C_{37}H_{42}BIrN_6O_6$: C, 51.1; H, 4.9; N, 9.7. Found: C, 50.9; H, 5.1; N, 9.4. IR (Nujol): $\nu(CO, CO_2Me)$ 1725, 1690, 1600 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compounds 17a, 18a and 19a: To a solution of compound **2a** (0.07 g, 0.09 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 mL), $Me_3SiC\equiv CH$ (0.324 mL, 2.29 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture stirred at 80 °C for 48 h. After evaporation of the volatiles 1H NMR analysis of the resulting residue revealed the presence of **17a**, **18a** and **19a** in *ca.* 4:2:1 ratio. Column chromatography on silica gel using diethylether:hexane (1:2) \rightarrow diethylether:hexane (1:1) mixtures as eluent furnished **17a** (0.031 g, 39% orange solid) and **18a** in admixture with **19a**.




17a: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 10.13 (s, 1 H, C^9H), 7.73, 6.85 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^{A} and 2 H^{B} , resp.), 5.73, 5.70, 5.69 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.41 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.83 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.74, 3.31 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.46, 2.39, 2.34, 2.32, 1.89, 1.77 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}), -0.06 (s, 9 H, SiMe_3). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 217.9 (C^3), 185.0, 171.5 (CO_2Me), 169.4 (C^9), 162.0 (C^7), 153.5, 152.8, 149.3, 143.7, 143.6, 142.4 (C_{qpz}), 139.9 (C^2), 130.8 (C^5), 130.7 (C^4), 112.5 (C^6), 108.3, 107.2, 105.8 (CH_{pz}), 85.2 (C^8), 55.2 (C^7OMe), 51.5, 50.4 (CO_2Me), 35.6 (C^1), 15.3, 13.1, 13.0, 12.7, 12.2, 10.8 (Me_{pz}), 0.0 (SiMe_3). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{46}\text{BIrN}_6\text{O}_6\text{Si}$: C, 47.2; H, 5.3; N, 9.7. Found: C, 47.0; H, 5.2; N, 9.7. Rf = 0.30 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1720, 1685, 1600 cm^{-1} .

18a: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.78, 6.89 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^{A} and 2 H^{B} , resp.), 6.57 (s, 1 H, C^8H), 5.77, 5.69, 5.66 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.40 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 3.87 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.80, 3.33 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.52, 2.39, 2.34, 2.18, 1.92, 1.75 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}), -0.41 (s, 9 H, SiMe_3). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 214.9 (C^3), 184.7, 171.8 (CO_2Me), 164.3 (C^9), 162.6 (C^7), 153.8, 152.9, 149.3, 143.7, 143.7, 142.5 (C_{qpz}), 138.8 (C^2), 130.8 (C^5), 129.7 (C^4), 113.3 (C^6), 108.4, 107.1, 105.8 (CH_{pz}), 84.5 (C^8), 55.3 (C^7OMe), 51.9, 50.4 (CO_2Me), 33.2 (C^1), 17.5, 13.2, 13.1, 12.8, 12.6, 12.2 (Me_{pz}), 0.3 (SiMe_3).

19a: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.90, 6.92 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^{A} and 2 H^{B} , resp.), 5.79, 5.75, 5.74 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.70 (s, 1 H, C^1H), 5.27, 4.61 (s, 1 H each, CH_2), 3.87 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.85, 3.51 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.52, 2.45, 2.42, 2.38, 2.16, 1.91 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 216.5 (C^3), 182.8, 171.3 (CO_2Me), 164.0 (C^7), 154.0, 152.4, 150.9, 144.1, 143.4, 142.6 (C_{qpz}), 132.8 (C^5), 132.5 (C^8), 128.0 (C^4), 113.8 (2C^6), 112.2 (C^9), 108.8, 106.7, 106.4 (CH_{pz}), 88.0 (C^2), 55.6 (C^7OMe), 51.8, 51.3 (CO_2Me), 16.5, 13.3, 13.0, 12.7, 12.5, 12.4 (Me_{pz}), 9.8 (C^1). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{39}\text{BIrN}_6\text{O}_6$: C, 46.9; H, 4.8; N, 10.6. Found: C, 47.0; H, 4.9; N, 10.8. Rf = 0.37 (silica gel, 2:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO}, \text{CO}_2\text{Me})$ 1725, 1690, 1600 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compounds 20a and 21a: Compound **2a** (0.10 g, 0.13 mmol) was suspended in cyclohexane (7 mL), placed in a Fisher-Porter vessel and pressurized with H₂ (3 atm). The resulting mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 24 h and the volatiles removed under vacuum. ¹H NMR analysis of the resulting residue revealed the formation of **20a** (as a mixture of rotamers in 1:0.2 ratio) and **21a** in 80% and 20% spectroscopic yield, respectively. They were separated by column chromatography on silica gel, using diethylether:hexane (1:10) → (1:1) mixtures as eluent. Yield: 0.075 g (75%, orange microcrystalline solid) and 0.012 g (12%, orange microcrystalline solid) of **20a** and **21a**, respectively.



20a, major rotamer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.83, 7.04, 6.55 (d, d, dd, 1 H each, ³J_{HH} = 9.1, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz, H^A, H^C, H^B, resp.), 5.88, 5.80, 5.54 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 4.88 (dd, 1 H, ³J_{HH} = 9.3, 4.9 Hz, C²H), 3.78 (s, 3 H, C⁷OMe), 3.71, 3.61 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO₂Me), 3.33, 2.94 (dd, 1 H each, ²J_{HH} = 17.6 Hz, C¹H₂), 2.41, 2.39, 2.37, 2.16, 1.08 (s, 1:2:1:1:1, 6 Me_{pz}), -22.54 (s, 1 H, Ir–H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 209.7 (C³), 179.8 (C⁹), 171.7, 169.5 (CO₂Me), 164.1 (C⁷), 151.9, 151.6, 151.5, 143.8, 143.3, 142.8 (C_{qpz}), 138.7 (C⁴), 134.1, 119.4, 109.4 (C⁵, C⁸, C⁶, resp.), 106.4, 106.4, 105.8 (CH_{pz}), 55.3 (C⁷OMe), 52.8, 52.6 (CO₂Me), 46.0 (C², ¹J_{CH} = 135 Hz), 33.4 (C¹, ¹J_{CH} = 134, 131 Hz), 16.6, 14.3, 13.1, 12.4, 12.3, 10.8 (Me_{pz}).

20a, minor rotamer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 7.77, 7.06, 6.55 (d, d, dd, 1 H each, ³J_{HH} = 8.8, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz, H^A, H^C, H^B, resp.), 5.88, 5.80, 5.54 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 4.88 (dd, 1 H, ³J_{HH} = 9.3, 4.9 Hz, C²H), 3.78 (s, 3 H, C⁷OMe), 3.74, 3.66 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO₂Me), 3.28, 2.72 (dd, 1 H each, ²J_{HH} = 17.6 Hz, C¹H₂), -22.56 (s, 1 H, Ir–H). Resonances corresponding to the methyl groups of the


pyrazolyl rings have not been assigned. $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 210.2 (C^3), 180.3 (C^9), 171.7, 170.0 (CO_2Me), 162.5 (C^7), 151.9, 151.6, 151.5, 143.8, 143.3, 142.8 (C_{qpz}), 138.5 (C^4), 134.7, 119.6, 109.5 (C^5 , C^8 , C^6 , resp.), 106.4, 106.4, 105.9 (CH_{pz}), 55.3 (C^7OMe), 52.7, 52.6 (CO_2Me), 45.9 (C^2 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 135$ Hz), 34.1 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 131$ Hz). Resonances corresponding to the methyl groups of the pyrazolyl rings have not been assigned.

Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{38}\text{BN}_6\text{O}_6\text{Ir}\cdot 0.5\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (sample crystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2:\text{Et}_2\text{O}$): C, 43.6; H, 4.8; N, 10.4. Found: C, 43.9; H, 5.1; N, 10.2. Rf = 0.41 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{Ir-H})$ 2152 (CO, CO_2Me) 1739 (br) cm^{-1} .

21a: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.01, 6.89 (d, 2 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9$ Hz, 2 H^{A} and 2 H^{B} , resp.), 5.75, 5.71, 5.62 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.29, 5.13 (d, 1 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 1.6$ Hz, C^1H and C^2H , resp.), 3.86 (s, 3 H, C^7OMe), 3.75, 2.92 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 2.40, 2.37, 2.32, 2.18, 1.75 (s, 2:1:1:1:1, 6 Me_{pz}), -22.52 (s, 1 H, Ir-H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 217.4 (C^3), 186.1, 170.8 (CO_2Me), 164.5 (C^7), 152.7, 152.7, 150.5, 143.1, 142.7, 142.7 (C_{qpz}), 132.0, 114.8 (C^5 and C^6 , resp.), 128.1 (C^4), 106.9, 105.9, 105.2 (CH_{pz}), 63.1 (C^2 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 128$ Hz), 55.7 (C^7OMe), 52.6, 50.4 (CO_2Me), 15.9, 14.0, 13.7, 13.0, 12.6, 12.4 (Me_{pz}), 9.4 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 136$ Hz). Elemental analysis for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{38}\text{BN}_6\text{O}_6\text{Ir}\cdot 0.5\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (sample crystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2:\text{Et}_2\text{O}$): C, 43.6; H, 4.8; N, 10.4. Found: C, 44.1; H, 4.9; N, 10.2. Rf = 0.27 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{Ir-H})$ 2147, (CO, CO_2Me) 1743 (br) cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of compounds 20b and 22b. Compound **2b** (0.135 g, 0.25 mmol) was suspended in cyclohexane (8 mL), placed in a Fisher-Porter vessel and pressurized with H_2 (3 atm). The resulting mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 24 h and the volatiles were removed under vacuum. ^1H NMR analysis of the resulting residue revealed the formation of **20b** (as a mixture of rotamers in 1:0.8 ratio) and **22b** in 53% and 20% spectroscopic yield, respectively. They were separated by column chromatography on

silica gel, using diethylether:hexane (1:5) → (1:1) mixtures as eluent. Yield: 0.065 g (48%, dark red microcrystalline solid) and 0.026 g (14%, brown microcrystalline solid) of **20b** and **22b**, respectively.



20b, major rotamer: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.30, 8.09, 7.75 (d, d, dd, 1 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.8$, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.8$ Hz, H^{C} , H^{A} , H^{B} , resp.), 5.92, 5.88, 5.53 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 5.05 (dd, 1 H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 14.7$, 8.1 Hz, C^2H), 3.76, 3.65 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 3.30, 3.12 (dd, 1 H each, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 17.6$, C^1H_2), 2.42, 2.40, 2.40, 2.36, 2.10, 0.95 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}), -22.33 (s, 1 H, Ir-H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 215.9 (C^3), 177.7 (C^9), 171.7, 168.5 (CO_2Me), 152.1, 151.5, 151.3, 144.4, 143.8, 143.2 (C_{pz}), 150.0 (C^7), 149.0 (C^4), 132.5, 131.8, 113.9 (C^5 , C^8 , C^6 , resp.), 106.9, 106.7, 106.0 (CH_{pz}), 53.0, 52.3 (CO_2Me), 47.0 (C^2 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 135$ Hz), 33.3 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 133$ Hz), 16.3, 14.3, 13.0, 12.3, 12.3, 11.1 (Me_{pz}).

20b, minor rotamer: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.32, 7.99, 7.75 (d, d, dd, 1 H each, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.8$, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.8$ Hz, H^{C} , H^{A} , H^{B} , resp.), 5.92, 5.88, 5.53 (s, 1 H each, CH_{pz}), 5.07 (dd, 1 H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 14.7$, 8.1 Hz, C^2H), 3.71, 3.63 (s, 3 H each, 2 CO_2Me), 3.30, 2.91 (m, dd, 1 H each, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 18.0$ Hz, C^1H_2), -22.33 (s, 1 H, Ir-H). Resonances corresponding to the methyl groups of the pyrazolyl rings have not been assigned. $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 216.2 (C^3), 178.2 (C^9), 171.7, 169.1 (CO_2Me), 152.1, 151.6, 151.3, 144.4, 143.8, 143.2 (C_{pz}), 150.0 (C^7), 148.9 (C^4), 132.3, 131.9, 114.0 (C^5 , C^8 , C^6 , resp.), 106.9, 106.9, 106.1 (CH_{pz}), 53.2, 52.3 (CO_2Me), 47.0 (C^2 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 135$ Hz), 33.7 (C^1 , $^1J_{\text{CH}} = 135$ Hz). Resonances corresponding to the methyl groups of the pyrazolyl rings have not been assigned.

Rf = 0.34 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{Ir-H})$ 2149, (CO, CO₂Me) 1743, 1738, (NO₂) 1548 cm⁻¹.

22b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 8.15, 7.57 (d, 2 H each, ³J_{HH} = 7.3 Hz, 2 H^B and 2 H^A, resp.), 5.88, 5.83, 5.75 (s, 1 H each, 3 CH_{pz}), 4.00, 3.62 (d, 1 H each, ²J_{HH} = 13.9 Hz, C¹H₂), 3.57 (s, 3 H, CO₂Me), 2.51, 2.43, 2.39, 2.35, 2.31, 2.27 (s, 3 H each, 6 Me_{pz}). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C; δ (ppm)): 180.6 (C³), 167.7 (CO₂Me), 163.0 (CO), 152.6, 151.6, 150.9, 145.0, 144.0, 143.7 (C_{qpz}), 147.2 (C⁷), 145.4 (C⁴), 129.4, 122.8 (C⁵ and C⁶, resp.), 113.5 (C²), 108.9, 108.1, 107.0 (CH_{pz}), 50.6 (CO₂Me), 15.0, 13.0, 12.9, 12.7, 12.1, 12.0 (Me_{pz}), 3.4 (C¹, ¹J_{CH} = 136 Hz). Elemental analysis for C₂₇H₃₁BN₇O₆Ir: C, 43.1; H, 4.1; N, 13.0. Found: C, 43.9; H, 4.4; N, 13.2. Rf = 0.27 (silica gel, 1:1 diethylether:hexane). IR (Nujol): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2040, (CO, CO₂Me) 1745, 1656, (NO₂) 1548 cm⁻¹.

Structural Analysis of complexes 6a, 7a and 8a. Crystals of suitable size for X-ray diffraction analysis, were coated with dry perfluoropolyether and mounted on glass fibers and fixed in a cold nitrogen stream (T = 213 K) to the goniometer head. Data collections were performed on a Bruker-Nonius X8Apex-II CCD diffractometer, using monochromatic radiation $\lambda(\text{Mo K}\alpha) = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$, by means of ω and ϕ scans with a width of 0.50 degree. The data were reduced (SAINT)¹³ and corrected for absorption effects by the multi-scan method (SADABS).¹⁴ The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR-2002)¹⁵ and refined against all F^2 data by full-matrix least-squares techniques (SHELXTL-6.12)¹⁶ minimizing $w[F_o^2 - F_c^2]^2$. All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and allowed to ride on the attached atoms with the isotropic temperature factors (U_{iso} values) fixed at 1.2 times (1.5 times for methyl groups) those U_{eq} values of the corresponding attached atoms.

Crystal data for 6a: C₃₁H₄₀BIrN₆O₆, $M = 795.70$, triclinic, $a = 8.2638(4) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 12.0184(5) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 18.0299(8) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 98.159(2)^\circ$, $\beta = 90.250(2)^\circ$, $\gamma = 105.906(2)^\circ$, $V = 1702.93(13) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 100(2) \text{ K}$,

space group $P\bar{1}$, $Z = 2$, $\mu = 3.970 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 25739 reflections measured, 10343 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0190$). The final R_I values were 0.0176 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.0605 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final R_I values were 0.0184 (all data). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.0612 (all data). The goodness of fit on F^2 was 1.125. CCDC 1015996.

Crystal data for 7a: $2(\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{42}\text{BIrN}_6\text{O}_{10})\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$, $M = 1904.44$, triclinic, $a = 8.6180(2) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 12.6109(3) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 19.4614(4) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 107.9940(10)^\circ$, $\beta = 93.4270(10)^\circ$, $\gamma = 105.5080(10)^\circ$, $V = 1914.86(7) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 173(2) \text{ K}$, space group $P\bar{1}$, $Z = 1$, $\mu = 3.620 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 58223 reflections measured, 11583 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0269$). The final R_I values were 0.0234 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.0673 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final R_I values were 0.0262 (all data). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.0686 (all data). The goodness of fit on F^2 was 0.996. CCDC 1015997.

Crystal data for 8a: $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{40}\text{BIrN}_6\text{O}_{10}$, $M = 895.73$, monoclinic, $a = 17.2496(13) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 17.2877(14) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 25.6049(17) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 90.00^\circ$, $\beta = 98.640(3)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.00^\circ$, $V = 7548.9(10) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 100(2) \text{ K}$, space group $P2_1/n$, $Z = 8$, $\mu = 3.599 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 68501 reflections measured, 15477 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0885$). The final R_I values were 0.0447 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.1083 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final R_I values were 0.0687 (all data). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.1180 (all data). The goodness of fit on F^2 was 0.997. CCDC 1015998.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. CIF file giving crystallographic data for compounds **6a**, **7a** and **8a**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: paneque@iiq.csic.es (M. P.)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support (FEDER contribution and ESF) from the Spanish Ministry of Science (projects CTQ2010-17476 and Consolider Ingenio 2010 CSD 2007-0006) and the Junta de Andalucía (Grant FQM-119 and project P09-FQM-4832) is acknowledged. N. R. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Science and University of Seville for a “Ramón y Cajal” contract.

REFERENCES

(1) Blecke, J. R. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1035-1047. For α -metallafurans, see: (b) Bierstedt, A.; Clark, G. R.; Roper, W. R.; Wright, L. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691*, 3846-3852. (c) Sunley, G. J.; Menanteau, P. del C.; Adams, H.; Bailey, N. A.; Maitlis, P. M. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1989**, 2415-

2421. (d) Esteruelas, M. A.; Hernández, Y. A.; López, A. M.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 5989-6000. (e) Eguillor, B.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 1428-1438. (f) Barrio, P.; Castarlenas, R.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 2635-2638. (g) Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Garcés, K.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 4680-4690. (h) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Zeier, B. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 1662-1668. (i) Castarlenas, R.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Martín, M.; Oro, L. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *564*, 241-247. (j) Eguillor, B.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 6015-6024. (k) Gong, L.; Lin, Y.; Wen, T. B.; Zhang, H.; Zeng, B.; Xia, H. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 2584-2589. (l) Li, X.; Vogel, T.; Incarvito, C. D.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 62-76. (m) Li, X.; Chen, P.; Faller, J. W.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 4810-4815. (n) He, X-M.; Liu, Q.; Gong, L.; Lin, Y.; Wen, T. B. *Inor. Chem. Comm.* **2010**, *13*, 342-345. (o) Esteruelas, M. A.; Larramona, C.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 2567-2575. For β -metallafurans, see: (p) Shih, K. Y.; Fanwick, P. E.; Walton, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 9319-9320. (q) Shih, K.-Y.; Fanwick, P. E.; Walton, R. A. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 1235-1242. (r) Shih, K.-Y.; Fanwick, P. E.; Walton, R. A. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 861-862. (s) Kort, D. A.; Shih, K.-Y.; Wu, W.; Fanwick, P. E.; Walton, R. A. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 448-455.

(2) (a) Dalebrook, A. F.; Wright, L. J. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 93-177. (b) Chen, J.; Jia, G. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *257*, 2491-2521. (c) Frongley, B. J.; Wright, L. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *270-271*, 151-166.

(3) Posadas, C. M.; Rendón, N.; López-Serrano, J.; Hernández, Y. A.; Álvarez, E.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 1796-1809.

(4) Paneque, M.; Posadas, C. M.; Poveda, M. L.; Rendón, N.; Álvarez, E.; Mereiter, K. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 5160-5172.

(5) A referee has correctly suggested that by considering the resonance form of **A** in which there is a double bond of the type $\text{Ir}=\text{O}^+-$, this intermediate may be somewhat resembling a metal-heterocyclopentadiene and therefore that the electrocyclization could be of the [4+2] type.

(6) For a related Ir(III) carboxylate, see: Cristóbal, C.; García-Rubín, S.; Hernández, Y. A.; López-Serrano, J.; Paneque, M.; Posadas, C. M.; Poveda, M. L.; Rendón, N.; Álvarez, E. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 5744-5747.

(7) No more than 2 equiv. of DMAD can be added as this iridacyclopentadiene easily reacts with any excess of this alkyne giving an iridacycloheptatriene, see: Paneque, M.; Posadas, C. M.; Poveda, M. L.; Rendón, N.; Santos, L. L.; Álvarez, E.; Salazar, V.; Mereiter, K.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3403-3415.

(8) Roa, A. E.; Salazar, V.; López-Serrano, J.; Oñate, E.; Alvarado-Rodríguez, J. G.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 3185-3198.

(9) Alías, F. M.; Daff, P. J.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L.; Carmona, E.; Pérez, P. J.; Salazar, V.; Alvarado, Y.; Atencio, R.; Sánchez-Delgado, R. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 5132-5146.

(10) For a related 4-membered iridacycle with a $\text{Ir}-\overset{|}{\text{C}}=\text{CH}_2$ moiety, see: Gómez, M.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L.; Álvarez, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 6092-6093.

(11) (a) Bustelo, E.; Carbó, J. J.; Lledós, A.; Mereiter, K.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 3311-3321. (b) De Angelis, F.; Sgamellotti, A.; Re, N. *Dalton Trans.* **2004**, 3225-3230. (c) Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L.; Rendón, N.; Mereiter, K. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 172-180. (d) Werner, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, *475*, 45-55. (e) Werner, H.; Baum, M.; Schneider, D.; Windmueller, B. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 1089-1097. (f) Werner, H.; Lass, R. W.; Gevert, O.; Wolf, J. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 4077-4088. (g) Jiménez, M. V.; Sola, E.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 2722-2729. (h) Ilg, K.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L.; Rendón, N.; Santos, L.

- L.; Carmona, E.; Mereiter, K. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 2230-2236. (i) Batuecas, M.; Escalante, L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; García-Yebra, C.; Oñate, E.; Saá, C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 9712-9715.
- (12) For a related tetrahydride of Ir(V), see: Gutiérrez-Puebla, E.; Monge, A.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L.; Taboada, S.; Trujillo, M.; Carmona, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 346-354.
- (13) Bruker (2007). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- (14) Bruker (2001). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- (15) Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Carrozzini, B.; Cascarano, G. L.; Giacovazzo, C.; Poliori, G.; Spagna, R. SIR2002: the program *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2003**, *36*, 1103.
- (16) Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Cryst.* **2008**, *A64*, 112-122.

For table of contents use only:

