Radboud University Nijmegen

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/89893

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2018-07-08 and may be subject to change.

Downloaded from http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/ at Katholieke Universiteit on July 12, 2012

positive PCR results were suspected of harboring B19 infection on the basis of clinical assessments [1]. Yet, retrospective review showed that the B19-associated cytopenias significantly prolonged periods of unwanted interruption of chemotherapy, necessitated more blood transfusions, and compelled more bone marrow examinations. Our purpose here was to extend those findings by studying children with other malignancies or hematological disorders.

During a period of 5.5 years, examinations of bone marrow for proven or suspected malignant disorders were supplemented with screening for parvovirus B19 DNA with a qualitative PCR. Positive samples were then analyzed with the quantitative PCR [1]. Informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from the children or their guardians. The study was approved by the ethical committee at Karolinska Institutet (Stockholm, Sweden).

A total of 229 bone marrow samples from 123 children who underwent bone marrow examinations were collected. Overall, 9 (7%) of 123 patients were positive for B19 DNA, and 8 of those 9 had test results positive for B19 DNA at the time of diagnosis of the underlying disease. No additional underlying immunodeficiency or previous blood transfusion was recorded. Consecutive samples were available from 4 of the B19 DNA-positive patients (2-7 samples per patient), and the outcomes were recorded for 5-32 months. B19 DNA was, in one instance, persistently detected for >24 months after diagnosis, and viral loads consisted of up to 8.7×10^7 copies/mL. According to a review of medical records, none of the B19 infections were suspected on clinical grounds, and the infections were not clustered during any given time of year or epidemic period. Serum samples that had been collected at the time of the first bone marrow sample collection were available from 27 of these children. Of these 27 children, 13 (48%) were B19 immunoglobulin G positive, whereas only 1 was B19 immunoglobulin M positive. During the 6 months after diagnosis of the underlying disorder, all but 2 of the B19 DNA–positive patients experienced multiple episodes of fever and severe long-standing cytopenias that required multiple blood transfusions (range, 5–60 transfusions).

Except for studies involving children with ALL, little information is available on the influence and persistence of B19 infection among children with malignancies [1–6]. In the present study, 7% of children with malignant or hematological disorders were found to be B19 DNA positive and this infection likely contributed to some of the severe cytopenic periods observed. Viral load was detected in high titers, and some children had prolonged periods of detectable B19 DNA. Owing to the multiplicity of these underlying diagnoses, no statistical comparison was possible for B19-infected versus uninfected individuals, as was done in our previous study involving children with ALL [4]. However, that study served as the basis for our contention that screening with PCR for B19 DNA in bone marrow samples is clinically important for all children with malignancies, not only for early detection and treatment of the infection but also for limiting the effects of associated complications. Future studies will hopefully clarify the clinical relevance of viral load levels in different patient categories, at which levels to initiate antiviral treatment, and whether analyses of serum samples is as sensitive as analysis of bone marrow samples. Considering the notable number of B19 DNApositive children in our relatively small but significant study, we suggest that quantitative PCR testing is a useful differential diagnostic tool in these patient categories.

Acknowledgments

Financial support. The Stockholm City Council/Karolinska Institutet, Swedish Children's Cancer Foundation, and the Tobias Foundation.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: no conflicts.

lgge Gustafsson,^{1,a} Tove Kaldensjö,^{1,a} Anna Lindblom,¹ Oscar Norbeck,¹ Jan-Inge Henter,² Thomas Tolfvenstam,¹ and Kristina Broliden¹

¹Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Unversity Hospital, and ²Childhood Cancer Research Unit, Department of Woman and Child Health, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

References

- Lindblom A, Heyman M, Gustafsson I, et al. Parvovirus B19 infection in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia is associated with cytopenia resulting in prolonged interruptions of chemotherapy. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 46:528– 536.
- 2. Yoto Y, Kudoh T, Suzuki N, Matsunaga Y and Chiba S. Retrospective study on the influence of human parvovirus B19 infection among children with malignant diseases. Acta Haematol **1993**; 90:8–12.
- Graeve JL, de Alarcon PA and Naides SJ. Parvovirus B19 infection in patients receiving cancer chemotherapy: the expanding spectrum of disease. Am J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 1989; 11: 441–444.
- Shaw PJ, Eden T and Cohen BJ. Parvovirus B19 as a cause of chronic anemia in rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer 1993; 72:945–949.
- Soliman OES, Hegazi Hasan MAEA, El-Ashry R, Zaghloul MHE, Kora B. Parvovirus B19 infection in pediatric oncology patients: diagnostic value of clinical and serological parameters compared with nested PCR. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2009; 31:173–176.

Reprints or correspondence: Prof Kristina Broliden, Infectious Disease Unit, B2:00, Karolinska University Hospital, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden (kristina.broliden@karolinska.se).

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010;50(10):1426-1427 © 2010 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2010/5010-0018\$15.00 DOI: 10.1086/652286

Oseltamivir Dosing in Children Undergoing Hemodialysis

To THE EDITOR—With the advent of the new influenza A (H1N1) virus, the need for antiviral treatment has increased, especially in vulnerable patients, such as patients undergoing dialysis [1]. For adult patients, Robson et al [2] have provided a guideline for dosing of oseltamivir, whereas for children undergoing dialysis, such data are lacking.

On the basis of data from Robson et al [2], we formulated a dosing schedule for

Table 1. Characteristics of 3 Children undergoing Hemodialysis (HD) and Treated with Oseltamivir

Patient	Age, years	Sex	Body weight, kg	Diagnosis	Endogenous creatinine clearance, mL/min/1.73 m ²	HD treatment	Oseltamivir carboxylate plasma concentration before HD session, ng/mL	Oseltamivir carboxylate plasma concentration after HD session, ng/mL
A	3	М	15	Denys-Drash syndrome, bilateral nephrectomy	0	For 3 h 4 times per week; Fresenius F4HPS dialyser; effective blood- flow, 100 mL/min	660	133
В	6	Μ	24	Posterior urethral valves	5	For 3 h 3 times per week; Fresenius FX5 dialyser; effective bloodflow, 100 mL/min	207	37.3
С	11	F	34	Interstitial nephritis	2	For 4 h 3 times per week and for 3 h 1 time per week; Fresenius FX5 dialyser; bloodflow, 225 mL/min	419	60.7

children undergoing intermittent hemodialysis (HD). Contrary to the recommendation from Robson et al [2] to dose after alternate HD sessions [2], we suggest to dose oseltamivir in children (age, >1 year) after each HD session (7.5 mg for children weighing \leq 15 kg, 10 mg for children weighing 16–23 kg, 15 mg for children weighing 24–40 kg, and 30 mg for children weighing >40 kg).

We have based this recommendation on 2 main arguments. First, dosing after alternate dialysis sessions leads to a low plasma concentration of oseltamivir carboxylate from the first HD session after the dosing until the next dosing, which is a period of ~48 h. Even though the total area under the curve is appropriate, the plasma concentration during this latter 48 h may be suboptimal. Second, safety analysis of oseltamivir has shown that high plasma concentrations are generally well tolerated [3] and may even be more effective than low concentrations [4].

To test our dosing schedule, plasma concentrations of oseltamivir carboxylate were measured using a validated liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method (PRA International) in 3 children undergoing HD with suspected H1N1 infection. Blood samples, obtained from patients after a median of 2 days treatment, were taken just before and after an HD session to define extracorporeal elimination.

Results from the analysis are presented in Table 1. All patients recovered well, and none of the patients experienced any adverse effects as a result of the treatment. Our data show that plasma concentrations are attained at the lower end of an adult reference population [2] and that extracorporeal elimination is higher than expected (>80%).

We present the first data on oseltamivir dosing in children undergoing HD [5]. These data illustrate the appropriateness of our dosing schedule, and the high extracorporeal elimination indicates the need to supply a dose of oseltamivir after each HD session to prevent subsequent subtherapeutic exposure [2, 5]. Obviously, 3 patients are not enough to provide adequate evidence for our schedule, but in the clinical setting, we are confronted with children undergoing HD who need adequate and safe oseltamivir treatment. This forces us to define a dosing schedule on the basis of sparse data. We hope that more data will become available to define the best oseltamivir dosing schedule for this vulnerable patient cohort.

Acknowledgments

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: no conflicts.

Michiel F. Schreuder,¹⁵ Michiel van der Flier,²³ Noël B. Knops,⁶ Linda Koster-Kamphuis,¹ and Roger J. Brüggemann³⁴

¹Department of Pediatric Nephrology, ² Department of Pediatrics, Infectious Diseases and Immunology, ³Nijmegen Institute for Infection, Inflammation and Immunity, and ⁴Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, and ⁵Clinical Study Group Nephrology, Medicines for Children Research Network, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; and ⁶Department of Pediatric Nephrology, University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

References

- Marcelli D, Marelli C, Richards N. Influenza A(H1N1)v pandemic in the dialysis population: first wave results from an international survey. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009; 24:3566–3572.
- Robson R, Buttimore A, Lynn K, Brewster M, Ward P. The pharmacokinetics and tolerability of oseltamivir suspension in patients on haemodialysis and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006; 21:2556–2562.
- Wattanagoon Y, Stepniewska K, Lindegardh N, et al. Pharmacokinetics of high-dose oseltamivir in healthy volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009; 53:945–952.
- White NJ, Webster RG, Govorkova EA, Uyeki TM. What is the optimal therapy for patients with H5N1 influenza? PLoS Med 2009;6: e1000091.
- Oo C, Hill G, Dorr A, Liu B, Boellner S, Ward P. Pharmacokinetics of anti-influenza prodrug oseltamivir in children aged 1–5 years. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2003; 59:411–415.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr Michiel F. Schreuder, Dept of Pediatric Nephrology, 804, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands (m.schreuder@cukz.umcn.nl).

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010;50(10):1427–1428 © 2010 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2010/5010-0019\$15.00 DOI: 10.1086/652287

Timely Administration of Antivirals for Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 Influenza

To THE EDITOR—As part of a study on pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in patients hospitalized at our institution from 1 May through 30 June 2009, we evaluated antiviral prescribing practice and its timeliness. All study patients had positive test results for pandemic (H1N1) 2009 with use of an in-house reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and