PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/75149

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-06 and may be subject to change.

Measurement of the lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} meson in the semileptonic decay channel

V.M. Abazov³⁶, B. Abbott⁷⁵, M. Abolins⁶⁵, B.S. Acharya²⁹, M. Adams⁵¹, T. Adams⁴⁹, E. Aguilo⁶, S.H. Ahn³¹, M. Ahsan⁵⁹, G.D. Alexeev³⁶, G. Alkhazov⁴⁰, A. Alton^{64,a}, G. Alverson⁶³, G.A. Alves², M. Anastasoaie³⁵, L.S. Ancu³⁵, T. Andeen⁵³, S. Anderson⁴⁵, B. Andrieu¹⁷, M.S. Anzelc⁵³, M. Aoki⁵⁰, Y. Arnoud¹⁴, M. Arov⁶⁰, M. Arthaud¹⁸, A. Askew⁴⁹, B. Åsman⁴¹, A.C.S. Assis Jesus³, O. Atramentov⁴⁹, C. Avila⁸, F. Badaud¹³, A. Baden⁶¹, L. Bagby⁵⁰, B. Baldin⁵⁰, D.V. Bandurin⁵⁹, P. Banerjee²⁹, S. Banerjee²⁹, E. Barberis⁶³, A.-F. Barfuss¹⁵, P. Bargassa⁸⁰, P. Baringer⁵⁸, J. Barreto², J.F. Bartlett⁵⁰, U. Bassler¹⁸, D. Bauer⁴³, S. Beale⁶, A. Bean⁵⁸, M. Begalli³, M. Begel⁷³, C. Belanger-Champagne⁴¹, L. Bellantoni⁵⁰, A. Bellavance⁵⁰, J.A. Benitez⁶⁵, S.B. Beri²⁷, G. Bernardi¹⁷, R. Bernhard²³, I. Bertram⁴², M. Besançon¹⁸, R. Beuselinck⁴³, V.A. Bezzubov³⁹, P.C. Bhat⁵⁰, V. Bhatnagar²⁷, C. Biscarat²⁰, G. Blazey⁵², F. Blekman⁴³, S. Blessing⁴⁹, D. Bloch¹⁹, K. Bloom⁶⁷, A. Boehnlein⁵⁰, D. Boline⁶², T.A. Bolton⁵⁹, E.E. Boos³⁸, G. Borissov⁴², T. Bose⁷⁷, A. Brandt⁷⁸, R. Brock⁶⁵, G. Brooijmans⁷⁰, A. Bross⁵⁰, D. Brown⁸¹, N.J. Buchanan⁴⁹, D. Buchholz⁵³, M. Buehler⁸¹, V. Buescher²², V. Bunichev³⁸, S. Burdin^{42,b}, S. Burke⁴⁵, T.H. Burnett⁸², C.P. Buszello⁴³, J.M. Butler⁶², P. Calfayan²⁵, S. Calvet¹⁶, J. Cammin⁷¹, W. Carvalho³, B.C.K. Casey⁵⁰, H. Castilla-Valdez³³, S. Chakrabarti¹⁸, D. Chakraborty⁵², K. Chan⁶, K.M. Chan⁵⁵, A. Chandra⁴⁸, F. Charles^{19,‡}, E. Cheu⁴⁵, F. Chevallier¹⁴, D.K. Cho⁶², S. Choi³², B. Choudhary²⁸, L. Christofek⁷⁷, T. Christoudias⁴³, S. Cihangir⁵⁰, D. Claes⁶⁷, J. Clutter⁵⁸, M. Cooke⁸⁰, W.E. Cooper⁵⁰, M. Corcoran⁸⁰, F. Couderc¹⁸, M.-C. Cousinou¹⁵, S. Crépé-Renaudin¹⁴, D. Cutts⁷⁷, M. Ćwiok³⁰. H. da Motta², A. Das⁴⁵, G. Davies⁴³, K. De⁷⁸, S.J. de Jong³⁵, E. De La Cruz-Burelo⁶⁴, C. De Oliveira Martins³, J.D. Degenhardt⁶⁴, F. Déliot¹⁸, M. Demarteau⁵⁰, R. Demina⁷¹, D. Denisov⁵⁰, S.P. Denisov³⁹, S. Desai⁵⁰, H.T. Diehl⁵⁰, M. Diesburg⁵⁰, A. Dominguez⁶⁷, H. Dong⁷², L.V. Dudko³⁸, L. Duflot¹⁶, S.R. Dugad²⁹, D. Duggan⁴⁹, A. Duperrin¹⁵, J. Dyer⁶⁵, A. Dyshkant⁵², M. Eads⁶⁷, D. Edmunds⁶⁵, J. Ellison⁴⁸, V.D. Elvira⁵⁰, Y. Enari⁷⁷, S. Eno⁶¹, P. Ermolov³⁸, H. Evans⁵⁴, A. Evdokimov⁷³, V.N. Evdokimov³⁹, A.V. Ferapontov⁵⁹, T. Ferbel⁷¹, F. Fiedler²⁴, F. Filthaut³⁵, W. Fisher⁵⁰, H.E. Fisk⁵⁰, M. Fortner⁵², H. Fox⁴², S. Fu⁵⁰, S. Fuess⁵⁰, T. Gadfort⁷⁰, C.F. Galea³⁵, E. Gallas⁵⁰, C. Garcia⁷¹, A. Garcia-Bellido⁸², V. Gavrilov³⁷, P. Gay¹³, W. Geist¹⁹, D. Gelé¹⁹, C.E. Gerber⁵¹, Y. Gershtein⁴⁹, D. Gillberg⁶, G. Ginther⁷¹, N. Gollub⁴¹, B. Gómez⁸, A. Goussiou⁸², P.D. Grannis⁷², H. Greenlee⁵⁰, Z.D. Greenwood⁶⁰, E.M. Gregores⁴, G. Grenier²⁰, Ph. Gris¹³, J.-F. Grivaz¹⁶, A. Grohsjean²⁵, S. Grünendahl⁵⁰, M.W. Grünewald³⁰, F. Guo⁷², J. Guo⁷², G. Gutierrez⁵⁰, P. Gutierrez⁷⁵, A. Haas⁷⁰, N.J. Hadley⁶¹ P. Haefner²⁵, S. Hagopian⁴⁹, J. Haley⁶⁸, I. Hall⁶⁵, R.E. Hall⁴⁷, L. Han⁷, K. Harder⁴⁴, A. Harel⁷¹, J.M. Hauptman⁵⁷, R. Hauser⁶⁵, J. Hays⁴³, T. Hebbeker²¹, D. Hedin⁵², J.G. Hegeman³⁴, A.P. Heinson⁴⁸, U. Heintz⁶², C. Hensel^{22,d}, K. Herner⁷², G. Hesketh⁶³, M.D. Hildreth⁵⁵, R. Hirosky⁸¹, J.D. Hobbs⁷², B. Hoeneisen¹², H. Hoeth²⁶, M. Hohlfeld²², S.J. Hong³¹, S. Hossain⁷⁵, P. Houben³⁴, Y. Hu⁷², Z. Hubacek¹⁰, V. Hynek⁹, I. Iashvili⁶⁹, R. Illingworth⁵⁰, A.S. Ito⁵⁰, S. Jabeen⁶², M. Jaffré¹⁶, S. Jain⁷⁵, K. Jakobs²³, C. Jarvis⁶¹, R. Jesik⁴³, K. Johns⁴⁵, C. Johnson⁷⁰, M. Johnson⁵⁰, A. Jonckheere⁵⁰, P. Jonsson⁴³, A. Juste⁵⁰, E. Kajfasz¹⁵, J.M. Kalk⁶⁰, D. Karmanov³⁸, P.A. Kasper⁵⁰, I. Katsanos⁷⁰, D. Kau⁴⁹, V. Kaushik⁷⁸, R. Kehoe⁷⁹, S. Kermiche¹⁵, N. Khalatyan⁵⁰, A. Khanov⁷⁶, A. Kharchilava⁶⁹, Y.M. Kharzheev³⁶, D. Khatidze⁷⁰, T.J. Kim³¹, M.H. Kirby⁵³, M. Kirsch²¹, B. Klima⁵⁰, J.M. Kohli²⁷, J.-P. Konrath²³, A.V. Kozelov³⁹, J. Kraus⁶⁵, D. Krop⁵⁴, T. Kuhl²⁴, A. Kumar⁶⁹ A. Kupco¹¹, T. Kurča²⁰, V.A. Kuzmin³⁸, J. Kvita⁹, F. Lacroix¹³, D. Lam⁵⁵, S. Lammers⁷⁰, G. Landsberg⁷⁷, P. Lebrun²⁰, W.M. Lee⁵⁰, A. Leflat³⁸, J. Lellouch¹⁷, J. Leveque⁴⁵, J. Li⁷⁸, L. Li⁴⁸, Q.Z. Li⁵⁰, S.M. Lietti⁵, J.G.R. Lima⁵², D. Lincoln⁵⁰, J. Linnemann⁶⁵, V.V. Lipaev³⁹, R. Lipton⁵⁰, Y. Liu⁷, Z. Liu⁶, A. Lobodenko⁴⁰ M. Lokajicek¹¹, P. Love⁴², H.J. Lubatti⁸², R. Luna³, A.L. Lyon⁵⁰, A.K.A. Maciel², D. Mackin⁸⁰, R.J. Madaras⁴⁶, P. Mättig²⁶, C. Magass²¹, A. Magerkurth⁶⁴, P.K. Mal⁸², H.B. Malbouisson³, S. Malik⁶⁷, V.L. Malyshev³⁶, H.S. Mao⁵⁰, Y. Maravin⁵⁹, B. Martin¹⁴, R. McCarthy⁷², A. Melnitchouk⁶⁶, L. Mendoza⁸, P.G. Mercadante⁵, M. Merkin³⁸, K.W. Merritt⁵⁰, A. Meyer²¹, J. Meyer^{22,d}, T. Millet²⁰, J. Mitrevski⁷⁰, R.K. Mommsen⁴⁴, N.K. Mondal²⁹, R.W. Moore⁶, T. Moulik⁵⁸, G.S. Muanza²⁰, M. Mulhearn⁷⁰, O. Mundal²², L. Mundim³, E. Nagy¹⁵, M. Naimuddin⁵⁰, M. Narain⁷⁷, N.A. Naumann³⁵, H.A. Neal⁶⁴, J.P. Negret⁸, P. Neustroev⁴⁰, H. Nilsen²³, H. Nogima³, S.F. Novaes⁵, T. Nunnemann²⁵, V. O'Dell⁵⁰, D.C. O'Neil⁶, G. Obrant⁴⁰, C. Ochando¹⁶, D. Onoprienko⁵⁹, N. Oshima⁵⁰, N. Osman⁴³, J. Osta⁵⁵, R. Otec¹⁰, G.J. Otero y Garzón⁵⁰, M. Owen⁴⁴, P. Padley⁸⁰, M. Pangilinan⁷⁷, N. Parashar⁵⁶, S.-J. Park^{22,d}, S.K. Park³¹, J. Parsons⁷⁰, R. Partridge⁷⁷, N. Parua⁵⁴, A. Patwa⁷³, G. Pawloski⁸⁰, B. Penning²³, M. Perfilov³⁸, K. Peters⁴⁴, Y. Peters²⁶, P. Pétroff¹⁶, M. Petteni⁴³, R. Piegaia¹,

J. Piper⁶⁵, M.-A. Pleier²², P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma^{33,c}, V.M. Podstavkov⁵⁰, Y. Pogorelov⁵⁵, M.-E. Pol², P. Polozov³⁷, B.G. Pope⁶⁵, A.V. Popov³⁹, C. Potter⁶, W.L. Prado da Silva³, H.B. Prosper⁴⁹, S. Protopopescu⁷³, J. Qian⁶⁴ A. Quadt^{22,d}, B. Quinn⁶⁶, A. Rakitine⁴², M.S. Rangel², K. Ranjan²⁸, P.N. Ratoff⁴², P. Renkel⁷⁹, S. Reucroft⁶³, P. Rich⁴⁴, J. Rieger⁵⁴, M. Rijssenbeek⁷², I. Ripp-Baudot¹⁹, F. Rizatdinova⁷⁶, S. Robinson⁴³, R.F. Rodrigues³, M. Rominsky⁷⁵, C. Royon¹⁸, P. Rubinov⁵⁰, R. Ruchti⁵⁵, G. Safronov³⁷, G. Sajot¹⁴, A. Sánchez-Hernández³³, M.P. Sanders¹⁷, B. Sanghi⁵⁰, A. Santoro³, G. Savage⁵⁰, L. Sawyer⁶⁰, T. Scanlon⁴³, D. Schaile²⁵, R.D. Schamberger⁷², Y. Scheglov⁴⁰, H. Schellman⁵³, T. Schliephake²⁶, C. Schwanenberger⁴⁴, A. Schwartzman⁶⁸, R. Schwienhorst⁶⁵, J. Sekaric⁴⁹, H. Severini⁷⁵, E. Shabalina⁵¹, M. Shamim⁵⁹, V. Shary¹⁸, A.A. Shchukin³⁹, R.K. Shivpuri²⁸, V. Siccardi¹⁹, V. Simak¹⁰, V. Sirotenko⁵⁰, P. Skubic⁷⁵, P. Slattery⁷¹, D. Smirnov⁵⁵, G.R. Snow⁶⁷, J. Snow⁷⁴, S. Snyder⁷³, S. Söldner-Rembold⁴⁴, L. Sonnenschein¹⁷, A. Sopczak⁴², M. Sosebee⁷⁸, K. Soustruznik⁹, B. Spurlock⁷⁸, J. Stark¹⁴, J. Steele⁶⁰, V. Stolin³⁷, D.A. Stoyanova³⁹, J. Strandberg⁶⁴, S. Strandberg⁴¹, M.A. Strang⁶⁹, E. Strauss⁷², M. Strauss⁷⁵, R. Ströhmer²⁵, D. Strom⁵³, L. Stutte⁵⁰, S. Sumowidagdo⁴⁹, P. Svoisky⁵⁵, A. Sznajder³, P. Tamburello⁴⁵, A. Tanasijczuk¹, W. Taylor⁶, J. Temple⁴⁵, B. Tiller²⁵, F. Tissandier¹³, M. Titov¹⁸, V.V. Tokmenin³⁶, T. Toole⁶¹, I. Torchiani²³, T. Trefzger²⁴, D. Tsybychev⁷², B. Tuchming¹⁸, C. Tully⁶⁸, P.M. Tuts⁷⁰, R. Unalan⁶⁵, L. Uvarov⁴⁰, S. Uvarov⁴⁰, S. Uzunvan⁵², B. Vachon⁶, P.J. van den Berg³⁴, R. Van Kooten⁵⁴, W.M. van Leeuwen³⁴, N. Varelas⁵¹, E.W. Varnes⁴⁵, I.A. Vasilyev³⁹, M. Vaupel²⁶, P. Verdier²⁰, L.S. Vertogradov³⁶ M. Verzocchi⁵⁰, F. Villeneuve-Seguier⁴³, P. Vint⁴³, P. Vokac¹⁰, E. Von Toerne⁵⁹, M. Voutilainen^{68,e}, R. Wagner⁶⁸, H.D. Wahl⁴⁹, L. Wang⁶¹, M.H.L.S. Wang⁵⁰, J. Warchol⁵⁵, G. Watts⁸², M. Wayne⁵⁵, G. Weber²⁴, M. Weber⁵⁰, L. Welty-Rieger⁵⁴, A. Wenger^{23, f}, N. Wermes²², M. Wetstein⁶¹, A. White⁷⁸, D. Wicke²⁶, G.W. Wilson⁵⁸, S.J. Wimpenny⁴⁸, M. Wobisch⁶⁰, D.R. Wood⁶³, T.R. Wyatt⁴⁴, Y. Xie⁷⁷, S. Yacoob⁵³, R. Yamada⁵⁰, M. Yan⁶¹, T. Yasuda⁵⁰, Y.A. Yatsunenko³⁶, K. Yip⁷³, H.D. Yoo⁷⁷, S.W. Youn⁵³, J. Yu⁷⁸, C. Zeitnitz²⁶, T. Zhao⁸², B. Zhou⁶⁴,

J. Zhu⁷², M. Zielinski⁷¹, D. Zieminska⁵⁴, A. Zieminski^{54,‡}, L. Zivkovic⁷⁰, V. Zutshi⁵², and E.G. Zverev³⁸

(The DØ Collaboration)

¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³ Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil

⁵Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

⁶University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada,

 $Simon\ Fraser\ University,\ Burnaby,\ British\ Columbia,$

Canada, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada,

and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁷University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China

⁸Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

⁹Center for Particle Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

⁰Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹¹Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics,

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

¹²Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

¹³LPC, Univ Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont, France

¹⁴LPSC, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3,

Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, France

¹⁵ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France

¹⁶LAL, Univ Paris-Sud, IN2P3/CNRS, Orsay, France

¹⁷LPNHE, IN2P3/CNRS, Universités Paris VI and VII, Paris, France

¹⁸DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France

¹⁹IPHC, Université Louis Pasteur et Université de Haute Alsace, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

²⁰IPNL, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France and Université de Lyon, Lyon, France ²¹III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

²²Physikalisches Institut A, RW1H Aachen, Aachen, German

²³ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

²⁴Institut für Physik. Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

²⁵Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

²⁶ Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany

²⁷ Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

²⁸ Delhi University, Delhi, India

²⁹ Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

³⁰ University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

³¹Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

 $^{32}SungKyunKwan$ University, Suwon, Korea

³³CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico

³⁴ FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³⁵ Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³⁶ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

³⁷Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

³⁸ Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

³⁹Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

⁴⁰ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

⁴¹Lund University, Lund, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University,

Stockholm, Sweden, and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁴²Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom

⁴³Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

⁴⁴ University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁴⁵ University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

⁴⁶ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

⁴⁷ California State University, Fresno, California 93740, USA

⁴⁸ University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA

⁴⁹ Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA

⁵⁰ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA

⁵¹ University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA

⁵²Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA

⁵³Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

⁵⁴Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA

⁵⁵ University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA

⁵⁶ Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA ⁵⁷ Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA

⁵⁸ University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA

⁵⁹ Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA

⁶⁰Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA

⁶¹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

⁶²Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA

⁶³Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

⁶⁴ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

⁶⁵ Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

⁶⁶ University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA

⁶⁷University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA

⁶⁸ Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
 ⁶⁹ State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA

⁷⁰Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

⁷¹University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA

⁷²State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA

⁷³Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

⁷⁴Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA
 ⁷⁵University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA

⁷⁶Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA

⁷⁷Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

⁷⁸ University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA

⁷⁹Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA

⁸⁰Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

⁸¹ University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA and

⁸²University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Dated: May 16, 2008)

Using approximately 1.3 fb⁻¹ of data collected by the D0 detector between 2002 and 2006, we measure the lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} meson in the $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^{\pm} + X$ final state. A simultaneous unbinned likelihood fit to the $J/\psi + \mu$ invariant mass and lifetime distributions yields a signal of 881±80 (stat) candidates and a lifetime measurement of $\tau(B_c^{\pm}) = 0.448^{+0.036}_{-0.036}$ (stat) ± 0.032 (syst) ps.

PACS numbers: 13.20.He, 14.40.Nd, 14.65.Fy

One of the most interesting mesons that can be studied

at the Tevatron is the B_c^{\pm} . Unlike most b hadrons, the

 B_c^{\pm} meson comprises two heavy quarks (b and c) that can each decay with significant contribution to the decay rate, or they can participate in an annihilation mode. The B_c^{\pm} meson is therefore predicted [1, 2] to have a lifetime of only one-third that of the other B mesons, the shortest of all weakly-decaying b hadrons. Example final states where the c quark acts as a spectator while the b quark decays weakly are $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^{\pm}$, $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{\pm}$, and $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \ell^{\pm} \nu$.

In this Letter we present a measurement of the lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} meson in the $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^{\pm} + X$ final state with $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, using approximately 1.3 fb⁻¹ [3] of data collected with the D0 detector [4] at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. The detector components most important to this analysis are the central fiber tracker (CFT), the silicon microstrip tracker (SMT), and the muon system [5]. An inclusive muon triggered sample is used where events selected only by lifetime-biasing triggers are excluded. The invariant mass of the resulting trimuon system is used to help separate the signal and background components and determine their normalizations.

The decay length used to extract the B_c^{\pm} lifetime is measured as the distance between the reconstructed primary proton-antiproton interaction vertex and the secondary vertex formed by the J/ψ and the third muon. The presence and behavior of the B_c^{\pm} signal is demonstrated using mass fits following decay length requirements. We construct models of the lifetime distributions of signal and various background components and then perform a simultaneous fit to the trimuon invariant mass and lifetime distributions to measure the lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} meson.

To simulate B_c^{\pm} properties in this final state and to determine appropriate selection criteria, Monte Carlo (MC) signal samples of $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \mu^{\pm} \nu$ are generated using the standard D0 simulation chain, including the PYTHIA event generator [6] interfaced with the EVTGEN decay package [7] followed by full GEANT [8] modeling of the detector response and event reconstruc-For the simulated signal samples, the ISGW tion. semileptonic decay model [9] for B_c^{\pm} is used. A separate sample using a phase-space decay model is generated for systematic studies. Another possible decay of the B_c^{\pm} is $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)\mu^{\pm} + X$ where $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$, and a sample of this mode is generated as well. To model one of the backgrounds, a large MC sample of inclusive J/ψ events, including b production via gluon splitting and flavor excitation, is used, only requiring a generator-level $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay.

We begin by selecting a subsample of events containing at least one $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ candidate with at least two muons of opposite charge reconstructed in the CFT, SMT, and the muon system. The track of each muon must have transverse momentum $p_T > 1.5$ GeV, and match hits in the muon system, or it must have $p_T > 1.0$ GeV and a calorimeter energy deposit consistent with that of a minimum-ionizing particle. For at least one of the muons, hits are required in all three layers of the muon detector, and each must have at least two hits in the CFT and at least one hit in the SMT. The signal region is defined in terms of the dimuon mass to be 2.90 < $M(\mu^+\mu^-)$ < 3.26 GeV. The muon momenta are adjusted according to a mass-constrained fit to the known J/ψ mass [10].

Once a J/ψ is found, an additional third track that can be associated with the J/ψ vertex is sought. The following cuts are applied to the resulting J/ψ +track candidate: the third track must have at least two hits in the SMT, the extrapolation of the three-track momentum must be consistent with coming from the primary vertex, p_T (third track) > 3 GeV, p(third track) > 4 GeV, $p_T(J/\psi + \text{track}) > 5$ GeV, the χ^2 probability to form a common vertex is greater than 1%, angle between the J/ψ and third track < 1 rad, and $\cos\theta < 0.99$ where θ is the three-dimensional angle between any two muons. If more than one J/ψ +track candidate is present in an event, the candidate with the lowest χ^2 of the J/ψ +track vertex is selected. To be considered a signal candidate, the third track must be identified as a muon: it must have hits in all three layers of the muon detector and have timing signals in the muon scintillator detectors consistent within 10 ns of the beam crossing to reduce contamination from cosmic rays. The mass of the $J/\psi + \mu$ candidate is required to be in the range $3 < M(J/\psi\mu) < 10$ GeV, resulting in a sample containing 14753 events.

The invariant mass of the $J/\psi + \mu$ can be used to characterize and separate each of the components that contribute to the $J/\psi + \mu$ candidate sample. There are six contributions (one signal, and five backgrounds): B_c^{\pm} signal (SI); a real J/ψ associated with a "fake" muon due to a track (JT); fake J/ψ mesons from combinatorial background (CB); a real muon forming a vertex with a real J/ψ where neither is from a B_c^{\pm} decay (JM); $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ followed by the decay in flight of $K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu$; and a $c\bar{c}$ contribution, where a prompt J/ψ is associated with a muon (PR). Each component and the determination of its mass template is described below.

The signal mass template is determined from the signal MC sample. Theoretical estimates predict the $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^{\pm} + X$ branching fraction to be approximately 5 to 100 times larger than that of $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)\mu^{\pm} + X$ [1, 11]. This difference gives 13% to 0% feed-down contribution and we take $(6.5 \pm 6.5)\%$ in the analysis.

The invariant mass of the J/ψ +track in the data sample is used to model the JT component. The rate of what are denoted fake muons is small and primarily due to decays in flight of $\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\nu$ and $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\nu$. The $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ decay is used to measure the contribution of this component. Fits are made to the B^+ mass peak in the $J/\psi + \mu$ sample and the J/ψ +track sample, and the ratio of the number of B^+ events in the two samples

is taken as the fraction of events that are due to a real J/ψ but a fake μ . Contributions due to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$ or $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$ are estimated to be negligible.

To describe the *CB* component, a normalized mass template is formed from events in the J/ψ mass sidebands. The sideband regions are defined to be events with $M(\mu^+\mu^-)$ in the range 2.62–2.80 GeV or 3.40– 3.58 GeV. The normalization is taken from the fitted number of background events in the signal region under the J/ψ mass peak.

The JM component represents a significant background that is dominated by $b\bar{b}$ backgrounds, where one long-lived b hadron decays to $J/\psi + X$ and the other decays semileptonically to a muon (or via a cascade decay $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow \mu$). The requirement that the J/ψ and μ be close in angle increases the relative acceptance for $b\bar{b}$ production via gluon splitting. To model this background, the J/ψ QCD MC is used with the requirement that the parent of the J/ψ does not arise from a prompt B_c^{\pm} meson, B^{\pm} , or $c\bar{c}$ (the latter two components are estimated using the data and described below).

For the B^+ component, a fit is made to the mass peak of the B^+ in the $J/\psi + \mu$ data sample. This fitted distribution is then used as a mass template for the B^+ component, thus reducing the uncertainty in the modeling of the width of the mass peak.

Candidates with $L_{xy} < 0$, where L_{xy} is the transverse decay length defined as the displacement of the $J/\psi + \mu$ vertex from the primary vertex [12] projected onto the direction of the transverse momentum vector of the $J/\psi + \mu$ system, are used to estimate the mass template of the *PR* component.

To check the validity of the modeling of the $M(J/\psi\mu)$ distribution, a fit is first made on the mass distribution of the $J/\psi + \mu$ sample using the templates of the six contributions described above. Separate fits are made as the requirement on L_{xy} is raised to increasingly suppress background. Good agreement of the fitted mass components is observed at all L_{xy} values. To further check for the presence of the B_c^{\pm} signal, a requirement is placed on the transverse decay length significance: $L_{xy}/\sigma(L_{xy}) > 4$, where $\sigma(L_{xy})$ is the uncertainty on L_{xy} . Figure 1 shows the fit to the mass distribution after subtracting the J/ψ sideband and B^+ components. In this sample, the statistical significance of the B_c^{\pm} signal component is 6.4σ .

The lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} , τ , is related to the transverse decay length by $L_{xy} = c\tau \cdot \frac{p_T(B_c^{\pm})}{m(B_c^{\pm})}$, where p_T and m are the transverse momentum and rest mass of the B_c^{\pm} , respectively. When the B_c^{\pm} meson decays semileptonically, it cannot be fully reconstructed due to the escaping neutrino, and thus $p_T(B_c^{\pm})$ cannot be determined. The p_T of the $J/\psi + \mu$ system is used instead as an approximation. A correction factor, $K = p_T(J/\psi\mu)/p_T(B_c^{\pm})$, determined using signal MC, is introduced to estimate $p_T(B_c^{\pm})$. To



FIG. 1: Fit to the mass of the $J/\psi + \mu$ vertex with J/ψ mass sideband and B^+ components subtracted and decay length significance $L_{xy}/\sigma(L_{xy}) > 4$ required. (color online)

obtain the B_c^{\pm} lifetime, the visible-proper decay length (VPDL) is measured, defined as $L_{xy} \frac{m(B_c^{\pm})}{p_T(J/\psi\mu)} = \frac{c\tau}{K}$.

The K-factor distribution is applied statistically by smearing the exponential decay distribution when extracting $c\tau(B_c^{\pm})$ from the VPDL distribution in the lifetime fit. The mass of the B_c^{\pm} is taken from [13]. To take advantage of events with better resolution, the K-factor is applied in the analysis in six bins of $M(J/\psi\mu)$.

An unbinned likelihood fit is used to measure the average lifetime, maximizing \mathcal{L} over all *i* candidates, where

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i} [f_{JT} \mathcal{F}_{JT}^{i} + (1 - f_{JT}) (f_{CB} \mathcal{F}_{CB}^{i} + (1 - f_{CB}) \{ f_{SI} \mathcal{F}_{SI}^{i} + f_{JM} \mathcal{F}_{JM}^{i} + f_{B+} \mathcal{F}_{B+}^{i} + (1 - f_{SI} - f_{JM} - f_{B+}) \mathcal{F}_{PR}^{i} \})].$$
(1)

Each component $\mathcal F$ consists of a combination of a massshape template and a lifetime functional model, each described below, to allow for a simultaneous fit of the fraction components and $\tau(B_c^{\pm})$. The fractions f of each component have been described earlier. The fraction $f_{JT} = 0.034 \pm 0.002$ is taken from fits to the B^+ peak and $f_{CB} = 0.667 \pm 0.004$, is found from J/ψ mass sideband fits. The exponential function \mathcal{F}_{SI} is convoluted with a Gaussian resolution function and smeared with normalized K-factor distributions. The width of the Gaussian resolution function uses the event-by-event uncertainty $\sigma(\lambda_i)$ on the VPDL, multiplied by a floating scale factor s to take into account any systematic underestimate of $\sigma(\lambda_i)$ due to tracking systematic uncertainties. A double Gaussian function, centered at VPDL = 0, is used to model \mathcal{F}_{PR} . The width of the inner Gaussian is given by $s \cdot \sigma(\lambda_i)$, and the multiplicative factor for the width of the outer Gaussian is determined using MC samples and data candidates with negative decay length. Fits are made to the respective VPDL distributions to obtain \mathcal{F}_{JT} and \mathcal{F}_{SB} . Empirical functional forms are used for both as fixed shapes, and the normalizations via the fractions f_{JT} and f_{SB} are allowed to float in the fit. \mathcal{F}_{JM} consists

of a negative-slope exponential and two positive-slope exponentials. Starting values for the functional parameters are determined from fits to the inclusive J/ψ MC sample. The normalization of the negative-slope exponential, along with the normalization and slope of one of the positive-slope exponentials are constrained by these fits. The slope of the negative-slope and second positive-slope exponential are allowed to float freely in the final fit. \mathcal{F}_{B^+} is a single exponential function with slope constrained to the world-average value [10] convolved with the same Gaussian resolution function as for the signal lifetime model.

Before examining the fit to the data, possible lifetime biases are studied. Signal MC samples mixed with background are generated with different lifetimes. Fits to these samples and ensemble tests indicate no significant bias and demonstrate the validity of the extracted statistical uncertainty.

A simultaneous fit to the invariant mass and VPDL distributions is performed using all the components described above. The fitted lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} meson is found to be $\tau(B_c^{\pm}) = 0.448^{+0.038}_{-0.036}$ (stat), with an estimated signal sample of 881 ± 80 (stat) candidates. The fitted value of the scale factor is $s = 1.35 \pm 0.02$. Figure 2 shows the VPDL distribution of the $J/\psi + \mu$ sample with projections of the fit result overlaid.



FIG. 2: VPDL distribution of the $J/\psi\mu$ sample with the projected components of the fit overlaid. (color online)

Stability checks made by dividing the data in half based on various selections show no significant lifetime variations. The systematic uncertainties considered are discussed in detail below and are summarized in Table I.

Variations of the B_c^{\pm} mass within its measurement uncertainties [13] make a negligible difference in the lifetime. The B_c^{\pm} signal modeling uncertainty is estimated from the difference between the default and phase space decay models. The uncertainty in $p_T(B_c^{\pm})$ is found by reweighting the spectrum to correspond to varying the factorization and renormalization scales $\mu_F = \mu_R = \sqrt{p_T^2(\text{parton}) + m_b^2}$ by factors of a half and two [14]. To address uncertainties on the predicted $p_T(b)$ for the signal and background component distributions, a momentum weighting factor that is applied to MC samples to improve the simulation and include the effects of the triggers is removed. In all of the above cases, both new signal mass templates and K-factor distributions are generated and the analysis repeated. To assess the systematic uncertainty due to the modeling of the inclusive J/ψ MC mass distribution, contributions due to $b\bar{b}$ production via gluon splitting and then flavor excitation are entirely removed. The shape of the mass template for the prompt component is varied within the statistical errors of its determination, and the observed lifetime variation assigned as a systematic error. All of the systematic uncertainties described above are added in quadrature and summarized in Table 1 under the category of mass model uncertainties.

To test the assumption that the modeling of the lifetime of the J/ψ combinatoric background can be approximated by taking the average of the upper and lower mass sidebands, the fit is performed using only the high or the low mass sideband, and a systematic uncertainty of one half the resulting shifts in lifetime is assigned. The scale factor s is varied over the range of values, 1.2 - 1.4, observed in other lifetime analyses [15] as well as assigned a functional form and the variation in lifetime assigned as a systematic uncertainty. In the modeling of the prompt lifetime PDF, a single Gaussian function rather than a double Gaussian is used to describe the zero lifetime events. The shape parameters of the sideband lifetime model are changed by varying the fit parameters within their uncertainties. The parameters defining the J/ψ QCD MC lifetime model are varied around their central values by $\pm 1\sigma$. For the B^+ lifetime model, the central value is changed by $\pm 1\sigma$. The B^+ lifetime is also allowed to float as a systematic study on the B_c^{\pm} lifetime as well as a check of the B^+ lifetime, finding a value of 1.88 ± 0.19 ps, consistent with the world average value of 1.638 ± 0.011 ps [10]. All of the systematic uncertainties described above are added in quadrature under the category of lifetime model uncertainties.

Smaller systematic uncertainties arise from the variation of the fraction of the feed-down $B_c \rightarrow \psi(2S)X$ signal component between 0% and 13%, and from possible alignment effects, estimated using signal MC with a modified detector geometry within the alignment tolerances.

In summary, using approximately 1.3 fb⁻¹ of data, the lifetime of the B_c^{\pm} meson is measured in the $B_c^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^{\pm} + X$ final states. Using an unbinned likelihood simultaneous fit to the $J/\psi + \mu$ invariant mass and lifetime distributions we measure

$$\tau(B_c^{\pm}) = 0.448^{+0.038}_{-0.036} \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.032 \,(\text{sys}) \,\text{ps}$$

This measurement is consistent with theoretical predictions of 0.55 ± 0.15 ps in an operator product expansion

TABLE I: Summary of estimated systematic uncertainties.

Systematic source	$\Delta \tau \ (ps)$
Mass model uncertainty	± 0.021
Lifetime model uncertainty	± 0.022
Signal feed-down fraction	± 0.005
Alignment	± 0.006
Total	± 0.032

calculation [1] and 0.48 ± 0.05 ps using QCD sum rules [2]. It is also consistent with the most recent measurement from the CDF collaboration [16], but with significantly better precision, making this measurement of $\tau(B_c^{\pm})$ the most precise to date.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); STFC (United Kingdom); MSMT and GACR (Czech Republic); CRC Program, CFI, NSERC and WestGrid Project (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); CAS and CNSF (China); and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

- [a] Visitor from Augustana College, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.
- [b] Visitor from The University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

- [d] Visitor from II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany.
- [e] Visitor from Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland.
- [f] Visitor from Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.
- [‡] Deceased.
- V. V. Kiselev, A. E. Kovalsky and A. K. Likhoded, Nucl. Phys. **B585**, 353 (2000).
- [2] V. Kiselev, arXiv:hep-ph/0308214 (2003), and references therein.
- [3] T. Andeen *et al.*, FERMILAB-TM-2365 (2007).
- [4] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. A 565, 463 (2006).
- [5] V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. A **552**, 372 (2005).
- [6] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comp. Phys. Comm. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [7] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. A 462, 152 (2001).
- [8] R. Brun and F. Carminati, CERN Program Library Long Writeup W5013, 1993 (unpublished).
- [9] D. Scora and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 52, 2783 (1995).
- [10] W.-M. Yao *et al.*, Journal of Physics G **33**, 1 (2006) and 2007 partial update for 2008 edition.
- [11] D. Ebert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 68, 094020 (2003), and references therein.
- [12] J. Abdallah *et al.* [DELPHI Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C32, 185 (2004).
- [13] A. Abulencia *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett.
 96, 082002 (2006).
- [14] K. M. Cheung and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 53, 1232 (1996).
- [15] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett.
 99, 142001 (2007), Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 182001 (2007).
- [16] A. Abulencia *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 012002 (2006).