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#### Abstract

This thesis provides a comprehensive phonological and morphological description of the KiNgome dialect of Swahili as currently spoken in the Northern Division of Mafia Island District on the south-east coast of Tanzania. Unlike other Swahili dialects, which are succumbing to the encroachment of Standard Swahili (SSW) forms and only found in small pockets of older generation speakers, KiNgome does not face extinction at present. It stands up to be a major means of daily communication among northern inhabitants of Mafia Island.

The thesis has two primary goals: The first is to provide a synchronic linguistic description of this undocumented main variety of Mafia Swahili (designated as G43d under Guthrie's classification) at the phonological and morphological levels. The second goal is to examine the KiNgome data in a diachronic perspective by comparing its phonological and morphological systems with the reconstructed Proto-Sabaki (PSA) as proposed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993). Where necessary I have referred to a more distant proto-language, namely Common Bantu (CB) as proposed by Guthrie (1969-71) and which we assume to be the earliest common ancestor of the Bantu languages including PSA.

Relative to the rest of the Swahili dialects, KiNgome is a conservative dialect, retaining older forms presumably from a proto stage prior to the massive influence of KiUnguja (Ung) and SSW. Most of these forms survived unchanged in KiNgome and nearly so in the surrounding rural non-Unguja Southern Swahili dialects (SD). The study shows that the contemporary KiNgome forms by and large can be linked to PSA and CB: Phonologically, KiNgome has kept more transparent forms of a prenasalised voiced/voiceless series segments than any other Swahili dialect. It has largely preserved stops, both with restricted and unrestricted distribution which link directly to CB proto-segments. However, changes have also been noticed: KiNgome has a 5 -vowel system when compared to the PSA/CB 7-vowel system and has undergone fully the Bantu spirantization process. It has lost an earlier tone system. Aspiration is a regular feature of KiNgome. It has no $/ \mathrm{r} /: / 1 /$ distinction; instead it has flap [r]. It also attests certain palatalised consonants in the form of $/ \mathrm{ky}-/$ and $/ \mathrm{ry}-/$, and there is a palatal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ which has derived from $/ \mathrm{ni}-/$ that


expresses 1sg.
A homorganic moraic nasal ( N ) has resulted from syncopation in classes 1,3 , and 18 where the nominal prefix was $/ \mathrm{mu}-/$. In congruence with other Non-Unguja SD, KiNgome displays a full 5- suffix vowel copying (CV) phenomenon and a common VHH process. In addition, it uniquely displays a ku-/ko- prefix VHH determined by verb root vowel. Morphologically, it has retained a simple verbal system characterised by an unmarked perfective/past tense with VC operating in the final vowel suffix. The most significant morphological aspect of the KiNgome nominal system is a clear opposition between classes 11 and 14. It also joins other non-Unguja SD, Comoro and Pokomo in displaying sets of 2 sg and 3 sg persons which are mainly determined by certain tense/aspect formatives, polarity and finite form (subjunctive).

Although I have not subjected KiNgome lexical data to a lexicostatistic analysis, the thesis demonstrates the existence of a set of a peculiar lexical items that are also found in the neighbouring KiMwani, KiEkoti, Makonde, KiMakunduchi and in Old KiUnguja.

The results of my field fieldwork largely corroborate Nurse and Hinnebusch's reconstruction of many features of PSA. In some cases, I have proposed a reconsideration of some aspects of their reconstruction in the light of the new data from KiNgome. The case of KiNgome is of interest to the field of Swahili dialectology and Bantu linguistics in general. Undoubtedly, it will offer valuable material for systematic comparison with other Swahili dialects as well as for the contemporary study of Swahili phonology, morphology and lexicon.
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## Abbreviations

| $\sigma$ | syllable |
| :--- | :--- |
| $[$ ] $\sigma$ | syllable boundary |
| $\mu$ | mora |
| phonemic notation |  |
| [] | phonetic notation |
| epenthetic segment |  |
| $* *$ | ill-formed |
| $*$ | proto-forms |
| - | morpheme or clitic boundary |
| $>$ | derived to |
| $<$ | derived from |
| $\sim$ | varies with |
| 0 | skewed /irregular |
| () | optional components |
|  |  |
| $1,2,3 \ldots$ | noun class 1, 2,3... concord |
| C | consonant |
| CB | Common Bantu |
| Cl. | clitic |
| DEM | demonstrative |
| EXT | extension suffix(es) |
| FV | final vowel |
| LOC | locative |
| ND | Northern Kiswahili dialects |
| NoP | No prefix |
| NEG | negative marker |
| OM | object marker |
| PSA | Proto-Sabaki |
| REFL | reflexive |
| REL | relative marker |
| SD | Southern Kiswahili dialects |
| SG | singular |
| SM | subject marker |
| SSW | Standard Kiswahili |
| TA | tense and aspect markers |
| V | vowel |
| VR | verb root |
|  |  |

## MAP 1: THE SWAHILI COAST*



[^0]

## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.0 Background: the problem

Three decades ago, Trevor Hill (1973:17) made a call for the extension of the monographic study of Swahili dialects in such Tanzania locations as Kilwa, Rufiji and Mafia and the smaller settlements such as Kunduchi or Sadani. These areas were (and are still) thought to have distinct primary dialects of Swahili. The main purpose was to continue what had begun in the middle nineteen-fifties, i.e. publications in the series 'Studies in Swahili Dialect', published by the East African Swahili Committee. In spite of the efforts by Swahilists ${ }^{1}$ to document Southern Swahili Dialects (hereafter SD), they left a gap of about a 500 Km stretching between the Rufiji estuary and the River Ruvuma at the border of southern Tanzania and northern Mozambique (including the offshore islands). Seeing this, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 14) reiterated that 'the whole geographical area needs more extensive (linguistic) research'.

This study emanates from the quest to settle definitively the question regarding the existence of living dialects of Swahili along the southern coast of Tanzania and its offshore islands. We hope to clear the uncertainty that has baffled Swahilists for about a half century now.

At present, there are general assumptions about the presence of KiMgao and KiMafia as the sole Swahili dialects along this section of the 'Swahili Corridor'. The collection of a few materials that represents KiMgao first appeared in Stigand (1915: 21) and later in Chiraghdin and Mnyampala (1977: 29-31). There is no mention of the exact location of the KiMgao dialect in their studies. Legère (1986) surveyed the Lindi region and in particular the village of Mgao on the Southern Tanzania coast searching for possible remnants of KiMgao, only to find that what is left there is a sort of KiMaraba and Urban Lindi Swahili that had been influenced by phonological features of the neighbouring Bantu languages.

On KiMafia, Temu (1980: 29-31) collected 49 sample lexical items that represented the distinct KiMafia (KiNgome) dialect of Swahili. The materials he collected were too sketchy for a satisfactory linguistic analysis. However his collection matched with what I have gathered for KiNgome. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 12) provided the exact location of the distinct KiMafia dialect; they further postulated that KiMafia (which according to Guthrie's classiffication falls under G43d) is de facto a SD

[^1]variety with 'the apparent addition of some lexis from P10/P202 languages'. They offer very scant materials for KiMafia (including KiNgome), which we noticed were related to Temu's collection.

An attempt was also made by Caplan ${ }^{3}$ (1997: 239-242) to provide a substantial glossary of KiNgome that consists of 83 cultural items. As can be seen, neither sets of materials were sufficient for a comprehensive linguistic description.

There was an indication that new data as would be provided by a fuller collection of synchronic KiNgome data could offer new insights into the intermediary diachronic levels of the phonology and morphology of Swahili. Additionally, data from KiNgome would help to establish the existence of a close-knit unity among non-Unguja SD, together with the peripheral Sabaki ${ }^{4}$ member (KiMwani and probably KiEkoti).

My first task then, is to offer a comprehensive description of KiNgome at the phonological, morphological and lexical levels. I will later attempt to advance the study of the history of the Coastal Sabaki languages by more exactly determining the position of KiNgome within Coastal Sabaki. This will be accomplished through establishing the correspondences between a Proto Sabaki (PSA) reconstruction (as proposed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993), and, where necessary, referring to the Common Bantu (CB) (Guthrie 1967-71) and modern KiNgome forms.

In the longer term, I hope this study will encourage further attempts to unravel some of the enigmas arising from the living SD spoken in the 500 Km coastal stretch between the Rufiji estuary and the River Ruvuma at the border of southern Tanzania and northern Mozambique, as well as on the offshore islands. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 13) are certain that 'the question whether there is a distinctive form of Southern Swahili spoken anywhere between Kilwa and the Mozambique border' is still open.

As such, this study is important to the field of Swahili dialectology by offering new data from SD and thus helping answer Hill (1973:17) and Nurse and Hinnebusch's (1993:14) appeals for more empirical evidence

[^2]from a hitherto undescribed area of SD.

## 1. 1 Bantu ancestry and spread

Swahili dialects, including KiNgome, are linked to the Northeast Coast Bantu-speaking communities. The broad subdivision of the 'Eastern' and 'Western' Bantu was established following successive massive works on Bantu classification by Guthrie (1967-71), Heine (1973) and, more recently, Ehret (1999). Hence the term 'North-East Coast' Bantu (NEC) (see Hinnebusch 1973, Nurse and Philippson 1975 and Nurse 1988, 1999) refers to a discrete unit of NEC that includes the following subgroups:
(a) Sabaki
(b) Seuta (c) Ruvu
(d) Pare

This discrete unit is in close relationship with other adjacent 'Eastern' Bantu languages such as: The Rufiji-Ruvuma languages (P10 and P20) and KiMakua (P30) ; West Tanzania languages (Zone F, e.g.. Sukuma); KiChaga and KiGweno / KiTaita (E60 and E46) and the Central Kenya Bantu languages ( E50 eg. KiThagicu ).

Swahili is part of the Sabaki language group, a subgroup of Northeast Coast Bantu Languages (NEC). According to Hinnebusch (1999: 182-183) the 'Coastal Sabaki' that forms part of a larger East African genetic affiliation of NEC, comprises the Swahili cluster (including the Zanzibar, Mombasa, and Lamu clusters ), KiMwiini, KiKomoro, and KiMwani. This Coastal Sabaki is related to each of the other Sabaki subgroups: KiElwana, KiPokomo, and KiMijikenda.

There is no evidence for stating the exact location and time at which the Proto-Northeastern Coastal Bantu language (PNEC) was spoken. Nurse and Spear (1985) and Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) speculate that it was around the first century A.D in the area around Mombasa, and that the Taita hills, the Pare Mountains, Dodoma and the Rufiji River are possible PNEC homelands. The split of the early proto-Sabaki community (PSA) from PNEC and its subsequent movement to the north has been estimated to have taken place over the last two thousand years. These PSA speakers were both iron-working subsistence farmers and fishermen by around 500 A.D. The PSA communities expanded subsequently to the north and south of the present-day Swahili spectrum. This drift is envisaged as having taken place between the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ centuries (see Nurse 1985: 239). A lucrative seafaring trade network as dictated by monsoon winds consolidated this movement. Inevitably a string of discontinuous Swahili settlements established themselves in the 3000 km stretch of coastline from southern Somalia to the northern coast of

Mozambique, and on the adjacent islands as far out as the Comoros and Madagascar. Archaeological evidence suggests that from approximately A.D 800 onwards, there were a number of coastal sites in northern Kenya at Manda, Shanga, Pate, the Lamu Archipelago, and on Zanzibar, and at Kilwa in southern Tanzania and Chibwene in southern Mozambique (see Chittick 1966, 1974, 1984; Horton 1987, and Durte 1991). The Swahili communities along the coast, in adapting to an Indian Ocean environment, shifted to a sea-based economy from their original agriculture-based economy.

Swahili was the only language spoken in these coastal places. Each settlement developed its own dialect, which led to a number of Swahili dialects. As far as the early Swahili people of the Mafia archipelago are concerned, there are no clear records as to when exactly these people began to settle in the Mafia Islands. In the oral literature, we learnt only that the first inhabitants were the Wambwera, coastal Swahili from between Kisiju and the Rufiji estuary, who crossed from the mainland and built a town close to Ras Mkumbi (Piggot 1941). No excavations have been carried out anywhere in the entire northern Mafia area (See Freeman-Grenville 1958:20) and in spite of the fact that the habitations of the Ngome area were in situ prior to the advent of the foreigners (Arabs, Portuguese, and 'Wapokomo' ) to the area from around the $10^{\text {th }}$ century onwards.

### 1.2 Sociohistorical setting

Off the southern coast of Tanzania, in the Indian ocean, lies the Mafia archipelago. The main island of Mafia covers an area of about $512 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, and has a length of 48 km from north to south with an average width of 17 km . It is about 21 km from the eastern edge of the Rufiji Delta on the mainland of Tanzania and approximately 120 km south of the city of Dar-es-salaam.

Administratively, Mafia Island district has only two divisions: the Northern and Southern. The primary population centre of KiNgome speakers is in the villages of Kanga, Bweni, Jimbo, Mrali, Banja and Jojo in the Northern division. These villages jointly cover a total of $150 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in an area extending between latitudes $7^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ and $7^{\circ} 61^{\prime}$ south of the Equator and between longitudes $39^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ and $39^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ East (cf. Map 2 ).

The mode of life, and hence the speech patterns between these two divisions differ significantly. The Northern Division, where the KiNgome dialect is found, is economically backward when compared to
the Southern Division. The state of the infrastructure in the Northern Division is extremely poor. There is no electricity or tap water. There is only one dry-weather road reaching the Northern Division from the Southern Division, and this is roughly 50 km long. This poor road ensures the seclusion of the Northern Division during the rainy season from March to June. The north is marked exclusively by nucleated villages which are on an average of 5 km apart whereas in the south, the houses are scattered. The major means of transport within the Northern Division is bicycle or small sea vessels travelling along the western coast. The majority of the people, especially the women, walk. Heavier loads (such as coconuts) are carried by donkeys. At the time of my fieldwork, there was not a single inhabitant of the Ngome area who owned a vehicle. The few vehicles on Mafia are either privately owned by the relatively well-off people of Kirongwe, Utende or Kilindoni or publicly owned by the Government institutions centred in Kilindoni. The Ngome area has few brick and stone buildings: of note is a lighthouse, built in 1892 by the architect Hendrick with the help of the locals at Ras Mkumbi, three dispensaries and four primary schools. The rest are mud houses in which corrugated-iron roofs, bati, have slowly begun to replace the traditional palm-leaf thatching, makuti. Plans for a private tourist hotel along the Musikitini area in Kanga village have not yet materialised. People in the remote six northern villages, which were the focus of my study, have no choice but to rely on Kirongwe for services such as the main market, shops, the office of the Northern Division secretary, and the primary court. Kirongwe sharply divides the main Mafia Island into two halves. The majority of the population at Kirongwe associate themselves with the southerners and by and large KiNgome there has given way to Standard Swahili (herewith SSW) with a KiMafia accent.

The Southern Division is where the capital of the district, Kilindoni, is located. The capital of the Southern Division has all the necessary facilities of the modern town. There are two permanent diesel-generators which provide electricity to almost 600 clients (although they have a maximum capacity for 5000 clients). There are three TV satellite dishes that daily provide the capital dwellers with world news. Kilindoni is the site of the airport, the only secondary school, the general referral hospital, the bank, the prison, the post office and national and international telephone services, as well as the harbour, garages, churches, main mosque, and the offices of the Mafia Island Marine Park. Three tourist hotels are located at Utende village on the eastern coast of the Southern

Division as is the headquarters of the Mafia Island Marine Park. Public and private cars shuttle all around the Southern Division on the main island. Permanent tin-roofed houses in streets lined with lights can be seen vividly from the air. It is the place where civil servants of different ethnic backgrounds mix with the natives of the south who only use Standard Swahili with a KiMafia accent.

### 1.3 Historiography of the Mafia archipelago

The history of Wangome as part of the Mafia Archipelago historiography can be linked (since $10^{\text {th }}$ century) to the sea-faring part of the Swahili culture area. Mafia, as one of the older Swahili settlements, has never had an independent political status. Rezende's Chronicle records that by 1000 AD, Mafia was subject to the sultan of Kilwa. The Portuguese are reported to have partially built an arsenal at Jojo (Northern Mafia) in 1635 to be garrisoned only in times of war (c.f. Saidi 1941; King 1917).

Further foreign influences on Mafia Island can be located at around the 17 th and 18 th Centuries. These began with the influx of Shatri (Arabs) immigrants that took place between 1692 and 1711 (Nicholls 1971). In 1840 Mafia was ruled by the sultans of Muscat, who presided over Kilwa and Mafia islands from their headquarters in Zanzibar. It was at that time that Arabs immigrated in abundance to Mafia and established large coconut plantations, especially in the south of the island. This was undertaken with the help of slaves brought from the mainland. The slave trade was abolished formally in the Mafia archipelago by 1922.

The death of Seyyid Said in 1856 led to Zanzibar becoming a British protectorate. By the 20th century, Indians and Europeans had come to own large plantations of coconuts for copra export mainly in the southern part of the main island. At the Berlin Conference in 1884-85, Mafia, Pemba and Zanzibar were declared to be under the rule of Great Britain. But through the Anglo-German treaty of 1st July, 1890 the British exchanged Mafia Island for Stevenson's Road, a strip of territory on Lake Nyasa, so that Mafia became part of the German administration. The Germans made Chole their capital, and later transferred it to Kilindoni in 1913.

Mafia was captured by British troops of the Kings African Rifles together with Indian troops in January 1915. In 1922 it became part of the Tanganyika British protectorate. Since 1964, it has been one of the districts of the Coast Region of the United Republic of Tanzania.

Thus foreigners seem to have influenced the southern part of Mafia far more than the northern part, which had no economic pull to attract
them. This resulted in minimal linguistic influence on KiNgome. Altogether, the combined influence of visiting fishermen, traders, labourers, and soldiers garrisoned there left its mark on Mafia island linguistically.

### 1.4 The KiNgome dialect and its speakers

The dialect of Swahili spoken in the northern part of Mafia Island is referred to by its speakers as KiNgome or KiPokome. Some Bantuists have generally referred to it as KiMafia (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 12; Nurse and Spear 1985: 64). Each of these three terms reflects certain facts: Firstly, KiNgome is a term derived from the geographical feature of the northern parts of Mafia Island where on the eastern shore there is some uninhabited coral rock and dense forest known locally as Ngome 'a coral land forest' (see Map 2 and Baumann's (1886) map5). This covers an area of about 25 Km in length extending from the north-end of the main Island to the south (i.e. From Ras Mkumbi to Ras Mkunguni) and with an average width of 5 km . The northern area came to be known as Ngome on account of this peculiar topographic feature of the Island; and the people living around this came to be known as Wangome. Hence their distinct Swahili dialect is known as KiNgome. Generally, the word Ngome means 'fortress' in KiUnguja/SSW but for the Wangome it refers to 'a coral land overgrown by dense forest'. As regards the term KiPokome or KiPokomo, we have the following explanation: The oral traditions of the indigenous Wangome had it that the Portuguese were responsible for the migration of Wapokomo from the northern coast of Kenya. These came to reside at Kidakuli in the Mrali area. This oral account is connected to written reports that in 1634 the Portuguese erected a fort, or rather 'a blockhouse', near Kirongwe or Jojo, which in war time was garrisoned by 'twelve men' from Mombasa (Baumann 1957: 5, King 1917: 119, Moffett 1958:31). These two related accounts throw some possible light on the existence of the 'Wapokomo' and 'KiPokomo' in the northern Mafia area. No other reasons have been given for the presence of the earliest Wapokomo in the Ngome area. Of course, Swahili dialects and KiPokomo share a common root as they are both descendants of Proto-Sabaki (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 60).

As for the term (Ki)Mafia, this can only be meaningful if we consider it as an umbrella term comprising KiNgome, KiChole, KiJuani, KiBwejuu

[^3]and Kijibondo, spoken in the Northern Division and on the Chole, Juani, Bwejuu and Jibondo islets respectively. The Southern Division, including part of Kirongwe, Ndagoni, Utende, Baleni, Marimbani and Kilindoni, uses SSW with a unique features much influenced by P20 languages. Further investigation is required for the varieties of KiChole, KiJuani, KiBwejuu, and Kijibondo in the four islets found in the Southern Division. Limitations of time barred this researcher from expanding the scope of this study beyond the Ngome area so as to consider other undescribed varieties of Mafia Swahili as spoken on these islets in the Mafia archipelago.

The Ngome area which is the focus of this study is said to be the first place where the prehistoric inhabitants of Mafia, who have been identified in the Kilwa Chronicles as Wambwera, built their town, near Ras Mkumbi. These Wambwera ${ }^{6}$ are akin to the coastal Swahili-speaking people found between Kisiju and the Rufiji estuary on the mainland about 25 Km across the Indian ocean (Baumann 1957: 9). It is not known exactly when the Wambwera landed at Ras Mkumbi or around Jimbo, Mrali and the presently derelict settlement of Kidakuli in northern Mafia. Chami (1994) believes that the early inhabitants of Mafia were ironworking and farming Bantu who crossed from the mainland and settled there by 200-400 A.D. A more recent projection is that of Nurse (1983), who dated the movement of the Swahili-speaking peoples from the north-east of the Kenyan Coast to the south-east end of the coastal Swahili range as far as Comoros and Madagascar at around 800 A.D.

There is no consciousness of earlier tribal ancestry in the Ngome area; my visit to the Ngome area has assured me that no one regards himself as Mbwera or Mpokomo. Rather all speakers feel inclined to regard Jimbo and Mrali in the Ngome area as their 'ancestral land'. Caplan (personal communication) finds a similar situation from her social anthropological study of the northern Mafia people since 1965.

The Wangome do not resent being referred to in a derogatory manner as 'bush people' by Southerners who reside south of Kirongwe village towards the Baleni, Kilindoni and Kiegeani wards. Wangome, proudly, regard themselves as the native dwellers of Mafia Island and the rest who reside in the Southern Division are 'outsiders' from Kilwa Island and mainland Tanzania.

[^4]The estimate of the total population ${ }^{7}$ for the whole Mafia archipelago is 46,143 . The population figures prepared by the Agriculture Extension Office (AEO) ${ }^{8}$ in Mafia District Council show that by June 1999, the Ngome area, which comprises the villages of Bweni, Kanga, Jimbo, Mrali, Jojo and Banja had a total of 8,306 people.

KiNgome is spoken by almost all categories of people in the Ngome area. Hence, intergenerational transmission is still prevalent despite the vigorous progress of SSW in reducing the numbers of monodialect speakers and their territory. Children in the Ngome area are raised speaking KiNgome. The five primary schools in the area show a minimal influence of SSW. This is because by the time children are enrolled in school, they have already acquired a mastery of KiNgome as their mother tongue. SSW is regarded as Kiswahili cha shule (School Swahili) spoken mainly by non-natives and southerners. However, the encroachment of SSW through the daily network of the Standard Swahili Radio transmission of Radio ya Taifa and Sauti ya Zanzibar, through travelling outside the area and through attendance at School will in the near future reduce the significant number of monodialect speakers even in the heartland of the Ngome. Most Wangome can understand when one is using SSW, but it is a bit difficult for the southerners and any SSW speakers to pick up KiNgome easily. I have noted that most SSW speakers such as the government personnel in the form of paramedics, teachers and development officiails who visited or were sent to work in the Ngome area faced difficulties in thoroughly understanding the speech of the ordinary WaNgome.

The majority of monodialect speakers are still found in the villages of Jimbo and Mrali. In Kanga, Bweni and the western islets of Banja and Jojo there exists a mixture of monodialectal and bi-dialectal speakers who have daily contact with other Swahili speakers during fishing activities and trading. There have been close contacts between fishermen and businessmen from Unguja, Rufiji, Pemba, Tumbatu, Mbwamaji, Dar es Salaam, Kimbiji, Kwale, Koma, and Kisiju with the northern ports of Jojo, Kanga and Bweni on the western side of the Northern Mafia Island for many years. Periodically, fishermen come to these area to camp (weka dago) along the shores ( $m u p^{h} w a$ ). Several men have been allowed to

[^5]marry here on the basis that they are Waswahili and Muslims. Some have taken their wives to various places such as Dar-es-salaam and Zanzibar. However, my observation is that there is minimal contact between people from the interior (Jimbo and Mrali) and outsiders. The Wajimbo (including the WaMrali) have their farms deep in the Ngome and their own 'secluded' harbour is found on the eastern coast and can be reached only after one hour's crossing of the dense Ngome along a single track from Mrali village.

The Wangome are Sunni Muslims divided into different tarikas (sufi orders) led by local sheikhs. New immigrants are either Christians or traditional religionists, who very rarely intermarry with the locals. The Wangome prefer close kin-marriage, $N k^{h} e n d u g u$, rather than outgroup marriage (Caplan 1975). Their main occupation involves cooperating in small-scale fishing activities and both shifting and semipermanent subsistence farming in makhonde (fields) and madawe (meadow lands). Most people grow coconut palms and some keep animals such as goats, cows, and donkeys for hauling goods around their homesteads. They cultivate coconuts as the main cash crop, grow rice, cassava and keep livestock for local consumption. Selling of copra is no longer a lucrative business. Agriculture, in the north, is affected by wild animals which force farmers to build wooden fences called mabati. Men are regularly engaged in fishing using small dug-out canoes known locally as michoo. They do not have large fishing vessels to range far out into the Indian ocean for larger quantities of fish. Their most profitable type of fishing is diving (kuchokoa) for lobster and octopus. These are bought on the spot at an average price of $£ 2$ a kilogram for lobsters and 30 pence per kilogram for octopus by two foreign firms based in the south of the island and licensed to export fresh products abroad. Other fresh catches are sold in part to hawkers at the ports of Bweni, Musikitini and Jojo, while the remainder are sun-dried and sold for a better price on the mainland. Women, in addition to agriculture, support themselves by selling $u k i n d u$ (dried raffia palm), and mikeka (mats), which are sold on the mainland and in Zanzibar.

I believe KiNgome will continue to persist in the mouths of many Wangome due to three major factors: the natural geographical barrier, the continuation of the system of marriage and their desire to preserve their self identity.

### 1.4.1 Linguistic neighbourhood

KiNgome can be subdivided into two major variants: North KiNgome and South KiNgome. The North subdivision comprises KiNgome spoken in Bweni, Kanga, Banja and Jojo. The South subdivision is that of Jimbo and Mrali ,'the heartland' of the majority of the linguistic ancestors of the Wangome. There are minor phonological differences between these two varieties/lects (see Chapter 2).

The southerners from Kirongwe village, even though it is the headquarters of the Northern Division, use predominantly SSW while the 'extreme' northerners (in the remaining villages) speak KiNgome and partly SSW with non-natives. I have noted some phonological elements in Mafia Island Swahili, whether SSW or KiNgome, that are similar to the adjacent Rufiji-Ruvuma languages ${ }^{9}$ such as P10 of KiRuihi and KiMatumbi and P20 of KiMwera and KiMakonde. For example, one often hears a moraic (homorganic) nasal derived from mu- syncopation e.g. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (1)'wife' or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{oto}}$ (1)'child'.

## 1. 5 Field research

The data for this study was collected in six villages of the northern Mafia Islands from 25 March to 10 September 1999 and from 29 March to 10 May 2002. I easily got my research clearance through the Vice Chancellor of the Open University of Tanzania. I flew to Mafia Island's capital Kilindoni in early April 1999 where I was stranded for three days hoping for 'a lift' to get to the Ngome area. There was no designated transport to the north of the Island during that rainy season (masika). Generally, Mafia Island has only one privately owned bus (christened Mtu kwao ) that shuttles between Kilindoni and Bweni during the dry season. In this first trip I secured by chance a truck travelling to Jimbo where I had to complete the rest of the trip to Kanga village on foot. At other times I had to rely on bicycle or foot as the only means of transport around the Ngome area or when I needed to go to the capital in Kilindoni which is about 45 Kilometres from my base at Kanga village.

All this time, I lived in a traditional makuti house belonging to the late Mikidadi Juma Kichange, which I shared with three young men who were also my informants. Despite the lack of modern facilities such as electricity, tap water, or telephone, I had no trouble in acclimatising myself to the coastal life in Northern Mafia, as I had spent my childhood

[^6]and early schooling period in a similar coastal environment in Tanga and Pangani.

I had a schedule for visiting each village on a separate day, whilst accompanied sometimes by my host Late Mikidadi Kichange, a forester by profession and well known as an environmentalist throughout Mafia Island, and at other times by a young man named Mzee Ali Hija who is one of the few secondary school leavers from Kanga village. Throughout my stay in the Ngome area I enjoyed very caring support and co-operation from all the people I met.

The data collected for this study was based on a questionnaire, text recording sessions and on informal interviews. The questionnaire comprises 830 items compiled for the purpose of the study. It includes basic vocabulary, grammar and morphology items. I had prepared an additional 316 items for short discourses meant for the elicitation of morphological and morpho-phonological data. All these data were collected from the six key villages. Although $I$ attempt to follow my planned questionnaire, I selected a few informants to spend a long elicitation hours with me. I allowed the rest of my informants and the people I met throughout my trips to stray as much as they liked from the questions asked. I provoked discussion that aimed to let them express themselves and explain to me their daily experience of life on the island. This technique helped me to record a range of topics that I had initiated, some of which forms part of the KiNgome texts. I attempted to note down almost every distinct word in its context in order to explicitly illustrate its actual meaning and usage restrictions.

I also used only six informants to gather information about the dialect and to gain some understanding of the sociolinguistic patterns, the history of the speech community, and their own personal details. Their responses helped to draw a picture of KiNgome in general. Taken together, my principal informants were:

| Informants | Gender | Age | Village |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mwamviwa Bakari $\quad$ Suleiman | Female | 53 | Bweni |
| Omari Mashi Fungo | Male | 25 | Bweni |
| Aboud Mohamed Juma | Male | $70+$ | Jimbo |
| Ahmed Mwatanda | Male | $65+$ | Kanga |
| Afina Hassan | Male | 24 | Kanga |
| Haji Mohamedi | Male | 26 | Kanga |
| Maalim Manzi | Male | 70 | Kanga |


| Mzee Ali Hija | Male | 20 | Kanga |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aisha Kombo Juma | Female | $75+$ | Kanga |
| Abdalah Haji Mwinchande | Male | 54 | Kanga |
| Mwansiti Bint Makame | Female | 32 | Kanga |
| Mikidadi Juma Kichange | Male | 46 | Kanga |
| Athumani Bakari | Male | 31 | Mrali |
| Mohamedi Hassan | Male | 48 | Jojo |
| Hassan Khatibu | Male | 32 | Banja |

The above table lists the informants I selected to contribute the wordlist and corpus of KiNgome materials set out on my prepared questionnaires. Much care was taken to select men and women representative of the Ngome area. These informants had grown up in the target locality. Both males and females, aged between 20 and 75 plus, contributed to the database by assisting in filling out questionnaires, taking part in an interview schedule and in participating in everyday conversation. I participated in local social gatherings such as a burial ceremony (mariro), Sufi order (Ziara), Swahili New Year (Mwaka koga) and in the traditional dances (Mdatu) and spirit possession rituals (Kitanga).

I also spent time with fishermen of Jojo, Musikitini, Bweni, and Mrali and also with the famous iron-smiths of Jimbo. In these places I observed and noted the way KiNgome is spoken. I did not hesitate to ask whenever I had a problem, and the people were more than willing to explain to me the meaning of words and the ways in which KiNgome speech differs from my Tanga dialect and SSW in general. They did not seem to mind when I kept noting what I heard or recorded casual conversations, stories and interviews in my handy digital recorder for later elicitation.

The medium of data elicitation was at first SSW. Later I usually put to use my knowledge of KiNgome after picking up the key features of the dialect and various distinct items of vocabulary so as to keep my informants and people around me at ease. The more people I met, the more I learnt the lexical variation grammar and peculiar sounds of KiNgome in contrast to the Standard forms and those of other Swahili dialects.

I started with individual elicitation by asking the informants to supply the equivalent of the SSW words, together with any variants. I had opportunities to rectify any discrepancies in the responses with more than
one informant. I carried out random 'spot-check'-type comparisons of the speech of informants. Usually I completed a wordlist with one participant and then checked it with another participant. In case of discrepancy between participants I tried to test the item in the field to see what were other people's responses in the course of normal conversation. Often I observed that some of the items I had found appeared doubtful and I then noted their usage in context. This technique helped me to note both the basic form and also variant ways of speech resulting from differences of age, gender, schooling and upbringing.

I visited Mafia Island again in March to May 2002 to countercheck some of the discrepancies I encountered in the course of my investigation and analysis. The present study is the result of all this undertaking.

## Chapter 2: Phonology

### 2.0 The Segmental Phonology

This chapter deals with the Phonology of KiNgome segments, and their distributions within roots. I shall attempt to prove the distinctiveness of various segments by invoking the principle of contrast in analogous environments (that also necessarily includes contrast in identical environment ) as employed by Pike (1947: 75).

The consonant inventory based on the KiNgome database is summarised in Table 1. In the subsequent sections, I present descriptions of the segments by categories. Thus, I will consider stops, fricatives, nasals, glides and the flap in the Consonants section. The section on Vowels will examine their phonemic status, distribution and vowel harmony.

Table 1. KiNgome Consonant Phonemes

|  | labials | alveolars | palatals | velars | laryngeal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Voiceless stops | P | t | c | k |  |
| Aspirated stops | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{ch}^{\text {h }}$ | kh |  |
| Implosives | b | d | 子 | $g$ |  |
| Voiceless fricatives | f | s | $\check{s}$ |  | h |
| Voiced fricatives | v | z |  |  |  |
| Prenasalized Voiceless aspirated Stops | $\mathrm{Np}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{Nt}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{Nc}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{Nk}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |
| Prenasalized Voiced Stops | Nb | Nd | Nj | Ng |  |
| Prenasalized voiceless fricative |  | Ns |  |  |  |
| Prenasalized voiced fricatives | Nv | Nz |  |  |  |
| Nasals | m | n | n | I] |  |
| Glides | w |  | y |  |  |
| Rhotic (flap) |  | r |  |  |  |

## 2. 1 The consonant inventory

The above inventory of consonant phonemes in KiNgome manifests certain phonemic features common to SD as found in Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 567-576). The most notable feature is the extraordinary
richness of stops. It has five types of stops: voiceless unaspirated, voiceless aspirated, voiced implosives, and two contrasting series involving homorganic prenasalized stops. Plain voiced stops can only be found as components of the homorganic prenasalized stops ( $\mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Nj}, \mathrm{Ng})^{10}$. There are four plain nasals, five plain fricatives, three prenasalized fricatives, two glides, and a flap. Of note in this inventory is the presence of an alveolar flap that covers the absence of a lateral and of a trill. There is also a complete lack of the dental series which is a typical feature of the Northern Swahili dialects (ND), and this is one of the pieces of evidence for grouping KiNgome with other SD.

By and large, KiNgome shares similarities in segment distribution and in the possession of certain distinct segments with the following Non-Unguja SD members: KiMtang'ata, KiPemba, KiTumbatu, and KiMakunduchi. In particular I refer here to the voiceless unaspirated stops, voiceless aspirated stops, voiced implosives, plain nasals and fricatives. Throughout this study I have employed the simplified phonemic consonant symbols set out in Table 2 below:

Table 2 : The simplified phonemic consonant symbols

| phoneme | IPA | description of principle allophone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | [p] | voiceless labial stop |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ | [ph] | voiceless aspirated labial stop |
| b | [6] | voiced labial implosive stop |
| t | [t] | voiceless alveolar stop |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | [ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] | voiceless aspirated alveolar stop |
| d | [d] | voiced alveolar implosive stop |
| č | [ t] | voiceless palato-alveolar stop (affricate) |
| 8h | [ $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ] | voiceless aspirated palato-alveolar stop (affricate) |
| 于 | [f] | voiced palatal implosive stop |
| $k$ | [k] | voiceless velar stop |
| $k^{h}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}\right]$ | voiceless aspirated velar stop |
| 9 | [g] | voiced velar implosive stop |
| $\mathrm{NP}^{h}$ | [ $\widehat{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ ] | voiceless (aspirated) bilabial prenasalized stop |
| $\mathrm{Nt}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | [ $\mathrm{nfo}^{\text {h }}$ ] | voiceless (aspirated) alveolar prenasalised stop |
| N:h |  | voiceless (aspirated) palato-alveolar prenasalized stop (affricate) |

[^7]| Nk ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | [ $\hat{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ ] | voiceless (aspirated) velar prenasalized stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nb | [mb] | voiced labial prenasalised stop |
| Nd | [ nd ] | voiced alveolar prenasalised stop |
| Nj | [ ${ }^{\text {d }} 3$ ] | voiced palato-alveolar prenasalised stop (affricate) |
| Ng | [99] | voiced velar prenasalised stop |
| Nv | [ mv$]$ | voiced labio-dental prenasalized fricative |
| Ns | [ n ¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | voiceless alveolar prenasalized fricative |
| Nz | [ nz ] | voiced alveolar prenasalised fricative |
| f | [f] | voiceless labio-dental fricative |
| $v$ | [v] | voiced labio-dental fricative |
| 5 | [s] | voiceless alveolar fricative |
| $z$ | [z] | voiced alveolar fricative |
| $\stackrel{y}{3}$ | []] | voiceless palato-alveolar fricative |
| h | [h] | voiceless laryngeal fricative |
| b | [h] | voiceless pharyngeal fricative |
| $\times$ | [x] | voiceless velar fricative |
| m | [m] | bilabial nasal |
| $\pi$ | [ n ] | alveolar nasal |
| r | [ n$]$ | palatal nasal |
| 7 | [ n ] | velar nasal |
| r | [r] | alveolar flap |
| w | [w] | labial-velar glide |
| $y$ | [ j] | palatal glide |

As noted above, the presentation of prenasalised obstruents employs a nasal archiphoneme / N/. This nasal archiphoneme / N/ stands for a regularly homorganic nasal in KiNgome. I shall further present voiced implosives simply as /b, d, $f$, and $g /$ throughout this thesis. For the alveolar flap [r], I employ $/ \mathrm{r} /$ not as representing a trill but as representing KiNgome's alveolar flap [r]. The voiceless palatal stop has been presented by $/ \delta /$ and the voiceless palato-alveolar fricative will be symbolised as $/ \Sigma /$. This is for typographical convenience.

### 2.1.1 Stops

KiNgome is rich in phonemic stop consonants. There are three basic contrasts in stops (including the palatal affricates); the first is the distinction between aspirated voiceless and unaspirated voiceless which is
made possible on account of the clear presence of phonemic aspiration in this dialect. Secondly, the voiceless (aspirated and unaspirated) stops stand in contrast with the voiced implosives which fill the gap created by the lack of plain voiced stops (except when they occur as part of a prenasalized stops).

### 2.1.1.1 Aspirated versus unaspirated voiceless stops

The KiNgome dialect has numerous contrastive minimal pairs that establish the distinctiveness of aspirated versus unaspirated voiceless stops (including affricates) as illustrated in (1) below:
(1)

| unaspirated voiceless stops |  | aspirated voiceless stops |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| paNga | (5) 'machete' | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {haNga }}$ | (9a/10a) 'cave' |
| toto | (5) 'large baby' | thoto | (9a/10a) 'furrow' |
| towe | (5) 'rope' | $t^{\text {howe }}$ | (9a/10a) 'vei |
| bati | (5) 'iron sheet' | bat $\dot{h}_{i}$ | (9a/10a) 'cattle den' |
| koNde | (5) | $k^{\text {ho }} \mathrm{Nd}$ de | (9a/10a) 'field |
| kama | (conj.) 'if' | $k^{\text {hama }}$ | (9a/10a) 'necklace' |
| Saraza | (V) 'whip' | ¢haraza | (9a/10a) 'wild pigeon' |

As noted above, aspirated stops are clearly distinguished from unaspirated voiceless counterparts. There is no restriction in terms of distribution in word-initial and word-internal positions as more examples will reveal in the course of discussion of aspiration in the subsequent sections. My recordings show that aspiration is clearly audible. This is one of the major phonological characteristics of KiNgome that has been lost in SSW. A detailed discussion of the feature of aspiration in KiNgome will appear in subsequent sections. At this stage, I would like to continue illustrating evidence for the phonemic distinctions between the voiceless stops and voiced stops (implosives) by demonstrating contrasts in analogous environment (including identical environments).

## 2. 1.1.2 Contrasting voiceless stops and implosives

I extract words from the KiNgome database showing voiceless stops (including affricates) contrasting with implosives in word-initial and word-internal environments in four places of articulation (labial, alveolar, palatal and velar). There is no restriction of occurrence of the
stops in terms of word-initial and word-internal environments. However, we would not be able to see a consonant in word-final position even in loanwords ${ }^{11}$, as the phonotactics of KiNgome prohibits the occurrence of consonants in coda position.
(2)(a) Word-initially

| pata | (V) | 'get' | bati | (5) | 'iron sheet' |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tuNda | (5) | 'fruit' | duNda | (5) | 'hill' |
| cini | (Adv.) | 'down' | Jini | (1a) | 'spirit' |
| kaNba | (9a/10a) 'rope' | gaNba | (V) | 'scale' |  |

(b) Word-internally

| (N)p ${ }^{\text {opo }}$ | (9a/10a) 'bat' | bubu | (1a) 'dumb' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hokoto }}$ | (10a) 'aggregate' | kodo | (5) 'testicle' |
| ¢haci | (1a) 'orphan' | Nk $h_{\text {afi }}$ | (9/10) 'snail' |
| uka | (V) 'stand up' | ugari | (14) 'stiff porridge' |

In (2a\&b) the phonemic status of voiceless unaspirated stops (that includes affricates in the palatal series) and voiced implosives is established in KiNgome. KiNgome exhibits four distinct voiced implosives in its inventory. These implosives occur rather than plain voiced stops in maintaining a contrast with voiceless stops.

In KiNgome, as well as other Swahili dialects, plain voiced stops occur only as components of the homorganic prenasalized stops ( $\mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Nj}$, Ng ). However when they follow homorganic moraic nasals they become implosives. The examples below illustrate implosives preceded by a homorganic moraic nasal in comparison with the plain (non-implosive) voiced stops found in the prenasalised homorganic voiced stops.
(3)

|  | [ndomo] | (3) 'lip' | Ndoo | [ndoo] | (10) 'buckets' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | [m巨aja] | (1) 'a bad p | Nbaya | ¢aja | j) 'bad' |
|  | [ ¢ifini] $^{\text {c }}$ | (3) 'to | Njiya | [ | ) 'pat |
| NguNba | [ngumba | (1) 'sterile person' | Nguña | [ ¢gGñaz | 10) 'gray |

[^8]In (3) we see clear contrast between implosives and plain (non-implosive) stops.

### 2.1.1.2.1 Aspirated voiceless stops in KiNgome

Aspiration in KiNgome results from both a productive synchronic process and from an earlier diachronic processes. This section concerns discussion of the synchronic process and of the general occurrence of aspiration in KiNgome. We defer the discussion on the diachronic account of aspiration in KiNgome until Chapter 5.

In KiNgome aspiration serves a distinctive function but is confined to certain contexts. I have come across various forms showing the distribution rules relating to the aspiration of the voiceless stops (including affricate č). The most notable environment that motivates aspiration of the voiceless stops (plus the voiceless affricate) in KiNgome, and indeed in Bantu languages in general (c.f. Hinnebusch 1975: 32; Mathangwane 1999:145-51; Schadeberg 1999: 388), is the nasal prefix for Classes 9/10. KiNgome has abundant examples of aspirated stops preceded by this nasal prefix, as in (4). We start with consideration of the occurrence of cases of aspiration in word-initial position.

### 2.1.1.2.2 Aspiration of obstruents in word-initial position

(4)

| Nk ${ }^{\text {arubu }}$ | (9/10) 'dog' |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nphera | (9/10) 'nose' |
| Nthiri | (9/10) 'type of fish' |
| Nthete | (9/10) 'unripe |
| Nthesa | (9/10) 'groundnut' |
| Nthokosa | (9/10) 'rice' |
| NthaNdu | (9/10) 'scorpion' |
| Nk ${ }^{\text {ama }}$ | (9/10) 'type of bird' |
| Nkhuku | (9/10) 'hen' |
| Nkhasa | (9/10) 'turtle' |
| Nkhafi | (9/10) 'type of bee' |

Examination of examples in (4) indicate that there is regular occurrence of voiceless aspirated obstruents preceded by the nasal prefix N-. Further observation of the cited examples reveal that an initial homorganic nasal
component of a prenasalized voiceless obstruent is stable and constitutes part of a unitary segment in KiNgome．Some of these examples have undergone the process of nasal deletion in SSW and other urban Swahili
 However there is some evidence that the process of nasal deletion in some cases is optional as the following synchronic alternation of NÇh～ $C_{8}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ，indicate in（5）：
（5）

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Np}^{h^{h}} \mathrm{aNzi}^{\sim} \sim \mathrm{p}^{h} \mathrm{aNzi}^{2} \quad(9 / 10) \text { 'grasshopper' } \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~h}_{\text {opo }} \sim \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {opo }}} \text { (9/10) 'bat' } \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{UN}} \mathrm{dab} \sim \mathrm{p}^{h_{\mathrm{UN}} \mathrm{Na}} \quad(9 / 10) \text { 'donkey' } \\
& \text { Nthars ~ } t^{\text {tharョ }} \text { (9/10) 'ray fish' }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nど } \\
& \mathrm{Ng}_{\text {wago }} \sim \text { ch }_{\text {wago }} \quad(9 / 10) \text { 'type of crab' } \\
& \text { Nathore ~ shore (9/10) 'type of bird' } \\
& \text { Nkhupe ~ } k^{\text {h }} \text { upe } \quad(9 / 10) \text { 'wild rat' } \\
& \mathrm{Nk}^{h_{\text {ups }}} \sim \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {upa }}} \quad(9 / 10) \text { 'tick' } \\
& \mathrm{Nk}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{aNba}^{\sim} \sim \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{aNba}} \text { (9/10) 'prawn' } \\
& \text { NkhuruNge } \sim \mathrm{kh}_{\text {uruNge }} \text { (9/10) 'forest' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The context in which the above alternation occur is not purely determined by phonetic reasons．Presumably，from phonetic point of view，all cases of N －deletion is made possible following the devoicing of N prefix in the environment of the homorganic voiceless obstruents． Crosslinguistically，Hajek（1997：158）observed that devoicing of N has a major effect on perceptual saliency，which may lead to complete N － deletion in some languages ${ }^{12}$ ．As far as the KiNgome synchronic evidence is concerned，I find three salient reasons behind N －deletion： First，is the tempo of speech：the nasal prefix loss becomes most obvious in casual speech but is retained in careful speech．Secondly，there is deliberate attempt by speakers to contrast the prenasalized aspirated stops

[^9]against plain aspirated stops as the following minimal pairs reveal：
（6）

| Nkhamョ | （9／10）＇type of bird＇ |  | （9a／10a）＇bead＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nkhuku | （9／10）＇keel＇ | $k^{\text {huku }}$ | （9a／10a）＇hen＇ |
| Nk ${ }^{\text {afji }}$ | （9／10）＇type of bee＇ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {¢ aji }}$ | （9a／10a）＇snail＇ |
| Nthara | （9／10）＇ray fish＇ | ${ }_{\text {thara }}$ | （9a／10a）＇lamp＇ |

Thirdly，I suspect some words have either entered into KiNgome lexicon while already lost nasal onset but preserved aspiration of the stops．These words constitute a third subset of nouns under Classes 9a／10a as follows： （7）

| thamo | （9a／10a）＇five＇ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hañ }}$ | （9a／10a）＇rat＇ |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hago }}$ | （9a／10a）＇charm＇ |
| $k^{\text {hope }}$ | （9a／10a）＇eyelashes＇ |
| $k^{\text {hyoNgore }}$ | （9a／10a）＇large fish＇ |
| $\mathrm{P}^{\text {heNbe }}$ | （9a／10a）＇horn＇ |
| $k^{h_{\text {uni }}}$ | （9a／10a）＇firewood＇ |
| $\mathrm{p}^{h_{\text {oN }}} \mathrm{Ndo}$ | （9a／10a）＇pole＇ |

So far we have examined the word－initial position of aspirated obstruents effected by（loss or presence of ）the N prefix of Class $9 / 10$ nominal．

Similarly，I have observed that KiNgome voiceless obstruents may be aspirated following the presence of a homorganic moraic nasal，notably in Class 1 and 3 prefixes as the following data indicates：
（8）

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nikheka (3) 'mat' } \\
& \text { Ņch uzi (3) 'broth' } \\
& \text { Nithoto (1) 'child' } \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \text { (1) 'wife' } \\
& \mathrm{NP}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {Wヨ }}} \\
& \text { (1) 'niece' }
\end{aligned}
$$

KiNgome attests homorganic moraic nasal resulting from mu－ syncopation where it becomes moraic $\mathrm{m}^{-}$that then assimilates to the point of articulation of the following consonants $\mathrm{N}-$ ．This is a regular process for KiNgome as far as the $m u$－prefix is concerned．In noun
prefixes of Classes 1 and 3, KiNgome has only two nominal prefix forms: the full form / mu- / or and the syncopated form / $\mathrm{N}-/$, which is a moraic homorganic nasal. But we rarely encounter a case of $/ \pi /$ resulting from syncopation of the vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$. The moraic homorganic nasal N , just like the nasal component of the prenasalised voiceless obstruent triggers, aspiration of the following oral stop. A similar situation is reported in another Bantu language, Ikalanga, where Mathangwane (1999: 62) indicates the following examples (in a footnote) where the moraic nasal precedes a voiceless labial aspirated stop;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mán-n-k hw-anan-fílí } & \text { 'one who is a breaker of pots' }  \tag{9}\\
\text { mán-khwakwarara } & \text { 'one who makes the cricket noise' } \\
\text { mán-t fúmbe } & \text { 'one who is carrying its dwelling' }
\end{array}
$$

In her own words $n-s t a n d s$ for Class 1 prefix- with no relation to the máprefix which is used in Ikalanga to mean 'one who has or who does something'. Although she does not explicitly relate the class 1 prefix $n-$, which she regards as a syllabic (in our terms moraic), with aspiration of the following stop, we have no doubt that the moraic nasal has brought about aspiration of the following voiceless stops.

## 2. 1. 1.2.3 Aspirated stops in word-internal position

Aspirated stops are commonly found in word-internal position too. I have noticed this characteristic of KiNgome in the following words:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text {-buruNt } h_{u} \quad(9 / 10) \text { 'hornless bull' }  \tag{10}\\
& \text {-fuN厄ha (V) 'grumble' } \\
& \text { - fuNkh (V) 'smoke' } \\
& \text {-kiNkhisa (V) 'heatbeat' } \\
& -k u N t h_{u} \quad \text { (V) 'defecate' } \\
& -\mathrm{oNthe} \text { (A) 'all' } \\
& \text {-puNtha (V) 'be cool' } \\
& -\operatorname{taNt} h_{\text {ura }} \text { (V) 'toddling' } \\
& m w a N_{p} h_{u} \quad \text { (Adj) 'arrogance' } \\
& \text { rukoNkho (11) 'walking stick' }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { waNt } h_{u} \text { (1) 'people' }
$$

We have seen in § 2．1．1．2． 2 that both the nasal onset in prenasalised stops and the moraic nasal preceding voiceless stops in word－initial position trigger aspiration．Likewise，prenasalised voiceless stops and cases of derived moraic homorganic nasal in word－internal position effect aspiration of the voiceless obstruents．

$$
\begin{align*}
& -e N k^{h} u N d u \text { ~ek }{ }^{h} u N d u \text { (Adj) 'red' }  \tag{11}\\
& \text {-nuNk } h_{a} \sim-n u k_{a} \quad \text { (V)'smell' }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{muNk}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim \mathrm{mukh}_{\mathrm{g}} \text { (1) 'wife' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The tempo of speech governs the alternation in（11）．The nasal onset in the prenasalized stops is clearly audible in careful speech but seems to be lost in rapid speech．However it is obvious that this synchronic alternation is a result of the devoicing of the onset nasal that weakens it and thus results in its optional loss．

Closer to above cases，Ekoti（Schadeberg and Mucanheia 2000：26），a distant relative of KiNgome，has interesting examples that support our contention that aspirated stops seems to be effected by the preceding nasal onset in word－internal position as seen in（12）below：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { kintakh'oola'n'kha 'I want to leave' }  \tag{12}\\
& \text { walョnk }{ }^{h} \mathbf{i} \text { waョp }^{h_{a}} \text { 'I am already gone' }
\end{align*}
$$

I＇m convinced that nasal component contributes to the aspiration of the following stops．

Word－internally innovated aspiration is also evidenced in a derived environment like that of the 3sg OM／－N／；derived from／mu－／when affixed in the verb，as follows：

# ňi－N－khuta <br> 1sgSM－3sgOM－meet 

＇I have met him／her＇

## 2. 1. 1. 2. 4 Aspiration of the stops in the penultimate mora

(14)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { kiphanga } & \text { (9a/10a) 'tsetse fly' } \\
\text { parathaza } & \text { (9a) 'cool season' } \\
\text { kituthure } & \text { (7) 'hut' } \\
\text { paparuphanga } & \text { (9a/10a) 'saw fish' } \\
\text { kitethesa } & \text { (9a/10a) 'butterfly' } \\
\text { kithaNga } & \text { (7) 'type of dance' } \\
\text { čuidighwidi } & \text { (Adv.) 'slowly' } \\
\text { puitaphwita } & \text { (V) 'beat repeatedly' } \\
\text { kithezo } & \text { (7) 'incense burner' } \\
\text { Nsikhiti } & \text { (3) 'mosque' }
\end{array}
$$

None of the previous factors that bring about aspiration, seem to be involved in (14) above. Further scrutiny of (14) shows that aspiration occur mainly or exclusively in the penult. I regard this phenomenon as merely a tendency as some of the informants did not clearly aspirate the above examples. It is not an obligatory process. But when I asked them to pronounce the following words ending with the location marker -ni; aspiration was clearly audible in the penultimate mora.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Nsikithini } & \text { in the mosque' }  \tag{15}\\
\text { kiruNbikhani } & \text { (7) 'sidewall' }
\end{array}
$$

This implies that although the position of stress plays a role in optionally bringing about aspiration, as in (15), the pragmatic factors such as the emphasis of a word with penultimate stress makes aspiration to be audible.
(a) Nsikhiti
(3) 'mosque'
(b) Nsikithini 'in the mosque'

KiNgome attests to aspiration shift as we see in (16a \& b). The aspiration occurs on the onset of the penultimate syllable, and if through the addition of the locative $-n i$, the penultimate mora changes, the
aspirated segment changes also. A clear evidence that stress in the penultimate may be responsible for aspiration of the stop that occurs in that position is presented by the compound word paparuph ${ }^{\mathrm{aNga}}$ (9a/10a) 'saw fish'. This example has been derived from two independent words:

```
phapa (9a/10a) 'shark'
mupaNga (11) 'machete'
```

In compounding, paparup ${ }^{h} \mathrm{aNga}_{g}$, there is a loss of aspiration in $p^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{apa}$ in favour of the penultimate mora in rupaNga which happens to have a voiceless stop. Subsequently, it has become aspirated.

## 2. 1.1.2.5 Aspiration of the stops effected by sibilant

Among the synchronic cases I have observed of aspiration in KiNgome, is one where a syllable beginning with a sibilant precedes one beginning with a voiceless stop. Although KiNgome is a CV language, I have noticed a synchronic alternation in the following words:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { kasikazi ~ kaskhazi } & \text { (9a) 'north wind' }  \tag{18}\\
\text { kasikazini ~kaskhazini } & \text { (9a) 'north' } \\
\text { asikari ~askhari } & \text { (1a) 'soldier' } \\
\text { צituka } \sim \text { צthuka } & \text { (V) 'terrified' }
\end{array}
$$

Noted in (18), are cases of aspiration resulting from the presence of sibilants preceding voiceless stops. Like onset $N$ or moraic $N$ preceding voiceless obstruents and bringing about aspiration, sibilants in the above environment trigger aspiration too. Rarely, KiNgome allows consonant sequences, the sequences $S$ (ibilant) $+C$ (onsonant) resulting from syncopation of an intervening vowel. This is depicted in the synchronic alternation in (18). It is interesting to note that the voiceless $/ \mathrm{k} /$ is aspirated in both kaskhazi and kaskhazini thus diminished the argument that penultimate stress may have triggered aspiration.

It is clear from the above discussion that aspiration is distinctive. The evidence drawn from synchronic KiNgome data has strongly shown that aspiration is triggered by a 'consonant' immediately preceding a voiceless stops. Essentially, as Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996: 66) note, aspiration is a period of voicelessness after the release of a stop stricture (). Nasal
onset, moraic nasal, stress in the penultimate mora, and moraic sibilants tend to cause an accumulation of airflow (pressure) preceding a noticeable burst that has been sustained for some considerable time (c.f. Ladefoged and Maddieson ibid.: 67) At the moment the possible 'consonants' are nasals (whether moraic or weightless) or sibilants /s/ or $/ \Sigma /$. Again some speakers with arabic influence are pronouncing arabic loan words such as baft ${ }_{a}$ 'loin cloth' and fthari 'meal' with audible aspiration. KiNgome also expands the environment in which aspiration can occur; some speakers have been observed aspirating voiceless stops that fall in the penultimate position. The available data has no other cases of consonant following voiceless obstruents.

## 2. 1. 2 Fricatives

KiNgome has a total of 6 plain fricatives, which are /f, v, s,s, z,h/.I shall demonstrate the phonemic status of $/ \mathrm{f} /$ and $/ \mathrm{v} /$ and show the contrast $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{z}, /$ in analogous environment. The laryngeal fricative $/ \mathrm{h} /$ will be contrasted with various phonemes to establish its phonemic status.

## 2. 1. 2. 1 The Labial Fricatives.

The following are words illustrating contrast between voiced and voiceless labial fricatives in initial and word-medial position.
(a) word-initial
-fama (V) 'urinate' -vami[y]a (V) 'pounce on'
-fuNga (V) 'tie' -vuNga (V) 'confuse'
-fura (V) 'swell up' -vura (V) 'put off'
(b) word-medial

| rufa | (11) 'crack' | -ruvi | (9a/10a)'type of bee' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ndefu | (Adj) 'tall' | Ndevu | (10) 'beards' |

/f/ and /v/ are separate phonemes as they contrast in analogous environment.

## 2．1．2． 2 The alveolar and palatal fricatives．

We present by means of contrast in analogous environment the distinction between $/ \Sigma_{2} z$ ， and $\check{s} /$ in both word－initial and word－internal environments．

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text {-suka (V) 'plait' } & \text {-zuka (V) 'erupt' } & \text { šuka } & \text { (5) 'loincloth' }  \tag{20}\\
\text { kosa (5) 'error' } & \text {-koza } & \text { (V) 'heat' } & \text {-kos̆a (V) 'wash' }
\end{array}
$$

The contrast between voiced alveolar fricatives and voiceless alveolar fricatives against voiceless palatal fricatives in（20）proves their phonemic status in KiNgome．However，KiNgome tends to employ／s／whereas SSW and northern dialects prefer the palatal fricative／$\varsigma /$ in numerous lexical items．I have noted the following cases：

| KiNgome | SSW and ND |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －soto | （adj）＇left＇ | －צoto | （adj）＇left＇ |
| －tonesa | （V）＇hurt＇ | －toneša | （V）＇cure＇ |
| －faso | （5）＇sweat＇ | 扫気 | （5）＇sweat＇ |
| fuso | （5）＇charm＇ | fuso | （5）＇charm＇ |
| keso | （adv）＇tomorrow＇ | －ke ${ }^{\text {co }}$ | （adv）＇tomorrow＇ |
| matapisi | （6）＇vomit＇ | matapizi | （6）＇vomit＇ |
| mosi | （3）＇smoke＇ | mozi | （3）＇smoke＇ |
| N siNdo | （3）＇noise＇ | N－צiNdo | （3）＇noise＇ |
| Nsipa | （3）＇vein＇ | míripa | （3）＇vein＇ |
| savu | （5）＇chick＇ | Yavu | （5）＇chick＇ |
| sina | （5）＇trunk＇ | そina | （5）＇trunk＇ |
| siNda | （V）＇press＇ | yiNda | （V）＇press＇ |
| siNgo | （9a／10a）＇neck＇ | YiNgo | （9a／10a）＇neck＇ |
| soka | （5）＇axle＇ | Yoka | （5）＇axle＇ |
| usaNga | （11）＇bead＇ | ušaNga | （11）＇bead＇ |

There is a clear contrast here between KiNgome and SSW and ND together．In Chapter 6 we will extend this discussion by examining the diachronic factors leading to the above contrast．Tentatively，we suggest that the synchronic attestation of／s／indicates an areal feature common to SD and the neighbouring P10 and P20 languages．

## 2. 1.2.3 The Laryngeal Fricative

In order to establish the phonemic status of /h/, I contrast it with other phonemes in similar environments, as in (22a \&b):
(22)(a) word-initial
-hama (V) 'move out'

- fama
(V) 'urinate'
-hema (V)'gasp'
-sema
(V) 'say'
(b) word-medial
masョha
(6) 'pus'
mac̆aza
(6) 'rice'
mahaNda
(6) 'twins'
mas̆aNba
(6) 'cultivated fields'

The evidence for the laryngeal fricative/h-/ in word-medial position is quite rare. However its phonemic character is clear following its opposition to other KiNgome phonemes in (22 a \&b). Generally, /h/ occurs in few words of Bantu origin. as presented in (23).

```
ha- or hu- 'negative marker' c.f. CB/PSA*nka- and *nku-
hoNbwe (9a/10a) 'type of snail'
suhu (V) 'rot' /'ferment'
wdaha (14) 'pepper'
```

It is certainly the case that most instances of $/ \mathrm{h} /$ have entered into the KiNgome lexicon through Arabic loan-words via old KiUnguja: (24)

| KiNgome | Arabic source |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| roho | ruh | $(9 a / 10 a)$ 'spirit' |
| huzuni | buzn | $(9 a / 10 a)$ 'sorrow' |
| hesa | hisa | $(9 a / 10 a)$ 'share' |
| hizi | huzn | $(V)$ 'cause anguish' |
| haramu | harm | $(9 a / 10 a)$ 'sin $/$ forbidden' |
| hadisi | hade | $(9 a / 10 a)$ 'story' |
| haNsini | xamsa | $(9 a / 10 a)$ 'fifty' |
| bahari | bahr | (9a/10a) 'sea' |
| mahari | mahr | $(6)$ 'the bride-price' |

Others are found as part of the general nautical vocabulary of the Indian Ocean such as:
nahoza (1a) 'skipper'
deheni (V) 'caulk'

## 2. 1.3 Prenasalized obstruents

Several Sabaki members have shown that in most cases prenasalized obstruents are limited to voiced oral consonants rather than to their voiceless counterparts. Though this seems to be the case for many modern Sabaki members, it by no means applies to all members. KiNgome, KiPokomo and even KiEkoti attest many cases both of prenasalized voiceless and of their voiced counterparts. This subsection will discuss the synchronic cases of prenasalized stops (herewith NS) and prenasalized fricatives (NF).

### 2.1.3.1 Prenasalized stops (NS)

Synchronically, KiNgome makes a distinction between prenasalized voiceless stops with aspiration / NŞ/ and prenasalized voiced stops /NS/. These contrasts occur in initial and medial position. However, we must stress the peculiarity of KiNgome in treating both prenasalized voiceless and voiced stops as unitary segments when they occur initially in Class 9/10 nouns (except in monomoraic stems) or word-internally. This is contrary to what Maeda (2001:162) observed in the Swahili dialect of KiMvita (or indeed in SSW), namely that:
'...in the case of the $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{C}$ sequences in word-internal position, when a consonant of a N+C sequence is a voiced stop or a voiced labial/alveolar fricative, the sequence is realised as a prenasalized obstruent. However, it is realised as a moraic nasal followed by a consonant when any consonant other than a voiced stop or a voiced labial/alveolar fricative occurs, i.e., when a moraic nasal precedes a voiceless consonant, a nasal or a liquid'.
As far as prenasalized voiceless stops (excluding monomoraic stems) in KiNgome are concerned, this statement does not fit. In addition, if we consider KiNgome [wa] [ $\left.\mathrm{Nt}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{u}}\right] \sigma(2)^{\prime}$ people', then if Maeda's observation for KiMvita also held for KiNgome then we would be required to have forms such as $* *\left[w_{a N}\right] \sigma\left[t_{U}\right] \sigma$ or
**[wヨ] $[\mathrm{N}] \sigma\left[\mathrm{t} h_{m}\right] \sigma$. In (26) more evidence for prenasalized voiceless obstruents is presented.
(26)(a) word-initial

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{hum}_{\text {uma }}$ | (9/10) 'nose' | Nburiza | (9/10) 'grey-hair' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nthara | (9/10) 'rayfish' | Ndama | (9/10) 'calf' |
| Nŏhama | (9/10) 'louse' | Njiws | (9/10) 'pigeon' |
| Nkhuku | (9/10) 'hen' | NguNde | (9/10) 'wild pigeon' |

(b) word-medial

| $\mathrm{chaNp}^{h_{\text {wa }}}$ | (9a/10a) 'shore' | $k^{\text {haNba }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w_{a N t}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | (2) 'peoples' | maNdo | (11) 'fishtrap' |
| -fuNŏha | (V) 'grumble' | -vuNja | (V) 'break' |
| boNkho | (9a/10a) 'hippo' | boNgo | (5) 'brains' |

The phonemic status of the prenasalized voiceless-aspirated stops /Ņ̦ is clearly established in ( $26 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ) above. The prenasalized voicelessaspirated stops (/NSSh/) word-initially mostly occur in gender 9:10 nouns. Furthermore, KiNgome distinguishes a homorganic moraic nasal + stop sequence with a sequence of a moraic nasal + aspirated stops / Nish /and /Sh / , as the data in (27) indicates :
(27)
Nkhutu (9/10) 'nest' Nikhate (3) 'bread' $\mathrm{kh}_{\mathrm{utu}}$ (9a/10a) 'rust'


In addition to (27) above, we can further contrast prenasalized aspirated stops and plain stops as in (28) below:

| $\mathrm{fuNP}^{\text {ha }}$ | (V) | 'bailing' | - fupa | (5) | 'bone' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -puNtha | (V) | 'relax' | -puta | (V) | 'kick' |
| -taNthura | (V) | 'toddling' | -tatura | (V) | 'tear apart' |
| Nthesa | (9/10) | 'groundnut' | -tesa | (V) | 'torture' |
| -baNtha | (V) | 'grind' | bata | (9a/ | a) 'locust ' |


| boNkho $_{0}$ | $(9 / 10)$ | 'hippo' | boko | (5) 'large stick' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Nkh}_{\mathrm{ufa}}$ | $(9 / 10)$ | 'type of bee' | kufa | (V) 'to come' |
| $-k u N h_{\mathrm{u}}$ | (V) | 'defecate' | $\mathrm{kh}_{\mathrm{wtu}}$ | (9a/10a) 'rust' |

This set clearly shows the distinction and distribution of prenasalized aspirated obstruents in relation to plain voiceless and voiced stops. So far; I have concentrated on establishing the distinctiveness of prenasalized aspirated obstruents as found in synchronic KiNgome.

I regard NC (NS +NF) throughout this study as a unitary segment that can be contrasted with NC. The following points are the basis of this argument :
(a) Often when Bantuists have referred to prenasalised obstruents in phoneme inventories, they tend to focus only on asymmetric series of voiced sequences i.e. / NC//. The evidence of onset nasal preceding a prenasalized voiceless stop can be recoverable synchronically in Swahili only in monomoraic stem such as $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{g}$ (9/10)'wax' (see Park 1997: 300-1). However, KiNgome and other peripheral Sabaki members such as KiPokomo and Ekoti ${ }^{33}$ have preserved a series of symmetrical contrasts between / NC/ and / Nçh/ mostly for four places of articulation. The cross-linguistic patterning of N -loss before voiceless obstruents is partly attributed to a phonetic basis resulting from the inherent constraints of the speech mechanism. There are Universalist claims that the preferential deletion of N before voiceless obstruents (but not before) rather than voiced obstruents are supported by a phonetic process of contextual devoicing or by the phonetic effect of obstruent voicelessness on the duration of N (See Hajek 1997: 158 and Fujimura 1977 :115). Fujimura (ibid.) reports that reduction of N before a voiceless consonant may be so extreme that complete loss of the nasal consonant is frequent. He argues that the extreme reduction in duration of nasals before voiceless obstruents is considered here purely as an intermediary largely the result of conflict between the muscle contraction necessary for the articulation of a voiceless obstruent, and the muscle relaxation necessary for velum lowering. N preservation before voiceless obstruents is presented here purely as an intermediary phonological feature only found in

[^10]conservative dialects like KiNgome. Prenasalized voiceless obstruents are no doubt unified segments just like their counterpart prenasalized voiced obtruents. It is the only area where we tentatively suspect that KiPokomo may have left its mark on contemporary KiNgome.
(b) The reason to consider $N+C$ sequences as a unit is provided in Maeda's (2001:172) treatment of KiMvita. She adopted Herbert's (1986) a two-level approach for deriving prenasalized consonants. Herbert (1986: 144) argues that prenasalized obstruents are not present underlyingly. In deep structure there are two independent units ( a nasal plus an oral consonant cluster). Prenasalized obstruents are realised only at a surface/ syllabic level where they are regarded as unitary segments.

However, in order for two underlying segments to be unified, two processes have to take place: creation of homorganicity in articulation and timing adjustments. Homorganicity also involves voicing assimilation in nasal + obstruents sequences. The onset nasals share the voicing category of the obstruents that follow them. By timing adjustments, Herbert (1986: ibid.) adds the following statement: '... we assume that the single crucial process which defines a state of unification is timing adjustment. That is, there can be no question of a unification of components unless the surface complex exhibits a duration which is approximately that accorded to nonsuspect underlying units '.

Maeda (Ibid.) applies Herbert's derivational model for prenasalized consonants to KiMvita. For instance, she claims that the prenasalized stop in the word /taNga/ (N.5) 'sail', has undergone the following stages: (29)

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \text { 'sail' } \\
\text { Stage 1 } & \text { /taNga/ } \\
\text { Stage 2 } & \text { /ta[ }[\mathrm{g}] \mathrm{a} / \\
\text { Stage 3 } & \text { /ta. }[\mathrm{I} \mathrm{~g}] \mathrm{a} / \\
& {\left[\text { ta. }{ }^{\mathrm{I} g \mathrm{ga}]}\right.}
\end{array}
$$

In Stage 1, a nasal and an oral consonant are regarded as distinct segments. In Stage 2, the place assimilation takes place where N assimilates to the place feature of the following consonant. In Stage 3, The two segments, $N$ g / are united as a result of the temporal adjustment, and consequently they are realised as a prenasalized consonant, $\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}\right]$. I suggest that this process also holds for KiNgome.
(c) The decision as to whether to regard $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{C}$ as a unit or a sequence is often a phonological rather than a phonetic matter (Ladefoged and Maddieson 1996: 127). According to Herbert (1986: 161) morphosyntactic information such as class membership is crucial in treating prenasalised obstruents as unitary segments. In line with Herbert's suggestions, I have considered prenasalised obstruents (NC) as unitary segments as found in Class $9 / 10$ noun prefixes in KiNgome. These unitary segments can be distinguished from moraic homorganic nasals as present in other nominal classes or Class $9 / 10$ monomoraic nouns as nominal prefixes as follows:
(30)

NC
$\overline{\mathrm{N}}+\stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}}$

| Nsuka | (9/10) 'peninsula' | Nsusi | (1) 'plaiter' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nthoto | (9/10) 'furrow' | Nithoto | (1) 'child' |
| Nthokosa | (9/10) 'stew' | N thariNbo | (3) 'black tea' |
| Nuino | (9/10) 'maggot' | Nvuvi | (1) 'fisherman' |
| Nk ${ }^{\text {arubu }}$ | (9/10) 'dog' | Nkhe | (1) 'wife' |
| Nburiza | (9/10) 'grey hair' | Nbu | 9/10 'mosquito' |
| Ndwera | (9/10) 'illness' | Ndomo | (3) 'lip' |
| Njara | (9/10) 'hunger' | N于a | (Adv) 'outside' |
| Ngeza | (9/10) 'leprosy | Ngeni | (1) 'guest' |

KiNgome has no distinction between nasal + stop sequences and prenasalised stops. The only N+C clusters, apart from prenasalised stops, are moraic nasal + consonant clusters. This situation calls for the preference of a unitary analysis.

Moreover, just as there is preservation of prenasalized obstruents word-initially, there is a regular presence of prenasalized obstruents wordinternally as exemplified below:
(31)
neNvure (9/10) 'grasshopper'
ňamaNt $h_{0}$ (1a) 'infant'
ruNk ${ }^{h} h_{a}$ (V) 'jump'
rugaNzi (14) 'numbness'
bョNwume (9a) 'springtide'

Examples of moraic nasals preceding obstruents word－internally are the result of the productive derivational processes involving the loss of high vowels following nasals：

$$
\begin{equation*}
k a N \underset{t}{ } h_{w a r e} N_{i} t_{o} t_{o} \text { (go) and take the baby' (N-\& mu-). } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only underived case is：
kipiNguN尹孔ufu (Adj) 'unsettled'

As is evident from the data presented above，KiNgome provides evidence of symmetrical consistency of prenasalized obstruents both word－initially and word－internally positions．
（d）Finally，my argument in favour of considering $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{C}$ as a unitary segment appears to be partly supported by the structure of verb－roots（VR） in KiNgome（and indeed Bantu languages in general）．The canonical structure of the VR is－CVC－（excluding the monosyllabic VR＇s）． Examples are：

| －pang－ | ＇plan＇ |
| :---: | :---: |
| －daNth＿ | ＇hang＇ |
| －noing－ | ＇twist＇ |
| －puNth＿ | ＇wait＇ |
| －ruNkh＿ | ＇jump＇ |
| －baNz－ | ＇hide＇ |
| －riNd－ | ＇watch＇ |
| －raNb－ | ＇lick＇ |
| －fuNとh－ | ＇fight＇ |
| －beN ${ }_{\text {f }}$ | ＇extract＇ |

There is certainly no evidence of any other type of－CVCC－verb－root structure in KiNgome apart from the above if we consider NC above as clusters．The VR structure above clearly supports the preference of the unitary analysis as NC above fit in the same way as simple segments representing VR，such as－som－＇read＇．

It is interesting to note from the above discussion that KiNgome
appears to have preserved an earlier feature in having prenasalised voiceless obstruents whereas the rest of SD members have undergone an innovation and lost the nasal component. Let us now examine the distribution of the prenasalized fricatives in modern KiNgome.

## 2. 1. 3. 2 Prenasalized fricatives (NF) in KiNgome

The nasal component of NF is more prone to loss than the nasal component of NS in various other languages of the world (de Chene 1985, Taylor and Uys 1988) and including Sabaki in general (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993). KiNgome still uses pre-fricative N as a component of a series of prenasalized voiceless and voiced fricatives. However, it is no longer a stable synchronic phenomenon. I present what I consider to be rare cases of NF segments in contrast with $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{F}$ sequences (in both mono- and bi-moraic stems) as follows:
(35)

| NF |  | $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Nsuk} \mathrm{ha}_{\text {a }}$ | (9/10) 'rice' | Nso | (9/10) 'kidney' |
| Nsokora | (9/10) 'peninsula' | Nswaki | (3) 'toothbrush' |
| Nviño | (9/10) 'maggot' | N̦viño | (3) 'wine' |
| Nvura~vura | (9/10) 'rain' | Nzizi | (3) 'root' |
| Nzurura | (9/10) 'dizziness' | Nzi | (9/10) 'house-fly |

As seen above, NF occurs in nouns of Class $9 / 10$ on what I considered as a unitary prenasalised fricative. On the other hand, in monomoraic stems, we witness the nasal onset becoming a moraic nasal and stress bearer, similar to what occurs in the case described by Maeda (2001:121) of another Swahili dialect of KiMvita. The distribution of NF is not confined to word-initial position only, additional evidence for NF is provided in word-medial position, as shown below:
(36)

| (b)word-medial |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| kuNwi | (9a/10a) 'chaff' |
| neNvure | (9a/10a) 'grasshopper' |
| baNvuwa | (5) 'spring-tide' |
| vuNvu | (5) 'fallow' |
| čuNwi | (9a/10a) 'salt |
| ruçaNvu[w] ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | (11) 'a piece of cloth' |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ruganzi } \\
& - \text { fyoNza }
\end{aligned}
$$

'numbness' 'suck'

The above data show prenasalized fricatives (NF) in word-internal position i.e. in other than $9 / 10$ noun class prefixes. I summarise the distribution of NF as follows:

| $N$ | - | + | + | - | + | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $F$ | $f$ | $v$ | $s$ | $\vdots$ | $z$ | $h$ |

In the course of my investigations of the synchronic data of KiNgome, I have not come across cases of the prenasalised fricatives: ${ }^{* *} / \mathrm{Nf} /,{ }^{* *} / \mathrm{NY} /$ and **/Nh/. Perhaps the best-known example of a Bantu language with none of the above gaps for NF is KiNyamwezi (F22), a Bantu language of Western Tanzania, where all the potential six hormoganic nasal fricatives predicted in (37) are found (See Maganga and Schadeberg 1992 : 16-17).

The preferential loss of pre-fricative N , compared to pre-stop N is presumably a result of the articulatory instability of the former (see Hajek 1997:156). Altogether the preservation of NF and NS lead us to consider KiNgome as a conservative peripheral dialect of Swahili. The attestation of a prenasalized voiceless obstruent in Ns represents the perpetuation of a hypothesised transitional feature linking protoforms of PSA and CB and later innovated features.

### 2.1. 4 Nasals.

KiNgome exhibits only one series of simple voiced nasals appearing in four places of articulations namely labial, alveolar, palatal and velar i.e. $/ m, n, \quad K$, and $n /$ respectively. Additionally we have pre-obstruent $N$ as part of prenasalised obstruents as discussed above. Simple nasals may also manifest themselves as moraic nasals. Neither non-moraic nor moraic nasals have any restriction in their occurrence in terms of wordinitial and word-internal environments. This section will confine itself to the discussion of such nasals. The nasal portion as a component of a prenasalized obstruent has been extensively covered in $\$ 2.1 .3 .1$ and §2.1.3.2.

## 

An attempt is made here to illustrate the phonemic status of simple nasals in KiNgome, I shall present in ( $38 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ) the contrast in four places of articulation. İ include two series of non-homorganic initial moraic nasals + voiceless and voiced (implosive) stops in order to demonstrate that the plain nasals and prenasalized stops are phonologically distinct. Words illustrating nasals and stops contrasting in intervocalic position are also presented.
(38)(a)

| muwa | (3) 'cane sugar' | buwa | (5) 'stalk' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -nawa | $(\bar{V})$ 'wash hand'' | dawa | (5) 'medicine' |
| nama | $(\overline{9} / 10)$ 'meat' | Njama | (5) 'conspiracy' |
| joNda | $(9 / 10)$ 'dry fish' | -goNda | (V) 'thinning' |

(b)

| $k^{\text {hama }}$ | (9) | pe of | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Nba}$ | (9̆a/iŎa) 'rope |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wino | (ii) | 'ink' | $w i$ to | (ii) | 'call |
| - fuña | (V) | 'pinch' | -fuNsha | (V) |  |
| -boпойa | (V) | 'destruc | doNgo | (5) | 'soil' |

The data in $38(\mathrm{a}) \&(\mathrm{~b})$ establish the distinctiveness of four simple nasals in word-initial and word-internal position. There is no voiceless counterpart of the voiced nasals except as a component of prenasalised voiceless obstruents (occurring as homorganic voiceless nasals (No ).

In addition to simple nasals, KiNgome is predominantily characterised by the presence of moraic homorganic nasais, and this will form the subject of the following sub-section.

## 2.1. í. 2 Moraic nasai

Whilst KiMvita (as well as SSW) is recently reported by Maeda (2001: 12212̌3) to have two moraic nasals; a non-homorganic $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and a homorganic / $\mathrm{N}-/$ / KiNgome has predominantly the homorganic moraic nasal $/ \bar{N} /$, as exemplified in the following alternations:

| $m \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{th}_{\mathrm{u}} \sim \mathrm{Net}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | (1)'person' |
| :---: | :---: |
| munarani = Nnaran | (3)'tower' |
| wanunu[w]a ~ waN̦пu[w]a | hey have boug |

Patterns of synchronic alternation of mu $\sim N_{1}$ and nu $\sim N_{1}$ in KiNgome suggest that the basic forms are mu- and nu-. Moraic nasal $N$ was a relatively recent result of mu and nu syncopation, though these may still be retained in a carefully formal speech. This optional $u$-deletion and the consequent moraicity of the homorganic nasal commonly occurs in very rapid speech.

We can schematically present differences between KiNgome and SSW in terms of derivation of mu- or nu- to moraic nasal as follows: (40)

| KiNgome | SSW |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $m u C V$ | $m u C V$ |  |
| $m C V$ | $m C V$ | (1) syncopation of mu |
| $m \mathrm{CV}$ | $m_{1} C V$ | (2) moraicity of nasal segment |
| NCV | --- | (3) Nasal homorganicity |
| NCV | $m_{1} C V$ | (4) Output |

KiNgome differs from SSW in their realisation of the moraic nasal. SSW has only a moraic nasal which has retained its phonetic place features and does not assimilate e.g. in voicing, to the following consonant (C). The KiNgome moraic nasal goes further to assimilate to the place feature of the following consonant.

The moraic homorganic N derived from the full form /mu-/ (as found in Class 1, 3 and 18 , and as 3 sg OM) is a phenomenon which is also found in the neighbouring Bantu language of KiMatumbi (Odden 1996). Odden (ibid.) terms it Mu-type alternations. KiNgome lacks the intermediate stage with $/ \mathrm{m} / /$. This may represent unexpectedly strong influences from P10 and P20 languages. KiNgome does not distinguish N resulting from the 3 sg OM / mu-/ in kaN thware 'take him' and N resulted from Isg OM ni- in kaNt $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{war}} \mathrm{Cy}[\mathrm{y}]$ e 'take him for me'. They are both realised as a homorganic moraic nasal / N/. With the exception of KiPemba and KiVumba the rest of Swahili dialects have a moraic nasal $m$ where KiNgome and those other dialects mentioned have $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$.
More evidence of moraic nasals are found in the following cases:

| Nfo | (V) 'come here' |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nfa | (Adv) 'outside' |
| Nge | $(9 / 10) \quad$ (scorpion) |

Here KiNgome has initial moraic nasals mostly attributed to the monomoraic stems＇requirements（See Park 1997 and Maeda 2001）． Additionally，there are underived cases of homorganic moraic nasals word－internally such as：

```
naNna [na]\sigma[N]_\sigma[na]\sigma 'how'
```



```
                                    ([]\sigma indicates a syllable boundary)
```


## 2．1．4．3 Nasal Palatalization

KiNgome along with other Swahili dialects of KiChifundi， KiMakunduchi and KiTumbatu ${ }^{14}$ exhibits a common form of a palatalization triggered by high vowels $/ i /$ ．We are particularly concerned to report on an alveolar nasal palatalization triggered by a high vowel $/ i / /$ ．Disregarding the nature of the initial segment of a stem or of a morpheme boundary，an alveolar nasal is palatalised in the environment of a following high vowel／i／and occasionally by／e／．The palatalization of an alveolar nasal in Sabaki in general is commonly found in nouns of Class 9／10 in V－stems such as：

| ňufa | \＆＊ni－ufa（10）＇crack＇ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ruNgo | $<$＊ni－uNgo（10）＇winnowing tray＇ |
| nuso | $<* n i-u s o \quad$（10）＇face＇ |
| ňuzi | $<* n i-m z i \quad$（10）＇thread＇ |
| noNdore | ＜ hi －oNdore（V）＇remove it（for me） |

KiNgome provides a further dimension to nasal palatalization as illustrated in（44）below：

| $\mathrm{ni}+\mathrm{C}$－stem |  | ni + V－stem |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| niNkhut－a | ＇I found him＇ | 枵［y］ona | ＇I saw＇ |
| niNphata | ＇I got him＇ | nit［y］erekere | ＇carry it for me＇ |
| п̆ipa | ＇give me＇ | 枵［y］sNbirms | ＇I was told＇ |
| 枵retara | ＇give it to me＇ | kanticy］okeke | ＇heat it for me＇ |
| nitsomere | ＇read it for me＇ | ňi［y］eNda | ＇I went＇ |
| ňeNda | ＇go＇ |  |  |

[^11]In addition to the data in (44), KiNgome increases the impression that a high vowel following an alveolar nasal triggers the palatalization of that nasal. Whether the underlying form $\mathrm{ni}^{-}$is followed by a C-stem or Vstem does not affect the palatalization process. The occurrence of nasal palatization nit is mainly confined in Class $9 / 10$ prefix and the 1 sg Subject and object markers. Further evidences of the above cases of nasal palatalization are noted in Rural Zanzibar Swahili dialects by Whiteley (1959: 52) where he records n before a C-stem (as well as a V-stem) . E.g. ñi-vata 'I have obtained'; ñi-bomoo 'I have destroyed; ři-teke 'I have drawn' e.t.c. Additionally, Chum (1994) provides numerous examples of ni - from KiMakunduchi as follows:

| ňiloso samaki wamili | 'I have caught two fish' |
| :--- | :--- |
| tangu ňivyolamka | 'since I woke up' |
| nimka akatwaa | 'I gave him and he accepted it' |
| tastasi nivokukwa | 'the paper I gave you' |
| hamñono | 'you don't see me' |
| nuyolamka nono tii | 'when I woke up I saw darkeness' |
| nivuae faje ? | 'what shall I wear ?' |

It is also common to hear a palatalized nasal followed by a low vowel through a process of vowel coalescence as in rondore \& ri-ondore 'remove it for me'. The process of nasal palatisation before high vowel is a conditional one, An alveolar nasal is not palatalised when followed by other vowels.
(46)

| kinoro | (7) 'sharpener' |
| :--- | :--- |
| nunu | (1a) 'mother' |
| nanasi | (9a) 'pineapple' |
| nane | (9a) 'eight' |

More stricly, the synchronic distribution of the vocabulary list shows that initial na, no, nu are present:
(47)

| nunu | (1a) 'mother' |
| :--- | :--- |
| nanasi | (9a) 'pineapple' |
| nono | (V) fat |
| nawa | (V) insult |

nane (9a) 'eight'
but not initials $n i$ or ne. On the other hand, ni occurs elsewhere in the word, e.g rukuni, kyani, mahani, etc. It is important to note here that the enviromment by which nasal palatalisation (before high vowel) occurs is stricly the initial position.

Altogether, $\mathrm{ri}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - seems to be an areal feature linking KiNgome and other neighbouring rural SD (excluding KiUnguja) of KiMakunduchi and KiTumbatu.

### 2.1.5 Glides

KiNgome exhibits two types of onset glides /w/and/y/. Glides have not generally been regarded as consonants; they have been regarded rather as semi-vowels, or more accurately high vocoids. Since glides regularly occur where all non-syllabic contoids occur, i.e., as onsets, we consider the two categories to belong to the class of consonants in KiNgome. Their phonemic status is established by the following minimal set.

## (a) word-initial

yepi
(Dem.) 'which?'
wepi
(A) 'where?'
$y a N g u \quad$ (Poss.) 'mine' waNgu
(1/3) 'mine'
(b) word-medial

| haya | (A) 'shame' | hawa | (2) 'these' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kaya | (9a/10a) 'home' | kawa | (5) 'food cover' |

Glides in the above examples represent a syllabic structure GV, where glides reside in onset position. Glides may also be found to follow consonants in CGV syllabic structure. The sequence CG has had a number of interpretations as far as Swahili dialects are concerned. There is unanimous acceptance of the orthographic sequence /ny/[ $n$ ] as a unitary segment (represented as $/ \bar{K} /$ throughout this study). Recently Maeda (2001:135) established /mw-7 (phonetically realized as [ŋŋm] ), /fy/,/vy/ in addition to /ny/ function as unit phonemes in KiMvita. In this thesis, though we do not wish to dwell on the contentious issue of CG as sequences vs unit segment; we propose here to present along with the plain (simple) segments (I wish to call Set 1) certain complex segments (which could be regarded as Set 2). Thus I present in (49) below an inventory of Set 2 complex segments that constitute CG clusters in KiNgome.

Set 2 complex segments: Inventory of CG clusters in KiNgome
(a) $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{w}$
(b) C+y

| pu | tw | ¢¢ | kw | PY | ty | ky |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\breve{c}_{\text {¢ }}^{4}$ | khw |  |  |  |
| bw | dw | j ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | gw |  |  |  |
| Nbw | Ndw | Njw | Ngw |  |  |  |
| $f$ | sw | $\Xi w$ |  | fy |  |  |
| vw | zw |  |  | vy |  |  |
|  | nzw |  |  |  |  |  |
| mw | nu | num |  | my | $\check{n}$ |  |
|  | rum |  |  |  | ry |  |

The inventory above shows a division into $C+w$ and $\dot{C}+y$ sets. The $C+w$ clusters are found in the environment of prefixes of $\mathrm{Cl} .1,3,15,17$, and 18. These Class prefixes have an underlying $/-\mathrm{u} /$ nucleus which becomes $/ \mathrm{w} /$ in the environment of a following V-stem.
Other instances of $C+w$ are derived from the insertion of the passive marker -w- :

```
kat-w-a > katwa 'be cut'
    kos̆w-a > kos̆wa 'be washed'
```

And the rest have to be seen as part of the underived lexical stem in nouns, verbs, or adverbs. These are the three major sources of $C+w$ sequences in KiNgome and in Swahili in general.

C+y clusters are formed as a result of prefixes of $\mathrm{Cl} .4,7,8$ (mi-, ki-,
vi-) coming into contact with V -stems (except if it is a high front vowel). Others are due to the insertion of the causative $/ y-/$ e.g. ogof-$y$-a 'cause to fear'. The rest are found within noun, verb, and adverb stems. Phonologically I suspect that any member of the set in (49) may drift into Set 1. A priori, there is no phonetic rationale to regard, say $/ \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{l}$, $/ \mathrm{fy} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{my} /$, and possibly $/ \mathrm{mw} /$ only as unit phonemes and leave the others out. This thesis proposes that the preference for simple syllable structures in KiNgome leaves no explanation for CG cluster other than to regard them as unit segments.

In addition, South KiNgome displays alternation $\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{g}$ in the following
restricted environment:

> South KiNgome

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yoNthe } \sim g o N t h_{e} \text { 'all' } \\
& \text { yaNgu } \sim g a N g u \text { 'my' }
\end{aligned}
$$

It seems that $\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{g}$ alternation is morphological conditioned by being confined in the environment of Class 6 dependent prefixes only in KiNgome (see also 341). The phonological conditioned alternation is noted in KiMwani and KiUnguja (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993:107).

## 2. 1. 6. Rhotic

KiNgome, unlike other Swahili dialects, does not exhibit both a trill and a lateral phoneme; instead it has an alveolar tap [r]. For convenience, I have used $/ \mathrm{r} /$ to represent this in the transcription throughout this study. There is no restriction in its occurrence, it occurs both in word/steminitial and medial position. We establish its phonemic status by analogous pairs such as the following:
(a) word-initially
-ruma
(V) 'bite'
-nuna
(V) 'keep quiet with a grudge'
(b) word-internally
-vura (V) 'put off' -vunu (V) 'harvest'
2. 1. 6. 1 Allophonic variation of $/ \mathrm{r} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{in}$ KiNgome

While most of my informants in the Northern variety of KiNgome treat $/ \mathrm{r} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ as two distinct phonemes, speakers in South KiNgome often appear to alternate $/ \mathrm{y} /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ word internally:
(53)

North KiNgome South KiNgome

| sarame | sayama ~sarama | 'piece (greeting)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pare | paye $\sim$ pare | 'over there' |
| muremure | mbyemuye $\sim$ muremure | 'in there' |
| Nguruwe | Nguyume $\sim$ Nguruwe | $(9 / 10)$ 'pig' |

The alternation of $/ \mathrm{y} /$ versus $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in South KiNgome indicates the way towards which KiNgome $/ r /$ is heading, that is $r$-loss through $r>y$ $=\varnothing$.

Let us now move to our next stage: the description of the details of vowels.

## 2. 2 Vowels

This section focuses on the KiNgome vocalic system and the process of vowel harmony. I begin by looking at the vowel inventory and the distribution of its members. This will be followed by a consideration of vowel height harmony (VHH).

## 2. 2. 1 The KiNgome Vowel system

KiNgome has a five-vowel system, I have noted few isolated cases of vowel length (see 70c). Generally all vowels occur in CV syllables and some occur in onsetless V syllables; The inventory of KiNgome vowel phonemes is given below:

Table 111: KiNgome Vowel Inventory,

|  | Front |  | round |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High | i |  | $\mathbf{u}$ |
| Mid | e |  | o |
| Low |  | a |  |

As noted in the inventory, the five vowels can be divided into three subsets:

Set 1 - high vowels: $i$ and $u$
Set 2 -mid vowels : e and o
Set 3-low vowel : a
I establish their phonemic status through the following minimal pairs: (54)

| -beNda | (V) | 'request' | baNda | (V) | 'shed' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -beba | (V) | 'carry' | boba | (9a/10a) 'typeof fish' |  |
| NkheNga | (9/10) 'palm fruit nut' | NkhiuNga | $(9 / 10)$ | 'eel' |  |
| giza | (5) 'darkness' | -geza | (V) | 'imitate' |  |
| mwani | (3) 'seaweed' | mwana | (1) | 'child' |  |
| noNga | $(9 / 10)$ 'bile' | noNgo | $(9 / 10)$ | 'trunk' |  |
| -vara | (V) 'dress' | -vura | (V) | 'undress' |  |


| -tosa | (V) | 'sunk' | -tusa | (V) | 'try luck' |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| thisa | (9/10) | 'nine' | -tosa | (V) | 'drawn |
| -tira | (V) | 'putin' | -tura | (V) | 'put down' |

Examination of the data I have collected in the field has suggested that the vowel $/ o /$ does not appear after the labial voiced fricative $/ v /$ in KiNgome.

We now find it necessary to look into the possible constraints on vowel combination in KiNgome.

### 2.2.1.1 The distribution of vowels in noun stem with polysyllabic structure

KiNgome, exhibits all possible combinations of vowel patterning (sets) in noun stems having a polysyllabic structure without any constraints. (55)

| bedani | (5) | 'curly hair' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| koromido | (5) | 'throat |
| burudeNge | (1a) | 'uninformed person' |
| beNkhani | (9a) | ) 'fountain' |
| herine | (9a/ | ) 'ear ring' |
| finamo | (9a) | ) 'a ripened stage of pad |

However, there are combinatorial constraints in the distribution of vowels in polysyllabic verb stems with the form -(C)VCVC-.

## 2. 2. 1.2 The co-occurrence restriction on vowels in verb stems with a <br> (C)VCVC- structure

KiNgome allows the following patterns:

| $\mathrm{CiCiC}-$ | -kiNkhis- | (V) | 'heartbeat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CiCaC}-$ | -fin̆aNg- | (V) | 'mould (clay)' |
| $\mathrm{CiCuC}-$ | -simur- | (V) | 'uproot' |
| $\mathrm{CeCeC}-$ | -reNdeNd- |  | 'tete-z-tete' |
| $\mathrm{CeCaC}-$ | -peNdan- | (V) | 'love each other' |
| $\mathrm{CoCeC}-$ | -Kober- | (V) | 'drink' |
| $\mathrm{CaCaC}-$ | - ${ }^{\text {Nagat- }}$ |  | 'assist' |
| $\mathrm{CuCaC}-$ | -vugaz- |  | 'close (door)' |
| $\mathrm{CoCoC}-$ | -bonoy- | (V) | 'complain' |


| $\mathrm{CuCuC}-$ | -fufum- | (V) 'swell' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CoCaC}-$ | -domas- | (V) 'pinch' |
| $\mathrm{CuCiC}-$ | -Ngutik- | (V) 'tremble' |
| $\mathrm{CaClC}-$ | -arik- | (V) 'invite' |
| $\mathrm{CaCuC}-$ | - taNthum- | (V) 'tear' |

Noted in (56) are the accepted combinations of vowels in verb stems. Thorough examination reveals that generally the vowels occur in two mutually exclusive sets of asymmetric vowel height harmony (VHH). In these sets, there is agreement in the value for the height feature, where both vowels are identical their feature specifications, as the data in (56) reveals. The high mid vowels /i/ and / $u$ / form one set while Set 2 with mid vowels /e/ and /o/forms the other. Set 3 that consists of the low central vowel /a/, is regarded as neutral i.e. it does not effect combinatorial constraints. Unlike in noun stems, in (57) below we note the restriction in co-occurrence on vowels in polysyllabic verb stems. The following patterns do not occur in my data base.

$$
\begin{align*}
& * \mathrm{CiCeC}-  \tag{57}\\
& * \mathrm{CiCoC}- \\
& * \mathrm{CeClC}- \\
& * \mathrm{CeCoC}- \\
& { }^{*} \mathrm{CoCiC}- \\
& * \mathrm{CoCuC}- \\
& * \mathrm{CuCoC}- \\
& { }^{*} \mathrm{CuCeC}- \\
& { }^{*} \mathrm{CaCeC}- \\
& { }^{*} \mathrm{CaCoC}
\end{align*}
$$

These are 10 impermissible vowel patterns in verb stems in KiNgome. (and indeed in Sabaki) in general. Apart from *CeCoC-, * CaCeC - and * CaCoC -, the rest of the patterns listed above reveal that any combination of Set 1 and Set 2 in the same stem violates the harmonic patterning. This forms the basis of the vowel height harmony alternations in KiNgome and indeed in other Bantu languages. We shall demonstrate vowel height harmony in the following section.

## 2. 2. 2 Vowel Height Harmony (VHH) in KiNgome

KiNgome, a 5 V dialect of Swahili, observes among others, what Hyman (1999: 236 ) regards as 'the canonical asymmetric VHH system of Bantu languages' where:
(a) front height harmony : $i>e /\{e, o\} C_{-}$
(b) round height harmony: $u=0 /\{0\} C_{-}$

The front vowel $/ i /$ is replaced by $/ e /$ when preceded by root vowels [ $e$, o), while the round vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is realised as $/ \mathrm{o} /$ when preceded by the root vowel/o/. This can be mostly be observed in the extension suffixes with the form -VC (V being -i or -u ), although KiNgome also shows one of the rare (in Bantu) cases of a prefix vowel harmony process.

## 2. 2. 2. 1 The front VHH in KiNgome

This is a process where /i/ is lowered to /e/ when preceded by the mid vowels $\{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}\}$ when they appear in the root:
(a) $\mathrm{paNg}-\mathrm{ir}-\mathrm{a}$ 'arrange for'. fictir-g 'hide for'. tuNg-ir-a 'string together for'
(b) com-er-a 'burn for'.厄aNg-er-a 'clear bush for'.

The vowels $-i$ in $-i C$ extension suffixes in (58a) retain their height when preceded by root vowels $\{i, u, a\}$ whereas, the form $-i C$ changes into a form with the mid feature $-e C$ when preceded by mid vowels $\{a, 0\}$ in the verb root. The $-i C$ extensions involved comprise the applicative -ir, causative $-i \check{s}$, stative $-i k-$ and passive $-i w$.

## 2. 2.2.2 The Round VHH in KiNgome

Similar to the lowering of the front high vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$ to $\mathrm{mid} / \mathrm{e} /$, the round high vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is lowered to mid vowel $/ \mathrm{o} /$ in the environment of $/ \mathrm{o} /$, but not in the environment of $/ \mathrm{e} /$.
(a) PaNg -ur-a 'knock off' fič-ur-ョ 'reveal / dispose'
peNb-ur-a 'sift' fum-ur-a 'unweave'


As noted in (59a) no changes occur with the extension -uC when preceded by root vowels $\{a, i, e, u\}$. In (59b) the round vowel $-u$ in $-u C$ is only replaced by -oC when preceded by $/ \mathrm{o} /$ as a root vowel. This has been seen as the basis of the asymmetric VHH in Bantu languages (see Kula 2002: 43).

## 2. 2. 2. 3 On Prefix VHH in KiNgome

The process of VHH in large number of agglutinating Bantu languages has been assumed to manifest itself through spreading of the dominant root vowel features to the recessive vowels at suffix position. This leads to Hyman (1999: 238) to assert that 'Canonical VHH (in contiguous canonical VHH area) does not apply to prefix vowels'. However, Hyman (ibid.: 240) elaborates that exceptional cases to the above rule, where the dominant root vowels target prefixes, is found in some Bantu languages outside this contiguous canonical VHH area. He cites the case of Londo A.11, Bakweri A.22, Nen C.71, Gunu A.62, Koyo C.24, Bobangi D.32, Mongo C.61, Tetela C.71, Kela C.72, Ombo C.76, Budu D.35, Logooli E.41, and Gusii E.42. These languages which happen to have 7 -vowels or more are mainly found in areas classified by Guthrie (1967-71) as Zone A, C, D, and E. Let us cite one example of prefix VHH in Gunu that represents cases outside 'canonical area', Hyman (2001: 158) reports ma- ~ mo- Class 6 alternation as conditioned by a FV -o (otherwise ma-) :
(60)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / \text { ma-lIp-o/ }>\text { mo-lsg-o 'adornment' (cf. len-a 'adorn') } \\
& / \text { ma-fit-o }>\text { mo-fito 'lead' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The presentation of these exceptions excluded Bantu languages (mainly with 5- vowel systems) found within the Central, Southern and Eastern Bantu areas i.e. canonical VHH area. This subsection presents case of KiNgome which happens to counterexample the Hyman's rule of prefixal VHH in contiguous areas. KiNgome's data is supported by Ikalanga S. 60, Old Chichewa N.31b, and Makonde P. 10 which are all found within the Canonical VHH area.

Examination of synchronic KiNgome data reveal the following cases:
(a)

| ku-fama | 'to defecate' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k u-b i n-i$ | 'to cultivate ' |
| $k u-f u N k k_{-a}$ | 'to hoe' |
| $k u-v u d u r-a$ | 'to backbite' |
| $k w-i n u r-a$ | 'to lift' |

(b)
ko-mer-a mififi
ko-sem-э-je ?
ko-peNd-a waNth
ko-nor-a taNbi
(c)

```
ko-kweNd-a-pi?
ko-teNd-a-je ko?
```

where has he gone?
'what are you doing there?

Noted in (61a) ku- prefix remains as it is when it precedes the root vowels $\{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{u}\}$ but is replaced by ko- in (61b) when it appears before the root vowels $\{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}\}$. In (61c), the ko- prefix is the alternate of the $2 \mathrm{sg} \mathrm{SM} \mathrm{ku-;} \mathrm{and}$ this supports the claim for prefixal VHH in KiNgome. Comparatively, it is interesting to note that certain indication of ko- followed by root vowels $\{\mathrm{e}$, o\} appears in 'old' Chichewa data. Marten (1996: 69) provides the following examples from the Dictionary of the Nyanja Language by Scott and Hetherwick(1929), which I interpret as a cognate of ko- followed by $\{e, o\}$ :
khonyerera 'to turn or curl'
khonyola
'to break off, from a stalk- as a banana'
kokola
'to rake, gather together'

It is clear from the provided gloss in (62) that ko- or kho- are infinitive forms. It may be the case that the $\mathrm{ku}-/ \mathrm{ko}$ alternation is no longer a productive process in present-day Chichewa (p.c Sam Mchombo). However, on the basis of the data in (62) and more to follow, I argue that the presence of ko- emphasises the notion that a ku-/ko- alternation has been an active process in the past. Another striking piece of material
from the Collection for a Handbook of the Makonde Language by Steere (1876: 39-40) further substantiates my claim:
(a)

| ku-mbat-an-a | 'to embrace' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k u-m b i l-a$ | 'to drink' |
| hi-ku-kumb-il-e | 'I did not touch you' |

(b)

| ko-bod-ya | 'to cause to bruise' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ko-leh-ya | 'to hold it' |
| ko-to-pal-a | 'to be pretty' |

Again in (63a) ku- remains ku- when followed by root vowels $\{a, i, u\}$ and in (63b) we see ku- realised as ko- when governed by the mid vowels $\{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}\}$. Other prefix VHH cases are found in the account of Ikalanga (S.60) by Mathangwane (1999: 292-295):
(a) $\mathrm{ku}-/ \mathrm{ko}-\quad$ alternation in Ikalanga
kúlúmúa $\quad$ 'rise in large numbers'
kwanyula 'break off maize cob from stalks'
kwégula 'get old'
kubás 'set hurt'
kuligwa 'be in advance stage of pregnancy'
(b)

| konesa | 'cause to be difficult' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kotokョ | 'arrive' |
| kókórobhéna | 'corrugate' |
| kómbéla | 'help or join in a fight' |
| koobedze | 'kill an animal already ailing' |
| kólómola | 'dismantle' |
| kokonola | 'remove something stuck onto some surface' |

As we note in (64a), ku-is unaffected by nuclei $\{i, a, u\}$ as found in the verb roots. In (64b), ku-is realised as ko- when followed by the governing nuclei $\{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}\}$ in the verb roots.

The restriction that prefix VHH applies only in certain contiguous

Bantu areas is here counterexampled by data from KiNgome and some sorrounding $P$ and $S$ languages. Since no Sabaki member other than KiNgome attests prefix VHH harmony, it is safer to say that this is an areal feature rather than a retained feature. It may have probably been an influence of Makonde into KiNgome. We are not certain that that trend will continue, but there are signs in KiNgome that both ku- and koviolate the expected harmonies as the following examples illustrate:

| ku-deheni | 'caulking' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ko-rwara | 'to fall sick' |
| ku-somera | 'to read for' |
| ku-boNthera mafi | 'to fetch water' |
| ko-figaNba | 'he is boosting himself' |
| ko-kwitau-s | 'you are being called' |

Is this a case of reanalysis? Does this data suggest that $k u-/ k o$ alternation is no longer an active vowel harmony process? A possible scenario is that the exceptions in (65) may represent a breakdown of the process under the influence of SSW.

I shall finalise the description of VHH in KiNgome by reporting the intriguing cases I have found in the data that would challenge the apparently established fact that $/-a /$ in extension suffixes such $/-a n /$ 'the reciprocal' or $/-\exists \mathrm{m} /$ 'the contactive' do not participate in the vowel harmony process as found in most Bantu ${ }^{15}$ languages (see Marten 1996: 65-66; Hyman 1999: 238; and Kula 2002: 42). As far as the -an extension is concerned there is a general adherence to the noted regularity in KiNgome as well. However, I have noted the following exceptional cases that involve the -am stative extension, as the following examples show:

```
dek-em-ez-3 'slackening' (c.f. SSW dek-ez-a'slackening')
zod-om-ar-a 'become ripe'
guNd-um-uk-ョ 'rise up'
gaNd-am-an-a 'to be attached to something'
```

[^12]Although I have not found any examples of -im in the data I have gathered, I consider the above cases as evidence that the -a suffix in -am may also be involved in the spreading of the value of the root vowels to the affixes attached to it. There are also vivid signs that the neighbouring KiMwera (Harries 1950: 79) has reciprocal, contactive and statives suffixes in which $-\mathrm{aC} \sim \mathrm{eC}$ as governed by the root vowels as in the following examples:

| ilend-en-e | 'they are alike' |
| :--- | :--- |
| inem-et-e | 'they are stuck' |
| ipind-im-ele | 'they are crooked' |
| ieg-em-e | 'they are stuck fast' |
| kang-am-ala | 'be in a hurry' |

As these examples show, KiNgome and other Bantu languages along the southern coast of Tanzania are beginning to reveal some peculiar characteristics that challenge some of the established generalisations in Bantu studies summarised in the canonical VHH rules (Hyman 1999: 238), namely, that:
(i) Canonical VHH does not apply to /a/.
(ii) Canonical VHH does not apply to prefix vowels

Additionally, we may ask whether VHH applies to the final vowel (FV) morpheme in KiNgome? The following section attempts to provide the answer.

### 2.2.2.4 Final vowel copying (VC).

KiNgome has joined other non-Unguja SD's in displaying a unique characteristic where the final vowels (FV) mirror the verb root vowels.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ni-wa-\{on\}-o woñenekeana } & \text { 'I saw them terrified' }  \tag{68}\\
k a-\{N \text { Nom }\}-o \text { Nsips } & \text { 'he has pierced my vein' } \\
\text { wisu wy-\{aNguk\}-u } & \text { 'knives have fallen' } \\
k h a t e ~ k a-r i-\{p i k\}-i ~ n a n i ? ~ & \text { 'who has baked bread?' } \\
k u-m u u-\{i b i r\}-i \text { nani? } & \text { 'who have you stolen from? } \\
\text { si-yi-\{pet }\}-e & \text { 'I have not received it' } \\
\text { si-\{fug\}-u miye } & \text { 'I do not keep (cattle).' }
\end{array}
$$

The cases in (68) cannot be said to fall within the description of the vowel height harmony (VHH) process as we have discussed it earlier in this section. These are typical instances of a regressive vowel-copying process (VC) where the features of the root vowels may replace those of the basic FV's $\{i, e, a\}$. The distiction between VHH and VC is clearly presented in the grammatical description of the South Western Bantu language of Herero (R.30) by Möhlig et. al (2002: 20-21). All five vowels can be copied. They are regularly found in the non-extended verb stems and associated with anterior aspect. Further discussion of the VC in relation to tense and aspect will be undertaken in Chapter 4.

### 2.3 The syllable inventory in KiNgome

KiNgome, generally, has a CV (light) syllable structure. I have also observed in my data moraic nasal ( N ) and onset-less V syllables which according to one version of moraic theory, would be seen as unsyllabified for failing to adhere to the minimal conditions for a syllable i.e., it must comprise at least a consonant and a vowel (Maeda 2001: 65). In addition, CVV is tolerated in the form of a diphthong and few cases of long vowels. There are few notable cases of closed syllables in the form of CVN derived through vowel syncopation. CVC is also found in non-native words (especially arabic loanwords). However, these closed syllables do not conform to a strictly-syllable structure of KiNgome. I present and exemplify the syllable structure in KiNgome as follows:
(a) Basic CV structure

| i | CV | $[f u]{ }_{\sigma}$ | 'die' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ii | CGV | [fyod ${ }_{\sigma}[\mathrm{Nza}]_{\sigma}$ | 'suck' |
| iii | NCV | [Nbu] ${ }_{\sigma}\left[z^{i}\right]_{\sigma}$ | 'goat' |
|  |  | $[\text { wa }]_{\sigma}\left[\mathrm{N} \mathrm{th}_{\mathbf{u}}\right]_{\sigma}$ | 'people' |
| iv | NCGV |  | 'meadow' |

(b) Moraic nasals and onset-less vowel

| N | [ N$]_{\sigma}\left[\mathrm{t}^{\circ}\right]_{\sigma}\left[\mathrm{ta}_{0}\right]_{\sigma}$ | 'child' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N loblgelo | 'scorpion' |
| V | [a] $]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{fag}]_{\sigma}$ | 'type of paddy seeds' |
|  | $[e]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{ka}]_{\sigma}$ | 'stay' |

(c) Long vowels and diphthongs

| V V | $[a]_{\sigma}[\text { faa }]_{\sigma}$ | 'type of paddy seeds' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [maa] ${ }_{0}[\text { fya }]_{\sigma}$ | 'cooking stones' |
|  | [ma][fii][ya] | 'Mafia' |
|  | $[b u]_{\sigma}\left[r a i d^{\text {a }}\right]_{\sigma}[y a]_{\sigma}$ | 'agree' |
|  | [mpau $]_{\sigma}[\text { wo }]_{\sigma}$ | 'period of Spring Tide' |

As can be seen in ( $69 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ), KiNgome like other Bantu languages, exhibits a fairly limited range of basic syllable types. Three syllable types are seen in examples above: CV, V (including a moraic N), which count as 'light' and CVV (long vowel and diphthong) which is considered as 'heavy'. C or moraic N does not occur in utterance-final position. Onset-less $V$ occurs only in a word-initial position. Examination of the light CV structure reveals that the content of $C$ does not necessarily confine itself to a single segments. We can interpret $C$ as constituting a single onset $C$, or a complex onset $\stackrel{N C}{N C G}$ or $\stackrel{C}{\mathrm{NG}}$ as exemplified in 69 a(ii-iv). Similarly, V may constitute a single nucleus V as the case in 69a reveals, but becomes a complex nuclei VV (long vowel and diphthong) in 69c. These complex nuclei are to be regarded as bimoraic syllables. Thus, KiNgome has both monomoraic and bimoraic syllables, similar to KiMvita or SSW which have been argued to contain both mono-moraic and bimoraic syllables (see Maeda: 2001: 153).

Apart from the basic syllable structure above, KiNgome has a sort of phonological processes which disrupt unmarked syllable structure to marked types (vowel syncope) which lead to realisation of closed syllables in the form of CVC and CVN and interesting cases of NNV. Let us look at the following examples in 70 (a\&b).
(70)

## Optional Closed syllables

(a) CVC and CVN

| kasikazi --> <br> matirai ---> | $\left[k \mathbf{a s}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right]_{\sigma}\left[k h_{a}\right]_{\sigma}\left[z_{i}\right]_{\sigma}$ [aeti] ${ }^{[r a i]}$ | 'northwind' 'eastwind' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kamutware ---> | [kaN $]_{G}\left[\mathrm{t} \mathrm{h}_{\text {Wa }}\right]_{G}[\mathrm{re}=]_{O}$ | 'go and grab him!' |
| mukuta ---> | [ñ $\left.N_{1}\right]_{\sigma}\left[k^{h_{u t a}^{*}}\right]_{\sigma}$ | 'I found him/her' |
| ňimupata ---> |  | 'I got him/her' |
| rufuvunma --> | $[\mathrm{ru}]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{fu}]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{vuN}]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{ma}]_{\sigma}$ | 'lying by side' |

(b) NNV syllable

| namuna ---> | $[\mathrm{na}]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{Nna}]_{\sigma}$ | 'how' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wanunuwa --> | $[\mathrm{wa}]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{Nnu}]_{\sigma}[\mathrm{wa}]_{\sigma}$ | 'you are buying' |

Examination of the syllable types in (70a) indicates that moraic consonants (including the labial nasal) are not by themselves syllables (as in 70b), but form part of a syllable in coda position. Such syllable types also ought to be considered as heavy syllables. There is also a clear difference between the source of CVC and CVN in KiNgome. Data reveals that CVC syllables are found in non-native words whereas CVN is derived from a moraic nasals in word-internal position (after vowel syncopation). This moraic nasals tend to adjoin the preceding constituent in the coda position. As far as the NNV syllable type in (70b) is concerned, instead of a moraic nasal in the word-internal position adjoining the preceding constituent, it is attracted to the following constituent with nasal onset. This resulted to a peculiar geminate (onset) consonants case in KiNgome.

## 2. 3. 1 Syllable structure and epenthetic glides in KiNgome

In spite of having some bimoraic syllables that contain a succession of vowels as in ( 69 c ), KiNgome disprefers onset-less nuclei and vowel sequences and instead favours a monomoraic syllable structure. This is a fundamental difference from KiMvita and SSW, which may have sequences of several vowels arising from the historical loss of certain consonants. If we take the case of taヨ 'lamp' in SSW the vowel sequence is the result of the loss of intervocalic *1, which consonant is still retained in KiNgome as tara 'lamp'. Synchronic KiMvita and SSW tolerate vowel sequences whilst KiNgome, apart from retaining syllable-initial /r/,e.g. /pig-ir-a (V) 'to hit for', vowel sequences are clearly discouraged by the insertion of epenthetic glides as seen in (71a\&b) below: (71)
(a)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ki[y]aNga } & \text { (7) 'dry season' } \\
\text { me }[y] a & \text { (V) 'grow' } \\
\text { bo }[y] e & (9 a / 10 a)^{\prime} \text { sea-fly' }
\end{array}
$$

(b)
no[w]ョ (9/10) 'type of sea turtle' c.f. nora (V) 'sharpen'
$k_{o}[w]_{\text {ana }} \quad(9 a / 10 a)$ 'type of fish'

```
mau[w]a (6) 'flowers'
mwau[w]o (9/10) 'period of spring tide'
```

Glide epenthesis is totally predictable from the phonetic context. The $y$ glide is inserted after the vowel $/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{e} /$, while after the vowel /u/ or /o/ the w-glide epenthesis occurs as shown in (71 a\&b). Epenthesis in KiNgome plays the role of maintaining a CV syllable and a monomoraic (light) syllable structure.

## 2. 3.2 Word-stress patterns

This section intends to report on the main aspects of the stress system in KiNgome. In general, most Swahili dialects (except ChiMwiini and Kikae) (p.c. G Philippson) has a fixed system where main stress is located at the penulte. On the onset, it may look that since KiNgome or Swahili has a regular and predictable word-stress system, little of theoretical interest could be added. However, intricate connection between stress assignment, minimal word constraint and syllable weight in KiNgome (and indeed Swahili), poses a theoretical implication. Additionally, recent study of a mora and a syllable in Swahili dialect of KiMvita by Maeda (2001) has invoke a new impetus to the study of word-stress in Swahili. She proposes that KiMvita (and Swahili in general) has both moraic and bimoraic syllable structure and stress is borne out by a mora and not by a syllable as traditionally assumed. Under the theoretical model of the Metrical Stress Theory of Hayes (1995), the language which conform to the minimal bimoraicity condition has a stress system which differs from the language which conform to minimal bisyllabicity condition .

As the basic facts of the stress pattern and syllable structure in KiNgome are very similar to those of KiMvita, I will adopt Maeda's Moraic Stress Theory (2001) which primarily consider morae (rather than syllables) as the units to which stress assignment may refer. To begin with, let us briefly examine the basic properties of the Metrical Stress Theory (Hayes 1995) relevant to our discussion, it will be followed by Maeda's Moraic Stress Theory and presentation and analysis of KiNgome basic data under the Moraic model.

### 2.3.2.1. The Metrical Stress Theory (Hayes 1995)

The metrical theory as proposed by Hayes (1995: 2-3), which follows the earlier works of Liberman and Prince (1977) and Halle and Vergnaud
(1978) is crucially based on a stress unit known as the metric foot. Foot is a categorial label which is important in the representation of stressed elements. The foot template contained binary elements: one stressed element (represented by $x$ ) and one unstressed element (marked by a bullet.) The foot is included in a hierarchy of prosodic categories ranging upward from the moraic layer ( $\mu$ ), the syllabic layer ( $\sigma$ ), the foot layer ( F ) to the word layer ( Wd ). This means that the minimal phonological word comprises a foot, the minimum foot comprises a syllable, and the minimum syllable comprises a mora. A language may either have unbounded (unrestricted) feet where the parameters of the metrical foot will be the entire phonological word, or bounded feet where we may have systems of stress that fall within limited distances both from each other and from word edges. Languages with bounded metrical feet have either iambic or trochaoic feet. Iambs are asymmetrical binary feet with a light syllable followed by a heavy syllable. Trochees consist of elements which are roughly equal in duration. There are two types of trochaic systems: in the first type, feet are constructed from syllables, without regard for length.

```
(x .)
\sigma \sigma
```

In the second type, feet are constructed from morae. Since the moraic trochee is based entirely on morae and not on syllables, a moraic trochee may be either two consecutive light syllables or a single heavy syllable. (iii)

| $(\mathrm{x}$ | ) $)$ | or | $(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma$ | o |  | 0 |
| $\mid$ | $\mid$ |  | $\\|$ |
| $\mu$ | $\mu$ |  | $\mu \mu$ |

This form the basis of Iambic/Trochaic Law by Hayes (1995: 80).

### 2.3.2.1.1 The minimal word constraint

A single light syllable cannot form or support a foot. The Moraic trochee languages disallow feet with one mora, and syllabic trochee language disallow feet consisting of just one syllable. Metrically this feature is considered as a ban on 'degenerate' feet. There are implications that follows a ban on degenerate feet. Languages may require content words (noun, verbs, adjectives, adverbs) to have some minimum size. The minima word size equals a single foot which is rhythmic unit consisting of two light syllables or bimoraic syllable. Some languages may actively
reinforce foot binarity by prosodically expanding any word that would otherwise fall below minimum i.e., by adding an augment of a mora to subminimal word (lengthening).

### 2.3.2.1.2 Syllable weight

Hayes (1995:50) argues that among the more interesting stress rules are those that refer to a distinction between heavy ( H ) and light ( L ) syllables. It is the class membership (H or L) of a syllable, rather than its segmental content, that determines the syllable's influence on stress. Heavy syllables characteristically attracts stress, whereas light syllables receive stress only in the absence of an eligible heavy syllable. This is evident in the stress rule of Latin. The distinction between light and heavy syllables under moraic theory requires mora counting. In all models of moraic theory, short vowels are associated with a single mora while long vowels are associated with two morae. Geminate consonants contribute one mora and through Weight-by-Position: a 'coda' consonant is assigned a mora in the course of syllabification (parametric).

Is minima word (foot) in Swahili expressed in terms of moraic contents or in terms of syllables? The answer is provided by Maeda (2001) in her recent study of a mora and a syllable in Swahili dialect of KiMvita.

## 2. 3. 2. 2. Moraic stress theory (Maeda 2001)

It has been widely considered that stress in Swahili is borne by a syllable rather than a mora following the establishment of the link between stress assignment and the minimal structural requirement for the word in Swahili (See Batibo and Rottland 1992: Pack 1995, 1997). They argue that the minimal word requirement is at least bisyllabic. Each syllable is also claimed to consist of a single mora. The possibility of bimoraic syllable in Swahili has been objected to as it has been assumed that this would conflict with the minimal word requirement and stress assignment. Thus: (73)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /yai/ 'egg' constitute two syllables. } \\
& \text { /yai/ [yá,i] (with two monomoraic (light) syllables) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and not [yái] (as a bimoraic heavy syllable). Batibo and Rottland (1992: 98) further claim that some 'moraic forms have been made disyllabic by the lengthening of the vowel segment, followed by resyllabification. In this way the lengthened vowel has constitute a separate syllable'. Thus
(74)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}!>[\text { áa }] \text { 'what again!' } \\
& j e ?>[j \text { ée }] \text { 'what' }
\end{aligned}
$$

From their stand, (74) are examples of bisyllabic words.
Counterexamples to this claim is made by Maeda (2001: 151-2) when she argues that the Least Syllable Condition (Hyman 1985: 52) does not accept /yai/, /a!/ or / je/ as consisting of two light syllables. She further establishes that bimoraic syllables exist in KiMvita (and indeed in Swahili in general) contrary to the above claims. She draws evidence from words of Arabic origin such as kabla 'before' where consonants occur in coda position and retain their moraicity. And also on the basis of the evidences of a diphthong-like vowel sequences such as yai 'egg' and maiti 'dead body'. She claims that words such as /yai/ and /maiti/ display different vowel quantity that can best be analysable under Moraic Theory which interpret them with the following moraic and syllabic structures:
(75)
(a)/yai/
(b)/maiti/


(75) is made up of a single syllable but two morae. This syllable is to be considered as heavy bimoraic syllable. In (75b) there is two syllables that consist of three morae. However the first syllable is heavy one whilst the second is light. Although (75) has a single syllable but it has fulfilled the minimal word constraint of Swahili, in this case bimoraic and not bisyllabic. As far as the stress assignment is concerned, the penultimate mora may appear in the first or the second mora of a bimoraic syllable; as seen in (75 and (b). The quantity distinction is displayed in (75\&b) but nevertheless the assignment of stress is still found in the penult mora. It is clear then that both monomoraic and bimoraic syllable exist in KiMvita and Swahili in general. This lead to my interpretation of KiNgome data in the following manner:

### 2.3.2.3 Word stress in KiNgome

As the assignment of stress in a word in KiNgome (and Swahili in general) is tightly bound up with the theories of syllable structure and syllable weight, I present in (76a-c), KiNgome data showing both moraic and syllabic counts. I have also added a column for a syllable weight, where H stands for a'Heavy syllable' while L stands for a'Light' one :
(76)(a)

|  | syllable count | mora count | syllable weight |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jé: | $\sigma$ | $\mu \mu$ | H | what |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{\xi}$ |  | $\mu$ | H | 'outside' |

(b)

|  | syllable count | mora count | syllable weight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fat fe? | $\sigma \sigma$ | $\mu \mu$ | HL | 'what for?' |
| máafya | $\sigma \sigma$ | $\mu \mu \mu$ | HL | 'cooking stones' |
| mョfitya | Oớo | $\mu \mu \mu{ }^{\prime}$ | LHL | 'Mafia' |
| тwsúwo | OO | $\mu \ddot{\mu} \mu$ | HL | 'period of |
|  |  |  |  | Spring Tide' |
| buraiya | $\sigma$ Óo | $\mu \mu \mu \mu$ | LHL | 'agree' |

(c)

|  | syllable count | mora count | syllable weight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fyónza | $\sigma \sigma$ | $\mu$ | LL | 'suck' |
| Njónoo | $\sigma \sigma$ | $\stackrel{\mu}{\mu}$ | LL | 'yellow' |
| tén ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | GO | $\ddot{\mu}$ | LL | 'branch' |
| mesaha | ớo | $\mu \mu \mu$ | LLL | 'pus' |
| tirekita | ббớO | $\mu \mu \mu \nu$ | LLLL | 'tractor' |
| kiNgurupiya | đđỡ̛ | $\mu \mu \mu \mu \mu$ | LLLLL | 'graft' |

As can be seen in (76a-c), the main stress regularly falls on the penultimate mora. The fact that a syllable is heavy (H) or light (L) does not influence the placement of main stress in a penultimate position. The data also shows that there is no single light syllable ( L ) or mora that is attested to form a word. All words have at least two morae, which eventually defines the structure of the canonical feet in KiNgome. In (76a), we see cases of some words which when pronounced in isolation, are being turned into a binary word minimum by prosodically expanding
their original form that fall below minimum, i.e. adding an augment of a mora e.g. /je/ > [jé:] through vowel lengthening) or turning nasal onset which is underlying monomoraic in the form of / $\mathrm{Nf} \mathrm{\Xi}^{3}$ / 'outside' into moraic nasal + light syllable / $\mathrm{N} \ddagger$ / 'outside', thus creating two morae. Metrically, KiNgome has a strict prohibition on 'degenerate' feet as shown in (76a).

KiNgome stress pattern is a simple case of the moraic trochee where the foot consists of two morae, of which the first is stronger. Even in the heavy syllables (long vowels and diphthongs) as seen in (76a \& b), the penult mora receives the main stress. In (76c), we see the number of moras is equal to the number of (light) syllables. This section has outlined the basic data of KiNgome and suggests the syllable structure and where to place the primary stress. We have seen that KiNgome has a straightforward penult mora. We have also seen that not all syllables are monomoraic, there are cases of bimoraic syllables. No attempt has been made to subject this data to a broader metrical analysis at this stage. For a precise metrical analysis of Swahili word-stress see Dobrovolsky and Katamba (1997: 120-122).

## 2. 4 Summary

The present chapter has laid out the basic facts of KiNgome phonology. In many respects, the dialect is very conservative, exhibiting a number of features of phonology typically considered rural in contrast to urban dialects such as SSW and KiMvita.
The notable characteristics of the KiNgome dialect are the preservation of several instances of prenasalised consonants (NC). There is sufficient evidence to suggest that NC and CG are unitary segments. The KiNgome consonant system is marked by an absence of a lateral and a trill that is replaced by a flap. It also lacks a dental series. KiNgome's vowel-system displays an extended VHH system and a vowel copying phenomenon (VC). KiNgome' syllable displays both monomoraic and bimoraic syllables. I next discuss the synchronic morphological system of KiNgome.

## Chapter 3: Morphology

## 3. 0 Nominal Morphology

This chapter aims to describe the synchronic nominal morphology of the KiNgome dialect of Swahili. The discussion consists of an examination of nominal inflection, derivation and compounding. Specifically, we will look at the categories for which KiNgome nouns inflect, namely gender and number. We will further describe the noun derivational formations, nominal reduplication and nominal compounding.

## 3. 1 The Noun Class System in KiNgome

As a member of Sabaki and an offshoot of the Eastern Bantu languages, KiNgome shares with other Swahili dialects the key features of Bantu languages: an elaborate system of noun classification, a system of verb extensions and the basic Bantu lexicon ( Guthrie 1948: 12-13 ; Greenberg 1966: 9; Williamson and Blench 2000: 12-13 ).

Nouns in Sabaki languages typically consist of a nominal prefix and a stem. The system of noun classification typically marks the singular and plural forms with distinct prefixes and often induces concordial agreement on the other sentence elements. These prefixes manifest a fusion of gender, number and grammatical person marking so that it is appropriate to consider them as 'gender-number-person markers' or GNPs (Maho 1999: 55).

In the Bantu noun class system, nouns fall into several distinct subsets known as classes or genders with respect to the pattern of agreement and number marking which they determine (Corbett 1991: 43 ; Carstens 1993: 152; Maho 1999: 53). The allottment of a noun to a particular class or gender depends both on its meaning and its form (Corbett 1991: 7-8). Swahili (including the KiNgome dialect ) employs a combination of these factors, with certain exceptions.

The formal assignment rules categorise nouns into classes by the form of the nouns (mainly by their affixes). KiNgome provides the following examples:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{th}_{0} \text { to (1) ' child' }  \tag{90}\\
\text { ki-tabu } & \text { (7) 'book' } \\
\text { ru-kuni } & \text { (11) 'firewood' }
\end{array}
$$

The nominal classes are illustrated here where the prefix / $\mathrm{N}-/$ identifies Class 1, /ki-/ identifies Class 7 and $/ \mathrm{ru}-/$ identifies Class 11. These
nominal prefixes also serve as number markers. All examples in (90) illustrate singular prefixes which can also be replaced by plural prefixes. Classes are numbered following the 'Bleek-Meinhof system' or 'BM numbers' which range from 1 to 23 (Maho 1999: 4). Within Sabaki languages, the term gender refers to the formal pairing of two forms of a noun lexeme rather than to a sex-gender system.

The pairing of nouns usually involves a singular versus a plural distinction. Some noun classes constitute a 'single or unpaired class' and this constitutes a gender by itself (de Wolf 1971: 43). In the past, it is presumed that allocation of nouns to genders was predominantly on a semantic basis (Corbett: 1991: 72). Currently, the system is 'clearly grammaticalised, blurring its original semantic basis' (Williamson and Blench 2000: 13).

In Sabaki languages, we can still sometimes associate gender with a semantic basis: humans, animals, plants, paired body parts, mass nouns, and liquids, abstracts, and others which are less transparent attest to this. Secondarily, however, we can associate certain genders with categories such as the augmentative, the diminutive, the verbal infinitive and various locative concepts.

In the case of Swahili (including KiNgome), the forms of the nominal prefixes themselves can indicate the gender. However, there are other nouns with covert gender, which provides no formal clues about their gender or class subgroup. Their gender assignment has to rely on features of agreement as seen in other nominal, verbal and pronominal elements in the sentence. This leads us to the question of agreement. Internally, there is agreement within the noun phrase where the head noun controls agreement on the noun modifiers. Here nominal elements such as adjectives, quantifiers, demonstratives, etc. appear in the same class as the head noun they modify. Externally, there is agreement that involves the cross-referencing of the pronominal elements on a verb to the class of the heads of various noun phrases.

Further, from the fact that the binary distinction of animate versus inanimate nouns is of major concern in Sabaki languages, KiNgome (like other Swahili dialects) provides a noteworthy example of a case where a semantic assignment appears to recategorize all animate nouns to take gender 1:2 agreement. Maho (1999: 67) regards this phenomena as 'General Animate Concord (GAC). We can illustrate it using the following examples from KiNgome:

| Noun class according to prefix | Gender | subject-verb <br> agreement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

As can be seen in (91), the formal system classifies nouns according to the affixal shape, the GAC rule overrides the shape of the noun prefixes and re-categorises them to take gender 1:2 agreement of $a-/ w a-$. Here the semantic criterion overrides the formal one. We see animate nouns in (91) employ the concords of Classes $1: 2$ irrespective of the inherent noun class of such a form. More in depth discussion of semantics of noun classes in Swahili is found in Moxley (1995) and Contini-Morava (1989). So far I have noticed that though Maho has offered the GAC rule to account for those nouns with different classmarkers but induce gender 1: 2 agreement, there is no distinctive way of marking them to allow a reader to distinguish them from other nouns that have uniform way. I will suggest a formula (c[a]) where ' $c$ ' stands for Classmarker and ' $a$ ' stands for agreement marker (verb). Thus, ki-fəna 'youth' will be marked as (7[1]). Here we can read that although ki-fəna 'youth' has Class 7 noun prefix but induce gender 1: 2 agreement displaying GAC rule. Our formula (c[a]) could also be extended to cater for KiNgome (as well as other Bantu) nouns that display disconformity between classmarkers and their appropriate agreement markers:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ma-binamu } & (6[2]) \text { 'nieces' }  \tag{92}\\
\text { bw-iNbwi } & (14[5]) \text { 'sweet rice' } \\
\text { bw-aNgari } & (14[5]) \text { 'hunger' } \\
\text { bu-Nbwi } & (14[5]) \text { 'sorcery' } \\
\text { ki-boko } & (7[9 a]) \text { 'sea snail' } \\
\text { ki-uNbe } & \text { (7[1] 'person' }
\end{array}
$$

By this way it will be easier to mark them for descriptive purposes. I defer
gender discussion to（95）．
Let us now turn to a straightfoward chart of the KiNgome concordial agreement system showing the nominal prefixes，adnominal concords （demonstrative，interrogative pronoun－pi？＇which？＇，associative and possessive），relatives，subject and object prefixes as verbal agreements． Subclasses have been indicated by the conventional class number followed by a letter．NoP－stands for No Prefix．
（93）The KiNgome noun prefixes and their concordial morphemes

| Class |  | Poss． | Dem． | Inter． | Assoc． | Rel． | SM | OM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | mu－ | ツコー | yu－ | ye－ | 凹ョー | ye－ | a－／ka－ | mu－ |
| 1a | NoP－ | yaー | yu－ | ye－ | шョー | ye－ | $\mathrm{a}-/ \mathrm{ka}-$ | mu－ |
| 2 | wa－ | wョー | waー | we－ | шョー | －－ | ツョー | шаー |
| 2a | NoP－ | マヨー | ๗ョー |  | ゅコー | $0-$ | ツョー | いるー |
| 3 | mu－ | ※ョー | ru－ | we－ | แョー | －－ | u－ | u－ |
| 4 | mi－ | yaー | i－ | ye－ | $y=-$ | yo－ | i－ | i－ |
| 5 | NoP－ | ra－ | ri－ | ye－ | 「ショ－ | ro－ | ri－ | ri－ |
|  | mョー | ya－／ga－ | $y=-/ 93-$ | ye－／？ | ya－／ga－ | yo－ | ya－／ga－ | yaー |
| 7 | ki－ | ky－ | ki－ | kye－ | ky－ | kyo－ | ki－ | ki－ |
|  | vi－ | w－ | vi－ | vye－ | vy－ | vyo－ | vi－ | wi－ |
| 9 | N－ | ya－ | i－ | ye－ | ya－ | yo－ | i | i－ |
| 9 a | NoP－ | ※ヨー | Yu－ | ye－ | wヨー | ye－ | a－ | － |
| 10 | N－ | ェa－ | zi－ | ze－ | za－ | zo－ | zi－ | zi－ |
| 10a | NoP－ | マコー | щョー | we－ | ma－ | －－ | ツヨー | แョー |
| 11 | （r）$u-$ | rw－ | u－ | we－ | （r）wa－ | 0 | u | u－ |
| 14 | （b／w）u | แaー | u－ | me－ | ツョー | O－ | u－ | u－ |
| 15 | ku－ | kw－ | ku－ | kwe－ | kwaー | ko－ | ku－ | ku－ |
| 16 | pa－ | Pa－ | Pa－ | pe－ | pa－ | Po－ | pa－ | Pョ－ |
| 17 | ku－ | ku－ | mu－ | kwe－ | kwa－ | ko－ | mu－ | mu－ |
| 18 | mu－ | mw－ | ku－ | we－ | mwa－ | mo－ | ku－ | ku－ |

KiNgome has a total of 16 primary noun classes plus 4 subclasses．Classes $1,1 \mathrm{a}, 3,5,7,9,9 \mathrm{a}, 11$ ，and 14 contain mostly singular countable nouns but with a few mass nouns and nouns denoting liquids in Class 5 and abstract nouns in Class 14 ．Classes $2,2 \mathrm{a}, 4,6,8,10$ and 10a are mainly
plurals (with the exception of some abstract nouns in Class 6). Altogether, Classes 1-11 and Class 14 (also has a singularia tantum) produce regular singular-plural pairings which constitute seven primary genders 1(a): 2(a); $3: 4 ; 5: 6 ; 7: 8 ; 9(\mathrm{a}): 10(\mathrm{a}) ; 11: 10$; and $14: 6$. Unpaired genders consist of Class 14, 15, and the locatives Classes 16,17 , and 18 (all of which lack true lexical members and number alternation).

In (93), we show several examples of nominal prefixes that exhibit homophonous shapes in their basic form. Classes 1,3 , and 18 share a mu$\sim N$ - prefix, Classes 9 and 10 have a $N$ - prefix and Classes 15 and 17 have a ku-prefix. The distinction between these classes (with homophonous shapes) is mostly justified in terms of the combination of factors: shape of the agreement morphemes, semantic contents (function) and even position they occupy within a stems. For instance:
(a)

```
ka-mu-riNge mu-Nthu
    CONS-1OM-see 1-person
    'Go and see a person'
```

(b) ka-u-riNge mu-ti

CONS-3OM-see 3 -tree
'Go and see a tree'

The examples in (94) show that the mu- prefixes in mu-N $t_{u}$ and mu-ti induce different object markers, mu- and $u^{-}$, in the verbs ka-muriNge and ka-u-riNge respectively. Therefore mu- head prefixes in (94a) and (94b) are two different Classes. By the same token, Class ku- in Class 15 and 17 have different function (infinitive vs locative). It is also observed that ku- infinitive is always in word-initial position kubeNda 'to request' but ku- as a locative marker can occupy either initial or final position as in ku-no 'here' or hu-ko<huku-o 'in there'.

Classes 1a, 2a, 5, 9a and 10a consist of prefixless nouns. I have used the NoP- abbreviation to stand for 'No Prefix'. The distinction between them partly correlates with the meaning of the nouns involved; e.g. Class 1a and 2a (its plural) denote kin-terms only. The rest of the prefixless nouns combine both animate and inanimate nouns.

Classes 11 and 14 are distinct in KiNgome. I have adduced synchronic evidences to show their distinctive forms (I present evidence in § 3.1.1. 11-12 ). Class 11 takes the plural of Class 10 while Class 14 may stand on its own or take Class 6 as a plural counterpart. This presentation will contest a popular stand that Classes 11 and 14 have merged to form a new Class
$11=14$ in SSW as reported by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 349-350) where the putative merged class is marked by nominal prefix/u-/.

Classes 15 and 17 share the basic form of the prefix morpheme and induce similar agreement prefixes, although the nouns they represent function quite distinctively in syntax. In addition, KiNgome offers its own evidence for treating Classes 15 and 17 separately (see § 3.1.1.13-14 for illustration and further discussion).

Furthermore, not all possible Bantu classes are present in KiNgome, thus, the diminutive Class $12 * / \mathrm{ka} /$ and its plural counterpart of Class 13 */tu-/ are totally absent from KiNgome. Their function have been taken over secondarily by gender 7:8.

Before we provide a full discussion of the properties of each nominal class, including the infinitive and locative classes, in KiNgome, we wish to emphasise the gender question by summarising the KiNgome gender forms as follows:
(95)

| Gender | Prefixes | Agreement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:2 | mu- / wa- | a- / wa- |
| 1a: 2a | NoP / NoP | a- / wa- |
| 3:4 | mu- / mi- | u- / i- ~a- / wa- |
| 5:6 | NoP / ma- | ri- / ya $\sim$ a- / wa- |
| 7:6 | ki- / ma- | ki- / ya- |
| 7:8 | ki- / vi- | ki- / vi- ~ a- / wa- |
| 9:10 | $\mathrm{N}-/ \mathrm{N}$ | i- / zi- ~a- / wa- |
| 9a:10a | NoP / NoP | i- / zi-~a- / wa- |
| 11:10 | ru- / N- | ru- / zi- |
| 11:10a | ru- / NoP | ru- / zi- |
| 11:4 | ru- / mi- | ru- / i- |
| 11:10 | $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{N}-$ | u-/zi- |
| 14: 6 | (b/w) $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{ma}$ | (ri)u/ya |
| 14 | (b/w)u- | (ri)u- |
| 15 | ku- | ku- |
| 16 | pa- | pa- |
| 17 | ku- | ku- |
| 18 | mu- | mu- |

A few observations need to be made about the gender forms in (95):
(i) The animate nouns take gender 1:2 agreement (a-/wa-) irrespective of the nominal prefixes they carry.
(ii) The genders 3:6,7:6 and 11:4 are three uncommon genders each of which contains only one noun. Examples are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { mu-wiNgu (3) : ma-wiNgu (6) 'cloud(s)' }  \tag{96}\\
\text { ki-ruNgo (7) ; ma-ruNgo (6) body part(s)' } \\
\text { (r)u-ňwa (11) : mi-uña (4) 'earthworm(s) }
\end{array}
$$

Whiteley (1959: 49) has also noted a case of the rare gender 3: 6 in the Southern Swahili dialect of KiTumbatu
(97)
m-fyagio (3) : ma-fyagio (6) 'broom'
(iii) The majority of genders are in singular/plural pairings; though genders $15,16,17$, and 18 are typical one-class genders.
(iv) Although Genders 15 and 17 share identical agreement markers, I have outlined their functional differences in §3.1.1.13.

## 3. 1. 1 The KiNgome noun-class prefixes

This section will attempt to enumerate and describe in detail the KiNgome inflectional categories of nominal prefixes as outlined in (93). The majority of nouns in KiNgome (as well as other Sabaki languages) overtly carry inflectional prefix markers that serve simultaneously as the exponents of number and gender. The fact that each noun fits into a particular declension class implies that it belongs to a particular gender. The prefix-less nouns largely employ semantic and syntactic parameters to justify their assignment to a particular noun class. I discuss each nominal class in turn:

### 3.1.1.1 Classes $1 / 1 \mathrm{a}$

The nominal prefix for Class 1 in KiNgome is represented by three variant forms (as does Class 3): the unreduced variant/mu-/, the optionally reduced variant $/ \mathrm{mu}-\sim \mathrm{N}-/$ and the obligatorily reduced variant / N $-/$, the choices of which are all lexically determined. These variant forms are for C-initial stems, while V-initial stems take the form $/ \mathrm{mw}-/$. This is parallel to the neighbouring Bantu language of KiMatumbi (Odden 1996: 83-89) . We display each variant from the

KiNgome data in (98a-c) for C-initial stems and for V-initial stems in (98d) below:
(98)
(a)
mu-ruNgu
(1) 'God'
mu-vyere
(1) 'elder'
mu-were
(1) 'patient'
mu-hire
(1) 'cousin'
mu-pwa
(1) 'niece/nephew'
(b)
mu-khosano $\sim$ Nikhosano $^{\text {hos }}$
(1) 'father/mother in law '
$\mathrm{mu}-\mathrm{fu} \sim \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{fu}$
(1) 'dead person'
$m u-(N) k^{h} h_{a} \sim N^{\prime} h_{a}$
(1) 'wife'
(c)
N-とuNba
(1) 'fiancé/fiancée'
N -thete
(1) 'baby'
N -thoto
(1)'child'
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{kh}_{\mathrm{uNGa}}^{\mathrm{Na}}$
(1)'midwife'
N -ganga
(1)'traditional healer'
(d)
mu-amud
(1) 'brother in law'
me-ari
(1) 'a girl'
me-arimu
(1) 'teacher'
mw-anamuNk $h_{a}$
(1) 'woman'
mw-ana
(1) 'child'

In (98a) we see cases of the nouns that retain the basic form /mu-/. The occurrence of this prefix shape is beyond the phonologically-governed rules. This seems to be an areal feature peculiar to conservative Sabaki languages such as KiMwani (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 525).

Data in (98b) show the optional alternation of /mu- $\sim N-/$. Interestingly, the occurrence of this alternation is also not confined to

KiNgome alone, it is also a typical feature of the Swahili dialect of KiTikuu (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 182) and KiJomvu (Bakari 1985: 130-131).

In (98c) we observe several cases of the obligatory reduction of $/ \mathrm{mu}-/$ to a homorganic nasal / $\mathrm{N}-/$. This feature puts KiNgome in line with KiPemba (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 182), the neighbouring Lindi Swahili (Legerere 1986 : 148-152) and with the P10 and P20 languages.

In (98d) we see evidence of nouns in Class 1 marked with a/mw-/ prefix shape as found in V-initial stems nouns only.

## Class 1 a

These are prefix-less nouns (NP) that take gender 1a: 2a concords. This is a group that consists mostly of kinship terms.

| baba | (1a) 'father' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kaka | (1a) 'older brother' |
| bibi | (1a) 'grandmother' |
| bubu | (1a) 'dumb' |
| namaNtho | (1a) 'a grown up child' |
| zeruzeru | (1a) 'albino' |
| Saci | (1a) 'orphan' |
| nunu | (1a) 'mother/wife/grandmother' |

I propose that the plural form of Class 1a be recognised as $2 a$, instead of Class 2 as has traditionally been assumed. A typical Class 2 prefix is / wa-/ while the true plural counterpart for Class 1a is prefixless or NoP (no prefix). This can be illustrated as follow:
baba (1a) $y-a N g u \quad$ 'my father'
baba (2a) $z-a N g u \quad$ 'my fathers'

Both Classes 1a and 2 a are prefixless i.e. baba (1a/2a) 'father(s)'. Therefore Class $2 a$ is surely the appropriate subclass to distinguish the prefixless (and mostly kinship) nouns from Class 2 nouns in general.

## 3. 1. 1.2 Classes $2 / 2 \mathrm{a}$

The regular form of plural for Class 1 is Class 2 /wa-/
(a) C-initial stems

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { wa-rimu } & \text { (2) 'teachers' } \\
\text { wa-were } & \text { (2) 'sick people' } \\
\text { wa-nawaka } & \text { (2) 'women' } \\
\text { wa-Nthu } & \text { (2) 'persons' }
\end{array}
$$

(b) V-initial stems ${ }^{16}$
u-evi
(2) 'thieves' < wa-ivi
мョーпа
(2) 'children' < wa-ana

Class 2 nouns share a common semantic feature with Classes 1, 1a and 2a. We put together all human referents under those Classes and distinguish these human classes from animals and insects which are found in Classes $9 / 10$ and $9 \mathrm{a} / 10 \mathrm{a}$. I believe the animate nouns deserve to be treated separately to human and non-human animate nouns. Lack of prefixes in animate nouns are largely the question of historical loss of *N- prefixes, the same cannot be said for human animate nouns such as baba or mama.

## Class 2a

Class 2a differs from Class 2 as the former lacks a prefix marker.
(102)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { babu zaNgu } & \text { (2a) 'my grandfathers' } \\
\text { dada zaNgu } & \text { (2a) 'my sisters' } \\
\text { bibi zaNgu } & \text { (2a) 'my grandmothers' } \\
\text { kaka zaNgu } & \text { (2a) 'my brothers' } \\
\text { akina kaka } & \text { (2a) 'those brothers' }
\end{array}
$$

The noun kakæ, babu, bibi may appear in both singular and plural forms. However the agreement forms may show a clear differences between nominal prefixes for Class 1 a versus Class 2a. The use of an attributive possessive $z-a N g u$ clarifies the plurality of kaka, babu, and bibi. In addition, if we qualify kaka 'brothers' with akina 'those' we realise the acceptable expression akina kaka 'those brothers'. Thus kaka and other kin-terms are best distinguished in terms of number by

[^13]the attachment of an adjective or agreement markers.

### 3.1.1.3 Class 3

Class 3 with C-initial stems also exhibits three variant prefix shapes (mu-, $m u-\sim N$, and $N$ ) similar to Class 1, as exemplified in (97a-c) and the prefix shape / mw-/with V-initial stems as in (97d).
(103)(a)
mu-waa
(3) 'dwarf palm'
mu-wa
(3) 'sugar cane'
mu-če
(3) 'bud'
mu-sima
(3) 'fruit stone'
mu-goNjo
(3) 'elephantiasis'
(b)
mu-ryaNgo~ $\mathrm{N}_{-}$ryango
(3) 'door'
mu-sipa~ N -sipa
(3) 'vein'
mu-厄uzi ~ N -厄uzi
(3) 'stew'
mu-zizi ~ N-zizi
(3) 'root'
mu-koNdo ~ N-koNdo
(3) 'sea-current'
mu-kiya ~ N-kiya
(3) 'tail'
(c)
N -seNge
(3) 'a poking stick'
N-didimo
(3) 'thunder'
N-phika
(3) 'stretcher'
N-yasa
(3) 'sneeze'
N-bago
(3) 'new cloth'
(d)
me-iNgo
(3) 'type of spirit possession ritual'
mu-iNbi
(3) 'sea wave'
me-ata
(3) 'bait'
mu-are
(3) 'type of bamboo'
mw-agaro
(3) 'type of bead'
mu-ani
(3) 'sea weed'
mu-auwa
(3) 'period of spring tide'
mu-iku (3) 'morning food cooked night before'

The data in (103 a-c) show three variant forms of the nominal prefix that show the inherited / mu-/ form, intermediate alternants/mu-~NT/ and the innovated form / $\mathrm{N}-/$. We shall extend this subject in Chapter 5.

Class 3 forms its plural by replacing /mu-/ with /mi-/ in Class 4 and is mainly composed of nouns designating plants and trees. Class 3 also contains some nouns denoting material objects, some parts of the human body, and certain natural phenomena. However, a few nouns denoting higher categories of animates (e.g., N -tume (1) 'prophet', with its plural mi-tume (2) 'prophets' and $N-z i m u$ (3) 'spirit' with its plural mi-zimu (4)' spirits' induces gender 1: 2 agreement, displaying 'General Animate Concord'.

In (103d) we see the allomorph $/ \mathrm{mw}-/$ as a regular phonological derived variant of mu- in conjunction with V-initial stems. Interestingly, I have noticed that a form mu-iNbi (3) 'sea current' is only found in the singular form. However, the sense of heaviness or abundance is expressed in mw-iNbi mwiNgi 'a heavy current'.

### 3.1.1. 4 Class 4

The Class 4 nominal prefix is marked as / mi -/ as follows:
(104)
(a) C-stems
mi-ti
(4) 'tree(s)'
mi-sipa
(4) 'veins'
mi-waョ
(4) 'dwarf palms'
mi-800
(4) 'dug out canoes'
mi-kačuru
(4) 'tree with spots'
mi-ňoNgo
(4) 'side of the bodies'
mi-fifi
(4) 'water'
mi-zizi
(4) 'roots'
(b) V-stems
mi-[y]unu
(4) 'arms of cuttlefish'
$m i-[y]$ aNgo
(4) 'doors'
mi-[y]aka
(4) 'years'

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m i-[y] e z i & \text { (4) 'months' } \\
m i-[y] a N b a & \text { (4) 'sea currents' } \\
m i-[y] a s a & \text { (4) 'sneeze' }
\end{array}
$$

Although the form $/ \mathrm{mi}-/$ is used in both C-initial and V-initial stems, it is regular in KiNgome to see the prefix / mi-/ inducing epenthesis of a glide in the environment of the V-stem: mi-ezi $\geqslant m i[y] e z i$ 'months'.

### 3.1.1. 5 Class 5

KiNgome shares with other SD in having a group of nouns in Class 517 with no overt prefixes (NoP), though they take Class $6 / \mathrm{ma}-/$ as their plural form. I present a few examples marking Class 5 nouns as follows:

```
bathi (5) 'cattle enclosure'
deNgereNge
eNbe
    (5) 'blister'
(5) 'mango'
nahoza
(5) 'captain
tekeña
(5) 'maggot'
yai
(5) 'egg'
u[w]a
(5) 'flower'
yavu
(5) 'lung'
```

As can be seen above, these singular nouns here grouped under Class 5, do not have nominal prefixes, but they can all be made plural by the addition of Class $6 / \mathrm{ma}-/$. Essentially examples in (105) consists of noun stems which are minimally bimoraic. Both nouns with C-initial stems and those with V-initial stems (except i-initial stems) are characterised by NOP. However, the semantics of the nouns concerned differs: there are both animate and inanimate nouns. Those which are animate nouns take gender 1: 2 agreement marked by prefixes /a-iwa-/instead of the typical/ri-iya-/ verbal agreement for gender $5: 6$. Apart from denoting animate nouns, some others commonly denote names of fruits or plant products, skills or occupations.

Interestingly, KiNgome like SSW has limited number of nouns (with monomoraic stems and i -initial stems) that are characterised by / fi-/
${ }^{17}$ Class 5 comprises nouns that historically lost the preprefix *i- which typically marked this class in $^{2}$ Proto-Bantu (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 188-192).
form which may be linked to PSA *iji (see my discussion in Chapter 6). The role of ji- (here as a stem marker) is clearly presented through pluralisation of these nouns as follows:

| Class 5 | Class 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fifya | ma-(fi)fya | $(5 / 6)$ 'hearthstone(s)' |
| fipu | ma-fipu | $(5 / 6)$ 'boil(s)' |
| fiwe | ma-we | $(5 / 6)$ 'stone(s)' |
| fico | ma-8o | $(5 / 6)$ 'eye(s)' |
| fi-no $<$ fi-ino | m-eno< ma-ino | $(5 / 6)$ 'tooth(teeth)' |
| fi-vu $<$ fi-ivu | ma-fivu | $(5 / 6)$ 'ash(es)' |
| fiko $<$ fi-iko | ma-fiko | $(5 / 6)$ 'kitchen(s)' |

Tabulation of plural forms in (106) helps to suggest that Class 5 nouns just happen to begin with fi- form essentially as a stem marker (just like ku- in ku-rya 'eat') in monomoraic noun stems (as well as in nouns with i-initial stems). It becomes an optional when ma- prefix is added to a monomoraic stem such as -pu or -fya in (106). This fi- form just happen to concide with augmentative ji-form. The difference between the form fi- in Class 5 nouns and augmentative ji- is based on a simple test. The Class 5 fi- form is optionally retained when pluralised (except in the case of ma-f-ivu (6) 'ashes') but form fi- becomes obligatory when assuming the augmentative role as in ma-fino 'big teeth'and ma-fi-c̆o 'big eyes'.

### 3.1.1. 6 Class 6

Class $6 / \mathrm{ma}-/$ is the regular plural pairing for Classes 5, 9(a) and 14. (107)
taNbi
(5) : ma-taNbi
(6) 'branch(es)'
ru-koko
(14) : me-koko
(6) 'weed(s)'
ru-kuĕa
(14) : mankuĕa
(6) 'nail(s)'
bui
(5): ma-bui
(6) 'spider(s)'

In addition to a variety of semantic associations that characterise Class most nouns that denote 'liquid like' materials form part of this class as seen below:
(108)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ma-fifi } & \text { (6) 'water' } \\
\text { ma-fite } & \text { (6) 'spit' } \\
\text { ma-futa } & \text { (6) 'oil' } \\
\text { ma-kofo } & \text { (6) 'urine' } \\
\text { ma-8ozi } & \text { (6) 'tears' } \\
\text { ma-tapisi } & \text { (6) 'vomit' } \\
\text { ma-setera } & \text { (6) 'watered rice' } \\
\text { ma-saha } & \text { (6) 'pus' } \\
\text { ma-ธ̆aza } & \text { (6) 'gruel' }
\end{array}
$$

There is no need to regard the forms in (108) as a putative Class 6a since they are phonologically, morphologically, and syntactically indistinguishable from Class 6, as Maho (1999:78) observes for Bantu languages in general. In addition to lexically underived Class 6 nouns, there are several derived nouns falling within this Class too though they deserve a special consideration and explanation:

| ma-kurima | (6) 'agriculture' (c.f.rim-a 'cultivate') |
| :--- | :--- |
| ma-vuvi | (6) 'fishery ' (c.f. vur-a 'fishing') |
| ma-fugaji | (6) 'animal husbandry' (c.f. fug-a 'keep animals' |
| ma-rir-o | (6) 'mourning' (c.f. rir-a 'mourn') also malilo |
| in KiMwera (Harries 1950: 27) |  |
| ma-ziyara | (6) 'pl. ofziara which is annual ritual of tarika |
|  | on founder's anniversary' (c.f. zuru- 'visit') |

These are all abstract nouns and pluralia tantum words. I shall extend their discussion in § 3.1.4.

### 3.1.1.7 Class 7

KiNgome is similar to other Southern Swahili dialects in attesting Class 7 $/ \mathrm{ki}-/$ or $/ \mathrm{k}-/$ before consonant-initial stems and before the vowel $/-\mathrm{i} /$ respectively,
(110)

```
ki-Nthu
    (7) 'thing'.
ki-furukwe (7) 'tortoise'
k-iNgereza (7) 'English' c.f. ki-iNgereza)
```

With stems beginning with other vowels, KiNgome, like the other Swahili dialects of KiPemba, KiTumbatu and KiVumba, KiMakunduchi and KiMtang'ata attests a CG(lide) sequence where $/ \mathrm{ki}-/$ appears as $/ \mathrm{ky}$ /. However $/ \mathrm{ky}-/$ is realised as $/ \mathrm{o}-/$ in SSW and KiMvita (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 222-223 and Maeda 2001:128). I present some examples below:
(111)

| $k y-u N g u$ | (7) 'pot' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k y-o o$ | (7) 'toilet' |
| $k y-a z i$ | (7) 'blood' |
| $k y$-epi | (7) 'which one? |

Some nouns of this class commonly supply names for ailments, as follow:
(112)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ki-tate } & \text { (7) 'deaf person' } \\
\text { ki-bavu } & \text { (7) 'pleurisy' } \\
\text { ki-bibi } & \text { (7) 'numbness' } \\
\text { ki-maca } & \text { (7) 'ringworm' } \\
\text { ki-homi } & \text { (7) 'twinges' }
\end{array}
$$

### 3.1.1.8 Class 8

The Class 8 vi- (vy- for $V$-stems except with $i$ - initial) represents the regular plural for Class $7 / \mathrm{ki}$ / :
(113)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { vi-Nthu } & \text { (8) 'things' } \\
\text { vi-riboto } & \text { (8) 'flea(s)' } \\
\text { vi-duNda } & \text { (8) 'hills' } \\
\text { vi-segeyu } & \text { (8) 'heels' } \\
\text { vi-taNgafa } & \text { (8) 'round mats' } \\
\text { vi-tuthure } & \text { (8) 'huts' } \\
\text { vy-akurya } & \text { (8) 'food' }
\end{array}
$$

In (113) above, Class 8 nouns refer primarily to inanimate things although some animate nouns can be found within gender $7: 8$, as the following data indicates:

| ki-furukwe (7) | vi-furukwe (8) | 'tortoise(s)' |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ki-goya | (7) | vi-goya | (8) | 'small ray fish(es)' |
| ki-boko | (7) | wi-boko | (8) | 'sea snail(s)' |
| ky-oNgore (7) | vy-oNgore( 8$)$ | 'large fish(es)' |  |  |
| ki-tate | (7) | vi-tate | (8) | 'deaf person' |
| ki-zuki | (7) | vi-zuki | (8) | 'widow(s)' |
| ki-naNgu | (7) | vi-naNgu | (8) | 'small mullet(s) |

However, animates keep the agreement forms $/ \mathrm{ki} / /$ and $/ \mathrm{vi} / /$ when speakers emphasize diminutiveness:
(115)
ki-kwekwe ki-pote[y]a 'a small chick has lost'

### 3.1.1.9 Classes $9 / 9 \mathrm{a}$

The common form for the prefix of Class 9 is a nasal homorganic with the stem-initial consonant/ N-/. For example:

| Nph $_{\text {ura }}$ | (9) 'nose' |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nsuka | (9) 'periphery' |
| Nvura | (9) 'rain', |
| Nthokosa | (9) 'rice' |

With V-initial stems, we generally have / n - / , which appears in words such as:
(117)

| ñ-oNgo | (9) 'hip' |
| :--- | :--- |
| n̆-uki | (9) 'bees' |
| n̆-eNvure | (9) 'grasshopper' |
| n̆-arubibi | (9) 'chameleon' |
| n̆-iNbi | (9) 'large rayfish' |

The sub-class 9a here includes those nouns without prefixes (NoPs).
(118)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { fiNbo } & \text { (9a) 'stick' } \\
\text { vuNvu } & \text { (9a) 'fallow land' } \\
\text { boNkho } & \text { (9a) 'hippo' }
\end{array}
$$

| $k^{h}$ afi | (9a) 'snail' |
| :--- | :--- |
| VwaNbue | (9a) 'high tidal wave travelling from west to east' |
| c̆aci | (9a) 'orphan' |
| duNgurira | (9a) 'heartburn' |

Their dual Class membership is determined by the agreements they take: (119)

```
(a) fiNbo \(y\)-aNgu \(y\)-eצ̌a 'my stick is finished' 9a-stick 9-mine 9 -finish
(b) samaki w-angu k-eša 'my fish is finished' 9a-fish 1-mine 1 -finish
```

The NoP in (119a) takes the concords of gender 9:10. However in (119b) the item samaki (9a)'fish' induces gender 1:2 agreements, instead of 9 : 10 , following GAC rule. Generally, the semantic coverage of these nouns belongs to various semantic fields, which include animals, birds, abstract terms, ailments, geographical features, parts of the body (see more detail in Hendrikse and Poulos (1992: 199-201). The semantics of Class 9a nouns are just like Class 9 nouns plus their plural counterparts of Classes 10 and 10a respectively.

Not all animate nouns fall under Class 9 (a)/10(a) nouns. I have observed the following examples where 'fish' and spider induce different class prefixes and concordial morphems:

```
samaki (9a[1]): samaki (10a[2]) 'fish(s)'
bui (5) :m=-bui (6) 'spider(s)'
```


### 3.1.1.10 Classes $10 / 10 \mathrm{a}$

Class 10 shares a basic form with Class 9 in having a homorganic nasal N with consonant-initial noun stems. It is a regular plural for some nouns of Class 9 and also of Class 11. Examples are:
(121)
(a)

| Nbuzi | (9) | Nbuzi | (10) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'goat(s) |  |  |  |
| Nwura | (9) | Nvura | (10) |
| 'rain(s)' |  |  |  |

(b)

$$
\text { r-uso (11) } \quad \text { n-uso (10) 'face(s)' }
$$

ru-rimi (11) Ndimi (10) 'tongue(s)'

## Class 10a

See the discussion of Class 9a above.

### 3.1.1.11 Class 11

The canonical prefix form for Class 11 is /ru-/ (but $r \mathrm{w}$ - in V-initial stems) in KiNgome. Certain nouns alternate between/ru- $\sim u-/$, while others have either lost the initial $/ \mathrm{r}-/$, as a result of SSW influence, and are simply marked with /u-/ prefixes only, or they represent recent loans from SSW into KiNgome. . Thus, we have nouns that are exclusively marked with /ru-/ shape; and these show no sign of changing. We then have another group of nouns where we find optional alternation between $/ \mathrm{ru}$ - and $u-/$. Other nouns have lost $/ \mathrm{r}-/$ and they are now typically marked with / $u-/$, as in SSW. I present example of the three sets in (122a, b \& c) below:
(122)(a) Class 11 with / ru-/ shape.
r-uzi
sru-uzi
(11) 'thread'
ru-rimi
(11) 'tongue'
$r-u s o \quad 幺 \mu-u s o$
(11) 'face'
ru-fizi
(11) 'gum'
ru-kuesa
(11) 'finger nail'
ru-kiri
(11) 'palm fond'
ru-ñayo
(11) 'lower part of the leg'
ru-kosi
(11) 'neck'
ru-iko
(11) 'large wooden spoon'
rw-igo
(11) 'fence'
(b) Class 11 with $/ \mathrm{ru}-\mathrm{u} / /$ shape.
ru-suko ~ u-suko
(11) 'calabash for making butter'
ru-poNdo ~ u-poNdo
(11) 'punting pole'
ru-saNga ~ u-saNga
(11) 'bead'
ru-siNgo ~ u-siNgo
(11) 'poison'
mu-rimi $\sim u m i m i$
(11) 'tongue'
ru-kope ~ u-kope (11) 'eyelash'
(c) Class 11 with $/ u-/$ shape.
u-po
(11) 'bailer'
u-karara
(11) 'petiole of coconut palm'
u-бара
(11) 'river'
u-花ukuti
u-tara
$u-[w]_{a}$
(11) 'palm frond'
(11) 'wooden grain stand'
(11) 'fence'

There is no way one can predict the assignment of Classes 11 nouns into any of these shapes; they are all lexically determined. Class 11 combines with Class 10/10a to form gender 11: 10(a). The following are typical of this gender:
(123)

| ru-bava (11) | Nbava | (10) 'rib(s)' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ru-kuŏa (11) | $\mathrm{kh}_{\text {uca }}$ | (10a) 'nail(s). |
| ru-rimi (11) | Ndimi | (10) 'tongue(s)' |
| ru-bawa ~u-bawa (11) | Nbawa | (10) 'wing(s)' |
| ru-kiri ~ ukiri (11) | $k^{\text {hiri }}$ | (10a) 'narrow strip of palm leaf' |
| ru-sige ~u-sige (11) | sige | (10a) 'eyebrow' |
| ru-po ~ u-po (11) | n̆-upo | (10) 'bailer' |
| ru-igo(11) | ก̆-igo | (10) 'fence' |
| u-bukuti (11) | chukuti | (10a)'palm leaf frond' |

There has been a tendency to regard Class 11 nouns (with its subclasses) as having Class 10(a) and sometimes Class 6 for their plural counterpart. This is an automatic result of equating Class 11 with 14 . Since this study recognises both Class 11 and 14 as distinct, we present gender 11: 10(a) and $14: 6$ as regular genders. We shall extend this discussion after the presentation of Class 14 nouns in § 3.1.1.12.

Furthermore, there are nouns I have assigned to Classes 9a/10a that begin with $/ \mathrm{ru} /$, not with $/ \mathrm{ru}-/$ the prefix. Thus rubani (la)'pilot' cannot be said to begin with a/ru-/prefix, and the following belong to (9a/10a) (NoPs):

```
rutathaNge (9a/10a) 'sword fish'
ruc̆wa (9a/10a) 'termite'
rucuNgwi (9a/10a) 'ant'
```

The above nouns require the agreement of gender $1: 2$. We assign them to Class (9a/10a) based on semantic criteria; they are non-human animate nouns.

### 3.1.1.12 Classes 14 (b)u-

I have observed four variant prefix shapes that are associated with Class 14 in KiNgome: /bu-/,/w-/,/u-/ and / r$] \mathrm{u} /$. The form bu-, although linked directly to proto form *bu- as in bw-iNbwi 'sweetened rice', cannot straightforwardly behave as typical Class 14 form. Semantically, it comprises of nouns that function as Class 14. However, instead of inducing Class 14 concordial agreement, it is associated with Class 5 concords. Hence, I have marked all nouns with 'historical' bu-prefix with a distintive form of (14[5]). I have also observed/w-/ that is found in the environment of a vowel initial stem except $/ \mathrm{u} /$. A typical form wu(< *bu-) that characterises the Class 14 has only been reported in Tuki (A601) (Hendrikse and Poulos 1992). Majority of nouns under this class are marked with / $\mathrm{u}-/$ similar to SSW. The shape $/[r] \mathrm{u}-/$ to which there is an added epenthesis [r], is also common in KiNgome. There are similarities of Class 14 prefix form with /u-/ and / r$] \mathrm{u}-/$ to Class $11 / \mathrm{u}-$ /and/ru-/ respectively. These similarities may lead to the assumption that Classes 11 and 14 have merged. My stand is that Classes 14 and 11 are distinct and that they need to be treated as separate classes. I present arguments and evidence from the KiNgome data that will show that the 'merger' theory is not appropriate even for SSW. First let us see examples of Class 14 .

## Class (14[5]) with /bu-/ shape.

KiNgome has few striking examples I prefer to regard as Class 14[5])/bu-(bu- in V-initial stems):
(125)

```
bw-iNbwi (14[5)) 'sweetened rice'
bu-Nbwi (14[5]) 'sorcery'
```

| bu－ba | $(14[5])$＇blister＇ |
| :--- | :--- |
| bw－aNgwari | $(14[5])$＇hunger＇ |
| bw－aNda | $(14[5])$＇garb＇ |
| b－obo | $(14[5])$＇yoghurt＇ |

Interestingly，one example，bw－iNbwi（14［5］）＇sweetened rice＇has a cognate in KiMatumbi，a neighbouring Bantu language，which is bwéembé（14）＇flour＇，that still takes Class 14 ／bu－／concord（see Odden （1996：22）．bw－iNbwi（14［5］）＇sweetened rice＇in KiNgome takes gender 5： 6 concondial agreement．This prefix form（plus its semantic content）is a remnant of a proto－form that deserves to be associated with Class 14 with noticiable shift of the corresponding agreement morphemes just like in GAC rules．The items in（125）with／bu－／partly support the demarcation of distinct Classes 11 and 14 in KiNgome．This prefix／bu－／lead to／wu－／ and finally $/ \mathrm{u} /$ which in some cases attract by analogy［r］epenthesis．Class 11 ／ru－／derives from $C B *$ du－the remnants of which are found scantily in KiPokomo．We shall extend this account of the historical development of Classes 11 and 14 in Chapter 6．We present below typical cases of Class 14 with u－and ru－prefixes：
（a）
u－buiti
（14）＇bone marrow＇
u－čeza
（14）＇play＇
u－sono
（14）＇anus＇
u－cukwi
（14）＇rice＇
u－Jヨッa
（14）＇stream＇
い－fera
（14）＇fish oil＇
u－kuru
（14）＇sexual desire＇
u－penu
（14）＇veranda＇
u－rajuwa
（14）＇east＇
u－roto
（14）＇brain＇
u－siku
（14）＇night＇
u－gema ：magema（ni）
（14：6）＇sea bank（s）＇
u－kミrara ；ma－kョrara
（14：6）＇coconut leaf stem（s）＇
（b）

```
[r]ufi
(14) 'gruel'
[r]u-koma
(14) 'leprosy'
[r]u-Nga
(14) 'flour'
[r]u-kwiNdi
(14) 'teeth decay'
[r]u-c̆wero
(14) 'west'
[r]u-ธ̌awi
(14) 'witchcraft'
[r]u-meta ~ umeta
(14) 'lightness'
[r]uhaNga ~ uhaNga
(14) 'birdlime'
[r]u-goNgo ~ ugoNgo
(14) 'customary payment at rituals'
[r]u-pere:mapere
(14: 6) 'scabies'
[r]-uwa imauwa
(14:6) 'flower(s)'
[r]u-deNdama-deNda
(14:6) 'dribble'
[r]u-saha: ma-saha
(14:6) 'pus'
[r]u-wiNgu: ma-wiNgu
(14: 6) 'cloud(s)'
```

In the examples above, we note numerous nouns with Class $14 / \mathrm{u}-/$ shape that resulted from the historical loss of the prefix onset/w/ before $/ \mathrm{u} /$. Both prefixal forms in ( $126 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ) appear in consonant initial stems. Majority of nouns in this class are singularia tantum . Other take Class 6 as their plural counterpart hence form gender 14: 6. As noticed in 126b, a handful of nouns frequently add epenthetic [ r$]$ before / $\mathrm{u}-/$. For vowel initial stems (except / $\mathrm{u}-/$ ), as in (127),

```
!"-ari (14) 'cooked rice'
w-izi (14)'theft' c.f.u-izi = w-izi
w-ivu (14) 'jealousy'c.f. u-ivu * w-ivu
w-oga (14) 'cowardice' c.f. u-oga > w-oga
w-aNga (14) 'witchcraft' c.f. u-aNga > w-aNga
```

/u-/ becomes / w -/ in the environment of vowel initial stems (except $/ \mathrm{u} /$ ). This is a regular process in KiNgome just like ki--> ky , mu $\rightarrow \mathrm{mw}$, bu--> bw. et. c.

From the observation of data above, I present two arguments that will help to refute the merger theory. First, the similarity between Class 11
$/ \mathrm{ru}-/$ and Class $14 /[\mathrm{r}] \mathrm{u}-/$ is just a coincidence. But the initial ' r ' in both classes has different diachronic accounts. Similarly the Class $14 / \mathrm{u}-/$ derived from the loss of $/ \mathrm{w}-/$ is not the same as Class $11 / \mathrm{u}-/$ derived diachronically from the loss of $/ \mathrm{y}-/$ from $/ \mathrm{ru}-/ / \mathrm{yu}-/ / / \mathrm{u}-/$.

Secondly, Class 11 (including subclasses) regularly takes Class 10 (or 10a) as its plural counterpart. They simply belong to the gender 11:10. Whilst Class 14 (and its subclasses) regularly form the gender 14: 6 or 14 (singularia tantum). Such regularity is crucial for distinguishing Class 11 from Class 14.

### 3.1.1.13 Class $15 \mathrm{ku}-$

Similar to other Eastern Bantu languages, Class $15 / \mathrm{ku}-/$ (with its allomorph ko- determined by VHH) functions as the infinitive in KiNgome. These are nouns derived from verbs and refer to the event or action expressed by the verb itself. Like the locatives they constitute a one-class gender. The following are examples of both ku - in C -initial and V-initial stems :
(a) C-stems

| ku-fama | (15) 'to defecate' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ko-nora | (15) 'to remove' |
| ko-teNda | (15) 'to do' |
| ku-fipa | (15) 'to bale out water (from a boat)' |
| ku-fuNkha | (15) 'to cultivate' |
| ku-riNga | (15) 'to look at' |

(b) V-stems

| kw-enura | (15) 'to lift' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kw-imba | (15) 'to sing' |
| kw-era | (15) 'to climb' |
| kw-aNbata | (15) 'to flourish' |

Class 15 ( $\mathrm{ku}-$ ) has no inherited nouns that are lexically assigned to it. All are productively derived from a verb stems. Class 15 in (128a) has /ku- ~ $\mathrm{ko}-/$ as phonologically determined allomorphs which harmonise with the height of the stem vowel (/ku-/ for peripheralheight vowels and / ko-/ for mid-height vowels). No other Swahili dialects shows these Class 15 prefix variants. Class 15 in (128b) appears as
$/ \mathrm{kw}-/$ following a glide formation resulting from $\mathrm{ku}-+\mathrm{V}$-initial stems (except u-). However, I have noted an exception in the case of k-aga instead of kw-aga 'to treat' which is supposedly derived from ku-aga.

### 3.1.1.14 Classes $16 / 17 / 18$

KiNgome shares with other Swahili dialects the expression of location by means of one-class genders $16 / \mathrm{pa}-/, 17 / \mathrm{ku} /$, and $18 / \mathrm{mu}-/$. These classes have only a few lexical members such as pa-moja (16) 'together', ku-zimu (17) 'hades' the rest of the nouns being derived from the combination of locative prefixes and dependent nominals (e.g., adjectives or numerals).

| pa-re | (16) 'there-at |
| :--- | :--- |
| ku-re | (17) 'towards there' |
| mu-re $\sim$ N-re | (18) 'here-in' |
| ha-pa | 'here-at ' (16) |
| hu-ku | 'towards here' (17) |
| hu-mu | 'here in -(18) |

Unlike SSW however the locative particles can stand without the dependent nominals, e.g.:
(130)

| fukura po utakiono | 'dig (16) there, you will see it' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kino akyo po | 'that over (16) 'there' |
| tokomera ko wende | 'get out, go (17) over there' |
| kapiti pa | 'he passed (16) here' |
| mu mu mu | 'exactly in (18) here' |
| awe ku ko ka | 's/he has to be (17) there' |

KiMakunduchi also shows a similar use of independent particles as reported by Whiteley (1959:66) in the following examples:
 kisu kiwa yiko? kiwa vavovo 'where is the knife? it is (17) here.' kisu kiwa wapi? hakipo pa 'where is the knife? it is not (16) here

Similar usage occurs in KiPokomo (see Geider 1990: 442) as follows: (132)

(18) 'exactly there'

SSW and KiMvita prefer the use of full forms, ha-pa, hu-ko, humu. This is not the case with KiNgome and KiMakunduchi (and even KiPokomo) as they both allow the locative particles pa, ku, and mu to stand by themselves to express the ideas of (16) 'here', (17) 'over there', and (18) 'in here'. Striking forms like wapano 'they are here (16)' or wamumo 'they are there (17)' are simply instances of a verbo-norminal common in many Bantu languages.

The independent locative particles $\mathrm{pa}, \mathrm{ku}$, and mu qualify as primary classes as they behave in the same way as the other primary noun prefixes behave in inducing agreement with the governing locative affixes. KiNgome provides the following evidence:

| a-yo mataka yo-kufa | 'that car is coming' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mu mu-no mu-mu | 'in there in here ' |
| kaniraga ko-kweNda Mrari ko | 'he told me that he is going to Mrari' |

## 3. 1. 2 The Secondary Noun Classes

This section looks into the augmentative and diminutive formations as secondary functions of some of the prefixes we have discussed in $\S 3.1 .1$ under the primary classification. We begin with the augmentative in $\S 3$. 1.2. 1 and we shall present diminutives in $\S 3.1 .2$. 2 .

### 3.1.2.1 Augmentatives

The form $/ \mathrm{f}^{i-/}$, normally found in monomoraic and i -initial noun stems of Class 5, marks augmentation. I have used a distinct way of marking augmentative classes by adding ( $x$ ) after Class membership they share i.e ( $5 x$ ) and ( $6 x$ ). This augmentative prefix can be combined with any noun stem (animate or inanimate) to express the idea of greatness or hugeness:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f i-N t h_{u} & \langle 5 x\rangle & : & m \exists-j i N t h_{u}  \tag{134}\\
\text { fi-su } & (6 x) \text { 'giant' } \\
\text { (5x } & : \quad m a-f i s u & (6 x) \text { 'large knife' }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { fi-ke } & (5 x) & : & \text { ma-fike } & \text { (6x) 'large female animal' } \\
\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{aNga} & (5 \mathrm{x}) & ; & \text { ma-faNga } & \text { (6x) 'calamity' }
\end{array}
$$

The feature NoP may also marks augmentation in some lexicon. However, we may derive these particular augmentatives when we omit the diminitive prefix ki- (here marked (7x-) as the examples below illustrate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k i \text {-dude (7x-) 'small thing' }>\text { dude (5x) 'nondescript' }  \tag{135}\\
& \text { ki-duNgo (7x-) 'anklet' }>\text { duNgo (5x) 'big ankle' } \\
& \mathbf{k i} \text {-duNda (7x-) 'hillock' }>\text { duNda (5x) 'ant hill' } \\
& \mathbf{k i} \text {-furu ( } 7 \mathrm{x} \text { - ) 'coconut shell' > furu (5x) 'large coconut shell' } \\
& \mathbf{k i - r i N d i ~ ( 7 x - ) ~ ' s m a l l ~ p i t ' ~} \quad>r i N d i ~(5 x) ~ ' p i t ' ~ \\
& \text { ki-koNbe (7x-) 'cup' } \quad=\text { koNbe ( } 5 x \text { ) 'big plate' }
\end{align*}
$$

Both augmentatives marked with fi or NOP attached Class 6 (ma-) as their plural. One may be tempted to regard, say ma-fi-su 'large knife' to consist of preprefix ma-followed by prefix $\mathfrak{f i}$ - that carries the sense of hugeness or 'multitude'. It is also interesting to note that the sense of augmentation and diminutiveness is transparently reflected in the names of Swahili settlements such as Malindi region, KiliNdoni district, Lindi region, and MaduNdani ward.

### 3.1.2.2 Diminutives

The available data show that the form $/ \mathrm{ki}-/$ (vi- pl.) is the only prefix used to derive diminutives in KiNgome. The reconstructed Bantu *ka- diminutive has not been found in my data. The derived diminutives are generated by attaching the /ki-/ prefix to the nominal stems, as shown below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ki-toto } & (7 x-) \text { 'small child' }  \tag{136}\\
\text { ki-goNbe } & (7 x-) \text { 'small cattle' } \\
\text { ki-suguru } & \text { (7x-) 'ant-hill' }
\end{array}
$$

The above seem to be derived diminutives, their base stems having a
basic referential meaning e.g. - to to (1) 'big child'. Further to the above derived forms, KiNgome exhibits a 'lexicalised' /ki-/ diminutive noun form that lacks more basic noun stems, in other words, their stem has no basic referential meaning when standing alone without the $/ \mathrm{ki}-/$ prefix. (137)

| ki-n̆ehe | vi-n̆ehe | (7x-/8x-) 'calf' cf. *nehe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ki-famaNda | vi-famaNda | (7x-/8x-) 'small round basket cf. *famaNda |
| ki-baNgu | vi-c̆aNgu | (7x-/8x-) 'small shark' 'chaNgu (9/10) 'emperor variegated fish' |
| ki-nidigina | vi-niiNgina | (7x-/8x-) 'great-grand son'cf. *n-iNgina |
| ki-tuture | vi-tuture | (7x-/8x-) 'small hut (s)' cf. *tuture |
| ki-boko | vi-boko | (7x-/8x-) 'sea snail(s)' but boNkho (9/10) |
|  |  | 'hippo' |
| ki-goya | vi-goya | (7x-/8x-) 'small rayfish' cf.*goya |

Noted above are cases of lexicalised (frozen) diminutives nouns in KiNgome. These give us the impression that diminutivisation is both a productive formation as well as a diachronic process in KiNgome.

## 3. 2 The agreement system:

Based on the table in (93), the preceding sections have discussed the primary and secondary nominal prefixes of KiNgome. This section will continue to describe forms marking the agreement between these nominal prefixes and their phrasal constituents including the governing of subject and object in the verb complex as shown in (93). KiNgome, like other Bantu languages with a noun class system, displays an asymmetric agreement system where the prefix in the head noun overtly governs the set of prefixes occurring in other noun phrase constituents such as adjectives, possessives, anaphoric pronouns, subject and object prefixes in the verb, verb relative markers, etc.

As observed in (93), the prefix of the head noun governs a set of agreeing elements in the noun and verb phrase. I have selected the pronominal possessives, the complementizer of the interrogative particle -pi? 'which', the demonstrative marker, the associative marker, the verb relative marker and the subject and object markers in order to illustrate the main characteristics of this agreement system. Although the shapes of the agreements in KiNgome differ slightly from other Bantu system, We will pay less attention to the seemingly similarities to allow
us to focus on peculiarities.

### 3.2.1 The pronominal possessives

The pronominal possessives have to agree with their head nouns. The markers differ slightly from other agreements elements such as that found in the associative in classes such as Classes 1a and 2a. I have presented Classes 9a and 10a markers that refer to inanimate nouns in that gender. The animate nouns that fall in that Class take gender 1: 2 agreement forms. It will be recalled that this thesis distinguishes Class 1a:2a human animate and Classes 9a: 10a non-human animate. We present below the agreement chart for pronominal possessives together with appropriate examples :
(138)

| Class | Poss. | Examples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | w- |  | 'my wife' |
| (1a) | $y^{-}$ | baba $y$-ヨNgu | 'my father' |
| (2) | z- | wake z-aNgu | 'my wives' |
| (2a) | z- | baba z-aNgu | 'my fathers' |
| (3) | w- |  | 'my tree' |
| (4) | $y^{-}$ | miti $y$-aNgu | 'my trees' |
| (5) | ry- | jino ry-aNgu | 'my tooth' |
| (6) | $y^{-}$ | magari $y$-aNgu | 'my cars' |
| (7) | ky- | $k i N t h_{u} k y-a N g u$ | 'my thing' |
| (8) | vy- | viti vy-3Ngu | 'my chairs' |
| (9) | $y^{-}$ | Ndizi $y$ - $\mathrm{ENg}^{\text {g }}$ | 'my banana' |
| (9a) | $\mathrm{y}^{-}$ | siku y-aNgu | 'my day' |
| (10) | z- | Ndizi z-aNgu | 'my bananas' |
| (10a) | $z-$ | samaki z-aNgu | 'my fish' |
| (11) | rw- | rugoNfwa rw-aNgu | 'my illness' |
| (14) | [- | ufiNga w-aNgu | 'my foolishness' |
| (14[5) | $\mathrm{r} \mathrm{y}^{-}$ | bwiNbwi r-aNgu | 'my sweetened rice' |
| (15) | kw- | kubini kw-aNgu | 'my cultivation' |
| (16) | $\mathrm{P}^{-}$ | pare p-aNgu | 'my place' |
| (17) | kw- | kure kw-aNgu | 'my place there' |
| (18) | m- | mure mu-aNgu | 'my place 'over there' |

The most interesting pronominal possessive markers displayed here by KiNgome are shown by Class $1 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 6,7,11$ and 14 . These forms help to show the difference between Class 1 and Class 1 a by invoking different prefixes of the 1st person possessive stem /-aNgu/ 'mine'.
In Class 7, we see the agreement form / ky-/ that characterizes KiNgome together with KiMakunduchi (KiBwejuu) and KiPemba, where SSW and other dialects have / $\check{\mathrm{c}}$-/ instead.

## 3. 2. 2 The demonstratives

Demonstratives in KiNgome shows all signs of an amalgamate of forms with clear influences of the surrounding P10 \& P20, P30 and SSW. Essentially, there are forms displaying 'basic' demonstratives that behave as modifiers, fukura $p^{\circ}$ ' dig it there', mwari yure 'that girl'. There is also a productive set of complex demonstrative where locative 'elements' are encliticised to the base form as in, kapiti=pa 'she passed here', ritupe=ko throw it over there. The final type are emphatic demonstratives which are mainly derived by reduplication of locative morphemes such as, mu mu mu 'exactly in here', awe ku ko ko 's/he has to be exactly there' or yu papa 's/he is very here'. The emphatic demonstrative may also be marked by -no suffix, as in rete pa-no 'bring it here', kiti ki-no 'that very chair.

The basic functions of demonstratives in KiNgome (and indeed other Bantu languages) is to specify a variety of spatial parameters in terms of proximity, distance or referential. We present below four primary series of demonstratives in the following order : I) 'Dem. 1' representing proximity i.e., 'this', 2)'Dem. 2' representing referential 'that', 3)'Dem. 3' representing non- proximity, i.e. , 'that over there' and 4) emphatic (this very one).

| Class | Dem. 1 | Dem. 2 | Dem. 3 | Emphatic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (a) | ( h ) uyu/ayu | (h) uyo | yure~ yuye | yuno |
| 2 (a) | ( h ) аша | ( h ) a [w]o | ware ~waye | wano |
| 3 | huu /aru |  | ure ~uye | runo |
| 4 | hii | (h)ivo | ire ~iye | ino |
| 5 | (h)iri | (h)iryo/ryo | rire ~yiye | rino |
| 6 | (h)aya~aga | (h) ayo/go | yare ~yaye | yano |
| 7 | (h)iki/aki | (h)ikyo/kyo | kire ~kiye | ki-no |
| 8 | (h)ivi/avi | (h) ivolvyo | vire ~viye | vino |
| 9 | hii | (h)iyo | ire ~iye | ino |
| 9 a | as in Cl .1 |  |  |  |
| 10 | (h)izi | (h)izo/zo | zire ~ziye | zino |
| 10(a) | as in Cl .2 |  |  |  |
| 11 | hus | (h)u[w]o/ro | ure ~ uye | runo |
| 14 | hus | (h) uwo | ure ~uye | uno |
| 15 | (h)uku / ku | kuko | kure ~ kuye | kuno |
| 16 | (h) apa/pa | papo | pare ~ paye | pano, Papa |
| 17 | ( h ) uku/ku | kuko | kure ~ kuye | kuno,koko |
| 18 | (h) wmu / mu | mumo | mure ~muye | muno,mumu |

Note in (139) a variety of demonstrative in the form of (C)V-CV, CV-V, V-CV and C(G)V. To a great extent, these variations reflect the influence of the neighbouring languages towards KiNgome. The form V-CV is uncommon among other Swahili members but is a characteristics of P1020, P30, Ekoti and even distant relative of Pokomo. Most Swahili dialects have a canonical pattern of $h V-(C) V$ for Dem 1 and Dem 2 columns; Examples in SSW we have cases like hi-vi, hi-i, hi-zo. The h in KiNgome is only obligatory in Dem 1- Cl. 4, 9, 10a, 11 and 14. It is also significant to note that there are several strict V-CV alternant forms in KiNgome which also dominate Dem. 1 and Dem. 2 forms in Makhuwa (Woodward 1926: 284), Makonde (Steere 1876: 25) Ekoti (Schadeberg and Mucanheia 2000: 68) and partly Pokomo (Geider 1990: 436). Examples for Dem 1 and Dem. 2 in Classes 1 and 2 from these languages reveal the
following distribution of V－CV patterns： （140）

Class 1
Dem． 1 Dem． 2
MakoNde a－yu
Makhuwa u－la
Ekoti
o－tu
Pokomo
KiNgome（h）u－yu／ayu
SSW
hu－yu
u－yu
u－yo
o－to
а－ушо
（h）u－yo
hu－yo

Class 2
Dem． 1
аーшョ
a－1
a－pa
（h）аーшョ
ha－wa
（h）$a-[w] 0$
Dem． 2 шョーyョ
a－yo
a－po
hョーo

The emerging picture is that Kingome forms are closer to both camps．It absorbed V－CV forms from the neighbouring Bantu languages whilst the h －initial form is a result of SSW influence．Let us now see a list of striking KiNgome demonstratives I have recorded in the field that will elucidate other patterns such as $C(G) V, C V-C V$ and $C V-V$ ：

| Class | Example |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | （h）uyu mwana anakwawa | ＇this child is walking＇ |
|  | a－yu mjeni wetu | ＇this is our guest＇ |
| 2 | wari marenmaye | ＇those girls＇ |
| 3 | njoo uriNge muti aru | ＇come to see this tree＇ |
| 4 | miti ino mikuza | ＇these trees are huge＇ |
| 5 | vugaza ryo raNgo | ＇close that door＇ |
| 6 | gamwegeni ago mijiji | ＇pour down that water＇ |
| 7 | kyuNba kino kyaNgu | ＇this room is mine＇ |
|  | kiringe aki kirivyo | ＇see how this look like＇ |
| 8 | visu vino | ＇those very knives＇ |
| 9 | Nbegu hii ya afaa | ＇this seed of afaa＇ |
| 10 | kazičañaNte Nguo zino | ＇go and wash those clothes＇ |
|  | kazitupe eNbe zo | ＇throw those mangoes＇ |
| 11 | rukuca runo | ＇that very nail＇ |
| 14 | muvuNba ryo | ＇that incense＇ |
| 15 | kurima kuno kwaumiza | ＇such hoeing hurts back＇ |
|  | noNgo |  |
| 16 | fukura po utakiono | ＇dig overthere you will se |

's/he should be over there'

It is worth mentioning here that KiNgome speakers have freedom to choose among the alternate demonstrative forms. More interesting, they can use certain demonstratives in pre-nonimal or post-nominal (modifier) positions as in ayu mfeni 'this guest' or mfeni ayu 'this guest' without necessarily change of focus or emphasis.

### 3.2.3 Interrogatives

This is an area where I found quite some disparity between KiNgome and other Swahili dialects. Despite the occurrence of at least 16 forms of nominal prefixes that determine the concordial agreement of other types of NP constituents, KiNgome has chosen only 7 forms of the agreement morphemes to combine with the interrogative particle $/-\mathrm{pi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ? to express 'which?' e.g. . gari ye-pi? (5) 'which car?'.
Clearly, KiNgome has fewer agreement morphemes in combination with $-p^{i} ?$ to form the interrogative pronouns. The following are examples:
(142)

| Class | Agrm. | Examples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | ye- | muNt $h_{u}$ ye-pi? | 'which person ?' |
| (1a) | ye- | baba yepi? | 'which father? |
| (2) | we- | watoto we-pi? | 'which children'? |
| (2a) | we- | baba wepi? | 'which fathers'? |
| (3) | we- | muti ye-pi ? | 'which tree?' |
| (4) | ye- | miti ye-pi? | 'which trees?' |
| (5) | ye- | gari ye-pi ? | 'which car ?' |
| (6) | ye- | magari ye-pi ? | 'which cars? |
| (7) | kye- | kisu kye-pi? | 'which knife?' |
| (8) | vye- | viNthu vye-pi? | 'what things?' |
| (9) | ye- | Ndizi ye-pi ? | 'which banana ?' |
| (9a) | ye- | siku ye-pi? | 'which day? |
| (10) | ze- | Ndizi ze-pi ? | 'which bananas ?' |
| (10a) | ze- | siku zepi? | 'which days' |
| (11) | ye- | rukuc̆a ye-pi ? | 'which nail ?' |


| we- | ufiNga we-pi? | 'which foolishness?' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kwe- | kurima kwe-pi? | 'which to cultivate?' |
| pe- | pahari pe-pi? | which place?' |
| kwe- | kwahari kwe-pi? | which place?' |
| we- | mahari we-pi? | which place?' |

The data in (142) reveal that the predominant form is ye-pi, which occurs in Classes 1, 1a, 4, 5, 6, 9, 9a, and 11 and 14 . This is a noticeable unique distribution of ye-pi? in KiNgome.

### 3.2.4 Associative constructions

The KiNgome associative marker is a bound form $/-a /$ that is combined with the agreement morpheme to realise categories such as the possessive construction. The characteristics of the possessive construction such as $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{e}}$-a NjoNb b 'the uncle's wife' require the possessive to agree with the possessed noun, nomen regens (here being $\mathrm{Nk}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{e}}}$ ) rather than with the possessor, nomen rectum, that follows, (here being N foNba). Other examples are:

| Class | Ass. | Examples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | w- | Nkhe -a NfoNba | 'the uncle's wife'. |
| 1a | w | baba -a kaNbo | 'step father' |
| 2 | w- | wake -a NfoNba | the uncle's wives' |
| 2a | w- | baba (zaNgu) ma kaNbo | 'my step fathers' |
| 3 | w- | NTthungi ma maji | 'a calabash of water' |
| 4 | $y-$ | mituNgi y-a mafi | 'calabashes of water' |
| 5 | $\mathrm{ry}^{-}$ | fico r-a joNbe | 'the cow's eye' |
| 6 | $\mathrm{g}^{-} \sim \mathrm{y}^{-}$ | mafi g-a kuñwa | 'drinking water' |
| 7 | ky- | kifuru ky-a kyeNga | 'the shell of KyeNga' |
| 8 | vy- | vifuru vy-a kyeNga | 'the shells of KyeNga' |
| 9 | $y^{-}$ | Ndizi y-a Nithoto | 'a child's banana' |
| 9 a | $y^{-}$ | fimbo y-a nunu | 'a grandmother's stick' |
| 10 | z- | Ndizi z-a Ņthoto | 'children's banana' |
| 10a | z- | fimbo $\mathbf{z - a}$ nunu | 'grandmother's sticks' |
| 11 | w- | rupo v-a Notoo | 'the bailer of a dugout canoe' |
| 14 | w- | uzuri -a Nkhe | 'the beauty of a wife' |


| 15 | kw- | kurima ke-a tirikita | 'to cultivate by a tractor' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | $\mathrm{P}^{-}$ | pare p-a Haji | 'that place of Haji' |
| 17 | kw | kure kw-a baba | 'that place of my father' |
| 18 | mw- mure ma baba | 'that place over there of my |  |
|  |  |  | father' |

## 3. 3 Personal pronouns

KiNgome exhibits emphatic personal pronoun forms identical to those of KiPemba and KiTumbatu .

| mi-ye | $(1 \mathrm{sg})$ 'I' | si-ye | (1pl) 'us' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we-ye | $(2 \mathrm{sg})$ 'you' | ni-ye | $(2 \mathrm{pl})$ 'you' |
| ye-ye | $(3 \mathrm{sg})^{\prime}$ 'him/her' | wa-re/ye | $(3 \mathrm{pl})$ 'them' |

I have in my data the following examples:

```
weye peka ョko 'you alone'
sive moNthe 'all of us'
kwani ňiye kuwamo? 'are you in there?'
miye ňitヨa\exists\mp@code{ibuga 'I will try myself'}
```

Other forms of pronouns are similar to those found in other Swahili dialects in general.

## 3. 4. Lexical Morphology

The morphology of KiNgome allows several grammatical processes: word-formation, compounding and reduplication. Discussion is limited here to the verb-to-noun derivation process, nominal compounding, and nominal reduplication.

## 3. 4. 1 Class $6 / \mathrm{ma} /$ nominalization in KiNgome

This subsection reports a striking fact about the creation of the noun forms from verbal forms in KiNgome. I present in (146) some irregularity in the formation of certain nouns between SSW noun forms and KiNgome noun forms as derived from their respective bases.,
(146)

| Verb bases |  | Noun forms in SSW | Noun form KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zik-ョ | (V) 'bury' | ma-zi¥-i (6) | ma-zik-o | (6) 'funeral' |
| 1i-a | (V) 'cry' | ki-li-o (7) | ma-rir-o | (6) 'bereavement' |
| -rim-a | (V) 'cultivate' | u-kulim-a (11) | a-kurim-a | (6) 'agriculture' |
| -kojo(r)-3 | (V) 'urinate' | mi-kof-o (4) | ma-kof-o | (6) 'urine' |
| - fug-a | (V) 'breed' | u-fug-afi (11) | ma-fug-afi | (6) 'husbandry' |
| -gomb-a | (V) 'quarrel' | u-gomv-i (11) | $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{goNv}-\mathrm{i}$ | (6) 'quarrelling' |
| -vu(r)-a | (V) 'fish' | u-vuv-i (11) | ma-vuv-i | (6) 'fishing' |
| 1al-a | (V) 'sleep' | ma-laloni (11) | ma-rir-o | (6) 'cemetery' |
| -za(r)-a | (V) 'give birth' | u-zaz-i (11) | ma-zaz-i | (6) 'delivery' |

Noted in (146) are the distinct derivational processes by which verb forms in SSW and KiNgome become noun forms but which differ slightly in their prefix categories. This is not to say that there are no similarities between SSW and KiNgome in the derived forms, the striking facts noted here are the differences in the choice of inflectional prefixes in the two dialects. During the derivation process, both SSW and KiNgome display similar final vowels which are regarded as lexical formatives. There are basically four possibilities:
(i) Replacement of final vowel with $/-\mathrm{o} /$.
(ii) Replacement of final vowel with $/-\mathrm{i} /$.
(iii) Keep the final vowel as $/-\mathrm{a} /$.
(iv) Replace the final vowelwith /-aji/

However, the selection of inflectional categories within derived words differs markedly and this raises concern by the seeming regularity on the part of KiNgome to select /ma-/ prefixes on above nouns. Before we discuss the disparity in the choice of prefixes in KiNgome and SSW, let us sketch what may be regarded as regularities in the choice of prefixes in the above derivation. Although it is difficult to generalise the semantic content of the derived nouns under Class 6 in KiNgome, their counterparts in SSW provide a hint that the regular prefix forms should mostly involve a /ru-/ prefix instead of $/ \mathrm{ma}-/$. Thus the prefix forms displayed by SSW are the regular or 'unmarked' ones.

Therefore, the productive formation for KiNgome would have to include $/ \mathrm{ru}-/$ prefix in the formation of these specific nouns (under Class 6) from verb forms. If we cite the case of $\quad z \exists(r)$ a (V) 'give birth', we
anticipate the noun form to be uzazi $(11=14)$ 'delivery' in SSW and ruzazi (14) 'delivery' in KiNgome. On the contrary, we see ma-zazi (6) 'delivery' in KiNgome. The choice of ma- prefixes in such a place is totally unexpected and is a virtually productive process. The use of the productive form $/ \mathrm{ru}-/$ is blocked by the established tendencies involving such words.

To account for the choice of ma- in the above specific noun forms in KiNgome we need to consider what is regarded by Matthews (1991: 76) as the distinction between established lexemes and potential lexemes. Matthews (ibid.) points out that 'an established lexeme is one that is actually part of a speaker's vocabulary' and 'a potential lexeme is one that could be created by a productive process.' The choice of $/ \mathrm{ma}-/$ prefixes in KiNgome is typical of the case of the established lexemes.

## 3. 4. 2 Compound nouns

Compounding is a derivational process by which compound lexemes are derived from two or more simpler lexemes (Matthews 1991: 82). They may be formed from different parts of speech. The most productive one in KiNgome is $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$---> N . (147) illustrates some cases of such compounding:
(147)

| [khuku] $N$ [thaNda] chicken pond | $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow-->[k u k u t h a n d a] N$ 'goose' |
| :---: | :---: |
|  shark machete |  |
| [khara]n [uvi] N crab | --- [karawuyi]n 'type of orab' |
| $h_{a N b a r a] ~}^{\text {N [nači] }}$ |  | the crawling ground

There are also $N+A d j$, and $A d v$ and $V$ compounds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[k i p i N g u]_{N}[m j u f u] \text { aDV } \rightarrow[k i p i N g u m f u f u]_{\text {aDV }} \text { 'unsettled' }} \\
& \text { (7)'seat' 'up' } \\
& \text { [kiti]N [NbaNba] ADJ } \quad->[k i t i N b a N b a](9 a / 10 a) \text { 'problems' } \\
& \text { (7)'chair' 'trouble' }
\end{aligned}
$$

| [kičaNga]N[puNgwi]ADJ (7)'sand' 'breezy' | $\rightarrow\left[k i\right.$ andgap $^{h_{u N g w i]}} \mathrm{N}(1 a)$ 'a white sand beach' |
| :---: | :---: |
| [weNda]V[usiku]N | $\rightarrow[w e N \text { dausiku }]_{\mathrm{N}}(2)$ 'witch' |
| 'to go' 14'night' |  |
| [mwaka]N [koga]V <br> (3)'year' (V) to bath | --> [mwakakhoga $]_{N}$ (3) 'Swahili New Year's cleansing ritual' |

Both compounds in (147) and (148) are basically made up of two major syntactic functions i.e.; a Head + Modifier. In the compound a head noun is shown to be modified by an adjective or another noun. The left-hand constituent of the compounds is the head while the right-hand constituent is the modifier. However there are also certain words I suspect to be compounds but I cannot prove it as I can't find the meanings of what seem to be the component words or where the original combination was, e.g.
[kiñiNgina $]_{N}$ 'great grand-son'
kiNguruphi[y]a]N 'parasitic plant'

## 3. 4. 3 Nominal reduplication

Reduplication is a constituent copying process that may copy entire morphemes or words (Marantz 1982). I present examples of typical nominal reduplication in (150):

```
yavu (5) 'lung'> ma-yavuyavu (6) 'lungs'
Njege (5) 'jaw'> NjegeNjege (10) 'jaws'
```

Presumably they have the same meaning, both constituents that combine to form a single reduplicated word can have semantic properties of their own. However, we have numerous forms of 'repetition of nonindependent signs' known as onomatopoeic reduplication ( Marchand 1969: 81) as presented in (151):

| poNbopoNbo | (5) 'type of tree' |
| :--- | :--- |
| gudugudu | (5) 'dry coconut' |
| zeruzeru | (1a) 'albino' |

## 3. 5 Summary

In this Chapter, I have examined the nominal morphology of KiNgome. Our key concern has been the primary and secondary classification system, and agreement system. This chapter has suggested a reconsideration of the merger theory concerning Class $11=14$. The data and arguments presented in this chapter have clearly separated these classes as distinct. We have also seen the special way in which nouns are derived from verb forms into Class 6 with ma-prefixes in KiNgome.

Finally, I have surveyed a few aspects of lexical morphology in KiNgome. Our specific concern was the unique display of the verb-tonoun derivation system, nominal compounding and nominal reduplication. In the next chapter, we go on to consider the verbal morphology of KiNgome.

## Chapter 4: Morphology II

## 4. 0 Verbal Morphology

The present chapter provides a description of KiNgome verbal morphology. We will concentrate on the analysis of the categories of morphosyntactic and word-formation properties that form the basis of the inflectional and derivational processes which operate in the system. We will divide our description into two major sections: the prefix morphology and the suffixal morphology. Initially I present a brief overview of the verb.

As is typical in Bantu languages, KiNgome is characterised by a verbal complex that consists of possible sequences of inflectional prefixes followed by a stem. The stem in turn has an obligatory root, optional extensions, and an obligatory inflectional 'terminal vowel'18 or final vowel (FV). The nucleus of the verbal morphology is the verb root, here labelled VR, which allows a number of prefixes and suffixes to be affixed to it. Thus a KiNgome form -riNga (V) 'see' is composed of the root riNg- plus the terminal vowel -a. The inflected forms $u-r i N g-e$ 'you should see' is composed of 2 sgSM , the verb root, and the subjunctive marker -e. In the case of rim-i other', it is composed of rim- 'cultivate', the causative -isy, the reciprocal -an and the terminal vowel -a. Thus, the derivational stem becomes rimisan-. The combination of a derived stem plus the 'terminal vowel' morpheme realises the stem rimisana. The prototypical inflectional properties of the VP mainly involve the marking of the subject and object (person and number) agreement, polarity (negative marking), tense and aspect, indicators of relative clause function and reflexive action. All these inflectional morphemes precede the verb root. The suffixes include derivational suffixes or 'extensions' that modify the valency of the verb, the inflectional terminal vowels that indicate in part the nature of the clause i.e. subjunctive, indicative, or negative, and in some cases a further plural formative -ni, may come after the terminal vowel.

Some of the typical Bantu extensions are highly productive (causative, passive, applicative, reciprocal, stative), while others ( reversive -u, static -am, and contactive-at, and so on ) are to a certain extent lexically restricted.

[^14]
## 4. 1 The KiNgome verb template

KiNgome VP structure, like that of SSW (see Scotton 1967:16, Eastman 1967:1, Polome 1967:120, and Schadeberg 1984:14), have categories that occupy a consistent position in all or most of the relevant word forms. I present the hypothetical template realising the order of these categories as follows :
(152)

| $\mathrm{NEG}^{1}+\mathrm{SM}+\mathrm{NEG}^{2}+\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{REL}^{1}+\mathrm{OM}+\mathrm{REFL} / \mathrm{STM}+\mathrm{VR}+\mathrm{EXT}+\mathrm{FV} / \mathrm{VC}+\mathrm{PL} / \mathrm{REL}^{2} / \mathrm{CL}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

position contents

1 preinitial negative affix ha-/hu-
2 subject agreement prefixes / STM ${ }^{19} \mathrm{ku}$ - / habitual prefix hu-
3 postinitial negative prefix si-
4 tense and aspect prefixes / polarity
5 relative agreement prefixes (tensed or negative forms)
6 object agreement prefixes
7 reflexive / STM ku- ,i-orj i-
8 verb root
9 extension suffixes
suffix -ni encoding a plural addressee (PL) / relative agreement suffixes (REL) or Clitics(CL):

In practical terms, the above hypothetical schema show the constraints on the co-occurence of the categories. While only the VR and the FV are the obligatory core of the VP, other categories may occur optionally depending on the nature of the clause. Some categories may under certain conditions be partially obligatory but become optional under other conditions, e.g. SM is obligatory in the clause which contains PL provided certain categories do not co-occur e.g. RE1 1 and REL 2 . In the next subsection we consider the possible forms according to the actual cooccurrences of the categories as found in sentences.

## 4. 1. 1 The co-occurrence constraints on categories.

Indicative: The template can best be elaborated through an examination of indicative, subjunctive, and infinitive moods.
（153）（a）（SM）－（TA）－（REL ${ }^{1}$－（REFL／OM）$-\mathrm{VR}-\left(\mathrm{EXT}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\mathrm{FV}-\left(\mathrm{REL}^{2}\right)-(\mathrm{HAB})=(\mathrm{CL})$
（b）$\left(\right.$ NEG $\left.^{1}\right)-$ SM $-\left(\right.$ NEG $\left.^{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{TA}^{1}\right)-($ REFL $/ \mathrm{OM})-\mathrm{VR}-\left(\mathrm{EXT}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\mathrm{FV}-(\mathrm{HAB})=(\mathrm{CL})$
E．g．（a） N ta－ye－mu－on－eš－e－［y］ョ SM－TA－REL ${ }^{1}$－OM－VR－EXT－EXT－FV ＂The one that I will show（it）to him＇
（b） $5 i-f-i$
1sgSM＋NEG－VR－FV
＇I＇m not coming＇

## Subjunctive ：

（154）
（a）（NEG）－SM－（TA）－（OM）－VR－（EXTn $)$－SUB－（PL）
e．g．（a）mu－si－f－e SM－NEG ${ }^{2}$－come－SUB ＇Don＇t come！＇
（b）waーミi－feールiNg－e SM－NEG ${ }^{2}$－Aux－SUB－see－SUB ＇They should not come to see＇

However，the use of－Nge－conditional marker do not co－ccur with SUB－e， instead FV－a is compulsory．
（a） $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{si}-\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{wa}-\mathrm{riNg}-$ a
SM－NEG ${ }^{2}$－TA－OM－see－FV
＇If I have not seen them＇

## Infinitive ：

（156）
（a） $\mathrm{INF}+\left(\mathrm{NEG}^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{OM} / \mathrm{REFL})+(\mathrm{STM})+\mathrm{VR}+\left(\mathrm{EXT}^{\mathrm{HI}}\right)+\mathrm{FV}+(\mathrm{HAB}) / \mathrm{CL}$
e．g．（a）ku－to－rim－is－iw－a
$\mathrm{INF}+\mathrm{NEG}^{2}+\mathrm{VR}+\mathrm{EXT}+\mathrm{EXT}+\mathrm{FV}$
＇Not to cause to cultivate＇
（b） ku －to－ $\mathrm{ku}-w a=\mathrm{mo}$
INF－NEG ${ }^{2}$－STM－be－CL
＇Not to be in there＇
Analysis：
（a）VR and FV are the sole obligatory categories．
（b）The imperative is formed by STM＋VR＋FV for a monomoraic verb
stem e．g．i－ry－a＇eat＇or simply VR＋FV e．g．uk－a＇go！＇
（c）Post－FV categories such as second person plural，relative suffix，
clitics, or habitual suffix marker -ga may immediately follow FV.
(d) $\mathrm{NEG}^{2}$ may also be marked by to- that is found in negative infinitive construction after ku- form. We regard it as $N E G^{2}$ as it occupied a post SM position.
(e) PL only occurs in the affirmative subjunctive. It can not co-occur with CL or HAB in the post FV position.
(f) REL ${ }^{1}$ and REL ${ }^{2}$ or COND Nge- and SUB -e do not co-occur in the same VP. Similarly, HAB and CL do not co-occur in the post-FV position.

We are now in a position to describe various morphosyntactic and word-forming categories that are present in the KiNgome VP under the following subsections: the prefix morphology and the suffixal morphology.

## 4. 2 The Prefix Morphology

## 4. 2. 1 The preinitial and post-initial negative prefixes

KiNgome, like other Swahili dialects, does not exhibit a rich negative morphology. Basically there are two regular and syntactically bound negative elements: the preinitial negative ha-/hu- that occupies the first position, the postinitial (incorporated) negative si- that occupies the third slot in our hypothetical template in $\S 4.1$. Similarly to- that represent negative infinitive is also found in the postinitial position (see our hypothetical template in § 4.1).

The following KiNgome data illustrate the distribution of the negative prefixes:
(157)
(a) ha- tu-riNg-i

1sgSM + NEG ${ }^{1}$ - SM- see - FV
'we don't see'
(b) tu-si-ry-e

1pISM-NEG ${ }^{2}$-eat- Subj
'we should not eat'
(c) si-f-i
$1 \mathrm{sgSM}+\mathrm{NEG}^{2}$ - come- FV
'I'm not coming'
(d) a-si-ye-fen-a

3sgSM- NEG ${ }^{2}$ - REL-go-FV
'the one who is not going'
(e) ku-to-rim-a

INF-NEG ${ }^{3}$-cultivate-FV
'not to cultivate'

Data in (157a) shows the pre-SM negative morpheme ha- occupying the first position which here precedes the subject marker tu- In (157b) the post-SM si- comes immediately after the SM . The affirmative counterpart for (157b) is tu-ry-e 'let's eat!'. The imperative negative also shows a similar pattern to that in (157b) where NEG is placed after SM and the form ends with the FV -e.

In (157c) the negative $\Sigma^{i-}$ occupies the pre-SM position when cooccuring with 1 sgSM , but is replaced by ha- in other persons e.g. ha-tu-f-i 'we will not come' or ha-wa-f-i 'they are not coming'.

The negative $s i$ - is also used in relative verb form as in (157d) while in the case of the infinitive in (157e), there is a slight departure from the above concerning negative forms. Here we have to- as a post-SM negative marker placed in between the infinitive prefix ku- and the VR.

## 4. 2.2 The Infinitives $\mathrm{ku} \sim$ ko

The infinitive is marked by ku- (or ko-, and kw- variants). The variant ko- manifests prefix VHH while $k w-$ is found in V-initial stem.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ku-rima } & \text { (15) 'to cultivate }  \tag{158}\\
\text { ko-n̆ora } & \text { (15) 'to peel' } \\
\text { kw-erer-a } & \text { (15) 'to float' }
\end{array}
$$

The ku-form in the affirmative is common throughout Sabaki. Just as in SSW, KiNgome has the negative infinitive marked by to-20

[^15]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ku-to-n̆or-a } & \text { 'not to peel' }  \tag{159}\\
\text { ku-to-rim-a } & \text { 'not to cultivate' }
\end{array}
$$
\]

It is interesting to note here that I have not heard speakers uttering :
**ko-to-rim-a 'not to cultivate'

On the contrary they simply say ku-to-rim-a.

## 4. 2. 3 The subject and object marking system in KiNgome

The other common pre-stem morphemes (that occupy prefix positions) are cross-referencing elements of the subject NP and the object NP. The SM and OM are verbal prefixes that function as coreferential elements in the VP. Verbs do not have to carry OM. If present, the OM is found in 6th position, just before the verb root.

Regarding the SM, it is typical in Bantu languages for the verb (with the exception of the imperative in the second person) to contain an affix that crossreferences the subject NP. This kind of obligatory crossreferencing is usually referred to as agreement. In addition, the verb may include optional cross-referencing of the object NP in the verb, as well as optional cross-referencing of phrases expressing other roles, such as benefactive and instrumental. We illustrate subject and object markers in Kingome as follows:

The SM's and OM's of KiNgome can be summarised as follow:

Subject and object persons for Class 1

|  | SM |  | OM |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CLASS 1 | sg. | pl. | sg. | pl. |
| 1st person | ni $i-, k i-?$ | $t u-, k i ?$ | ri $i-, N$ | $t u-$ |
| 2nd person | $u-\sim k u-, k i-?$ | mu, ki? | $k u-$ | $k u-$ |
| 3rd person | $a-\sim k a-$ | $w a-$ | mu-, N | wa |

Data in (161a) presents different subject and object prefixes as they appear in the singular and plural for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd persons. In (161b) below, I present the SM and OM for the remaining classes as follow:
（b）Subject and object markers for other classes

| Class | SM | OM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 2／2a | wa－ | ツลー |
| Class 3 | u－ | （ |
| Class 4 | i－ | i－ |
| Class 5 | Mi－ | ri－ |
| Class 6 | ya－～ga－ | ya－～9a－ |
| Class 7 | ki－ | ki－ |
| Class 8 | vi－ | vi－ |
| Class 9 | i－ | i－ |
| Class 10 | zi－ | zi－ |
| Class 9a／10a ＇animate＇ | as in 1ai2a |  |
| Class 11 | u－ | U－ |
| Class 14 | u－ | u－ |
| Class 15 | ku－ |  |
| Class 16 | pa－ |  |
| Class 17 | ku－ |  |
| Class 18 | mu－ |  |

In（161a\＆b）we see the subject and object persons that appear in KiNgome synchronic data．Although in many cases，there are similarities with other Swahili dialects，we have noted in（161a）KiNgome speakers optionally use $/ \mathrm{ki}-/$ replacing $1 \mathrm{sg}, 1 \mathrm{pl}$ ，and even 2 sg and 2 pl persons． （162）
（a）ki－Ø－fョ＇I have come／came＇
（b）ki－Ø－于ヨ rini？＇when did you（sg／pl）come？＇
（c）ki－Ø－fa rero＇we came today＇
As can be seen，the use of $k i-$ with persons other than 1 sg is peculiar to KiNgome and hard to explain．The use of ki －as $1 \mathrm{sg} \mathrm{SM} / \mathrm{OM}$ is found in the neighbouring Ekoti and Makhuwa（see Schadeberg 1997：16 and Woodward 1926：281）．I can only speculate that probably KiNgome has borrowed ki－as 1sg from these neighbours then spread into other persons．One may also speculate that ki－may have been taken from （underspecified）situative ki－．The next stage is full description of subject and object persons as follow：

## （i）1sg subject／object person（1sgSM and 1sgOM）：

KiNgome has form／ $\mathrm{ni} \mathrm{i} /$ representing 1sg person as follows： （163）
（a）ňi－Ø－rim－a
1sgSM－TA－cultivate－FV ＇I cultivated＇
（b）пйі－Ø－チーヨ于ヨロa
1sgSM－TA－come－FV ＇I came yesterday＇
The cognate of the KiNgome form／ri－$/$ in SSW and the rest of SD is ／ni－／．KiNgome speakers freely alternate the form ni－with no－as in the case of ňi－kw－aNbi［y］a＇1 told you＇sometime heard as no－kw－ aNbi［y］a＇1 told you＇．As can be seen in（163），the form ňi－is subsituted with ki－（in a restricted verb paradigm）．

## （ii） 1 pl subject／object person：（1plSM and 1plOM）：

The forms tu－and tu－，which are also shared by other Swahili dialects， commonly represent the 1 plSM and 1 plOM as exemplified below：
（a）tu－kut－an－e Nithtira 1sgSM－meet－EXT－Subj early hours ＇let us meet in the early hours＇
（b） $\mathrm{ka}-\varnothing$－tu－on－a pare
3sgSM－TA－1plOM－see－FV there
＇S／he saw us there＇
（iii）The 2 sg person has two variant forms：
$u-$ and ku－，are allomorph which appear in contrasting verb paradigms：
（a）u－tur－ir－e kana mafi ga N －thuNgi
2sgSM－calm－APPL．－Subj like 6 －water of 3 －pot
＇be calm like water in the pot＇
（b）u－ta－rim－a nini？
2sgSM－TA－cultivate－FV what
What will you cultivate？
（c）ku－$\varnothing$－tu－on－a pare
2sgSM－TA－1pIOM－see－FV there
＇you saw us there＇
（d）weye ku－Ø－rerew－a wapi？
you 2 sgSM－TA－rear－FV where？
＇where have you been reared？

Both $u^{-}$and ku－realise the 2 sgSM in KiNgome．These forms are in complementary distribution（they are determined by certain TA form）． We shall extend the discussion of their co－occurence with TA in §4．4．

## （iv）The 2 pl subject person（2plSM）：

KiNgome share the basic form mu－with other Swahili dialects．
（a）mu－na－bwir－a mphepeta
2plSM－TM－swallow－FV rice snack ＇you are swallowing a rice snack＇
（v）In $2 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ person OM （ 2 sgOM and 2 plOM ），KiNgome shows similar forms to other SD．The 2 sgOM is marked by ku－and the 2 plOM by wa－ （167）
（a）n̆i－Ø－ku－riNga
1sgSM－2sgSM－see
＇I saw you＇
（b）ňi－Ø－wa－riNga
1sgSM－2plOM－see
＇I saw you＇
（vi） $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{OM}$ are marked with $/ \mathrm{mu}-/$ and $/ w a-/$ forms respectively：
（a）nit－Ø－mu－on－o peka ake
1sgSM－3sgOM－see－FV himself
＇I saw him on his own＇
（b）$\quad$ ni－$\varnothing$－wョ－оп－a
fana
1sgSM－3plOM－see－FV yesterday
＇I saw them yesterday＇
（v） $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{SM}$ are characterised by variant forms $\exists-$ and ka－for 3sgSM and wa－for 3plSM；e．g．
（a）ョーกaーtaNt $h_{\text {ur－a }}$
3sgSM－TA－crawl－FV
＇S／he is crawling＇
(b) ka-Ø-n̆i-baNk $h_{\text {ur-a }}$

3sgSM-TA-1sgOM-bit-FV
'S/he has bitten me'

The alternation $a-/ k a-$ for $3 \operatorname{sgSM}$ form a set just like $u-/ k u-$ for 2 sgSM in rural SD and Comoro or ni-/si-for 1sgSM in Mtangata, Vumba and Commorian. I shall elaborate them in § 4. 4.
We present the rest of the subject and object markers in other classes in (170) below. Examples for SM and OM are in bold.

## Examples of Noun Class SM and OM

Classes SM and OM
2/2a
waNth ea-namwara 'people are sick'
ňi-øa-ona mo-ňeñekeana
3
Nt ${ }^{\text {hegego }} \mathbf{u - z i N g i z w a ~}$
ni-u-ziNgiza Nithego
mijuko i-navunana
ni-i-[y]ona mifiNct $h_{u}$
5 dau ri-zama
ka-ri-zamisa dau 'he has sunk the dhow'
6 maeNbe ya-domara yoNthe 'all the mangoes are rotten'
marmare ga-fara tere 'disease is plentiful there'
$p^{\text {hano }}$
tu-ya-sugure maruNgo 'We should massage the whole yoNthe
7

8

9

10/10a boti zi-pita body'
'I have dropped the knife' 'dig there (and) you will see it'
'The shoes are tight on me' 'sharpen my knives'
u-vi-kubuze visu vyaNgu
'wash that cloth!'
'I will wash it in the early morning'
'boats have passed'

|  | simama po uta-zi-ono | 'stand there (and) you will see them' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | ufuNguo u wapi? | 'where is the key?' |
|  | tu-u-sahau Ndani | 'we have forgotten it inside' |
| 14 | ubaridi u-navuma | 'the wind is blowing' |
|  | nahoza ana-u-kwepa | 'The captain is avoiding the |
|  | ubaridi wa kusi | Southwest monsoon wind. |
| 15 | kurima faya ke-aumiza | 'to plant faya causes back-ache' |
|  | noNgo |  |
| 16 | hapa pa-naNthana | 'it is aching here' |
| 17 | kaeni kwangu ku-na Nbu | 'there are mosquitoes at my |
|  |  | home' |
| 18 | humo mu-na weñiwe | 'the owners are in there' |

one can clearly noticed in (170) that apart from regular markers that occurs across Sabaki, there is no record of OM for classes $15,16,17$ and 18 in KiNgome data at my disposal. Our next category is that of Tense and Aspect.

## 4. 3 Tense and Aspect marking in KiNgome

Tense and aspect (TA) along with mood and polarity are among the inherent grammatical categories that find expression in the KiNgome verbal template by means of overt inflectional morphemes along with paradigmatically contrastive but unmarked slots signalled in this study by a putative null symbol $/ \varnothing /$.

Before we move further into the analysis of KiNgome aspect and tense formatives and the meaning they can express, I find it necessary to present the concatenative ordering of tense and aspectual markers in relation to the finite verb stem as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lcccccc}
\text { SM- } & \text { T(A) } & \text { (REL) } & \text { (OM) } & \text { VB } & \text {-A- } & \text { FV/A }  \tag{171}\\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7
\end{array}
$$

Examination of the template architecture relevant to the tense and aspect inflections reveals that the Tense (T) occurs at slot 2 after the Subject Marker (SM). Tense (T) may be collapsed with aspect (A) in the same prestem slot, something that occurs in other Bantu languages. This second slot may consists of the following optional affixes: conditional (COND)
affix, progressive (PROG) morpheme na-/a-, future (FUT) morpheme, past (PAST) morpheme ri-, habitual ( $\mathrm{HAB}^{1}$ ) prefix hu-, none of which can precede an SM, there could also occur a morphologically unmarked anterior (ANT) $\varnothing$, a situative (SIT) affix, or potential affix ki-, a consecutive (CONS) dependent morpheme ka-, a completive (COMP) marker -צa, Additionally this slot could also be occupied by a composite TA form that consists of either a past ri-,anterior (ANT) $\varnothing$ a conditional Nge-, or a situative $k i-$ combined with a completive aspect
 Although combined elements are two distinct markers on their own, we proposed them to be treated as a unitary TA form.

The third slot is occupied by the optional category of relative marker. The fourth is the optional object marker's category. The fifth slot contains an obligatory verb root plus optional derivational affixes which forms the verbal base. The verb base denotes the major event, state or action crucial for expressing contrasting tense and aspect meanings. The sixth slot is the regular (non-terminal) position for the habitual ( $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}$ ) aspect suffix -ag or -g. The seventh slot, which is regularly occupied by the final vowel $-a$ or the subjunctive suffix marker $-e$, may be occupied by the suffix vowel variant or 'VC suffix' that typically expresses anterior aspect.

In general, tense categories precede aspect categories in synthetically one-word forms. Similarly, in a periphrastic construction, tense occupies an auxiliary part, while aspect occupies the pre-stem slot in the main lexical verb. Interestingly, tense and aspect categories may occur cumulatively as in the case of past completive $/ \mathrm{ri}-\mathrm{sam}_{\mathrm{a}} /$.
In this section, I intend to report on the way tense and aspect contrasts in the KiNgome verbal structure. Most emphasis will be on the presentation of the affirmative forms but this will be followed by some striking phenomena I have observed in negatives, conditions and relatives in the daily speech of KiNgome. I begin with presentation of a matrix of tense and aspect in affirmative forms which will reveal the full picture of how aspect and tense work in KiNgome. My description of TA system of KiNgome will follow, in principle, the matrix-based framework set up by Nurse and Muzale (1999) and as applied in Ruhaya and Swahili (Hewson et al (2000) and in Chaga (Nurse 2003). I have not included the 'underspecified' dependent form ki - and consecutive form ka-, in the matrix, which I partly assumed to be a subcategory of (far) past tense ri-. The TA formatives in KiNgome are summarised in the matrix below.
(172) TA matrix in KiNgome .

| $\mathrm{A} \Rightarrow$ | PFV | PROG | HAB | ANT | COMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -a- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na(ku)-- } \\ & -\mathrm{a}-, \\ & \text { NEG: } \\ & -\varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ag- } \\ & (\text { hu-... }), \\ & \text { NEG: } \\ & \text {-ag-i } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -\leq a(k u)- \\ & \text { NEG: } \\ & -\Im a- \end{aligned}$ |
| past <br> ri(ku)- <br> Ø..(VC) | tu-ri-ona 'We saw' <br> NEG: <br> ha-tu-ku-ona We didnt see' | turikuwa + <br> tu-na-ona/ <br> tw-a-ona <br> We were seeing' <br> NEG <br> turikuwa+ <br> ha-tu-on-i <br> 'We were not seeing' | tu-ri-on-ag-a We used to see' <br> NEG: <br> hatu-ku-on-ag-a 'We didnt used to see' | ka-б-ona <br> He has seen' <br> ka-Ø-pit-i <br> 'He has passed' <br> NEG: <br> ha-Ø-ku-on-o <br> He has not seen | tu-riša-ona 'Wehad already seen' |
|  |  | tu-na-ona tw-a-ona 'we see' <br> NEG: <br> hatu- $\varnothing$-on-i <br> We dont see' <br> si- $\varnothing$-on-o <br> si- $\varnothing$-fug-u <br> si-Ø-raw-a <br> si- $\varnothing$-wen-e <br> si- $\varnothing$-bin-i | hu-Ø-on-aga <br> NEG: <br> hatu-onagi 'We don't used to see' | tu-Ø-on-a 'We have seen' <br> NEG: <br> hatu-ja-ona | tu-Øگョーona Wehave already seen' <br> NEG: <br> hatu-ja-ona |
| Future $-t a(k u)-$ | tu-ta-ona 'We will see' <br> NEG: <br> ha-tu-ta-ona We will not see. | tu-ta-'be'+ tu-na-ona/ tw-a-ona "We will be seeing' | tu-ta-on-ag-a 'We will be seeing (repeatedly)' <br> NEG: <br> ha-tu-ta-on-aga 'We will not be seeing' | a-ta-'be'+ ka-ø-ona 'He will have seen' NEG: atakuwa + ha-ja-on-o 'He will have not seen' | tu-ta-'be'+ tu-Øצa-ona 'We will have already seen' |

The table above shows both affirmative and negative verb forms indicating the intersection of tense paradigms (horizontally) and aspect paradigms (vertically). I have distinguished five aspectual constructions for KiNgome (perfomative, progressive, anterior, habitual and completive, Tense are divided into past and future: We do not see the degrees of remoteness distinctions in both the past and future when
compared to certain Bantu languages such as Gikuyu (Mugane 1997) and Rundi, Haya and Bemba (Hyman and Watters 1984), which among other things exhibit a plethora of tense markers. Although the aspect category is semantically and morphologically tied up with that of tense, I present the core of my analysis of the TA system in KiNgome by examining both categories in affirmative and negative forms, beginning with the broader aspectual system, and followed by the tense system. KiNgome's negation constructions are not very different from SSW form. Illustration of KiNgome finite verb in § 4.1 indicates three fixed slots that are sensitive to polarity: the SM (including a preinitial and a postinitial negative markers), TA and the FV slots. We have seen earlier in $\S 4.1$ how KiNgome (and indeed SSW) commonly contains a preinitial and a postinitial bound elements to mark negation. The negative makers select their own negative TA formatives.

### 4.3.1 Aspectual marking in KiNgome

By definition, tense is a category of morphosyntactic properties distinguishing a finite verb's temporal reference i.e., before, simultaneous with, or subsequent to a reference time. A reference time is usually the utterance time, but may also be a past or future time. Aspect is a category of morphosyntactic properties distinguishing the various senses in which an event can be situated at a particular time interval. Usually we defined aspect in terms of the beginning, duration, completion, repetitive, resulting, etc. of a verb without reference to its position in time. From another viewpoint, tense can be considered to refer to Universal Time, while aspect is considered to refer to Event Time (Hewson et. al 2000:38). We discuss each aspectual category in the following order: perfomative, progressive, anterior, habitual, and completive :

### 4.3.1.1 Performative

This is a basic form by which other forms within the paradigms are to be interpreted. It is typically segmentally marked by a- in the present tense form, but is marked with ri - to denote a simple past form and with tafor a simple future form.
(a) tw-a-on-a mgina 1plSM-PRST-see rice 'we see rice'
(b) turri-on-a mgina 1pISM-PAST-cultivate rice 'we saw rice'
(c) tu-ta-on-a mgina 1pISM-FUT-seerice 'we will see rice'

The form a- in (173a) carries equivocal meanings. It tends to invoke identical responses in the context of a general question such as 'what do you do for a living?', and in the context of 'what are you doing now?' Both questions can invoke the following identical responses:
(a) tw-a-v心w-a kaNba 1pISM-PRST-fish-FV 9-lobsters "We fish lobsters'
(b) tw-a-suk-a rukiri

1pISM-PRST-make-FV 11-palm fond material
'We make palm fond material'
The answers for the present formative will reflect a daily undertaking and not acctually what is current happenings at the time the speech. The form $a-$ may also be drawn to denote habituality. It is a situation that holds at all times but which is not necessarily occuring at the time of speaking. The negative strategy for the above forms are marked simply by the absence of the affirmative na-/a- and change of (polarity) suffix -a to -i. (175)
(a)
tw-a-rim-a afas
IpISM-PRST-cultivate-FV afaa
'We cultivate afaa'
hatu-Ø-rim-i afaa
NEG 1pl-PAST-cultivate-FV afaa
'We are not cultivating afaa'
(b) a-na-rim-a

3sgSM-PROG-cultivate-FV
'S/he is cultivating'
ha-Ø-rim-i
3sg+NEG-PAST-cultivate-FV
'S/he is not cultivating'

The pattern NEG-- $\varnothing$-(OM)-VB-i emerges from the above examples. Here we see three obligatory changes: insertion of NEG at SM, removal of affirmative AT markers and change of FV-a to -i.

### 4.3.1.2 Progressive

I have collected the following examples to mark the progressive aspect that stand for an ongoing or progressive action.
(176)
(a) a-na-rim-a

3sgSM-PRST-cultivate-FV
'S/he is cultivating'
(b) tw-a-rim-a

2p1SM-PRST-cultivate-FV
'We are cultivating'
(c) $P^{\text {haka a-na-yug-a }}$

9-cat 9SM-PRST-cry-FV
'The cat is crying'
(d) tu-ri-kuwa tw-a-rima

1pISM-PAST-be 1plSM-PRST-cultivate-FV
'We were cultivating'

The use of na- and $a-$ in (176a-d) has a present progressive interpretation. However, na- or a- may denote a habitual or continuos situation when a temporal adverbial is added:
(177)
(a) a-na-rim-a kira siku

3 sgSM-PRST-cultivate-FV every day
'S/he cultivates every day'.
(b) tw-a-rim-a kyaka hadi kyaka

2sgSM-PRST-cultivate-FV year after year
'We cultivate every year'

However, form na- (derived from PSA/CB *na- 'have') in three SD members of KiPemba, KiMtang'ata and KiVumba differs from the use of na- (inherited from CB/PSA *imperfective) in KiNgome, KiMakunduchi, KiTumbatu and SSW (see Appendix A for details). No Swahili dialects use both na- as anterior and na- as progressive in its TA system.

Parallel to other Swahili dialects, the progressive aspect in KiNgome may refer to the past and future time as well. In those cases, tense and aspect expressions require a periphrastic construction which adds the auxiliary 'be' encoding past ri - or future ta- tense followed by the lexical verb marked with an aspect na- in the pre-stem slot.
tw-a-rima
daweni
1pISM-PAST-be 1p1SM/PROG-cultivate 5 -meadow land-Loc 'We were cultivating at the meadow land'
(b) tu-ta-kuwa tw-a-rima daweni

1pISM-FUT-be 1 pISM/PROG-cultivate 5-meadow land-Loc
'We will be cultivating in the meadow land'. (so when you come, you will know where to find us).
The formation of negative progressive replaced na- with covert $\varnothing$ in its place. There are two ways of realising negative progressive: When sinegative for 1 sgSM is involved, KiNgome may apply $\varnothing$--VC. The rest of the subject persons choose the pattern $\varnothing$---i i construction:
(a) si- $\varnothing$-on-o

1sgSM+NEG-PAST-see-VC
'I dont see'
(a) hatu- $\varnothing$-rudi

3sgSM+NEG-PAST-return
'We don't return'

### 4.3.1.3 Habitual

The -ag form and in some cases prefix hu- typically express habituality in KiNgome. They are in free distribution and may denote an event occuring regularly, iteratively or expressing timeless truth or facts:
(180)
(a) siye hu-rim-a afaa
we $\mathrm{HAB}^{1}$-cultivate-FV afaa
'We (used to) cultivate afaa'
(b) yeye hu-rim-ag-a faya
him $\mathrm{HAB}^{1}$-cultivate- $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}-\mathrm{FV}$ faya
'S/he used to cultivate faya'
(c) tw-a-rim-ag-a afaa

1pISM-PRST-cultivate- $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}$-FV afaa
'We cultivate afaa regularly'
(d) wa-ri-rim-ag-a

SM-PAST-cultivate-HAB ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{FV}$
'they cultivated repeatedly '
(e) tu-na-rim-ag-a

SM-PRST-VR-HAB ${ }^{2}$-FV
'We cultivate habitually'

```
tu-ta-rim-ag-a
    SM-`FUT-cultivate-HAB}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-F
    'We will cultivate habitually'
```

Noted above are various examples containing habitual -ag and -hu in one-word forms. The form hu- may co-occur with the habitual suffix $-a g$ as in (180b). I suspect the form hu- has entered into KiNgome through KiUnguja/SSW. The redundancy of these categories imply the contact of two dialects. Examination of the function of these forms do not give much clues on their differences, however, the form hu- is drawn towards expressing (stricly) timeless events while - $-g$ is wider in its scope: it may denote 'habituality' or 'iterativity' in the past, present or future as seen in ( $180 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{f}$ ). The evidence that hu- in KiNgome is something new is expressed by the alternations
boti i-Ø-于ヨ kira siku 'the boat comes every day'.

The fact that $\mathbf{i}$ - can replace hu- is a sign that it is a form which has just entered into the lexicon. The standard form would be boti hufa kila siku 'the boat comes every day'.

As we have said earlier, the form -ag has wider use in KiNgome. The following polite imperative use of $-a g$ is not found in SSW.
(a) rim-ag-a!
cultivate- $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}$-FV
'Cultivate then!'
(b) $\mathrm{paNd}-\mathrm{ag}-\mathrm{a}$ !
climb-HAB ${ }^{2}$-FV
'Climb then'!
(c) fuNg-ag-a!'
close- $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}$-FV
'Close then!'
(d) mu-rim-ag-e

2plSM-cultivate- $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}$-FV
'Cultivate then!'

Another rural SD member displays a similar -ag pattern in polite imperative as seen in KiMakunduchi (Whiteley 1959: 62),
(a) $\quad \mathrm{oNj}-\exists g-a!$
taste- $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}-\mathrm{FV}$
'try then!'
(b) $\mathrm{Njon-i-g-a}$ vano
come-pl-HAB ${ }^{2}$-FV here 'come here then!'
(c) m-lol-e-g-a uyoko

2sgOM-see-Subj-HAB ${ }^{2}$-FV there
'see that fellow there!'

It should be noted here that, neither a 'habitual meaning' nor an 'iterative meaning' is expressed above, instead the use -ag above simply express a sort of polite command without necessarily requiring the addressee to resume the activity $s /$ he has been doing previously. This may speculate the diachronic discussion that probably an $-a g$ that refers to habituality is different from the one that refers to polite command. Further cross-linguistic evidence is needed to validate this proposition. Whiteley (ibid.: 56) further reported that KiMakunduchi has a -ga form appearing at pre-stem slot as the following cases show:
(a) na-ga-teNd-a
$1 \mathrm{sgSM} /$ PRST- $\mathrm{HAB}^{1}-\mathrm{do}-\mathrm{FV}$
'I'm working'?
(b) si-na-ga-teNd-a

1sgSM+NEG-PRST-HAB ${ }^{1}$-do-FV
'T'm not working'

It seems this is idiosyncratic to KiMakunduchi and no such case is present in my KiNgome corpus or SD in general. There is also no clear indication that this particular -ga typically expresses habituality. Let us now see how negation with -ag appears in KiNgome
(a) siye hu-rim(ag)-a afaa
we $\mathrm{HAB}^{1}$-cultivate- $\left(\mathrm{HAB}^{2}\right)$ - FV
We used to cultivate afaa'
siye tu-na-rim(ag)-a afaa
we $\mathrm{HAB}^{1}$-cultivate- $\left(\mathrm{HAB}^{2}\right)$ - FV
We used to cultivate afaa'
(b) siye hatu-rim(ag)-i afaa
we NEG-cultivate-( $\mathrm{HAB}^{2}$ )-FV afaa
We do not use to cultivate afaa'
The habitual is made negative by inserting the NEG at SM slot and changing of suffix $-\exists$ to $-i$, just like in the Negative Present.

### 4.3.1.4 Anteriority

One striking area in KiNgome aspectual categories which when compared to various other SD, (and also ND) proves to be distinct is a lack of overt anterior markers me-, ma- or na-. KiNgome expresses anteriority with Ø... (VC). By anterior we refer to an earlier action, which produced a state, which either lives on, or whose consequences or relevance live on. In other words, it is past event with present relevance. KiNgome corpus has both stative and dynamic verbs expressing anteriority (and at the same time past reference):

## Ø.....(VC) Stative anterior

(a) wョ-Ø-rar-ョ

3plSM-ANT-sleep-FV
'They are sleeping'
(b) ka - $\varnothing$-potek-a

3pISM-ANT-strand-FV
'S/he is stranded'
(c) ya-Ø-aruk-a

6SM-ANT-raise-FV
'(Tide) is raising'
(d) mu-Ø-ramuk-a

2p1SM-ANT-awake-FV
'Lit. How are you awakening?' (used as 'good morning?')
(e) $\mathrm{ka}-\varnothing$ - fu

3sgSM-ANT-die
'S/he is dead'

These are typical stative verbs that express anteriority. However the bulk of dynamic verbs also express anteriority (merged with past reference) as shown below
(187)

Dynamic (verbs) anterior
(a) maji ya- $\varnothing$-aruk-a

6-water 6SM-ANT-rise-FV
'The water tide has risen/rose
(b) tu-Ø-rim-a vuNvu retu

1pISM-ANT-cultivate-FV 5 -farm our 'We have cultivated/cultivated our farm'
(c) tu-Ø-ziNgiz-a Ntego

1pISM-ANT-cause to set-FV 3-trap'
'We have set/set a trap'
(d) tu-Ø-ceNg-a pori

1plSM-ANT-clear-FV 5-bush
'We have cleared/cleared the bush'
(e) ka-Ø-ri-ठum-u

3sgSM-ANT-5OM-pick-VC
'S/he has picked/ picked it'
(g) ku-Ø-mu-ibir-i nani?

2sgSM-ANT-3sgOM-steal-VC who
'Who have you stolen/you stole from?'
(h) ku-Ø-wa-on-o woňeňekeyana

2sgSM-ANT-2plOM-see VC terrified
'You have seen/saw them terrified'
(i) kate ka-Ø-ri-pik-i nani?

5-bread 3sgSM-ANT-5OM-cook-VC who
'Who has baked/baked the bread?'
(j) ka-Ø-N-com-o rioNgo

3sgSM-ANT-1sgOM-sting-VC 9-back
'It has stung/stung my back'
(k) ka-Ø-rwar-a tuNbo

3sgSM-ANT-fell ill-VC 5 -stomach
'S/he has suffered/suffered from stomach-ache'

As can be seen in ( $187 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$ ), the anterior meaning is marked by $\varnothing$....(VC). There is also (near) past interpretations embeded in those examples. I would like to emphasize here that the expression 'near' past is simply a general statement, there are occassion when formative $\varnothing$....(VC) may refer to something that has happened a long time.
(a) ka- $\varnothing$-taNdawar-a poNte pano 3sgSM-ANT-reign-FV all over here'
'S/he has reigned/reigned all over here'
(b) rí- $\varnothing$-mu-on-a Neto to

1sgSM-ANT-3sgOM-see-FV 1-child
'I have seen a child/saw a child'

The instances (188a\&b) can be used to refer to an activity that could have occured a long time ago. Examples above could have happened a year
ago.
The most important observation one can clearly see in (187 a-k) and (188 a\&b) is the feature of collapsing an anterior and a (near) past. This is not idiosyncratic to KiNgome, for a somewhat similar situation is obtained in closely related Coastal Sabaki members such as KiVumba, KiPokomo, KiMwani and Comorian. Northern Swahili dialect of KiVumba and Lower KiPokomo display the following examples: (189)
(a) $k a-\varnothing-f u \quad$ or $k a-\varnothing-f w i$

3sgSM-ANT-die
'S/ he died/ has died' (Lambert 1953:19)
(b) ni-Ø-dz-a

1 sg SM-ANT-come-FV
' I have come recently I came' (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 424)
(c) hu- $\varnothing$-gw-ョ

1 plSM-ANT-fall-FV
'We have fallen/we fell' (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 428)

ND have $m e$ - and $\varnothing$.....ele for expressing anteriority and a ri- for past expression (see Mazrui 1976:18) and Drolc 1992:83). Instead of $\varnothing$.....ele, all no non-Unguja SD have $\varnothing$.....(VC). How this formative is distributed in rural SD is our next discussion.

### 4.3.1.4.1 Suffix Vowel Copying ( $\varnothing$.....VC) in SD

Swahili scholars have attempted to offer explanations on the environments to which VC suffix seems to be associated. Maganga (1990: 152) argues that speakers of KiTumbatu 'may opt to use either the long verb which involve the tense marker or the short verb forms which make use of vowel harmony (VC)'. He cites the following examples that I organize in the following pairs :

| longer stem |  | shorter stem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | si-na-kwiNb-a | ni - $\varnothing$-iNb-i |
|  | $1 \mathrm{sgSM}+$ NEG-PRST-to sing-FV | 1sgSM-ANT-sing-VC |
|  | 'I do not sing' | 'I sang/have sung' |
| (b) | si-na-vat-a | ni-Ø-vat-a |
|  | 1sgSM+NEG-PRST-get-FV | 1sgSM-ANT-get-VC |
|  | 'I do not get' | 'I got / have got' |

si-na-sumk-a
NEG-PRST-run-FV
'I do not run'
ni i-Ø-sumk-u
1sgSM-ANT-run-VC
'I ran/have run'

Is it really a question of shorter Vs longer verb stems? Let us see the following counterexamples from KiNgome (191)
(a) ha-ku-ri-ŏum-u

NEG-3sgSM-5OM-pick- VC
"He has not picked it'
(b) $\mathrm{ku}-\varnothing-\mathrm{ri}-\mathrm{noNg}-\mathrm{o}$

2sg-50M-twist-VC
'You twisted it'

The question of longer form or shorter form does not apply here. If we examine contrasting verb paradigms in (190), we will find out that there is difference between overt tense na- contrasting with $\varnothing$-. As we shall see in § 4. 4 these TA forms reside in different paradigm cells. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 390-1) have noticed earlier that VC suffix may be associated with $2 / 3 \mathrm{sgSM} \mathrm{ku}-$, ka- or other singular SM other than $/ \mathrm{ni}$ /. This was important observation which requires empirical support. I will make such attempt in the next subsection in this chapter. Moreover, The pattern $\varnothing \ldots . . \mathrm{VC}$, where all five variable vowels appear, is widespread in SD. Whiteley (1959: 58-59) presents data from KiMakunduchi (KiKae) showing $\varnothing \ldots . . \mathrm{VH}$ that expresses anteriority:

| m-vit-i | 'I have climbed' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ňi-vat-a | 'I have obtained' |
| ňi-uz-u | 'I have sold' |
| nitokot-o | 'I have come across something' |
| ñi-fugu-u | 'I have opened' |
| N-kwel-e | 'I have climbed'(T) |
| nit-m-tafut-u | 'I looked for him' |
| ku-yon-o | 'Have you seen? |

Although Whiteley (ibid) has not indicated zero anterior form at pre-stem slot, we are aware that between SM and VB there is a null element for AT
（anterior）expression．However SD has no anterior form（Ø）．．．．ele／ile which is common in ND and surrounding Bantu languages．It is also interesting to note that just across the Indian Ocean on the mainland，the neighbouring Bantu of KiMwera（Harries 1950：79）shows the reflexes of ＊－ile／ele anterior aspect：
（193）
ileNden－e
inemet－e
indim－ele
iegem－e
＇they are alike＇ ＇they are stuck＇
＇they are crooked
＇they are stuck fast＇

This feature has not crossover to the island of Mafia．Let us now see the formation of negative anteriority in KiNgome．

## 4．3．1．4． 2 Changing affirmative anteriority into negative

The form SM－Ø－VB－（VC）．is made negative by inserting fa－at TA slot and prefixing NEG at SM slot．
（194）
affirmative negative
（a） $\mathrm{n} i-\varnothing$－rim－a si－faーrim－a

1sgSM－ANT－cultivate－FV
＇I have cultivated／I cultivated＇
1sgSM－NEG－cultivate－FV
＇I have not cultivated＇
（b）$\quad$ ni $i-\varnothing-于-a$
$1 \mathrm{sgSM}-A N T-c o m e-F V$
＇I have come／I came＇

ミi－チョーチーヨ
1sgSM－NEG－come－FV
＇I have not come／I did not come＇

In some cases of negative $\varnothing$ ．．．（VC），we no longer see retention of the anteriority meaning they previously share with past interpretation in KiNgome：
（a）si－$\varnothing$－raw－a
1sgSM＋NEG－ANT－go－VC
＇I am not going．／do not go＇
（b）si－$\varnothing$－fug－u
1sgSM＋NEG－ANT－keep animal－VC
＇I am not keeping animals．

```
(c) si-\varnothing-mw-on-o
    1sgSM+NEG-ANT-OM-see-VC
    'I don't / never see him'
(d) si-\varnothing-pik-i
    1sgSM+NEG-ANT-cook-VC
    'I am not going to cook/I don't cook'
(e) si-Ø-vi-pet-e
    1sgSM+NEG-ANT-OM-get-VC
    'I don't / never get them'
```

This phenomenon of past negative $\varnothing$....VH is quite widespread in SD. In KiMtang'ata, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 387) noted the following :
si- $\boldsymbol{\text { - }}$-pat-a $\quad$ I am not getting/ don't get'
si- $\varnothing$-let-e 'I am not bringing/ don't bring'
si-Ø-pit-i 'I am not passing/don't pass'
si- $\mathbf{i}$-oNdok-o 'I am not going out/ don't go out'
si-Ø-tafun-u 'I am not chewing/ don't chew'

Other similar cases are found in KiMakunduchi and KiTumbatu (See Maganga 1990).

### 4.3.1.4.3 Future anteriority

So far we have discussed present anteriority alone. KiNgome has no discrete form for future anteriority. In such case, KiNgome has to use a periphrastic construction to express such a reference as provided by the following examples:
(a)
tu-ta-kufa tu-ki-fik-a
1pISM-FUT-come-FV 1pISM-SIT-arrive FV
'We will come to you if we have arrived'
(b) tu-ta-kwita tu-ki-fik-a

1pISM-FUT-call-FV $\quad$ plSM-SIT-arrive-FV
'I will call you when I arrived'

Closer to such a meaning is provided by the following case that involves a conditional Nge- and a completive sョaー;
（a）Kama si fuwa，tu－Ngeša－bini poNte pano if Neg 9 －sun 1pISM－COND－COMP－cultivate all over here ＇Were it not for the sun，we would have cultivated all over here＇
（b）muda huu ka－Øצa－fik－a Kisiju
This time $\quad 3 s g S M-C O M P-a r r i v e-F V ~ K i s i j u ~$
＇At present he has already arrived at Kisiju＇

For the present state that is related to the imminent future event，I have heard the following expressions using $\bar{n} i-\varnothing-\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{a}$＇I have come＇：
（199）
（a）Kit－$\varnothing_{\text {－f－}}$ ku－perek－a ma－daftari
1sgSm－ANT－come－FV INF－send－FV 6－notebooks
＇I have come to bring exercise books＇
（b）ři－Ø－ј－a ku－kutweš－a
1sgSM－ANT－come－FV INF－2sgOM－cause to carry－FV
＇Lit：I have come to say goodnight to you＇

## 4．3．1．5 Completive aspect marker Ł̌aー

Another widespread feature of KiNgome is the use of affirmative aspectual marker ̌a－that encodes the completion of an event．Although this category best fit as part of the anterior，I consider $\begin{aligned} & \text { צa－as a completive }\end{aligned}$ aspect that expresses an event that has recently finished and still has a relation to another subsequent events．It should also be noted that this form normally appears in Swahili in the form of a cumulative complex form such as mesa／kisa／ KiNgome has no form me－，I regard Øத̆ョ－as its replacement for meša，
（a）ka－Øミョールim－a
SM－TA－cultivate－FV
＇S／he has already cultivated＇
（b）mu－Øミ̆ョーravy－a ？
SM－TA－eat－FV
＇have you already eaten＇？
（c）juwa ri－Øצョョーzam－a uka u－fen－e－ko！
9－sun 9SM－COMP－set－FV stand＋IMPVE 2sgSM－go－Subj－CLIT
＇The Sun has already set，get up and go there then！＇

Apart from the cumulative form－$\varnothing$ צaー，other regular prefixing
elements are $k i-, r i-$ or even Nge－to produce complex categories in the following manner：
（201）
（a）mu－ki关ョ－ravy－a mu－［r］uk－e 2plSM－SIT－COMP－cause to eat－FV $2 \mathrm{sgSM}-\mathrm{go}-\mathrm{Subj}$ ＇once you finish eating go away＇
（b）mu－riša－ravy－a
2pISM－PAST－COMP－cause to eat－FV
＇you had already eaten＇
（c）mu－Ngeša－ravya
2p1SM－COND－COMP－eat－FV
＇you would have already eaten＇

There is something noteworth in（201b）．Although mu－riきaーravy－a translates as pluperfect，Nurse（p．c ）explains that it doesn＇t function as such．Therefore riצa－or lisa－is not a discrete form for pluperfect in KiNgome and Swahili in general．His observation support a similar observation advanced by Comrie（1985：80）that SSW has no distinct form for pluperfect．

## 4．3．1．5．1 Negative completive

The affirmative completive marker $\underset{\text { §a becomes } \mp \exists \text { in the negative form．}}{ }$ This changes co－occur with prefixing of NEG at SM slot．The FV－a remains unchanged．The following example illustrates this negative strategy：
（202）
（a）mpuNga u－Øצ̌a－kauk－a？
3－rice 3 SM－COMP－dry－FV
＇Has the paddy rice already dried？＇
mpuNga hau－fa－kauk－a
3－rice 3NEG－COMP－dry－FV
＇The rice has not dried＇
As noted in（202 a\＆b），we have pattern NEG－fa－（OM）－VB－a which is identical to the negative pattern we have shown in（194）．

## 4．3．2 Tense marking in KiNgome

A two－fold opposition of past Vs non－past is found in KiNgome．The non－past is an incomplete action，either present or future．KiNgome has two primary forms of reference to past time：a basic form ri－and $\varnothing$ ．．． （VC）which extends and overlaps with reference to anterior．For non－
past，KiNgome has only one discrete future tense taー，a general present tense a－，which sometime speakers freely alternate with progressive na－．

## 4．3．2．1 Pasts

The reference to past tense in KiNgome is displayed by two variant forms： $\mathrm{ri}^{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\varnothing \ldots$（VC）past formatives．Let us see evidence from KiNgome corpus that can be linked to the past reference．：
（203）
（a）tu－ri－ceNg－a
vava
1pISM－PAST－clear－FV 5－thicket
＇We cleared the thicket＇
（b）tu－Ø－čeNg－ョ yava
1plSM－ANT－clear－FV 5－thicket
＇We have cultivated／cultivated the thicket＇

The form ri－（203a）has only past tense reference whilst the form $\varnothing$ ．．（VC）has ambigous references：an anteriority and a past．There is no clear distinction of remoteness one can easily equate to these forms．As we have noted in（177）and（178），KiNgome provides examples that indicate lack of strict cut－off point between（near）and（far）past．It is only loosely that we regard ri－as（far）past and formative $\varnothing$ ．．（VC）as generally representing an event which occurred very recently or today，

## 4．3．2．1．1 Negative past performative

The affirmative ri－past is changed into negative ku－along with prefixing of NEG marker at SM slot．
（204）
（a）ňi－ri－rim－a afaョ
1sgSM－PAST－cultivate－FV afaa
＇I cultivated afaa＇
si－ku－rim－a afaa
1sgSM＋NEG－PAST－cultivate－FV afaa
＇I did not cultivate afaa＇
（b）tu－Ø－rim－a
1pISM－PAST－cultivate－FV
＇We cultivated＇
hatu－ku－rim－a
NEG＋1plSM－PAST－－cultivate－FV
＇We didn＇t cultivate＇

The pattern that is emerging here is simply NEG-ku-(OM)-VB-a for simple past. As can be seen, there is no vowel changes at FV.

### 4.3.2.2 Non-pasts

As we have seen non-pasts are represented by present and future tenses.

### 4.3.2.2.1 Present tense

The present tense is used to express simultaneity of a situation with the present moment. The combination of this tense and varoius aspect categories realise simple present, present anterior and present progressive.

In simple present, we have:
(a)

| tw-a-rya | ňoNdora |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1plSM-PRST/PROG-eat | 9a-leftover |
| 'We eat leftover (food) |  |

which is different from:
(b) tu- $\varnothing$-ry-a noNdora

1plSM-ANT-eat-FV 9a-leftover
we have eaten /ate leftover
which expresses a general fact and is not necessarily reporting an event occuring at the time of utterance. Here we speak of an act of eating which holds at present, and may have began before and may well continue beyond the present moment. Additionally, the present anterior is
 exemplified below:
(206)
(a) $\mathrm{ku}-\varnothing$-mw-on-o

2sgSM-ANT-1sgOM-see-VH
'You have seen him'
(b) tu-sョaーrim-a

1plSM-COMP-cultivate-FV
'We have already cultivated'
In the present progressive, speakers are free to use either na- or aforms as follow:
(c)
tu-na-rim-ョ
1plSM-PROG-cultivate-FV
'We are cultivating'
/ tw-aーrim-a
1plSM-PROG-cultivate-FV
'We are cultivating'

They are basically expressing an ongoing action at the time of utterance. Ways of expressing present time are not solely based on the forms we have described above. We simply argue here that there is a certain degree of association between these formatives and the meanings they can basically express. Largely, pragmatic rules may play a primary role in order to convey the exact meaning speakers wish to communicate .
For instance, when we have the following question and answer forms:
(207)
(a) mu-na-rar-a?

2plSm-PRST-sleep-FV
'Are you sleeping?' (spending the night?)
(b) tu-na-rudi

1pISM-PRST-return
'We are returning' (this evening)

The na-form in ( $207 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ) is expressing the imminent futurity and not something that is going on at that time of speaking. This makes it hard to exactly equate the form and meaning it can always convey in every context.

### 4.3.2.2.2 Future tense

KiNgome, like other SD, displays a morphologically discrete future form with ta- (Ča-for KiMakunduchi and Vumba). It expresses events in future time.
(a)
tu-ta-rim-a

1pISM-FUT-cultivate-FV | peka etu |
| :--- |
| selves our |

'We will cultivate ourselves'
(b) $\quad \mathrm{Y}$-ta-kweNd-a Kidakuri

1sgSM-FUT-go-FV Kidakuri
'I will go to Kidakuli.'
(c)

| N-ta-rim-a | N-ki-fik-a |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1sgSM-FUT-cultivate-FV | 1sgSM-SIT-arrive-FV |
| 'I will cultivate if I ar |  |

As an exception to the common form above, I found one case of expressing future reference without a discrete morpheme ta-, which
may sound awkward to the SSW speakers.
(209)
(a) keక̆o a-Ø-ňi-fik-ョ hapa
tomorrow 3sgSM-ANT-1sgOM-arrive-FV here
'S/he will come to me here tomorrow'
There is no discrete ta- form above. The future expression is here portrayed by a zero form, the combination of the adverbial temporal expression and indicative mood marked by final vowel -a.

The subjunctive construction marked by a suffix -e at the FV slot may also be associated with some future reference without including the form ta-.
(210)

```
u-f-e kešo
2sgSM-come-Subj tomorrow
'come tomorrow'
u-simam-e pare
2sgSM-stand-Subj there
'stand there'
```


### 4.3.2.2.2. 1 Negative future

The future negative forms, appears in both the affirmative and its negative counterpart.
(a) ňi-ta-rim-a afaa

1sgSM-FUT-cultivate-FV afaa
'I will cultivate afaa
si-ta-rim-a afaa
1sgSM+NEG-FUT-cultivate-afaa
'I will not cultivate afaa'

## 4. 3.3 TA formatives ki- and ka-

We now look briefly at ki - and ka- forms. We have not included them in our matrix (174).

### 4.3.3.1 ki- underspecified marker

The form ki - at the TA slot in KiNgome (and indeed SSW) commonly marks 'if' conditional with future interpretation.
(212)
(a) Ņ-ki-rim-a N-ta-vun-a

1sgSM-SIT-cultivate-FV 1sgSM-FUT-harvest-FV
'If I cultivate, I will harvest'
(b) N̦ki-fik-a kešo N̦-ta-ku-p-a pesa zako

1sgSM-STT-arrive-FV tomorrow 1sgSM-FUT-2OM-give-FV 9-money yours 'If I arrive tomorrow, I will give you your money'
(c) a-ki-f-a m-p-e

1SM-SIT-come-FV 1OM-give-Impve
When s/he comes give to her/him'
(d) kuku a-ki-kucoNcor-a utapo haraka

9a-chick 9SM-STT-to peck-FV 2sgSM-FUT-heal quickly 'When a chick pecks you, you quickly get better'
(e) vi-ñagi vi-ki-ňi-kumbum-a na-tetem-a

8 -drizzle $8 \mathrm{sgSM}-$-STT-1sgOM-soak-FV 1sgSM-tremble-FV
'When drizzle soaks me, I tremble'.
(f)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { vire u-ki-Ǩi-on-a } & \text { ňi-kimu-a } \\ \text { when } & \text { 2sgSM-STT-1sgOM-see-FV } \\ \text { 1sgSM-tremble-FV }\end{array}$
'That time, when you saw me, I was angry'.
(a) ři-ri-mw-on-a a-ki-eNd-a Bweni

1sgSM-PAST-3sgOM-see-FV 3sgSM-SIT-go-FV Bweni
'I saw him going to Bweni
(b)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ki-ri-ku-on-a } & \mathbf{u - k i - r y - a ~} \\ \text { 1sgSM-PAST-2sgOM-see-FV } & \text { 2sgSM-SIT-eat-FV }\end{array}$
'I saw you eating'
(c) nilri-mp-on-a a-ki-rar-a

1sgSM-PAST-3sgOM-see-FV 3sgSM-SIT-sleep-FV
'I saw him sleeping
(d) Nöana u-ta-kuwa-pi?

3-afternoon 2sgSM-FUT-be- where?
'Where will you be in the afternoon?
ni-ta-kuma daweni N-ki-riNga NpuNga 1sgSM-FUT-be 5 -farm-Loc 1 sgSM-SIT-see-FV 3 -rice paddy 'I will be in the farm (watching) guarding rice paddy'
$k i-i n(212$ a-f) gives the sense of 'if' and 'when' and all these are considered to have referential meanings. Here ki- occupies tense slot. Whilst in (213 a-d) shows what is regarded by Marten (1998-149) as a 'temporally underspecified'. The formative $k i-$ is now in a subordinate position and fully depending on the preceding matrix clause for its contextual information. ki-form in (213 a-d) denotes progressive sense similar to na-/a-forms. It is evident in our data that the function of ki -
form in KiNgome matches that of SSW (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 367). Most speakers seems to employ the complex form 'sipo' for negative counterpart of (212 a-f) which I suspect to be borrowed from SSW, but majority have no clear response and I have left this area of negative form open for further investigation.

### 4.3.3.2 Consecutive ka-

KiNgome displays ka- form in the following context:
(a) neNd-e-ni mu-ka-ry-e go-Impve-Pl 2plSM-CONS-eat-Subj 'Go and eat'
(b) ka-ry-e
ma-б̌aza
CONS-eat-Impve 6-rice
'Go and eat rice'
(a) tu-ri-kury-a tu-kaーツib-a

1plSM-PAST-eat-FV
1 pl -CONS-get full-FV
'We ate and we got full'
(b) Ǩi-ri-ceNga ni-ka-bini harafu ni-ka-vuna $1 \mathrm{sgSM}-\mathrm{PAST}$-clear 1 sgSM -CONS-cultivate then 1 sgSM -CONS- harvest 'I cleared (the bush), cultivated and then harvested'

The form ka- in (214 a\&b) displays what is known as 'go and V(erb) function (Botne 1999: 476-477) in Bantu languages whilst in (215 a\&b) the form ka- is associated with the notion of consecutiveness. It gives narrative meaning to single observations that are recounted. In such narratives, the first verb establishes the time framework, which normally is far past ri-. The sequencing is then represented by the form ka-. The $k a-$ form changed into past form $k u-$ to agree with negative counterpart of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - past as the following example show:
(a) si-ku-čeNga si-ku-bini wara si-ku-vun-u $1 \mathrm{sgSM}+$ NEG-PAST-clear $1 \mathrm{sgSM}+$ NEG-CONS-cultivate then $1 \mathrm{sgSM}+$ NEG-CONSharvest
'I neither cleared (the bush), cultivated nor harvested'

We have demonstrated in this subsection how Tense formatives interact with Aspect formatives in KiNgome. Although KiNgome TA system is a
simplified one, it shares features such as $\varnothing$...(VC) with other rural SD. We have also mention earlier that there is peculiar SD feature of grammatical alternation of $u-/ \mathrm{ku}-$ and $\mathrm{a}-/ \mathrm{ka}-$ representing 2/3sg Subject person that is associated with certain TA formatives. Our next attempt is to extend this discussion and probably provide an important contribution in the study of Sabaki morphology in general.

## 4. 4 The agreement between TA and sets of $2 / 3 \mathrm{sg}$ Subject Person in SD

The affirmative finite verbs in KiNgome plus other non- Unguja SD have been observed to display three different paradigmatic representations for 1 sg , 2 sg and 3 sg Subject Person in the form of $\mathrm{ni-/5i-}, \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{ku}-$ and $\mathrm{a}-$ /ka- respectively. The alternation ni-/si- is confined to two members of SD; Mtangata and Vumba only. We deliberate avoid discussing them in this study to allow us to concentrate on $2 / 3$ sg which is wide spread. Apparently, the $2 \operatorname{sg} u$ - and $3 \operatorname{sg} a$ - are said to form a default set (henceforth SETA) that contrasts with 'marked' set (herein SETB) of 2sgku- and 3sg $k a$-. The alternation between SETA and SETB is in someway a typical instance of a morphosyntactically conditioned allomorphy. These sets are sensitive to a morphosyntactic category of Tense/Aspect (TA). The attempt is made in this subsection to draw a distributional chart to reveal how and which TA formative determines the choice of SETA and SETB and thus form symmetric patterns or systematic opposition.

## 4. 4.1 The grammatical alternation of 2 sg and 3 sg person in SD

The sets of Subject Person for Class 1 animate nouns in SD generally appear as follow:
(217)

> Singular

1st /ni-/, /si-/ 'T' /tu-/
2nd /u/-, /ku-/ 'You' /mu-/ 'You'
3rd /yu-/,/a-/,/ka-/'S/he'

Plural
/wa-/ 'They'

As can be seen in (217), there are three sets of the subject person for 1st, 2 sg and 3 sg in the form of $\mathrm{ni-/si-}, \mathrm{u}-/ \mathrm{ku-}$ and yu-, a-/karespectively. The set ni-/si-, is restricted to two SD members only, Mtang'ata and Vumba (see Temu (1980: 22) and Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 400-1) . The form yu- is beyond our scope. Hence, the alternation $\mathrm{u}-/ \mathrm{ku}-$ and a-/ka- will be our main concern. There are two reasons
why we need to discuss the variant forms $u-/ k a-$ ( 2 sg ) and $a-/ k a-$ ( 3 sg ) as they appear in SD. First, set $u-/ a-$ (SETA) is often reported to be in a morphological complementary distribution to set ku-/ka- (SETB) (see Maganga 1990: 161 and Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 365). Secondly, the evidence that SETB occurs before 'specific TA markers' (Maganga 1990: 151) and the issue of 'mutual exclusiveness' have been drawn from individual but not collective study of SD members. A generalised picture will only be possible by presenting samples from individual dialects to see how these sets co-occur with various TA formatives. From the available samples, we will draw a distributional chart that will reveal areas marking 'mutual exclusiveness'. This approach will reveal specific TA markers which are responsible for the choice of an appropriate set. It should also be borne in mind that TA formatives among SD members are not entirely homogeneous. Thus, any attempt to examine agreement of sets of subject person and TA within a verb paradigm in SD has to consider the desparity in TA forms in SD. This will minimise a danger of making overgeneralisation or undergeneralisation of the concrete fact. I have collected examples from Pemba (Pe), Tumbatu (Tu), Vumba (Vu), Makunduchi (Mak), Nungwi ( Ngw ), and my own data on Ngome ( Ng ). Standard Swahili (SSW) will only partially be referred in the course of discussion.

Previous analyses of SETA and SETB in SD appear intermittently in works of Nurse (1982, 1984/85), (Maganga (1990), Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) and Riedel (2001/2002). It is fair to say that perhaps, 'a tip of the iceberg' began to appear in Maganga's (1990:33) analysis of the form aagainst ka- in SSW in the following sentence pair :
(218) (a) mwalimu a-me-sema

1-teacher 3SG-ANT-say
'The teacher has said'
(b) mwalimu ka- $\varnothing$-sema

1-teacher 3SG-ANT-say
'The teacher has said'
Two opposing paradigmatic verb cells emerged in (218 a\&b). There is a complementary sets of a--and ka- that stand for 3sg person, along with $m e-$ versus $\varnothing$ - that express anteriority. Maganga explains that the choice between $a-$-and $k a-$ is determined by the morphosyntactic category of perfective aspect (Anterior) me-. The ka-shape is chosen whenever overt
me- is dropped, otherwise in normal circumstance, we should expect a default a- to be followed by me-,

However, he (ibid: 108) admits that ka- that contrast with a- has a very restrictive usage in Pemba, but a wider application in Tumbatu and Makunduchi. Likewise the shape of anterior in Pemba is na- instead of me-, It seems then that when dealing with subject person variation in SD, we are not only abound to consider opposition between SETA and SETB but also between variant TA shapes such as me- and na- that may represent the same categorial function.

Since the main issue is the distribution of subject person in relation to TA formatives, let us examine the earlier attempts in this matter. Riedel (2001/2002:13) in her study of TA system of Northern Unguja Swahili as spoken in Nungwi organises the singular animate markers into Set A and Set B as follows

|  | SET A | SET B |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1st | ni- | ni- | 'T' |
| 2nd | $u^{-}$ | $\mathrm{ku-}$ | 'You' |
| 3rd a- | $\mathrm{ka-}$ | 'S/he |  |

In fact what Riedel demarcates for Nungwi in (219) is somewhat similar to Lambert (1953: 15) and Nurse (1982:175) for Vumba and SD respectively. Riedel (ibid) explains that Set B in Nungwi case is used primarily with main clause forms (past, anterior, future, completive and progressive. While Set A is used primarily for subordinate clauses (conditional, subjunctive and relatives). Maganga (1990: 228-9) made a similar observation in Makunduchi in which he analyses SETA as mainly found in the subjunctive, the negative, conditional and situative. These analyses represent only specific dialects, a need arises for a cross-dialectal descprition to be supported by clear examples.

Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:365-6) also noted that SETB as derived from CB/PSA *ku-/*ka- co-occurs with certain positive TA in Pokomo, SD, Mwani and Comorian. They went further to offer a serious suggestion that a complementary distribution between SETA and SETB can be taken as a Northeastern Bantu (NEC) isogloss. In this thesis we deliberately promote a stand that the presence of SETA and SETB demarcates Swahili dialects into SD and ND. However, to obtain data to adequately expose the complementary sets of 2 sg and 3 sg subject person is not an easy task,
examples of SETA and SETB that are found in SD are scattered，hence I have organised them to allow a reader to see them in totality．

My first attempt is to make clear what sort of TA formatives are present in SD dialects．We will then provide examples showing the use of SETA and SETB for each dialect to be followed by the distribution chart． The distribution chart will form the bases of our analysis of the co－ occurrence of variant forms of 2SG／3SG with TAs markers within certain paradigm cells．The consideration of the myriad forms representing TA categories at this stage is pivotal before we attempt to analyse the distribution of SETA and SETB in SD．I have made comparison of TA forms in seven SD members plus SSW．All TA forms are those found in affirmative finite verb paradigm．
（220）TA formatives（affirmative）in SD

| TA／SD | MAK | TU | NGW | PE | VU | MT | NG | SSW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| na－，a－，hu－ <br> （Present） | na－ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na-, a-, } \\ & \text { hu- } \end{aligned}$ | na－，a－ | ${ }^{\text {a－}}$ | a－ | a－ | na（ku）－／a－ | na－／a－ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { na(ku)-, a- } \\ & \text { me-, ma- } \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \\ & \text { (Anterior) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { me- } \\ & \theta_{. .(V C)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ma-} \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{na}(\mathrm{ku})- \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{na}(\mathrm{ku}-), \\ & \mathrm{a}- \\ & \mathrm{\varnothing} . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{na}(\mathrm{ku}-) \\ & \mathrm{me}- \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ | ø．．（VC） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { me- } \\ & \varnothing ? \end{aligned}$ |
| （me）క̌a－，ma－ （Completive） | （me） | 会a－ | － | צa－ | \％a－ | \％a－ | \％ | （me）$\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { li-/e-/, } \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \text { (Past) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { li- } \\ & \text { ©..(VC) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { li, } \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ | li？ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e}-, \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1i－ | 1 i | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ri-, } \\ & \varnothing . .(\mathrm{VC}) \end{aligned}$ | $1 \mathrm{i}-$ |
| ta－，号a－ <br> Nda－（Future） | ¢ัョ－ | ta－ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { ta- } \\ \text { Nda- } \end{array}$ | ta－ | くッ－ | ta－ | ta－ | ta－ |
| Nga－，Nge－ <br> （Conditional） | Nge－ | Nge－ | Nge－ | Nga－ | Nga－ | Nga－ | Nga－ | Nge－ |
| ki－，ka－ <br> （Situative） | ka－ | ka－ | ki－ | ki－ | ka－ | ka－ | ki－ | ki－ |

Note that there are certain dialectal variation in TA formatives in SD． Clearly noticeable are rare cases of TA formatives Nda－＇future＇（FUT） and a－（immediate anterior（ANT）．ANT is dominated by $\varnothing$ ．．．（VC）in almost all eight cases．We also see in the PAST the occurrence of $1 \mathrm{i}-/ \mathrm{e}-$ form against $\varnothing$ ．．．．．（VC）．There are symmetric relationship in ANT and

PAST categories. There are also gaps which may be interpreted as lack of recorded data or suspicious occurrence of forms (e.g. loan). There are presence of invariant forms in completive (COMP), FUT, Conditional (COND), and Situative (SIT) in which we hope they will provide clues for 'exclusiveness' in the choice of the approriate form. Which set 'wins' in particular context is the subject of our next stage.
4. 4.2 The Data

I present the distributional of SETA and SET B against TA forms based on (see appendix A) Table 1 to 7 that represent examples from seven SD members. I admit that data at my disposal may be inadequate but at least will provide the picture we intend to draw and lay grounds for an additional data input.
(221) Agreement of $2 / 3$ SG SM with TA markers in SD

|  | MAK |  | TU |  | PE |  | VU |  | NGW |  | MT |  | Ng |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TA/SM | SETA | SETB | SETA | SETB | SETA | SETB | SETA | SETB | SETA | SETB | SETA | SETB | SETA | SETB |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { na-, a- } \\ & \text { (Present) } \end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | ? | $\sqrt{5}$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| na- <br> a- <br> me-, ma- <br> $\varnothing \ldots$ (VC) <br> (ANT) | ? | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { v} \\ \sqrt{v} \end{array}\right\|$ | ? |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} v \\ v \\ v \\ v \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? \\ & ? \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $1 v$ $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \frac{k}{2} \\ \sqrt{2} \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (me) } \text { צ̌a- }^{2} \\ & \text { ma- } \\ & \text { (COMP) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\checkmark$ |  | ? | $?$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | ? |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| li-, e$\varnothing . . .(\mathrm{VC})$ (PST) | $\checkmark$ |  | V |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $?$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ta-, 号a- <br> Nda <br> (FUT) | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Nga/Nge <br> (COND) | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | Eq |
| ki-, ka(SIT) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | +4.4 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |

key:
$\sqrt{ }$ 'present'; ? 'Not recorded or lack of data'; gap= absent

## 4．4．3 Analysis

SETA is exclusively used in COND，STT，and appears in almost all FUT cases but only NGW and MAK have both SETA and SETB followed by FUT marker．COMP may trigger both SETA and SETB in majority of SD． The ANT／PAST in the form of $\varnothing \ldots$（VC）selects SETB unambigously．
There is no redundancy of shape na－as ANT／PRST in MAK，TU，NGW and NG on one hand，and PE，VU and MT on the other．This is an example of＇substitution＇of na－shape．If na－is chosen as ANT then it will not appear as PRST／PROG and vice versa．Interestingly，na－ANT occurs exclusively with SETB．This is an area we can confidently talk of ＇mutual exclusiveness＇．There are＇rare＇cases such as a－PRST in TU and ma－ANT in NGW are reported to trigger SETB．This may be regarded as isolation cases pending further cross－linguistic data．
We have seen areas in which exlusiveness applies．SETA is strictly chosen when COND，SIT appear in a verb．SETB is exlusively used when $\varnothing . .$. （VC） appears in ANT／PAST e．t．c．What can we sum up for SD then？

## 4．4．4 Tentative proposals

The following are my proposals based on the general properties of agreement between TA and subject prefixal sets in（221）above：
（a）There are three scenarios in（221）that characterize the selection of sets in SD finite verbs：Firstly，TA forms such as na－PRST and б̌ョ－FUT and me Ngome may agree with both SETA and SETB．Secondly，TA form such as $\varnothing$ ．．．（VC）that stands for ANT／PAST only select SETB．Other that also appear exclusively with SETB are ma－COMP and me－ANT in TU， Nda－FUT and me－ANT in NGW，and na－ANT in PE，VU and MT． The last scenario is marked by the exclusive selection of SETA in Nge－， Nga－COND，ki－／ka－STT，and li－，e－PAST．These scenarios allow us to interprete TA forms in two paradigmatic cells．
I shall call them cell A（ that agree with SETA）and cell B（that agree with SETB）as follows：
（222）

|  | PRST | PAST | ANT | COMP | FUT | COND | SIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CELLA | na－，a－ | li－，e－ | me－，ma－ | （me） ̌a－$^{\text {a }}$ | ta－，¢̆a－ | Nge－，Nga－ | ki－，ka－ |
| CELL B | na－，a | Ø．．．（VC） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ná-, a-, } \\ & \varnothing . . .(\mathrm{VC}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ミョ．，ma－ | Nda－，ta |  |  |

(c) mašuia u-na-yo-i-peNd-a i-fik-a 5-boat 2sgSM-PRST-5OM-like-FV 5SM-arrive-FV 'The boat that I you like has arrived'

The relative marker in (224a) is marked by the relative suffix - yo at poststem slot. It realises the pattern SM-(AT)-VB-REL In (224b) and (224c), it appears in the pre-stem position and displays the pattern SM-AT-REL-(OM)-VB-FV. The internal structure of relative marker consists of a Class prefix + the referential -o as its base. Each class has its own specific relative agreement marker presented below:
(225)

| Gender | Singular Relative | Plural Relative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1(a): 2 (a) | ye | 0 |
| $3: 4$ | $o$ | yo |
| $5: 6$ | ro | yo |
| $7: 8$ | kyo | yo |
| $9: 10$ | yo | zo |
| $9 \mathrm{a}: 10 \mathrm{a}$ 'animates' | ye | 0 |
| $11: 10$ | $o$ | yo |
| $14: 6$ | 0 | yo |
| 15 | ko |  |
| 16 | po |  |
| 17 | ko |  |
| 18 | mo |  |

E.g.
(226)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (a) a-ri-ko-perek-a ma-zao ni Nbari } \\
& \text { 3sgSM-PAST-REL-send-FV 6-crop is far } \\
& \text { 'Where s/he has sent crops is far' }
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Nbegu ni-ri-yo-ku-beNd-a i-Ø-karuk-a $\quad$ 9-seed 1sgSM-PAST-REL-2sgOM-request-FV 9-ANT-dry-FV
'The seed I requested from you has dried'
There are constraints on the distribution of the relative markers in relation to TA markers. In the prestem slot, the relative markers may be preceded by the past marker ri-, the present maker na- or the future marker ta-.

## 4. 6 Reflexives

Syntactically, reflexivization is a morphosyntactic operation that traditionally has been argued to involve valency reduction. As in many other Sabaki languages, KiNgome reflexivization is marked by the reflexive morpheme fi- which is prefixed to the verb in the OM slot.
(227)
(a) ka-ji-kat-a

3sgSM-REFX-cut-FV
'S/he has cut him/herself'.
(b) mwizi ka-fi-reta

1-thief 3sgSM-REFX-bring
'The thief brought himself'
(c) N-thoto a-ri-ji-kata kidore

1-child $3 s g S M-P A S T-R E F X-c u t ~ f i n g e r ~$
' A child cut his/her finger'

### 4.7 Suffix Morphology

This section will concern itself with a discussion on categories that occur following the verb root: namely the verb extensions, the obligatory inflectional final vowels, and enclitics.

### 4.7.1 The verbal extensions in KiNgome

KiNgome verbs (as well as other Sabaki languages) accept a wide range of derivative suffixes to form new lexemes. The verb root forms the base upon which a derivation is created by suffixing a verbal extension to it. A number of these extensions are productive synchronically. Highly productive extensions are the causative, the passive, the applicative, the reciprocal, and the stative while non-productive lexically restricted ones are the reversive and the contactive.

Following a basic verb stem such as fuNg-a 'close' we may derive the following extensions;
type of extensions
passive
causative
applicative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { verb stems } \\
& \text { fuNg-w-a } \\
& \text { fuNg-is-a } \\
& \text { fuNg-ir-a }
\end{aligned}
$$

The paradigmatic Cell A has members which cut across the whole of Swahili spectrum and Sabaki in general. This cell can be assumed to hold 'unmarked' TA forms or 'general paradigm'. The Cell B is characterizing the SD, and indeed Comoro, and Pokomo (Nurse p.c). This cell fits the characteristic of a 'marked TA or 'subparadigm'. Why should Cell B occurs in SD? The answer is not clearcut. One possibility seems to be a desire in part of SD speakers to shorten their way of speaking. In doing so, they realise variant paradigms which call for variant exponents and thus avoid redundancy in grammatical categories.
(223)
(a) a-ri-rim-a

3sg-PAST- cultivate-FV
'S/he cultivated'
(b) ka-Ø.-rim-a

3sg-PAST-cultivate-FV
'S/he cultivated/has cultivated'

Another reasons, may be attributed to a historical fact that SD are holding essential forms (SETB) which have dissappeared in non-SD including SSW. More study and data are needed to explore this subject. However, the evidence reported in this subsection has partly corroborate clearly the suggestion by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 365-6) that complementary distribution between SETA and SETB is an isogloss that subclassify NEC. The morphological division of SETA and SETB between SD and ND in Swahili is one of these cases.

## 4. 5 Relatives

Some verb forms in KiNgome (as well as in Bantu in general) exhibit a set of inflectional affixes at prefix and or suffix slots that encode the relativised argument. These affixes may occupy the prefix or suffix positions depending on the presence or absence of certain TA categories.
The following present typical use of relative clause in KiNgome:
(224)
(a) mašuwa y-eNda-yo Bweni i-fik-a

9-boat 9-SM-go-REL Bweni 9SM-arrive-FV
'The boat going to Bweni has arrived'
(b) mašuwa i-ri-yo-eNd-a Bueni i-fik-a

9-boat 9SM-PAST-REL-go-FV Bweni 9SM-arrive-FV
'The boat which has gone to Bweni has arrived'

| stative | fuNg－ik－a |
| :--- | :--- |
| stative＋passive | fuNg－ik－ig－a |
| static（contactive） | fuNg－an－a |
| contactive | fuNg－at－a |
| reversive | fuNg－ur－a |
| reciprocal | fuNg－an－a |
| reciprocal＋reciprocal | fuNg－an－an－a |
| reversive＋applicative | fuNg－ur－ir－a |
| reversive＋applicative＋reciprocal | fuNg－ur－ir－an－a |
| static＋reciprocal＋caus＋pass | fuNg－an－an－is－ig－a |

We discuss each of these extensions in turn：

## 4．7．1．1 The passive

The KiNgome passive（PASS）（ like that of other Swahili dialects and several Bantu languages）is marked by the suffix－w（or－iw or－ew as determined by VHH）．It has the effect of＇demoting＇the subject NP to the status of an oblique complement，marked as the object of the preposition na，while at the same time promoting the object NP to subject function． Consider the following active sentence：
（229）
mw－ari ョ－na－pik－ョ ma－ŏaェa
1－girl 3sgSM－PRST－cook－FV
6－gruel
＇A girl is cooking gruel＇
becomes passive by the addition of the passive suffix／$-i w /$ （230）

```
ma-¢`aza ya-na-pik-w-a na mwari
6-gruel 6SM-PRST-cook-PASS-FV Prep 1-girl
＇Gruel is cooked by a girl＇
```

The oblique NP can be omitted．Moreover，the passive verb does not allow the occurrence of the OM，as shown by the following ：

```
**mačaza ya-na-ya-pik-w-a na mwari
6-gruel 6-SM-TA-cook-PASS-FV Prep 1-girl
```

The passive marker is allowed to combine with other extension suffixes but can only be preceded by them，never followed by them．

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ha-v-is-w-i } & \text { > causative + passive }  \tag{232}\\
\text { pig-ik-w-a } & >\text { stative + passive } \\
\text { pig-ir-iw-a } & >\text { applicative + passive } \\
\text { pig-an-iw-a } & >\text { reciprocal + passive }
\end{array}
$$

The data collected also contains one example of a passive marker that may be segmented as ko-kwit-aw-a 'you are being called'. The passive marker is -aw instead of -w, $-i w(-e w$ by VHH), Guthrie has a CB *-yi tab- 'respond to call' which has widespread reflexes; however -ab-does not appear to be a regular extention.

### 4.7.1.2 Applicatives

The basic shape of the KiNgome applicative (APPL) morpheme is -ir (er by VHH).
(233)


This is a syntactic process that extends the valency of the verb. The applicative /-ir/is directed at an animate object who plays the role of a beneficiary or experiencer. Thus the NP in the second examples in (233) a and $b$ ) is a beneficiary argument of the verb. The applicative may also be used to introduce other thematic roles such as an instrumental or a locative into the range of arguments which the verb can support.

### 4.7.1.3. Causatives

Causatives (CAUS) are among the most striking feature of the morphological component of the extended verb system in Eastern Bantu languages. Basically, the majority of the primary KiNgome causatives, as well as other Swahili dialects (see Damman 1978: 41-47), are realized by
the form -iŋg and -es or -iz and -ez (as determined by VHH). KiNgome, like other Eastern Bantu languages, has a multiplicity of causative forms which are productive i.e. $(-y,-i \leqq$ and $-e s$ or $-i z-$ and -ez ) and these are considered to be derived historically from *i ( $-y$ ). Here are examples of verbs with various morphological causative suffixes:
(234)

|  | base form | causative form |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ziNg-a | 'set trap' | ziNg-iz-a | 'cause to set a trap' |
| rim-a | 'cultivate' | rim-is-a | 'cause to cultivate' |
| um-a | 'bite' | wm-iz-a | 'cause to bite' |
| agop-a | 'take fright' | ogo-fy-a | 'cause to take fright' |
| tobor-a | 'piece' | tobor-esa | 'cause to pierces' |
| vwar-a | 'dress' | varr-iŋ-a | 'cause to dress' |

The above examples show a morphological process of deriving causative verbs from their base form by the addition of the appropriate causative markers. However, just as in KiUnguja /SSW, I found in my data a case of a verb mariza 'finish', without the base form mar-a. It appears that the causative $-i z$ has been completely absorbed to create a new base form mariz- 'finish'. Such a verb no longer show a causative relationship to a corresponding simple root, even though an earlier causative interpretation seems reconstructable. I regard mariza 'finish'(or maliza 'finish' in SSW) as a case of a petrified causative *-iz.

I have also come across a typical case of lexical variability (Coupez 1975) in the forms of tiNbini-a 'to tread' and tiNb-a 'to tread'. It is easy to regard $\mathrm{tiNb}-a$ as the base form of tiNbiri-a 'to tread' in KiNgome. This is not the case. Although both form are currently in use, the form tiNbiñ-a is the native KiNgome word while tiNb-a has entered into the KiNgome lexicon through its contact with KiUnguja/SSW. A similar sort of difference between what I regard as the native KiNgome word taNdamar-a 'spread' versus the borrowed form $\mathrm{taNd}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}$ 'spread' from KiUnguja/SSW exists in the synchronic KiNgome data.

### 4.7.1.4 Statives

The stative suffix (STAT) is signalled by the morpheme -ik (or -ek by VH). Stative verbs are very similar to the passive, in that they omit all expression of an agent and promote oblique functional categories such as beneficiary, patient or instrumental to the subject function.
(a) Nthoto ka-ri-vuNj-a buri

1-child 3sgSM-5OM-break-FV 5-kettle
'a child broke the kettle'
(b) buri ri-vuNj-ik-a

5-kettle 5SM-break-STAT-FV
'the kettle is broken'

The stative extension is very regular and productive. Thus, we have verb stems with stative forms such as the following:
(236)

| Base form |  |  | stative form |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| pit-a | 'pass' | pit-ik-a | 'be passable' |  |
| tum-a | 'send' | tum-ik-a | 'be sendable' |  |
| som-a | 'read' | som-ek-a | 'be readable' |  |
| fur-a | 'swell' | fur-ir-ik-a | 'be swollen' |  |
| vuNj-a | 'break' | vuNj-ik-a | 'be breakable' |  |
| kat-a | 'cut' | kat-ik-a | 'be cut' |  |

The statives does not interact with other suffixes as readily as the passive. However, we do find some statives withapplicative or causative moification.
(237)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { vuNj-ik-a 'be broken' } & \text { vuNj-ik-ir-a } & \text { 'be broken at' } \\
\text { tum-ik-a } & \text { 'be sendable' } & \text { tu-m-ik-iša } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { 'cause to be } \\
\text { sendable' }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

### 4.7.1.5 Reciprocals

Reciprocity is expressed through the suffixation of ordinary verbs with -an. The verb appears with a subject NP which is plural in number. This
is achieved by having a subject NP that denotes a group or by having a co－ ordinate structure in the subject position．Note the following：
watoto wa－na－pig－an－a
2－children 2SM－pres．fight－RCP．－FV
＇Children are fighting one another＇

KiNgome like other Bantu languages can derive reciprocal verbs from the transitive verb form．This is a valency－reducing operation，resulting in predicates which do not permit a direct function to be assigned to an NP corresponding to the reciprocal affix．
The reciprocal verb can serve as a base for causative，causative－passive， applicative，stative and reduplicate verb as follows：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { pig-an-a }  \tag{239}\\
& \text { pig-ヨn-iצ゙-a } \\
& \text { pig-an-is-w-a } \\
& \text { pig-an-ir-a } \\
& \text { pig-an-ik-a } \\
& \text { pig-an-aーpig-an-a } \\
& \text { fight }+ \text { REC }+ \text { FV } \\
& \text { fight }+ \text { REC }+ \text { CAUS }+F V \\
& \text { fight }+ \text { REC }+ \text { CAUS }+ \text { PASS }+ \text { FV } \\
& \text { fight }+ \text { REC }+ \text { APPL }+ \text { FV } \\
& \text { fight }+ \text { REC }+S T A+F V \\
& \text { fight }+ \text { REC }+ \text { FV }+ \text { fight }+\mathrm{REC}+\mathrm{FV}
\end{align*}
$$

Apart from the formative－an which is regular，I have noticed certain expression reNdeNd－a＇talk tete a tete＇with a suffix－eNd which may possibly reflect an older reciprocal marker．I have no other examples to confirm this．

## 4．7．1．6 Reversives

The reversive（REV）is marked by－ur（or as determined by VH）． Unlike the other derivatives which have major syntactic implications， the reversive is a purely semantic process with no effect on voice or valency．

| kuNj－a | ＇shut＇ | kuNj－ur－a | ＇open＇ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fig－a | ＇hide＇ | fiç－ur－a | ＇reveal＇ |
| var－a | ＇dress＇ | vur－a | ＇undress＇ |
| 厄iNba | ＇dig＇ | ¿iNb－ur－a | ＇excavate＇ |
| fun－ik－a | ＇cover＇ | fun－ur－a | ＇uncover＇ |


| fuNg－a | ＇tie up＇ | fuNg－ur－a | ＇untie＇ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| som－a | ＇sting＇ | 厄оm－or－a | ＇pull out＇ |

This suffix has lost its productiveness，and it appears in only a small set of verbs．It is not freely affixed to other verbs．

## 4．7．1．7 Contactives

These verbs denote something about body posture or position．There are very few words that allow adding a contactive extensions（CONT）． KiNgome data has shown the following examples：
（a）fuNg－am－an－a
close－CONT－REC－FV
＇To associate oneself＇
（b）gaNd－am－ョn－ョ
stick－CONT－REC－FV
＇To be attached to something＇

However，I have noted in my data some possible further extensions of contactive markers．Let us look at the following data ：
（242）
（a）
dek－em－ez－a
slack－CONT－CAUS－FV
＇slackening＇（c．f．SSW dek－ez－ョ＇slackening＇）
（b）zod－om－ar－a
increase－CONT－APLL－FV
＇become ripe＇
（c）guNd－um－uk－a
rise－CONT－STAT－FV
＇rise up＇

Here we observe the occurrence of suffixes－em，－om，－um and－am occurring along with $-e z,-a r$, ，uk and $-a n$ respectively．It seems that $-e m,-o m_{1}-u m$ and $-a m$ share some common original form which is hard to decipher at this stage．With the exception of am－in fuNg－am－an－a＇to associate oneself＇，all other examples of this
doubtful extension show a form of vowel copying based on the root vowel．I can only speculate that I find it difficult on semantic grounds to directly relate such putative allormophs with the contactive suffix－am． The best solution（though doubtful）I propose here is to treat these KiNgome cases as a further cases of lexical variability（Coupez 1975）．

## 4．7．2 The Suffix Vowel Copying（VC）

KiNgome and other SD（except KiUnguja and SSW）are characterised by a process in which there is straightforward echo（copying）of the stem vowel into the suffix．See § 2．2． 2.3

## 4．7．3 Clitics

One of the morphological peculiarities of the KiNgome dialect is the excessive use of enclitics with verbal forms in post－final positions．The common forms of enclitics are interrogatives／－pi／＇where？＇，／－je／ ＇how＇？，and／－ni／＇what ？＇and locatives elements of Classes 16，17， and $18 /-\mathrm{po},-\mathrm{ko},-\mathrm{mo} /$ ．I have used＝mark to indicate clitic boundary． （243）

```
unakweNda=pi ?
keba=ni ?
he=je?
于ョ=于е?
kofaNza=fe=ko
yowera=po
ritupe=ko
ritiNbiňe=ko
kara=po
irya=80
i ȟwa=mo
riyaNgurira=po
kapiti=pa
＇where are you going ？＇
＇what has s／he stolen ？＇
＇what for ？
＇how was it？
＇what have you done there！＇
＇rest there！＇
＇throw it there＇
＇tread on it there！＇
＇sit there！＇
＇eat that！＇
＇drink from it！＇
＇pick it up there for me！＇
＇S／he passed here！＇
```

In a similar pattern，the neighbouring KiMwera（P22）（Harries 1950：50）is reported to exhibit enclitization of certain suffixes namely－pe，－ga and －于e as follows：
afeNde＝je
nika＝ga nne
kuNdawi＝pe
＇let him go ！＇
＇If I come＇
＇early in the morning＇

## 4． 8 Subtractive Morphology

This is an area in which I find KiNgome strikingly distinct from other Swahili dialects，especially SSW．The subtractive phenomena derive from a shortened forms where a portion of the stem is deleted．There seems to be no particular pattern that is followed in the shortening process．However，I will attempt to group related sets in relation to their full forms as found in SSW．
（245）

| KiNgome | SSW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （a）miri | miriki | ＇to own＇ |
| ki－yaNga ${ }^{21}$ | ki－yangazi | ＇dry season＇ |
| ku－ta | ku－taga | ＇to lay（eggs）＇ |
| ri－ri－ku | ni－ri－ku－wa | ＇I had＇ |
| hesa | hesabu | ＇count，fair deal＇ |
| ¢afi［y］o | Ca fioni | ＇evening meal＇ |
| usifime | usifinime | ＇do not deny yourself＇ |
| （b）tetema | tetem－ek－a | ＇shiver＇ |
| Ngeka | Ngekewa | ＇luck＇ |
| kañikaribu | kanikarib－iき－a | ＇S／he invited me＇ |
| uhami | chamafi | ＇shifting or moving＇ |
| （o）3yo | hayo | ＇that＇ |
| eka ako | peke yako | ＇yourself＇ |
| isi gani？ | fiNsi gani？ | ＇how is it？＇ |
| （d）he－fe？ | imekuwa－fe？ | ＇what happened＇ |
| 于а－je？ | i mekuwa－je？ | ＇what happened？＇ |
| $k i-r-i$ | ni－me－kul－a | ＇I have eaten＇ |
| zid－o | zid－iぎョ | ＇add＇ |

[^16]KiNgome displays an intricate from of 'chopping' words which may look strange from the point of view of SSW speakers. I have attempted to group words according to the characteristics they share in relation to the full SSW forms. In (245) there is absence of a CV syllable in the KiNgome forms. The missed syllables cannot be associated with any particular morphological paradigm. A similar pattern is found in Maá (Nurse p.c). In (245b) the KiNgome forms seem to display aspect that can be assumed to be the base forms as compared with the extended forms in SSW. In ( 245 c ) we observe the lack of the initial consonants in KiNgome forms. In (245d) we note a sort of shortening which may be related to truncation. In general it has not been easy to analyse the KiNgome forms above. We can not say with certainty that such phenomena reflect any genuine morphological process.

Interestingly, KiNgome has no full forms as alternatives to the ones we have pointed out in (245). To KiNgome speakers above cases are not truncated or shortened forms but rather they are complete forms and have to be used in daily KiNgome speech as they appear above. It seems that other dialects also have tendency of shortening words. I have come across the case of fu sizi 'heavy dust' which have been derived from fusi zi to in KiPate (see Chiraghdin 1987: 82). At this stage I may only speculate that the shortened form has arisen due to poetic licence as I have found used in poetry. This is a future subject worth investigating. I have no intention of attempting to provide any sort of rule for the above cases, I only intend at present to emphasize the salient ways to which KiNgome differs from other Swahili dialects in particular SSW.

## 4. 9 Summary

The present chapter has described the KiNgome basic verb morphology. Specifically. I have presented inflectional affixes which indicate subject and object marking, tense and aspect, negation, reflexives, relatives, derivational suffixes, and final vowel variants; and subtractive morphology. It is clear from the description that KiNgome aligns with other rural SD in displaying common morphosyntactic categories serving a variety of grammatical functions.

The next two chapters will focus on the diachronic aspects of the striking phonological and morphological features we have seen so far in KiNgome in relation to the reconstructed Proto-Sabaki forms proposed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993).

## 5. 0 Development of the phonological system of KiNgome

This chapter presents a historical account of the phonology of the KiNgome dialect. Specifically, I will examine the correspondences between the Proto-Sabaki (PSA) forms as reconstructed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) and the contemporary KiNgome forms; where necessary, we will go further back to the Common Bantu (CB) forms as proposed in Guthrie's magnum opus (1967-71).

PSA is a diachronic stage representing the latest possible stage from which all modern Sabaki languages can be derived. It has been reconstructed from the modern Sabaki languages, primarily by means of the comparative method. Common Bantu is here taken to represent a diachronic stage preceding PSA.

The consideration of CB, PSA and Modern KiNgome thus represents three different diachronic layers, comprising the earliest stage, an intermediate stage, and a modern stage. Between Common Bantu and the present Coastal Sabaki (including the KiNgome dialect) there have been many sound changes which went through intermediate stages. Since proto-languages are not attested languages, but a hypothetical prototype parent language as reconstructed from the daughter languages', PSA (and even $(\mathrm{B}$ ) reconstruction has to be understood as a set of hypotheses about the prehistoric development of Sabaki (and Bantu) languages.

The attempt is being made in these two chapters to validate this tentative proposal against the actual modern forms as found in KiNgome. We intend to determine what happened in the course of KiNgome development. As a peripheral dialect, the KiNgome dialect is assumed to exhibit a mixture of the results of sound change such as the retention of CB/PSA forms and conversely of the loss of them. We also expect to see evidence for convergence, shared innovations with SD and ND, and the intimate borrowing of lexis, especially from P10 and P20 languages.

We will make an attempt to chart the most plausible route from CB/PSA sound to the modern-day KiNgome. To that end, we favour the principle of seriation as an alytical method rather than simply to list correspondences. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 56) argue that 'seriation plays a role in demonstrating that different dialects potentially share parts ( intermediate stages) of specific processes with other dialects, which would otherwise not be apparent only by looking at autonomous correspondences'. Seriation will show the various intermediate stages
and the evolutionary development of modern forms of KiNgome.
This chapter will primarily focus on the KiNgome reflexes of PSA consonants and vowels. We will examine the correspondences between those elements at their various stages and develop a discussion of the relevant processes and patterns that are likely to have occurred. By offering hitherto missing data from KiNgome, this chapter and the following one are expected to corroborate in part the work of Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) on the comparative historical phonology and morphology of the Sabaki languages.

## 5. 1 Development of the KiNgome inventory

In order to trace the route via which the present-day KiNgome inventory emanated, we begin with an outline of the Common Bantu inventory (henceforth $\mathrm{CB}^{22}$ as reconstructed by Guthrie (1969-71) to be followed by PSA inventory. We shall examine their correspondences with the KiNgome consonant and vocalic system. The following presentation of the consonant system gives the generally accepted proto-forms (while disregarding their precise phonetic nature, which is a matter of controversy).

## (246) The CB phonemic inventory

| Consonants |  |  |  | Vowels |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $*_{\mathrm{P}}$ | *t | $*_{0}$ | *k | *i | * |
| * ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | *d | * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $*_{g}$ | * ${ }_{1}$ | $*_{u}$ |
| $*_{\text {m }}$ | $*_{n}$ | *r | $*_{\square}$ | *e | * |
| *mp | *nt | *no | *nk |  |  |
| *mb | *nd | *nj | ${ }^{*}{ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |

CB inventory in (246) has a rather simple consonant system, and a slightly more complex vowel system. There is twenty consonants and seven vowels; but lacks fricatives, and liquids. In (247) below we present the PSA inventory as reconstructed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 61) :

[^17](247) The PSA phonemic inventory:

| Consonants |  |  |  | Vowels |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *P | * t | $*_{0} 23$ |  | *i | $*_{\text {ų }}$ |
| *W | *1 | * ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | *g | *i | $*_{u}$ |
| *f | $*_{s}$ | * |  | *e | $*_{0}$ |
| $*_{v}$ | $*_{\text {z }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| *mp | $*_{n}$ t | $*_{n}$ ¢ | *nk |  |  |
| *mb | *nd | *nj | *ng |  |  |
| *m | $*_{n}$ | *r | $*_{\square}$ |  |  |
| $*_{w}$ | * (r?) |  | *y |  |  |

The PSA consonant inventory differs considerably from the Common Bantu inventory. It has a greater number of consonants, including fricatives, nasal plus consonant sequences and liquids. Remarkably, both CB and PSA share the same seven-vowel system. Briefly, we observe that:
(a) there is an increase in consonants from 20 to 28.
(b) simple stops, nasals and the seven-vowel system has remained unchanged since CB.
(c) fricatives have developed via a process widely known as 'Bantu spirantization'. Here, CB stops became spirants in the environment of the following CB high close vowels *i and *u.
(d) we note the presence of a voiced nasal-stop cluster series, just as in CB. However, the counterpart nasal-fricative series is not indicated in the PSA inventory though they are often present in Nurse and Hinnebusch's illustrations and discussion.
(e) There is an indication of semivowels and a liquid, which were not reconstructed for $C B$.

Let us now compare the CB and PSA inventories with the following contemporary KiNgome inventory:

[^18]| Consonants |  |  |  |  | Vowels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | $t$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | $k$ |  | i |  | $\square$ |
| $\mathrm{P}^{h}$ | $t^{h}$ | ch | $k^{h}$ |  |  |  |  |
| b | d | 于 | 9 |  | e |  | 0 |
| f | s | $\stackrel{3}{\square}$ |  | h |  | ョ |  |
| v | $z$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{Nt}^{\text {h }}$ | Nčh | Nkh |  |  |  |  |
| Nb | Nd | Nj | Ng |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nv | Nz |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m | $\square$ | $\check{n}$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| w | $r$ | $y$ |  |  |  |  |  |

A picture is beginning to emerge about what sort of sound changes must have occurred from CB to PSA and later to present-day KiNgome:
(a) There is further increase in the number of consonants from PSA (28) to KiNgome (36) but there is a reduction of the CB/PSA 7vowel system to a 5- vowel one. Several Bantu languages including the Swahili dialects attest the reduction of a seven to a five vowel system as a result of the merger of the close vowels ( $*_{i}$ and $*_{i}$ ) with the high vowels $*_{i}$ and $*_{u}$.
(b) KiNgome has inherited from PSA its nasals, stops, fricatives, semi vowels and liquid. However, the most obvious difference between PSA and KiNgome is the extensive development of aspiration.
(c) KiNgome has also developed an extensive series of nasal + obstruent segments.

## 5. 1.1 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA inventory

Let us now review the various series of PSA segments in turn to see how they correspond to synchronic KiNgome forms. We presumed that developments outlined below still occurring within three historical stages as already envisaged in this study. The following sections will show both
regular and irregular KiNgome reflexes of PSA reconstructions. There is ample evidence that in some areas KiNgome preserved an earlier $C B$ form irrespectively of the conditioning environments. However, in a number of cases, there is clear correpondence between $\mathrm{CB} / \mathrm{PSA}$ and the present day KiNgome. Where striking irregularities occur, I argue for a revising of some problematic PSA reconstruction. We believe that new data from KiNgome and other rural SD as presented in this section will have positive impact on previous PSA hypotheses.

## 5. 1. 1. 1 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA voiceless stops ${ }^{*} p,{ }^{*} t,{ }^{*} k$, ${ }^{*} \mathbf{c}$

 KiNgome is conservative in the preservation of voiceless stops, for some Sabaki languages have shown sporadic lenitions of PSA $*_{\mathrm{P}},{ }^{*} \mathrm{t},{ }^{*} \mathrm{k}$, *o. However, it should be noted that KiNgome has two distinctive sets of $^{\text {o }}$ voiceless stops as the reflexes of CB/PSA voiceless stops / ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{c} /:$ plain voiceless stops $/ P, t, k, \gamma /$ and aspirated voiceless stops $/ p_{j} h,{ }_{t} h, k h$, ©h/, which is commonly associated with the loss of a preceding $N$ as the prefix of Class $9 / 10$ as described in chapter two. The CB /PSA voiceless stops are fully preserved in word-/stem-initial and intervocalic environments in KiNgome, as illustrated in the following subsections.
## 5. 1. 1. 1. 1 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA *p

The regular reflexes of PSA *p are $/ \mathrm{P} /$ and $/ \mathrm{P}^{h} /$. KiNgome forms are directly related to PSA reconstruction as seen in the following examples:

CB PSA 24 KiNgome
*-kópé 'eyelash'
*-pákà 'cat'
*-pépò 'wind'
*-kípà 'vein'
*-púúm- 'breathe' *-puumul- (V) 'breathe' -pumur- (V) 'breathe'
*-pédik- 'send' *-pelek- (V) 'send' -perek- (V) 'send'
*-pàng- 'plan' *-pang- (V) 'plan' -paNg- (V) 'plan'
(11) 'eyelash' ru-kope (11) 'eyelash'
*m-paka
(9) 'cat'
*m-рееро
(3) 'wind'
*mu-šipa (3) 'vein'
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{aka}$ (9) 'cat'
ru-pepo (11) 'wind'
N-sipa (3) 'vein'

The retention of $\mathrm{CB} / \mathrm{PSA} /{ }^{*} \mathrm{P} /$ groups KiNgome with KiMwani and other Southern Swahili dialects. However, it is one of the things in which KiNgome differs markedly from KiPokomo (purported to have

[^19]influenced KiNgome at the time of the contact between the Wapokomo and the WaNgome in the $16^{\text {th }}$ century) and neighbouring KiMakunduchi. KiPokomo and KiMakunduchi participate in an intermediate innovation serialised by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 65) as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
*_{P}>\phi>h>\emptyset & \text { Stage 1 }  \tag{250}\\
*_{P}>\phi>\beta>v & \text { Stage 2 }
\end{array}
$$
\]

Nurse and Hinnebusch (ibid.) propose that KiPokomo attests an innovation where CB/PSA *p becomes /h/ while KiMakunduchi participates in the Stage 2 development where the reflex of $\mathrm{CB} / \mathrm{PSA} *_{p}$ becomes $v$ through $\beta$. e.g. PSA* -tヨpik- 'vomit' is retained as -tapik- 'vomit' in KiNgome but appears as -haфik- 'vomit' in KiPokomo and as -tavik-a 'vomit ' in KiMakunduchi.

## 5. 1. 1. 1. 2 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA *t

KiNgome attests / t / and / th / as the reflexes of CB/PSA * t and * $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{t}$ respectively. The KiNgome form / $t$ / which is directly corresponding to PSA/CB *t is exemplified below:

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-túm- 'send' | *-tum- (V) 'send' | -tum- (V) 'send' |
| *-tób- 'pierce' | *-toW ol- (V) 'pierce' | -tobor- (V) 'pierce' |
| *-ték- 'be soft' | *-tek- (V) 'be soft' | -tek-(uk-) (V) 'be soaked' |
| *-dót- 'dream' | *-lot- (V) 'dream' | -rot- (V) 'dream' |
| ${ }^{*}$-tíl tree' | * mu-ti (3) 'tree' | N -ti (3) 'tree' |

Generally, the reflex of CB/PSA $/{ }^{*} t /$ appears in three different shapes in Swahili dialects: (i) as $t$ (as in KiUnguja $m$-to to 'child'). It also appears as $t$ in all SD plus the peripheral dialects of KiMwani and Chimwiini; (ii) as č (as in $m$-бос̆о 'child'), which appears in KiSiu, KiPate and KiTikuu; and (iii) as r (as in m-roro 'child'), which appears in KiVumba and Chifundi. However, KiPokomo (Lower Pokomo) shows, extensive lenition of PSA $*_{t}$, serialised by Nurse and Hinnebusch (ibid. : 69) as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{t}>\left(t_{g}\right)>r>h \quad \text { as in } * m u-t i \quad \text { 'tree' }>m u-t r i>m u-r i>m u-h i \tag{252}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus KiNgome did not participate in this shift ( any more than in the $*_{t-}$ palatalization as seen in some ND ). This again decreases the possibility that KiPokomo influenced KiNgome during their presumed contact since it did not appear to give rise to any marked sound change.

### 5.1.1.1.3 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA *k

KiNgome (as well as all other Swahili dialects) regularly attests $k$ and $k^{h}$ (when preceded by a nasal onset) as the reflexes of CB/PSA *k, as follows:


Though PSA/CB $*_{k}$ reflexes in KiNgome seem to be stable generally, there are two cases where a weakening of $*_{k} \geqslant / \mathrm{h} /$ is attested. The one case of lenition of $*_{k}>h$ is found in the reflex of the PSA primary negative prefix $*_{n k a}$ PSA $*_{n k a}>\mathrm{gk}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}}}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}>\mathrm{ha}_{\mathrm{a}}$; likewise the habitual marker hu- has derived from PSA $*_{n i k u}>n$ (i)ku- $>$ nku- $>\mathrm{nk}^{h_{u-}}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{u}_{-}>\mathrm{hu}$. These are the only two cases noted however where PSA $*_{k} \geqslant h \quad$ in KiNgome (or indeed in SD generally).

### 5.1.1.1.3.1 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA *ky*

KiNgome joins other conservative SD in confirming reconstructed PSA forms with *ky- before V-stems in Cl. 7, where KiUnguja/SSW has /č/.

| $C B^{25}$ | PSA | KiNgome | UNG/SSW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * ki-yùdá 'frog' | * ky-ura (7)'frog' | ky-ura (7)'frog' | č-ura (7) 'frog' |
| * ki-yùmá 'iron' | * ky-uma (7)'iron' | ky-uma (7)'iron' | č-uma (7) 'iron' |
| *ki-yòmbò 'vessel' | * ky-ombo (7)'vessel' | ky-oNbo (7)'vessel' | C-ombo (7)'vessel' |
| *ki-yàmbò 'bait' | *ky-ambo (7)'bait' | ky-aNbo (7) bait' | č-ambo (7) 'bait |
| *ku-d ia 'eat' | * ky-akulya (7)'food' | ky-akurya (7)'food' | ¢-akula (7)'food' |
| * ki-yungu 'pot' | *ky-ungu (7)'pot' | ky-uNgu (7)'pot' | č-ungu (7) 'pot' |
| *ki-yúmba 'room' | * ky-umba (7)'room' | ky-uNba (7)'room' | ¢̌-umba (7) 'room' |

This means that, KiNgome attests the rule:

$$
\mathrm{CB} / \mathrm{PSA} * \mathrm{ki}-(\mathrm{Cl} .7) \rightarrow \mathrm{ky} / \ldots \mathrm{V} \text { (except } \mathrm{i})
$$

$/ \mathrm{ky}-$ / in all the above examples is lexically determined. Although the intermediary sound $/ \mathrm{kg}-/$ is predominant, there are signs that some common words are beginning to show signs of yielding to the widespread sound shift to $/ \mathrm{s}-/$. There are alternant forms $/ \mathrm{kg}-\sim \mathrm{s}-/$ in the following examples:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ky-en̆e } \sim \text { c̆-en̆e } & \text { (7) 'wrinkle' }  \tag{255}\\
\text { ky-eNgine } \sim \text { č-eNgine } & \text { (7) 'other' } \\
\text { ky-oNgore } \sim \text { c̆-oNgore } & \text { (7) 'toothless' } \\
\text { ky-akurya } \sim \text { c-akurya } & \text { (7) 'food' } \\
\text { ky-on̆o } \sim & \text { č-on̆o } \\
\text { ky-ara } \sim & \text { č-ara }
\end{array} \text { (7) 'pus nucleus' } \text { (7) 'finger' }
$$

And more revealing, is the fact that some Northern KiNgome speakers comfortably use forms with / $5 /$ in some common words like:

| č-aNbo (7) 'bait' | $<$ PSA* ky-ambo | (7) 'bait' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| č-oNbo (7) 'vessel' | $<$ PSA * ky-ombo | (7) 'vessel' |
| č-ura | (9/10) 'frog' | $<$ PSA *ky-ula |
| č-uwo | (7) 'Koran school' $<$ PSA *ky-uWo | (7) 'book' |

To a great extent this depicts the influence of KiUnguja/Standard Swahili that is exerted on KiNgome.

So far KiNgome reflexes of PSA reconstructions of *ky- proves to be

[^20]fairly regular and uncontroversial. However, I have noted some intriguing irregular cases based on the following data:
(257)

| CB | PSA | KiNgome | UNG/SSW |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-yákà 'year' | *mw-aka (3) 'year' | ky-aka (7) 'year | mw-aka (3) 'year' |  |
| *-yàdi | blood' | *mw-azị (3)'blood' | ky-azi (7)'blood' | mw-ezi (3) 'moon' |
| *-yina | 'pit' | *mw-ina (3)'pit-trap' | k-ina | (7)'depth' |

As seen earlier in (254), PSA attests a regular presence of Class 7 *kybefore V-stem. KiNgome seems to have directly inherit PSA prefix forms in its reflexes. However, data in (257) shows that the PSA prefix forms are reconstructed as different from KiNgome and (even KiUnguja/SSW) reflexes. PSA has reconstructed nouns with $* m w-$ that falls in Class 3. KiNgome strictly has prefix reflexes falling under Class 7 in the form of $/ k y-$ and $k-/$.
The choice of PSA *mu- is partly attested in SSW/KiUnguja's reflexes. Still we noticed the PSA $*_{m w-}$ in *mw-ina (3) 'pit-trap' differs from SSW/KiUnguia's prefix $k$ - in $k$-ina (7)'depth'. It is certain that PSA *mwdoes not directly correspond to KiNgome's $k y-$ or $k-$. This lack of correspondence between KiNgome reflexes and PSA prefix reconstruction is by no means a mere accident. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993), in addition to thier own, rely on Guthrie (1967-71 to select Class 3 mureflexes that seems to outnumber the occurrence of Class 7 ki . However, KiNgome, which is rather conservative in comparison to the rest of the urban Swahili dialects, appears to different class prefix for word 'pit-trap' that reverses the situation so that there is a case for reconsideration of the plausibility of PSA $*_{\text {mu- }}$ in (257). My observation here is that this array highlights the difficulties of attempting to reconstruct grammatical categories in Bantu languages. The selection of class prefix for word 'pit' and 'blood' proves to be illusive as different gender attested in Bantu languages: 'pit' appears in gender 3:4 (Swahili, Shona etc) , 5:6 (Sukuma etc), 7:8 (Gikuyu e.t.c) and blood induces Class 4 in P10-20 languages. The attestations of both $* k y-$ and $*_{m w-}$ in Swahili dialects is a noticiable hinch in the PSA reconstructions. Elsewhere $\mathrm{CB} / \mathrm{PSA} \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{ki}}-/ \ldots \mathrm{C}$ is regularly realised as $/ \mathrm{ki}-/$ in KiNgome:

| CB |  | PSA |  | KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-ntù | 'thing' | *ki-ntu | (7) 'thing' | $\mathrm{ki}-\mathrm{Nt}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | (7)'thing' |
| *-dòndà | 'wound' | *ki-londa | (7) 'wound' | ki-doNda | (7)'wound' |
| *-kídà | 'tail' | *mukila | (3) 'tail' | $N \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ira}$ | (3) 'tail' |
| *-dedụ̀ | 'chin' | *ki-levu | (7) 'chin' | ki-devu | (7)'chin' |
| *-yúki | 'bee' | *nyuki | (9) 'bee' | n̆uki | (9)'bee' |
| *-t ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 'chair' | *ki-ti | (7) 'chair' | ki-ti | (7)'chair' |

## 5. 1. 1. 1. 4 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA * $c$

The reflexes of PSA *c in KiNgome is the affricate c or ch as a result of the influence of the preceding nasal, mostly in the Class $9 / 10$ prefix. However, not all Swahili dialects preserve the palatality of PSA $*_{0}$, Most Northern dialects attest a dental stop / $\mathrm{t} /$, while KiMwani is reported by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:82) to have a reflex $/ \mathrm{s} /$.
(259)

| CB |  | PSA *c |  | KiNgome reflex |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-càngá | 'sand' | *mucanga | (3) 'sand | $N \mathrm{c}^{\text {changa }}$ | (3) 'sand' |
| *-càc | 'go sour' | *- | (V) 'go sour' | -čač-a | (V) 'go sour' |
| *-còoc | 'po | *-8000- | (V) 'poke in' | -čoNčh_a | (V) 'poke in' |
| *-yico | 'eye' | *i-j ioo | (5) 'eye' | fičo | (5) 'ey |
| * -cúá | 'termite' | *-cwa | (11) 'termite' | ru-čwa | (11) 'termite' |
| *-càná | 'daytime' | *mu-cana | (3) 'daytime' | N-chana | (3) 'daytime' |
| *-càká | 'thicket' | *i-oska | (7) 'thicket' | ki-čaka | (7) 'thicket' |

There are few exceptions to the $/ \% /$ reflex of PSA *c in KiNgome. I have noted some similarity with ND in attesting a stop / $t$ / (though without dentality) as a reflex of $\mathrm{PSA}^{*} \mathrm{c}$, in the following:
(260)

| CB | PSA | KiNgome reflex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-càmbúd- 'pick out' | *-cambul- (V) 'pick out' | -taNbura (V) 'pick out' |
| *-càng- 'assemble' | *-cangany- (V) 'mix' | -taNgan̆a (V) 'mix' |

In some SD dialects PSA* ${ }_{o}$ has de-affricated to palatal $/ \stackrel{S}{S} /$. Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 86) regard this shift as a sporadic change, not attested in all possible lexical items nor affecting all dialects equally. We have the
following cases:

| PSA | SD |  | KiNgome reflex |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *i-coka 'axe' | šoka | (5) 'axe' (Ung) | soka | (5) 'axe' |
| ${ }^{*}$ i-cavu 'cheek' | s̆avu | (5) 'cheek'(Ung) | savu | (5) 'cheek' |
| *'cenga 'sift', cut | -s̆enga | (V) 'sift' (Pe) | -seNga | (V) 'sift' |

The occurrence of $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in KiNgome could be due to the following possible explanations:
(a) All SD except KiNgome regularly de-affricated PSA* ${ }_{o}>/ \mathrm{s} /$ but KiNgome preferred to retain the affricate /č/ or reanalysed the $\operatorname{SD} / \bar{s} /$ to $/ \mathrm{s} /$ through ${ }^{*} \mathrm{c}>\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{s}$.
(b) We suspect that KiNgome is drawn towards a depalatization of PSA *C $>/ \mathrm{s} /$ following an areal influence of P20 and KiMwani which favour the continuant $/ \equiv /$. KiMwani has a regular shift of PSA ${ }^{*} \mathrm{c}>/ \mathrm{s} /$ as these few cases reveal:
(262)

| PSA | KiMwani |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{*}$-canj- | (V) 'spread | -sanja (V) 'spread' |
| ${ }^{*}$-cak- | (V) 'seek' | -saka (V) 'seek' |
|  | *-caam- | (V) 'move' |

### 5.1.1.1.5 Traces of Dahl's Law in KiNgome

Dahl's law as formulated by Meinhof (1904: 299) states that:
'when two successive syllables in a stem each begin with a voiceless plosive, then the first of these becomes voiced' (quoted translation from Schadeberg 1999:391).

According to the above law, KiNgome merely shows sporadic cases that can be related to this once-active process in North-Eastern Bantu languages. We present data in (263) that we suspect have a connection with Dahl's law:
(263)
(a)

| CB |  | PSA |  | KiNgome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-kùut- 'shout' | *-kuut- | (V)'shout' | -gut- | 'shout' |
| *-kònt 'knock' | *-kont- | (V)'knock' | -got ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 'knock' |
| *-kátà 'headpad' | *n-kata | (9/10)'headpad' | (N) $\mathrm{N}^{\text {h }}$ | $\sim$ Ngata (9/10)'headpad |

(b)
*--kùnkunt- 'shake off' *-kunkunt- (V) 'shake off' -kuŋuNth (V) 'shake off'

## (c)

| *-kànd- 'plaster' | *-kandik- (V) 'plaster' | gaNdik- | (V) 'plaster' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-kònd- 'get thin' | *-kond- | (V) 'get thin' | -goNd- | (V) 'get thin' |
| *-yidia | 'darkness' | *ki-zya | (7) 'darkness' kiza~-giza | (7)/(5) 'darkness' |

In (263a) KiNgome attests the result of a typical Dahl's law process. Our data show just a few examples, and these underline Nurse and Hinnebusch's contention that 'traces of Dahl's law are very minimal in Sabaki' (see ibid.: 215). These traces could be inherited but more likely transferred from North-Eastern Bantu languages. The inheritence argument seems weak because if you look across Sabaki there is no solid evidence for Dahl's law. Thus very unlikely that PSA had it and then lost everywhere except for a few words in KiNgome. Much more likely that either the words themselves are transferred from some neighbouring coastal language, or the Ngome community absorbed numbers of people from those communities i.e people who practiced Dahl's law in their speech.

In (263b), we see a slight departure from Dahl's law so that instead of the initial voiceless consonant shifting to its voiced counterpart, it is the word-medial $/ \mathrm{Nk} /$ that has shifted to $/ \mathrm{g} /$. The shift in voicing may be considered as a typical case of lexical variability (Coupez 1975), Whilst ( 263 c ) a case of an initial voiceless stop copying of the voicing of the following voiced consonant. This is a not a typical Dahl's law phenomenon but there are striking sound changes involving the reflexes of CB/PSA *k in KiNgome. Othewise, I may argue that CB/PSA *k seems to have been an
unstable segment as compared to ${ }^{*}, *_{t}$, and $*_{0}$ in KiNgome, Almost all the above cases in (262) involved the shift of velar stops. Lack of adequate data inhibit us from making any generalisation about such a shift. However, they are enough to show that there are some exceptions to the total inheritance in all contexts of CB/PSA *k by KiNgome. Furthermore, KiNgome complicates the attempt to instantiate Dahl's law phenomena when we see the following cases:

## CB

*-càngà 'sand'
*-dükut ' blow bellow'

PSA
*ncangalawe čaNgarawe
*-vukut vuguvugu

KiNgome ča(N) $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {arawe }}}$ vukuvuku

Noted above are two interesting cases: in the first case, the KiNgome form ča( N ) $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ arawe (9)'gravel' shows a progressive assimilation derived from PSA *noangalawe (9)'gravel'. In the second case, the KiNgome form vukuvuku 'lukewarm' is a retention of the PSA proto form. We may be tempted to argue that the KiNgome form $\mathrm{ca}(\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{har}}$ awe (9) 'gravel' is an older form which later innovated in SSW. If this is true, then the CB and PSA reconstruction would need to be revised on the basis of the real case from KiNgome. This notion is supported by vukuvuku (9) 'lukewarm' in KiNgome where we would say that it remains unchanged and hence attests a CB/PSA proto-form. SSW vuguvugu (9) 'lukewarm' shows sound changes that help to support our contention that KiNgome retains older forms.

Cross-linguistic verification from other Bantu languages is paramount in order to make any generalisations. Tentatively, we could posit that KiNgome has isolated cases of the reanalysis of the once productive process of Dahl's law.

### 5.1.1.2 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA voiced series ${ }^{*} W$, ${ }^{*} 1,{ }^{*} \mathrm{j},{ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}$

Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 88-9) proposed a slightly differing reconstruction for the symmetrical counterpart of the set of non-nasal voiceless obstruents ( $*_{\mathrm{F}}, *_{\mathrm{t}}, *_{0}$, and $*_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) by proposing an asymmetrical voiced series comprising two approximants *W, *l, and
two stops ${ }^{*} \mathrm{j},{ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}$ for PSA $^{26}$. This proposion is identical to the earlier asymmetrical reconstructions of the voiced series in Eastern Bantu-proto languages by Hinnebusch, Nurse and Mould (1981: 16) marked by two voiced continuants rather than stops (*b and *d). They argued that stops have a more restricted distribution in Eastern Bantu proto-languages and that their presence in the modern reflexes can generally be explained in terms of identifiable conditioned sound changes.
They draw evidence from the close relatives of the Sabaki languages to justify their reconstructions. The basis of their arguments are as follows:
(i) PSA's asymmetrical system is 'well motivated on comparative external evidence'. For example, Sabaki's nearest relatives, Seuta and Ruvu have / w/ and thus support reconstructions of non-stops rather than stops. They further claim that the comparative evidence from non-Sabaki NEC indicates that pre-PSA had *w and *l rather than either ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~B}$, a fricative (or *b stop) or *d.
(iii) Where stops are found in modern Sabaki reflexes, they argue that they are found in specific environments and appear to be a local secondary development.
These specific environments involve a strengthening process in which PSA *W/* $1>b / d$ in the environment of:
(a) the preceding super high vowels conditioning or analogical levelling on the model of the strengthening Class 5 forms.
(b) the post-nasal position in the nouns of Class 9/10.

Although most of the SD including KiNgome, have unambiguous traces of ancient voiced stops $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$, they dismiss the propositions that these stops are relics and that they should be included in PSA reconstruction. They regard their occurrence as resulting from post-PSA events. Despite the above arguments in favour of conditioning environments for the occurrence of voiced stops $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$ in modern Sabaki lexicons, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 137) admit that there are several cases of irregularity that attest voiced stops in unrestricted environments. They admit that 'strengthening is not all regular. The irregular distribution suggests borrowing, presumably from SD'. Is SD a separate subgroup of Sabaki that does not deserve inclusion in the PSA reconstruction? The irregularities are also found in Lower Pokomo,

[^21]Elwana, and Comorian. It is clear from their presentation that they base their evidence on data mostly from the northern area of the Sabaki continuum. Since SD and ND descended from a common ancestor, I believe data from SD deserves an equal degree of attention in the deliberations about a plausible PSA segment. Recently, Nurse (1999: 22) admits that it seems that there has never been a simple way to interpret the lenition of the voiced series ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}, *_{\mathrm{d}}$.

I summarise Nurse and Hinnebusch's conclusions in the following four statements:
(i) PSA *W and *l preceded by a nasal $>/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$ respectively.
(ii) PSA *W and *1 not preceded by a nasal $>/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ 1 /$ respectively.
(iii) PSA *W and *1 preceded by diachronic high vowels *i and *u becomes /b/ and/d/ respectively.
(iv) PSA *W and *1 not preceded by diachronic high vowels *i and * ${ }_{z}$ becomes /w/ and /1/ respectively.

In the light of new data from KiNgome along with other Sabaki members I find the PSA reconstructed voiced series of *W and *1 controversial and I suggest that there is a need to refine the reconstruction of the PSA voiced stops series so as to capture the realities imposed by what Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 137) regard as 'irregular' and 'idiosyncratic' voiced stops in peripheral Sabaki reflexes. Our discussion will have the following format:
(a) forms $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ in KiNgome and other SD
(b) forms /b/ and/d/ in restricted environments in KiNgome and other SD.
(c) forms /b/ and / d/ in unrestricted environments in KiNgome and other SD.

## 5. 1. 1.2.1 Attestations of the lenited PSA *W and *1 in KiNgome

As we have seen above, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) proposed the approximants *W and *1 as reflexes of Guthrie's CB *b and *d. The nonstop's lenited forms /w/ and /1/ are far more frequently attested in modern reflexes than stops. In this subsection, we will examine

KiNgome's occurrences of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and /l/ as putative lenited forms of CB 啫 and CB $* d$, which Nurse and Hinnebusch regard as derived form from PSA *W and *l.

## 5. 1. 1. 2. 1. 1 The PSA *W attestation in KiNgome

Let us begin with attestations of lenited forms /w/ in KiNgome which is derived from PSA *W $<C B * b$. Below we see part of the lexicon that show regularities in the correspondences between KiNgome reflexes and PSA. (266)

| $C B^{*} \mathrm{~b}$ | PSA *W |  | KiNgome /w/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * ba-ntù 'people' | *wantu | (2) 'people' | waNt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ u (2) 'people' |
| *-bing 'chase' | *-Winj- | (V) 'hunt' | ${ }^{\circ}$-wiNd-a (V) 'hunt' |
| *-gùdùbè 'pig' | *nguluWe | (9) 'pig' | N -guruwe (9) 'pig' |
| *-bik- 'crow' | * Wik- | (V) 'crow' | wik-a (V) 'crow' |
| *-beék- 'put' | * Week- | (V) 'put' | wek-a (V) 'put' |

The data in (266) appears to support the reconstructed PSA *W (CB *b) as attested in the form of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ in KiNgome. Further to the above cases, the PSA *W- ( $<C B^{*} b$ ) is regularly weakened to $\emptyset$ before round vowels ( $*_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $*_{o}$ ) in KiNgome:
(267)

| CB |  | PSA *W |  | KiNgome reflex / $\varnothing /$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-cị́ | 'face' | *Wuso | (14) 'face' | [r]uso | (11) 'face' |
| *-dựmbá | 'smell' | * Wuvumba | (14) 'perfume' | [r]uvumba (14) 'incense' |  |
| *-cábí | 'witch' | * WucaWi | (14) 'witchcraft' | [r]učawi | (14) 'witchcraft' |
| *-pèdè | 'pimple' | *Wupele | (14) 'pimple' | [r]upere | (14) 'rash' |
| *-tái | 'spit' | *Wute | (14) 'mucus' | [r]ute | (14) 'mucuos ' |
| *-yùngà | 'flour' | *Wunga | (14) 'flour' | [r]uNga | (14)'flour' |
| *-t èkù | 'night' | *Wusiku | (14) 'night' | usiku | (14)'night' |
| *-gàd i | 'mush' | *Wugali | (14) 'mush' | ugari | (14)'stiff porridge' |
| *-bù̀uk- | 'rise up' | *-Wuuk- | (V) 'rise up' | -uka | (V) 'rise up' |
| *-bòd | 'bad' | *Wovu | (Adj) 'bad' | -ovu | (Adj)'evil' |
| *ngubo | 'cloth' | *nguWo | (9) 'cloth' | Ngu[w]o | (9) 'cloth' |

Data in (267) suggests that $C B{ }^{*} b>P S A * W>K i N g o m e ~ \varnothing / \ldots w, \quad o$.

Therefore CB *b is not directly lenited to $\varnothing$, but passes through PSA * $W$ which shares a [+round] feature with the vowels $o, u$. Thus PSA*Wu > $\varnothing / \ldots \quad u, o$. However, the initial [ $r$ ] in some cases above, is a result of a reanalysis of a class prefix. KiNgome has a tendency of inserting epenthetic $[r]$ or $[w]$ (paradigm levelling) in order to disallow an initial vowel or vowel sequence. However, loans from SSW have introduced onset-less moraic vowels in the word-initial position. Exceptions to the above lenition/ is presented below:
(268)

| CB |  | PSA *W | KiNgome /r/ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-bìngù | 'cloud' | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{W}$ ingu | (5) 'cloud' | ru-wingu | (14) 'cloud' |
| *_dùbà | 'flower' | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{luWa}$ | (5) 'flower' | ruwa | (14) 'flower' |

### 5.1.1.2.1.2 The PSA *l attestation in KiNgome

A section of KiNgome lexicon attests PSA $* 1$ in the form of $/ r /[r]$ as exemplified below:
(269)

| CB | PSA *l | KiNgome /r/ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-dàng- | 'look at' | *-langal (il) (V) 'look at' | -riNg-a (V) 'see' |
| *-dáád- | 'sleep' | *-laal- | (V) 'sleep' | -rar-a $\quad$ (V) 'sleep'

The above data shows *d-lenition in what seem to be unrestricted contexts. Thus generally, $C B{ }^{*} d>* 1 / \ldots V$. However, synchronic evidence further indicates that where ${ }^{*} d$ is lenited it passes through various intermediate stages towards a total loss of segment. KiNgome attests the intermediate alternant $/ \mathrm{r} \sim \mathrm{y} /$ and several cases of total shifts to $/ y /$ resulting from a weakening of $/ \mathrm{r} /$. Cases of $/ \mathrm{y} /$ are only found in Southern KiNgome. We present the cases noted that illustrate this movement as follows:

| (270) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB | PSA *l |  | KiNgome /r~y/ |  |
| *-dàtú | 'sandal' | *kilatu | (7) 'shoe' | kiratu ~kiyatu |
| ${ }^{*}$-dòngud- 'lead' | *kilongozi | (7) 'leader' | kiroNgozi~kiyoNgozi (7) 'leader' |  |
| *-mèd- | 'grow' | *-mel- | (V) 'grow' | mera $\sim$ meya (V) 'grow' |

These synchronic alternants highlight changes that are in progress. In the Southern variety of KiNgome, I came across typical '/y/ only' cases such as :

| (271) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Northern KiNgome /r/ | Southern KiNgome/y/ |
| sarama | sayama 'peace (greeting)' |
| pare | paye 'over there' |
| muremure | muyemuye 'in there' |
| Nguruwe | Nguyuwe 'pig' |

Furthermore, the presence of some intermediate $/ \mathrm{y} /$ as a reflex of PSA *1 ( $<\mathrm{CB}^{*} d$ ) is not confined to KiNgome only. Recently, Stude (1995: 102) reports a major correspondence between of $/ 1 /$ and $/ y /$ in KiTumbatu and Northern Zanzibar Swahili ${ }^{27}$ as follows:
(272)

| Tumbatu | N. Zanzibar |  |  | KiNgome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -ugula | (V) 'fall ill' | -uguya | (V) 'fall ill | -rugura | (V) 'fall ill' |
| -vula | (V) 'undress' | -vuya | (V) 'undress' | -vura | (V) 'undress' |
| -kalanga | (V) 'fry' | -kayanga (V) 'fry' | -karaNga | (V) 'fry' |  |
| -lumia | (V) 'be hurt' | -yumia | (V) 'be hurt' | -rumira | (V) 'be hurt' |
| -lumiza | (V) 'wound' | -yumiza | (V) 'wound' | -rumiza | (V) 'wound' |
| -lota | (V) 'dream' | -yota | (V) 'dream' | -rota | (V) 'dream' |
| -lomba | (V) 'ask for' | -yomba | (V) 'ask for' | -roNba | (V) 'ask for' |
| -lokota | (V) 'pickup' | -yokota | (V) 'pick up' | -rokota | (V) 'pick up' |
| mulume | (1) 'husband' muyume (1) 'husband' | murume | (1) 'husband' |  |  |
| kolo(meto) | (5) 'throat' | koyo(meto) (5)'throat' | koro(mito) | (5) 'throat' |  |
| kilapo | (7) 'oath' | kiyapo | (7) 'oath' | kirapo | (7) 'oath' |
| ulongo | (14) 'lie' | uyongo | (14) 'lie' | u[w]oNgo (14) 'lie' |  |
| jogoyo | (9a)'rooster' jogolo | (9a)'rooster' | jogoro | (9a) 'rooster |  |

tala
(9)'lamp' taya
(9)'lamp'
tara
(9) 'lamp'

Though KiNgome and KiTumbatu show stability where the Northern Zanzibar Swahili or KiNungwi dialect (Reidel p.c) as seen in (272) shows that a way has been paved towards ${ }^{*} 1$-loss through ${ }^{*} 1>y>\varnothing$. A typical example of alternation from synchronic KiNgome is provided by the case of Nguruwe ~ Nguyuwe ~Nguuwe (9) 'pig'. Complete cases of loss of initial onset in KiNgome are found in the following examples:
(273)

| CB | PSA |  | KiNgome/SD |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-dàp- | 'swear' | *-lap- | (V) 'swear' | -apa | (V) 'swear' |
| *-dáádik-'invite' | *-laalik- | (V) 'invite' | -arika | (V) 'invite' |  |
| *-dác- 'throw away' | *-lac- | (V) 'throw away' -ac̆a | (V) 'leave' |  |  |
| *-dámú 'sibling in law' *mu-lamu (1) 'sibling in law' | mw-amu (1)'brother in law' |  |  |  |  |

Generally, we have seen that KiNgome is stable in preserving r [r ] derived from PSA *l both word-initially and word-internally. There are several cases of *l-lenition, that help to draw KiNgome together with other *1-preserving dialects (such as KiTumbatu, KiMakunduchi, KiMwani and ChiMwiini). In other words, it is an areal feature which draws closer the peripheral southern coastal Sabaki languages.

### 5.1.1.2.2 Sabaki strengthening rules

Where reflexes /b/ and /d/ surface in Sabaki, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 135) attribute their occurrence as post-PSA events. They argue that $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$ are restricted in conditioning environments i.e. strengthening of PSA *W and *1. There are two conditioning environments: $*_{i}$ conditioning and post-nasal conditioning: Let us begin with *i conditioning cases in KiNgome that support strengthening rules. The KiNgome synchronic data exhibits a/b/ reflex of PSA *W (CB *b).

## 5. 1. 1. 2. 2. 1 The reflex/b/retained in * conditioning environment (274)

(a)

| CB *b |  | PSA *W |  |  | KiNgome /b/ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| *-bíbi | 'refuse ' | *i-W iWi | (5) 'refuse' | biwi | (5) 'refuse' |  |
| *-bùyú 'baobab fruit' *i-Wuyu | (5) 'baobab fruit' buyu | (5) 'baobab fruit' |  |  |  |  |
| *-bègá 'shoulder' | *i-Wega | (5) 'shoulder' | bega | (5)'shoulder' |  |  |


| *-bùbí 'spider' | -Wuw | 5) 'spider' | bu[y]i | (5)'spider' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-babá 'wing' | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{WaWa}$ | (5) 'wing' | baWa | (5)'wing' |
| *-bingú 'cloud' | *i-W ingu | (5) 'cloud' | NbiNgu | (9)'cloud' |
| *-yîib- 'steal' | *-jW- | (V) 'steal' | -ib- | (V) 'steal' |
| *-yîbuk- 'come out' | *i-Wuk- | (V) 'emerge' | -ibuk-a | (V) 'emerge' |
| *-ditb- 'stop up' | *-2ị W- | (V) 'stop up' | -zib-a | (V) 'block' |
| *-cùùb- 'skin of fruit' | *-cuuW- | (V) 'skin' | -čubur-a | (V) 'skin' |
| *-bándud 'tear off' | *-Wandul- | (V) 'tear off' | -baNdu | (V) 'tear off' |

There has been general acceptance that there are certain conditions that block stops leniting in Sabaki and in Eastern Bantu in general. The opposite of stop lenition is stop strengthening, which occurred mostly in the environment of $C B^{*} \dot{j}$ or $C B^{*} \underset{\varepsilon}{ }$, which also governs the presence of stops in lexical items that would be expected to have weakened or lost them. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 133) posit this complex phenomenon as a SD and a Comorian feature.

Mpiranya (1995: 26) supported the strengthening hypothesis by presenting SSW data that tally with Nurse and Hinnebusch's (1993:135) general picture of strengthening in SD especially in the environment of the preceding PSA*i (most occurrences represent the Class 5 pre-prefix). Mpiranya (ibid.) summarised the phenomenon as $* b \geqslant b$ in an $*$ context as in (275):
(275)

| * - -babá | (5) 'wing' | $>$ bawa | (5) 'wing' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| * i-bibi | (5) 'heap' | $>$ biwi | (5) 'heap' |
| *i -bubidi (5) 'spider | $>$ bui | (5) 'spider' |  |
| *i -bega (5) 'shoulder' | $>$ bega | (5) 'shoulder' |  |
| *-y i b- (V) 'steal' | $>$-iba | (V) 'steal' |  |
| *-y jbuk | (V) 'sink' | $>$-ibuka | (V) 'emerge' (out of water) |
| *-yiba | (3) 'thorn | $>$ mw-iba | (3) 'thorn' |

## 5. 1. 1. 2.2.2 The reflex / $\mathrm{d} /$ as retained in a restricted environment

As in the case of $C B * b$, KiNgome has numerous instances of a reflex / $d /$ which we assume to be inherited from CB*d - though considered by Nurse and Hinnebusch as derived from strengthening of PSA *1. We find
in (276) the stop /d/ preserved in the environment of a preceding hypothetical i-conditioning.
(276)

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-dòngó ' soil' | * i-longo (5) ' soil' | doNgo (5) ' soil' |
| *-dùmbù 'co-sibling' | *i-lumbu (5) 'co-sibling' | duNbu (1a) ' co-sibling' |
| *-dindí 'pit' | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{lindi}$ (5) ' pit ' | diNdi 28 (5) ' pool' |
| *-dùng- 'joint' | * F -lung- (5) 'joint' | duNg-o (5) ' joint' |
| *-dòmò 'big lip' | *i-lomo (5) ' big lip' | domo (5) 'big lip' |
| *-dúmè ' male animal' | *i-lume (5) ' male animal' | dume (5) 'male animal' |
| *-dùnd- ' store up' | *i-lund- (5) 'pile' | duNd-a (5) 'hill' |
| *-pư- 'stomach' | * i-lifu (5) 'fibre' | difu (5) ' coconut's fibre' |
| *-dèngè 'blister' | * ${ }^{\text {- -lenge }}$ (5) 'blister' | deNge-reNge (5) ' blister' |

Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 137) treat the above as cases of retention of $C B * b$ and $C B$ *d after *i-, However, there are exceptions to the above strengthening rule as shown by the following reflexes in KiNgome (and indeed SSW).

| (277) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB | PSA | KiNgome |  |
| *-bimbì | *i-Wimbi | wiNbi | (14) 'wave' |
| *-bingù | *i-Wingu | [r]uwiNgu | (14) 'cloud' |
| *-béédè | *i-Weele | kiwele | (7) 'breast' |
| *-duba | *i-luwa | u[w]a | (14) 'flower' |
| *-díbá | *i-liwa | riwa | (5) 'bird-trap' |

Noted above are exceptions to the generalisation of the strengthening rule in KiNgome. The PSA conditioning form *is actually reconstructed with such lenited forms in KiNgome (as well as in SSW). In addition, there are also some alternations in KiNgome and some rural SD such as :
(278)
rewa~dema 'fishing trap' < PSA *i-lema

[^22]rindi~diNdi 'pool, harbour' < PSA *i-lindi

These alternations may be interpreted in two ways: either *i- is not totally responsible for changing * $1>d$, as claimed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993), or both *1/d should be reconstructed for PSA. If *iwas responsible for changing all *l in PSA into /d/ such alternations should not be there. These alternations strongly support the case of an on going process of $d^{*}>d>1>y>\varnothing$. It refutes the PSA rule that there is a reemergence of $C B^{*} d>P S A * 1>d / * i-$, I argue here that the reflex $/ d /$ is the retention of $C B * d$ and it must be part of any PSA reconstruction. As we shall see in this section KiNgome, along with other SD gives evidence that, apart from the appealing rules of strengthening in Sabaki, other numerous occurrences of voiced stops $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$, which do not fit in with the above rule and have not been adequately accounted for.

## 5. 1. 1.2.2.3 A Reflex /b/in post-nasal environment

Both SSW (see Mpiranya 1996) and KiNgome present evidence that stops are preserved in the environment of a preceding nasal onset as shown in (279) and (280) respectively:
(279) The retention of $/ \mathrm{b} /$ in post-nasal position in PSA and KiNgome

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-búdị 'goat' | *m-buzi | (9) 'goat' | Nbuzi (9) 'goat' |
| *-bégú 'seed' | *m-begu | (9) 'seed' | Nbegu (9) 'seed' |
| *_bád ì 'side' | *m-bali | (9) 'far' | Nbari (9) 'far' |
| *-bògà 'vegetable' | *m-boga | (9) 'vegetable' | Nboga (9) 'vegetable' |

(280) Retention of /b/ in post-nasal position in SSW

| *-bono 'castor oil plant' | $>$ Nybono (3) | 'castor oil plant' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-boga 'vegetable' | $>$ N̦boga (3) | 'pumpkin' |
| *-buju 'baobab' | $>$ Ňbuyu (3) | 'baobab tree' |
| *-badu 'rib' | $>$ Nbavu (10) | 'ribs' |

Additionally, Geider (1990: 441) indicates that KiPokomo agreement makers in the following cases also show a preservation of voiced stops $/ b /$ in a post-nasal position :
wana mbwangu 'my (2) children'
muhi mbwangu 'my (3) tree'
ugonjwa mbwangu 'my (14) illness'

Instead of wa- we have the form mbw- derived from $*(n) b u$. Likewise reflex / d/ is also preserved post-nasally as data below illustrate clearly.

## 5. 1. 1. 2. 2. 4 A reflex /d/in post-nasal position

(282)

| CB |  | PSA | KiNgome reflex |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-dege | 'bird' | * n -dege | (9/10)'bird' | Ndege | (9) 'bird' |
| *-dòd- |  | *n-dola | (9)'marriage' | Ndo[w]a | (9) 'marriage' |
| *-dedu- | 'beard' | *n-devu | (9/10) 'beard' | N-devu | (9/10) 'beard' |
| *-dóót- | 'dream' | *n-dooto | (9/10) 'dream' | N -doto | (9/10) 'dream' |
| *-dobo | 'bucket' | ${ }^{\text {n }}$-doWo | (9/10)'bucket' | N -doo | (9/10) 'bucket' |
| *-dimí | 'tongue' | * lu-limi | (11) 'tongue' | N -dimi | (10) 'tongues' |
| *-dà- | 'inside' | *n-da-ni | (Adv)'inside' | Nda-ni | (Adv) 'inside' |

The data in (282) can be summarised as CB $*_{d}>d / n_{\ldots}$. It should be noted here that the existence of an earlier stop can still be inferred from the divergent development of Class 9/10 nouns both in PSA and in synchronic KiNgome.

It is undeniable that N - blocked stops from being lenited in Sabaki. The above evidence of $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and / $\mathrm{d} /$ as found in restricted environments forms the basis of Nurse and Hinnebusch's contention that the voiced stops /b/ and / d/ only appear regularly in specific environments in Sabaki. They treat 'irregular' cases mainly as the result of borrowing or of influence from neighbouring non-Sabaki languages. Although their arguments appear sound and convincing, lack of recent data from rural SD and now KiNgome has greatly affected their generalisations as my evidence will attempt to highlight. I argue that there are numerous native words that exhibit voiced stops /b/ and/d/ with no obvious conditioning factor in sight.

### 5.1.1.2.3 Reflexes * $b$ and * $d$ in unrestricted environments

KiNgome (and indeed SD) is not short of exceptions with regard to the
claim that PSA *W is retained as $/ \mathrm{W} /$ in Sabaki in unrestricted environments or where the rule of strengthening that has been proposed blocks lenition. I begin by showing some noted exceptional cases (equally recognised by Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993 in SSW except for kiambaza 'wall') in KiNgome and rural SD in general.

### 5.1.1.2.3.1 Reflexes /b/found in unrestricted environments

 (283)| CB |  | PSA |  | KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-bèmb- | 'entice' | *-Wemb- | (V) 'entice' | -beNb-er-e | (V) 'plead' |
| *-bándud- | 'tear off' | *-WaNdul- | (V) 'tear off' | -baNdur-a | (V) 'tear off' |
| *-bàmb- | 'peg out' | *ki-W ambaz | (7) 'wall' | ki-baNbaza | (7) 'wall' |
| * -bòngó | 'brain' | *lu-W ongo | (11) 'brain' | ru-boNgo | (11) 'brain' |
| *-bàgò | 'hoe' | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{lu}-\mathrm{W}$ ao | (11) 'board' | ru-balwlo | (11) 'timber' |
| *-bàbá | 'wing' | *lu-W aW a | (11) 'wing' | ru-bawa | (11) 'wing' |
| *-bàdù | 'rib' | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{lu}-\mathrm{W}$ avù | (11) 'rib' | ru-bavu | (11) 'rib' |
| *-bòd- | 'rot' | *-Wov-u | (Adj)'rotten' | -bov-u | (Adj) 'rotten' |
| *-bici | 'raw' | *Wici | (Adj) 'raw' | biči | (Adj) 'raw' |

A clear argument in favor of strengthening process, would point that a lot of examples in (283) are in Cl. 11, where the plural is Cl. 10. This means an example such as ru-bavu (11) 'rib' : N-bavu (10) 'ribs'. They may also indicate that adjectives -bov-u and biči are related to N -bov-u and N -bici i which behave like Cl .10 . Why should Cl .11 directly reflect the rule of PSA *W $>/ \mathrm{w} /$ before strengthening apply in Cl .10 ? What sort of environment that triggers the strengthening of verbs -beNb-er-ez- (V) 'plead' and -baNdur-a (V) 'tear off' ? Are these isolated cases? or signs that $C B{ }^{*} b$ is still on many occasions retained in KiNgome and SD ? What is the explanation for the synchronic alternation such as baaba ~ waswa 'father' ( CB * bàbá) in Chimwiini? (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993:176). Are there regular reflexes of $/ \mathrm{b} /$ (and indeed /d/) in unrestricted environment? The following data from KiNgome ( will provide a clue.

KiNgome

| -baNgabaNga | (V) 'cracking' |
| :--- | :--- |
| -baNtha | (V) 'grind' |
| -bamaNda | (V) 'press together' |
| -baribari | (V) 'pull' |
| -beNja | (V) 'extract, squeeze' |
| -bini | (V) 'cultivate' |
| -biri | (V) 'join' |
| -bǐa | (V) 'go against tide' |
| -bobota | (V) 'exploit, conning' |
| -bogo[w]a | (V) 'harvest' |
| -bomora | (V) 'destruct' |
| -boñera | (V) 'pinch' |
| -boNgoya | (V) 'complain' |
| -bwira | (V) 'eat' |
| ko-bera | (V) 'to drink' |
| buaNda | (5) 'garb' |
| bobo | (14[5]) 'yoghurt' |
| bu-Nbwi | (14[5]) 'sorcery' |
| bu-iNbwi | (14[5]) 'sweet rice' |
| u-bobota | (11) 'tamarind' |
| ki-baNgu | (7) 'wound cover, scar' |
| kiriboto | (9a/10a) 'flea' |
| burudeNge | (1) 'uninformed person' |
| Ngebue | (9/10) 'type of fish' |
| bugabuga | (V) 'find' |
| narubibi | (9/10) 'chameleon' |

As noted in (284), the voiced stop / b/ occurs in various classes (including verbs) such as $7,9 / 10,11$, and 14 . There is no restriction in the position of the voiced stops $/ \mathrm{b} /$ as $/ \mathrm{b} /$ occurs both initially and non-initially. Close relatives of KiNgome supported the occurrence of /b/ in unrestricted environments as recently shown in KiMakunduchi (Chum 1994). Examine the following words in KiMakunduchi that I presume to be native and see the occurrence of $/ \mathrm{b} /$. A great care has been taken to exclude Classes 5 and $9 / 10$ with initial voiced stops /b/.

| Mak |  | PSA | CB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| usubu | (9a) 'sandfly' |  |  |
| mtobue | (3) 'walking stick |  |  |
| koboa | (V) 'break off' |  |  |
| kobaga | (V) 'spread' |  |  |
| kibambo | (7) 'lid' |  |  |
| kibubuju | (Adv) 'down' |  |  |
| kibuno | (7) 'waist' |  |  |
| mkibe | (3) 'a variety of bean' |  |  |
| mgubilo | (3) 'work' |  |  |
| bamba | (V) 'plug' |  |  |
| bembeza | (V) 'spy' |  |  |
| bošoa | (V) 'split' |  |  |
| bebesa | (V) 'agitate' |  |  |
| boňwa | (V) 'ripe' |  |  |
| buga | (V) 'be confused' |  |  |
| buturu | (V) 'abuse' |  |  |

What is clear from the data in (284 and 285) is evidence that the segment $/ \mathrm{b} /$ is by no means a result of innovation from PSA *W $>/ \mathrm{b} /$. It is found in the shape of voiced stops both initially and non-initially. The claim that the phoneme /b/ is restricted in its occurrence in Sabaki is here counterindicated in rural SD. Despite original /b/being obscured by high frequency of the lenited form /w/ in Sabaki in general, the case for PSA * W is not sufficient to explain the attestation of $/ \mathrm{b} /$ as seen in the above cases. The occurrence of $/ \mathrm{b} /$ above contributes to a mixed situation that cannot be explained away simply by attributing it to borrowing or analogy. I have noted a similar situation with regard to / $\mathrm{d} /$.

## 5. 1. 1.2.3.2 KiNgome reflex/d/in unrestricted environments

Some Bantuists find the reconstructions of the intermediary form *1 from $C B * d$ less controversial in various Bantu languages they have
observed. Ehret (1999: 59) regards *1 of Kaskazi29 'a more satisfactory reconstruction' than Guthrie's CB *d. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) also choose $* 1$ for PSA as a reflex of $C B *$. Based on synchronic data from KiNgome and SD in general, I find such an intermediate stage reconstruction of *1 a difficult and contentious.

In general, KiNgome shows broad variation in its reflexes of PSA *1, which variation is shared by other SD as well. It has clear cases of the preservation of $C B *_{d}>/ d /$ and $d$-lenition to $/ \mathrm{r} /, / \mathrm{r} \sim \mathrm{y} /, / \mathrm{y} /$. The lenition path can be serialised as follows:
(286) $C B B^{*} d>d>d / r>p>y>\varnothing$.

As far as a reflex / d/ in KiNgome (as well as SSW equivalents) is concerned, I have observed what I regard as the retention of $C B * d$, as shown below:


It should be noted that KiNgome proves that $C B^{*} d$ is still retained as /d/ in most cases in KiNgome. There is no indication in the reconstructions of PSA above of any presence of conditioning factors that would turn PSA *l into a KiNgome reflex/d/. It is obvious that the / $d /$ reflex in KiNgome has been retained from CB proto-segment *b. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:137) have objected to the retention theory here; they have suggested that such occurrences are isolated cases, mainly attributable to word-borrowing or analogical levelling. However, further instances of KiNgome /d/ are not quite supported by their PSA proposition.

[^23]| KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| dagara | 9a 'sardine' |
| duri | 9 a 'heifer' |
| gudugudu | 9a 'dry coconut' |
| demani | 9 a 'autumn' |
| -deheni | (V) 'caulk a boat' |
| kidore | (7) 'finger' |
| $k^{\prime}{ }_{\text {edi }}$ | Adj 'proud' |
| domasa | (V) 'pinch' |
| kanadi | 9a 'small mullet' |
| N̦idimo | (3) 'thunder' |
| $k^{\text {hodo }}$ | (5) 'testicle' |
| burudenge | (1) ' uninformed person' |

The data in (288) attest to what Lexical Diffusionists call 'phonetic gradualness'. This means 'the change from stop to approximant is far from completion'. Again, the neighbouring sister dialect of KiMakunduchi provides ample evidence of an unconditional presence of /d/ both initially, and non-initially, as recorded by Chum (1994) and also acknowledged by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 136 see footnote. 30) :
(289)

| Mak |  |  | PSA | CB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dalia | (V) 'lie down' | *-laala | *-dáád- |  |
| uwade | 14 | 'sickness' | *lwal- | *-dúáde- |
| daNba | (V) 'lick' | *-lamb- | *-dámb- |  |
| doNba | (V) 'wish/ask' | *lomb- | *-dómb- |  |
| dotaa | (V) 'peck' | - | - |  |
| defu | (Adj) 'tall' | - | - |  |
| didigia | (V) 'sink' | - | - |  |
| digi | (Adj) 'small' | - | - |  |
| dodeka | (V) 'thinning' | - | - |  |
| muida | (3) 'song' | - | - |  |
| kodoa | (V) 'hurt' | - | - |  |

Are the /d/ occurrences in the above merely exceptions? KiNgome and

KiMakunduchi's preservation of / d/ are also supported by genetically related KiPokomo, as Geider (1990: 441) observes:
(290)
soga dyangu $\quad$ 'my colleague'
dakika ideide 'in that minute'

These are few but substantial cases of / d/ preservation in an unrestricted areas. I argue here that these few cases are residue of earlier $C B^{*} b$ and $d$ which has to be reflected in PSA reconstruction. Data from rural SD especially KiNgome and KiMakunduchi prove that PSA's lenition theory is not entirely completed. Before drawing a conclusion, I intend to present an often misinterpreted evidence for diachronic stops in spirantizing languages such as KiNgome and other Swahili dialects. In such languages there is a process of nominalization and adjectivization that involves a sound shift ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~d}>\mathrm{v} / *_{-i} / /_{-u}$. This means that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { *-vul- 'to fish' } & >\text { u-vuvi 'fishing' }  \tag{291}\\
\text { *-legel- 'slack' } & >\text { legevu 'slackness' }
\end{array}
$$

What is happening here is that during the process of nominalisation/ adjectivization it is *vud-+*-i that gives rise to -vuvi, Likewise:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { * leged }+ \text { *-4 that gives rise to regevu } \tag{292}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no possibility that ${ }^{*}>\mathrm{v} /{ }^{*}-\mathrm{i} / /^{*}-\mathrm{u}$. It make sense that PSA has both *d/*1 in such a way that :
(293)

| CB |  | PSA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $*$ vud | $>$ | *vud- $/+*-i$ |
|  | $>$ | $*$ vul- elsewhere. |

If we consider that PSA had *yul- only then we have to assume a
reversion back to the $C B$ stop, viz. $C B * d>P S A * 1>d$ which unnecessarily complicates the matter. I argue here that during the frication process, the forms ${ }^{*}-1 / /^{*}-u$ acted upon the voiced stop * $d$, and not upon *l at the edge of the root. There is no case of fortification of ${ }^{*} 1$ in the case above. PSA has to have retained *d to be involved in the productive process of spirantization as we have seen in (293). This means PSA had an alternation in the root in the form of * $\mathrm{d} / * 1$. My argument is supported by Labrousse (1999:372) who argues that 'for spirantization to produce a wellformed output, the only phonetically correct input is a stop consonant and not any corresponding lenited form. ...only ${ }^{*} b, *_{d}$ and $*_{g}$ can result in spirants through affrication'. Since PSA is the ancestor of KiNgome and the other Sabaki languages, it must have preserved *b, *d or ${ }^{*} g$ in order to engage them in the spirantization process as we have proposed in (288) above. On the bases of the evidence I have presented above, I stiggest that *b and *d must be added to the PSA inventory. The implication of this addition will lead to the following proposal.

### 5.1.1.2.4 A tentative proposal

Whilst from the synchronic point of view, the changes from $C B * b>$ $/ w /$ and $\mathrm{CB} *_{d}>/ 1 /$ have occurred in almost all Sabaki languages, there is no way of establishing nor any need to assume that the change occurred in all dialects at precisely the same point in time. Generally, it is assumed that changes that have occurred have done so in a reasonably uniform manner with minor exceptions. This led to the PSA reconstruction of *W and *l.

However, if we examine these exceptions, we do not see a normal pattern of weakening in all of Sabaki. KiNgome and SD lead in showing a greater number of unchanged reflexes of $C B$ stops than could have otherwise been expected to weaken. Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) dismiss the 'relic theory' either by regarding the occurrence of $b / d$ in unrestricted environments as irregular and idiosyncratic, or simply by seeing them as a case of borrowing from the neighbouring Bantu languages. However, they have admitted that certain varieties of rural Zanzibar Swahili dialects attest examples of $b / d$ which can not be subjected to conditioning or analogical levelling. I admit that cases of lenition have greatly outnumbered the unchanged segments so that it might seem on quantitative evidence that ${ }^{*} W / l$ are automatic candidates for reconstruction by a simple majority rule. I suggest that
quantitative considerations and a particular conditioning patterning have proved to be too weak to account for the many unconditional occurrence of $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$ reflexes in some Sabaki members. Since the shift ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}>/ \mathrm{w} /$ and ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~d}>/ 1 /$ has failed to obliterate all traces of the proto-segments, the plausible PSA reconstruction should appear like this:
*b/W and *d/l.

Given the mixed situation, it seems proper to give due attention to unrestricted cases of some lexical items with /b/ and / d/ in rural SD (including KiNgome), Comorian and Pokomo. Such cases which constitute holdovers from an earlier time, have implications for the general PSA reconstruction. As Nurse (1999:7) asserts, 'the evidence from minor or peripheral languages is important for making statements about earlier stages for all'.

### 5.1.1.2.5 The KiNgome reflex of PSA *g

As far as CB/PSA ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}$ is concerned, KiNgome can be regarded as a $\mathrm{g}-$ preserving dialect of Swahili.

| CB | PSA *g |  | KiNgome reflex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-gánd- 'coagulate' | *-gand- | (V) 'coagulate' | -gaNda (V) 'coagulate' |
| *gòmb- 'argue' | *-gomb- | (V) 'argue' | -goNb- (V) 'argue' |
| *-bègà 'shoulder' | * ${ }_{\text {W }}$ Wega | (5) 'shoulder' | bega (5) 'shoulder' |
| *-gògó 'log' | *igogo | (5) ' $\mathrm{log}^{\prime}$ | gogo (5) 'log' |

There is a minimal number of cases of PSA $*_{\mathfrak{g}}>/ y /$ in an intervocalic environment:

| (296) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB | PSA | KiNgome reflex |
| ${ }^{*}$-pígà $>$ | *ifiga (5)'hearthstone' | ma-fya~ma-jifya (6)'hearthstone' but <br> ma-figa (in SSW and Pemba) |

*mwaga (V) 'pour' mwaya(V)'pour'

This represents an intermediate $/ \mathrm{y} /$, in which $C B /$ PSA $^{*} 9>y$. The intermediate $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is best presented by alternations $\mathrm{g} \sim \mathrm{y}$ as appeared in $\mathrm{g}^{-}$
angu $\sim y$-angu 'mine'. Following $* g>y$ then we expect to move to $y$ lenition and hence we expect full loss of CB/PSA *g- in KiNgome; and there is evidence for this, viz. as evidenced below:
(297)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PSA*-guluk - 'rise up' (CB: *-gùd-) }>\text {-uka } \\
& \text { PSA*-genel - 'spread' }>
\end{aligned} \quad \text { (V) 'stand' }
$$

There is no direct lost of $*_{g}$ to $\varnothing$, it is only possible through intermediate $/ \mathrm{y} /$.
Another rare case of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{f}$ is found in the following example:

PSA* ígembe (5)'hoe' > f-embe (5)'hoe'
This may be assumed to be derived out of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}>\mathrm{y}>\varnothing$, and later segmenting $f$ - in the environment of Øembe (5).
In the southern variety of KiNgome, $g \sim y$ appear in the following examples:
(299)
ma-ruNgo g-aNgu g-oNthe g-anaruma ~ma-ruNgo y-aNgu $y-0 N t h_{e} y a-n a r u m a$ 'all my body parts are hurting'.

## 5. 1. 1. 2. 6 A PSA *j reflex in KiNgome

KiNgome joins KiMvita, KiJomvu, KiUnguja, KiMakunduchi, KiTumbatu, KiPemba, KiVumba, KiMtang'ata, KiChifundi, and KiMwani in attesting $/ \mathfrak{J} /$ as a reflex of the PSA $*_{j}$. It is preserved in all environments (word/stem initial and intervocalic) as follows:
(300)

| CB |  | PSA *j |  | KiNgome reflex |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-jil | 'water' | *ma-ji | (6) 'water' | mafi | (6) 'water' |
| *-jùbá | 'sun' | *i-juW a | (5) 'sun' | -fuwa | (5) 'sun' |
| *-yòngò | millipede' | * ${ }_{\text {i }}$-jongol | 5) 'millipede' | jongoro | 9a) 'millipede' |
| *-yíkò | 'fireplace' | *-i-jiko | (5) 'fireplace' | -jiko | (5) 'fireplace' |
| *-yîk- | 'come' | *-ij- | (V) 'come' | -于а | (V) 'come' |

There is a regular preservation of CB/PSA j as /于 / in KiNgome (and indeed in SD generally). However there are some exceptions we have noted where PSA* ${ }^{*}>y$, as in the following examples :

| CB | PSA | KiNgome reflex |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-yúngú | 'pumpkins' | *ijungu (5) 'pumpkins' | yuNgu | (5) 'gourd, |
| *-jànị́ | 'leaf','grass' | *kijani (7) 'small leaf' | kyani | (7) 'small leaf' |

## 5. 1. 1. 3 Development of spirants in KiNgome

A link has been established between a major phonological process in Bantu where proto-stops become fricatives in the contexts of super high vowels and another diachronic process where 7 V are reduced to 5 V through merging of vowels of degree 1 and degree 2 (see Hinnebusch 1981, Schadeberg 1995, Mpiranya 1996, Labroussi 1999, Mathangwane 1999 and Kula 2002). Mpiranya (ibid:15) argues that fricatives occurred in order to prevent the phonemic confusion between the vowels of first and second degree of aperture $i_{i} / *_{4}$ and $*_{i} / *_{u}$. Thus, the phonemic opposition between vowels was transferred to consonants through what is regarded as 'transphonologisation'. Meinhof (1932) considers high 'close' vowels *i and *u (from his ur-Bantu) to have a quality of tenseness which contributed to the friction effect. Mathangwane 1999: 90) says that it is the frication of the initial portion of the high vowels due to a combination of different elements involved in the production of both stops and the high vowels which led to the frication of these stops'.

Schadeberg (1995:73), supported by Labroussi (1999:336), questions the existence of the direct causal-relationship between $7 \mathrm{~V}>5 \mathrm{~V}$ reduction and spirantization which has traditionally been treated as 'a drag-chain or a push-chain' relationship. He considers spirantization to be largely an independent process that may be influenced by an areal norm or areal spread.

Labroussi (1999: 336-337) classifies spirantization processes in terms of transparent and non-transparent 30 . Non-transparent spirantization is found in the lexicon (morpheme-internally) while transparent spirantization occurs at the morpheme-boundaries hence requires an indication of morpho-phonemic features: e.g.:
(i) adjectival ${ }^{*}-4$ and causative ${ }^{*}-i$ -
(ii) agentive (nominalizer) *-i

[^24](iii) past/perfect tense *-ide (KiNgome has no past/perfect tense marker as derived from proto-form *-ide)
I adopt Labroussi's dichotomy (lexical Vs morphophonemic spirantization) in my discussion of KiNgome spirantisation data. Before we present KiNgome spirantization data based on Labroussi's approach, I find it necessary to lay-out rules that govern spirantization in KiNgome.

## 5. 1. 1.3.1 Spirantization rules in KiNgome

KiNgome has inherited from PSA a widespread innovation that involved a series of sound changes that give rise to spirants from $C B$ consonants $*_{p}, *_{t} *_{0}, *_{k}, *_{b}, *_{d}, *_{j}, *_{g}$ in the environment of the super high vowels ${ }^{*}-\mathrm{i}$ and ${ }^{*}-\mathrm{u}$.
The following are typical spirantizations, all of which can be found in KiNgome:

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{*} \mathrm{p} / \ldots{ }^{*} \mathrm{i}>\mathrm{f} \quad{ }^{*} \mathrm{t},{ }^{*} \mathrm{k},{ }^{*} \mathrm{p} / \ldots \quad{ }^{*}-\mathrm{u}>\mathrm{f}  \tag{302}\\
& \text { * } \mathrm{t} \text {, }{ }^{*} \text {, }{ }^{*} \mathrm{c} / \ldots \quad{ }^{*}-\mathrm{i}>\mathrm{s} \quad{ }^{*} \mathrm{c} \quad / \ldots \ldots{ }^{*}-\mathrm{u}>\mathrm{s} \\
& \text { * b /___ }{ }^{*}-i>v \quad * b,{ }^{*} g,{ }^{*} \mathrm{~d} / \int_{-}^{*}-u>v \\
& \text { * d/ ___ *-i>z } \\
& * k / \ldots \quad{ }^{*}-i>y / s \quad \text { (in causative) }
\end{align*}
$$

Each set of rules is exemplified as follows :

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (i) Rules * p / } \\ & \text { (303) } \end{aligned}$ | *- $i_{x}>\mathrm{f} \quad$ and ${ }^{\text {t }}$, *1 | *-upf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CB | PSA *f | KiNgome reflex |
| *píágid- 'sweep' | *-fyagil-(V) 'sweep' | - fyagira (V) 'sweep' |
| *-pîat- 'seize' | *-fyat- (V) 'seize' | -fyata (V) 'hold tight' |
| *-pióm- 'read' | *-fyom- (V) 'read' | -soma (V) 'read' |
| *-pų 'be fitting' | *-fwa- (V) 'be fitting' | -faa (V) 'be fitting' |
| *-tựg- 'raise' | *-fug-(V) 'raise animal' | -fugu (V) 'raise animal' |
| *-tứm- 'sew' | *-fųm- (V) 'sew' | - fuma (V) 'sew' |
| *-tưu̧d 'swell up | *- fu̧ţl- (V) 'swell up' | - fura (V) 'swell up' |
| *-kų́bà 'chest' | *ki-fųwa (7) 'chest' | ki-fuwa (7) 'chest' |
| *-kự 'die' | $*_{-f w-}$ (V) 'die' | -fu (V) 'die' |


| CB | PSA *s | KiNgome reflex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-tifndif- 'heel' | *ki-si(n)gino (7) 'heel' | ' ki-segeyu (7) 'heel' |
| *-tioká 'rainy season' | *itsika- (6) 'rainy season' | ' ma-sika (6) 'rainy season' |
| *-kîndò 'noise' | $*_{\text {mu-šindo }}$ (3) 'noise' | $\mathrm{N}-$ siNdo (3) 'noise' |
| *-kípà 'vein' | *mu-ipa (3) 'vein' | N-sipa (3) 'vein' |
| *-ofag 'grind' | *-sag- (V) 'grind' | -sag-a (V) 'grind' |
| *-oíad- 'remain' | *-sョl- (V) 'remain' | '-sar-ira (V) 'remain' |
| *-out- 'we' | *swi (1)'we' | osive (1) 'we' |
| *-yatio 'grass' | *1u-nyasi (11) 'grass' | ru-n̆asi (14) 'grass' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (iii) Rules }{ }^{*} \mathbf{b} / \ldots{ }^{*}-\mathbf{i}>\mathbf{v} \text { and }{ }^{*} \mathbf{b},{ }^{*} \mathbf{g},{ }^{*} \mathrm{~d} /{ }^{*}-\mathrm{b},>\mathrm{v} \\ & \text { (305) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| CB $\quad \mathrm{P}$ | PSA * v | KiNgome reflex |
| *bi - 'Cl. (8) prefix' * | *wi- 'Cl. (8) prefix' | wi- 'Cl.(8) prefix' |
| *-bịmb- 'swell' * | *-vimb- (V) 'swell' | -viNb-a (V) 'swell' |
| *-bųn- 'harvest' * | *-vųn- (V) 'harvest' | -vur-u (V)'harvest' |
| *-bû́nj- 'break' * | *-vûnj- (V) 'break' | -vuNja (V) 'break' |
| *-du̧gad- 'shut' * | *-vugazy- (V) 'shut' | -vugaza (V)'shut door' |
| *-dưtid- 'undress' * | *-vụl (V) 'undress' | -vura (V) 'undress' |
| *-di̧b- 'fish' * | *-vu̧W- (V) 'fish' | -vura (V) 'fish' |
| *-dèdis 'chin' * | *ki-levu (7) 'chin' | ki-devu (7) 'chin' |
| *-òggú 'cheek' * | *i-cavy (5) 'cheek' | savu (5) 'cheek' |
| *-gę̀nd-'stink' * | *-vund- (14) 'stink' | yuNda (V) 'stink' |
| (306) Rule * d/ __ ${ }^{*}$ i $>\mathrm{z}$ |  |  |
| CB | PSA*z | KiNgome reflex |
| *-ding- 'surround' | ' -zing- (V) 'go around' | $-z i N g a$ adultery' |
| *-díg ${ }^{\text {che }}$ 'load' | muzigo (3) 'load' | muzigo (3) 'load' |
| *-di- 'root' | muzi (3) 'root'. | Ňizi (3) 'root' |
| *-yàdí 'blood' | mwazi (3) 'blood' | kyazi (7) 'blood' |
| *-oodif 'tear' | icosi (5) 'tear' | sozi (5) 'tear' |
| *-dìgad- 'shut' | -vugazy (V) 'shut' - | -vugaza (V) 'shut (door) |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *-tịngị- 'sleep' Wusịnzị (14) 'sleep' }{ }^{\text {PrusiNgizi(14)'sleep' }} \\
& \text { (iv) Rule *k/__ }{ }^{*} \text { - } \mathbf{i}>\underset{y}{5} / \mathrm{s} \quad \text { (in causative) } \\
& \text { (307) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples in (303-307) indicate direct correspondences between reconstructed PSA forms with spirants and KiNgome spirants with a minor exception in the reflex of $\mathrm{CB} * \mathrm{k} / \ldots \ldots-1 \times / \mathrm{Y} /$ in PSA (but sometimes it becomes /s/ in KiNgome). I will extend the discussion of the complexity of this shift in $\S 5$ 5.1.1.3.3.

### 5.1.1.3.1.1 Lexical Spirantization (non-transparent) in KiNgome

Lexical spirantization is widely attested in KiNgome following the spirantizing rules formulated in (302). Typical examples of nontransparent lexical spirantization process in KiNgome in three historical stages is as follows:

| (308) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CB | PSA |  | KiNgome reflexes |
| *-píc- 'hide' | *-fic- | (V) 'hide' | -fiča (V) 'hide' |
| *-timá- 'well' | * ki-sima | (7) 'well' | ki-sima (7) 'well' |
| *-cìng- 'rub' | ${ }^{*}$-sing - | (V) 'rub' | siNga (V) 'rub' |
| *-yókịi 'smoke' | *mossi | (3) 'smoke' | mosi (3) 'smoke' |
| *-bìmb- 'swell' | *-vimb- | (V) 'swell' | -viNba (V) 'swell' |
| *-dilik- 'bury' | *-riijk- | (V) 'bury' | -zika (V) 'bury' |
| *-gìd- 'abstain' | *-zila- | (V) 'hate' | zira (V) 'abandon' |
| *-turd- 'forge' | *-ful- | (V) 'forge' | -fura (V) 'forge' |
| *-cų̀g- 'wash' | *-sugul | (V) 'rub' | -sugura (V) 'rub' |
| *-kųmbat- 'embrace' | *-fumbat | (V) 'embrace' | -fuNbata (V) 'embrace' |
| *-bụ́d- 'harvest' | *-vųn- | (V) 'harvest' | -vunu (V) 'harvest' |
| *-dụ̀mbud'uncover' | *-vųmbul- | (V) 'uncover' | -vuNbura (V) 'uncover' |

*-gųnd- 'stink' * Wu-vųndo (14)'bad odour' ru-vuNdo(14) 'bad odour'

As noted above, KiNgome shares with the proposed PSA reconstructions evidence of a complete frication process. As we have seen earlier, the only difference between PSA and KiNgome is in the reflex of CB *k/ $\qquad$ $i>/ s /$ in PSA contrast to $/ s /$ in KiNgome.

### 5.1.1.3.1.2 Morphophonemic spirantization

According to Labroussi, Spirantization based on the morphophonemic mechanism involves the following morphophonemic features:
(i) causative *-i
(ii) adjectivizer $*-4$
(iii) nominalizer *i
(iv) past/perfect tense *-ide

We illustrate the first three mechanisms (as appeared in KiNgome) in turn:
(i) Spirantized causative derivatives using *-i- are regular in KiNgome: (309)


Spirantizing agentive derivatives that would have used *-i [+caus]+agent in KiNgome are also regular:

KiNgome Verb stem CB ${ }^{*}$ i agentive Resulting noun

| -suk | 'plait' | -suk-+*i | N-susi | (1) 'plaiter' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -riNd- | 'guard' | -riNd-+*i | N-rinzi | (1) 'guard' |
| -rew- | 'be drunk' | -reb- +*i | N-revi | (1) 'drunkard' |
| -pik- | 'to cook' | -pik- +*i | N-pisi | (1) 'cook' |
| -ib- | 'steal' | -ib- +* | mw-ivi | (1) 'thief' |
| -teNd- | 'creat' | -teNd-+*! | u-teNzi | (14) 'poetry' |
| -jeNg- | 'build' | - $\mathrm{jeNg}-{ }^{*}$ ! | N -faNzi | (1) 'builder' |
| -pumur- | 'breath' | pumud- +*i | pumuzi | (9) 'breath' |
| -n̆or- | 'shave' | -nod- +*i | ki-n̆ozi | (1) 'barber' |
| -vyar- | 'deliver' | -wyad- +*i | N-zazi | (1) 'parent' |
| ${ }^{\circ}$-vur- |  | -wud- +*i | N -vusi | (1) 'fisherman' |
| -aNdik- | 'write' | -aNdik-t* | mw-aNdis | (1) 'writer' |

The agentive ${ }^{*}-\frac{1}{c}$ above is associated with the spirantizing of a preceding stem-final consonant. It is active as a derivation mechanism. Very few exceptional cases appear with a non-spirantizing preceding consonant :
e.g. fuNd-i 'mechanic' < PSA * funzy
(312) The adjectivizing *-ų spirantization in KiNgome:

| KiNgome <br> Verb stem | *-u adjective | KiNgome reflex(adjectives) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *tur-ir- | *-tud-id- + *-y | -turivu 'quiet' |
| *-reger- | *-leged- $+*-u$ | -regevu 'slack' |
| *-okor- | *-okod- + *-u | -okovu 'salvage' |
| *-bor- | *-bod- + *-y | -bovu 'rotten' |
| *-per- | *-ped- $+*-\frac{4}{8}$ | -peva 'ripe' |
| *-kar-(uk)- | *-kàd- + *-u | kavu 'dry' |

KiNgome has spirantizing adjective derivatives that would reflect the
morph ${ }^{*}-\frac{4}{c}$. Spirantizing affects the most 'responsive consonants'. Spirantization does not occur with phonemes resulting from lenition processes, such as PSA *W and *1. As we have seen earlier in (293) I am convinced that in such a case as that of regevu we have two synchronic stems reg-er- and reg-ed- 'slack'. It is the latter form reged- that is used to derive reg-az-a and reg-ev-u. The form reg-er- is used elsewhere to derive other categories e.g. reg-er-a in KiNgome. This consolidates our earlier argument that the stops *b and *d are equally plausible reconstructions along with PSA *W and ${ }^{*}$ I.

### 5.1.1.3.2 The Reflexes of $C B * k / \ldots i \operatorname{inPSA}$ and KiNgome

Hinnebusch, Nurse \& Mould (1981: 25) and Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:122-24) reconstructed $/ 5 /$ for PSA as the reflex of $C B * k / \ldots$ i. But data from KiNgome, other rural SD, Sabaki, and from the majority of the Corridor Bantu languages show a regular form $/ s /$ as a reflex of CB ${ }^{*} \mathrm{k} /$ ___ . The disparity between PSA* $\stackrel{\underline{Y}}{ }$ against $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in KiNgome is clearly demonstrated in the following examples:
(313)

| CB | PSA** | KiNgome /s/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-kíná ' tree trunk' | *i-şicina (5) 'tree trunk' | sina (5) 'tree trunk' |
| ${ }^{*}$-kịndò 'noise' | *mu-ş̧̣ndo (3) 'noise' | N̦sindo (3) 'noise' |
| *-kịpa 'vein' | *mu-şịipa (3) 'vein' | N sipa (3) 'vein |
| ${ }^{*}$-kịndáa 'press to end' | *-şịndikizy-(V) 'see off' | siNdikiza (V) 'see off' |
| *-kíndị 'soot' | *ma-šizi (6) 'soot' | masizi (6) 'soot' |
| *-kíndò 'neck' | * n -šingo (9) 'neck' | siNgo (9) 'neck' |
| *-có 'left hand' | *ku-šonco (15) 'left hand' | ku-soto (15) 'lefthand' |
| *-kéésy- 'pass the night' | *i, -keešyo (5) 'tomorrow' | keso (5) 'tomorrow' |
| * ? | *muşiskyana (1) 'girl' | Nsičana (1) 'girl' |

The attestation of /s/ is widespread throughout rural SD. Recent data drawn from KiMakunduchi (Haji Chum 1994), KiPemba (Khamis 1984), and KiTumbatu (Stude 1995) attest $C B * k / \ldots \quad i>/ s /$ as follows: (314)

| CB | PSA | SSW | Pe. | Tu. | Mak. | KiNgome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{*}$-yókị- | *moši | moši | mosi | mosi | mosi | mosi | 'smoke' |
| ${ }^{*}$ "-kịgoo | *nšiNgo šiNgo | siNgo | siNgo | siNgo | siNgo | 'neck' |  |


| $*$ | -có | *-šonco | -šoto | -sot o | -soto | -soto | -soto |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-kínd- | *-sind | -siNda | -siNda | $?$ | -siNda | -siNda | 'press' |

Data in both (313) and (314) show that $/ s /$ is a regular reflex in SD. It is only KiUnguja/SSW (and ND except KiTikuu) that provided the basis for reconstructing $/ \bar{S} /$ for PSA from $\mathrm{CB}^{*} \mathrm{k} /$ _ $\quad$. The presence of $/ \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{in}$ both SD and ND is found mainly in the context of the causative morph
 $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in the causative form.

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{*} k \underset{i}{i} / \_[+ \text {caus }]$ | šy | Y/s |
|  | *-keešy 'pass the night' | -kesa 'pass the night awake' |
|  | *-cešy- 'laugh at' | -čes̆a 'laugh at' < čeka |
|  | *-lamusy 'wake up' | -ramus̆a 'wake up' |
|  | *-tones̆y- 'hurt' | -tonesa 'hurt' |

A palatal sibilant $/ \Sigma /$ in causatives is not uniform in all of Sabaki. KiPokomo is noted by Geider (1990: 457) to have $/ s /$ in the causative marking:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k u-f u r a h-i \leq-a & \text { 'to make happy' < }- \text { furahi 'be happy' }  \tag{316}\\
k u-d y-e s-a & \text { 'to make eat '<kudya 'to eat' }
\end{array}
$$

Notwithstanding the above facts, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:115) find * $Y$ an appropriate candidate for the PSA from $C B^{*} k / \ldots \ldots$, and this is despite the fact that in their Sabaki spirantization chart ${ }^{34}$ the overall majority of Sabaki members attest $/ s /$ as the reflex of $C B^{*} k / \ldots$, Therefore the normal pattern in Sabaki and NEC is $C B * k / \ldots \ldots\rangle / \mathrm{c} /$, This study does not intend to challenge any of the hypotheses ${ }^{31}$ that

[^25]Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 122) proposed in relation to the complexity surrounding the subgrouping of Sabaki members into those that attest a reflex $/ \subseteq /$ versus $/ \mathrm{s} /$. However, in the course of description of the reflex of $C B^{*} k / \ldots i>/ s / i n$ KiNgome, I will attempt to offer my view on the matter.

The wealth of evidence that tallies with a regular process in an early stage of NEC where $C B^{*} k / \ldots, \quad i>{ }^{*}$ s makes it hard to believe that this early shift left no traces in Swahili dialects. In my view, the presence of a reflex *s in more conservative dialects such as KiNgome, KiMakunduchi, KiTumbatu, KiPemba, KiTikuu and KiMwani cannot be the result of depalatization of PSA * $>s$ as proposed by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 122). There is no motivating factor to trigger such a shift. On the contrary, it is possible to hypothesise that there was a shift of:

$$
\begin{align*}
C B^{*} k / \ldots & >s>y \quad \text { (palatalized in ND and KiUnguja) }  \tag{317}\\
& >s>/ s /(\text { retention in SD and KiTikuu) }
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to explain the motivating factor behind palatalization of PSA *s > $\breve{s}$ in ND. The shift ${ }^{*} \mathrm{k}!>s i$ is considered a regular phonetic process in many Bantu languages (See Labroussi 1999:394). It has been reported for Ndali (Labroussi 1999: 353) that the shift *ki $>\equiv i$ preceded the palatalization of $/ \mathrm{si}_{\mathrm{i}} />/ \mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{but}$ hardly a case of depalatalization of $/ \mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}} /=/ \mathrm{si} /$ i.e., from palatal to alveolar in Bantu spirantization data. Phonetically, is generally accepted that sound change in a complex phonological process like spirantization ought to be a gradual process (Ohala 1993: 266). Rather than simply 'telescoping', Hyman (1978) (as qouted in Labroussi 1999: 369) emphasised the intermediate phonetic steps when focusing on the phonetic dimension of spirantization. For instance *p>f/_ it through the following progression: *pi>phinpfic pfi $>$ fi. Labroussi (1999:370) argues that 'these intermediate stages are not only possible or optional, but necessary for the transformation of a stop to a fricative specifically $[f, v, s, z]$. It is possible to show the phonetic progression that led to $C B^{*} k>s / \ldots i$ rather $C B^{*} k>s / \ldots$. Hinnebusch, Nurse \& Mould (1981: 28) indicate that the fricativisation of stop * $\mathrm{ki}>\ldots$.

[^26]si, resulted from $C B^{*} k i>k y i>(c i) ?>t s i>s i \quad$ (the intermediate / $\mathrm{ci} /$ is my own assumption). Some Chaga dialects (see Hinnebusch and Nurse 1981) attest the intermediate / tsi/, while / si/ itself is a commonly occurring reflex in spirantizing eastern Bantu languages. For instance, the evidence from Lake Corridor Bantu languages in South-western Tanzania (See Labroussi 1999) indicates that $*_{k} i \geqslant \ldots .{ }_{i} i$ is a widespread and regular shift in both lexical and morphophonological spirantization contexts. More evidence shows that the spirant-weakening languages of P10 and P 20 extend the process from the intermediate $/ \mathrm{s} /$ from $\mathrm{CB}^{*} \mathrm{ki}>\ldots / \mathrm{s} />\mathrm{h}$ $>\varnothing$ (see Nurse 1979). The fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$ (and indeed $/ \mathrm{s} /$ ) generally corresponds to $C B^{*}{ }^{2} i, t i \quad$ in some cases with $C B^{*}-i$ - causative in spirantizing Bantu languages. Since it is difficult to establish the 'incremental steps' (i.e. establishing intermediate phonetic steps for / $\mathrm{s} /$ as a regular lexical spirantization of $C B * k$ before i) the occurrence of $/ 5 /$ in KiUnguja and ND (except KiTikuu), can only be linked to nonphonetic factors such as reanalysis or borrowing. It is beyond the scope of this study to investigate why / $\mathrm{s} /$ characterises KiUnguja and ND.

I simply present below its distribution in Sabaki:
(a) Sabaki spirantization in morpheme-internal contexts:
(i) Reflex /s/ (in SD, KiTikuu, Pokomo, Ngazija, but not ND and Ung)
(ii) Reflex / $\mathrm{s} /$ (in ND, Ung, but not SD, KiTikuu, Pokomo, Ngazija )
(a) Sabaki spirantization in causatives:
(iii) Reflex / $\mathrm{s} /($ both ND, SD, but not Ngazija, Pokomo and some Mijikenda)
(iv) Reflex / / / (in Ngazija and Pokomo)

The distribution of Reflex / $\mathrm{s} /$ is not uniform. Examination of the distribution of reflexes with $/ \mathrm{s} /$ versus $/ \mathrm{s} /$ reveals that Ngazija and Pokomo strictly realise /s/ in all contexts of spirantization. Rural SD (including KiNgome) mostly realises /s/ in two out of three contexts of spirantization. On the other side $/ \bar{S} /$ is preferred in all context in ND and Unguja. SD share with ND and Unguja in the $i$ - causative context only. The proposition by Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 122) that the reflex / / / in some Sabaki members is a result of a depalatalization of PSA ** $>/ \mathrm{s} /$
diminishes the possibility that pre－PSA or NEC＊s has left traces in Sabaki． The weight of evidence as seen in（289－93）indicate that most peripheral Sabaki members parallel the regular NEC process of CB＊k／＿＿$i_{i}>{ }^{*} s$ ．
 South－western Bantu languages（Labroussi 1999）．Reflexes with／ $\mathrm{y} /$ or $/ \mathrm{dz}$／are mainly confined to the context of the $i$ causative（See Mathwangwane 1999 for Shona，Zulu，and Ikalanga and Kula（2002）for Bemba．From the above scenario，I propose that the PSA reflex of CB ＊k／＿＿i＞should rather be／s／than the currently proposed／ $5 /$ ．

## 5．1．1．4 KiNgome reflexes of PSA nasals

KiNgome has inherited PSA nasals which can be linked to CB（without a velar nasal）．The Nasal series is exemplified as follows ：

| CB |  | PSA | KiNgome |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊－dúm－ | ＇bite＇ | ＊－1um－ | （V）＇bite＇ | －ruma | （V）＇bite＇ |
| ＊－ntù | ＇person＇ | ＊mu－ntu | （1）＇person＇ | $\mathrm{mu}^{-N} \mathrm{th}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | （1）＇person＇ |
| ＊－di | ＇root＇ | ＊mu－zi | （3）＇root＇ | Y－zizi | （3）＇root＇ |
| ＊－nódd－ | ＇sharpen＇ | ＊－nool－ | （V）＇sharpen＇ | －nora | （V）＇sharpen＇ |
| ＊n－nánè | ＇eight＇ | ＊n－nane | （9）＇eight | －nane | （9）＇eight＇ |
| ＊－bữ－ | ＇harvest＇ | ＊－vųn－ | （V）＇harvest＇ | －vunu | （V）＇harvest＇ |
| ＊－nị ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d－ | ＇wither＇ | ＊－nyal－ | （V）＇wither＇ | －n̆ara | （V）＇wither＇ |
| ＊－mèni－ | ＇peel＇ | ＊－meny－ | （V）＇peel＇ | －meñョ | （V）＇peel＇ |
| －ワジー | ＇grumble | ＊－ŋапапу－ | （V）＇grumble | －ワaŋana | （V）＇grumble＇ |
| $?$ |  | ＊－yal－ | （V）＇shine＇ | －пara | $(\mathrm{V})$＇shine＇ |
| $?$ |  | ＊－пol－ | （V）＇uproot＇ | －yora－ | （V）＇uproot＇ |

Here we see that KiNgome forms directly correspond to PSA forms． These data show clearly that nasals are stable．However，the following case may be regarded as nasal－loss：

$$
C B^{*} \text { n-nánè > PSA *n-nane }(9 / 10) \text { 'eight' }>(K G) \text { nane }(9 / 10)^{\prime} \text { 'eight'. }
$$

But KiNgome，uniquely，displays a moraic nasal in the following form： N－Nıo（V）＇come！＇
This becomes NJoo（V）＇come！＇in SSW．The moraic nasal occurs here parallel to what occurs in monomoraic stems like Nye＇outside＇．

### 5.1.1.4.1 KiNgome reflexes of PSA *NC̨ clusters ${ }^{32}$ ( ${ }^{*} m p,{ }^{*} n t,{ }^{*} n k,{ }^{*} n c$,

There is a range of related changes involving the KiNgome reflexes of PSA *NÇ clusters. These can be summarised as follows:
(a) $P S A^{*} N C$ > ${ }^{>} C^{h}$,
(b) PSA*NÇ $>\mathrm{NC}_{0}^{h} \sim \mathrm{C}_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}$
(c) $\mathrm{PSA}^{*} \mathrm{NC}>\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{h}}$
(d) PSA $^{*} N C_{8}^{h}>N+C^{h}$. (in monomoraic stems or where muis syncopated )
The above rules are exemplified below:

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-pákà 'cat' | *mpaka (9) 'cat ' | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{aka}$ | (9) 'cat' |
| *-pùde ' $n$ ose' | *mpula (9) 'nose' | Nphura | (9) 'nose' |
| *-пtú 'person' | *muntu (1) 'person' | $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{th}_{u}$ | (1) 'person' |

Changes involving PSA *NC clusters show general areal features of Eastern Bantu. Hinnebusch, Nurse \& Mould (1981:73) summarise four sets resulting from the PSA reflex:
a) $N C_{c}^{h}\left[m p^{h}, n t^{h}, n k h\right] e . g$. Chicewa,
b) $\mathrm{NC}_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}\left[\mathrm{Np}^{\mathrm{h}}\right]$ e.g. Shambala and Pokomo
c) $C_{8}^{h}\left[\mathrm{p}^{h}\right]$ e.g. Swahili
d) No [ No ] e.g. Sukuma

KiNgome exhibits features of set (b) and (c) as presented in (321). It also attests $\mathrm{N}_{0}^{h}<\mathrm{PSA}$ *NÇ like Seuta, Pokomo and Chimwiini. N assimilates to the voicelessness of the voiceless stop; triggers aspiration, a period of voicelessness, is a concomitant development. The attestation of NC. ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ in KiPokomo (Geider (1990: 423) widens the speculation that KiPokomo influenced KiNgome and would be in line with the report that Wapokomo resided in the Ngome area in the 16 th century. In some lexical items we see $N$-loss (but retention of aspiration) as in other

[^27]Swahili dialects (except KiChimwini) In addition, KiNgome data attest a synchronic alternation $N C_{\gamma}^{h} \sim C_{\sigma}^{h}$. I have noted that NCh is most preferred in careful speech while $\mathcal{C}_{6}$ h is prevalent in very rapid speech. This alternation serves to validate the intermediate stages which have completely dissappeared in modern reflexes of KiUnguja, KiMvita and SSW.

I tentatively envisage the ordering of the historical development of the reflexes of PSA *NC in the following manner:

PSA: *kiNtu '(7) 'thing) *kiNtanda (7) 'bed' KiNgome: ki-Ņtu ki-NtaNda (1)nasal assimilation/

| $k i-N t h_{u}$ | $k i-N_{0} h_{a N d a}$ | (2) aspiration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $--h_{a}$ | $k i-\varnothing t h_{a N d a}$ | (3) Nasal-loss |
| $k i-N t h_{u}$ | $k i-t h_{a N d a}$ | (4) prenasalization |
| $k i-N t h_{u}$ | $k i-t h_{a N d a}$ | Output |

PSA sequences of $N+$ stop (voiceless) underwent several changes in KiNgome including nasal devoicing-assimilation and aspiration of the voiceless obstruent. The presence of alternation $N C_{\sigma}^{h} \sim C^{h}$ marks the route towards total nasal loss.

Although KiNgome borders KiMwani to the south, it does not share the Mwani reflexes of PSA *NC:

```
(323) PSA
*mpula 'nose'
*mpwani 'coast'
*muntu 'person'
```

KiNgome
$\mathrm{Np} \mathrm{h}_{\text {ura }}$
muph $_{\text {wa }}$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{u}}$

KiMwani
mula
mwani
munu

### 5.1.1.4.2 The development of aspiration in KiNgome

I consider below the factors leading to aspiration of voiceless obstruents in KiNgome. Hinnebusch (1975), Givon (1974) and Mathangwane (1999) propose different schema accounting for the development of aspiration following *NC in Bantu languages. Aspiration in Ikalanga ( Mathangwane 1999:151) is diachronically linked to the following CB
contexts:
(a) following $C B$ high vowels ${ }_{i j}$ and $*_{d}$
(b) $/ \mathrm{w} /<\mathrm{CB} *_{4} / \ldots$ (non-back vowel)
(c) ${ }^{*} \mathrm{NC}$ clusters

Since KiNgome (and Swahili in general) is a fully spirantizing dialect, the contact between voiceless stops and high vowels as in (a) and (b) yield stridents and not aspirated obstruents. We, further, do not see the direct link between the voiceless obstruents followed by PSA *w (from the contact of $u+V$ ) and resulting aspiration. Aspiration in KiNgome is diachronically linked to sequences of nasal plus voiceless obstruents.

As noted in Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:159), diachronically, 'aspiration is a concomitant of devoicing either as an automatic consequence or as a metathesis of pre-oral-stop voicelessness'. KiNgome provides evidence of intermediate stages towards aspiration as follows: (324)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *mpapa *mu-pa *muNka (1) CB/PSA forms } \\
& \text { Npapa m-pa mika (2) assimilation/syncopation }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \emptyset_{p} h_{a p a} \quad \mathrm{NP}^{h_{a}} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{e}} \text { (4) Nasal deletion/ }
\end{aligned}
$$

moraicity

$$
\mathrm{P}^{h_{\mathrm{gpa}}} \quad \quad \mathrm{NP}^{h_{\mathrm{a}}} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \text { (5) output }
$$

A cross-linguistic survey shows that there are Bantu languages without nasal devoicing but which exhibit aspiration e.g. ChiCewa and Mananja (see Hinnebusch et al. 1981: 73). Moreover, in (271), we saw active cases of the moraic nasals followed by aspirated obstruents in KiNgome. Therefore, nasal devoicing is only a prerequisite for nasal-loss but not for aspiration. Likewise, it appears to be the case that voice preservation is also a prerequisite for nasal 'stability' in the form of prenasalisation of obstruents e.g. Nb, Nd e.t.c. in Sabaki.

### 5.1.1.4.3 Nasal-loss in $\mathrm{NCh}^{\mathrm{h}}>\mathrm{C}_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}$

Data from KiNgome shows that the nasal element displays different degrees of stability depend on the environment. It is more susceptible to imminent loss in the word-initial environment. We link this tendency
with the proposition made by Ohala (1975) that N -loss before voiceless stops and other obstruents may be favoured by a phonetic process of contextual devoicing. However, N-deletion does not appear to be the inevitable result of contextual devoicing. Devoicing of N without a concomitant increase in nasal airflow, and therefore, nasal turbulence, has a major effect on perceptual saliency, which may lead to complete Ndeletion. However, one regular tendencies that can be observed from synchronic KiNgome data is the preservation of PSA*NÇ wordinternally:
(325)

| CB | PSA |  | KiNgome reflexes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-ntù 'thing' | *kintu | (7) 'thing' | kiNthu | (7) 'thing' |
| *ba-ntú 'persons' | *Wa-ntu | (2)'persons' | waNth $h_{u}$ | (2) 'persons' |
| *-yónoè 'all' | *-once | (Adj.) 'all' | - oNthe | (Adj.) 'all' |

In (325) we see forms that have retained the nasal element of the prenasalized obstruents. However, despite the above attestations, we have noted that although KiNgome synchronic data shows the unitary segment $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{h}$ word-internally it is beginning to move towards ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ). Some speakers are clearly pronouncing [kin]o[ $\left.\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{H}}\right]_{\sigma}$ with two syllables. I assume this to be a very recent innovation.

Our next concern will be to discuss the less controversial attestation of inherited PSA *NÇ.

### 5.1.1.4.4 PSA *NC (Voiced stops)

KiNgome like the rest of SD inherited PSA voiced *NC sequences. (326)

| CB |  | PSA | KiNgome reflexes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-bégú | 'seed' | *m-begu | (9) 'seed' |  | Nbegu |
| *-dèngè 'blister' | *i-lenge | (5) 'blister' | deNgereNge | (5) 'blister' |  |
| *-dòndè 'sore' | *ki-londa | (7) 'sore' | ki-doNda | (7) 'sore' |  |
| *-jidè | 'path' | *n-jila | (9) 'path' | Njira | (9) 'path' |

As the data in (326) indicate, the prenasalised voiced stops are directly
linked to CB/PSA *NC. There is no restriction of their occurrence. The reflexes of PSA*NC in KiNgome provide support for our contention that the members of the counterpart voiceless series should be considered as a unitary segments.

## 5. 1. 1. 4. 5 KiNgome reflex of PSA *NF clusters

(327)

| CB | PSA | KG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-pígò 'kidney' | *mfigo (9) 'kidney' | figo (5)'kidney' |
| *-tikù day ${ }^{\text { }}$ | $*_{n s i z u}$ (9) 'day' | siku (9) 'day' |
| *-kíngò 'neck' | *ňingo (9) 'neck' | siNgo (9) 'neck' |

Deletion of a nasal before a fricative occurs preferentially rather deletion of a nasal before a stop. Prefricative N deletion is due to its articulatory instability. Mayerthaler (1982: 225) notes that the passage of air through the nasal cavity affects the level of the airflow through the oral cavity, thereby reducing the amount of turbulence/frication.

## 5. 1. 1. 4. 6 KiNgome reflexes of PSA CG sequences

We have seen in $\S 2.5$. 1. that KiNgome allows CG sequences. This has been noticed to be a feature of PSA too. By comparison, KiNgome CG sequences have undergone very little change from the reconstructed PSA. For the most part KiNgome attests PSA's CG sequences and thus becomes a conservative dialect of Swahili.
(328)

| CB |  | PSA |  | KiNgome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| *-kúEd- 'go up' *-kwel- | (V) 'climb' | khwera | (V) 'climb' |  |  |
| *-duad- 'be sick' *-lwal- | (V) 'be sick' | -rwar- | (V) 'be sick' |  |  |
| *-di- | 'eat' | *kyakulya | (7) 'food' | kyakurya |  |
| *-píagid-'sweep' *lupyagilo (11) 'broom' | (7ufyagiro (14)'broom' |  |  |  |  |

PSA* CW is preserved after all consonants except $s_{,} \stackrel{\Xi}{\xi}, \quad \mathrm{z}$ and v and f while PSA *Cy is found when a glide $* y$ follows consonants: $k-, 1-$, $u-$, f-.

The case of CG sequences in Swahili (especially the case of KiMvita) is well described by Maeda (2001). KiNgome and other Swahili dialects share similar cases of CG sequences as illustrated by Maeda (ibid.). However, glide loss has also been noticed in KiNgome. The following are some of
the examples of PSA glide-loss in KiNgome.

| (329) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB | PSA | KiNgome reflexes |  |
| *-dêtad- 'dress' | *-vwal- | (V) 'dress' | -vara (V) 'dress' |
| *-kųa 'crack' | *lufwa | (11) 'crack' rufa (11) 'crack' |  |

Generally, we see in KiNgome that PSA* ${ }_{w}$ is deleted after $v_{1} f_{2} s$, while PSA* $y$ is lenited when preceded by the fricatives $z, \leq$ and $\breve{s}$.

## 5. 1. 1.5 The development of the KiNgome vocalic system

KiNgome has a 5-Vowel system derived from the CB/PSA 7-Vowel system. What actually happened was a merger of the degree 1 and degree 2 vowels of CB/PSA. CB/PSA had seven vowels with four degrees of aperture:
front (unrounded) back (rounded)
aperture $1 \quad \underset{i}{i} \quad$ u
aperture 2 i บ
aperture 3
eu
aperture 4 a

From the above system, KiNgome and the rest of the Swahili dialects have developed a system with only 5 phonologically distinct vowels, following the merger of vowels of apertures 1 and 2 . This resulted in the synchronic system as seen below:
front (unrounded) back (rounded)
apertures $1 \& 2$ i
u
aperture 3
e
0
aperture 4
a

However the value of the feature front/back has not changed in KiNgome (nor indeed in other Swahili dialects in general). Therefore, CB/PSA vowels of degree 1 (high close vowels) correspond to KiNgome merged degrees $1 \& 2$. This is evident in the following examples:

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊－turng－＇close＇ | ＊－fung－＇close＇ | fuNge＇close＇ |
| ＊－dịng－＇surround＇ | ＊－xing－＇go around＇ | $-z i N g-\quad$＇commit adultery＇ |

Has KiNgome retained vowel length？The answer is provided by the following example：
（333）

| CB |  | PSA |  | KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊－tựųd | ＇swell up＇ | －fưų－ | ＇swell up＇ | －furs | ＇swell＇ |
| ＊bきヨba | ＇father＇ | ＊bэョロョ | ＇father＇ | baba | ＇father＇ |
| ＊－béék－ | ＇put＇ | ＊－W eek－ | ＇put＇ | weka | ＇put＇ |
| ＊＊6opl | ＇slap＇ | ＊i－koofi | ＇slap＇ | kofi | ＇slap＇ |
| ＊－zi！k－ | ＇bury＇ | ＊－dịk－ | ＇bury＇ | －zika | ＇bury＇ |

It is clear that there is widespread loss of vowel length in KiNgome as examples above show．However，isolated cases of vowel length have been noted in some lexicon in KiNgome（see § 2．3）．Chimwiini is reported to preserve vowel length among all Swahili dialects（See Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993：209）．

## 5．2 Summary

The present chapter has attempted to map out the historical evolution of the present day KiNgome segment inventory．The initial picture reveals that a great many KiNgome segments support PSA reconstruction． However，I have noted some relic segments that can clearly be linked to the distant CB ancestor and thus suggest some addition to the PSA forms i．e．，PSA＊W and＊l（The retention of $/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{g} /$ and $/ \mathrm{ky} /$ subgrouped KiNgome along with other conservative dialects of Swahili）．I have identified a number of innovations including the $7 \mathrm{~V}>5 \mathrm{~V}$ reduction，full spirantization，reduction of PSA＊NC sequences to a unitary segment，the evolution of aspiration and certain unproductive traces of Dahl＇s law．
The next chapter continues with the establishment of a correspondence
between the PSA morphological system and that of KiNgome. We have examined the correspondences between KiNgome and reconstructed Proto-forms of PSA and CB. The majority of CB/PSA phonological characteristics have been retained in KiNgome. KiNgome also participates in the common Sabaki innovation such as $7>5$ vowel reduction, loss of tone and full spirantization of stops triggered by high vowels.

## Chapter 6 : Historical Morphology

### 6.0 The development of the morphological system of KiNgome

The previous chapter has concerned itself with a discussion of the phonological development of the KiNgome dialect. This chapter continues to examine the evolution of the morphological system. Thus, primarily, I will describe the diachronic morphology of KiNgome tracing it back from the latest ancestor PSA and the distant CB forms. This chapter will be divided into two main parts: the nominal system and the verbal system. In the nominal system, I will trace the evolution of the KiNgome noun class inventory; nominal prefixes, noun class pairings and noun class agreement patterns. In the verb system section, I will examine the correspondence between the present-day formatives (for tense, aspect, person, subject and object prefixes, negatives, and extension suffixes in the verbal system) of KiNgome in comparison with PSA and CB. I will pay attention to the presence, absence and creation of (new) markers.

Generally, I assume that morphemes shared by Coastal Sabaki languages are descended from $C B$ and PSA forms. Hence, all my examples will refer to three-stages of development.

## 6. 1 The development of the KiNgome nominal system

The noun class systems in Bantu languages are directly descended from the Proto-Bantu system i.e. from CB. Despite some disparities in the various reconstruction of the Bantu noun class system (see Maho 1999: 246-249), I have selected Guthrie's CB (see Guthrie 1971: 144 vol. 2) as the representative reconstruction for comparison with PSA and KiNgome forms. I present in (331) the correspondences between CB, PSA and KiNgome class prefixes. The set that represents CB primary nominal prefixes are from Guthrie (1971:144 vol. 2) who describes them as independent prefixes (IP). For PSA, we have adopted what Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 652-653) designate as SET 1 that represents nominal and cardinal numerals. Again, NoP stands for No overt prefix marking.

The（－）represents lack of form．
（334）

|  | CB | PSA |  | KINGOME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl. |  | C－stem | V－stem | C－stem | V－stem |
| 1 | $*_{\text {miu }}$ | ＊mu－ | $*_{\text {mu－}}$ | mu－， $\mathrm{N}-$ | mu－ |
| 1 a | NoP | NoP | NoP | NoP | NoP |
| 2 | ＊ba－ | ＊Wa－ | ＊W－ | แコー | ща |
| 2a |  |  |  | NoP | NoP |
| 3 | $*_{\text {mu－}}$ | ＊mu－ | ＊mu－ | mu－， $\mathrm{N}-$ | mw－ |
| 4 | ＊mi－， | ＊mi－ | $*_{m}(\mathrm{i})$ | mi－ | mi－～my－ |
| 5 | ＊yi－ | $*_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $*_{i j}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | NoP | NoP |
| 6 | $*_{\text {ma－}}$ | $*_{\text {maー }}$ | $*_{m}(a)$ | ma－ | m（a）－ |
| 7 | ＊くi－ | ＊ ki | ＊ky－ | ki－ | ky－ |
| 8 | ＊bi－ | ＊vi－ | ＊${ }^{\text {y }}$－ | vi | vy－ |
| 9 | ＊ny | ＊ N － | ＊ny－ | N － | n̆－ |
| 9a | － | － | － | NoP－ | NoP－ |
| 10 | ＊ny－ | ＊ N － | ＊－ny | N － | n̆－ |
| 10a | － | － | － | NoP－ | NoP－ |
| 11 | ＊du－ | ＊ 1 u－ | ＊ 1 w－ | ru－ | re－ |
| 12 | ＊ka－ | ＊ ka － | ＊k（a） | － | － |
| 13 | ＊tu－ | － | － | － | － |
| 14 | ＊bu－ | ＊W u－ | ${ }^{*}$ W－ | u－ | \＃－ |
| 15 | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ku－ | kw－ |
| 16 | ＊pa－ | ＊${ }_{\text {Pa－}}$ | － | pa－ | P－ |
| 17 | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ＊kw－ | ku－ | kw－ |
| 18 | $*_{\text {mu－}}$ | ＊mu－ | $*_{\text {mw－}}$ | mu－， N － | mw－ |
| 19 | ＊pi－ | － | － | － | － |

As noticed in（334），the majority of the reconstructed Set 1 noun class series have been retained unchanged．Thus the present day KiNgome noun class system gives the impression of a system in which the CB／PSA systems survive．Guthrie（1967－1971）has reconstructed nineteen classes （very similar to Meeussen 1967： 97 and Meinhof 1932）．Since then，there have been additions and refinements of this reconstruction as reported by Maho（1999：248）．However，there are some disparities we have noticed in
the above presentation. What Guthrie (vol. $1967: 94$ ) regards as Classes $1 a: 2 a$ is different from what I have presented here (and indeed as presented in PSA). Guthrie meant that Class 1a is marked by the zero prefix (hereafter NoP), but he refers to Class 2a as having a special type of prefix found mostly in Eastern languages. We do not know what is the basis for regarding, for instance, G. 42 d mama 'mother' as belonging to 1a: 2 instead of 1a: 2a. Does the plural of Class 1a mama in G.42d have any prefix form? Do Classes 1a and 2a exist in PSA? Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 618) rectify Guthrie's anomaly by showing a few examples of Class 1a: 2a such as *baba 'father' as being a direct reflex of CB *-bàabá 'father' and PSA *maame 'mother' (CB *-*-mààmá 'mother) as belonging to the 1a:2a Classes. They do not regard Class 2 a as 'a class with special prefix' as portrayed by Guthrie (ibid.). No Sabaki languages (and indeed Bantu in general) have any pairing of 1a: 2. In actual fact there is only pairing of $1: 2$ and 1a: 2a. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{I}$ accordingly consider 2 a in KiNgome as NoP nouns and not a 'class with special prefix' (see my argument in § 3.1.2.4).

Other striking development in KiNgome is seen in occurrences of NoP which are morphemically defined for the sub-classes $1 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{a}$, 5. NoP is found only in Set 1 noun prefixes. We have designated this development in separate subclasses. NoP is traditionally regarded as a zero morpheme. However, I do not favour the term 'zero morpheme' in order to avoid giving the impression that a null * $\varnothing$ is a morpheme of some kind.

### 6.1.1 Retention of PSA nominal classes in KiNgome

Most class prefixes of PSA survive unchanged in present-day KiNgome forms. KiNgome forms as found in Classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9,10, 11, 14, 15, 16,17 , and 18 correspond to their closer ancestor PSA. Some CB nominal prefixes can be seen to have undergone relatively few sound changes in PSA and KiNgome. For instance, present-day KiNgome exhibits ku- ~ ko- alternation that go back to CB/PSA * ku-. I argue in Chapter 2 that this is the peculiar form of prefix VHH. More cases of regular retention is evident in classes $2,5(\mathrm{a}), 8,9,10,11$ and 14 . Although the majority of shape of KiNgome nominal prefixes strongly support the PSA and CB reconstruction, it is necessary to comment on some of the striking changes in the retained classes discussed in the following subsection.

[^28]
## 6. 1. 1.1 KiNgome reflexes of PSA *mu for Classes 1,3 and 18

CB/ PSA Classes 1,3, and 18 share an identically reconstructed prefix *muwith C-stems (but *mw- with V-stems). We see KiNgome has partly retained the full form mu- while some other prefixes have a homorganic moraic nasal prefix $N-$. There is however a regular alternation mu- $\sim N-$ , which is clear evidence for the operation of a phonological change. The KiNgome case differs from urban Swahili dialects such as SSW and KiMvita as the later exhibit mu- syncopation e.g. *mu-toto 'child' > becomes $\underset{T}{ }$-toto 'child', KiNgome has a homorganic nasal $N$ - to to 'child'. Quite definitely this form can be attributed to an areal influence, as KiNgome is surrounded by P20 languages that are characterised by a $/ \mathrm{N}-/$ prefix rather than $/ \mathrm{m}-/$, and this includes Urban Lindi Swahili (see Legere 1986 ) and all Mafia Island Swahili.

## 6. 1. 1. 2 KiNgome reflexes of PSA *N-

The PSA * N that represents Class $9 / 10$ (C-stems) corresponds to Guthrie's reconstructed $C B * / n y-/$ for Class 9 and the dual prefixes $* / d i-n, n-/$ for Class 10. Meinhof proposed $* / n i-/$ and the dual prefixes */ ii-, ni-/ for those same classes. Both agree in the regular loss of their first CV in Class 10 */di- or $1 i-/$. PSA had *N-, a prenasalized homorganic nasal, for both classes but *ny- is found in the environment of the vowel initial stems of both classes. The derivation of $N \mathrm{~N}$ - is linked to the loss of the high vowel in *ni-. Guthrie's $*^{*} y^{-}$in $C B *_{n y-}$ is generally equated with the high vowel i. Ehret (1999:59) pointed out that he did 'not recognise Guthrie's $*_{y}$ as a stem-initial consonant, preferring to reconstruct vowel-initial stems in such instances'. KiNgome attests the PSA reconstruction of Classes 9 and 10 . However, there is a trend under the influence of SSW, for it to slowly lose some nasal onsets which have gone through a devoicing stage. Classes 9 a and 10a are formulated here to cater for these nouns that have recently lost their nasal onset.

## 6. 1. 1.3 The KiNgome reflexes of PSA *lu-

Guthrie reconstructed $C B * / d u-/$ for Class 11, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) have */lu-/ for PSA. KiNgome has a regular reflex $/ \mathrm{ru} / /$, representing Class 11 , and an allomorph $/ u-/$ is also present as a result of influences from SSW/Unguja. I place this recent $u$ - in sub-class 11a. It has not necessarily resulted from 1 -loss but I assumed to be borrowed
directly from SSW. The CB form *du- has not been preserved with a /d/ in our data and hence KiNgome wholly supports the PSA reconstruction of *lu-. The most contentious issue is whether Class 11 in KiNgome has really merged with Class 14 . We shall find the answer in the discussion of Class 14 below:

## 6. 1. 1. 4. The KiNgome reflexes of PSA *Wu-

There is a noteworthy case which involved the retention of the Class 14 CB *bu- in KiNgome; for instances bu-Nbwi (14[5]) 'sorcery' or bwimbui (14[5]) 'sweetened rice'. There has also been a parallel report of the development of a new class briefly marked as $11=14$, in SSW (see De Wolf 1971: 44 and Moxley 1995: 237). This is assumed to be a merger of classes 11 and 14 which resulted from their sharing identical prefixes in their nominal and agreement prefixes. De Wolf (ibid.) regards $/ \mathrm{u}-/$ of Class 11 for SSW as a 'merger of Classes 14 *bu- and $11^{*} d u-$ of CB. Hence they can freely be written as 14: 6 and 11: 6. KiNgome, along with Pokomo, Mijikenda, Ilwana, Mwani and Mwiini (Nurse p.c.) provides strong evidences that run counter to the general view on the merger of Classes 11 and 14 in Swahili. Schematically, I propose a tentative diachronic evolution of the present day forms of Classes 11 and 14 in KiNgome as follows:

|  | Class 11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CB | $*_{\text {du- }}$ |
| (PSA) | $* 1 u$ |
|  | ru- |
| surface | ru- and u- |

Class 14
*bu-
*(bu) Wu-
bu- , Wu
bu-s (r)u-

Class $11 / \mathrm{ru}-/$ in KiNgome is a reflex of PSA *lu- derived from CB*du-. There are no surviving cases of exactly the $C B$ *du- shape in KiNgome nor in Swahili dialects in general. I find most nouns with a prefix / u-/ in KiNgome are a result of external influences (mainly from SSW). Both $/ \mathrm{ru}-/$ and $/ \mathrm{u}-/$ take Class 10 as their plural. I have proposed that the prefix /ru-/ be regarded as the Class 11 and prefix $/ \mathrm{u}-/$ as the Class 11a prefix.

As far as Class 14 is concerned, there is one case of Class 14 retaining a direct reflex of $C B$ * bu- in KiNgome. The form *bu- shifted to ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Wu}-$ in

PSA but lost the $/ \mathrm{w} /$ as a result of the following / $\mathrm{u}-/$. Since KiNgome prefers to preserve a CV structure, there has been tendency to epenthesize $r$ - before the initial vowel-. This epenthetic $[r] u$ coincides with ru- of Class 11. The argument that Class 11 and Class 14 have identical nominal and verbal prefixes is not a licence to regard them as merged classes. Likewise, we are aware that Classes 15 and 17 share both nominal, adnominal and verbal prefixes, but still the suggestion that Classes $15=17$ has not gained popularity.

It has been too early to say that Classes 11 and 14 have lost their distinctiveness in modern Sabaki languages. Cross linguistic evidence gives evidence that differences between these Classes still obtain as noted in KiPokomo (Geider 1990: 441) where the original contrast between Classes 11 and Class 14 are still clearly present and traces of Class 14 *buand Class 11 *du- are still to be found in possessive forms, e.g.
lu-fange nde-angu

11 -machete 11 -mine
'The machete is mine'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { u-gonjo mbeangu } \\
& 14 \text {-illness } \quad 14 \text {-mine } \\
& \text { 'The illness is mine' }
\end{aligned}
$$

This distinction is also present in adjacent coastal ND (Nurse 1982), hence, I have distinguished Classes 11 and 14 on the basis of: association, evolution, the persistence of an inherited CB form *bu-, their distinct plurality and function.

### 6.1.2 Loss of PSA nominal prefixes in KiNgome

My corpus lacks PSA pre-prefix i- for Class 5 C - initial polymoraic stems as a reflex of the $C B$ *di- nominal prefix. In addition, there is completely absence of PSA Classes 12,13 and 19. Let us discuss these cases in turn:

### 6.1.2.1 Loss of preprefix PSA *i- in KiNgome

Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:187) reconstructed PSA i- for Class 5 Cinitial polymoraic stems as a reflex of the CB *di- nominal prefix. They also reconstructed PSA *iji- for monomoraic C-stems and V-stems. The reconstruction of PSA*i- tallies with what Meeussen (1967: 97-9)
reconstructed for the PB class system where we find $* ;$ - stands for the Class 5 nominal prefix. However, as far as Guthrie's reconstruction is concerned we have four common Bantu forms: CB*/yi-,yi-,di-di-/34 and an extra form *ji- which both appear as the Class 5 nominal prefix. If PSA *i- is derived from CB *di-then obviously PSA has gone through the drastic change in losing the initial consonant, which was probably *135 resulting in vowel-initial *i-. What brought about this change is tentatively suggested by Hinnebusch (1973: 50-5).

However, there is no clear explanation for the reflex of $C B * y!-$ as the Class 5 nominal prefix in PSA. Guthrie (vol. 4 1970: 221-222 C.S 2204a-d) linked $C B{ }^{*} d i-$ with G. $42 \mathrm{~d}^{\circ} \mathrm{fi}$ - and $C B^{*} y i-$ with G.42d $\varnothing$-zero morpheme (herein NoP). If G. 42 d has ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} i} \mathrm{i}$ then the PSA form ought to be *iji- and if G. 42 d has NoP then it must be derived from PSA by $\boldsymbol{*}_{j-}$ deletion. If that is true I find a clear connection between $C B * y i-$ and PSA $*_{i}$ - but there is remote linkage between CB *dit and PSA $*_{i}-$.

Evidence from Hinnebusch (1973: 55) shows that CB *y -is responsible for reflexes with $\mathrm{i}^{-}$in Bantu languages such as A.34, C22, D.14, E. 51, F.21, M.42, e.t.c. and $\varnothing$ or NoP for G.42d, N.21, D.28b, G.35, for consonant -initial noun stems. Likewise the evidence points towards $C B * d i-$ for the PSA reflex of *iji-

I have contributed to the discussions about Class 5 by suggesting that CB*yi- has developed into PSA *i- in C-stems and PSA*iji-for Vstems although Guthrie has not reconstructed a nominal prefix for V stems. The reflex of PSA *i-in KiNgome is NoP which derived from the loss of PSA *i-. I have also considered monomoraic stems (Mstems) under Class 5 . M-Stems are commonly found with a minimal CV shape, hence, there is a gap in PSA and KiNgome for M-/ Vowel-initial stems. Since Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 188-191) find it hard to come out with a uniform reconstructed prefix with M-stems, I have suggested in (328), under sub-class 5, that the plausible CB form could be *di- and consequently PSA form would have to be *(1)i-- The evidence for this hypothesis is suggested by data presented in Nurse and Hinnebusch

[^29](1993:188-189). Although they did not propose sub-class 5 or even consider CB form for $M$-stems as *di-, I have examined their presentation of all reflexes of M -stems and realise that there are basically five attested nominal prefixes: $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{if} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { f }}, \mathrm{fi}^{\mathrm{i}}$, mi - and di-, Tentatively, I suggest the following derivations:
(a) *di- >di- (di-bwe 'stone' in Kwere $y d z>f i \quad$ (fi-we 'stone') in SSW
(b) "di- $>$ di-t li-, ri- (ri-we 'stone'in KiMwani) $>\quad i-1$-loss (e.g. $\quad i$-we 'stone' in Giriama
$>\varnothing$ (e.g. bwe 'stone' in Comorian dialects

The above scenario suggests that there were two evolution involving the proto-form: *di-, retained as $d i-$ in some languages and innovated to $\mathrm{J}^{i-}$ in others. In other way, ${ }^{*} d i$ - is retained as $d i->$ and changed to $1 i-$ which subsequently attests 1 -weakening and then $\mathbf{i}$ - loss altogether. This is the reason why we think it is appropriate to have a separate subclass 5 .

The process of initial i-deletion of PSA Class 5 nouns in KiNgome is almost complete. Here are typical cases:
(338)

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *-káda | ikala | kara | (5) 'charcoal' |
| *-yuba | ijuWa | fu[w]a | (5) 'sun' |
| *-yino | ijino | jino | (5) 'tooth' |
| *-bú | ivu | f-ivu | (5) 'ash' |

Though KiNgome has lost the pre-prefix $i$ - in Class $5(a)$ nouns, I have noticed the occurrence of the uncommon $i$ - verb-stem- initial. These are:
(339)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text {-ikar-a } & \text { (V) 'sit' } & \text { PSA } \left.^{*}-i k a l,-C^{*}-i k a d-\right) \\
\text {-inuw-a } & \text { (V) 'drink' } & \text { PSA*-nyw }_{\text {-iry-a }}^{\text {-iry }} \\
\text { (V) 'eat' } & \text { PSA* }^{*}-1 y
\end{array}
$$

The cognate of -ikar-a (V) 'sit' appears in KiMwani as ikala (V) 'sit'.

Interestingly, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 525) have noted that some SD dialects attest verbs with vowel-initial stems in the form of -ipik-a 'cook', -iruk-a 'fly',-ikal-a 'sit'.

The $i$-initial verb stems were a common features in CB and PSA but the degree of retention differs among Sabaki members. Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 588-90) have shown that KiMwani leads in having a higher number of retention of $i$-initial verb stem than other Sabaki members, including KiNgome.

## 6. 1. 2. 2 Loss of PSA Classes 12, 13 and 19 in KiNgome

Classes 12, 13 and 19 *ka-, *tu- and *pi-cannot be ascertained from KiNgome data. PSA retains a reflex of $C B * \mathrm{ka}-$, but there is a loss of $\mathrm{CB}^{*} \mathrm{ta}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{in}$ PSA too. Classes 12 and 13 are diminutives in other languages, but role has been taken over by Classes 7 and 8 in KiNgome (and indeed also in SSW). The CB Class $19 * \mathrm{Pi}$ - (diminutives) is reported by Maho 1999: 199) to have a very restricted distribution in Bantu language ( It is found mainly in the rainforest area and largely occurs in singular forms only). Both PSA and KiNgome lack this Class.

### 6.1.3 KiNgome reflexes of PSA Set 2 prefixes

In addition to Set 1 prefixes, Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) reconstruct Set 2 noun class prefixes specifically for demonstratives, possessives, connectives, ordinal numerals, anaphoric pronouns, verbal SM and OM.
In $C B$ they were regarded as dependent prefixes :
(340)

| Class | CB | PSA | KiNgome | description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $*_{y u}$ | $*_{u u}$ | $y u$ | demonstrative |
| 1 |  | $*_{u}$ | $u$ | poss. and ordinal number |
| 3 | $*_{g u}$ | $*_{u}$ | $u$ | $"$ |
| 4,9 | $*_{y i}$ | $*_{i}$ | $i$ | $"$ |
| 5 | $*_{d i}$ | $*_{1 i}$ | $r i$ | $"$ |
| 6 | $*_{g a, y a}$ | $*_{(g) a}$ | $9 a, y \exists$ |  |
| 10 | $*_{d i}$ | $*_{z i-}$ | $z i$ |  |

There have been no marked changes from reconstructed PSA forms to those of KiNgome. KiNgome and PSA share identical forms that can be traced from $C B$. In other classes, Set 2 prefixes are identical to Set 1 .

Therefore KiNgome adnominal prefixes support the proposed PSA reconstruction. Let us now turn to the evolution of the verbal system.

## 6. 2 The development of the KiNgome verbal system

This section will trace the evolution of the modern KiNgome verbal system from PSA and where possible linking to its distant ancestor CB, for which Guthrie described a number of word-building elements (see Guthrie Vol. 1: 1967: 86-91). The quality of reconstruction for verbal inflection for $C B$ is significantly less solid than for phonology or nominal morphology. Although Meeusen 1967: 81-121 is comparatively richer in this regard, we intend to consistently stick to CB forms. The primary verb structure of the Bantu verbal word is fairly uniform; see the verb template in $\S 4.1$. The evolution of the various categories will be examined under the two subtopics: prefix and suffix verbal developments.

### 6.2.1 The prefixes verbal developments

This section on the development of the prefixes categories will cover: subject markers, negative and object marking, reflexive marking, TAM and relatives as illustrated in the comparison between CB, PSA and KiNgome presented in (341).

## 6. 2. 1. 1 KiNgome reflexes of PSA 's SM and OM

Although the majority of individual Sabaki members have seen drastic changes in their verbal systems from CB/PSA to modern forms, KiNgome has retained several basic constituent morphemes that have been earlier reconstructed in CB/PSA. The negative marking and reflexives have been retained as such (with slight phonetic changes). The CB/PSA primary negatives *nka- has developed into ha- (through
 that shape and position in KiNgome e.g. si-f-i 'I will not come'. Together with the primary negative in the prefix position, it is further marked by the suffix $-i$ as a reflex of CB/PSA *-i. The reflexive CB/PSA *ji- is as well retained as such in KiNgome in the form of $/ \mp \mathrm{j}-/$.
KiNgome has also retained subject and object marking morphemes (with certain phonetic changes and even the loss of consonants ) as obvious direct reflexes of CB/PSA forms. We present in (341) a comparison chart of SM and OM from CB, PSA to KiNgome. Apart from a general picture of retention, there has been few changes of prefix (and indeed suffix)
categories from CB／PSA to current KiNgome forms．In some items， representing affirmative SM ，our informant provided more than one forms．In such cases we have considered forms linked to PSA／CB as basic referents or regular reflexes．Let us see a comparison chart in（341a \＆b）． Chart（341 a）is for subject persons in Cl． 1.
The comparison of SM and OM in CB，PSA and KiNgome

| （341）（a） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | CB |  | PSA |  | KINGOME |  |
| Cl． 1 sg ． | SM | OM | SM | OM | SM | OM |
| 1 person 2 person 3 person | $\begin{aligned} & *_{n i-, n y-} \\ & *_{u-, k u-} \\ & *_{a-, k a-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & *_{n i-} \mathrm{i}, n y- \\ & *_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{u}- \\ & *_{\mathrm{mu}}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & *_{\mathrm{ni}}- \\ & *_{u-}, *_{k u} \\ & *_{a-}, *_{k a} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} *_{-n i} \\ *-k u \\ *-m u \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} n i-\sim n i i- \\ u-, k u-, \\ a-, k a-, \\ k i ? \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & -n i \sim-n i i \\ & -k u \\ & -m u \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Cl． 2 pl ． <br> 1 person <br> 2 person <br> 3 person | ＊tu－ <br> ＊mu－ <br> ＊baー | $\begin{aligned} & *_{\mathrm{tu}}- \\ & *_{\mathrm{mu}}- \\ & *_{\mathrm{ba}}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{*} \mathrm{tu}- \\ & *_{\mathrm{mu}}- \\ & { }^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{Wa-} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & *_{t u-} \\ & *_{m u-} \\ & *_{s}- \end{aligned}\right.$ | tu－，ki？ <br> mu－， $\mathrm{N}-$ <br> （1コー | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tu- } \\ & \mathrm{N}- \\ & \mathbf{w a} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | ＊gu－＊yu－ | ＊gu－ | ＊（g）$u$－ | ＊（g）${ }^{\text {（ }}$ | － | u－ |
| 4 | ＊gi－＊${ }^{\text {a }}$ i－ | $*_{y i}$ | ＊i－ | ＊i－ | i－ | i－ |
| 5 | ＊di－ | ＊di－ | ＊ 1 i － | ＊1i－ | ri－ | ri－ |
| 6 | ＊ga－，ya－ | ＊ga－，ya－ | ＊（g）a－ | ＊（g）${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ga－，ya－ | ga－，ya－ |
| 7 | ＊ k i－ | ＊ki | ＊ki－ | ＊くi－ | ki－～̧̆i－ | ki－ |
| 8 | ＊bi－ | ＊bi－ | ＊ vi－$^{\text {a }}$ | ＊${ }_{\text {i }}$ | vi－ | vi－ |
| 9 | ＊yi－ | ＊ | ＊i－ | ＊i－ | i－ | i－ |
| 10 | ＊di－ | ＊di－oyi | ＊zi－ | ＊ェi－ | zi－ | zi－ |
| 11 | ＊du－ | ＊du－ | ＊1u－ | ＊1u－ | （r）w－ | （r）$u$－ |
| 12 | ＊kaー | ＊ka－ | ＊${ }_{\text {ka }}$ | ＊ka－ | － | － |
| 13 | ＊tu－ | ＊tu－ | － | － | － | － |
| 14 | ＊bu－ | ＊bu－ | ＊W u－ | ＊W ${ }^{\text {d－}}$ | ［r］${ }_{\text {d }}$ | ［r］u－， |
| 15 | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ku－ | ku－ |
| 16 | ＊Fa－ | $*_{\text {Pa－}}$ | ＊Pa－ | $*_{\text {Pa－}}$ | Paー | Paー |
| 17 | ＊とい | ＊ku－ | ＊ku－ | ＊Ru－ | ku－ | ku－ |
| 18 | ＊mu－ | ＊mu－ | ＊mu－ | ＊mu－ | mu－ | mu－ |
| 19 | ＊pi－ |  | － | － | － | － |

As can be seen in（ $341 \mathrm{a} \& b)$ ），the majority of SM and OM in CB／PSA have
been retained unchanged in KiNgome. However, apart from regular trend, some areas seems to have employ other additional forms. Examination of KiNgome reflexes of PSA 1SM*ni-, 2 SM *u- and *kushow that both $*_{u-}$ and $*_{k u}$ stand for CB/PSA 2 sgSM . They are in complementary distribution similar to the case of $*_{a-}$ and $*_{k a-}$ in 3rd sg. $*_{u}$ and $*_{a-}$ occur with all other tenses.
(342)
(a) u-na-bwir-a mpepeta 2sgSM-PRST-eat--FV 3-beaten rice 'You are eating beaten rice'
(b) ku-Ø-rwar-a nini weye ? 2sgSM-ANT-fall sick-FV why you 'What are your stuffering?
In addition to these regular forms, we have heard KiNgome speakers use $\mathrm{ki}-$ in the following manner.
(a) ki-Ø-ј-a rini weye?

2sgSM-PAST-come-FV when you 'When did you came?
(b) ki- $\varnothing$-j-a rero
$1 \mathrm{sgSM}-A N T-c o m e-F V$ today
I have come / come today
(c) ki-Ø-wa-on-a Kidakuri

1p1SM-ANT-3plOM-see-FV Kidakuri
'We saw them at Kidakuri.
ki - is here used for $1 \mathrm{sgSM}, 1 \mathrm{plSM}$ and 2 sgSM (see also (162) for synchronic illustration). However we noted in (341a) that PSA has *kiand ${ }^{*_{t i}-}$ (Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 366) but failed to appear in CB. Reflexes of PSA*ti- occur only in Comorian, Mwani, Nosse Be Swahili, ChiFundi, and bits of G30 (Kami, etc.) (Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 366). We cannot directly link ki-form to KiNgome. As we have argued in chapter 4 , this may be considered as a borrowed case from P-languages or reanalysis of the situative $k i-$,

KiNgome reflexes of CB/PSA 3 sg person ${ }^{*}$ ョ- and ${ }^{*} k a-$, have been retained unchanged in KiNgome.
(a) a-naーrim-a

3sgSM-PROG-see-FV
'S/he is cultivating'
(b)
$\mathrm{k} \rightarrow-\varnothing-\mathrm{fu}$
3sgSM-ANT-die
'S/he died' (cf. KiVumba in Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 366)
We have dealt with the use of $\exists-$ and $k \exists-$ in chapter 4.

The most striking case in (341) is seen in the retention of Class 6 agreements in KiNgome. The variation between $9 \exists-$ or $y \exists-$ reflects PSA *(g)a. The prefix ga- is a typical feature of the speech of KiNgome south variety (KGS) in the Mrali and Jimbo areas, whilst yacharacterises the speech of KiNgome north variety (KGN) as spoken in Jojo, Bweni and Kanga villages. No other Swahili dialects attest gョ- as an agreement prefix for Class 6 .
(345)

| KGS | KGN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $g a-N k h_{0}$ | $y a-k o$ | 'yours' |
| $g a-N t h_{e}$ | $y a-N t h_{e}$ | 'all' |
| $g a-N g u$ ga | $y a-N g a y a$ | 'these mine' |
| $g a-w a-p o$ | $y a-w a-p o$ | 'they are there' |
| $g a-f a r-a$ | $y a-f a r-a$ | 'fill up' |
| ga-mweg-e-ni | ya-mweg-e-ni | 'spill them' |

Some speakers in Kanga have been heard alternating ga $\sim y a$ in their speech. I treat $g \exists-$ and $y \nexists-$ as allomorphs of the same morpheme but at the same time these forms underline a primary division between the varieties of KGS and KGN. There is a probability that ga- entered into KiNgome via KiMwera during the early migration of the Bantu to the island. This assumption consolidates the link between KiMwera and the ancestors of the modern-day WaNgome as speculated in the traditions of the Wangome. KiMwera (see Harris 1950: 45) attests ga- as illustrated below:
(346)
(a) a-go ga-ngali ma-lowe ga-mbone Dem-6 6-be still not 6-word 6-good ' Those are not good words'

Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 345) reconstructed the Class agreement * (g) a for PNEC based on Mijikenda and Kaguru only. KiNgome, as a Sabaki member, is here providing further evidence of $9 \exists-$ along side $y \exists-$
form.

## 6. 2. 1.2 The development of TA system in KiNgome

This subsection traces the evolution of the modern-day TA system of KiNgome in relation to reconstructed PSA and CB forms. All cases of TA in KiNgome involve the pre-existing materials that are found in PSA/CB. There are instances where PSA/CB formatives are directly inherited to the contemporary KiNgome forms with slightly changes in their original shape and range of meanings. In other instances, we see the older materials (verbs) are grammaticalized and assuming TA roles. By and large, the diachronic origins of these developments are still transparent and they reveal that KiNgome is a typical SD-subgroup. However, this is not say that we cannot talk of innovation in modern-day TA forms in KiNgome, there exist alteration, loss of original forms and extension and narrowing of meanings. In general, we can talk of both retention and innovation of TA forms in KiNgome. I present simplified charts in (347 $\mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b})$ to display evidence of the TA evolution in three stages of its evolution: modern KiNgome, PSA as well as CB.
(a) TA comparison chart

| CB | PSA | Kingome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-á- 'far) past' | *-奇+1i '(far) past' | ri- '(far) past' |
| * $\varnothing$ - '(near) past' | ${ }^{*} \varnothing$-(near) 'past' | Ø...(VC) (near)past / anterior |
| * $\begin{aligned} & \text { - (past, future, }\end{aligned}$ repetitive, progressive') | **- (non-past) | a- 'present' |
| *naー.:-a 'aspect of progress, future' | *na- 'imperfective' | na- 'progressive |
| *ka- 'past' | *ka- 'narrative' | ka-'narrative' |
| ? | *кi- 'continuos' | ki- 'situative' |
| $\left(*_{a}-(d e),\left(* \varnothing-\{d e)^{\prime}\right.\right.$ <br> 'aspect of completion', past' | *-Ø-..-- l le 'anterior' *- $\varnothing$-...(VC) 'anterior' | $\varnothing$-...(VC) 'anterior/a near past' |
| *a-... -aga 'past aspect of progress, repetition' | *-ag- 'habitual' | -ag- 'habitual' |
| *ti-, oi- 'negative' | *si-'negative' | si- 'negative' |

As can be seen in (347a), the bulk of PSA/CB formatives are preserved in modern KiNgome. This may be regarded as direct inheritance of forms (with slight changes in the form and meaning). Other TA were formed through a common process of grammaticalization.
(b) Grammaticalization chart

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *yij - 'come' | *-ija 'come' | 于a- 'NEG anterior' |
| *-oak- 'want' | *oa(ka)- 'want' | ta 'future' |
| *ngà 'if' | *ngà- 'like, if' | Nga- 'conditional' |
| *-ti-? |  | ডı- 'completive' |
| *di 'be' | *-1i- 'be' | ri- afar past |
| *-gid 'finish' | ${ }^{*}-\frac{i}{2}$ le ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'finish' |  |
| * nà | *na 'have' |  |
| *m引d- 'finish' | *-mala 'finish' |  |

Similarly, we noticed in (347b), that KiNgome adds numerous TA forms through grammaticalization of certain CB/PSA verbs elements. This is an instance of unidirectional grammaticalization where a source verbal element PSA/CB develops into a morphological marker in KiNgome and acquires a grammatical meaning that indicate temporal and aspectual distinctions. This sizeable number of TA formatives that has been added through grammaticalization are not confined to KiNgome alone, it is a sort of drift that cut across the Swahili spectrum (see Givon 1995: 198; Marten 1999: 141-142 and Heine and Kuteva 2002: 79, 83, 134).

In general, KiNgome TA reflexes provide evidence in support of both CB and PSA reconstruction of TA formatives. However, despite the general conformity between these stages, some noteworthy differences arise as well. it is appropriate to discuss them in two ways: retention and innovation of original forms.

### 6.2.1.2.1 Retention of TA formatives

All TA formatives that characterise KiNgome are either linked to formatives or verb sources of PSA/CB as evidenced in ( $347 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ). The following forms share identical shape with their closer ancestor of PSA: a-present, na- progressive, ka- narrative, ki- situative ,-Ø...(VC)
'anterior/near past', -ag habitual and si-negative. The ri- 'past' is a reduced form of PSA *-áali which is the composite form of the old *-á and auxiliary 'be' form *li-. The composite form $-a+1 i$ is only found in KiTikuu (see Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993: 367).
n-э-1i-rudi 'I went home'

While KiVumba and KiPemba attests $a-$ and e- 'past' respectively (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993:389), the majority including KiNgome attest the grammaticalized *1i- form that is realised as li- or ri- formative for past reference.

Although the habitual -ag still survives in contemporary KiNgome, its decline in usage across the board is also apparent, Only KiNgome and some few Sabaki members such as KiMakunduchi, KiChifundi and KiPokomo (see details in Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993: 523) still show traces of *-aga.
ri-ta-ku-rum-ag-a 'it will bite you (in all occasion)' (KiNgome)

The presence of $\varnothing$...(VC) formative in present-day KiNgome supports the reconstruction of the same formative in PSA This formative underwent semantic extension by merging both past and anterior references.
(a) wa-Ø-јеNg-ョ ратоғョ 3plSM-ANT-build-FV together 'They have built/built together'
(b) ka-Ø-ri-pik-i nani?

3sg-ANT-3OM-cook-VC who
'Who has baked/baked it'

KiNgome shares identical form and meaning of $\mathrm{a}^{-}$(as reflex of PSA *-
a) to refer to the present tense.
(a) mw-a-riNga=ni?

2p1SM-PRST-see=CL
'What are you watching?
Closer to this, is the one-form-one-meaning development in KiNgome:
a-na-rima
3sg-PROG-cultivate-FV
'S/he is cultivating'

Here na- progressive is derived from PSA *na- imperfective which together with $C B$ form entail the feature of one-form-several meanings.

Not all TA features are attested in KiNgome. We ca not say for certain that lack of the reconstructed forms like *- $\varnothing$-...- $\boldsymbol{i}$ le 'anterior' is due to loss. This is the principal TA form that differentiate non-Unguja SD with ND. The anterior -ile suffix, VC suffix and -i positive suffix have specific, and almost mutually exclusive geographical distributions across Bantu. In general they do not co-occur, the few places they co-occur are along the borders between the three areas. So for example VC suffix and -ile co-occur in a very few languages in zones K and L . NEC languages have reflexes of $* / \varnothing$-...-ile/ but no $* / \varnothing$-...VC/.SD and Comorian have only the */ $\varnothing$-...(VC)/ and no */ $/ \varnothing$ - ..ile. Both */ $/$-..-ile and */Ø...(VC)/ suffixes have been reconstructed for PSA. The */ $\varnothing$ - ...(VC)/ pattern is found among SD and Comorian while */Ø- ..jple/pattern attested in ND. (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993:391 for more discussion). This is an example of an areal feature that characterise SD from ND. Let see instances of changes that has involved TA in KiNgome.

### 6.2.1.2.2 TA innovation

The category of TA in the verbal system of KiNgome has undergone several changes. There has been change in the shape of morphs: an obvious innovations as induced by sound change is the loss of tone distinctions as hypothesised for CB/PSA. Tone no longer plays any role in tense formation in KiNgome and has since been replaced by a penultimate stress.

The creation of new markers also represents a change. KiNgome joins other Swahili dialects in realising a relatively common process of grammaticalization. Data in (347b) exhibits this process where ta- 'future' and ja- negative are simply reduction of *oa(ka)- 'want' (here ND *c $>\mathrm{SD}^{*} \mathrm{t}$,) and ${ }^{*}-j, j$ a come respectively. A change of category content (but identical forms) is witnessed in the grammaticalization of $r i-$ past $<$ *li- 'be'; Nga- 'conditional < *ngə- 'as if'; sa 'completive' < *is̆ya 'finish'. There is no attestation of grammaticalized form of $*_{\text {-mala }}$ 'finish' or *-i, le 'finish' in KiNgome.

There has been a semantic extension of */ $\varnothing$ - ...(VC)/ 'anterior' to cover an affirmative (near) past. There is also the use of the */Ø- ...(VC)/ as a 'negative non past'. More attestation of */Ø- ...(VC)/ are reported in

KiMakunduchi and KiTumbatu（see Maganga（1990：219－21）．KiNgome also joins other non－Unguja SD and Comoro to attest an interesting and almost untapped cases of agreement between certain TA formatives and their exclusive set of subject persons．This has been dealt in § 4．4．

## 6．2． 2 The development of suffix verbal Morphology

The suffix morphology covers extensions and final vowel morphemes and the imperative plural．KiNgome has inherited its extension（s）which are valence－changing categories such as applicative，stative，reciprocal， reversive，and passive from CB／PSA．The final slot is occupied by vowels that expresses negation，subjunctive，and tense in the case of ag－．The ag－form covers a marker of imperative plural．

## 6．2．2．1 Verbal extension

The contemporary KiNgome formatives for extension morphemes are directly linked to the proto－PSA and indeed CB forms．Almost all older forms have been preserved．Here we may talk of transparent diachronic sources．The comparison chart is presented in（354）：
（353）

|  | CB | PSA | KiNgome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reciprocal | ＊－aп | ＊－ョп | － －$^{\text {an }}$ |
| causative | ＊－i | ＊－y | －y |
| passive | ＊－4 | ＊－w | － |
| stative（potentive） | ＊－ik | ＊－ik（－ek） | －ik（－ek） |
| applicative | ＊－id | ＊－il（－el） | －ir（－er） |
| reversive | ＊－ud， | －ul－（－ol） | －ur（－or） |
| repetitive | ＊－ang | ＊－a $n)_{g}$ | －ag |
| contactive | ＊－ョt | ＊－at | －at |
| static（positional） | ＊－am | ＊－ョп |  |
| inceptive | ＊－p | ＊－P | －P |

All KiNgome extension morphemes can be traced directly from the hypothesised CB／PSA morphemes as discussed in Chapter 3.

### 6.2.3 Development of pronominal forms in KiNgome

The pronominal forms in KiNgome have direct link from its ancestors (PSA/CB). However, tone system that characterised CB is no longer present in PSA and KiNgome pronominal forms. KiNgome differs from SSW but aligned with KiPemba in displaying the inherited particle plus -ye suffix except in wao 'they'. SSW reduplicate the original forms with $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{V}$ shape. KiNgome mainly selects ye suffix. This suffix has no particular meaning. I suspect it is the case of reanalysis and it may have been employed to fulfil a minimal word requirements i.e. bimoraicity. The following is the pronominal chart revealing the path through which the modern KiNgome pronominal forms have passed:

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *mí | *mi | mi-ye | 'I' |
| *-bé | *We | we-ye | 'you' |
| *-yé | *ye | ye-ye | 'he/she' |
| *-oúi | *swi | si-ye | 'we' |
| *nyúi | *nywe | nyi-ye | 'you (pl)' |
| *bó | *Wo | wao | 'them' |

Just like SM and OM, bound pronominal forms in KiNgome can be traced back to earlier PSA/CB forms. Notice how KiNgome use -ye in almost all cases except in wao 'them'. SSW has -ye ${ }^{36}$ suffix in ye-ye 'he/she' as reduplicate case and not a case of reanalysis. Probably WaNgome first adopted ye-ye 'he/she' then reanalyse every other case with -ye suffix (except in wao 'them'). Interestingly, the choice of either reduplicate form or reanalysis of $-y$ e do no bring change in meaning. In this case we have different forms but one meaning. I believe crosslinguistic data can help to throw more light on developments of pronominal forms in Bantu languages.

[^30]6.2.4 Development of the possessive system
(355)

| CB | PSA | KiNgome |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *-ngú | *-angu | - эngu | 'mine' |
| *-kú | *-3kwe | -ake | 'his, her' |
| *-syítúd | *-3itu | -etu | 'our' |
| *-ayińu | *-ainu | -enu | 'your (pl)' |
| *-akó | *-ako | -ako | 'your (sg)' |
| *-966 | *-3W0 | -30 | 'their' |

The PSA and CB possessive forms are carried over to the present-day KiNgome possessive system with some slight phonological changes such as loss of tone or glide loss.

### 6.3 Summary

This chapter has focused on the evolution of the striking nominal and verbal systems of the reconstructed CB/PSA against the modern-day KiNgome forms. On the whole, it may be said that the proposal of CB and PSA noun class systems and verb systems do not differ much from the modern reflexes in KiNgome. However, I have proposed some refinement in areas I found CB/PSA have not been clear i.e. the probable source of Class 5 and 5 or Traces of CB gender *bu and *Wu for Class 14. In general the KiNgome data has shown regular and predictable morphological changes as occurred in the histories of Sabaki languages.

## Conclusions

This thesis has had two interrelated aims. The first was to offer a comprehensive description of the phonology and morphology of the undocumented Swahili dialect of KiNgome. The study was prompted by the desire to understand the basic phonological and morphological features of KiNgome so as to lay a foundation for more research in Swahili dialects and in Sabaki in general. Second, was the examination of the diachronic development of present-day KiNgome, in relation to the reconstructed proto-Sabaki of Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) and to Common Bantu forms as proposed by Guthrie (1967-71).

This study of Mafia Swahili (G43d) has concentrated on a single variety of KiNgome which is spoken in the northern tip of the main Mafia Island. With regard to my first objective, I have attempted to describe areas of the grammar that struck me as being particularly distinct for distinguishing the dialect of KiNgome from the rest of Swahili dialects and from Sabaki in general. The results indicate that KiNgome exhibits several shared phonological and morphological traits with several ruralSD. As new data come to light, we have established in this study that rural SD are closely related to one another and form a distinct subgroup within Sabaki family.

As for the development of present-day KiNgome, the general picture has shown that the various modern forms have links with earlier proto -forms as reconstructed for CB and PSA. The KiNgome dialect reveals itself as a conservative dialect by retaining the bulk of the proposed forms. By undertaking the detailed examination of KiNgome, this thesis provides a case study of an isolated Sabaki member whose intermediate features are crucial for tracing the history of the proto-forms and the various reflexes found in synchronic forms of the present day Sabaki members.

In general, synchronic KiNgome data has provided a strong support for PSA reconstruction by Nurse and Hinnebusch(1993). Linguistic evidences produced in this thesis establish convincingly that KiNgome is undoubtedly a rural SD. It is a timely addition to the inventory of references relevant to the structure, history and dialectology of Swahili and Sabaki in general.
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Appendix A：Table 1：Makunduchi

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ／na－／ <br> ＇present＇ | （a）厄ukua u－na－bo－8ak－a ＇take 2sg－PRST－REL－want－FV ＇Take anything you want＇ （Whiteley 1959：64） | （a）ama ku－na－kuf－a fwa！ If 2 sg－PROG－die－FV dies ＇If you are dying die＇ （Chum 1994：10） <br> （b）ka－na－Ngi－a 3sg－PROG－enter－FV ＇ $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{he}$ is entering＇ （Sengo 1994：32） |
| ／me－，ma－， $\varnothing \ldots$（VC）／ <br> ＇Anterior＇ |  | （a）ku－me－iNb－a 2SG－ANT－sing－a ＇You have sung＇ （Maganga 1990：228－9） <br> （b）Ke－me－1aw－a 3S－ANT－come－FV ＇S／he has come＇ （Chum 1994：11） <br> （c）$k u-\varnothing-u N d-u$ 2S－ANT－make－VC ＇You have made （Whiteley 1959：65） |
| ／（me）ミ̌aー，ma－／ <br> ＇Completive＇ |  | （a）ke－mess－i－bwes－a <br> 3sg－COMP－OM－torn－a <br> ＇S／he has torn it＇ <br> （Chum 1994：10） <br> （b）ku－̌̆e－čoge－ョ kulyョ？ 2sg－COMP－push－over here ＇Have you finished pushing over here＇（Whiteley 1959：59） |
| $/ \mathrm{li}-, \mathrm{e}, ~ \varnothing . . .(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> ＇Past＇ | （a） $\begin{gathered}\text {－} \varnothing \text {－vyo－kwis－a }\end{gathered}$ 3sg－PST－REL－finish－FV ＂When he finished＇ （Whiteley 1959：204） | （a）ku－Ø－sumk－ョ <br> 3sg－PST－sell－FV <br> ＇You ran＇（past） <br> （Maganga 1990：228－9） <br>  <br> 3sg－PST－sell－FV <br> ＇S／he sold＇（past） <br> （Maganga 1990：228－9） |
|  <br> ＇Future＇ |  | （a）ka－どョ－vat－a <br> 3sg－FUT－get ＇S／he will get （Maganga 1990：228－9） |
| ／Nga－，Nge／ <br> ＇Conditional＇ | （a）u－Nge－m－on－a 2sg－COND－2OM－see－FV ＇You would have seen＇ （Whiteley 1959：61） | X |
| ／ki－，ka－／ <br> ＇Situative＇ | （a）u－ka－fik－a kuésmona 2sg－STT－arrive－FV <br> ＇If you arrive you will find him＇ （Whiteley 1959：60） | X |

Table 2：Tumbatu

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na-, a-/ <br> ＇present＇ |  | （a）ku－na－zi－uz－a ？ 2SG－PROG－OM－sell－FV <br> ＇Are you selling it？＇ （ $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{H}$ 1993：408） <br> （a）kw－ヨ－lamuk－a 2sg－PRST－wake up－FV ＇You wake up＇ Maganga（1990：160） |
| ／na－，a－，me－，ma－ Ø．．．（VC） <br> ＇Anterior＇ | ？ | ？ |
| ／（me） <br> ＇Completive＇ |  | （a）$k u-$－゙ョ－kwiNba 2sg－COMP－to sing－FV ＇You have sung＇ （Maganga 1990：155） <br> （b）ke－5̌a－kweNbilwa 3sg－COMP－to tell－FV ＇S／he has been told＇ （Maganga 1990：190） |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, Ø...(VC) } / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | （a） $\mathrm{k}-\boldsymbol{\varnothing}-\mathrm{og}-\mathrm{o}$ <br> 2sg－PST－bath－VC <br> ＇You bathed＇ <br> （Maganga 1990：160） <br> （b）kw－Ø－－aNbil－i watu 3sg－PST－tell－VC people ＇S／he told people＇ （Maganga ibid：160） |
| ／ta－，©a，Nda－／ <br> ＇Future＇ |  | x |
| ／Nga－，Nge／ <br> ＇Conditional＇ |  | x |
| ／ki－，ka－／ ＇Situative＇ |  | x |

Table 3: Nungwi

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na-/ <br> 'present' | X | (a) ku-na-kweNd-a ku-m-on-a 2SG-PROG-go-FV to see him 'You are going to see him' (Riedel 2002:28) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /me-, ma-/ } \\ & \text { 'Anterior' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) u-ma-jafyagiy-a? 2sg-ANT-sweep-FV 'Have you swept? (Riedel 2002: 19) | (b ka-ma-vay-a kaNga 3sg-ANT-dress-FV 'S/he is wearing a kanga' (Riedel 2002: 19) |
| /(me) <br> 'Completive' | (a) tu-ma-kuly-a? <br> 2sg-ma- eat-FV <br> 'Have you eaten? <br> (Riedel 2002: 22) | (a)ku-Ya-kuly-a 2s-Compl-eat-FV 'Have you eaten? (Riedel 2002: 28) |
| $\begin{aligned} & / \mathrm{li}-, \mathrm{e}-, \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) a- $\varnothing$-po-fik-a 3sg-PST-REL-arrive-FV 'When s/he arrived' (Riedel 2002: 17) | (a) $\mathrm{ka}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{a}$ 3sg-PST-come-FV 'S/he came' (Riedel 2002: 28) <br> (b) ke- $\varnothing$-Nd-e 3sg-PST-go-VC 'S/he went' (Riedel 2002: 16) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /ta-, ca-, Nda-/ } \\ & \text { 'Future' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) a-ta-ye-క̌a-rudi <br> 3sg-FUT-REL-COMP-return 'Each person who will have returned (Riedel 2002: 28) | (a)ka-ta-ye-fa uka veye 3s-Fut-Rel-come-FV ' $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{he}$ is the one who will leave' (Riedel 2002: 27) <br> (b)ka-ta-som-a 3s-Fut-read-FV 'S/he will read' (Riedel 2002: 26) <br> (c)ka-Nda-fika 3sg-Fut-arrive-FV 'S/he is going to arrive' (Riedel 2002: 28) <br> (d)ku-Nda-mon-a 3sg-Fut-arrive-FV 'You are going to see him' (Riedel 2002: 28) |
| / Nga(li)-, Nge(li)/ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Ngali a-ka-som-a 3sg-COND-3sg-ka-read-FV 'I was still reading' (Riedel 2002: 25) | X |
| / /ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' | (a) a-ka-fa ka-ta-kuka vitu 3sg-SIT-come 3sg-FUT-give foog 'If $s$ / he come shewill give gou food' (Riedel 2002: 25) | x |

Table 4：Pemba

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na(ku)-, a-/ <br> ＇simple present＇ | （a） $\mathfrak{w}-a-3 N k-a$ <br> 2sg－PRS－wake up－FV <br> ＇You wake up＇ <br> （Maganga 1990：112） | X |
| ／na－／ <br> ＇Anterior＇ |  | （a）ka－na－uk－a 3sg－ANT－return－FV ＇S／he has returned＇ （N\＆H 1993：420） |
| $/$（me） <br> ＇Completive＇ | ？ | ？ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /lii-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots \text { (VC)/ } \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | （a）w－e－aNk－a <br> 2sg－PST－wake up－FV <br> ＇You woke up＇ <br> Maganga（1990：112） | （a）ku－Ø－pik－i leo 2sg－PST－cook－VC today Did you cook today？ （Whiteley 1959：15） <br> （b）kw－$\varnothing$－eNd－e jana？ 2sg－PST－go－VC ＇Did you go today？＇ （Whiteley 1959：15） |
| ／ta－，とัョ－，Nda－／ <br> ＇Future＇ | （a）aーta－kweNd－a <br> 3 sg －FUT－go <br> ＇S／he will go＇ <br> （Whiteley 1959：15） | x |
| ／Nga－，Nge／ <br> ＇Conditional＇ |  | X |
| ／ki－，ka－／ <br> ＇Situative＇ |  | X |

Table 5: Vumba

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na-/ <br> 'Anterior' | X | (a) ku-na-fyom-a 2sg-ANT-read-FV 'You have read ' (N\&H 1993: 422) <br> (b) ka-na-kufw-a 3sg-ANT-die-FV 'S/he has died' ( $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{H}$ 1993: 376) |
| $1 a-1$ <br> 'Immed. Anterior' |  | (a) kw-a-kubw-a 2sg-Imm. ANT-fall-FV 'You have just fallen' (N\&H 1993: 422) <br> (b) $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{a})$-a-fik-a 3sg-Imm. ANT-arrive-FV 'S/he has just arrived' ( $\mathrm{N} \& H$ 1993: 366) |
| /(me) <br> 'Completive' |  | (a) kwa-Ě3-iNjik-a 2sg-COMP-write-a 'You have written' (Lambert 1953: 30) <br> (b) ka-ミ̌a-kufa 3sg-COMP-arrive-a 'S/he has arrived' (Lambert 1953: 30) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots . .(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) u-ø.-vivi <br> 2sg-PST-be-how <br> 'What sort of person are you?' <br> (Lambert 1953:30) <br> (b) a-li-vo-oNdok-a... <br> 3sg-PST-REL-go-FV <br> 'As s/he went .. <br> (Lambert 1953: 38) | (a) ka- $\varnothing$-fu 3sg-PST-die 'S/he died' (N\&H 1993:366) <br> (b) ka-Ø-wa-wiNd-i 3sg-PST-win-VC 'S/ he won them' (Whiteleys 1953: 35) <br> (c) ku-Ø-rer-e 2sg-PST-bring-CV 'You brought' (Lambert 1953: 18) |
| / ta-, 厄̌a <br> 'Future' | (a) $u-{ }^{-} a-f u N g-a$ 2sg-FUT-tie-FV You will tie, will be tying' (N\&H 1993: 422) | X |
| / Nga-, Nge/ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Nga-wa-je ... 3sg-COND-be-how 'Whatever he is (Lambert 1953:33) | X |
| /ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' | (a) kavu a-ka-rwaNga 'S/he used to clean grain' ( $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{H}$ 1993: 420) | X |

Table 6: Mtang'ata

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { / } \varnothing, \text { a-, na-/ }$ <br> 'present' | (a) a-Ø-aw-a <br> 3sg-PRST-go-FV <br> 'She is going' <br> (Temu 1980:27 <br> (b) a-Ø-geuk-a 3sg-PRST-turn-a 'She is turning' (Temu 1980: 23) <br> (c) u-na-ko-ka-a 2sg-PROG-LOC-stay-FV 'Where you stay' <br> (Shihabudin \& Mnyampala 1977: 34) | X |
| /na-/ <br> 'Anterior' | X | (a) ku-na-ya-bun-u 2sg-ANT-6OM-create-VC 'You have created them' Temu (1980: 20) <br> (b) ka-na-bak-a 3sg-ANT-give out-FV 'She has given out' Temu 1980:23) |
| / $\varnothing$...(VC)/ <br> 'Anterior' | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ku- } \varnothing \text {-on-o } \\ & \text { you-ANT-see-VC } \end{aligned}$ <br> 'You have seen ' (Shihabudin\&Mnyampala 1977: 34) |
| $/$ (me) そ̌a-, ma-/ 'Completive' | ? | k-eši-pika kande 3sg-COMPL-cook food '(She) has finished cooking the food' (Polome 1967: 23) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{li}-\mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{kuj} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{a}$ <br> 3sg-PST-REL-come-APPL-FV <br> That which you came for' <br> Temu 1980: 26 |  |
| /ta-, ca- , Nda-/ <br> 'Future ${ }^{\prime}$ | (a) a-ta-twig-i=-w-a <br> 3sg-FUT-pull-CAUS-PASS-FV <br> 'She will be pulled' <br> (Temu 1980: 26) | X |
| / Nga., Nge/ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Nge-ni-p-a 3sg-COND-10M-FV <br> ' $\mathrm{S} /$ he could have given me ' (Temu 1980: 17) | X |
| / ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' | (a) u-ka-pika 2sg-STT-cook-FV 'If you cook' (N\&H 1993:420) | X |

Table 7：Ngome

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na(ku)-, a-/ <br> ＇present＇ | （a）u－na－rim－a faya 2sg－PROG－cultivate－FV faya Are you cultivating faya？ | （a）ko－$\varnothing$－ki－tak－a kiswahili kyaNgu 2sg－Ø－7OM－want－FV 7－Swahili my ＇Do you want my Swahili？ |
| $/ \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> ＇Anterior＇ | X | （a） $\mathrm{ku}-\varnothing-$－mw－on－o 2sg－ANT－2OM－see－VC ＇Have you seen him？＇ <br> （b）ka－Ø－－faNz－a－fe－ko 3sg－ANT－do－FV－how－17－Loc ＇What has s／he done there？＇ |
| $/(\mathrm{me}) \div \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{ma}-/$ <br> ＇Completive＇ | （a）a－Øぎョ－rim－a 3sg－COMP－cultivate－FV ＇S／he has already cultivated＇ | （a）ku－$\varnothing$ צ̇a－rim－a weye？ <br> 2sg－COMP－cultivate you ＇Have you cultivated already ？＇ <br> （b）ka－$\varnothing$ צa－guNdumk－a ？ 3sg－COMP－wake up－FV ＇Has she woken up already？＇ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots \text {..VC)/ } \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | （a）a－ri－rim－a 3sg－PST－cultivate－FV ＇S／he cultivated＇ | （a）ku－Ø－zarik－a－fe？ <br> 2sg－PST－be born－ST－FV how <br> ＇How were you born？ <br> （b）ka－Ø－－pit－i pa 3sg－PST－pass－VC here ＇S／he passed here＇ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /ta-, ca- , Nda-/ } \\ & \text { 'Future' } \end{aligned}$ | （a）a－ta－rim－a 3sg－PST－cultivate－FV ＇S／he cultivated＇ | X |
| ／Nga－，Nge／ <br> ＇Conditional＇ | （a）a－Nga－rim－ヨ 3sg－COND－cultivate－FV ＇S／he could have cultivated＇ | x |
| ／ki，ka－／ <br> ＇Situative＇ | （a） $3-k i-r i m-a$ 3sg－SIT－cultivate－FV ＇If $s /$ he cultivates＇ | x |

## APPENDIX B : KiNgome Texts.

This section contains three texts. They are intended to give extended samples of the dialect. Texts A and B were narrated by Ahmed Mwatanda of Kanga village. Text A describes a typical KiNgome marriage ritual. Some of the customs narrated here have begun to change but the words are still known to the majority of people. Text B is a narrative concerning WaNgome mythology. There is a belief and indeed a practice of owning spirits with supernatural power. The narrative involves a person who went to a Shaman to 'buy' a jinn at Kanga village. Text C was part of a conversation I recorded with Aisha bint Kombo of Kanga village.
Each text is first presented with a morpheme-by-morpheme analysis, a close interlinear translation and finally a free translation.

TEXT A: Marriage at Bagoni

| Mwanamuka baada ya kuorewa | hu[w]isi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mu-anamuka baada ya ku-or-ew-a | hu-ishi |
| 1-woman after of 15 -Inf-marry-Fass-FV | Hab-live |
| A woman after getting married lives in |  |

kwa siku saba fuNgateni, a[y]i豸i na mumewe
ku-a siku saba fungate-ni a-isi na mu-me-we
15-for 10a-day seven honeymoon-Loc. TSM-live with 1-male-1Poss.
the honeymoon for seven days. She lives with her husband


| mihogo, | kunde, | vitumbuwa, | mupepeta | na |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mi-hogo | kunde | vi-tumbu[w]a | mu-pepeta | na |
| 4-cassava | 9/10-kunde | 8 -rice fritters | 3-rice crisp |  |

a breakfast of cassava, peas, rice-fritters, beaten rice and

| uji. | Neh ana | huriswa | wari | wa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| uji | n-cana | hu-ris-w-a | wari | w-a |
| 14-porridge. | $9 / 10$-afternoon | Hab-eat-Pass-FV | 14 -rice 14-Ass. |  |
| porridge. | In the afternoon she is | fed with cook coconut |  |  |
| rice |  |  |  |  |

nazi, kitowere kyeñewe ni asari na samuri ya joNbe. n-nazi ki-tower-e ky-en̆ewe ni asari na samuri y-a noNbe. 9-coconut 7-broth 7-itself is 9-honey and 9-ghee 9-Ass 9-cow. with sauce of honey and cow ghee.


| fañeni | hisabu | mpaka | siku ya | saba | mufe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hisabu | mpaka siku | y-a | ba | mu- |
| ake-pi | count | until 9-day | 9-of | 9a-seven | 2plSM-come-Subj |
| ount | 11 th | seventh d | (t | ) you |  |


| mumtowe | harusi | wenu. | Na | mama | naye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mu-m-to-[w]e | harusi | v-enu. | na | mama | na-ye |
| 2pISM-1OM-release-Subj | 1a-bride | 1-poss. |  | ther | \&-herself |
| to take your | out | And | mo |  |  |


| anatowa | habari | zi-re | uwani. | Ire | siku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| a-na-to[w]-a | habari | z-ire | u[w]a-ni. | i-re | siku |
| 1SM-deliver-FV | 10-news | 10-Dem. | 11a-backyard-Loc | 9-Dem. | 9-day |
| delivers a similar message to the people | in the courtyard. |  |  |  |  |
| On the sixth day |  |  |  |  |  |


| ya | sita | mabinamu | w |  | mwanamuka | wanunuwa | ruzi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y-a | sita | ma-binamu | w-a |  | mu-anamuka | wa-nunu[w]a | r-uzi |
| 9-Ass. | six | 6:2-nieces 37 |  | 2-Pos | 1-woman | 2-buy | 11 |
| thread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cous | ns of | the bride | side | buy |  |  |  |


| wawo | na | siNdano | ya | kusonera. | WanatuNgiya |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wa-[w]o | na | sindano | y-a | ku-son-er-a. | wa-na-tung-ityla |
| 11a-their | $\&$ | 9-needle | 9-ass | 15-weave-App-FV | 2SM-TA-weave-App- |

[^31]their thread and sewing needle. They thread
usaNga; Upo usaNga wa kiunoni, upo wa mwagaro na upo u-sanga u-po u-sanga w-a ki-uno-ni, u-po w-a mu-agaro na u-po 11a-bead 11a-Dem 11a-bead 11a-Ass 7-waist-loc, 11a-loc 11a-of 3-buttock \& 11aLoc
beads. There is a waist (band of)bead, there is one for hips and there is


| masikio[y]o. | Tundu | za | masikiyo | za | kati |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | huitwa


| maphete. | Na | puwani | anatogwa | upande | mmoji | wa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ma- ${ }^{h^{h} \text { ete. }}$ | na | pulw]a-ni | a-na-tog-w-a | u-pande | m-moj-i | w-a |
| 6 -earings | $\&$ | 9-nose-Loc | 1SM-TA-pierce-FV | 11a-side | $11: 3$-one | 11a- |
| Ass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| maphete. And on the nose they pierce |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Nk ${ }^{\text {ono }}$ | wa | Nsoto | awu | Nriye. | Kwen̆e | Ndewe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ ono | w-a | n-soto | a[w]u | n-rily-e | ku-eňe | -dewe |
| er one side either left or right. In her |  |  | or | 3 -right | 17-Loc | T |
|  |  |  | t | or ri | her |  |


| anatiwa | harine, | puwani | 'kipini kya Ndege'. | Sasa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| a-na-ti-w-a | harine, | pu[w]a-ni | 'ki-pini ky-a n-dege'. | sasa |
| 1SM-TA-Pass-FV | 9/10-earing | 9/10-nose-Loc | 7 7-medallion 7-Ass 9-bird'. Now |  | put earrings, in a nose-pin with the likeness of a bird'.

Now

| anaviswa | usaNga | wa | kiwunoni | na | mwagaro. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a-na-vis-w-a | u-sanga | w-a | ki-[w]unoni, | na | mu-agaro |
| 1SM-TA-wear-Pass-FV | 11a-bead | 11a-Ass. 7-waist-Loc | \& | 3-hip |  |

Harafu fundi anamfuNda kuisi na mume wake.

| then | fundi | a-na-m-fund-a | ku -isi | na | mu-me |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| then | 5-trainer | 1SM-TA-OM-teach-FV | 15-Inf-live $\&$ | 1-husband | 1-Poss. |

Then her trainer teaches her how to live with her husband.

| Afere $\quad$ amuri | ya | mume. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a-fer-e | amuri | y-a | mu-me |
| 1SM-follow-Subj | 9-rule | 9-Ass. | 1-husband |
| And that she ought to follow her husband orders. |  |  |  |

## TEXT B: To Buy a Jinn

Bwana we kija hapa kutaka nikuuziye
buana we ki-ja ha-pa ku-taka ni-ku-uz-i[y]e jini.
la-mister you lsm-come loc-16 15 -want lsg-15-sell-Appl-Subj ja-jinn
Mister you have come here to ask me to sell you a jinn.

| Miye jini | wangu | si-Nthumi | kaNkhate | furano |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| mi-ye jini | w-angut | si- $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{th}_{\text {umi }}$ | ka-N-khate | furano |
| I-pron- jinn | 1-poss | Neg-1OM-send | $1 S M-1 O M-c u t$ | somebody |
| I won't ask my jinn to go and kill somebody |  |  |  |  |


| aut kamurarise | furano. | Situmii | taNgu | mizimu |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| au ka-mu-rar-is-e | furano. | Si-tumi-i | taNgu | mi-zimu |
| or TA-OM-sleep-Caus-Subj somebody. Neg-use | since | 4-spirits |  |  |
| or to hyponotise somebody. I have not been allowed to do |  |  |  |  |
| that |  |  |  |  |

ya mababu kuñirusiya miye. KuNth uma jini
y-a ma-babu ku-r̆i-rusi[a] mi-ye. ku-N-thuma jini 4-Ass 6-ancestors 15-1sgOM-restrict 1-pron. 15-10M-send 1a-jinn since the time of my ancestors . To send a jinn
ukamurarise furano au amupe gida muNthu hapano. u-ka-mu-rar-is-e furano au a-mu-pe šida mu-Nthu hapano $2 \mathrm{sgSM}-\mathrm{TA}-1 O M s l e e p-C a u s-S u b j$ 1a-somebody or 1SM-OM-give 9-problem 1-person no
to hypnotised or hurt a person is forbidden.

Sasa rete kitezo. weka mukaa na rete ruvumba.
sasa rete ki-tezo. weka mu-kaa na rete ru-vuNba. Now bring 7-burner. put 3-charcoal \& bring 14 -incense Now bring an incense burner, put on charcoal and bring incense.

We! muzee kičoNgwečoNgwe kija kiumbe ayu we! mu-zee kičoNgwec̆oNgwe ki-ja ki-umbe a-yu 2sgpron 1-elder kičoNgwečoNgwe 3sgSM-come 7:1-person 1-Dem you old man Kichongwechongwe here comes a person

| anakutaka | awe | rafiki | yako. umusiki[yle | maneno |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a-na-ku-taka | a-we | rafiki | ya-ko. u-mu-sikie | ma-neno |  |
| 1SM-TA-2sgOM-want | 1SM-be | 1a:9-friend | 9-Poss | 2 sg -3sgOM-listen | 6 -words |


| gake | goNthe | anagoga | kosem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ga-ke | go- $\mathrm{Nt}^{\text {h }}{ }_{\mathrm{e}}$ | a-na-go-ga-taka | na |
| 6-Dem | 6-all | 3sg-TA-6Rel-60M-want | -say |
| is words which he wants |  |  |  |

Uwe naye umuriNge na
u-we na-ye u-mu-riNge na 2sg-be $\&$-Pron $2 s g S M-1 O M-s e e-S u b j \quad \&$ Be with him, look after him \&

| keNgeja wake | uwariNde | muzee. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| kengeja | wa-ke | u-wa-rind-e |
| 1a-wife | 1-Poss | 2sgSM-2OM-protect-Subj |
| hisee |  |  |
| his childer |  |  |

TaNbo za kutupiwa Nbere uzikiNge,
TaNbo z-a ku-tup-iw-a N-bere u-zi-kiNg-e
10a-charm 10 -Ass 15 - throw-Pass-FV 9 -front 2 sg -10-OM-guard-Subj
Guard him against the charm thrown in front of him.
ikiwa zan̆uma na kufukiwa pily]a uzikiNge, ikiwa z-a n̆uma na ku-fuk-iw-a pia u-zi-kiNge, or 10 -Ass 9 -behind \& 15-dig-Pass-FV also 2 sg -100M-protect, or from the behind and protect him.

| umupepetuše | Nguru | asikanage | rubaya |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u-mu-pepet-us-e | N-guru | a-si-kanag-e | ru-baya |
| 2sgSM-3sgOM-keep off-Caus-Subj | 3-leg | 3sgSM-NEG-tread-FV 14-bad |  |
| keep his leg from treading | on any evil at all. |  |  |

wowoNthe. soka ra kupigani[y]a unaro.
wow-oNthe soka r-a ku-pig-an-ilyj-a u-na-ro. 14-all. 5-axe 5-Ass 15-hit-Rec-Appl-FV 2sg-have-5Rel. you have an axe to fight with.

MuriNde na uwe mačo. Zawadi zako zipo.
mu-rinde na u-we mačo. Zawadi z-ako zi-po.
2 sgOM -protect \& 2 sg-be 6 -eyes. 10a-gift 10 -Poss $10-16 \mathrm{Loc}$ Guard him and be alert. You will have your rewards'
kyano pi[y]a utapata. $\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{Nk}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {wavi }}}$ umrorweze.
ky-ano pi[y]a u-ta-pata. Na $N$-k ${ }^{\text {wavi }}$ u-m-rorw-ez-e 7-feast also $\quad 2 \mathrm{sg}$-TA-get. \& $\quad 9$-cattle 2 sg - $\quad 2 \mathrm{SM}-3 \mathrm{sgOM}$-slaughter -Caus-Subj You will be given your tray of delicacies. You will have a cow slaughtered for you.

Haya suguri iša twara jini ryako ukatumi[y]e. haya suguri is̆a twar-a jini ry-ako u-ka-tumily]-e Ok 9a-ritual complete get-FV 5x-jinn 5-Poss 2sgSM-TA-use-Subj ok, the ritual is over take your jinn and use him.

## Text C: To Sharpen a Machete

Heje? kufura muNdu Jimbo? kweNda
he-je? ku-fura mu-ndu Jimbo? ku-enda Intg-Cl. 15Int-forge 3 -matchete Jimbo? 15Inf-go
Hey? have you forge your billhook at Jimbo? Do you intend to take it along when you go

| nawo bara weye? | Basi tafuta $\mathrm{Nt}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{u}}}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| na-wo | bara we-ye? | basi tafuta $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{u}}}$ |  |
| \&-Rel. | 9-land 2per.Pron | ok find | 1 -person |
| to the mainland? Ok then find a person |  |  |  |

akupangiye harafu aukurure.
a-ku-pang-ily-]e harafu a-u-kurur-e.
1SM-2sgOM-process-App-Subj then 1-SM-3OM-smooth-FV Nasoro
who will process it then smoothen it.

| nenda | pare | kwa | Nasoro |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| nenda | pa-re | ku-a | Nasoro |
| 2pers-go | 16-Dem | 17-Ass | Nasoro |
| Go to | Nasoro |  |  |


| akupangi[y]e. | Hebu! | ňi-pa |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| a-ku-pang-ily[-e | hebu! | n̆i-pa |
| 1-SM-2sgOM-forge-Subj | Arright! | 1sgOM-give-Impve |
| to process it. Alright |  |  |

ňi-u-riNge. Mu-Ndu N -zito. ňi-u-riNg-e. Mu-ndu n-zito 1SM-3OM-see-Subj. 3-matchete 3-heavy Let me see it. It is a heavy machete.

| Sasa | pereka | aukurure, | kiša | aunore | sawasawa. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sasa | pereka | a-u-kurur-e | ki-sa | a-u-nore | sawa sawa. |
| now | send | $1 S M-30 M-s m o o t h-F V ~ t h e n ~$ | $1 S M-3 O M$-sharpen well. |  |  |
| Now send it to be smoothed. Then, to be sharpened well. |  |  |  |  |  |

kama haukururiwa haupati makari
Kama ha-u-ku-kurur-iw-a ha-u-pati ma-kari.
if $\quad$ Neg-3SM-15-smooth-Pas-FV $\quad$ Neg-3SM-get 6 -sharpness If it hasn't been smoothed, it never becomes sharp.

Akisaunora utakweNda kurimi[y]a
A-ki-s̆a u-nora u-ta-ku-enda ku-rim-ily]-a 1SM-TA-Aux -3OM-shapern 3SM-TA-15Inf-go 15-Inf-cultivate-App-FV After being sharpened you will use it for cultivation

| kisa | utaku-wa | unanora | tu | kinoroni. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kisa | u-ta-ku-wa | u-na-nora | tu | ki-noro-ni. |
| then | 2sg-TA-15inf-be | 2sg-TA-sharpen only | 7-whetstone-Loc. |  |


| MuNdu | wa | kurotora | ndio | unafaa | kwa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| mu-ndu | W-a | ku-rotor-a | ndi-o | u-na-faa | ku-a |
| 3-machete | 3-Ass | 15-Inf. smooth | be-Rel | 3SM-TA-right | 15-Ass. |
| The smoothed machete is perfect for |  |  |  |  |  |


| KučeNgeya | vava, | na | kukati[y]a koNgowa. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ku-čcNg-e-[y]-a | vava | na | ku-kat-i-[y]-a Kongowa |
| 15-clear-Appl-FV | 9a-shrub, | $\&$ | 15-cut-Appl-FV 10-poles |

clearing bush and cutting poles.

## APPENDIX C: KiNgome - English Lexicon

The following is a KiNgome-English wordlist. Indication of a Class number shows that an item is a noun; Adj. stands for adjectives; Adv. stands for Adverbs; Conj. stands for conjuctions; V for verbs; Pron. for pronoun; interj. for interjection; Dem. for demonstratives. This information is given after the lexical item. KiNgome entries are ordered alphabetically. Only stems are listed for verbs, adjectives, adverbs and pronominal words. The distinction between transitive and intransitive verb stem will be illustrated by (T) and (I) respectively.

## KiNgome - English Lexicon

A

| abikiza | (V) |
| :--- | :--- |
| agamヨ | (V) |

aganika (V)
ako (Pron)
amura (V)
aNgari[y]a (V)
aNgata (V)
aNguka (V)
aNgura (V)
arizi
эruka
asikari ~askhari
aswari
atika
ョyi
aye
aNbari
aNga
ada
ayari
(V)
(pron)
(9a/10a)
5
$9 \mathrm{a} / 10 \mathrm{a}$
9a/10a
make a try
lean
be occupied, be busy
your
settle a dispute
see
assist
fall
hatch (T)
land
the rise-of tide
soldier
honey
plant
empty
him/her
amber
cloud
fee
type of rope

## B

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { baNga }  \tag{V}\\
& \text { baNgabaNga } \tag{V}
\end{align*}
$$

split (T)
crack (T)

| baNtha | (V) | grind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| batha | (V) | backbite |
| baNt $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | (5) | bark of tree |
| baNuu[w]a | (9a) | spring tide |
| baNza | (V) | hide (I) |
| bamaNda | (V) | press together |
| baribari | (V) | pull |
| beta | (9a) | locust |
| bati | (5) | cattlepen |
| bedani | (5) | curly hair |
| beho | (9a) | belch |
| beNda | (V) | request |
| beNja | (V) | extract |
| bigiri | (5) | small pen/stone wall |
| bini | (V) | cultivate |
| bini | (V) | lie |
| biri | (V) | join (I) |
| bisi | (9a) | dune |
| bisa | (V) | go against tide |
| biwi | (5) | refuse heap |
| boba | (9a) | type of fish |
| bobo | (14[5]) | yoghurt |
| bobora | (V) | demolish |
| bobota | (5) | ripen tamarind |
| bobota | (V) | exploit |
| bogaboga | (9a) | ripen paddy grain |
| bogojers | (9a) | big rain |
| bogo[m]a | (V) | harvest (maize) |
| bofi | (5) | heavy rain |
| boma | (5) | forest/large timber |
| bomors | (V) | destroy |
| boñera | (V) | pinch |
| boNkho | (9a) | hippo |
| boNgoya | (V) | complain |
| bous | (9a) | type of snake |
| boye/bowe | (9a) | flying fish |


| boŋon̆a/boNgoño[w] | (V) | wear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| buba | (14[5]) | blister |
| bububu | (5) | egg |
| bugabuga | (V) | find |
| buki | (5) | kidney |
| bui ~ rubui | (9a) | spider |
| buNbui | (14[5]) | sorcery |
| buni | (9a) | coffee |
| burai [y]a | (V) | agree |
| buri | (5) | teapot |
| burugara/burudeNge | (1a) | uninformed person |
| burukutu | (9a) | a cup without a handle |
| buruNt $\mathrm{h}_{\mathbf{u}}$ | (9a) | hornless bull |
| buyi ~rubuyi | (9a) | spider |
| buaNda | (14[5]) | garb |
| buaNgmari | (14[5]) | hunger |
| $\mathrm{bagNa}^{\text {ha }}$ | (V) | blurt out |
| buiNbui | (14[5]) | sweet rice |
| buira | (V) | gulp; request |
| C |  |  |
| ¢̆¢¢ ~ ¢̆açi | (1a) | orphan |
| ¢afi | (9a) | biceps |
| Eagara | (5) | branch of tree |
| cakarame | (7) | pebbles |
| cañaNtha | (V) | wash (T) |
| EaNba | (V) | wash oneself |
|  | (9a) | flotsam |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Nb}$ ¢ | (7) | bait |
| 8aNgwe | (5) | shrub |
| ¢ata | (V) | salivate |
| čemeNbe/ky-emeNbe | (7) | diaghram |
| ceñe / ky-eñe | (7) | wrinkle |
| cenkhani | (7) | fountain |
| ¢esi | (9a) | small deer |
| goçra | (V) | nibble |


| ¢oka | (V) | get tired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| soño[w] | (V) | peck |
| coNtha | (V) | draw (water) |
| ¢uNbua | (V) | breakfast |
| 厄uruka | (9a/10a) | bait |
| ¢\%ago | (9a/10a) | type of crab |
| D |  |  |
| dэba | (Adv) | jokingly ; in jest |
| dagara | (9a) | sardine |
| dago | (5) | fishermen's camp |
| daka | (5) | coconut stem |
| dakuka | (V) | be sour |
| dakuka | (1a) | stubborn girl |
| daNbura | (V) | eat little food |
| damuka ~ ramuka | (V) | wake up (I) |
| danaNga | (V) | cling |
| dari | (5) | ceiling |
| daNtha | (V) | hang, swing |
| dasidasi | (Adv) | hastily |
| deu | (5) | dhow |
| dawa | (5) | medicine |
| dawe | (5) | meadow land |
| defu | (Adj) | tall |
| deheni | (V) | caulk |
| dekemeza | (V) | slacken |
| demani | (5) | type of rope in a sailing boat |
| deNgereNge | (5) | blister |
| difur | (5) | coconut meat |
| diNbuka | (V) | eat the first harvest |
| diNdi~ riNdi | (5) | harbour, pool |
| domasa | (V) | pinch |
| doNdoza | (9a/10a) | wasp |
| dosari | (9a/10a) | insect (that eats wood) |
| dude | (5x) Aug. | huge beast |
| duNda | (5) | hill |


| duNduka | (5) | unripe coconut |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| duNga | (V) | follow |
| duNge | (5) | cashew nut |
| duNgurira | (5) | heartburn |
| $\mathrm{duN}_{P} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | (V) | swing (I) |
| duri | (5) | bull |
| dusa | (5) | heap |
| E |  |  |
| eka (ako) | (V) | stay (alone) |
| eNbe | (5) | mango |
| eNda | (V) | go |
| ereka | (V) | carry |
| erera | (V) | float (I) |
| eruka | (V) | cause to froth |
| esabu | (V) | count |
| eda | (9a/10a) | mourning days-of widow |
| F |  |  |
| fama | (V) | urinate |
| faNza | (V) | do |
| fena | (V) | go |
| fiNgo | (9a/10a) | shore |
| fipa | (V) | suck |
| fu | (V) | die |
| fu[m]ara | (9a/10a) | any food |
| fufu | (9a/10a) | trap (birds) |
| fufuma | (V) | swell |
| fugu | (V) | keep animal(s) |
|  | (V) | wash face (T) |
| fuNoha | (V) | fight |
| fuNduki | (5) | breast |
| fuNkha | (V) | cultivate (using hoe) |
| funanga | (V) | mould |
| funura | (V) | open (T) |
| fupa | (V) | award |


| fupha | (V) | bale out water (boat) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| furama | (V) | crouch |
| fure | (1a) | impotent man |
| furu | (9a/10a) | disease of the eye |
| furukwe | (9a/10a) | tortoise |
| furuma | (V) | foam, froth |
| fusa | (V) | break wind |
| fuso | (5) | charm |
| fyata | (V) | hold tight |
| fyuka | (V) | escape |
| fyagira | (V) | sweep |
| G |  |  |
| gaNda | (V) | press/stick |
| gaNdika | (V) | plaster |
| gasョ | (V) | swell |
| gavu ~ Ngavu | (5) | net |
| geNbe | (5) | waist |
| geñu | (9a) | rotten fruit |
| gera | (V) | throw |
| giza | (9a/10a) | darkness |
| goNgowa | (V) | envoke spirits |
| gudugudu | (5) | dry coconut |
| guNdumuka | (V) | wake up (I) |
| guNga | (V) | treat-medically |
| guye | (5) | thicket |
| H |  |  |
| habuka | (V) | be in suspense |
| hadisi | (9a/10a) | story |
| hama | (V) | migrate |
| hapa | (Dem) | here |
| haramu | (Adj) | forbidden |
| hari | (9a/10a) | situation, news |
| hasua[y]i | (9a/6) | castrated bull |
| hasima | (9a/10a) | amulet |


| herine | (9a/10a) | earring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hesa | (9a/10a) | share |
| hijabu | (9a) | disease of the ear |
| hirizi | (9a/10a) | charm |
| hitima | (9a) | prayer uttered 40 days after a death |
| hizi | (V) | be in agony |
| homa | (9a) | fever |
| hoNbwe | (9a/10a) | type of snail |
| huzuni | (9a/10a) | sorrow |
| I |  |  |
| igi | (5) | egg |
| irya | (V) | eat |
| isi (ganiz) | (Adv) | in what manner; for what reason |
| ikara | (V) | to sit |
| irya | (V) | to eat |
| J |  |  |
| fa | (V) | come |
| janaeza | (5) | coffin |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\mathbf{i}} \sim \mathrm{dyy}$ | (Refl.) | self |
| Jaミo | (5) | sweat |
| jino | (5) | tooth |
| fipu | (5) | boil |
| fiwe | (5) | stone |
| faNgwa | (5) | salt flat |
| jifys | (5) | hearthstone |
| famvi | (5) | plaited floor matting |
| 和Nbika | (V) | wear skimply/casually |
| fara | (V) | fill up (I) |
| fəyaya | (5) | kidney |
| jeke | (5) | top layer (of milk or stew) |
| feNbe | (5) | hoe |
| feNga | (V) | build; accredit |
| fiNbi | (5) | yam |
| fiNbiru | (9a/10a) | wild pigeon |


| jasi | (9a) | type of mineral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fiko | (5) | kitchen |
| fins | (5) | name |
| finamo | (9a/10a) | A ripening stage of paddy grain |
| fogoro | (9a/10a) | cock |
| foNgoro | (9a/10a) | millipede |
| jovya | (V) | belch |
| juni[y]a | (5) | sack |
| jumu | (Adj) | up |
| fuya | (5) | fishnet |
| ju[w] | (V) | know |
| K |  |  |
| kaga | (5) | protective magic |
| kakama | (V) | dry |
| kama | (V) | drain (T) |
| kanadi | (9a/10a) | small mullet |
| kaNba | (conj) | that |
| kara | (V) | sit down |
| karafati | (9a/10a) | raw cotton filling (caulking holes) |
| karaNbisi | (9a/10a) | type of mackerel |
| karuka | (V) | dry (I) |
| kaskhax ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (9a/10a) | the Northeast Monsoon |
| kaskhaxini | (9a/10a) | north |
| kaye | (9a/10a) | settlement |
| keNgeje[y]a | (V) | inflict pain |
| kesa ~ keša | (V) | pass the night awake |
| $k^{\text {hafi }}$ | (9a/10a) | snail |
| $k^{\text {haNba }}$ | (9a/10a) | prawn |
| $\mathrm{kh}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Ng} \mathrm{g}$ | (9a/10a) | blue coloured fish |
| kharョ | (9a/10a) | crab |
| $k^{\text {haraupi }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of crab |
| $k^{\text {hatwa }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of ray-fish |
| $k^{\text {hedi }}$ | (Adj) | proud |


| $k^{\text {heNga }}$ | (9a/10a) | palm fruit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{kh}_{\text {eNga }}$ | (9a/10a) | unripe cashew fruit |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hoča }}$ | (V) | crossing legs while riding a donkey |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {home }}$ | (9a/10a) | sea snail |
| $k^{\text {hoNbe }}$ | (5) | big plate $\sim$ food |
| $k h_{\text {oNde }}$ | (9a/10a) | field |
| khorifa | (9a/10a) | a unit of twelve |
| $\mathrm{khotama}^{\text {cota }}$ | ((9a/10a)) | hunting knife |
| $\mathrm{khowana}^{\text {hom }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of fish |
| $k^{\text {huci }}$ | (9a/10a) | big type of rooster |
| $k^{\text {hufa }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of bee |
| $k^{\text {hupa }}$ | (9a/10a) | tick |
| $k^{\text {hupe }}$ | (9a/10a) | large wild rat |
| $\mathrm{kh}_{\text {uruNge }}$ | (7) | forest |
| ki- | (7x-) | diminutive |
| kibaNgu | (7) | a skin of parchment |
| kibavu | (7) | disease of the ribs |
| kibibi | (Adj) | numbness |
| kiboko | (9a[1a]) | sea snail |
| kibuNbui | (7) | sorcery (in Jimbo) |
| ki¢aNgu | (7) | uncleared bush inside the farm |
| Ki¢ョpョ | (7) | rivulet |
| kiduNda | (7) | hillock |
| kiduNgo | (7) | joint, anklet |
| kidusa | (7) | hill |
| kifuru | (7) | coconut shell |
| kifurukwe | (9a[1a]) | tortoise |
| kigara | (7) | ripe rice grain |
| kiganaNdu | (7) | scar ; membrane |
| kigoys | (9a[7]) | small ray fish |
| kigudi | (7) | elbow |
| kihomi | (7) | twinges |
| kijamaNda | (7) | basket |
| kikoko | (7) | shrub |


| kikwekwe | (9a[7]) | type of rooster |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kimaŏa | (7) | ringworm |
| kimareNba | (7) | multicolor |
| kimiro | (7) | uvula |
| Kimua | (V) | silence |
| kin̆agi | (7) | drizzle of rain |
| kiñehe | (7) | calf - 'animal' |
| kiñeNbe | (7) | razor blade |
| kiñiNgin̆ | (7[1a]) | great- grand son's children |
| kinaNgu | (9a[7]) | small mullet |
| kineNgwe | (9a [7]) | type of fish |
| kiNgurupi $[y]$ a | (7) | graft |
| kiNkhisa | (7) | heartbeat |
| kipaNga | (9a/10a) | tsetse fly |
| kipiju | (7) | rainbow |
| kipinguNjudu | (Adv) | be unsettled |
| kipofe | (1a) | blind person |
| kiraNde | (9a/10a) | trigger fish |
| kiriboto | (9a[7]) | flea |
| kirikita | (5) | tractor |
| kiruNbasi | (7) | a fragrant plant |
| kiruNgo kya mukono | (7) | palm |
| kirumb | (9a) | desire |
| kirumiruwi | (7) | headache |
| kisegeyu | (7) | heel |
| kisirani | (7) | omen |
| kisima~fuNgu | (7) | island |
| kisogoo | (7) | occiput |
| kisugudi | (7) | elbow |
| kisune | (7[1a]) | bachelor; uncircumcised person |
| kisusi | (7) | ceiling beam |
| kitaNga | (7) | type of spirit possession ritual |
| kitaNgafa | (7) | round mat made of borassus palm |
| kitate | (7[1a]) | deaf |
| Kitetesi | (9a[7]) | butterfly |


| kitezo | (7) | incense burner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kitika | (V) | sting |
| kitiNbaNba | (7) | problems |
| kituNguru | (7) | onion |
| kitupa | (7x-) | small bottle |
| kituture | (7) | hut |
| kiNgefa | (7) | wrist |
| kiwaNbasa | (7) | wall |
| kimiNdu | (Adv) | tying a boat (syle of) |
| kiyaNga | (7) | dry season |
| kizimaNda | (7x-[1a]) | last born |
| kizu | (9a/10a) | African goshawk |
| kizuki | (7[1a]) | widow |
| ki(r)uNbika | (7) | side wall (of the house) |
| kobers | (V) | drink |
| kodo | (5) | testicle |
| kohoro | (5) | cough |
| koko | (5) | coconut stone |
| komara | (V) | become ripe |
| koNde | (5) | fist |
| kaNgoro | (5) | bone |
| koNgowere | (9a) | wood beam |
| Korifa | (10a) | a set of twenty |
| koromito | (5) | throat |
| kosa | (V) | miss- in aim |
| kowa | (5) | snail-shell |
| koyoNge[y]a | (V) | eat without a pause |
| koza | (V) | heat |
| kuki $\mathrm{y}_{\text {] }}$ ] | (V) | sleep |
| kukuN thut | (Adj) | few |
| kukut ${ }_{\text {aNda }}$ | (9a/10a) | duck |
| kuNdeNba | (Adv) | in private |
| kuNui | (10a) | chaff |
| kurume | (V) | flow |
| kururu | (5) | edible coconut leaf |
| kusi | (9a) | the South West Monsoon |


| kusini | Loc. | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kwada | (V) | peel off unwanted skin or bark |
| kwawa | (V) | crawl |
| kwesuka | (V) | be dehydrated (I) |
| kwera | (V) | climb |
| kwemg | (V) | get pregnancy |
| kwiNk $\mathrm{h}_{4} \mathrm{i}$ | (9a) | hiccup |
| kyaka | (9a) | year |
| kyakura | (7) | food |
| kyani | (5[7]) | leaf |
| kyatut | (7) | shoe |
| kyaxi | (9a[7]) | blood |
| kyeñe ~ ¢¢ейe | (7) | wrinkle |
| kyoNgore | (9a/10a) | large type of fish |
| kyoo | (7) | mirror |
| kyuma | (7) | iron |
| kyuNba | (7) | room |
| kyungut | (7) | pot |
| M |  |  |
| Ņa | (3) | dandruff |
| No.go | (Adj) | new |
| Njeni | (1) | guest |
| NsaNbo | (3) | ecstasy |
| Nthafu[u]o | (3) | the third layer on donkey's back |
| Nyaa | (3) | dwarf (doum) palm |
| Nyasa [mjasa] | (3) | sneeze |
| Nуиn̆u | (3) | cuttlefish tails |
| mafimaNga | (9a) | coconut juice |
| mififi | (4) | water |
| mă̆aza | (6) | gruel |
| mactina | (Adj) | cold (of water) |
| mahaNda | (6) | twin |
| mahani | (6) | activities |
| majifya ~ maifya | (6) | hearthstones |
| majite | (6) | spittle |


| maki | （Adj） | thick |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| makoko | （6） | weed |
| makopo | （6） | coconut palm flower |
| makurima | （6） | agriculture |
| maki | （Adj） | wide |
| mana | （Adj） | low tide |
| mariro | （6） | a place of sorrow |
| mariro | （6） | bereavement |
| maruNgo | （6） | body |
| mョsョhョ | （6） | pus |
| masetera | （6） | watered rice |
| matapisi | （6） | vomit |
| matirai～matrai | （9a／10a） | Eastwind |
| mazi［y］ara | （6） | graveyard |
| marerefi | （6） | fishing season |
| meno | （6） | teeth |
| meko | （9a） | kitchen |
| mwakakoga | （3） | Swahili New Year |
| muaNdani | （1） | mistress |
| mwaNdani | （3） | inner part of the grave |
| mwan！ | （3） | seaweed |
| mede | （9a／10a） | table |
| meks | （V） | blow the nose |
| motoni | （Adv） | hellishly |
| moto | （3） | fire |
| men̆u | （Adv） | typically／indigenously |
| meza | （V） | swallow |
| mifiji | （6） | water |
| miye | （Pron） | me／I |
| miri | （V） | own |
| mi［y］amofa | （9a／10a） | 100 |
| mofi～mofa | Num． | one |
| moNgorera | （9a／10a） | cave |
| mu－ | （18） | in there |
| muc̆e | （3） | bud |
| Muc̆uNbョ～Ņ¢̆uNb | （1） | fiancé |


|  | (3) | stew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mudomo ~ Nidomo | (3) | lip |
| mufu $\sim \mathrm{N} f u$ | (1) | dead person |
| mugoNfo | (3) | elephantiasis |
| muguru | (3) | leg |
| muhire /mgosi | (1) | cousin |
| muhus | (Adj) | bad tempered |
| muki[y]a $\sim \mathrm{Nk}^{\text {hi }}$ [y]a | (3) | tail |
| mukaNfago | (3) | file |
| muñ | (9a) | salt |
| muNk ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | (1) | wife |
| mupwa | (1) | nephew/niece |
| mumaNga | (3) | sun |
| muriNbs | (9a/10a) | very big type of mullet |
| mururu ~ mruru | (3) | fencing using tree branches |
| muryaNgo | (3) | door |
| muryo | (3) | food |
| musima | (3) | fruit-stone (unripe) |
| musipa ~ Nsipa | (3) | vein |
| mutoto $\sim$ Nthoto | (1) | baby |
| muvyere | (1) | elder |
| muwa | (3) | sugarcane |
| muway | (3) | dwarf palm |
| muwere | (1) | patient |
| muzizi ~Nzizi | (3) | root |
| mu[r]uNgu | (1) | God |
| muagaro | (3) | bead |
| mwamu | (1) | brother in law |
| mwaNgo | (3) | door |
| muani | (3) | sea weed |
| mwa $\mathrm{Na}^{\text {h }}$ | (Adj) | arrogant |
| mware | (3) | type of bamboo |
| mwari | (1) | girl |
| mwata | (3) | bait |
| mwauwo | (3) | period of Spring Tide |
| mweka | (Interg.) | please |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { muiku }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { muiNbi }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { N } \\
& \text { Nbu } \\
& \text { Nohoo }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nohuou }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nehuzi }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Ndidimo }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NgaNga }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { Nge }  \tag{9/10}\\
& \text { Ngina }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nkhacuru }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NkhaNdaa }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NkhareNba }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nkharo }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nkhate }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nkhizi }  \tag{9/10}\\
& \text { Nehono }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nkhosano }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { NkhuNga }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NkhuNga }  \tag{9/10}\\
& \text { Nnazi }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NNja }  \tag{9/10}\\
& \text { NNfo }  \tag{V}\\
& \text { NpheyaNpheya }  \tag{Adv}\\
& \text { Nphika }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nsana }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NsaNga }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NseNge }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { NsiNzi }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nsipa }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Nso }  \tag{9/10}\\
& \text { Nsuka } \\
& \text { N: }
\end{align*}
$$

(9/10)
(Adv)
morning food cooked the night before
tide
mosquito
dug-out canoe
cheese
relish/sauce
thunder
medicine man
scorpion
paddy
spotted tree / discoloured tree
type of tree
type of tree
big nail; a stabbing spear
bread
old mullet
arm
father in law
midwife
moray eel
coconut tree
outside
come here
with a rolling motion (of boat)
stretcher
sitting room
sand
poking stick
type of tree
hernia
kidney
peripherally

| Nthana | (V) | pain (I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NthaNbara naci | (9/10) | snake |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {tharinbo }}$ | (3) | tea |
| N thekuriene | (3) | rice |
| Nthete | (1) | baby |
| Nthitira | (Adv) | in the early hours |
| Nuivu | (1) | lazy person |
| Nupi | (1) | fisherman |
| Nzi | (9/10) | housefly |
| Nzurura | (Adv) | dizzily |
| naNga | (V) | reprehend, blame |
| nawa | (V) | insult |
| Nbara | (9/10) | antelope |
| Nbigiri | (9/10) | thorn |
| Nbiri | (9/10) | two |
| Nboua | (9/10) | small blue fish |
| Nbuguma | (9/10) | heifer |
| Nburiza | (9/10) | grey/white hair |
| N¢hawa | (9/10) | louse |
| NoheNba | (3) | sea current |
| Ndime | (Adv) | very hard |
| Ndo[w] ${ }^{\text {ana }}$ | (9/10) | fish hook |
| Ndu[u] aro | (9/10) | barracuda |
| Ndwere | (9/10) | illness |
| Ngebive | (9/10) | type of fish |
| Ngeka | (9/10) | luck |
| Ngeza | (9/10) | leprosy |
| Ngisi | (9/10) | squid |
| Ngome | (9/10) | rocky forest |
| Nguku | (9/10) | hen |
| Nguñax | (9/10) | fog |
| NguNda | (9/10) | type of wild pigeon |
| Nguru | (910) | king fish |
| Ngutika | (V) | tremble, shiver |
| Nkhafi | (9/10) | type of bee |


| Nkhama | (9/10) | type of bird |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nkhara | (9/10) | crab |
| Nkharubu | (9/10) | dog |
| Nkhata ~Ngata | (9/10) | headpad |
| Nk $\mathrm{huku}^{\text {un }}$ | (9/10) | keel |
| Nkhunguni | (9/10) | bug |
| Nk $\mathrm{humapa}^{\text {a }}$ | (9/10) | armpit |
| N才еgeNjege | (5) | jaw |
| nona | (V) | fatten (I) |
| nono | (adj) | fat |
| Nsuka | (9/10) | peninsula |
| Nthara | (9/10) | rayfish |
| Nthesa | (9/10) | groundnut |
| Nthi $\mathrm{N}_{\text {( }}$ ) thira | (9/10) | cattle (black) |
| Nthiri | (9/10) | half-beak |
| Nthitira | (9/10) | early morning |
| Nthokosa | (9/10) | stew |
| Nthokose | (9/10) | newly harvested rice |
| nunu | (1a) | mother/wife |
| Nvin̆o | (9/10) | maggot |
| Nvura | (9/10) | rain |
| ñama | (910) | meat |
| Komabahari | (9/10) | whale |
| ñamantho | (1a) | precocious; infant |
| ñaNgi | (9/10) | noise |
| nara | (V) | shrink (I) |
| narubibi | (9/10) | chameleon |
| nehe | (Adj) | small |
| neNvure | (9/10) | grasshopper |
| nenefu | (V) | irritate |
| ňeneke | (V) | tickle |
| niNbi | (9/10) | very big rayfish |
| nitika | (V) | protrude, swell (of belly) |
| noNdora | (9/10) | leftovers |
| noNga | (9/10) | bile |


| noNgo | （9／10） | back |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ñora | （V） | shave（T） |
| n̆ume | （Pron） | You（pl） |
| nuzi za（bui） | （10） | cobweb |
| กัแヨ | （V） | drink |
| nupre | （9／10） | hair |
| ๆaNba | （9／10） | type of turtle |
| ワara | （V） | shine |
| Пепеsa | （V） | look over |
| Пora | （V） | uproot |
| O |  |  |
| oga | （V） | bath |
| ogofya | （V） | frighten |
| oks | （V） | plant seed，transplanting |
| ona | （V） | see |
| oNgora | （V） | save |
| －N才ヨ | （V） | taste |
| onthe | （Adj） | all |
| ororo | （Adj） | soft |
| P |  |  |
| Pa | （V） | give |
| Pa－ | （16） | here（Locative） |
| pakura | （V） | pour over |
| paNgañ | （V） | mix（T） |
| paNgusa | （V） | wipe |
| PaparuphaNga | （9a） | saw fish |
| Para | （V） | fly |
| para | （V） | scale off（T） |
| paraNgana | （V） | be mixed up |
| Parathaza | （9a／10a） | cool season（June－August） |
| PaNfoyoyo | （Adj） | maximal |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {％}} \mathrm{i}$ | （10a） | a dry measure of grain |
| pasura | （V） | split（T） |
| Pa（r） $\mathrm{max}^{\text {a }}$ | （V） | thatching（roof） |


| peNbura | (V) | sift or winnowing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pereka | (V) | send |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hapa }}$ | (9/10) | shark |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hara }}$ | (5) | roof |
| $p^{\text {heNgo }}$ | (5) | teeth loss |
| $\mathrm{F}^{\text {hete }}$ | (9a/10a) | finger ring |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hiNbiri }}$ | (9a/10a) | trunk (of body) |
| $p^{h i N g u s i}$ | (9a/10a) | type of shark |
| $\mathrm{ph}^{\text {hopo }}$ | (9a/10a) | bat -'animal' |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {huNda }}$ | (9a/10a) | donkey |
| $\mathrm{P}^{h_{\text {weteko }}}$ | (9a/10a) | type of bird |
| pika | (V) | cook (T) |
| piNgu | (5) | very big basket |
| pita | (V) | pass (I) |
| pojopojo | (Adj) | big |
| pokera | (V) | receive |
| poNbopoNbo | (9a/10) | type of tree |
| poNgora | (V) | give birth |
| poromoka | (V) | stumble, descend |
| pota | (V) | be upset (of stomach) |
| poteka | (V) | slacken (I) |
| potera | (V) | disappear |
| pumura | (V) | relax |
| puNtha | (V) | wait |
| R |  |  |
| ryara | (V) | fall sick |
| ragura | (V) | foretell |
| ramuka | (V) | wake up early (I) |
| ramuri | (9a/10a) | horoscope |
| raNba | (V) | lick |
| rapa | (V) | swear |
| rara | (V) | sleep |
| rema | (V) | refuse |
| reNba | (5) | spot |

sift or winnowing
send
shark
roof
teeth loss
finger ring
trunk (of body)
type of shark
bat -'animal'
donkey
type of bird
cook (T)
very big basket
pass (I)
big
receive
type of tree
give birth
stumble, descend
be upset (of stomach)
slacken (I)
disappear
relax
wait
fall sick
foretell
wake up early (I)
horoscope
lick
swear
sleep
refuse
spot

| reNdeNda | (V) | tête è-tête |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reNgejeka | (V) | soak (I) |
| rewa | (V) | booze |
| riNde | (V) | watch |
| riNdi ~diNdi | (5) | harbour, pool |
| riNga | (V) | see |
| roNbe | (5) | maize |
| roNge | (V) | say |
| roNgore | (V) | lead |
| rotora | (Adj) | unspoiled; virgin |
| rota | (V) | dream |
| rubawa | (14) | wing |
| rucsue | (14) | nakedness |
| ruçaw | (14) | witcheraft |
| rucungwi | (9a/10a) | ant |
| ruçma ~uc̆wa | (9a/10a) | termite |
| rycwero | (14) | west |
| rufa | (11) | crack |
| rufagiro | (14) | broom |
| rufizi | (11) | gum |
| rufuvuNima | (Adv) | by lieing on the back |
| ruganzi | (14) | numbness |
| rugonjua | (14) | illness |
| rufi | (14) | porridge |
| rukhuǒa | (11) | fingernail |
| rukhuni | (11) | firewood |
| rukiNdu | (11) | palm leaf |
| rukiri | (11) | palm fond |
| rukoma | (14) | leprosy |
| rukosi | (11) | neck |
| rukuni | (11) | firewood |
| rukwiNdi | (14) | tooth decay |
| ruma | (V) | bite |
| runayo | (11) | lower part of the leg |
| ruñoya | (11) | feather |
| muNge | (11) | flour |


| ruNk ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | (V) | jump |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mupepo | (11) | wind |
| rupere rya mapaNde | (14) | smallpox |
| rupono | (9a/10a) | marbled parrot fish |
| rumimi | (11) | tongue |
| ruru | (9a/10a) | pearl |
| rusiNgisi | (14) | sleep |
| ruso ~riso | (11) | face |
| rutathaNge | (9a/10a) | sword fish |
| rutene | (9a/10a) | penis |
| ruti rya mugoNgo | (11) | backbone |
| ruma | (11) | fence |
| romwoka | (V) | be upset |
| reNgu | (5) | outrigger |
| ruzi | (11) | thread |
| ruziwa | (14) | milk |
| ruiko | (11) | large wooden spoon |
| rwigo | (11) | fence/ hedge |
| rya | (V) | eat |
| S |  |  |
| saibu | (1a) | older person |
| \#ョzi[y]a | (5) | big type of needle |
| siki[y]o | (5) | ear |
| sogi | (5) | donkey'saddle. |
| Sufa[y]i | (9a/10) | fierce rooster |
| Saruti | (9a/10a) | type of rope on sailing boat |
| saza | (V) | leave (food leftover) |
| somo | (1a) | namesake |
| suguri | (9a/10a) | event |
| saba | Numeral | seven |
| saga | (V) | grind |
| saha[u]u | (V) | forget |
| saNfari | (1a) | companion |
| savu | (5) | cheek (side of face) |
| sema | (V) | say |


| serasini | Numeral | thirty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seta | (Adj) | foolish |
| siye- | (Pron) | we |
| sibima | (V) | be bewitched |
| siki[y]o | (5) | ear |
| simura | (V) | pull out |
| siNdano | (9a/10a) | needle |
| sipha | (9a/10a) | poking stick |
| sithe | Numeral | six |
| sogera | (V) | move over (I) |
| sokota | (V) | twist (T) |
| soma | (V) | read |
| sosors | (V) | beget |
| sugure | (V) | rub |
| suhu | (V) | rot |
| suka | (V) | plait |
| sukuma | (V) | push |
| sukuturs | (V) | wash mouth |
| suNgura | (V) | rub |
| swarire | (9a) | swan |
| swifa | (9a) | shark oil |
| T |  |  |
| ta | (V) | lay |
| tajabu | (V) | be amazed |
| tamut | (Adj) | sweet |
| taNbi | (5) | branch of a tree |
| taNbura | (V) | discover |
| taNbuza | (V) | forge |
| taNde | (5) | big type of bed |
| taNgaña | (V) | mix (I) |
| tanthura | (V) | toddle |
| tapata | (V) | be upset(of stomach) |
| tara | (Adj) | steep-roofed |
| tekuka | (V) | be soaked |
| teNbera | (V) | walk |


| teNda | (V) | do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| teNge | (Adv) | vertically |
| tereka | (V) | cook (T) |
| teretio | (9a) | food |
| teteme | (V) | tremble |
| $t^{\text {¢ }}{ }_{\text {a }} \mathrm{Nbi}^{\text {a }}$ | (5) | branch(tree) |
| ${ }_{t}{ }^{\text {a }}$ N ${ }^{\text {bo }}$ | (10a) | sorcery |
| $t_{9} \mathrm{Nda}^{\text {d }}$ | (5) | pond |
| $t^{\text {hano }}$ | Numeral | five |
| thara $^{\text {¢ }}$ | (5) | roof |
| thara | (9a) | rayfish |
| thasa | (1a) | barren woman |
| $t^{\text {hataNge }}$ | (9a) | swordfish |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hatu }}$ | Numeral | three |
| theken̆ | (5) | chigger |
| thete | (Adj) | unripe |
| thetere | (9/10a) | wild pigeon |
| thetesi | (9a/10a) | butterfly |
| thenbe | (1a) | wife |
| thigino | (9a/10a) | heifer |
| thiNburima | (5) | sugar and coconut doughnut |
| thisini | Numeral | ninety |
| thoto | (9a/10a) | furrow |
| $t^{\text {thNbi }}$ | (9a/10a) | pig |
| $t_{\text {thNgu }}$ | (9a[5x]) | big navel |
| ${ }_{\text {t }} \mathrm{hutu}^{\text {ctu }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of wild pigeon |
|  | (V) | bullfight |
| tiNbiña | (V) | tread |
| tira | (V) | put into |
| toga | (V) | pierce the body |
| tokota | (V) | boil (I) |
| tokosa | (V) | cause to boil |
| tomme | (5) | hole |
| torie | (V) | pinch |


| tofa | （V） | leak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| topors | （V） | uproot |
| to | （V） | inform thoroughly |
| towe | （10a） | vein（pluralia tantum only） |
| tuma | （V） | send |
| tuNbi | （9a／10a） | pig |
| tuNbu［w］o | （9a／10a） | lock |
| tuNgure | （9a／10a） | tomato |
| tupa | （V） | throw |
| tura | （V） | lay／put down |
| turwa | （V） | be placed |
| tusi | （5） | insult |
| tusa | （V） | try ones luck |
| tweke | （V） | raise sail |
| tweşa | （V） | bid goodnight |
| twin̆a | （9a／10a） | chick |
| tyaNga | （V） | wander |
| U |  |  |
| uboNgo | （11） | brain |
| ubaridi | （14） | fishing season |
| uberu | （Adv） | on half sail |
| ubuiti | （14） | mussels |
| učapa | （11） | river |
| บ¢̆ョแヨ | （14） | nakedness |
| uceNge | （14） | cleared bush |
| učeza | （14） | sport／play |
| učono | （11） | anus |
| woukuti | （11） | palm frond |
| woukwi | （14） | rice plant |
| udahe | （11） | pepper |
| ugema | （14） | bank（river or lake） |
| ugoNgo | （14） | customary payment at rituals |
| ugubiko | （11） | cap |
| uhaNga | （14） | birdlime |
| 吁きソき | （14） | stream |

Hera
ufusi
uka
ukadi
wharara

$$
u k o \operatorname{Ng}[w]_{\exists}
$$

ukope
ukuru
ukurutu
wkwehere
ukweku
umage
umeta
uňen̆efu
แกัฒコ
upoupoNdo
ureju［w］a
uriza
uroto
urwere～Nduere
usョNgョ
usiku
usikukukuru
usiNgo
usuko (for milk)
utara
yturu

$$
u v i
$$

पwョęi
uwage/wage
uza

$$
u[w]=
$$

V
vagaa（14）（14）
sea－food（a mixture of ray and other fish）
to die without marrying
stand up（I）
anus
petiole of coconut palm
central midrib of a palm leaf
eyelash
sexual desire
rash
bad odour
walking stick
knife
lightness
tickling
earthworm
bailer，scoop
pole
east
ask
brain
illness
bead
night
midnight
poison
calabash for making cheese
wooden grain stand
latex
wasp
fog
millet chaff
sell
backyard．
disperse（I）

| vage | (5) | storm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vara | (V) | dress (T) |
| viriNgi | (Adj) | fat |
| viriNgo | (Adj) | round |
| vihaNgaiso | (8) | food cover made of a palm-leaf |
| vudura | (V) | slander |
| vugaza | (V) | close (of door) (T) |
| vugura | (V) | open door without key |
| vukuvuku | (Adj) | warm |
| vun̆aNga | (V) | mix ( T ) |
| vuna | (V) | smell (I) |
| yuNda | (V) | ferment ( I ) |
| vanu | (V) | harvest |
| vuNyu | (5) | fallow; untilled land |
| vuaNbue | (5) | high (tidal) wave running from west to east |
| viNgi | (Adj) | plenty |
| vyuNgu | (8) | pots |
| vyoo | (8) | toilets |
| W |  |  |
| \% | (V) | be |
| ᄈョ | (Pron) | them/they |
| wage | (14) | millet |
| waNbo | (14) | style of knitting palm leaves |
| waNbo | (14) | wooden frame (of fence) |
| $\mathrm{waN}^{\text {N }}$ do | (14) | wire net |
| maNge | (2) | witch |
| waNthut | (1) | people |
| wari | (14) | rice |
| waye ~ware | (Pron) | them, they |
| meye | (Pron) | You (sg) |
| meNje | (14) | fillet |
| wida | (14) | type of harmful grass |
| wi to | (14) | a call |
| wito | (1a) | circumciser |
| wiva | (V) | ripen (I) |


| wivu | (14) | jealousy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wizi | (14) | theft |
| woga | (14) | cowardice |
| Y |  |  |
| yavu | (5) | lung |
| yepi | (Dem) | which (cl.9/10) |
| yowera | (V) | rest |
| yoyoma | (V) | disappear |
| yuga | (V) | wail (of cat) |
| yuNgutika | (V) | stagger |
| yuno | (Dem). | this |
| Z |  |  |
| zabsu | (5) | shirt |
| zagara | (V) | scattered |
| zahョma | (5) | trouble |
| zョifu | (Adj) | weak, feeble |
| zaini | (V) | sweep |
| zara | (V) | deliver |
| zawiyani | (9a) | area for holding 'Sufi' rituals |
| zeruzeru | (1a) | albino |
| zezeta | (1a) | moron |
| zido | (Adj) | additional |
| ziNdiko | (5) | protective charm |
| ziNga | (V) | commit adultery |
| ziNga | (V) | trap |
| xiNgo | (5) | sacrifice |
| ziNgo | (9a) | hair style |
| ziNgwexiNgwe | (9a) | a sacrificial place |
| zumazuma | (Adv) | confused |
| zuzu | (1a) | idiot |
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Appendix A：Table 1：Makunduchi

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na-/ <br> ＇present＇ | （a）ठukua u－na－co－b̌ak－a ＇take 2sg－PRST－REL－want－FV ＇Take anything you want＇ （Whiteley 1959：64） | （a）ョma ku－na－kuf－a fwa！ If 2 sg －PROG－die－FV dies ＇If you are dying die＇ （Chum 1994：10） <br> （b） $\mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{na}-\mathrm{Ngi}-\mathrm{a}$ 3sg－PROG－enter－FV ＇S／he is entering＇ （Sengo 1994：32） |
| ／me－，ma－， $\varnothing . . .(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> ＇Anterior＇ |  | （a）Ku－me－iNb－a 2SG－ANT－sing－a ＇You have sung＇ <br> （Maganga 1990：228－9） <br> （b）ke－me－1 am－a 3S－ANT－come－FV ＇S／he has come＇ （Chum 1994：11） <br> （c）$k u-\varnothing-u N d-u$ 2S－ANT－make－VC ＇You have made （Whiteley 1959：65） |
| ／（me）క̌a－，ma－／ <br> ＇Completive＇ |  | （a）ke－mesa－i－bwes－a 3sg－COMP－OM－torn－a <br> ＇S／he has torn it＇ （Chum 1994：10） <br> （b）ku－క̌e－čoge－a kulya？ 2 sg －COMP－push－over here ＇Have you finished pushing over here＇（Whiteley 1959：59） |
| $/ \mathrm{ii}$－e－，$\varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> ＇Past＇ | （a）a－Ø－wyo－kwis－a 3sg－PST－REL－finish－FV ＂When he finished＇ （Whiteley 1959：204） | （a）ku－Ø－sumk－3 <br> 3sg－PST－sell－FV <br> ＇You ran＇（past） <br> （Maganga 1990：228－9） <br> （b）kョー $\varnothing$－иz－ョ <br> 3sg－PST－sell－FV <br> ＇S／he sold＇（past） <br> （Maganga 1990：228－9） |
| ／ta－，ถัョ－，Nda－／ <br> ＇Future＇ |  | （a）ka－čaーvat－a <br> 3sg－FUT－get <br> ＇S／he will get <br> （Maganga 1990：228－9） |
| ／Nga－，Nge／ <br> ＇Conditional＇ | （a）u－Nge－m－on－a 2sg－COND－2OM－see－FV ＇You would have seen＇ （Whiteley 1959：61） | X |
| ／ki－，ka－／ ＇Situative＇ | （a）u－ka－fik－a kuǒsmona 2sg－SIT－arrive－FV <br> ＇If you arrive you will find him＇ （Whiteley 1959：60） | x |

Table 2: Tumbatu

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /na-, a-/ } \\ & \text { 'present' } \end{aligned}$ |  | (a) ku-na-zi-uz-a ? <br> 2SG-PROG-OM-sell-FV <br> 'Are you selling it?' <br> ( $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{H}$ 1993: 408) <br> (a) kw-з-1 anuk-a $^{2}$ <br> 2sg-PRST-wake up-FV <br> 'You wake up' <br> Maganga (1990: 160) |
| / na-,a-, me-, ma$\varnothing$...(VC) <br> 'Anterior' | ? | ? |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /(me)§̌a-, ma-/ } \\ & \text { 'Completive' } \end{aligned}$ |  | (a) $k u-$ - $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{kwiNba}$ 2sg-COMP-to sing-FV 'You have sung' (Maganga 1990: 155) <br> (b)ke-sa-kweNbilwa 3sg-COMP-to tell-FV 'S/he has been told' (Maganga 1990: 190) |
| $\text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \text {...(VC)/ }$ <br> 'Past' |  | (a) $\mathrm{k}-\boldsymbol{O}-\mathrm{og}-\mathrm{o}$ 2sg-PST-bath-VC 'You bathed' (Maganga 1990:160) <br> (b) kw- $\varnothing$-aNbil-i watu 3sg-PST-tell-VC people 'S/he told people' (Maganga ibid:160) |
| /ta-, b a, Nda-/ <br> 'Future' |  | X |
| / Nga-, Nge/ <br> 'Conditional |  | X |
| /ki, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' |  | X |

Table 3: Nungwi

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na-/ <br> 'present' | X | (a) ku-na-kweNd-a ku-m-on-a 2SG-PROG-go-FV to see him 'You are going to see him' (Riedel 2002:28) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /me-, ma-/ } \\ & \text { 'Anterior' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) u-ma-jafyagiy-a? 2sg-ANT-sweep-FV <br> 'Have you swept? <br> (Riedel 2002: 19) | (b ka-ma-vay-a kaNga 3sg-ANT-dress-FV 'S/he is wearing a kanga' (Riedel 2002: 19 ) |
| /(me)گ̌a-, ma-/ <br> 'Completive' | (a) u-ma-kuly-a? <br> 2sg-ma- eat-FV <br> 'Have you eaten? <br> (Riedel 2002: 22) | (a)ku-క゙a-kuly-a 2 s -Compl-eat-FV 'Have you eaten? (Riedel 2002: 28) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing . . .(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) a-ø-po-fik-a 3sg-PST-REL-arrive-FV 'When s/he arrived' (Riedel 2002: 17) | (a) $\mathrm{ka}-\boldsymbol{\varnothing}-\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{a}$ 3sg-PST-come-FV 'S/he came' (Riedel 2002: 28) <br> (b) ke-Ø-Nd-e 3sg-PST-go-VC 'S/he went' (Riedel 2002: 16) |
| /ta-, ca- , Nda-/ <br> 'Future' | (a) a-ta-ye-Ěa-rudi <br> 3sg-FUT-REL-COMP-return 'Each person who will have returned' (Riedel 2002: 28) | (a) ka-ta-ye-fa uka veye 3s-Fut-Rel-come-FV 'S/he is the one who will leave' (Riedel 2002: 27) <br> (b) ka-ta-som-a 3s-Fut-read-FV 'S/ he will read' (Riedel 2002: 26) <br> (c)ka-Nda-fika 3sg-Fut-arrive-FV ' $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{he}$ is going to arrive' (Riedel 2002: 28) <br> (d) $k u-N d a-m o n-a$ 3sg-Fut-arrive-FV 'You are going to see him' (Riedel 2002: 28) |
| $/ \mathrm{Nga}(\mathrm{li})-, \mathrm{Nge}(\mathrm{li}) /$ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Ngali $\quad \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ka}$-Som-a 3sg-COND- 3sg-ka-read-FV 'I was still reading' (Riedel 2002: 25) | X |
| /ki-, ka-/ 'Situative' | (a) a-ka-fa ka-ta-kuka vitu 3sg-SIT-come 3sg-FUT- give foog 'If s/he come shewill give gou food' (Riedel 2002: 25) | x |

Table 4: Pemba

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{na}(\mathrm{ku})-, \mathrm{a}-/$ <br> 'simple present' | (a) w-a-aNk-a <br> 2sg-PRS-wake up-FV <br> 'You wake up' <br> (Maganga 1990: 112) | x |
| /na-/ <br> 'Anterior' |  | (a) ka-na- uk-a 3sg-ANT-return-FV 'S/he has returned' (N\&H 1993: 420) |
| /(me) گ. $^{2}$-, ma-/ <br> 'Completive' | ? | ? |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) w-e-aNk-a 2sg-PST-wake up-FV 'You woke up' Maganga (1990:112) | (a) ku- $\varnothing$-pik-i leo 2sg-PST-cook-VC today Did you cook today? (Whiteley 1959: 15) <br> (b)kw- $\varnothing$-eNd-e jana? 2 sg -PST-go-VC 'Did you go today?' (Whiteley 1959: 15) |
| $\text { /ta-, } \mathrm{Xa}-\mathrm{Nda} \text {, }$ <br> 'Future' | (a) aーtaーkweNd-a <br> 3sg-FUT-go <br> 'S/he will go' <br> (Whiteley 1959: 15) | x |
| /Nga-, Nge/ <br> 'Conditional' |  | X |
| /ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' |  | X |

Table 5: Vumba

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na-/ <br> 'Anterior' | X | (a) ku-na-fyom-a 2sg-ANT-read-FV 'You have read' (N\&H 1993: 422) <br> (b) ka-na-kufw-a 3sg-ANT-die-FV 'S/he has died' (N\&H 1993: 376) |
| $\mid / a-1$ <br> 'Immed. Anterior' |  | (a) kw-a-kubw-a 2sg-Imm. ANT-fall-FV 'You have just fallen' (N\&H 1993: 422) <br> (b) $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{a})-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{fik}-\mathrm{a}$ 3sg-Imm. ANT-arrive-FV 'S/he has just arrived' (N\&H 1993: 366) |
|  'Completive' |  | (a) kwa-ša-iNjik-a 2sg-COMP-write-a 'You have written' (Lambert 1953:30) <br> (b) ka-צョ-kuf a 3sg-COMP-arrive-a 'S/he has arrived' (Lambert 195: : 30) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) u-ø -vivi <br> 2sg-PST-be-how <br> 'What sort of person are you?' <br> (Lambert 1953:30) <br> (b) a-li-vo-oNdok-a... <br> 3sg-PST-REL-go-FV <br> 'As s/he went .. <br> (Lambert 1953: 38) | (a) ka-Ø-fu 3sg-PST-die 'S/he died' (N\&H 1993:366) <br> (b)ka-ø-wa-siNd-i 3sg-PST-win-VC 'S/he won them' (Whiteleys 1953: 35) <br> (c) $\mathrm{ku}-\varnothing$-rer-e 2sg-PST-bring-CV 'You brought' (Lambert 1953: 18) |
| /ta-, Ča-, Nda-/ <br> 'Future' | (a) $u$-ča-fuNg-a 2sg-FUT-tie-FV You will tie, will be tying' (N\&H 1993: 422) | x |
| /Nga-, Nge/ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Nga-wa-je ... 3sg-COND-be-how 'Whatever he is (Lambert 1953: 33) | X |
| /ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' | (a) kavu a-ka-rwaNga 'S/he used to clean grain' (N\&H 1993: 420) | X |

Table 6: Mtang'ata

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \varnothing, a-, \text { na- } /$ <br> 'present' | ```(a) \(a-\varnothing\)-aw-a 3sg-PRST-go-FV 'She is going' (Temu 1980:27 \\ (b) a- \(\varnothing\)-geuk-a 3sg-PRST-turn-a 'She is turning' (Temu 1980:23) \\ (c) u-na-ko-ka-a 2sg-PROG-LOC-stay-FV 'Where you stay' \\ (Shihabudin \& Mnyampala 1977: 34)``` | X |
| /na-/ <br> 'Anterior' | X | (a) ku-na-ya-bun-u 2sg-ANT-6OM-create-VC 'You have created them' Temu (1980:20) <br> (b) ka-na-bak-a 3sg-ANT-give out-FV 'She has given out' Temu 1980:23) |
| $/ \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> 'Anterior' | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ku-ด-on-o } \\ & \text { you -ANT-see-VC } \end{aligned}$ <br> 'You have seen' <br> (Shihabudin\&Mnyampala 1977: 34) |
| /(me) $\xlongequal{\text { 〒 }}$ a-, ma-/ <br> 'Completive' | ? | k-eそi-pika kande 3sg-COMPL-cook food '(She) has finished cooking the food' (Polome 1967: 23) |
| $/ \mathrm{li}-, \mathrm{e}-, \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> 'Past' | (a) $\mathbf{u}-\mathrm{li}-\mathrm{Co} \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{kuj} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{a}$ 3sg-PST-REL-come-APPL-FV 'That which you came for' Temu 1980: 26 |  |
| /ta-, ca- , Nda-/ <br> 'Future' | (a) a-ta-twig-is-w-a <br> 3sg-FUT-pull-CAUS-PASS-FV <br> 'She will be pulled' <br> (Temu 1980: 26) | x |
| / Nga-, Nge/ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Nge-ni-p-a 3sg-COND-1OM-FV <br> 'S/he could have given me' (Temu 1980: 17) | X |
| /ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' | (a) u-ka-pika 2sg-SIT-cook-FV <br> 'If you cook' <br> (N\&H 1993:420) | X |

Table 7: Ngome

| TA | SETA | SETB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & / \mathrm{na}(\mathrm{ku})-, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{l} \\ & \mathrm{y} \text { present' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) u-na-rim-a faya 2sg-PROG-cultivate-FV faya Are you cultivating faya? | (a)ko-ø-ki-tak-a kiswahili kyaNgu 2sy- $\varnothing$-7OM-want-FV 7-Swahili my 'Do you want my Swahili? |
| $/ \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) /$ <br> 'Anterior' | $X$ | (a) $\mathrm{ku}-\varnothing$-mw-on-o 2sg-ANT-2OM-see-VC 'Have you seen him?' <br> (b) ka- $\varnothing$-faNz-a -fe-ko 3sg-ANT-do-FV-how-17-Loc 'What has s/he done there?' |
| /(me) <br> 'Completive' | (a) a-Ø̌a-rim-a 3sg-COMP-cultivate-FV 'S/he has already cultivated' | (a) ku-Øsa-rim-a weye? 2sg-COMP-cultivate you 'Have you cultivated already ?' <br> (b) ka-Øక̆a-guNdumk-a ? 3sg-COMP-wake up-FV 'Has she woken up already?' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /li-, e-, } \varnothing \ldots(\mathrm{VC}) / \\ & \text { 'Past' } \end{aligned}$ | (a) a-ri-rima 3sg-PST-cultivate-FV 'S/ he cultivated' | (a) ku-ø-zarik-a-fe? 2sg-PST-be born-ST-FV how How were you born? <br> (b) ka- $\varnothing$--pit-i pa 3sg-PST-pass-VC here 'S/he passed here' |
| $\text { /ta } \mathrm{ta}, \mathrm{ca}-\text {, Nda-/ }$ <br> 'Future' | (a) a-ta-rim-a 3sg-PST-cultivate-FV 'S/he cultivated' | X |
| /Nga-, Nge/ <br> 'Conditional' | (a) a-Nga-rim-a 3sg-COND-cultivate-FV 'S/he could have cultivated' | x |
| /ki-, ka-/ <br> 'Situative' | (a) a-ki-rim~a 3sg-SIT-cultivate-FV 'If $s /$ he cultivates' | X |

## APPENDIX B : KiNgome Texts.

This section contains three texts. They are intended to give extended samples of the dialect. Texts A and B were narrated by Ahmed Mwatanda of Kanga village. Text A describes a typical KiNgome marriage ritual. Some of the customs narrated here have begun to change but the words are still known to the majority of people. Text B is a narrative concerning WaNgome mythology. There is a belief and indeed a practice of owning spirits with supernatural power. The narrative involves a person who went to a Shaman to 'buy' a jinn at Kanga village. Text C was part of a conversation I recorded with Aisha bint Kombo of Kanga village.
Each text is first presented with a morpheme-by-morpheme analysis, a close interlinear translation and finally a free translation.

TEXT A: Marriage at Bagoni

kwa siku saba fuNgateni. a[y]isi na mumewe
ku-a siku saba fungate-ni a-isi na mu-me-we 15 -for 10a-day seven honeymoon-Loc. ISM-live with 1 -male-1Poss. the honeymoon for seven days. She lives with her husband


| uji. | Nehana | huriswa | wari | wa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| uji | n-cana | hu-ris-w-a | wari |  |
| 14-porridge. | $9 / 10$-afternoon Hab-eat-Pass-FV | 14-rice 14-Ass. |  |  |
| porridge. | In the afternoon she is fed with cook coconut |  |  |  |
| rice |  |  |  |  |

nazi, kitowere kyeñewe ni asari na samuri ya joNbe. n-nazi ki-tower-e ky-eñewe ni asari na samuri $y$-a noNbe. 9-coconut 7-broth 7-itself is 9-honey and 9-ghee 9-Ass 9-cow. with sauce of honey and cow ghee.

| Kisa baba wa muka | anasema, | awari ya | rero |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ki-sa baba | w-a | mu-ka | a-na-sem-a, | awari y-a | rero |
| then | 1a-father | 1-Ass. | 1-wife | 1SM-TA-say-FV, | from 9-Ass. |
| 9-today |  |  |  |  |  |


| fañeni | hisabu | mpaka | siku ya | saba | muje |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fan̆-e-ni | hisabu | mpaka siku | y-a | saba | mu-j-e |
| make-pl | count | until 9 9-day | $9-$ of | 9a-seven | 2plSM-come-Subj |
| count till the seventh day (then) you come |  |  |  |  |  |


| mumtowe | harusi | wenu. | Na | mama | naye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mu-m-to-[w]e | harusi | w-enu. | na |  | na-ye |
| 2piSM-1OM-release-Subj | 1a-bride | 1-poss. |  | la-mother | \&-herself |
| to take your brid | out | And | mot | ther also |  |


| anatowa | habari | zi-re | uwani. | Ire | siku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| a-na-to[w]-a | habari | z-ire | u[w]a-ni. | i-re | siku |
| 1SM-deliver-FV | 10-news | 10-Dem. | 11a-backyard-Loc | 9-Dem. | 9-day |
| delivers a similar message to the people | in the courtyard. |  |  |  |  |
| On the sixth day |  |  |  |  |  |



| wawo | na | siNdano | ya | kusonera. | WanatuNgiya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wa-[w]o | na | sindano | $\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{a}$ | ku-son-er-a. | wa-na-tung-i[y]a |
| 11a-their | \& | 9 - needle | $9-\mathrm{asss}$ | 15-weave-App-FV | 2SM-TA- weave-App- |
| -FV |  |  |  |  |  |

[^32]their thread and sewing needle. They thread
usaNga; Upo usaNga wa kiunoni, upo wa mwagaro na upo u-sanga u-po u-sanga w-a ki-uno-ni, u-po w-a mu-agaro na u-po 11a-bead 11a-Dem 11a-bead 11a-Ass 7 -waist-loc, 11a-loc 11a-of 3-buttock \& 11aLoc
beads. There is a waist (band of)bead, there is one for hips and there is

| wa | rukosi. | Anaviswa | pete | na | kutogwa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -a | ru-kosi. | a-na-vis-w-a | pete | na | ku-tog-w-a |
| 11a-Ass | 11-neck | 1SM-TA-wear-Pass-FV | earing | and | 15-pierce-Pass-FV |
| for the neak. They put on her a ring and pierce [her] |  | y put on her | ing |  | rce [her] |


| masikio[y]o. | Tundu za | masikiyo za kati | huitwa |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ma-siki[y] o. | Tundu | z-a | ma-siki[y]o | z-a kati | hu-it-w-a |
| 6 -ears | 10 -hole | 10 -Ass | 6 -ears | 10-Ass | 9a-cent |
| Hab-call-Pass-FV |  |  |  |  |  |
| ears. The holes pieced in the ears are called |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{lcccccc}\text { maphete. } & \text { Na } & \text { puwani } & \text { anatogwa } & \text { upande } & \text { mmoji } & \text { wa } \\ \text { ma- }{ }^{\text {h }} \text { ete. } & \text { na } & \text { pu[w]a-ni } & \text { a-na-tog-w-a } & \text { u-pande } & \text { m-moj-i } & \text { w-a } \\ \text { 6-earings } & \& & \text { 9-nose-Loc } & \text { 1SM-TA-pierce-FV } & \text { 11a-side } & \text { 11:3-one } & \text { 11a- }\end{array}$ Ass
maphete. And on the nose they pierce

| $\mathrm{Nk} \mathrm{h}_{\text {ono }}$ | wa | Nsoto | 1 | Nriye. | Kwen̆e | Ndewe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ оno | w-a | n- | a[w]u | $n-\mathrm{ri}$ [y-e | ku-en̆e | we |
| 3-hand | 3-As | 3-left | or | 3-right | 17-Loc | 9-ear |
| r on one side either left or right. In her ears the |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| anatiwa | harine, | puwani | 'kipini kya Ndege'. | Sasa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a-na-ti-w-a | harine, | pu[w]a-ni | 'ki-pini ky-a n-dege'. | sasa |
| 1SM-TA-Pass-FV | 9/10-earing | $9 / 10$-nose-Loc | 7-medallion 7-Ass 9-bird'. | Now | put earrings, in a nose-pin with the likeness of a bird'.

Now

| anaviswa | usaNga | wa | kiwunoni | na | mwagaro. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a-na-vis-w-a | u-sanga | w-a | ki-[w]unoni, | na | mu-agaro |
| 1SM-TA-wear-Pass-FV | 11a-bead | 11a-Ass. 7-waist-Loc | \& | 3-hip |  |
| they put her beads around the waist and the hips. |  |  |  |  |  |

Harafu fundi anamfuNda kuisi na mume wake.

| then | fundi | a-na-m-fund-a | $\mathrm{ku}-\mathrm{isi} i$ | na | mu -me | w-ake. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| then | 5 -trainer | 1SM-TA-OM-teach-FV | 15-Inf-live \& | 1-husband | 1-Poss. |  |

Then her trainer teaches her how to live with her husband.

| Afere | amuri | ya | mume. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a-fer-e | amuri | y-a | mu-me |
| 1 SM-follow | -Subj | 9-rule | 9-Ass. |
| And that | 1-husband |  |  |
| Ane ought to follow her husband orders. |  |  |  |

## TEXT B: To Buy a Jinn

Bwana we kija hapa kutaka nikuuziye jini.
buana we ki-ja ha-pa ku-taka ni-ku-uz-i[y]e jini la-mister y ou 1sm-come loc-16 15-want 1sg-15-sell-Appl-Subj 1a-jinn Mister you have come here to ask me to sell you a jinn.

Miye jini wangu si-Nthumi kaNkhate furano mi-ye jini w-angu si-N-thumi ka-N-khate furano I-pron- jinn 1-poss Neg-1OM-send 1SM-1OM-cut somebody I won't ask my jinn to go and kill somebody.


Sasa rete kitezo. weka mukaa na rete ruvumba. sasa rete ki-tezo. weka mu-kaa na rete ru-vuNba. Now bring 7 -burner. put 3 -charcoal \& bring 14 -incense Now bring an incense burner, put on charcoal and bring incense.

We! muzee kičoNgwec̆oNgwe kija kiumbe ayu wel mu-zee kičoNgwec̆oNgwe ki-ja ki-umbe a-yu 2sgpron 1-elder kičoNgwečoNgwe 3sgsM-come 7:1-person 1-Dem you old man Kichongwechongwe here comes a person

| anakutaka | awe | rafiki | yako. umusiki[y] | maneno |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a-na-ku-taka | a-we | rafiki | ya-ko. u-mu-sikie | ma-neno |
| 1SM-TA-2sgOM-want | 1SM-be | 1a: 9-friend 9 -Poss | 2sg-3sgom-listen | 6 -words |


| gake $\quad$ goNthe | anagogataka | kosema. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ga-ke | go-Nt ${ }^{h}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | a-na-go-ga-taka | ko-sema |
| 6-Dem | 6-all | 3sg-TA-6Rel-6OM-want | 15-say |
| all his words which he wants to say |  |  |  |


| Uwe naye | umtriNge | na |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| u-we na-ye | u-mu-riNge | na |
| 2sg-be $\&$-Pron | 2sgSM-1OM-see-Subj | $\&$ |
| Be with him, look after him | $\&$ |  |


| keNgeja wake | uwariNde | muzee. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| kengeja $\quad$ wa-ke | u-wa-rind-e | mu-zee |
| 1a-wife 1-Poss | 2sgSM-2OM-protect-Subj | 1-elder |
| his children protect them, old man |  |  |

TaNbo za kutupiwa Nbere uzikiNge,
TaNbo z-a ku-tup-iw-a N-bere u-zi-kiNg-e
10a-charm 10-Ass 15-throw-Pass-FV 9-front 2sg-10-OM-guard-Subj
Guard him against the charm thrown in front of him.
ikiwa za numa na kufukiwa pi[y]a uzikiNge, ikiwa $z$-a n̆uma na ku-fuk-iw-a pia u-zi-kiNge, or 10-Ass 9-behind \& 15-dig-Pass-FV also 2sg-100M-protect, or from the behind and protect him.

| umupepetuse | Nguru | asikañage | rubaya |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u-mu-pepet-uste | N-guru | a-si-kañag-e | ru-baya |
| 2sgSM-3sgOM-keep off-Caus-Subj | 3-leg | 3sgSM-NEG-tread-FV 14-bad |  |
| keep his leg from treading | on any evil at all. |  |  |


| wowoNthe. soka | ra | kupiganilyla | unaro. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wow-oNthe. | soka | r-a | ku-pig-an-ilyj-a | u-na-ro. |
| 14-all. | 5 -axe | 5-Ass | 15-hit-Rec-Appl-FV | 2sg-have-5Rel. |

you have an axe to fight with.

MuriNde na uwe mačo. Zawadi zako zipo.
mu-rinde na u-we mačo. Zawadi z-ako zi-po.
2 sgOM -protect \& $\quad 2 \mathrm{sg}$-be 6-eyes. 10a-gift 10-Poss 10-16Loc
Guard him and be alert. You will have your rewards'
kyano pi[y]a utapata. $\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{Nk}^{h_{\text {wavi }}}$ umrorweze.
ky-ano pily]a u-ta-pata. $\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ wavi u -m-rorw-ez-e 7-feast also 2sg-TA-get. \& $\quad 9$-cattle 2sg- $\quad 2 \mathrm{SM}-3 \mathrm{sgOM}$-slaughter-Caus-Subj You will be given your tray of delicacies. You will have a cow slaughtered for you.

Haya suguri iša twara jini ryako ukatumi[y]e. haya suguri is̆a twar-a jini ry-ako u-ka-tumi[y]-e Ok 9a-ritual complete get-FV 5x-jinn 5-Poss 2sgSM-TA-use-Subj ok, the ritual is over take your jinn and use him.

## Text C: To Sharpen a Machete

Heje? kufura muNdu Jimbo? kweNda
he-je? ku-fura mu-ndu Jimbo? ku-enda
Intg-Cl. 15Int-forge 3 -matchete Jimbo? 15Inf-go
Hey? have you forge your billhook at Jimbo? Do you intend to take it along when you go

| nawo | bara | weye? | Basi | tafuta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Nt}^{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| na-wo | bara | we-ye? | basi | tafuta |
| \&-Rel. | $\mathrm{N}^{-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}}}$ |  |  |  |
| 9-land 2per.Pron | ok | find | 1-person |  |

to the mainland? Ok then find a person
akupangiye $\quad$ harafu aukurure.
a-ku-pang-i[y-]e harafu a-u-kurur-e.
1SM-2sgom-process-App-Subj then 1-SM-3OM-smooth-FV Nasoro
who will process it then smoothen it.

| nenda | pare | kwa | Nasoro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n-enda | pa-re | ku-a | Nasoro |
| 2pers-go | 16-Dem | 17-Ass | Nasoro |

Go to Nasoro

| akupangily]e. | Hebu! | nï-pa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a-ku-pang-ily[-e | hebu! | n̆i-pa |
| 1-SM-2sgOM-forge-Subj | Alright! | 1sgOM-give-Impve |
| to process it. Alright |  |  |

ni-u-riNge. Mu-Ndu ${ }^{\text {N-zito }}$.
x̆i-u-riNg-e. Mu-ndu n-zito
1SM-3OM-see-Subj. 3-matchete 3-heavy
Let me see it. It is a heavy machete.

| Sasa | pereka | aukurure, | kisa | aunore |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sasa | pereka | a-u-kurur-e | ki-sa | a-u-nore |$\quad$ sawasawa.


| kama haukururiwa haupati makari |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kama ha-u-ku-kurur-iw-a | ha-u-pati ma-kari |

Kama ha-u-ku-kurur-iw-a ha-u-pati ma-kari.
if Neg-3SM-15-smooth-Pas-FV Neg-3SM-get 6-sharpness
If it hasn't been smoothed, it never becomes sharp.

| Akisaunora | utakweNda | kurimi[y]a |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-ki-sa u-nora | u-ta-ku-enda | ku-rim-i[y]-a |
| 1SM-TA-Aux-3OM-shapern | 3SM-TA-15Inf-go | 15-Inf-cultivate-App-FV |
| After being sharpened you will use it for cultivation |  |  |


| MuNdu | wa | kurotora | ndio | unafaa | kwa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| mu-ndu | W-a | ku-rotor-a | ndi-o | u-na-faa | ku-a |
| 3-machete | 3-Ass | 15-Inf. smooth | be-Rel | 3SM-TA-right | 15-Ass. |
| The smoothed machete is perfect for |  |  |  |  |  |


| kučeNgeya | vava, | na | kukati[y]a koNgowa. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ku-čoNg-e-[y]-a | vava | na | ku-kat-i-[y]-a Kongowa |
| 15-clear-Appl-FV | 9a-shrub, | $\&$ | 15-cut-Appl-FV 10-poles |

clearing bush and cutting poles.

## APPENDIX C: KiNgome-English Lexicon

The following is a KiNgome-English wordlist. Indication of a Class number shows that an item is a noun; Adj. stands for adjectives; Adv. stands for Adverbs; Conj. stands for conjuctions; V for verbs; Pron. for pronoun; interj. for interjection; Dem. for demonstratives. This information is given after the lexical item. KiNgome entries are ordered alphabetically. Only stems are listed for verbs, adjectives, adverbs and pronominal words. The distinction between transitive and intransitive verb stem will be illustrated by (T) and (I) respectively.

## KiNgome - English Lexicon <br> A

| abikiza | (V) | make a try |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| agama | (V) | lean |
| zganika | (V) | be occupied, be busy |
| ako | (Pron) | your |
| amura | (V) | settle a dispute |
| aNgari[y]a | (V) | see |
| aNgata | (V) | assist |
| a Ng 位a | (V) | fall |
| $\Rightarrow$ Ngura | (V) | hatch ( T ) |
| arizi | (910) | land |
| aruka | (V) | the rise-of tide |
| bsikari ~askhari | (1a) | soldier |
| aswari | (9a) | honey |
| atika | (V) | plant |
| avi | (adj) | empty |
| aye | (pron) | him/her |
| aNbari | (9a/10a) | amber |
| aNga | 5 | cloud |
| ada | 9a/10a | fee |
| ayari | 9a/10a | type of rope |

## B

| baNga | (V) | split (T) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| baNgabaNga | (V) | crack (T) |


| baNtha | (V) | grind |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| batha | (V) | backbite |
| baNthu | (5) | bark of tree |
| baNul[w]a | (9a) | spring tide |
| baNza | (V) | hide (I) |
| bamaNda | (V) | press together |
| baribari | (V) | pull |
| bata | (9a) | locust |
| bati | (5) | cattlepen |
| bedani | (5) | curly hair |
| beho | (9a) | belch |
| beNda | (V) | request |
| beNja | (V) | extract |
| bigiri | (5) | small pen/stone wall |
| bini | (V) | cultivate |
| bini | (V) | lie |
| biri | (V) | join (I) |
| bisi | (9a) | dune |
| bisa | (V) | go against tide |
| biwi | (9a) | (9a) |
| boba | (5) | refuse heap |
| bobo | (V) | (9a) |


| bonon̆a/boNgon̆o[w]a | (V) | wear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| buba | (14[5]) | blister |
| bububu | (5) | egg |
| bugabuga | (V) | find |
| buki | (5) | kidney |
| bui ~ rubui | (9a) | spider |
| buNbui | (14[5]) | sorcery |
| buni | (9a) | coffee |
| burai $[y]$ a | (V) | agree |
| buri | (5) | teapot |
| burugara/burudeNge | (1a) | uninformed person |
| burukutu | (9a) | a cup without a handle |
| buruN t $\mathrm{h}_{\mathbf{u}}$ | (9a) | hornless bull |
| buyi ~rubuyi | (9a) | spider |
| $b w a N d a$ | (14[5]) | garb |
| buaNguari | (14[5]) | hunger |
| buaNtha | (V) | blurt out |
| bwiNbui | (14[5]) | sweet rice |
| buira | (V) | gutp; request |
| C |  |  |
| С̆ă゙e ~ ¢̆ac̆i | (1a) | orphan |
| 厄afi | (9a) | biceps |
| Ěagara | (5) | branch of tree |
| Sakarawe | (7) | pebbles |
| ¢an̆aNtha | (V) | wash (T) |
| ¢эNba | (V) | wash oneself |
| $\mathrm{c}_{\text {a }} \mathrm{NP}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {ua }}}$ | (9a) | flotsam |
| 8aNbo | (7) | bait |
| cangue | (5) | shrub |
| Sata | (V) | salivate |
| SemeNbe/ky-emeNbe | (7) | diaghram |
| ¢eñe / ky-eñe | (7) | wrinkle |
| cenk ${ }_{\text {gni }}$ | (7) | fountain |
| cesi | (9a) | small deer |
| soçora | (V) | nibble |


| coka | (V) | get tired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (V) | peck |
| goNtha | (V) | draw (water) |
| ¢uNbua | (V) | breakfast |
| curuka | (9a/10a) | bait |
| ¢\%wago | (9a/10a) | type of crab |
| D |  |  |
| daba | (Adv) | jokingly ; in jest |
| dagarョ | (9a) | sardine |
| dago | (5) | fishermen's camp |
| daka | (5) | coconut stem |
| dakuka | (V) | be sour |
| dakuka | (1a) | stubborn girl |
| daNbura | (V) | eat little food |
| damuka ~ ramuka | (V) | wake up (I) |
| danaNga | (V) | cling |
| dari | (5) | ceiling |
| daNtha | (V) | hang, swing |
| dasidasi | (Adv) | hastily |
| dau | (5) | dhow |
| dawa | (5) | medicine |
| dawe | (5) | meadow land |
| defu | (Adj) | tall |
| deheni | (V) | caulk |
| dekemeza | (V) | slacken |
| demani | (5) | type of rope in a sailing boat |
| deNgereNge | (5) | blister |
| difu | (5) | coconut meat |
| diNbuka | (V) | eat the first harvest |
| diNdi~ miNdi | (5) | harbour, pool |
| domasa | (V) | pinch |
| doNdozs | (9a/10a) | wasp |
| dosari | (9a/10a) | insect (that eats wood) |
| dude | (5x) Aug. | huge beast |
| duNda | (5) | hill |


| duNduka | (5) | unripe coconut |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| duNga | (V) | follow |
| duNge | (5) | cashew nut |
| duNgurira | (5) | heartburn |
| duNpha | (V) | swing (I) |
| dumi | (5) | bull |
| duse | (5) | heap |
| E |  |  |
| Eka (ako) | (V) | stay (alone) |
| eNbe | (5) | mango |
| eNda | (V) | go |
| ereka | (V) | carry |
| erera | (V) | float (I) |
| eruks | (V) | cause to froth |
| esabu |  | count |
| eds | (9a/10a) | mourning days-of widow |
| F |  |  |
| fama | (V) | urinate |
| faNza | (V) | do |
| fena | (V) | go |
| fiNgo | (9a/10a) | shore |
| fipa | (V) | suck |
| fu | (V) | die |
| fu[w]ara | (9a/10a) | any food |
| fufu | (9a/10a) | trap (birds) |
| fufuma | (V) | swell |
| fugu | (V) | keep animal(s) |
| fukw | (V) | wash face (T) |
| fuNŏha | (V) | fight |
| fuNduki | (5) | breast |
| fuNkha | (V) | cultivate (using hoe) |
| funanga | (V) | mould |
| funura | (V) | open ( $T$ ) |
| fupa | (V) | award |


| fupha | (V) | bale out water (boat) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| furama | (V) | crouch |
| fure | (1a) | impotent man |
| furs | (9a/10a) | disease of the eye |
| furukwe | (9a/10a) | tortoise |
| furuma | (V) | foam, froth |
| fusa | (V) | break wind |
| fuso | (S) | charm |
| fyata | (V) | hold tight |
| fyuka | (V) | escape |
| fyagira | (V) | sweep |
| G |  |  |
| gaNda | (V) | press/stick |
| gaNdika | (V) | plaster |
| gasa | (V) | swell |
| gavu ~ Ngava | (5) | net |
| geNbe | (5) | waist |
| gen̆u | (9a) | rotten fruit |
| gera | (V) | throw |
| giza | (9a/10a) | darkness |
| goNgowa | (V) | envoke spirits |
| gudugudu | (5) | dry coconut |
| guN dumuka | (V) | wake up (I) |
| guNga | (V) | treat-medically |
| guye | (5) | thicket |
| H |  |  |
| habuka | (V) | be in suspense |
| hadisi | (9a/10a) | story |
| hama | (V) | migrate |
| hapa | (Dem) | here |
| haramu | (Adj) | forbidden |
| hari | (9a/10a) | situation, news |
| haswa[y]i | (9a/6) | castrated bull |
| hazima | (9a/10a) | amulet |


| herine | （9a／10a） | earring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hesa | （9a／10a） | share |
| hijabu | （9a） | disease of the ear |
| hirizi | （9a／10a） | charm |
| hitima | （9a） | prayer uttered 40 days after a death |
| hizi | （V） | be in agony |
| homa | （9a） | fever |
| hoNbwe | （9a／10a） | type of snail |
| huzuni | （9a／10a） | sorrow |
| I |  |  |
| igi | （5） | egg |
| irya | （V） | eat |
| isi（gant？） | （Adv） | in what manner；for what reason |
| ikara | （V） | to sit |
| irya | （V） | to eat |
| J |  |  |
| fヨ | （V） | come |
| fənaeza | （5） | coffin |
| $j i \sim d y i$ | （Refl．） | self |
|  | （5） | sweat |
| fino | （5） | tooth |
| fipu | （5） | boil |
| Jiwe | （5） | stone |
| faNgua | （5） | salt flat |
| fifya | （5） | hearthstone |
| famıi | （5） | plaited floor matting |
| faNbikg | （V） | wear skimply／casually |
| fara | （V） | fill up（I） |
| ғヨyョya | （5） | kidney |
| feke | （5） | top layer（of milk or stew） |
| jeNbe | （5） | hoe |
| feNga | （V） | build；accredit |
| fiNbi | （5） | yam |
| fiNbime | （9a／10a） | wild pigeon |


| fasi | (9a) | type of mineral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fiko | (5) | kitchen |
| jina | (5) | name |
| finamo | (9a/10a) | A ripening stage of paddy grain |
| fogore | (9a/10a) | cock |
| foNgoro | (9a/10a) | millipede |
| fowy | (V) | beich |
| junicy]a | (5) | sack |
| juru | (Adj) | up |
| fuya | (5) | fishnet |
| ${ }_{\text {fu }}[w] a$ | (V) | know |
| K |  |  |
| kago | (5) | protective magic |
| kakama | (V) | dry |
| kama | (V) | drain ( $T$ ) |
| kanadi | (9a/10a) | small mullet |
| kaNba | (conj) | that |
| kara | (V) | sit down |
| karafati | (9a/10a) | raw cotton filling (caulking holes) |
| karaNbisi | (9a/10a) | type of mackerel |
| karuka | (V) | dry (I) |
| $k a s k h_{a x} i$ | (9a/10a) | the Northeast Monsoon |
| kask ${ }_{\text {azinini }}$ | (9a/10a) | north |
| kaye | (9a/10a) | settlement |
| keNgefe[y]a | (V) | inflict pain |
| kesa ~ keša | (V) | pass the night awake |
| $k^{h_{\text {ajf }}}$ | (9a/10a) | snail |
|  | (9a/10a) | prawn |
| $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Ng}$ | (9a/10a) | blue coloured fish |
| $k^{\text {hara }}$ | (9a/10a) | crab |
| $k^{h_{\text {arauwi }}}$ | (9a/10a) | type of crab |
| $k^{\text {hatua }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of ray-fish |
| $k^{\text {hedi }}$ | (Adj) | proud |


| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {heNga }}$ | (9a/10a) | palm fruit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eNga | (9a/10a) | unripe cashew fruit |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hoc̆a }}$ | (V) | crossing legs while riding a donkey |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}_{\text {ome }}}$ | (9a/10a) | sea snail |
| $k^{h_{0} \mathrm{NbE}}$ | (5) | big plate $\sim$ food |
| $k^{\text {honde }}$ | (9a/10a) | field |
| $k^{\text {horifa }}$ | (9a/10a) | a unit of twelve |
| $k^{\text {hotama }}$ | ((9a/10a)) | hunting knife |
| $k^{\text {h }}$ \%wana | (9a/10a) | type of fish |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{uc}}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ | (9a/10a) | big type of rooster |
| $k^{\text {hufa }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of bee |
| $k^{h_{u p a}}$ | (9a/10a) | tick |
| $k^{h_{\text {upe }}}$ | (9a/10a) | large wild rat |
| $\mathrm{k}^{h_{\text {uru }} \mathrm{uNg}_{\mathrm{ge}}}$ | (7) | forest |
| ki- | (7x-) | diminutive |
| kibaNgu | (7) | a skin of parchment |
| kibavu | (7) | disease of the ribs |
| kibibi | (Adj) | numbness |
| kiboko | (9a[1a]) | sea snail |
| kibuNbui | (7) | sorcery (in Jimbo) |
| kicangu | (7) | uncleared bush inside the farm |
| kičapa | (7) | rivulet |
| kiduNda | (7) | hillock |
| kiduNgo | (7) | joint, anklet |
| kidusa | (7) | hill |
| kifuru | (7) | coconut shell |
| kifurukwe | (9a[1a]) | tortoise |
| kigara | (7) | ripe rice grain |
| kiganaNdu | (7) | scar ; membrane |
| kigoya | (9a[7]) | small ray fish |
| kigudi | (7) | elbow |
| kihomi | (7) | twinges |
| kifamaNdョ | (7) | basket |
| kikoko | (7) | shrub |


| kikwekwe | (9a[7]) | type of rooster |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kimača | (7) | ringworm |
| kimareNby | (7) | multicolor |
| kimiro | (7) | uvula |
| kimma | (V) | silence |
| kiñegi | (7) | drizzle of rain |
| kin̆ehe | (7) | calf - 'animal' |
| kineNbe | (7) | razor blade |
| kiñiNgina | (7[1a]) | great- grand son's children |
| kinaNgu | (9a[7]) | small mullet |
| kineNgwe | (9a [7]) | type of fish |
| kiNgurupi[y]a | (7) | graft |
| kiNkhisa | (7) | heartbeat |
| kipaNga | (9a/10a) | tsetse fly |
| kipiju | (7) | rainbow |
| kipiNguNjuju | (Adv) | be unsettled |
| kipofu | (1a) | blind person |
| kiraNde | (9a/10a) | trigger fish |
| kimiboto | (9a[7]) | flea |
| kirikita | (5) | tractor |
| kiruNbasi | (7) | a fragrant plant |
| kiruNgo kya mukono | (7) | palm |
| kiruru | (9a) | desire |
| Kirumirumi | (7) | headache |
| kisegeyu | (7) | heel |
| kisimani | (7) | omen |
| kisima~fuNgu | (7) | island |
| kisogoo | (7) | occiput |
| kisugudi | (7) | elbow |
| kisune | (7]1a]) | bachelor; uncircumcised person |
| kisusi | (7) | ceiling beam |
| kitaNga | (7) | type of spirit possession ritual |
| kitaNgafa | (7) | round mat made of borassus palm |
| Kitate | (7[1a]) | deaf |
| kitetesi | (9a[7]) | butterfly |


| kitezo | (7) | incense burner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kitika | (V) | sting |
| kitiNbaNba | (7) | problems |
| kituNguru | (7) | onion |
| kitupa | (7x-) | small bottle |
| kituture | (7) | hut |
| kiNgafa | (7) | wrist |
| kimaNbaza | (7) | wall |
| kiuiNdu | (Adv) | tying a boat (syle of) |
| kiyaNga | (7) | dry season |
| kizimaNda | (7x-[1a]) | last born |
| kizu | (9a/10a) | African goshawk |
| kizuki | (7[1a]) | widow |
| ki(r) unbika | (7) | side wall (of the house) |
| kobera | (V) | drink |
| kodo | (5) | testicle |
| kohoro | (5) | cough |
| koko | (5) | coconut stone |
| komars | (V) | become ripe |
| koNie | (5) | fist |
| koNgoro | (5) | bone |
| koNgowere | (9a) | wood beam |
| korija | (10a) | a set of twenty |
| koromito | (5) | throat |
| kosa | (V) | miss- in aim |
| kowa | (5) | snail-shell |
| koyoNge[y]a | (V) | eat without a pause |
| koza | (V) | heat |
| kuki[y]a | (V) | sleep |
| kukuNthut | (Adj) | few |
| kukuthanda | (9a/10a) | duck |
| kuNdeNba | (Adv) | in private |
| kuNui | (10a) | chaff |
| kuruma | (V) | flow |
| kururu | (5) | edible coconut leaf |
| kusi | (9a) | the South West Monsoon |


| kusini | Loc. | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kwada | (V) | peel off unwanted skin or bark |
| Kめるwa | (V) | crawl |
| kwesuka | (V) | be dehydrated (I) |
| kwera | (V) | climb |
| kwema | (V) | get pregnancy |
| KmiNkh ${ }_{\text {mi }}$ | (9a) | hiccup |
| kyaka | (9a) | year |
| kyakura | (7) | food |
| kyani | (5[7]) | leaf |
| kyatu | (7) | shoe |
| kyax | (9a[7]) | blood |
| kyeñe ~ ¢¢епе | (7) | wrinkle |
| kyoNgore | (9a/10a) | large type of fish |
| kyoo | (7) | mirror |
| kyuma | (7) | iron |
| kyuNba | (7) | room |
| kyuNgu | (7) | pot |
| M |  |  |
| Nba | (3) | dandruff |
| Nbago | (Adj) | new |
| Njeni | (1) | guest |
| Nando | (3) | ecstasy |
| Nthafu[w]o | (3) | the third layer on donkey's back |
| Nyaa | (3) | dwarf (doum) palm |
| Nyasa [mjasa] | (3) | sneeze |
| Nyun̆u | (3) | cuttlefish tails |
| mafimaNga | (9a) | coconut juice |
| mififi | (4) | water |
| mačaxa | (6) | gruel |
| mastina | (Adj) | cold (of water) |
| mahaNda | (6) | twin |
| mahani | (6) | activities |
| mafifya ~ maifya | (6) | hearthstones |
| mafite | (6) | spittle |


| maki | (Adj) | thick |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| makoko | (6) | weed |
| makopo | (6) | coconut palm flower |
| makurima | (6) | agriculture |
| maki | (Adj) | wide |
| mana | (Adj) | low tide |
| marimo | (6) | a place of sorrow |
| marimo | (6) | bereavement |
| maruNgo | (6) | body |
| masaha | (6) | pus |
| masetera | (6) | watered rice |
| matapişi | (6) | vomit |
| matirai ~ matrai | (9a/10a) | Eastwind |
| maxily]ara | (6) | graveyard |
| marerefi | (6) | fishing season |
| meno | (6) | teeth |
| meko | (9a) | kitchen |
| muakakoga | (3) | Swahili New Year |
| mwaNdani | (1) | mistress |
| muaNtani | (3) | inner part of the grave |
| mwani | (3) | seaweed |
| mede | (9a/10a) | table |
| meka | (V) | blow the nose |
| motoni | (Adv) | hellishly |
| moto | (3) | fire |
| men̆u | (Adv) | typically/indigenously |
| mexa | (V) | swallow |
| mififi | (6) | water |
| mive | (Pron) | me/I |
| miri | (V) | own |
| mi [y]amofa | (9a/10a) | 100 |
| mofi ~mofa | Num. | one |
| moNgorera | (9a/l0a) | cave |
| mu- | (18) | in there |
| muc̆e | (3) | bud |
| Muc̆uNba~N̦uNba | (1) | fiancé |


|  | (3) | stew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mudomo ~ Ndomo | (3) | lip |
| mufu $\sim \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{u}$ | (1) | dead person |
| mugoNfo | (3) | elephantiasis |
| mugura | (3) | leg |
| muhire /mgosi | (1) | cousin |
| muhu | (Adj) | bad tempered |
| muki[y]a $\sim$ Nkhi[y]a | (3) | tail |
| mukoNfogo | (3) | file |
| muñu | (9a) | salt |
| muNk $\mathrm{ha}_{\text {a }}$ | (1) | wife |
| mupwa | (1) | nephew/niece |
| muraNga | (3) | sun |
| muriNbs | (9a/10a) | very big type of mullet |
| murures $\sim$ mrurs | (3) | fencing using tree branches |
| muryaNgo | (3) | door |
| muryo | (3) | food |
| musima | (3) | fruit-stone (unripe) |
| musipa ~ Nsipa | (3) | vein |
| mutato $\sim N_{1} \mathrm{thoto}^{\text {a }}$ | (1) | baby |
| muvyere | (1) | elder |
| muwa | (3) | sugarcane |
| muwas | (3) | dwarf palm |
| muwere | (1) | patient |
| muxizi ~Nyizi | (3) | root |
| $\mathrm{mu}[\mathrm{r}] \mathrm{uNg} \mathrm{s}^{\text {d }}$ | (1) | God |
| muagaro | (3) | bead |
| mwamu | (1) | brother in law |
| mwaNgo | (3) | door |
| mwani | (3) | sea weed |
| mwa $\mathrm{N}^{h_{u}}$ | (Adj) | arrogant |
| muare | (3) | type of bamboo |
| mwari | (1) | girl |
| muata | (3) | bait |
| mwวuwo | (3) | period of Spring Tide |
| mweka | (Interg.) | please |


| mwiku | （3） | morning food cooked the night before |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m m i N b i$ | （3） | tide |
| N |  |  |
| Nou | （9／10） | mosquito |
| Nohoo | （3） | dug－out canoe |
| Nohuou | （3） | cheese |
| Nothei | （3） | relish／sauce |
| Ndidimo | （3） | thunder |
| NgaNga | （1） | medicine man |
| Nge | （9／10） | scorpion |
| Ngina | （3） | paddy |
| N $\mathrm{k}^{\text {haguru }}$ | （3） | spotted tree／discoloured tree |
| NikhaNdas | （3） | type of tree |
| NehareNba | （3） | type of tree |
| Nkharo | （3） | big nail；a stabbing spear |
| NT $\mathrm{h}_{\text {ate }}$ | （3） | bread |
| Nイhizi | （9110） | old mullet |
| Nkhono | （3） | arm |
| Nkhosano | （1） | father in law |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{huNga}^{\text {ung }}$ | （3） | midwife |
| Ņk ${ }_{\text {uNga }}$ | （9／10） | moray eel |
| Nnazi | （3） | coconut tree |
| YN⿰扌丸 | （9／10） | outside |
| YNfo | （V） | come here |
| YpheyaNpheya | （Adv） | with a rolling motion（of boat） |
| Yphika | （3） | stretcher |
| Ysana | （3） | sitting room |
| YsaNga | （3） | sand |
| JseNge | （3） | poking stick |
| JsiNzi | （3） | type of tree |
| Jsipa | （3） | hernia |
| 1 so | （9／10） | kidney |
| Jsuka | （Adv） | peripherally |


| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{t}^{\text {hama }}$ | (V) | pain (I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N ${ }_{\text {thaNbara }}$ | (9/10) | snake |
| NithariNbo | (3) | tea |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{h}_{\text {ekurieñe }}$ | (3) | rice |
| Nithete | (1) | baby |
| Nthitima | (Adv) | in the early hours |
| Nuivu | (1) | lazy person |
| Nupui | (1) | fisherman |
| Nzi | (9/10) | housefly |
| Nzurury | (Adv) | dizzily |
| naNga | (V) | reprehend, blame |
| пеwa | (V) | insult |
| Nbara | (9/10) | antelope |
| Nbigiri | (9/10) | thorn |
| Nbiri | (9/10) | two |
| Nbova | (9/10) | small blue fish |
| Nbuguma | (9/10) | heifer |
| Nburiza | (9/10) | grey/white hair |
| Nŏhawa | (9/10) | louse |
| $\mathrm{Nǒh}_{\text {eNba }}$ | (3) | sea current |
| Ndime | (Adv) | very hard |
| Ndo[w]ana | (910) | fish hook |
| Ndu[w]ano | (910) | barracuda |
| Ndwere | (910) | illness |
| Ngebiue | (9/10) | type of fish |
| Ngeka | (9/10) | luck |
| Ngeza | (9/10) | leprosy |
| Ngisi | (9/10) | squid |
| Ngome | (9/10) | rocky forest |
| Nguku | (910) | hen |
| Nguñazi | (9/10) | fog |
| NguNda | (9/10) | type of wild pigeon |
| Nguru | (910) | king fish |
| Ngutika | (V) | tremble, shiver |
| $\mathrm{Nk} \mathrm{h}_{\text {af }}$ | (910) | type of bee |


| Nkhama | (9/10) | type of bird |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nk. ${ }_{\text {ara }}$ | (9/10) | crab |
| Nk $\mathrm{harabu}^{\text {ara }}$ | (9/10) | dog |
| Nkhata ~Ngata | (9/10) | headpad |
| Nkh uku | (9/10) | keel |
| Nk ${ }_{\text {uNguri }}$ | (9/10) | bug |
|  | (9/10) | armpit |
| NjegeNjege | (5) | jaw |
| nona | (V) | fatten (I) |
| nono | (adj) | fat |
| Nsuka | (9/10) | peninsula |
| Nthara | (9/10) | rayfish |
| Nthesa | (9/10) | groundnut |
| $N \mathrm{thi}(\mathrm{N})$ thira | (9/10) | cattle (black) |
| Nthiri | (9/10) | half-beak |
| Nthitira | (9/10) | early morning |
| Nthokosa | (9/10) | stew |
| Nthokose | (9/10) | newly harvested rice |
| nunu | (1a) | mother/wife |
| Nuiño | (9/10) | maggot |
| Nuura | (9/10) | rain |
| ñama | (9/10) | meat |
| K̇mabahari | (9/10) | whale |
| namantho | (1a) | precocious; infant |
| naNgi | (9/10) | noise |
| ñara | (V) | shrink (I) |
| narubibi | (9/10) | chameleon |
| niehe | (Adj) | small |
| neNuure | (9/10) | grasshopper |
| neñefu | (V) | irritate |
| ñeñeka | (V) | tickle |
| niNbi | (9/10) | very big rayfish |
| nitika | (V) | protrude, swell (of belly) |
| noNdora | (9/10) | leftovers |
| noNge | (9/10) | bile |


| noNgo | （9／10） | back |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nora | （V） | shave（T） |
| ñupe | （Pron） | You（pl） |
| n̆uzi za（bui） | （10） | cobweb |
| กัゃ习 | （V） | drink |
| ňwere | （9／10） | hair |
| gaNba | （9／10） | type of turtle |
| ワars | （V） | shine |
| педеsa | （V） | look over |
| nors | （V） | uproot |
| 0 |  |  |
| oga | （V） | bath |
| ogofya | （V） | frighten |
| oka | （V） | plant seed，transplanting |
| ona | （V） | see |
| oNgora | （V） | save |
| －Nja | （V） | taste |
| onthe | （Adj） | all |
| ororo | （Adj） | soft |
| P |  |  |
| pa | （V） | give |
| pa－ | （16） | here（Locative） |
| pakura | （V） | pour over |
| paNgañ | （V） | mix（T） |
| paNgusa | （V） | wipe |
| PaparmphaNga | （9a） | saw fish |
| para | （V） | fly |
| Para | （V） | scale off（T） |
| paraNgana | （V） | be mixed up |
| Parathaza | （9a／10a） | cool season（June－August） |
| PaNfoyoyo | （Adj） | maximal |
| Plyi | （10a） | a dry measure of grain |
| Pasura | （V） | split（T） |
| pa（r）ura | （V） | thatching（roof） |


| PeNbura | (V) | sift or winnowing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pereka | (V) | send |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {®apa }}$ | (9/10) | shark |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hara }}$ | (5) | roof |
| $p^{h} \mathrm{ENg} \mathrm{No}$ | (5) | teeth loss |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {ete }}$ | (9a/10a) | finger ring |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hiNbimi }}$ | (9a/10a) | trunk (of body) |
| $p^{\text {hiNgusi }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of shark |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hopo }}$ | (9a/10a) | bat -'animal' |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hunda }}$ | (9a/10a) | donkey |
| $p^{\text {hueteko }}$ | (9a/10a) | type of bird |
| Pika | (V) | cook (T) |
| piNgu | (5) | very big basket |
| $p^{\text {ita }}$ | (V) | pass (1) |
| pojopofa | (Adj) | big |
| pokera | (V) | receive |
| poNbopoNbo | (9a/10) | type of tree |
| poNgors | (V) | give birth |
| poromoka | (V) | stumble, descend |
| pota | (V) | be upset (of stomach) |
| poteka | (V) | slacken (I) |
| potera | (V) | disappear |
| pumura | (V) | relax |
| puNtha | (V) | wait |
| R |  |  |
| muara | (V) | fall sick |
| ragura | (V) | foretell |
| ramuka | (V) | wake up early (I) |
| ramuri | (9a/10a) | horoscope |
| raNba | (V) | lick |
| rapa | (V) | swear |
| rara | (V) | sleep |
| rema | (V) | refuse |
| reNba | (5) | spot |


| reNdeNda | (V) | tête è-tête |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reNgefeka | (V) | soak (I) |
| rewa | (V) | booze |
| miNda | (V) | watch |
| riNdi ~diNdi | (5) | harbour, pool |
| miNga | (V) | see |
| roNbe | (5) | maize |
| roNga | (V) | say |
| roNgora | (V) | lead |
| rotors | (Adj) | unspoiled; virgin |
| rota | (V) | dream |
| rubawa | (14) | wing |
| ručawa | (14) | nakedness |
| ruçai | (14) | witchcraft |
| ruchNgwi | (9a/10a) | ant |
| ruc̆wa ~ učma | (9a/10a) | termite |
| ručmero | (14) | west |
| rufa | (11) | crack |
| rufagiro | (14) | broom |
| rufizi | (11) | gum |
| rufuvuNme | (Adv) | by lieing on the back |
| ruganzi | (14) | numbness |
| rugonjwa | (14) | illness |
| rufi | (14) | porridge |
| rukhuosa | (11) | fingernail |
| rukhuni | (11) | firewood |
| rukiNdu | (11) | palm leaf |
| rukiri | (11) | palm fond |
| rukoma | (14) | leprosy |
| rukosi | (11) | neck |
| rukuni | (11) | firewood |
| rukuiNdi | (14) | tooth decay |
| ruma | (V) | bite |
| ruñeyo | (11) | lower part of the leg |
| ruñoya | (11) | feather |
| ruNge | (11) | flour |


| ruNkha | （V） | jump |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rupepo | （11） | wind |
| rupere rya mapaNde | （14） | smallpox |
| rupono | （9a／10a） | marbled parrot fish |
| rurimi | （11） | tongue |
| remer | （9a／10a） | pearl |
| rusiNgisi | （14） | sleep |
| ruso～riso | （11） | face |
| rutathaNge | （9a／10a） | sword fish |
| rutene | （9a／10a） | penis |
| ruti rya mugoNgo | （11） | backbone |
| ruwa | （11） | fence |
| romuoka | （V） | be upset |
| reNgu | （5） | outrigger |
| ruzi | （11） | thread |
| ruziwa | （14） | milk |
| rwiko | （11） | large wooden spoon |
| rwigo | （11） | fence／hedge |
| rya | （V） | eat |
| S |  |  |
| saibu | （1a） | older person |
| 乡axi［y］ق | （5） | big type of needle |
| 引iki［y］o | （5） | ear |
| sogi | （5） | donkey＇saddle． |
| צufa［y］i | （9a／10） | fierce rooster |
| Yaruti | （9a／10a） | type of rope on sailing boat |
| saxa | （V） | leave（food leftover） |
| somo | （1a） | namesake |
| sugumi | （9a／10a） | event |
| saba | Numeral | seven |
| ミョg | （V） | grind |
| saha $[w]$ | （V） | forget |
| saNfari | （1a） | companion |
| savu | （5） | cheek（side of face） |
| sema | （V） | say |


| serasini | Numeral | thirty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seta | （Adj） | foolish |
| siye－ | （Pron） | we |
| sibiwa | （V） | be bewitched |
| siki［y］o | （5） | ear |
| simura | （V） | pull out |
| siNdano | （9a／10a） | needle |
| sip ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ | （9a／10a） | poking stick |
| sitha | Numeral | six |
| sogera | （V） | move over（I） |
| sokota | （V） | twist（T） |
| soma | （V） | read |
| sosora | （V） | beget |
| sugura | （V） | rub |
| suhu | （V） | rot |
| suka | （V） | plait |
| sukuma | （V） | push |
| sukutura | （V） | wash mouth |
| suNguma | （V） | rub |
| suarire | （9a） | swan |
| ョwifa | （9a） | shark oil |
| T |  |  |
| ta | （V） | lay |
| tヨゴアbu | （V） | be amazed |
| tamu | （Adj） | sweet |
| taNbi | （5） | branch of a tree |
| taNbura | （V） | discover |
| taNbura | （V） | forge |
| taNda | （5） | big type of bed |
| taNgaña | （V） | mix（I） |
| taNt $\mathrm{Hmma}^{\text {a }}$ | （V） | toddle |
| tapata | （V） | be upset（of stomach） |
| tara | （Adj） | steep－roofed |
| tekuka | （V） | be soaked |
| teNbery | （V） | walk |


| teNda | (V) | do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| teNge | (Adv) | vertically |
| tereka | (V) | cook (T) |
| tereko | (9a) | food |
| teterra | (V) | tremble |
| $\mathrm{th}_{\text {aNbi }}$ | (5) | branch(tree) |
| $t^{\text {G }}$ a ${ }^{\text {bo }}$ | (10a) | sorcery |
| - thanda | (5) | pond |
| $t^{\text {hano }}$ | Numeral | five |
| thara | (5) | roof |
| $t^{\text {hara }}$ | (9a) | rayfish |
| thasa | (1a) | barren woman |
| thataNge | (9a) | swordfish |
| thatut | Numeral | three |
| thekeña | (5) | chigger |
| thete | (Adj) | unripe |
| thetere | (9/10a) | wild pigeon |
| thetesi | (9a/10a) | butterfly |
| $\mathrm{theNbe}^{\text {hent }}$ | (1a) | wife |
| thigino | (9a/10a) | heifer |
| thiNburima | (5) | sugar and coconut doughnut |
| thisini | Numeral | ninety |
| $t^{\text {thoto }}$ | (9a/10a) | furrow |
| ${ }_{4} \mathrm{huNbi}^{\text {a }}$ | (9a/10a) | pig |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\text {HiNgut }}$ | (9a[5x]) | big navel |
| thutut | (9a/10a) | type of wild pigeon |
| tikita | (V) | bullfight |
| tiNbin̆a | (V) | tread |
| tira | (V) | put into |
| toga | (V) | pierce the body |
| tokota | (V) | boil (I) |
| tokosa | (V) | cause to boil |
| tomue | (5) | hole |
| toña | (V) | pinch |


| tofa | （V） | leak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| topora | （V） | uproot |
| tosa | （V） | inform thoroughly |
| tome | （10a） | vein（pluralia tantum only） |
| tuma | （V） | send |
| tuNbi | （9a／10a） | pig |
| tuNbu［w］o | （9a／10a） | lock |
| tuNgure | （9a／10a） | tomato |
| tupa | （V） | throw |
| tura | （V） | lay／put down |
| turwa | （V） | be placed |
| tugi | （5） | insult |
| tusa | （V） | try ones luck |
| tweka | （V） | raise sail |
| twesa | （V） | bid goodnight |
| ¢¢iñ | （9a／10a） | chick |
| tyanga | （V） | wander |
| U |  |  |
| uboNgo | （11） | brain |
| ubaridi | （14） | fishing season |
| wberu | （Adv） | on half sail |
| ubuiti | （14） | mussels |
| uc̆apa | （11） | river |
| いぐきแヨ | （14） | nakedness |
| učeNge | （14） | cleared bush |
| učeza | （14） | sport／play |
| uçono | （11） | anus |
| wĕukuti | （11） | palm frond |
| wŏukwi | （14） | rice plant |
| udaha | （11） | pepper |
| ugema | （14） | bank（river or lake） |
| ugoNgo | （14） | customary payment at rituals |
| ugubiko | （11） | cap |
| whaNga | （14） | birdlime |
| ufava | （14） | stream |


| Ufera | （14） | sea－food（a mixture of ray and other fish） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ujusi | （14） | to die without marrying |
| uka | （V） | stand up（I） |
| ukadi | （14） | anus |
| ukarara | （11） | petiole of coconut palm |
| ukoNgo［w］ | （11） | central midrib of a palm leaf |
| ukope | （11） | eyelash |
| ukuru | （14） | sexual desire |
| ukurutu | （14） | rash |
| ukwehere | （14） | bad odour |
| ukweku | （14） | walking stick |
| umage | （11） | knife |
| umeta | （14） | lightness |
| uňen̆efu | （14） | tickling |
| บกัแจ | （9a） | earthworm |
| upo | （11） | bailer，scoop |
| upoNdo | （11） | pole |
| urefu［w］a | （9a） | east |
| uriza | （V） | ask |
| uroto | （14） | brain |
| urwere～Ndwere | （14） | illness |
| usaNga | （11） | bead |
| usiku | （14） | night |
| usikukukurn | （14） | midnight |
| usiNgo | （14） | poison |
| usuko（for milk） | （14） | calabash for making cheese |
| utara | （11） | wooden grain stand |
| uturu | （14） | latex |
| Uvi | （9a／10a） | wasp |
| uwaci | （14） | fog |
| uwage／wage | （14） | millet chaff |
| いこヲ | （V） |  |
| $u[w] s$ | （11） | backyard |
| V |  |  |
| vagas | （V） | disperse（I） |


| vage | (5) | storm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vara | (V) | dress (T) |
| viriNgi | (Adj) | fat |
| yiriNgo | (Adj) | round |
| vihaNgaišo | (8) | food cover made of a palm-leaf |
| vudura | (V) | slander |
| vagaza | (V) | close (of door) (T) |
| vugura | (V) | open door without key |
| vukusuku | (Adj) | warm |
| vuñaNga | (V) | mix (T) |
| vuna | (V) | smell (I) |
| vuNda | (V) | ferment ( I ) |
| vunu | (V) | harvest |
| vuNve | (5) | fallow; untilled land |
| vwaNbwe | (5) | high (tidal) wave running from west to east |
| viNgi | (Adj) | plenty |
| vyuNgu | (8) | pots |
| vyoo | (8) | toilets |
| W |  |  |
| แコ | (V) | be |
| ツa | (Pron) | them/they |
| wage | (14) | millet |
| waNbo | (14) | style of knitting palm leaves |
| waNbo | (14) | wooden frame (of fence) |
| $m a \mathrm{~N}$ do | (14) | wire net |
| waNga | (2) | witch |
| $\mathrm{waNt}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | (1) | people |
| wari | (14) | rice |
| waye ~ ware | (Pron) | them, they |
| yeye | (Pron) | You (sg) |
| meNje | (14) | fillet |
| wida | (14) | type of harmful grass |
| wito | (14) | a call |
| wito | (1a) | circumciser |
| wisa | (V) | ripen (I) |


| घiva | （14） | jealousy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mizi | （14） | theft |
| woge | （14） | cowardice |
| Y |  |  |
| yavu | （5） | lung |
| yepi | （Dem） | which（cl．9／10） |
| yowera | （V） | rest |
| yoyoma | （V） | disappear |
| yuga | （V） | wail（of cat） |
| yuNgutika | （V） | stagger |
| yuno | （Dem）． | this |
| Z |  |  |
| zabau | （5） | shirt |
| ェagara | （V） | scattered |
| ェヨhama | （5） | trouble |
| zaifu | （Adj） | weak，feeble |
| zaini | （V） | sweep |
| ェarョ | （V） | deliver |
| zawiyani | （9a） | area for holding＇Sufi＇rituals |
| zeruzeru | （1a） | albino |
| zezeta | （1a） | moron |
| zido | （Adj） | additional |
| ziNdiko | （5） | protective charm |
| ziNga | （V） | commit adultery |
| ziNga | （V） | trap |
| ziNgo | （5） | sacrifice |
| ziNgo | （9a） | hair style |
| ziNgwea Ngwe | （9a） | a sacrificial place |
| zumazuma | （Adv） | confused |
| zuzu | （1a） | idiot |


[^0]:    * Adapted from Nurse and Hinnebusch(1993)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ There has been a project titled Computer Archives of Kiswahili and Folklore aiming at collecting linguistic and folkloristic data of Swahili dialects in the late 1980's (see Arvi Huskainen 1989)

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Guthrie's Zone P, group 10 languages: Ndengereko, Ruihi, Matumbi, and Ngindo; and group 20 languages: Yao, Mwera, Makonde, Ndonde and Maßiha.
    ${ }^{3}$ Prof Caplan has been conducting social anthropological studies on the people in the Northern Division of the Mafia Islands district since 1965. I wish to express my gratitude for her invaluable support.
    4'Sabaki' is a linguistic label for six castern Bantu languages: KiSwahili, KiMwani, KiElwana, KiPokomo, KiMijikenda, and KiComoro (see Nurse and Hinnebusch 1993:35)

[^3]:    5 Oskar Baumann conducted a survey of Mafia island in 1885 . He also provided a map indicating the 'Ngome' area.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ My study of KiNgome has shown some minor influences of KiMbwera or KiMwera (as appeared in Harrieve 1950) on KiNgome.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ The population in the 1979 census was 23,000 , while at the last census in 1988 it was 33,025 . The populationon in Ngome aroea as collected by AEO in 1999 is 8306.
    ${ }^{8}$ I am grateful to the staff of the Agriculture Extention Office (AEO) in Mafia Islands District for their generous help during my first fied trip in March to September 1999.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ See Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:20) on Eastern Bantu classification.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ The N stands for a homorganic nasal that adapts phonologically to an immediately following consonant.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ A section of KiNgome speakers have a good knowledge of Arabic as the language of the Koran. I have noticed accentual Arabic influence in this section of KiNgome speakers. Otherwise by loanwords I refer to words from the sorrounding Bantu languages and SD (including Old-KiUnguja, KiMakunduchi and KiMwani).

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ Devoicing of N leads to complete assimilation to the following voiceless obstruents in Toba， Batak，and Sardinian，e．g．in Sard［pessare］＜PENSARE］＇to think＇．

[^10]:    13 Although Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993) and Schadeberg and Mucanheia (2000) have not included KiEkoti as a new member of Coastal Sabaki, I have no doubt that my inclusion is tentatively justified by the close relationship between the 'Swahili-like' lexical items presented for KiEkoti and Sabaki members.

[^11]:    14 Polome（1967）reports cases of $\mathrm{n} i \mathrm{w}$＇I am＇and hevu＇I was＇．

[^12]:    15 Hyman (2001: 157) reports an iterative round harmony of the extention /-an/ in Gunu as follows:
    6b-a 'sense' $>$ sb-on-a 'bicker'
    goss 'descend'> gos-on-o 'descend continously'

[^13]:    ${ }^{16}$ The prefix wa- shows vowel coalescence or deletion when added to V-stems: wa-ivi $>$ w [e]vi 'thieves', wa-ana >wa-na 'children'.

[^14]:    ${ }^{18}$ I prefer to use the term final vowel (FV) which is equivalent to 'terminal vowel' as it is known in Bantuist nomenclature (see Odden 1996: 44)

[^15]:    ${ }^{19}$ I concur with the proposal of Lutz Marten (p.c) to regard $k u$ - or $i$ - as the 'stem marker' (STM), rather than as an infinitival.
    ${ }^{20}$ The speculation that KiNgome is related to KiPokomo is far reduced when we consider that KiPokomo (Geider 1990: 444) shows / so-/ in negative infinitive instead of / to-/.

    | $k u-50-n a-d y a$ | 'not to eat' |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | $k u-50-k w e N d a$ | 'not to go' |
    | $k u-s o-k u w a$ | 'not to be' |

[^16]:    ${ }^{21}$ Bakari（1985：261）also noted the presence of ki yanga＇brightness＇in KiTikuu．

[^17]:    22 Guthrie defined CB as the inventory of lexical and grammatical items found to exhibit regular sound correspondences among contemporary Bantu languages. It is still, however, a form of proto-language.

[^18]:    23 The segment ${ }^{*} \mathrm{c}$ in CB and PSA is equivalent to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in KiNgome i.e. this is merely a notational not a phonological or phonetic difference.

[^19]:    ${ }^{24}$ I have altered Nurse and Hinnebusch's PSA forms to show morpheme boundaries in order to make for greater comparability with KiNgome data.

[^20]:    25 Guthrie's CB *y as a stem-initial consonants does not participate in the phonological or morphological process here. It is the vowel-initial stems that are involved here.

[^21]:    ${ }^{26}$ Meinholf reconsructed a voiced series of continuants, * $\beta, *_{1}, *_{\gamma}$ while Guthrie has a symmetrical system of $* \mathrm{~b}, * \mathrm{~d}, * \mathrm{j}$, and $* \mathrm{~g}$ for the same series.
    27 Kristina Reidel (p.c) surveyed the Nungwi area in 2001 and claims that the so called the Northern Zanzibar Swahili dialect is in fact a living dialect with the distinctive name of KiNungwi.

[^22]:    28 KiNgome attests PSA *liNd- for 'harbour' in KiriNdoni and diNd- 'pit'. It seems that across the Swahili spectrum the lenited form /l/ has been generalized for 'harbour' hence we have places such as Malindi, Lindi and KiriNdoni.

[^23]:    29 The Kaskazi substratum consists of Bantu languages of Guthrie's zones D. 40-60; E. 10-40, 70, 74a; F. 33-34; G. 10-40, 50, 60; M. 10-30; N.10; and P.10-20 except 15 . This is according to Ehret (1999: 53).

[^24]:    30 Labroussi 1999:340 defines non- transparent as spirantization that is irretrievable through synchronc analysis, while transparent (= input and change) is retrievable by virtue of the alternation between actual reflexes.

[^25]:    31 (i) Mijikenda, Ngazija, Nzuani, Pokomo, Tikuu, SD (except KiUnguja/SSW in many cases) and neighbouring Seuta and East Ruvu, Kami and Kutu attest/s/. Only Unguja and ND except Tikuu attest $/ \check{\xi} /$ as the reflex of $\mathrm{CB}^{*} \mathrm{k} /$ __ $\mathbf{i}$. In general, they proposed the following scenario for $\mathrm{CB}^{*} \mathrm{k} / \ldots$ : :
    (ii) Pre-PSA/NEC: $\mathrm{CB}^{*} \mathrm{k} / \ldots$ i $>{ }^{*}$ s (inherited as an early Bantu proto/areal
     to Sabaki.

[^26]:    (iii) Post-PSA: * ${ }^{*}>s$ (Depalatilization in non-Swahili Sabaki, most SD, and Tikuu)
    (iv) ND, KiMwiini, Ung: Retention of PSA * $\stackrel{Y}{\leq}$ as $/ \Xi /$

[^27]:    32 Nurse and Hinnebusch (1993:149) regard the PSA *N+C elements as sequences.

[^28]:    33 In M42 Bemba Cl. $1 / 2$ can be exemplified by umu-ntu/aba-ntu 'person', Cl. 1a/2a by fulwe/bэa-fulwe 'tortoise'.

[^29]:    ${ }^{34}$ These are purported to be common denominators (reflecting the diversity of forms) and not the ultimate proto-Bantu reconstruction.
    ${ }^{35}$ Hinncbusch (1973: 50-1) carlicr reconstructed the Class 5 prefix as /* 1 i-/ for Coastal (Sabaki) languages which in some cases lost its consonant by becoming / i-/ which then became /i-/ which eventually was lost.

[^30]:    ${ }^{36}$ The form -ye in yeye 'him/her' in SSW is a chance resemblance to -ye affixed in KiNgome base.

[^31]:    ${ }^{37}$ The formula $6: 2$ is used here to refer to 6 as the class marker and 2 the dependent concord, here animate.

[^32]:    37 The formula $6: 2$ is used here to refer to 6 as the class marker and 2 the dependent concord, here animate.

