



Loh, S. Y., Jahans-Price, T., Greenwood, M. P., Greenwood, M., Hoe, S. Z., Konopacka, A., ... Hindmarch, C. C. T. (2017). Unsupervised network analysis of the plastic supraoptic nucleus transcriptome predicts caprin2 regulatory interactions. *eNeuro*, *4*(6), [e0243-17.2017]. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0243-17.2017

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available): CC BY Link to published version (if available):

10.1523/ENEURO.0243-17.2017

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Society for Neuroscience at http://www.eneuro.org/content/4/6/ENEURO.0243-17.2017 . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

# University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms

Integrative Systems

# Unsupervised Network Analysis of the Plastic Supraoptic Nucleus Transcriptome Predicts **Caprin2 Regulatory Interactions**

<sup>©</sup>Su-Yi Loh,<sup>1,†</sup> Thomas Jahans-Price,<sup>2,†</sup> Michael P. Greenwood,<sup>2</sup> Mingkwan Greenwood,<sup>2</sup> See-Ziau Hoe,<sup>1</sup> Agnieszka Konopacka,<sup>2</sup> <sup>©</sup>Colin Campbell,<sup>3,#</sup> <sup>©</sup>David Murphy,<sup>1,2,#</sup> and <sup>©</sup>Charles C. T. Hindmarch<sup>1,4,\*</sup>

## DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0243-17.2017

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>School of Clinical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS1 3NY, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Department of Engineering Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1UB, United Kingdom, and <sup>4</sup>Queen's Cardiopulmonary Unit (QCPU), Translational Institute of Medicine (TIME), Department of Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, ON K7L 3N6 Canada

## Abstract

The supraoptic nucleus (SON) is a group of neurons in the hypothalamus responsible for the synthesis and secretion of the peptide hormones vasopressin and oxytocin. Following physiological cues, such as dehydration, salt-loading and lactation, the SON undergoes a function related plasticity that we have previously described in the rat at the transcriptome level. Using the unsupervised graphical lasso (Glasso) algorithm, we reconstructed a putative network from 500 plastic SON genes in which genes are the nodes and the edges are the inferred interactions. The most active nodal gene identified within the network was Caprin2. Caprin2 encodes an RNA-binding protein that we have previously shown to be vital for the functioning of osmoregulatory neuroendocrine neurons in the SON of the rat hypothalamus. To test the validity of the Glasso network, we either overexpressed or knocked down Caprin2 transcripts in differentiated rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells and showed that these manipulations had significant opposite effects on the levels of putative target mRNAs. These studies suggest that the predicative power of the Glasso algorithm within an in vivo system is accurate, and identifies biological targets that may be important to the functional plasticity of the SON.

Key words: Caprin2; functional plasticity; gene network; Glasso; supraoptic nucleus; transcriptome

## **Significance Statement**

The scale and complexity of transcriptome datasets makes the identification of suitable targets for physiologic studies a daunting problem. Using the unsupervised graphical lasso (Glasso) algorithm, we reconstructed a putative network from 500 plastic genes in the supraoptic nucleus (SON) of the hypothalamus. The most active nodal gene identified within the network encodes RNA-binding protein CAPRIN2. We tested the validity of the Glasso network by either overexpressing or knocking down Caprin2 transcripts in differentiated rat pheochromocytoma cells and showed that these manipulations had opposite effects on the levels of putative target mRNAs. Our studies suggest that the predicative power of the Glasso algorithm can identify biological targets that may be important in a Caprin2 gene network mediating functional plasticity in the SON.

Received July 12, 2017; accepted September 25, 2017; First published November 30, 2017.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: C.C.T.H., T.J.-P., D.M., and C.C. designed research; S.-Y.L., T.J.-P., M.G., M.P.G., S.-Z.H., and A.K. performed research; C.C.T.H., T.J.-P. analyzed data; D.M., M.G., M.P.G., and C.C.T.H. wrote the paper.

## Introduction

The supraoptic nucleus (SON) of the mammalian hypothalamus is a central neuroendocrine integrative structure consisting of large magnocellular neurons (MCNs) whose axons project to the posterior lobe of the pituitary (PP; Burbach et al., 2001), a neurovascular interface through which the brain regulates peripheral organs to maintain homeostasis (Murphy et al., 2016). The SON is responsible for the synthesis of the neuropeptide hormones arginine vasopressin (AVP), which cleaved from its precursor propeptide *en route* from the SON to the PP (Murphy et al., 2016). On release, AVP travels through the blood stream to specific receptor targets located in the kidney where it promotes water reabsorption in the collecting duct (Breyer and Ando, 1994).

Lactation and dehydration evoke a dramatic remodelling of the SON (Hatton, 1997; Theodosis et al., 1998). A plethora of changes in morphology, electrical properties and biosynthetic and secretory activity have all been described (Sharman et al., 2004). For example, as a consequence of the depletion of pituitary stores that accompanies chronic osmotic stimulation, there is a need to synthesize more AVP. This starts with an increase in transcription (Murphy and Carter, 1990), which results in an increase in the abundance of both precursor hnRNAs (Kondo et al., 2004) and mature AVP mRNAs (Sherman et al., 1986). In addition, It has been demonstrated that the AVP mRNAs is subject to post-transcriptional modification in the form of an increase in the length of the 3' poly(A) tail following dehydration (Carrazana et al., 1988; Zingg et al., 1988; Carter and Murphy, 1989; Murphy and Carter, 1990). Recently, microarrays have been used to document transcriptome-wide changes in gene expression in the SON of male rats subject to salt-loading and dehydration (Hindmarch et al., 2006; Greenwood et al., 2015), and in female rats in response to both dehydration and 11 d of lactation (Qiu et al., 2011), and it has been suggested that these changes are part of an organized response to main-

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MRC) Grant MR/N022807/1 (to M.G. and D.M.); Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) Grants BB/G006156/1 (to A.K., M.P.G., and D.M.), BB/J005452/1 (to C.C.T.H. and D.M.), and BB/J015415/1 (to M.G. and D.M.); the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) Grant EP/K008250/1 (to C.C. and D.M.); the British Heart Foundation (BHF) Grant RG/11/28714 (to M.P.G. and D.M.); the Wellcome Trust ISSF-Postdoctoral Research Staff Award 097822/Z/11/Z (to A.K.); the University of Cardiff (A.K.); and by High Impact Research Chancellory (UM.C/625/1/HIR/MOHE/MED/22 H-20001-E000086; to S.-Z.H., D.M., and C.C.T.H.) and Internationalisation Research (RP011-13HTM; to S.-Y.L., D.M., C.C.T.H.) grants from the University of Malaya.

<sup>†</sup>S.L. and T.J.P. are joint first authors.

<sup>#</sup>C.C., D.M., and C.C.T.H. are joint senior authors.

T. Jahans-Price's present address: Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3UD, United Kingdom.

Correspondence should be addressed to either of the following: David Murphy at the above address, E-mail: d.murphy@bristol.ac.uk; or Charles C. T. Hindmarch at the above address, E-mail: chipboy101@gmail.com.

DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0243-17.2017

Copyright © 2017 Loh et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

taining homeostasis in a changing environment (Hindmarch et al., 2013).

The analysis pipeline for these, and indeed most microarrav experiments, is one of normalization and statistical testing before the filtering according to some arbitrary cutoff, such as fold-change. The resulting list of transcripts can then either be mined manually to select well-regulated targets, or subjected to one of the many approaches to bioinformatic analysis according to gene function or pathway. While these strategies are well used and often return important findings, they are at odds with the unbiased philosophy behind the transcriptome-wide experiment, as they require that the target gene has already been described, typically in a tissue discrete from that under interrogation. To investigate new strategies for target prioritization, we have here employed network reconstruction/inference strategies to our SON microarray data in either the control state or the "plastic" state to test whether this is an effective strategy for the robust identification of important plastic genes in this tissue.

Network inference is a strategy whereby a network structure is estimated from transcriptome data; genes are the nodes of this network and the edges are the inferred interactions. Two main strategies exist when attempting to reconstruct gene networks. The most tractable approach uses supervised inference where a set of "known" links and non-links between genes are used as a training set to construct a classifier or decision function. This classifier can be subsequently used to estimate links or non-links between further nodes in a network. In the second approach, unsupervised inference is used and the network structure is assumed only from the transcriptome data presented and thus the inference problem is harder. Here, we use the unsupervised graphical lasso (Glasso) algorithm (Friedman et al., 2008) to generate a putative gene network (see Materials and Methods for a full description). Gene features in Glasso are viewed as nodes in a network that predicts stable and reproducible dependencies between these nodes. To validate the results from the Glasso algorithm, we inferred a second network model based on the matrix of Pearson correlation coefficients between nodes.

From the derived Glasso network, we identified a hub nodal gene, *Caprin2*, that encodes an RNA-binding protein that we have previously identified as being important in the central osmotic defense response (Konopacka et al., 2015). To test this prediction from the Glasso algorithm, we perturbed the network *in vitro* by manipulating *Caprin2* expression. We show that the predicative power of the Glasso algorithm is accurate and identifies genes that may be important in biological transitions.

## **Materials and Methods**

### Microarray data preparation

We re-mined raw data taken from analysis of 29 Affymetrix 230 2.0 microarrays that represented animals in either the naïve state (n = 4 female and n = 5 male) or the plastic state (n = 5 dehydration-male, n = 5 lactationfemale, n = 5 dehydrated-female, n = 5 salt-loaded-male; Hindmarch et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2011; Greenwood et al.,



**Figure 1.** *A*, Microarray data *t* test, control versus experiment, genes ranked by ttest2 *p* value low to high. Raw data, normalized data, and logged data all gave comparable results. Randomly generated data gave higher *p* values for over half the gene pairs. Above the point of curve intersection microarray data stopped providing information, as significance was equivalent to randomly generated data. *B*, Zoomed in version of *A*, showing that the microarray data generated far lower *p* values compared to the random data. The lowest *p* value from randomly generated data were  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ . The figure shows that the top 500 genes are under  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ .

2015). NCBI Accession numbers (incorporating controls): male dehydration, GSE3110; male salt loading, GSE65663; female dehydration and lactation, GSE30733. We note that the biological importance of salt and water balance, especially after challenge, ensures small standard deviations (10%) in the data (Hindmarch et al., 2013). Validation using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR; Hindmarch et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2011; Greenwood et al., 2015; Fig. 3) has shown that the microarray data are very robust and reliable. We note that the genes encoding the two major neuropeptide products of the SON, AVP and oxytocin, are not represented in our differentially expressed gene list. The expression level of both these important peptides was so high within the control data that the signal was saturated, precluding any detection of upregulation following dehydration, lactation or salt loading (Hindmarch et al., 2006).

Initially trying to run Glasso on the entire SON microarray dataset of 31042 genes was prohibitive as a covariance matrix of  $31042 \times 31042$  genes was too large to be stored in memory in R. Also, it is worth noting that many genes, even if well correlated with each other, were of lower interest as they are not necessarily strongly up or down regulated from control to experimental state. Therefore, it was necessary to reduce the size of the datasets to a manageable subset that only included potentially interesting genes, those that were significantly regulated between naïve and plastic states. To avoid the false discovery rate inherent in comparisons with sets of large numbers, we used a two-sample t test, comparing our experimental data against sets of 29 randomly generated matrices (using a Gaussian random deviate) of the same dimensionality (31042  $\times$  31042; Fig. 1A). We performed a set of 10 such comparisons, against different sets of randomly generated matrices, and the most significant t test p values from this study were of order  $10^{-5}$ , the most significant *p* value from a comparison was  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  and the least significant was  $6 \times 10^{-5}$ . When we applied this cutoff to the microarray data, the *t* test returned >500 gene pairs with higher significance (Fig. 1*B*) with *p* values up to  $1 \times 10^{-15}$ . All data were run on raw, normalized and logged data for comparison. To establish the false discovery rate, and the level of significance that should be applied to relieve the effects of false discovery, we ran a *t* test on a randomly generated set of 29 (31042 × 31042) matrices (the same size as the experimental data matrices). We then applied these thresholds to our raw, normalized and logged data for comparison between these types.

#### Network inference using the Glasso algorithm

The Glasso algorithm is based on determining an estimated precision matrix. This precision matrix is the inverse of the estimated sample covariance matrix, derived from data. For two features, a zero component of the precision matrix would mean the corresponding variance is infinite, i.e., that the correlation is uniform and infinitely spread, and the features are therefore totally uncorrelated. In our case these features can be viewed as nodes, representing genes or expression sites generally, in a network and the method is determining dependencies of expression levels. With the Glasso algorithm a penalty term is used to force components of the estimated precision matrix toward zero. Those components of the precision matrix which remain non-zero correspond to the highest interdependencies between nodes (e.g., genes) in the network.

The Glasso algorithm has various advantages over other algorithms for network inference. It is one of the fastest network inference algorithms available, running 30-4000 times faster than its competitors (Friedman et al., 2008). It can manage large amounts of data, running on 1000  $\times$ 

1000 matrices in around a minute and the use of an L1 (Lasso) penalty term to enforce sparsity enables few key functional links to be inferred from large amounts of data. This fitted our problem well as we wish to obtain a few high-probability links to test experimentally from a large amount of data.

Glasso estimates a sparse undirected graph by applying an L1 penalty to the estimation of an inverse covariance matrix. The model assumes that data (in this case, gene expression data) is continuous and that the observations comes from a multivariate Gaussian distribution, that has mean  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . This covariance matrix encodes the extent that gene expression values are correlated and therefore its components give a measure of strength of correlation. As such the matrix is symmetrical along its diagonal. An estimation of the inverse of the covariance matrix, called the estimated precision matrix, is used to infer potential links.

To determine those features which are most interdependent Glasso uses a penalty term in the algorithm to force components of the estimated precision matrix to zero. A parameter  $\rho$ , prefacing this penalty term, controls the extent of sparsity in the estimated network. Those remaining components of the precision matrix which remain non-zero correspond to the highest interdependencies among nodes (genes) in the network. Let S be the sample covariance matrix and  $S^{-1}$  be its inverse. Let  $\Gamma$  be the estimated precision matrix. The objective function of the Glasso algorithm has two terms, which are optimized via an algorithm. The first term is of the form  $KL[N(0, \Gamma)]$ ,  $N(0, S^{-1})$ ], where KL is the Kullback-Leibler divergence, a measure of similarity of distributions, and N(0, M) is a Gaussian distribution with a zero mean vector and precision matrix M. Minimization of this term would therefore enhance the similarity of the estimated precision matrix  $\Gamma$ to the inverse sample covariance matrix. The second term in the objective function is  $\rho \| \Gamma \|_1$  where  $\| \Gamma \|_1$  is the sum of the absolute values in  $\Gamma$ . Minimization of this term will force the values of the components within  $\Gamma$  to zero. Effectively then, the algorithm tries to move  $\Gamma$  as closely as possible toward  $S^{-1}$  subject to the influence of the second term, which is trying to force component values within the matrix  $\Gamma$  toward zero, the relative influence of these two terms controlled by  $\rho$ . Those remaining components of the precision matrix which remain non-zero correspond to the highest interdependencies among nodes in the network.

The sample covariance matrix becomes singular when the dimension of the matrix is much larger than the sample size. For this reason, to model large covariance matrices, the usual approximation is via a sparse estimation matrix, with most entries zero (Bickel and Levina, 2008). This is the route taken by the Glasso algorithm, in which optimization of a norm-1 penalized maximum likelihood leads to a sparse estimation of the precision matrix (Friedman et al., 2008). To further understand this issue we used the F07AGF routine of the Numerical Algorithms Group (NAG) Library (Mark 24) to determine the condition number  $\mu$  of the matrices used in our study. Approximately, if we determine that the condition number  $\mu$  to be of order 1.0E+k then k digits of numerical accuracy would be lost due to accumulated arithmetical loss of precision (Cheney and Kincaid, 2007). For our dataset with 500 features (representing genes), the NAG routine gives a condition number of 0.69E+15 for the sample covariance matrix. Hence, the data-derived covariance matrix itself is close to being rank-deficient (though no covariance matrix inversion or other data manipulations are required by the method). For the least sparse approximation we used in our numerical experiments, the estimated condition number of the sparse precision matrix was 7.17E+5, suggesting numerical accuracy was satisfactory for the given machine precision. However, this issue of the condition number required us to use the subset of 500 genes, rather than the full set of genes.

Using a sample covariance matrix derived from the data, Glasso iteratively derives an estimated inverse covariance matrix (precision matrix). The algorithm uses an L1-norm penalty to drive elements in this precision matrix toward 0. This penalty therefore determines the sparsity of the estimated precision matrix and hence the sparsity of the network of assumed dependencies. This penalty term is prefaced by a parameter  $\rho$  and the higher the value of  $\rho$ , the greater the sparsity. To find an appropriate value for  $\rho$  we chose the known links and non-links of a wellstudied pathway, to give an indication of the correct network sparsity, and best choice for  $\rho$ . The ERK pathway data evaluated (Sachs et al., 2002) consists of 11 proteins and 11943 observations of their expression levels from 12 perturbation experiments. The ERK pathway is very well studied and a full network of directional links is known for the network. We downloaded the Glasso software (from http://statweb.stanford.edu/~tibs/glasso/) and applied it to this dataset. Setting the Glasso sparsity parameter to  $\rho = 4$  gave those links and non-links which have been found in the ERK pathway (Sachs et al., 2002).

In addition to running Glasso on the top 500 differentially expressed genes, a Pearson correlation measure was also derived from the data. The genes pairings returned by Glasso were in the top most highly correlated pairings as determined by the Pearson correlation coefficient.

## Animal experiment

All experiments were performed under a Home Office United Kingdom license held under, and in strict accordance with, the provisions of the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act (1986); they were also approved by the local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board. Twelve-week-old male SD Rats (Harlan) were given access to standard laboratory rat chow and water ad libitum for one week to acclimatize to laboratory conditions, following which half the animals were completely deprived of drinking water for 72 h (dehydration), which elicits a reliable and consistent increase in plasma osmolality with limited weight loss (Greenwood et al., 2015). Animals were killed by stunning and then decapitated with a small animal guillotine (Harvard Apparatus). The brain was quickly extracted and placed on aluminum foil and frozen with dry ice. Brains were stored at -80°C.

Table 1. List of primers used for qRT-PCR

Gene	GenBank	Primers
Caprin2	AI412606	Forward CAGGGTTAAGTGCAAGCGAT
		Reverse CTGGTGGTTGACTGGTTGAG
Atf4	NM_024403	QuantiTect primer assay; Rn_Atf4_1_SG: QT00366233
Hbb	BI287300	Forward GCCCAAAGGCCTTCATCATT
	NM_033234	Reverse CCCCCTTTCCTGCTTGTCTA
		QuantiTect primer assay; Rn_Hbb_1_SG: QT00394107
Opn3	BI289640	Forward CGACTGACAGGGACTCATCA
		Reverse ATGGGACAGGCCAAAGAAGA
Ran	NM_053439	Forward CGCGTGTGCCACCTTATTTA
		Reverse CCAAACAGCTAAATATGCAAGTCC
Pcp4	NM_013002	Forward TCAGGAGATAATGATGGGCAGA
		Reverse CCCCACTAGGACTGTGATCC
lgfbp2	NM_013122	Forward AACCTGTACCTCCGTTCCTG
		Reverse CCCAAGCCTGTACCCAGTAT
Pdyn	BF412731	Forward CCAGCCCCATCTCCTTAACT
		Reverse AGACTGTTCCCCCTCGGTAT
Ap1s2	AI045228	Forward ACCAATGCCACTTTGCTTCA
		Reverse CTGCCTAGTCGTCGGAAGTC
Atp1a2	NM_012505	Forward GGATCCTCCTGGTGACCTTT
		Reverse CTGTTTCTTCTTGCCACCCC
Hmgn2	BM391736	Forward AGGATGTCTCTCCTGGAAGC
		Reverse TTGTTAGCACACGGAACACTT
Gja1	AI411352	Forward GCACTGTTGAAACCTCCCTC
		Reverse TGACGAGCAACTTGGATGTTT
Hba1	AI179404	Forward AATCTTCCCCCAGCAGTTCTT
		Reverse CACTATAGGGAATTTGGCCCTC
EST	AI577319	Forward ATCTCCAAGGTGGTGGGAAG
		Reverse AAACTGGGTGTGGATCCTGT
Rpl19	NM_031103.1	Forward GCGTCTGCAGCCATGAGTA
		Beverse TGGCATTGGCGATTTCGTTG

# Functional validation of the Glasso-derived gene network

The rat adrenal pheochromocytoma cell line (PC12) was grown complete DMEM (Sigma D6546) containing 10% (v/v) horse serum (HS; Gibco 16050), 5% (v/v) heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco 10500), 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin solution (Pen Strep; Gibco 15140), and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco 25030). Cells were cultured onto tissue culture flasks precoated with 40  $\mu$ g/ml rat-tail Type I collagen (Type VII, C8897, Sigma Aldrich) and maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub>. On reaching confluence, cells were passaged and plated onto collagen-coated 12-well tissue culture plates with complete DMEM as described above. Differentiation of PC12 cells was initiated by replacing the medium with serum-free DMEM containing 0.25% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (Sigma A17906), 1% (v/v) HS, 1% (w/v) Pen Strep solution, and 50 ng/ml nerve growth factor (Life Technologies13257-019). Medium and NGF were replaced every 2 d. At day 4 of NGF treatment, Caprin2 shRNA lentivirus was added to the medium, with a scrambled shRNA lentivirus being used as a control. Lentiviral vectors containing shRNA of Caprin2 and scrambled shRNA control were produced as previously described (Konopacka et al., 2015). For overexpression studies of Caprin2 in PC12 cells, an adenovirus expressing fulllength Caprin2 cDNA (Konopacka et al., 2015) was used, with an eGFP-expressing virus as a control. Production of adenoviral vectors has been described (Greenwood et al., 2015).

## qRT-PCR

Tissue from SON was sampled using a cryostat, taking  $60-\mu m$  coronal slices, and the SON was punched using a 0.5-mm tissue punch (Interfocus). Twelve punches were taken from the left and right SON (24 total per brain) and stored on dry ice in 1.5-ml tubes. Total RNA was extracted from punched samples by combining TRIzol reagent with Qiagens RNeasy kit protocols (Qiagen). The punched samples were removed from drv ice and rapidly resuspended, by vortexing, in 1-ml TRIzol reagent. Following TRIzol phase separation with chloroform, 350  $\mu$ l of the upper aqueous phase was removed, mixed with  $350-\mu$ l 70% (v/v) ethanol and applied to RNeasy columns. The remaining steps were performed as recommended by the manufacturer. For cell studies, cells were collected and lysed with 350-µl TRIzol reagent on day 7 after NGF treatment (3 d after virus transduction). RNA extraction was performed using Direct-Zol RNA Mini Prep Kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was converted to cDNA using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen). Primers for qPCR were designed using the NCBI PrimerBLAST tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/; Table 1). Note that the primer set for Hbb used for the analysis of Sprague Dawley (SD) SON RNA (derived from sequence BI287300) did not detect PC12 transcripts, presumably due to that cell line being derived from a different strain of rat. We therefore used primers designed to detect all rat Hbb alleles. PCRs were performed on ABI7500 or StepOnePlus Real-time PCR Systems (Applied Biosytem) using FastStart Univer-

sal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche). The housekeeping gene *Rpl19* was used to normalize expression from targets and to calculate  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$  (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) to analyze the relative change in gene expression.

## Statistical tests

Statistical differences between two experimental groups were evaluated using independent-sample unpaired Student's *t* tests; p < 0.05 was considered significant.

## **Results**

## Significance testing of microarray data

Transcriptome datasets were derived from Affymetrix oligonucleotide array analysis of that the SD rat SON subject to three physiologic transitions that elicit functional plasticity, namely dehydration in both males (Hindmarch et al., 2006) and females (Qiu et al., 2011), saltloading in males (Greenwood et al., 2015), and lactation in females (Qiu et al., 2011). To avoid the false discovery inherent in large comparisons, we employed a twosample t test with a 31042  $\times$  29 matrix of randomly generated data (see Methods) and established an appropriate significance threshold of  $n \times 10^{-5}$ . We selected the top 500 genes that were regulated in the SON between naïve and plastic states that satisfied the significance threshold (all p values  $< 1 \times 10^{-15}$ ) and used these to establish the 500 imes 500 covariance matrix computed and solved by Glasso.

## Network reconstruction using Glasso and Pearson

Running Glasso with a L1 penalty parameter of 30 and ignoring links below a threshold of 0.0001 yielded 28 unique genes (Table 2) engaged in 48 links (Table 3). Pearson correlation returned 47 unique genes (Table 4) engaged in 32 bidirectional links with a correlation measure over 0.95 between (Table 5). We filtered these results to find those genes which had a high fan-out in terms of connectivity to other genes. We established subsets of genes with either a fan-out of more than one link, or at least one link to another gene with a fan-out greater than one. This resulted in networks of 14 genes from the Glasso reconstruction (Fig. 2*A*) and seven genes from the Pearson correlation (Fig. 2*B*). Only one gene satisfied these requirements in both networks; *Caprin2* was ranked 50th overall in the *t* test.

## qRT-PCR validation

We validated the expression profiles of the 14 genes identified by Glasso using qRT-PCR of RNA extracted from euhydrated and dehydrated 12-week-old male SD SON (n = 10 for euhydrated and n = 11 for dehydrated). Of the original 14 genes in the Glasso network (Fig. 2A), 10 had significantly different (p < 0.05) relative expression levels (Fig. 3), while three of the predicted genes were false positives and were therefore excluded (*Hmgn2*, BM391736; *Gja1*, Al411352; *Hba1*, Al179404). Primer sets for one of the expressed sequence tags (ESTs) Al577319 failed to deliver data. To establish whether correlations exist between the genes validated by qRT-PCR a Pearson

	Table 2	. List	of	unique	genes	in	the	Glasso	network
--	---------	--------	----	--------	-------	----	-----	--------	---------

1367576_at	S41066	Gpx1
1367590_at	NM_053439	Ran
1367624_at	NM_024403	Atf4
1367648_at	NM_013122	lgfbp2
1367681_at	NM_022523	CD151
1367887_at	NM_017024	Lcat
1368145_at	NM_013002	Pcp4
1368170_at	NM_024371	Slc6a1
1368565_at	NM_019225	Slc1a3
1370172_at	AA892254	Sod2
1370240_x_at	AI179404	Hba1
1370442_at	U25684	Tmsbl1
1371245_a_at	BI287300	Hbb
1371352_at	BM391736	Hmgn2
1372002_at	AI411352	Gja1
1373260_at	AI412606	Caprin2
1375856_at	AI102258	EST
1386911_at	NM_012505	Atp1a2
1388608_x_at	AI577319	EST
1388795_at	AI101500	EST
1389586_at	BE107169	EST
1398888_at	AI408819	H3f3b
1383294_at	BF412731	Pdyn
1393263_at	AW522530	Snhg11
1393373_at	BI289640	Opn3
1394940_at	BI294811	Fam46a
1395249_at	BF400750	Snhg11
1398616_at	AI045228	Ap1s2

Column 1, Affymetrix probe ID; column 2, GenBank accession number; column 3, current gene ID.

correlation was performed which resulted in 8 out of 10 correlations (Fig. 4).

## Functional validation of the Glasso network in vitro

We developed an *in vitro* model to assess the functional validity of the Glasso predicted network. Rat adrenal pheochromocytoma PC12 cells can be made to differentiate into cells with a neurone-like phenotype by treatment with nerve growth factor (NGF). First, we used gRT-PCR to show that transcripts encoded by a sub-set of the genes of the Glasso network are expressed in PC12 cells, and that their expression level is changed following NGF treatment (Fig. 5). This analysis revealed that all the genes in the network, except Prodynorphin (Pdyn) and ATPase Na+/K<sup>+</sup> transporting subunit- $\alpha 2$  (*Atp1a2*), are expressed in undifferentiated PC12 cells. Expression of Pdyn mRNAs are dramatically increased in NGF-treated cells (>100,000-fold increase), while the level of Atp1a2 remains undetectable. The expression of Caprin2, Opsin-3 (Opn3), and Purkinje cell protein 4 (Pcp4) transcripts is decreased in PC12 cells following NGF treatment (Caprin2 0.425, p = 0.006; Opn3 0.343, p = 0.017; Pcp4 0.052, p =0.003), while Ras oncogene family member Ran, insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 2 (Igfbp2), activating transcription factor 4 (Atf4), hemoglobin subunit- $\beta$  (Hbb) and adaptor-related protein complex 1  $\sigma$ 2-subunit (Ap1s2) mRNA abundance are not significantly changed.

We then manipulated the expression of nodal gene *Caprin2* in differentiated PC12 cells using lentiviral vectors that express either an shRNA specific for all splice iso-

Table 3. Glass	so pairs			1383294_at	BF412731	1371245_a_at	BI287300
1383294 at	BF412731	1367624 at	NM 024403	1373260_at	AI412606	1371245_a_at	BI287300
1373260 at	AI412606	1367624 at	NM_024403	1370240_x_at	AI179404	1371245_a_at	BI287300
1386911 at	NM 012505	1367624 at	NM 024403	1388608_x_at	AI577319	1371245_a_at	BI287300
1370240_x_at	Al179404	1367624_at	NM_024403	1386911_at	NM_012505	1375856_at	AI102258
1373260_at	AI412606	1371352_at	BM391736	1373260_at	AI412606	1370172_at	AA892254
1370240_x_at	AI179404	1371352_at	BM391736	1367624_at	NM_024403	1386911_at	NM_012505
1367624_at	NM_024403	1383294_at	BF412731	1383294_at	BF412731	1386911_at	NM_012505
1373260_at	AI412606	1383294_at	BF412731	1367590_at	NM_053439	1386911_at	NM_012505
1372002_at	AI411352	1383294_at	BF412731	1368145_at	NM_013002	1386911_at	NM_012505
1398616_at	AI045228	1383294_at	BF412731	1368565_at	NM_019225	1386911_at	NM_012505
1367648_at	NM_013122	1383294_at	BF412731	1372002_at	Al411352	1386911_at	NM_012505
1371245_a_at	BI287300	1383294_at	BF412731	1368170_at	NM_024371	1386911_at	NM_012505
1386911_at	NM_012505	1383294_at	BF412731	1367648_at	NM_013122	1386911_at	NM_012505
1370240_x_at	AI179404	1383294_at	BF412731	1375856_at	AI102258	1386911_at	NM_012505
1388608_x_at	AI577319	1383294_at	BF412731	1367624_at	NM_024403	1370240_x_at	AI179404
1373260_at	AI412606	1367590_at	NM_053439	13/1352_at	BM391736	1370240_x_at	AI179404
1386911_at	NM_012505	1367590_at	NM_053439	1383294_at	BF412731	1370240_x_at	AI179404
1373260_at	AI412606	1368145_at	NM_013002	1368145_at	NM_013002	1370240_x_at	AI179404
1386911_at	NM_012505	1368145_at	NM_013002	1373260_at	AI412606	1370240_x_at	AI179404
1370240_x_at	AI179404	1368145_at	NM_013002	1393373_at	BI289640	1370240_x_at	AI179404
136/624_at	NM_024403	13/3260_at	AI412606	1307081_at	NIVI_022523	1370240_X_at	AI179404
13/1352_at	BM391736	13/3260_at	AI412606	1398010_al	AIU43228	1370240_X_at	AI179404
1383294_at	BF412731	13/3260_at	AI412606	1393203_al	AVV522530	1370240_X_at	AI179404
1367590_at	NM_053439	1373260_at	AI412606	1371245_a_al	DI20/300	1370240_X_at	AI179404
1368145_at	NM_013002	1373260_at	AI412606	1300000_X_al	RE/10721	1322602 v ot	AIT79404 AI577210
136/88/_at	NIM_017024	1373260_at	AI412606	1373260 at	AI/12606	1388608 v ot	AI577319 AI577310
1393373_at	BI289640	1373260_at	AI412606	1308616 at	ΔΙ0/5228	1388608 v at	AI577319
1389586_at	BE107169	1373260_at	AI412606	1371245 a at	RI287300	1388608 x at	Δ1577319
1394940_at		1373200_al	AI412000	1370240 x at	Δ1179404	1388608 x at	Δ1577319
1390000_al	A1400019	1373200_al	AI412000	1373260 at	AI412606	1388795 at	AI101500
1370442_al	020004 S/1066	1373260_at	AI412000	1070200_at	71412000	1000/30_at	Ano 1000
1372002 at	Δ1/11352	1373260_at	AI412606	List of the pairs w	hich retained a co	variance value >0.00	01, i.e., potential
1308616 at	AI411332 AI0/5228	1373260_at	AI412606	links. Columns 1 (	Affymetrix probe IE	0) and 2 (GenBank ad	ccession number)
1367648 at	NM 013122	1373260_at	AI412606	are the first gene,	columns 3 (Affyme	trix probe ID) and 4	(GenBank acces-
1395249 at	BE400750	1373260 at	AI412606	although it is 96 lor	ng, there are 48 lin	s.	ins duplicates, so
1371245 a at	BI287300	1373260 at	AI412606	5	<i>S</i> , <i>i i i i i i i i i i</i>		
1370172 at	AA892254	1373260 at	AI412606				
1370240 x at	AI179404	1373260 at	AI412606	forms of Capr	in2 (Konopack	a et al., 2015) to	knockdown
1388608 x at	AI577319	1373260 at	AI412606	endoaenous e	xpression (Fig	. 6A). or an Ader	noviral vector
1388795 at	AI101500	1373260 at	AI412606	expressing full	-length rat Car	prin2 cDNA (Kono	opacka et al
1373260_at	AI412606	1367887_at	NM_017024	2015) to elicit	overexpressi	on (Fig. $6B$ ) W	e then used
1373260_at	AI412606	1393373_at	BI289640	aBT-PCB to a	sk about the e	ffects of Caprin	2 knockdown
1370240_x_at	AI179404	1393373_at	BI289640	(Fig 6C) or $(Fig 6C)$		(Fig 6D) on t	the levels of
1373260_at	AI412606	1389586_at	BE107169	transprinte on		tive terget gen	ne levels of
1373260_at	AI412606	1394940_at	BI294811			alive larget gen	
1373260_at	AI412606	1398888_at	AI408819		K. WILLI LITE EXC	eption of the At	4 and gibp2
1373260_at	AI412606	1370442_at	U25684	mRINAS, the e	xpression of w	nich was not al	tered by ma-
1386911_at	NM_012505	1368565_at	NM_019225	nipulation of (	Caprin2, knoc	kdown or overe	xpression of
1373260_at	AI412606	1367576_at	S41066	Caprin2 in vitr	o had opposit	e effects on Pdy	n, Opn3 and
1370240_x_at	AI179404	1367681_at	NM_022523	Hbb mRNA at	oundance. Thu	is, while Caprin2	2 knockdown
1383294_at	BF412731	1372002_at	AI411352	increased Pd	yn mRNA lev	/els (3.833, p	= 0.00004),
1373260_at	AI412606	1372002_at	AI411352	Caprin2 overe	xpression dec	reased <i>Pdyn</i> trai	nscript abun-
1386911_at	NM_012505	1372002_at	AI411352	dance (0.572,	o = 0.035). In	contrast, both O	pn3 and Hbb
1386911_at	NM_012505	1368170_at	NM_024371	levels were c	lecreased by	Caprin2 knock	down (Opn3
1383294_at	BF412731	1398616_at	AI045228	0.477. p = 0.	00004: <i>Hbb</i> 0	.323. $p = 0.001$	3). but were
1373260_at	AI412606	1398616_at	AI045228	increased follo	wing Caprin?	overexpression	(Opn3 1.506)
13/0240_x_at	AI1/9404	1398616_at	AI045228	p = 0.0023 H	16h 2 771 n =	= 0.0008)	(-,
1388608_x_at	AI5//319	1398616_at	AIU45228	ρ 0.0020, Π	~~,p =	5.0000.	
1383294_at	BF412/31	1367648_at	NM_013122	Discussion	1		
13/326U_AT	AI412606	130/048_at	NIVI_013122	Following st	imulatory obv	siologic ques si	ich as dehv-
1300911_at	NIVI_012505	130/048_at	NIVI_013122	dration salt_lo	ading and log	tation the SON	of the hype-
1370240_x_at	AI1/9404	1393263_at	AVV522530	thelemine in the	auniy anu idu	ian related plact	inity that has
1373260_at	AI412606	1395249_at	BF400750	inalamus unde	ergoes a tunct	ion related plast	icity that has

hypohas previously been described in the rat at the transcriptome

Table 4. List of unique genes in the Pearson network			Table 5. Pearson pairs			
1367624_at	NM_024403	Atf4	1367624_at	NM_024403	1374941_at	BF397951
1367648_at	NM_013122	lgfbp2	1374941 at	BF397951	1367624 at	NM 024403
1367654_at	NM_031819	Fat1	1391923 at	BG376838	1373260 at	Al412606
1367660_at	NM_024162	Fabp2	1398616 at	AI045228	1373260 at	AI412606
1368170_at	NM_024371	SIc6a1	1370575 a at	D50734	1373260 at	AI412606
1368559_at	NM_017091	Pcsk1	1389135 at	AW140637	1373260 at	AI412606
1370030_at	NM_017305	Gclm	1382008 at	AI044348	1388770 at	BI275670
1370240_x_at	AI179404	Hba1	1383413 at	AW531481	1377725 at	AI575322
1370442_at	U25684	Tmsbl1	1388770 at	BI275670	1382008 at	AI044348
1370575_a_at	D50734	Azin1	1370575 a at	D50734	1393165 at	BG377684
1371433_at	BM384999	EST	1393837 at	AI145227	1393373 at	BI289640
1372754_at	BG666424	Appl2	1373260 at	AI412606	1301023 at	BG376838
1373092_at	BE109587	Tgfbr3	1379566 at	Δ\//527929	1301023_at	BG376838
1373260_at	AI412606	Caprin2	1373380 at	AU160085	1301023 at	BG376838
1373380_at	AI169085	EST	1370575 a at	D50734	1301023_at	BG376838
1373699_at	BM391164	EST	1277725 of	A1575200	1202/12 of	AVVE21/01
1373870_at	BE110630	Fam98a	1377725_al	AI373322	1367654 of	AVV551401
1374004_at	BM387902	Prepl	1070754 at	AA650650	1007004_at	NIVI_031019
1374709_at	AI406795	HIf	13/2/54_al	BG000424	1307034_al	NIVI_031819
1374812_at	AA818197	Ptpn13	1393373_at	BI289640	1393837_at	AI145227
1374941_at	BF397951	EST	1367660_at	NM_024162	13/38/0_at	BE110630
1375856_at	AI102258	EST	1367660_at	NM_024162	1370442_at	025684
1375964_at	BF282282	Psph	1376836_at	BF419655	1375964_at	BF282282
1376836_at	BF419655	EST	1394029_at	BF283049	1370030_at	NM_017305
1387037_at	AF022247	Cubn	1398348_at	AA945604	1392108_at	BF390648
1388145_at	BM390128	Tnxa-psq	1374709_at	AI406795	1389020_at	BM389149
1388608_x_at	AI577319	Hba1	1387037_at	AF022247	1374812_at	AA818197
1388770_at	BI275670	Ufm1	1373092_at	BE109587	1374812_at	AA818197
1388/95_at	AI101500	EST	1370030_at	NM_017305	1394029_at	BF283049
1389020_at	BM389149	EST	1374812_at	AA818197	1387037_at	AF022247
1389135_at	AW140637	Ctps2	1373870_at	BE110630	1367660_at	NM_024162
1398348_at	AA945604	EST	1370442_at	U25684	1367660_at	NM_024162
1377725_at	AI575322	EST	1391923_at	BG376838	1379566_at	AW527929
1378320_at	BG3/3845	Ribp1	1375856_at	AI102258	1368170_at	NM_024371
1379506_at	AVV527929	RDM I I	1368559_at	NM_017091	1374004_at	BM387902
1379900_at	AI043697	Aldh5a I	1391923_at	BG376838	1373380_at	AI169085
1382008_at	AIU44348	RNIS	1374709_at	AI406795	1382905_at	AI102514
1382021_al	AA850650	PKQ2	1371433_at	BM384999	1382905_at	AI102514
1382905_at	AITU2514	IVIFCZ	1374004_at	BM387902	1368559_at	NM_017091
1383413_al	AVV531481	FINALI	1373260_at	AI412606	1398616_at	AI045228
1391923_al	DG3/0030	EOI	1375964_at	BF282282	1376836_at	BF419655
1392108_at	BF390648	RIVIZ Tracera 2006	1388145 at	BM390128	1367648 at	NM 013122
1202272 of	DG377004	Opp2	1373699 at	BM391164	1367648 at	NM 013122
1202027 of	AI145007	EST	1389020 at	BM389149	1374709 at	AI406795
1393037_al	AI145227	EOI Vmc21	1382905 at	AI102514	1374709 at	AI406795
1394029_al	DF200049 AI0/5008	VIIIdZ I Anto2	1373092 at	BE109587	1374709 at	AI406795
100010_al	A1040220	Apisz	1367648 at	NM 013122	1388145 at	BM390128
Column 1 Affymetrix prob	e ID: column 2 GenBank acc	ession number: col-	1373260 at	AI412606	1370575 a at	D50734
umn 3, current gene ID.			1393165 at	BG377684	1370575 a at	D50734

1391923\_at

1392108\_at

1367648\_at

1382905\_at

1375856\_at

1368170\_at

1379900\_at

1373260\_at

1374812\_at

1374709\_at

1367654\_at

1367654\_at

1388795\_at

BG376838

BF390648

AI102514

AI102258

AI043697

AI412606

AA818197

AI406795

AI101500

NM\_031819

NM\_031819

NM\_024371

NM\_013122

level (Hindmarch et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2011; Greenwood et al., 2015). Using the unsupervised Glasso algorithm (Friedman et al., 2008), we sought to reconstruct a putative network from 500 plastic SON genes in which genes are the nodes and the edges are the inferred interactions. The most active nodal gene identified within the network was RNA-binding protein Caprin2. To test the validity of the Glasso approach, we sought an in vitro cell culture model in which genes of the predicted network are expressed. We found that differentiated PC12 cells met these criteria. We then either overexpressed or knocked down Caprin2 transcripts in differentiated rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells, and showed that these manipulaD50734

AA945604

BM391164

BM384999 AI043697

AI102258

AI102258

AW140637

BE109587

BE109587

AA850650

BG666424

BG373845

1370575\_a\_at

1398348\_at

1373699\_at

1371433\_at

1379900\_at

1375856\_at

1375856\_at

1389135\_at

1373092\_at

1373092\_at

1382021\_at

1372754\_at

1378320\_at

1388608_x_at	AI577319	1370240_x_at	AI179404
1370240_x_at	AI179404	1388608_x_at	AI577319
1378320_at	BG373845	1388795_at	AI101500

Pearson correlated pairs with a value >0.95. Columns 1 (Affymetrix probe ID) and 2 (GenBank accession number) are the first gene, columns 3 (Affymetrix probe ID) and 4 (GenBank accession number) are the second gene. Note that the list contains duplicates, so although it is 64 long, there are 32 links.

tions had significant opposite effects on the levels of putative target mRNAs.

A major bottleneck of the "omic" era is the sheer scale and complexity of the datasets, and the resulting daunting problem of identifying suitable targets for often expensive and time consuming physiologic studies. We sought to address this problem in a mammalian homeostatic system that demonstrates functionally important plasticity following the physiologic challenges of dehydration, salt-



**Figure 2.** Putative gene networks derived from SON transcriptome data. *A*, The Glasso-derived network. Undirected links are solid black lines. Genes are represented by short names. *B*, The Pearson correlation-derived network. Undirected links are solid black lines. Genes are represented by short names.



**Figure 3.** qRT-PCR validation of differential expression. The expression profiles of the 14 genes identified by Glasso were examined by qRT-PCR of RNA extracted from euhydrated (control) and dehydrated (DH) 12-week-old male SD SON. Of the original 14 genes in the Glasso network (Fig. 2A) 10 had significantly different relative expression levels. Error bar, SEM; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; n = 10 for control and n = 11 for DH.



**Figure 4.** The validated Glasso-derived gene network. Link with significant Pearson correlation (p < 0.05) are represented by solid lines. Links not significantly correlated are represented by dotted lines.

loading and lactation. Each of these physiologic challenges activates the SON in a similar manner resulting in a function related plasticity that facilitates an appropriate neuroendocrine response. We hypothesized that there is a common network of genes in this tissue that underpins the general plasticity of the SON. to test this hypothesis, we combined data from the activated plastic SON, e.g., male and female water deprived, male salt-loaded and female lactation, and compared this to the male and female "naïve" data. This comparison resulted in a list of significantly regulated genes on which we performed unsupervised network inference using Glasso to reconstruct a gene network from the SON of the rat hypothalamus in either naïve or physiologically stimulated states, thus al-



**Figure 5.** Expression of Glasso network genes in PC12 cells. Relative mRNA expression of genes in the network was examined in both undifferentiated and NGF-treated PC12 cells by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$ method where the expression level of undifferentiated sample was calculated as 1. Since *Pdyn* is undetectable at 40 cycles on qRT-PCR in undifferentiated PC12 cells, the relative mRNA level was calculated by assumption of Ct value of undifferentiated sample as 40 cycles. Error bar, SEM; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; unpaired Student's *t* test; n = 3.

lowing us to identify potentially important "hub" genes with high numbers of putative regulatory links (i.e., they have a large fan-out in circo graphs) that may have prominent functional roles. Network inference, while less accurate than supervised methods, is a useful first step in the absence of a training set of known links and non-links. Rather than performing large numbers of perturbation experiments to characterize the entire network, we can use existing microarray data to generate correlations between genes and by applying network inference predict a of number high confidence links, giving us target genes for perturbation experiments, hence maximizing our efforts.

The application of Glasso resulted is a network of 28 genes with 48 links, while Pearson placed 47 genes in a network of 32 links. Only one gene, Caprin2, appeared in both networks. To mitigate the false discovery rate further, the network was reduced by eliminating genes below a "richness" criterion. Only those genes that the network infers as having links to at least 2 genes, and that both those genes should be linked to at least two others, were included (Fig. 2). Candidate genes identified from the Glasso inference were then validated by gRT-PCR of SON RNA collected from either euhydrated or dehydrated male rats (Fig. 3). Following elimination of the three genes that did not validate, as well as one that did not deliver data, the remaining genes were subject to Pearson correlation to attempt reengineering of the network (Fig. 4). Examination of this network clearly reveals a central hub gene, Caprin2, an RNA-binding protein (Shiina and Tokunaga, 2010) that has been shown to bind the AVP mRNA (Konopacka et al., 2015) and to mediate changes in AVP mRNA abundance and poly(A) tail length (Konopacka et al., 2015). Lentiviral mediated shRNA knockdown of Caprin2 in the osmotically stimulated hypothalamus shortened the AVP mRNA poly(A) tail and reduced transcript abundance (Konopacka et al., 2015). In an in vitro system, Caprin2 overexpression enhanced the abundance and poly(A) tail length of the AVP mRNA (Konopacka et al., 2015).

To test the physiologic validity of the Glasso network centered on Caprin2, we developed an in vitro system that enabled us manipulate Caprin2 expression and ask about consequential effects on the steady-state levels of putative transcript targets. As the network is based on transctriptome data, the functional links must regulate mRNA abundance. First, we showed that NGF differentiated PC12 cells express all of the genes in the network, except for one (Atp1a2; Fig. 5). We then used viral-mediate gene transfer to either knockdown Caprin2 using a previously characterized specific shRNA (Konopacka et al., 2015), or to overexpress Caprin2 (Fig. 6). As a consequence, we saw opposite effects on the levels of putative target mR-NAs [knockdown (Fig. 6C), overexpression (Fig. 6D)]. Thus, while Caprin2 knockdown decreased the abundance of Opn3, Ran and Hbb transcripts, overexpression increased the levels of Opn3 and Hbb RNAs. In contrast, Caprin2 knockdown increased Pcp4, Pdyn, and Ap1s2 RNAs, while overexpression decreased Pdyn transcript abundance. Igfbp2 and Atf4 RNA levels were unaffected by Caprin2 manipulation.



**Figure 6.** Change in mRNA expression of Glasso network genes in differentiated PC12 cells following *Caprin2* knockdown or *Caprin2* overexpression. *A*, For knockdowm, NGF-treated PC12 cells were transduced with lentiviral vectors expressing either a Caprin2 shRNA (CaprinKD) or a scrambled shRNA (control). Relative mRNA expression of endogenous *Caprin2* was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method, where the expression level of control sample was calculated as 1. Error bar, SEM; \*\*\*p < 0.001; unpaired Student's *t* test; *n* = 6. *B*, For overexpression, NGF-treated PC12 cells were transduced with adenoviral vectors expressing either *Caprin2* (CaprinO) or *eGFP* (control). Relative mRNA expression of endogenous *Caprin2* was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression of endogenous *Caprin2* was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression level of control sample was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression level of control sample was calculated as 1. Error bar, SEM; \*\*\*p < 0.001; unpaired Student's *t* test; *n* = 4. *C*, NGF-treated PC12 cells were transduced with

#### continued

In the network was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression of genes in the network was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression level of control sample was calculated as 1. Error bar, SEM; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; unpaired *t* test; n = 6. **D**, NGF-treated PC12 cells were transduced with adenoviral vectors expressing either *Caprin2* (CaprinO) or *eGFP* (control). Relative mRNA expression of genes in the network was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression level of control sample was examined by qRT-PCR. The relative mRNA level was calculated using  $2^{(-\Delta\Delta ct)}$  method where the expression level of control sample was calculated as 1. Error bar, SEM; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.

In the dehydrated SON, an increase in Caprin2 mRNA expression is accompanied by an increase in the abundance of Opn3, Ran, Pcp4, Igfbp2, Pdyn, Atf4, and Ap1s2 transcripts, but a decrease in Hbb mRNA abundance. Consistent with this, the decrease in Caprin2 expression following differentiation of PC12 cells reduces Opn3 and Pcp4 transcript levels. However, Pdyn levels dramatically increase as a consequence of NGF-mediated differentiation of PC12, probably as a consequence of a separate Caprin2-independent transcriptional mechanism. We then manipulated Caprin2 activity in differentiated PC12 cells by overexpression or shRNA-mediated knockdown to ask about effects on the expression on putative network interacting genes. In some cases, we saw dramatic opposite effects. Thus, Caprin2 knockdown reduced Opn3 and Hbb mRNA levels, but increased Pdyn transcript abundance, whereas Caprin2 overexpression increased Opn3 and Hbb mRNA levels, but reduced Pdyn transcript abundance. These data suggest that Caprin2 functions to increase Opn3 and Hbb expression, but to decrease Pdyn expression. These data are consistent with the increased Opn3 mRNA abundance seen in the dehydrated SON, perhaps through direct association and consequent stabilisation. However, this is contrary to expectation in terms of Pdyn, which has increased expression in the dehydrated SON, and Hbb, which has decreased expression in the dehydrated SON. We suggest that any role for Caprin2 in Pdyn and Hbb mRNA regulation may not be direct and need to be considered in the context of other, possibly transcriptional, mechanisms,

Our data raise questions regarding the molecular nature and physiologic consequences of the regulatory interactions in the Caprin2 gene network. Caprin2 knockdown in the in vivo hypothalamus leads to dysfunction of the normal physiologic response to salt loading, an osmotic challenge, which in healthy rats leads to a gradual increase of urine output and fluid intake. Caprin2 knockdown results in a significant decrease in urine output and fluid intake, and an increase in urine osmolality and plasma AVP levels (Konopacka et al., 2015). We hypothesize that these dramatic physiologic consequences are a sum-total of changes in the expression of the gene products encoded by Caprin2 target genes. That Pdyn is a putative target of Caprin2 is instructive in this regard. The expression of the endogenous opioid peptide Pdyn within the hypothalamus is well known, as is its upregulation following dehydration (Sherman et al., 1986). Dynorphin peptide colocalizes with AVP, and both can be released either from axons or somato-dendritically. At the level of the neural lobe, dynorphin is coreleased with AVP and acts on axon terminal k-opiate receptors to inhibit electrically evoked secretion of oxytocin (Falke, 1988). Centrally, dendritic release of dynorphin appears to regulate MCN electrical activity (Brown and Bourque, 2004; Brown et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2006). It is thus possible that *Caprin2* mediates some of its physiologic effects through the actions of dynorphin. Interestingly, the *Hbb* gene is expressed in the brain (Ohyagi et al., 1994), and encodes the hemorphins, a family of endogenous nonclassical opioid peptides (Nyberg et al., 1997; Zhao et al., 1997).

Using transcriptome data from the physiologically plastic SON as a model, we have shown that our unbiased network inference strategy, using Glasso, has predictive value, and can "enrich" for functional interactions that can be tested experimentally. The application of Glasso to extensive transcriptome datasets will accelerate the identification of physiologically relevant pathways.

## References

- Bickel P, Levina E (2008) Covariance regularization by thresholding. Ann Stat 36:2577–2604. CrossRef
- Breyer MD, Ando Y (1994) Hormonal signaling and regulation of salt and water transport in the collecting duct. Ann Rev Physiol 56: 711–739. CrossRef Medline
- Brown CH, Bourque CW (2004) Autocrine feedback inhibition of plateau potentials terminates phasic bursts in magnocellular neurosecretory cells of the rat supraoptic nucleus. J Physiol 557:949– 960. CrossRef Medline
- Brown CH, Ludwig M, Leng G (2004) Temporal dissociation of the feedback effects of dendritically co-released peptides on rhythmogenesis in vasopressin cells. Neuroscience 124:105–111. CrossRef Medline
- Brown CH, Leng G, Ludwig M, Bourque CW (2006) Endogenous activation of supraoptic nucleus kappa-opioid receptors terminates spontaneous phasic bursts in rat magnocellular neurosecretory cells. J Neurophysiol 95:3235–3244. CrossRef
- Burbach JP, Luckman SM, Murphy D, Gainer H (2001) Gene regulation in the magnocellular hypothalamo-neurohypophysial system. Physiol Rev 81:1197–1267. Medline
- Carrazana EJ, Pasieka KB, Majzoub JA (1988) The vasopressin mRNA poly(A) tract is unusually long and increases during stimulation of vasopressin gene expression in vivo. Mol Cell Biol 8:2267– 2274. Medline
- Carter DA, Murphy D (1989) Independent regulation of neuropeptide mRNA level and poly(A) tail length. J Biol Chem 26:6601–6603.
- Falke N (1988) Dynorphin (1-8) inhibits stimulated release of oxytocin but not vasopressin from isolated neurosecretory endings of the rat neurohypophysis. Neuropeptides 11:163–167. Medline
- Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R (2008) Sparse inverse covariance estimation with the graphical lasso. Biostatistics 9:432–441. Cross-Ref Medline
- Greenwood M, Mecawi A, Hoe SZ, Mustafa MR, Johnson K, Al-Mahmoud G, Elias L, Paton J, Antunes-Rodrigues J, Gainer H, Murphy D, Hindmarch C (2015) A comparison of physiological and transcriptome responses to water deprivation and salt loading in the rat supraoptic nucleus. Am J Physiol 308:R559–R568. CrossRef

- Hatton GI (1997) Function-related plasticity in hypothalamus. Annu Rev Neurosci 20:375–379. CrossRef Medline
- Hindmarch C, Yao S, Beighton G, Paton J, Murphy D (2006) A comprehensive description of the transcriptome of the hypothalamoneurohypophyseal system in euhydrated and dehydrated rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:1609–1614. CrossRef
- Hindmarch CC, Franses P, Goodwin B, Murphy D (2013) Whole transcriptome organisation in the dehydrated supraoptic nucleus. Braz J Med Biol Res 46:1000–1006. CrossRef Medline
- Cheney E, Kincaid D (2007) Numerical mathematics and computing. International student edition. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.
- Kondo N, Arima H, Banno R, Kuwahara S, Sato I, Oiso Y (2004) Osmoregulation of vasopressin release and gene transcription under acute and chronic hypovolemia in rats. Am J Physiol 286: E337–E346.
- Konopacka A, Greenwood M, Loh S-Y, Paton J, Murphy D (2015) RNA binding protein Caprin-2 is a pivotal regulator of the central osmotic defense response. Elife 4. CrossRef
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(–Delta Delta C(T)) method. Methods 25:402–408. CrossRef
- Murphy D, Carter DA (1990) Vasopressin gene expression in the rodent hypothalamus: transcriptional and posttranscriptional responses to physiological stimulation. Mol Endocrinol 4:1051–1059. CrossRef
- Murphy D, Antunes-Rodrigues J, Gainer H (2016) Osmoregulation. In: Molecular neuroendocrinology: from genome to physiology (Murphy D, Gainer H, eds), pp 331-354. Holboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Nyberg F, Sanderson K, Glämsta EL (1997) The hemorphins: a new class of opioid peptides derived from the blood protein hemoglobin. Biopolymers 43:147–156. CrossRef Medline

- Ohyagi Y, Yamada T, Goto I (1994) Hemoglobin as a novel protein developmentally regulated in neurons. Brain Res 635:323–327. Medline
- Qiu J, Hindmarch C, Yao S, Tasker J, Murphy D (2011) Transcriptomic analysis of the osmotic and reproductive remodeling of the female rat supraoptic nucleus. Endocrinology 152:3483–3491. CrossRef
- Sachs K, Gifford D, Jaakkola T, Sorger P, Lauffenburger DA (2002) Bayesian network approach to cell signaling pathway modeling. Sci STKE 2002:pe38. CrossRef Medline
- Sharman G, Ghorbel M, Leroux M, Beaucourt S, Wong LF, Murphy D (2004) Deciphering the mechanisms of homeostatic plasticity in the hypothalamo-neurohypophyseal system–genomic and gene transfer strategies. Prog Biophys Mol Biol 84:151–182. CrossRef
- Sherman TG, Civelli O, Douglass J, Herbert E, Watson SJ (1986) Coordinate expression of hypothalamic pro-dynorphin and provasopressin mRNAs with osmotic stimulation. Neuroendocrinology 44:222–228. Medline
- Shiina N, Tokunaga M (2010) RNA granule protein 140 (RNG140), a paralog of RNG105 localized to distinct RNA granules in neuronal dendrites in the adult vertebrate brain. J Biol Chem 285:24260–24269. CrossRef
- Theodosis DT, El Majdoubi M, Pierre K, Poulain DA (1998) Factors governing activity-dependent structural plasticity of the hypothalamoneurohypophysial system. Cell Mol Neurobiol 18:285–298. CrossRef
- Zhao Q, Garreau I, Sannier F, Piot JM (1997) Opioid peptides derived from hemoglobin: hemorphins. Biopolymers 43:75–98. CrossRef Medline
- Zingg HH, Lefebvre DL, Almazan G (1988) Regulation of poly(A) tail size of vasopressin mRNA. J Biol Chem 263:11041–11043. Medline