# Impact of Nutrition on Non-relapse Mortality and Acute Graft Versus Host **Disease During Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Hematologic** Malignancies

## Julie Beckerson, Richard M. Szydlo, Mary Hickson, Catriona Mactier, Andrew Innes, Ian Gabriel, Renuka Palanicawandar, Edward J Kanfer, Donald MacDonald, Dragana Milojkovic, Amin Rahemtulla, Aris Chaidos, Anastasios Karadimitris, Eduardo Olavarria, Jane F. Apperley and Jiri Pavlu. Imperial College, Hammersmith Hospital, London, UK

Introduction Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is often associated with poor oral intake and as a result, nutritional status declines. Although it might seem obvious that optimal nutrition is likely to improve outcomes of transplantation, there are no clinical data that directly support this assumption. It is also unclear whether artificial nutrition support (ANS) should be provided as enteral tube feeding (ETF) or parenteral nutrition (PN).

Methods A retrospective analysis of 100 day non-relapse mortality (NRM) and incidence of acute GvHD according to route and adequacy of nutrition, together with other known prognostic factors, after allogeneic HCT in a single centre between 2000 and 2014. Nutritional intake was reviewed from admission to engraftment and deemed inadequate where an unmet need for ANS was documented. Exclusion criteria were age < 16 years, non-hematological malignancy, cord blood or haplo transplant. Myeloablative conditioning was used in 285 (59%) patients, 272 of whom received a TBI based regimen. Reduced intensity conditioning was given to 199 (41%) patients. For the 236 (49%) unrelated donor cell recipients in vivo Tcell depletion with alemtuzumab was used.



Results ANS was initiated in 228 (47%) of patients who met predefined criteria shown in figure 1. Myeloablative HCT recipients were advised to have an enteral feeding tube inserted prophylactically at day 1 post HCT. If ETF could not be established then PN was given. 198 (41%) of subjects never met the criteria for artificial nutrition and maintained an adequate oral intake. A further 47 (10%) of subjects required ETF for a median of 12 days to achieve adequate enteral nutrition. There were 148 subjects that required 4 or more days of PN to achieve adequate nutrition. 127 subjects required PN for a median of 17 days and 21 required a median of 16 days PN plus 8 days ETF. Episodes of ANS shorter than 4 days were excluded as likely inappropriate or ineffective.

## Table 1: Univariate analyses of nutritional intake group on NRM and acute GvHD

	Ν	NRM at 100d	p- value	AGVHD grade N (%)		p- Gut AGVHD value N (%)		GVHD %)	p-value
		% (95%Cl)		0-1	2-4		No	Yes	
Overall	484	14.6 (12-18)	-	260 (59)	179 (41)	-	285 (65)	153 (35)	
Nutritional Intake Group									
Adequate EN	245	8.2 (5-12)	<0.001	157 (68)	75 (32)	<0.001	169 (73)	63 (27)	<0.001
Adequate PN	148	17.1 (12-24)		59 (45)	73 (55)		68 (52)	63 (48)	
Inadequate	91	27.6 (20-38)		44 (59)	31 (41)		48 (64)	27 (36)	



### Figure 2: Cumulative incidence for NRM at day 100

roup	<u>on n</u>	<b>RIVI al</b>	na acu	<u>te Gvn</u>	

The effects of all known patient, disease and transplant factors were studied in univariate analyses on NRM and acute GvHD, following which multivariate analyses were performed. Univariate effects of nutritional intake group on NRM and acute GvHD are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2. (Univariate analyses of other factors not shown).

			Table 2: Multivariate analyses of NRM						
Factors significantly			NRM at 100d						
associated in multivariate			-		N	RR (95% CI)	p-value		
analyses with NRM,	acute								
GvHD grade 2 or abo	ove or		Nutritiona Adequa	al Group ate EN	242	1.0			
gut acute GvHD of any			Adequa	ate PN	148 89	2.9 (1.6 - 5.4) 4 1 (2 2 - 7 2)	<0.001		
grade are shown in T	ables				03	4.1 (2.2 - 7.2)	<0.001		
2 and 3.			Recipien < 40 40-60 <u>&gt;</u> 60	t age (yrs)	212 229 38	1.0 1.9 (1.1 - 3.1) 3.1 (1.5 - 6.8)	0.026 0.004		
			Previous < 1 > 0	autograft	434 45	1.0 2.4 (1.3 - 4.5)	0.007		
			Recipient CMV Negative Positive		202 277	1.0 1.8 (1.1 - 3.1)	0.027		
Table 3: Multivariate analys		lyse	s of acı	Ite GvH	D				
Acute G			VHD gra	de 2-4	Gu	t AGVHD any g	grade		
	Ν	OR (	(95% CI)	p-value	Ν	OR (95% CI)	p-value		
Nutritional Group Adequate EN Adequate PN Inadequate (all routes)	231 132 75	2.0 (* 1.3 (*	1.0 1.2 - 3.3) 0.7 - 2.2)	0.006 0.38	231 131 75	1.0 1.8 (1.1 - 3.0) 1.3 (0.7 - 2.3)	0.018 0.39		
Recipient / Donor Sex Other combination Male / Female	353 85	1.7 (	1.0 1.0 - 2.7)	0.047	352 85	1.0 1.8 (1.1 - 3.0)	0.025		
Conditioning regimen Myeloablative Reduced intensity	262 176	0.5 (	1.0 0.3 - 0.7)	0.001	262 175	1.0 0.4 (0.3 - 0.7)	<0.001		

**Conclusion** Adequate nutrition during allogeneic HCT is associated with improved 100 day NRM. Adequate EN is associated with significantly better results for this outcome than adequate PN. Furthermore adequate EN, predominantly via oral intake may be associated with lower incidence of acute GvHD when compared to PN, perhaps because of its ability to maintain gut mucosal integrity and the gastrointestinal tract environment, including microflora. The significant associations reported here warrant further research into optimizing enteral nutrition in recipients of HCT.

