

The effect of rearing system and cooking method on the carnosine and anserine content of poultry and game meat

Article

Accepted Version

Juniper, D. and Rymer, C. (2018) The effect of rearing system and cooking method on the carnosine and anserine content of poultry and game meat. Journal of Food, Nutrition and Agriculture, 1 (1). pp. 35-39. ISSN 2616-6550 doi: https://doi.org/10.21839/jfna.2018.v1i1.216 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/79703/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

Identification Number/DOI: https://doi.org/10.21839/jfna.2018.v1i1.216 <https://doi.org/10.21839/jfna.2018.v1i1.216>

Publisher: Phoenix Research Publishers

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	The effect of rearing system and cooking method on the carnosine and anserine content
2	of poultry and game meat
3	Darren Juniper and Caroline Rymer
4	Address and affiliations of corresponding authors;
5	Division of Animal, Dairy and Food Chain Science, School of Agriculture Policy and
6	Development, University of Reading.
7	Corresponding author. d.t.juniper@reading.ac.uk
8	Abstract
9	Poultry meat has been shown to be a rich source of carnosine and anserine (CRC) but
10	little is known of the effects of bird species and the system under which it is reared have
11	on the concentrations of CRC. Retail samples of breast meat from conventional chicken,
12	free range chicken and pheasant, and breast meat from wild caught pheasant were
13	procured and subjected to five different cooking methods: frying, grilling, boiling,
14	microwaving and roasting. CRC were greater in uncooked pheasant than chicken (P<
15	0.05) and greater in free range than conventionally reared chicken (P<0.05). There were
16	no differences in CRC between retail and wild caught pheasant. Cooking method affected
17	CRC content; boiling and microwaving resulted in lower CRC contents than grilling,
18	roasting or frying (P < 0.05). Pheasant is a richer source of CRC than conventionally
19	reared chicken, although free range chicken produces meat of similar CRC content to
20	pheasant.
21	Key words

22 Chicken, Pheasant, Carnosine, Anserine, Cooking

24 **1. Introduction**

25 Carnosine and anserine are dipeptides of the amino acids β -alanine and histidine and are found in the muscles of a number of different vertebrates (Kohen et al., 1988). Carnosine 26 27 is more abundant in mammalian tissues, whereas anserine, the methylated form of 28 carnosine, is found in greater concentrations in the muscles of avian species (Wolf & 29 Wilson, 1935; Amend et al., 1979). Both carnosine and anserine have been shown to serve a number of important physiological functions; these include inhibition of glycation 30 31 (Hipkiss et al., 1998), pH buffering in muscles (Davey, 1960; Harris et al., 1998) as well 32 as a role in antioxidant protection (Boldyrev et al., 1993, Chan et al., 1994).

33 Both carnosine and anserine can be synthesised in the human body from β -alanine and 34 histidine, but an alternative source is the exogenous supply of these dipeptides from the diet (Peiretti et al., 2011). These dipeptides are absorbed intact from the gut and are then 35 36 hydrolysed by serum and tissue carnosinases to their constituent amino acids (Kubomara 37 et al., 2009). Plasma concentrations of carnosine increased 15 min after the ingestion of carnosine from beef, reached a maximum 2.5 h after meal ingestion and were undetectable 38 after 5.5 h (Park et al., 2005). Both carnosine and anserine appear to be transported across 39 the human intestinal epithelium by the H^+ /peptide co-transporters 1 and 2 (Geissler et al., 40 41 2010). The rate of clearance of anserine when incorporated into a food (meat) appears to be slower than when the anserine is consumed as a supplement (Kubomara et al., 2009), 42 43 and this may have some advantages with regard to the utilisation of this compound, and its physiological efficacy. 44

Poultry meat has been shown to be a richer source of anserine when compared with other
meat sources (Peiretti et al., 2011; Rymer, 2012) and abundance varies with muscle type.

47 Exercise has been reported to influence muscle fibre type, as prolonged, vigorous training has been shown to result in an increase in the abundance of type II muscle fibres (Harris 48 et al., 2012). Type II muscle fibres can be prone to fatigue and as such contain greater 49 50 concentrations of carnosine related compounds (CRC) (Sewell et al., 1992; Dunnett et al., 1997). Therefore it is hypothesised that meat producing animals which are more active 51 may contain higher concentrations of these dipeptides within their muscle tissues than 52 53 those which are reared more intensively. Free range chickens may therefore have a higher concentration of CRC in their flight (breast) muscles than conventionally reared chickens. 54 55 Game birds are likely to have even more active flight muscles, and it is hypothesised that the breast meat of pheasants would be a richer source of CRC than either conventionally 56 reared or free range chicken. 57

Meat, particularly poultry meat, should be cooked through thoroughly prior to eating. Cooking has been shown to reduce the CRC content of meat (Purchas et al., 2004; Bauchart et al., 2006; Peiretti et al., 2011) with reductions being lower in grilled samples when compared with those which had been boiled (Peiretti et al., 2011). If poultry meat were to be marketed as a source of CRC, this effect of cooking would have repercussions on the value of the meat as a CRC source, and so does need to be confirmed.

The aim of this study was to determine whether the CRC content of a more active avian species (pheasant) was greater than that of chicken, and whether the way in which the bird was reared affected its CRC content. The study also aimed to determine whether different cooking methods affected the CRC content of the meat from these different sources.

69

70 2. Materials and Methods

71 2.1 Sample procurement and preparation

Retail chicken (free range [n=3] and conventional [n=3]) and pheasant breast fillets (n=3) were purchased fresh from a single local supermarket at weekly intervals for three weeks. During the same period of time wild pheasant breast tissue (n=3) was obtained from a local shoot at similar weekly intervals. Samples were obtained on the morning before preparation and cooking with the exception of wild pheasant which was hung for four days prior to collection and preparation.

78 All skin, membranes and visible fat were removed prior to each breast being divided into 79 six cubes of approximately 25g in weight. All cubes were kept to the same approximate dimensions to ensure even cooking. Five of the cubes were subjected to one of five 80 81 methods of cooking (boiling, grilling, frying, roasting or microwaving). The sixth sample 82 remained uncooked to determine CRC losses during cooking. The boiled sample was submerged in a beaker of boiling water on top of a hot plate, the grilled sample was grilled 83 84 on both sides in a compact grill (George Forman model 17894, Spectrum Brands, China), 85 the fried sample was dry fried in a frying pan on top of a hot plate, the roasted sample was roasted in a metal tin in an oven at 180°C and the microwaved sample was cooked 86 on a plate within a microwave oven (Kenwood model K20MSS10, Kenwood Ltd, China). 87 All samples were cooked to an internal temperature of 80°C. Following cooking all 88 89 samples were freeze dried and the dry matter content of each sample was determined. Lyophilisates were subsequently ground to a fine powder using a hand blender (Bosch 90 91 model MSM6150GB, China) and then stored frozen (-20°C) pending analysis.

92 2.2 Analysis of carnosine and anserine

93 The method used for the analysis of carnosine and anserine was based on that developed
94 by Peiretti et al. (2011). A sample of freeze-dried, ground tissue (100 mg) was transferred

95 to a plastic tube and trichloroacetic acid (0.6 M, 6 ml) was added. The tube and its 96 contents were thoroughly mixed, and incubated at room temperature for 5 min to denature the proteins. An aliquot (approx. 1 ml) was then transferred to an Eppendorf tube, which 97 98 was then centrifuged (9000 x g, 15 min, MSE Micro Centaur, London, UK). An aliquot of the supernatant was then transferred to a vial and diluted 10-fold with distilled water. 99 100 The vial was sealed and stored refrigerated (4°C) pending analysis. Analysis of the 101 anserine and carnosine contents of the samples was done by LC-MS (Bruker, Germany) 102 using the method described by Peiretti et al. (2011).

103 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

104 The concentration of carnosine, anserine and carnosine related compounds (determined 105 as the sum of carnosine and anserine) in dried samples were determined. This was then 106 converted to carnosine, anserine and CRC contents of fresh meat (either cooked or 107 uncooked) by allowing for the determined dry matter content of the meat. The effect of 108 sample type (conventional chicken, free range chicken, retail pheasant or wild caught pheasant) on the carnosine, anserine and CRC content of uncooked breast tissue was 109 determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a General Linear Model (GLM). 110 111 Means were separated by the Fisher multiple comparisons test

The effect of cooking method and sample type on the carnosine, anserine and CRC
content of cooked breast tissue was determined by ANOVA using a GLM. Means were
separated by the Fisher multiple comparisons test.

The effect of cooking method on the percentage loss of carnosine, anserine and CRC in cooked breast tissue was determined by ANOVA using a GLM, treatment means were separated by the Fisher multiple comparisons test. Statistical analysis was done using the Minitab version 17 software package (Minitab Inc, Pennsylvania, USA).

119

120 **3. Results and Discussion**

121 **3.1 Effect of species on breast CRC content**

122 The concentration of anserine and CRC was significantly greater in uncooked meat from 123 pheasant when compared with chicken (P<0.05), although free range chicken was not significantly different from retail pheasant, nor were there any differences between the 124 retail pheasant and its wild caught counterpart (Table 1). There are a number of published 125 126 works that show species differences in the carnosine, anserine and CRC content of breast 127 tissue (Abe, 2000; Peiretti et al., 2011; Rymer, 2012). These tend to focus on differences in CRC between chicken and turkey and there are marked differences between individual 128 129 publications with regards absolute values of CRC and which of the two species are a 130 richer source of CRC. There is very limited or no published data on the CRC content of pheasant tissue and available literature tends to focus on the differences in amino acid 131 132 content and profiles between pheasants and chickens reared in identical conditions 133 (Straková et al., 2006). Although pheasants, which would have access to more extensive range than conventional chickens, had higher concentrations of anserine and CRC than 134 135 the conventional chicken, it was interesting to note that there was no significant difference between the free range chicken and the retail pheasant. The largest variability in muscle 136 137 CRC content is usually attributable to species/genotype (Harris et al., 1990), but the similarity between free range chickens and pheasants in the current study might suggest 138 that differences observed in CRC breast muscle content might not necessarily be a 139 consequence of species differences but rather nutrition or environment. Nutrition, or 140 more specifically the supply of β -alanine and histidine, have been shown to have a 141 142 profound effect upon muscle CRC content in both humans (Peiretti et al., 2011) and 143 poultry (Park et al., 2013; Lukasiewicz et al., 2015). However, in the context of the 144 current study it is unlikely that either the retail pheasants or their wild caught counterparts 145 received additional *β*-alanine supplements during their rearing period when compared with chickens. The similarity in anserine and total CRC content observed between the 146 147 two pheasant sources and between free range chickens and retail pheasants, with conventionally reared chickens being lower than pheasants, may instead reflect levels of 148 activity within each group of birds, and explain the differences observed in anserine and 149 CRC content. Pheasants, whether sourced through a retail outlet or through a local shoot, 150 151 are reared in more extensive, free range conditions and as a consequence the flight muscles (breast tissue) of pheasants are more likely to be active than those of commercial 152 153 chickens. Furthermore, the lack of difference between retail and wild caught pheasant 154 may reflect the similarity in which both are managed and sourced. There is very little published material available concerning the effects that physical activity has on muscle 155 156 anserine and CRC content, although exercise in rats has been reported to increase muscle anserine content (Stvolinski et al., 1992) and the results from this study would tend to 157 158 support this finding.

159 It is also interesting to note that the ratio of carnosine to anserine was very similar between 160 the two species. The carnosine: anserine ratio has been reported to be species specific and that it could be used to determine the species of origin of meat products (Plowman and 161 162 Close, 1988; Abe and Okuma, 1995). The carnosine:anserine ratio in chicken has been reported to range between 0.28 and 0.81 whilst that of turkey has been shown to be lower 163 164 at 0.18 to 0.27 (Plowman and Close, 1988). The carnosine: anserine ratio did not differentiate between the two species in this study, and might suggest that the 165 physiological anatomy of these two species is similar. 166

167 **3.2 Effect of cooking on breast CRC content**

168 There was no significant interaction between sample type and cooking method on the concentration of carnosine (P=0.908), anserine (P=0.244) or CRC (P=0.551). The 169 170 carnosine content of the meat was not affected by cooking method, but the anserine and 171 total CRC content was (Table 2). Compared with the uncooked meat, the anserine (P=0.013) and total CRC (P=0.042) content was increased when the meat was grilled, 172 173 roasted or fried; if the meat was microwaved this also increased total CRC content. 174 Previous studies have reported reductions in the CRC content of meat following cooking 175 (Purchas et al., 2004; Bauchart et al., 2006; Peiretti et al., 2011) but the findings of the 176 current study seem not to reflect this. When comparing uncooked breast meat with cooked meat, CRC concentrations were similar for uncooked, boiled and microwaved 177 178 samples and greater in those that had been grilled, roasted or fried. This apparent increase 179 in dipeptide content with these methods of cooking could be explained by the loss of other 180 compounds during the cooking process which served to concentrate the dipeptides in the cooked meat. 181

182 However, if the difference in CRC content between uncooked and cooked samples is calculated then estimated CRC losses (Table 3) are highest in boiled and microwaved 183 184 samples, accounting for approximately 20% of the CRC content of the uncooked sample. 185 This greater loss of CRC content associated with boiling has been reported in other studies 186 (Peiretti et al., 2012)⁻ Both carnosine and anserine have been shown to be highly soluble 187 in water (Quinn et al., 1992; Nielsen et al., 2002) and it is likely that the larger losses 188 associated with boiling may in part be a consequence of CRC being leached into cooking 189 water. Microwave cooking in the current study was also shown to result in similar CRC 190 losses as boiling, whereas other research has recommended microwaving as a better 191 cooking method if trying to minimise CRC losses (Peiretti et al., 2012). This disparity in findings may be a consequence of differences in microwave cooking technique, which 192

may have had an impact on the type and quantity of cooking losses. However, if losses in both boiled and microwaved samples are a consequence of leaching then it is likely that the resultant broth is likely to contain these leached CRC compounds and that consumption of this broth would compensate for any reduction in the CRC content of the cooked meat. However, the concentration of CRC in cooking losses were not determined in the current study and as such it is not possible to confirm this hypothesis.

199 CRC losses associated with grilling, frying or roasting in the current study were markedly 200 lower than those seen with boiling or microwaving, accounting for approximately 5% of 201 the CRC content of the uncooked sample (Table 3). CRC losses reported in other studies 202 have shown that losses associated with grilling and broiling are lower than those seen in 203 boiling, although the losses reported for grilling are notably greater than those seen in the 204 current study (Peiretti et al., 2012). This difference in findings between studies may also 205 reflect differences in grilling technique.

206 Conclusions

Breast meat from birds that have had access to range (and therefore more exercise) is a 207 208 richer source of anserine and CRC than that of conventionally reared chicken, but there 209 is no significant difference between free range chicken and retail pheasant, or retail 210 pheasant and its wild caught counterpart in this regard. The method of cooking also 211 affects the anserine and CRC content of cooked breast meat; boiling and microwaving 212 resulted in greater losses of CRC than other, dryer methods of cooking. These greater losses may be a consequence of leaching and could reflect the water soluble nature of 213 214 both carnosine and anserine.

215

216 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Miss Hannah Ridley for her help in obtaining, preparingand analysing samples.

219

220	References
-----	------------

- Abe, H. and Okuma, E. (1995). Discrimination of meat species in processed meat
- products based on the ratio of histidine dipeptides. Journal of the Japanese Society for

*Food Sci*ence, 42, 827-734.

Abe, H. (2000). Role of histidine-related compounds as intracellular proton buffering

constituents in vertebrate muscle. *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 65, 891-900.

- Amend, J.F., Strumeyer, D.H. and Fisher, H. (1979). Effect of dietary histidine on tissue
- 227 concentrations of histidine containing dipeptides in adult cockerels. Journal of

228 Nutrition, 109, 1779-1786. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/109.10.1779</u>

- 229 Bauchart, C., Remond, D., Chambon, C., Patureau Mirand, P., Savary-Auzeloux, I.,
- Reynes, C. and Morzel, M. (2006). Small peptides (<5kDa) found in ready-to-eat beef
- 231 meat. *Meat Sci*ence, 74, 658-666. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.05.016</u>
- Boldyrev, A., Koldobski, A., Kurella, E., Maltseva, V. and Stvolinski, S. (1993).
- 233 Natural histidine-containing dipeptide carnosine as a potential hydrophilic antioxidant
- with membrane stabilising function. A biomedical aspect. *Molecular and Chemical*
- 235 *Neuropathology*, 94, 237-240.
- 236 Chan, W., Decker, E., Chow, C. and Boissonneault, G. (1994). Effect of dietary
- 237 carnosine on plasma tissue antioxidant concentrations and on lipid oxidation in rat
- skeletal muscle. *Lipids*, 29, 461-466. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02578242

- Davey, C.L. (1960). The significance of carnosine and anserine in striated skeletal
 muscle. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 89, 303-308.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(60)90059-X
- 242 Dunnett, N., Harris, R.C., Soliman, M.Z. and Suwar, A.A. (1997). Carnosine, anserine
- and taurine contents in individual fibres from the middle gluteal muscle of the camel.
- 244 Research in Veterinary Science, 62, 213-216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-</u>
 245 5288(97)90192-2
- Geissler, S., Zwarg, M., Knutter, I., Markwardt, F. and Brandsch, M. (2010). The
 bioactive dipeptide anserine is transported by human proton-coupled peptide
 transporters. *The FEBS Journal*, 277, 790–795. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-</u>
 <u>4658.2009.07483.x</u>
- Harris, R.C., Marlin, D.J., Dunnett, M., Snow, D.H. and Hultman, E. (1990). Muscle
- buffering capacity and dipeptide content in the thoroughbred horse, greyhound dog and
- man. Journal of Comparative Physiology, 97, 249-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-
- 253 <u>9629(90)90180-Z</u>
- Harris, R.C., Dunnett, M. and Greenhaf, P. (1998). Carnosine and taurine contents in
- individual fibres of human vastus lateralis muscle. Journal of Sports Science, 16, 639-
- 256 643. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/026404198366443</u>
- 257 Harris, R.C., Wise, J.A., Price, K.A., Kim, H.J., Kim, C.K. and Sale, C. (2012).
- 258 Determinants of muscle carnosine content. Amino Acids, 43, 5-12.
- 259 <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007%2Fs00726-012-1233-y</u>
- 260 Hipkiss, A.R., Preston, J.E., Himsworth, D.T., Keown, M., Michaelis, J., Lawrence, J.,
- 261 Mateen, A., Allende, L., Eagles, P.A. and Abbot, N.J. (1998). Pluripotent protective
- 262 effects of carnosine a naturally occurring dipeptide. *Annals of the New York Academy*
- 263 *of Sciences*, 854, 37-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09890.x</u>

- Kohen, R., Yamamoto, Y., Cundy, K. and Ames, B. (1988). Antioxidant activity of
- carnosine, homocarnosine and anserine present in muscles and brain. *Proceedings of*
- 266 the National Academy of Science U.S.A, 85, 3175-3179.
 267 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.9.3175
- Kubomara, D., Matahira, Y., Masui, A. and Matsuda, H. (2009). Intestinal absorption
- and blood clearance of L-histidine-related compounds after ingestion of anserine in
- 270 humans and comparison to anserine-containing diets. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
- 271 *Chemistry*, 57, 1781–1785. <u>https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/jf8030875</u>
- 272 Lukasiewicz, M., Kucynska, B., Kamaszewski, M. and Niemiec, J. (2015). Beta-alanine
- as a factor influencing the content of bioactive peptides in muscles of Hubbard Flex
- chickens. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 95, 2562-2565.
- 275 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6970</u>
- 276 Nielsen, C.U., Supuran, C.T., Scozzafava, A., Frokiaer, S., Steffansen, B. and Brodin,
- B. (2002). Transport characteristics of L-carnosine and the anti-cancer derivative 4-
- toluenes ulfonylureido-carnosine in human epithelial cell line. *Pharmaceutical*
- 279 Research, 19, 1337-1344.
- 280 https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1023%2FA%3A1020306926419.pdf
- 281 Park, Y.J., Volpe, S.L. and Decker, E.A. (2005). Quantification of carnosine in human's
- plasma after dietary consumption of beef. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry, 53,
- 283 4736-4739. <u>https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/jf047934h</u>
- 284 Park, S.W., Kim, C.H., Namgung, N., Jung, B.Y., Paik, I.K. and Kil, D.Y. (2013).
- Effects of dietary supplementation of histidine, beta-alanine, magnesium oxide, and
- blood meal on carnosine and anserine concentrations of broiler breast meat. *Journal of*
- 287 *Poultry Science*, 50, 251-256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00071669889213</u>

- 288 Peiretti, P.G., Medana, C., Visentin, S., Giancotti, V., Zunino, V. and Maineri, G.
- 289 (2011). Determination of carnosine, anserine, homocarnosine, pentosidine and
- 290 thiobabituric acid reactive substances contents in meat from different animal species.
- 291 Food Chemistry, 126, 1939-1947. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.036</u>
- 292 Peiretti, P.G., Medana, C., Visentin, S., Bello, F. and Meinen, G. (2012). Effect of
- 293 cooking method on carnosine and its homologues, pentosidine and thiobarbituric acid-
- reactive substance contents in beef and turkey meat. *Food Chemistry*, 132, 80-85.
- 295 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.10.035
- Plowman, J.E. and Close, E.A. (1988). An evaluation of a method to differentiate the
- species of origin of meats on the basis of the contents of anserine, balenine and carnosine
- in skeletal muscle. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 45, 69-78.
- 299 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740450109</u>
- 300 Purchas, R., Rutherford, S., Pearce, P., Vather, R. and Wilkinson, B. (2004).
- 301 Concentrations in beef and lamb of taurine, carnosine, co-enzyme Q10 and creatine.
- 302 *Meat Science*, 66, 629-637. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(03)00181-5</u>
- 303 Quinn, P.J., Boldyrev, A.A. and Formazuyk, V.E. (1992). Carnosine: its properties,
- functions and potential therapeutic applications. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 13,
- 305 379-444. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-2997(92)90006-L</u>
- 306 Rymer, C. (2012). A study to determine the differences in β -alanine dipeptide contents
- 307 of various samples of retail meat. University of Reading Report.
- Sewell, D.A., Harris, R.C., Marlin, D.J. and Dunnett, M. (1992). Estimation of the
- 309 carnosine content of different fibre types in the middle gluteal muscle of the
- 310 thoroughbred horse. Journal of Physiology, 455, 447-453.
- 311 https://physoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1113/jphysiol.1992.sp019310

- 312 Straková, E., Suchý, P., Vitula, F. and Večerek, V. (2006). Differences in the amino
- acid composition of muscles from pheasants and broiler chickens. *Archiv Tierzucht*, 49,
- 314 508-514. https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-49-508-2006
- 315 Stvolinski, S.L., Dobrota, D., Mezeshova, V., Liptai, T., Pronaiova, N., Zalibera, L. and
- Boldyrev, A.A. (1992). Carnosine and anserine in active muscle a proton NMR
- spectroscopic study. *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 57, 909-914.
- Wolf, W.A. and Wilson, D.W. (1935). Carnosine and anserine in mammalian skeletal
- 319 muscle. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 109, 565-571.
- 320 <u>http://www.jbc.org/content/109/2/565.short</u>
- 321
- 322
- 323

Table 1 Effect of species and system of production on the carnosine, anserine and total carnosine related compounds (CRC) content of uncooked poultry breast tissue

	Conventional Chicken (n=3)	Free Range Chicken (n=3)	Retail Pheasant (n=3)	Wild Caught Pheasant (n=3)	SEM	P-Value
Carnosine (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	4.89 ^a	6.81 ^{ab}	7.49 ^{ab}	8.16 ^b	0.605	0.025
Anserine (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	10.39 ^a	13.54 ^b	16.36 ^{bc}	16.82 ^c	0.939	0.004
CRC (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	15.27 ^a	20.34 ^b	23.86 ^{bc}	24.97°	1.420	0.005
Carnosine:Anserine ratio	0.470	0.508	0.457	0.484	0.033	0.730

Different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05) within row FW = Fresh weight

	Uncooked	Fried	Grilled	Boiled	Roasted	Microwave	SEM	P-Value
Carnosine (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	6.40	7.91	7.54	6.61	7.35	6.49	0.548	0.296
Anserine (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	13.4 ^a	16.1 ^{bc}	17.0 ^c	13.5 ^{ab}	16.8 ^c	14.0 ^{ab}	0.908	0.013
CRC (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	19.8 ^a	24.1 ^{bc}	24.5 ^c	20.1 ^{ab}	24.2 ^c	20.5 ^{abc}	1.39	0.042

Table 2 Effect of cooking method on the carnosine, anserine and total carnosine related compounds (CRC) content of poultry breast meat

Different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05) within row FW = Fresh weight

	Fried	Grilled	Boiled	Roasted	Microwave	SEM	P-Value
Carnosine	7.2	12.8	17.2	9.5	20.7	6.42	0.552
Anserine	7.4 ^{ab}	7.2 ^{ab}	19.9 ^c	2.9 ^a	18.7 ^{bc}	4.16	0.029
CRC	7.6 ^{ab}	9.3 ^{ab}	19.2 ^b	3.7 ^a	19.6 ^b	4.49	0.077

Table 3 Effect of cooking method on the estimated percentage loss of carnosine, anserine and total carnosine related compounds (CRC) in poultry breast tissue

Different subscripts differ significantly (P<0.05) within row