

Understanding the Chinese stock market: international comparison and policy implications

Article

Accepted Version

Liu, Z. and Wang, S. (2017) Understanding the Chinese stock market: international comparison and policy implications. Economic and Political Studies, 5 (4). pp. 441-455. ISSN 2095-4816 doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/20954816.2017.1384616 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/79667/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20954816.2017.1384616

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

一中国人民大學 RENMIN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA

) Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Check for updates

Understanding the Chinese stock market: international comparison and policy implications

Zhenya Liu^{a,b} and Shixuan Wang^{b,c}

^aChina Financial Policy Research Center, School of Finance, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China; ^bDepartment of Economics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; ^cCardiff Business School, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

ABSTRACT

The definitions of the bear, sidewalk and bull markets are ambiguous in the existing literature. This makes it difficult for practitioners to distinguish between different market conditions. In this paper, we propose statistical definitions of the bear, sidewalk and bull markets, which correspond to the three states in our hidden semi-Markov model. We apply this analysis to the daily returns of the Chinese stock market and seven developed markets. Using the Viterbi algorithm to globally decode the most likely sequence of the market conditions, we systematically find the precise timing of the bear, sidewalk and bull markets for all the eight markets. Through the comparison of the estimation and decoding results, many unique characteristics of the Chinese stock market are revealed, such as 'crazy bull', 'frequent and quick bear' and 'no buffer zone'. In China, the bull market is more volatile than in developed markets, the bear market occurs more frequently than in developed markets, and the sidewalk market has not functioned as a buffer zone since 2005. Possible causes of these unique characteristics are also discussed and implications for policy-making are suggested.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 24 December 2015

Accepted 18 April 2016 Published online

KEYWORDS

Chinese stock market; asset return; hidden semi-Markov model; market conditions; policy implications

Introduction

The rules and structures of the Chinese stock market are considerably different from those of developed stock markets. Firstly, the Chinese stock market is heavily influenced by policy, rather than being market-orientated. The Chinese government is extensively involved in the stock market and has imposed a number of special restrictions. Secondly, the Chinese stock market consists mainly of individual investors, whereas institutional investors dominate in developed markets. Thirdly, the Chinese stock market is still under development and lacks financial derivatives to manage risk. For example, index futures and options trading in China is subject to a number of restrictions, which makes them inaccessible for the majority of investors. It is worthwhile investigating the distinctive characteristics of the Chinese stock market.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

46

CONTACT Zhenya Liu 🐼 zhenya_liu@hotmail.com 🖃 China Financial Policy Research Center, School of Finance, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China

© 2017 Economic and Political Studies

⁴⁴ 45

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

It is natural to ask how these different rules and structures might affect the market behaviour. Many studies have investigated the Chinese stock market from different perspectives. Herding behaviour, overreaction and speculation in the Chinese stock market are well-documented. Tan et al. (2008) study the herding behaviour in the Chinese stock market, including both A-share and B-share. They find that herding happens in both upside and downside market conditions. Particularly, the herding behaviour is stronger in upside market conditions in A-share. Investor sentiment and its nonlinear effect on stock returns in China are studied by Ni, Wang, and Xue (2015) through the panel quantile regression model. The nonlinear effect of investor sentiment turns out to be asymmetric and reversal, which proves the occurrence of overreaction in the Chinese stock market. In addition, they observe that Chinese investors are affected by cognitive bias and speculation tendencies. In the literature, however, very few works have studied the market behaviour from the perspective of market conditions. To the best of our knowledge, the difference of the market conditions between the Chinese stock market and developed markets is seldom addressed, especially after 2005.

Market conditions have been studied mostly with Markov-switching techniques. Schaller and Van Norden (1997) consider a two-regime model which allows the mean and/or the variance of returns to vary in different regimes for the US stock market. Nielsen and Olesen (2001) find that a third regime, the speculative market, exists in some European markets. Girardin and Liu (2003) adopt a switch-in-the-mean plus switch-in-the-variance (MSMH(3)-AR(5)) model for weekly capital gains on the Shanghai A-share market during the period between 1995 and 2002. They also find that there are three market conditions: a speculative market, a bull market and a bear market. They claim that the bull market is always a buffer zone in the transition between the other two market conditions. It should be noted that the buffer zone defined in the research of Girardin and Liu (2003) is the bull market, while the sidewalk market is regarded as the buffer zone in this paper.

The hidden Markov model (HMM) and hidden semi-Markov model (HSMM) used 75 in financial studies focus on the reproduction of stylised facts of daily returns. Rydén, 76 Teräsvirta, and Åsbrink (1998) firstly adopt a two-state HMM with normal distribu-77 tions (zero mean but different variance) as the component distribution (a.k.a. mar-78 ginal distribution) to reproduce most of the stylised facts of daily returns, except for 79 the slow decay in the autocorrelation function of squared returns. Bulla and Bulla 80 (2006) use a two-state HSMM, which is a generalisation of HMM, to model daily 81 returns of 18 US sector indexes. The stylised facts of daily returns are reproduced by 82 HSMM, including the long memory in the autocorrelation function of squared 83 returns. Liu and Wang (2017) use a three-state HSMM on the daily returns of CSI 84 300 and show that the stylised facts of daily returns in China also can be reproduced. 85 Their empirical results suggest that three-state HSMM is appropriate for the CSI 300, 86 and it is better than two-state HSMM, three-state HMM, two-state HMM and 87 GARCH(1,1) model. In this paper, we follow Liu and Wang (2017) to employ the 88 three-state HSMM to systematically find the precise timing of the bear, sidewalk and 89 bull markets. 90

This paper aims to statistically identify the unique characteristics of the market conditions in the Chinese stock market through international comparison.

The definitions of the bear, sidewalk and bull markets are very vague in the existing 93 literature, making it difficult for practitioners to distinguish between stock markets in 94 different market conditions. In this paper, we propose statistical definitions of the 95 bear, sidewalk and bull markets, which correspond to the states in our three-state 96 HSMM. We apply this analysis to the daily returns of the Chinese stock market and 97 seven developed markets. Using the Viterbi algorithm (Viterbi 1967) to globally 98 decode the most likely sequence of the market conditions, we systematically find the 99 precise timing of the bear, sidewalk and bull markets for all the eight markets. 100Through the comparison of the estimation and decoding results, several unique char-101 acteristics of the Chinese stock market are found, such as 'crazy bull', 'frequent and 102quick bear' and 'no buffer zone'. 'Crazy bull' refers to a considerably high variance in 103 the bull market. 'Frequent and quick bear' means that the bear market has a short 104sojourn time and occurs very frequently. 'No buffer zone' describes that the bull 105 market is typically mixed with the bear market and there is no sidewalk market 106between them. 107

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. This paper first reviews the 108 key differences between the Chinese stock market and developed markets. In the 109 methodology part, the statistical definitions of the bear, sidewalk and bull markets are 110 proposed. We then introduce the HSMM that we will subsequently use. In the empir-111 ical results part, the unique characteristics of the Chinese stock market are identified 112 by comparing estimation and decoding results with developed markets. Finally, the 113 possible causes of the unique characteristics are discussed and several policy implica-114 tions are suggested. 115

Review of the Chinese stock market

116 117

118

The Chinese stock market is heavily influenced by policies, rather than being market-119 oriented. The Chinese government is considerably involved in the stock market and 120 intervenes frequently. Policies on the Chinese stock market are unstable. For example, 121 in addition to price limits, the China Securities Regulatory Commission (CSRC) 122 imposed 'Circuit Breaker' on 1 January 2016. In China, the 'Circuit Breaker' mechan-123 ism depends on the abnormal movement of the market index (CSI 300). Specifically, 124 the trading of stocks and relevant derivatives will be suspended for 15 minutes if the 125 market index rises/drops 5%, and the trading will be stopped for the rest of the day if 126 the market index rises/drops 7%. After the launch of the 'Circuit Breaker', it was acti-127 vated twice in the first week. Because of complaints from investors, the Chinese gov-128 ernment abandoned this mechanism on 8 January 2016. 129

In China, individual investors make up 82.24% of total trading volume in 2013 130 (Han and Li 2017), whereas institutional investors dominate in developed markets. 131 Most individual investors focus on price changes and are less concerned with funda-132 mental economic and firm-level information. The turnover rate in the Chinese stock 133 market is much higher than that in developed markets, implying that a large propor-134 tion of trading activities are speculative rather considered as investments. Many indi-135 vidual investors are heavily influenced by market rumours. Individual investors tend 136 to follow the news and purchase stocks in a herding manner (Tan et al. 2008), which 137 makes the market highly volatile. 138

There is a lack of risk management tools in the Chinese stock market. As a matter of 139 fact, short-selling stocks in China are still limited and investors can mainly buy stocks. 140Index futures are supposed to be a suitable tool to hedge downside risk. However, the 141 Chinese regulator imposed various restrictions on trading index futures in August 2015 142 because it suspected that some investors participated in 'malicious' short selling of 143 index futures. Many private funds and security firms were under investigation for bet-144 ting on a market drop. As a result, the trading volume of the index futures shrank sub-145 stantially. For example, IF1509 had a trading volume of 2.42 million contracts on 25 146 August 2015, but it dropped to 3,810 on 7 September 2015. Utilising index futures to 147 manage risk is still subject to a number of restrictions (e.g. no more than 10 contracts 148are allowed to open). Due to restrictions on domestic index futures markets, investors 149 are not able to freely trade index futures. Many investors tend to trade Chinese index 150 futures products in foreign markets, like the FTSE China A50 index futures on the 151 Singapore Exchange and E-mini FTSE China 50 index futures on the Chicago 152 153 Mercantile Exchange. This has caused an outflow of capital from China.

154 During 2015, it has been observed that fund-matching activities are very active. 155 Fund matching refers to borrow funds from trust companies, structured mutual fund 156 companies and other sources. Unlike margin loan and margin financing, the regula-157 tion on fund matching is much less strict, which can be an essential cause of the high 158 leverage. For example, umbrella trusts are not required to register with the China 159 Securities Depository and Clearing Corporation. Umbrella trusts contain two sorts of 160 tranches. Banks purchase the senior tranches, which guarantee fixed returns. 161 Subordinate tranches are sold to private clients, like wealthy individuals, private com-162 panies and fund-matching companies, and provide uncertain returns depending on 163 the performance of the wealth management product. In other words, subordinate 164 tranches would get the rest of investment profits. Jiang (2014) claims that the 165 Minsheng Bank, China Everbright Bank and China Merchants Bank were heavily 166 involved in the business of umbrella trusts. There are no accurate data about the size 167 of umbrella trusts but some estimations indicate that they accounted for roughly 200 168 billion RMB by the end of 2014 (Hsu 2015). In favour of high interest rates, fund-169 matching companies lend funds to investors by providing margin loans without 170 sufficient consideration of risk. Yap (2015) points out that by 30 June 2015, fund-171 matching companies channelled 500 billion RMB from opening multiple and subdi-172 vided securities accounts with brokerages. These fund-matching companies were 173 seldom regulated until CSRC imposed restrictions on them in July 2015. 174

Methodology

175

176 177

Defining bear, sidewalk and bull markets

In practice, investors tend to determine market conditions arbitrarily and different conclusions might be drawn for the same market in the same period. In the existing academic literature, the definition of market conditions varies considerably. In one of the early studies, Fabozzi and Francis (1977) propose three ways to define market conditions. The first way is to distinguish bull and bear markets (BB): it defines months when the market rises as in the bull market, but months when the market rises near the bearish periods are treated as part of the bear market. The second way defines up and down markets (UD): months in which return was non-negative are defined as up months, and months in which return was negative are defined as down months. And the third way is about substantial up and down months (SUD): months when the market moves up substantially, months when the market moves down substantially, and months when the market moves neither up substantially nor down substantially, but the threshold for a substantial move is arbitrarily defined.

In more recent studies, a loose definition by Chauvet and Potter (2000) proposes 192 that market prices generally increase (decrease) in a bull (bear) market. Edwards and 193 Caglayan (2001) simply define that bull market months are those in which the S&P 194 index rises by 1% or more, and bear market months are those in which the S&P index 195 falls by 1% or above. Lunde and Timmermann (2004) claim that a bull (bear) market 196 starts when the market price increases (decreases) by a certain percentage, e.g. 20%, 197 from the previous local bottom (peak). Gonzalez et al. (2006) utilise two formal turning 198 point methods to detect the timing of BB. Cheng, Lee, and Lin (2013) define bull (bear) 199 markets as the periods with at least three consecutive months of positive (negative) 200 201 returns.

It is necessary to propose our own definition of market conditions for three reasons. Firstly, there is no generally accepted definition of the market conditions. Secondly, the market conditions are mostly defined based on monthly data. Finally and most importantly, the current definitions are usually based on a dichotomy, i.e. the bull and the bear market (or the up and the down market). The only exception is the SUD in Fabozzi and Francis (1977), but their threshold for a substantial move is arbitrarily defined. In contrast, we systemically define the bear, sidewalk and bull market conditions from the perspective of distributional features.

Definition bear market

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209 210

211 212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219 220

221

222

223

224

225

226 227

228

229

230

- The mean of the distribution of the daily returns conditional on the bear market should be less than 0.
- It is expected that the frequency of negative returns is larger than that of positive returns.
- Due to the above distributional features, the market prices in the bear market are generally falling.

Definition sidewalk market

- The mean of the distribution of the daily returns conditional on the sidewalk market should be very close to 0.
- It is expected that roughly an equal number of positive and negative returns are observed.
- Due to the above distributional features, market prices stay within a certain band and show a mean reversion pattern.

Definition bull market

• The mean of the distribution of the daily returns conditional on the bull market should be larger than 0.

- The frequency of positive returns is expected to be larger than that of negative returns.
 - Due to the above distributional features, market prices in the bull market are generally rising.

In straightforward notation, the mean in each market is as follows:

$$\mu(S_t) = \mu_1 < 0$$
, if $S_t = 1$ ('bear' market)
 $\mu(S_t) = \mu_2 \approx 0$, if $S_t = 2$ ('sidewalk' market)
 $\mu(S_t) = \mu_3 > -0$, if $S_t = 3$ ('bull' market)

The variance of each market can be denoted as

$$\sigma^2(S_t) = \sigma_1^2$$
, if $S_t = 1$ ('bear' market)
 $\sigma^2(S_t) = \sigma_2^2$, if $S_t = 2$ ('sidewalk' market)
 $\sigma^2(S_t) = \sigma_3^2$, if $S_t = 3$ ('bull' market)

where we expect that the bear market should have the highest variance (i.e. $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$ and $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_3^2$) because it is normally the most volatile market.

Hidden semi-Markov model

The HSMM is an extension of the HMM by explicitly specifying the sojourn time (a.k.a. dwell time, occupancy time, duration time) distribution. The underlying state process is a semi-Markov chain. HSMM was originally introduced in the 1980s for speech recognition and now has a wide range of applications in the areas of, e.g. handwriting recognition, functional MRI brain mapping and network anomaly detection. Yu (2010) provides a comprehensive review of HSMM, including parameter estimation, model inference and applications.

HSMM is comprised of two processes, the unobservable state process, $s_1, s_2, ..., s_T$ and the observation process $x_1, x_2, ..., x_T$. Each state has a sequence of observation. The sojourn time is explicitly defined by the sojourn time distribution.

The component distribution that produces a sequence of observations with length d starting at time t + 1 is shown as:

$$b_{i,d}(x_{t+1:t+d}) = P(x_{t+1:t+d}|s_{[t+1:t+d]} = i)$$
(1)

where *i* is one of the states (i.e. $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$); $x_{t+1:t+d}$ stands for the sequence of observation from time t + 1 to t + d; and $s_{[t+1:t+d]}$ represents the sequence of states starting at time t + 1 and ending at t + d, inclusive. In other words, State *i* that begins at t + 1 produces a sequence of *d* observations.

The state transition probability that State i of length d enters into State j of length d' is defined in Equation (2):

$$\gamma_{(i,d)(j,d')} = \mathbf{P}(s_{[t+1:t+d']} = j | s_{[t-d-1:t]} = i)$$
(2)

where *i* and *j* belong to one of the states (i.e. $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$). After staying in State *i* for sojourn time *d*, the next state has to go from State *i* to other states.

(3)

The transition from one state to the same state itself is 0, i.e. $\gamma_{(i,d)(i,d')} = 0$. Hence, the diagonal elements in the transition probability matrix (TPM) are zeros. The entries in TPM need to be associated with its sojourn time. The definition of TPM for HSMM is presented in Equation (3):

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_{(1,d_1)(2,d_2)} & \cdots & \gamma_{(1,d_1)(m,d_m)} \\ \gamma_{(2,d_2)(1,d_1)} & 0 & \cdots & \gamma_{(2,d_2)(m,d_{1m})} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{(m,d_m)(1,d_1)} & \gamma_{(m,d_m)(2,d_2)} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The summation of the rows in TPM has to be 1. The sojourn time is d_i , $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, for each state follows its sojourn time distribution. The sojourn time distribution is defined in Equation (4):

$$d_i(u) = P(s_{t+u+1} \neq j, s_{[t+1:t+u]} = j | s_{t+1} = j, s_t \neq j)$$
(4)

Following Bulla and Bulla (2006), we adopt the right-censored type HSMM because the assumption of the classical HSMM that the last observation always coincides with the exit from a state does not seem to be realistic for financial time series data.

For our empirical study, we adopt the three-state HSMM with normal distributions as component distributions. The three states in the HSMM are expected to correspond to the bear, sidewalk and bull markets. The normal distribution is simple and fits our data well. The sojourn time distribution is set to be a logarithmic distribution because it only has one parameter and maintains the simplicity of the model. Estimations are carried out using the EM algorithm. The Viterbi algorithm is employed to globally decode the most likely sequence of states based on the data observed. Bulla, Bulla, and Nenadić (2010) provide a basis implementation of HSMM as an R package. Our implementation is based on their package.

Empirical results

Data description

We apply the three-state HSMM to analyse the daily returns of stock indexes in eight countries, including the CSI 300 (China), S&P 500 (United States), FTSE 100 (United Kingdom), CAC 40 (France), DAX (Germany), Nikkei 225 (Japan), STI (Singapore) and ASX 200 (Australia). The sample period is from 8 April 2005 to 26 February 2016, slightly more than a decade. The reason for using this sample period is that the start date is when the CSI 300 was first launched. There are 2,645 observations for each index. The source of our data is Wind.

The daily return is defined as 100 times the first-order difference of the natural logarithm of the closing price series:

$$r_t^i = 100 \times (\ln(P_t^i) - \ln(P_{t-1}^i))$$
(5)

where P_t^i is the daily closing price of the market index *i* at time *t*.

332 333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

364 365

366

	State	State 1 (Bear)		State 2 (Sidewalk)		State 3 (Bull)	
	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance	
CSI 300	-0.513	(9.719)	-0.020	(1.343)	0.614	(2.058)	
S&P 500	-0.140	(8.726)	-0.042	(1.375)	0.115	(0.244)	
FTSE 100	-0.245	(9.346)	-0.018	(1.427)	0.082	(0.297)	
CAC 40	-0.330	(11.611)	-0.051	(2.219)	0.123	(0.526)	
DAX	-0.316	(10.180)	-0.018	(1.920)	0.187	(0.393)	
Nikkei 225	-0.382	(16.169)	-0.056	(2.311)	0.156	(0.693)	
STI	-0.084	(8.831)	-0.053	(1.644)	0.061	(0.348)	
ASX 200	-0.304	(6.680)	-0.040	(1.449)	0.098	(0.412)	

Table 1. Component distribution.

Component distribution: evidence of 'crazy bull'

It is natural to interpret the three states in our HSMM as bear, sidewalk and bull according to our definition based on statistical features of return distributions. The estimated parameters of the component distribution in HSMM for all the countries under study are presented in Table 1. The means of State 1 in all these countries are less than zero and their variances are the highest among the three states. The statistical features of State 1 are consistent with a bear market. It can be observed that the means in State 2 are all close to and slightly less than zero. The variance in State 2 is much lower than in State 1. The statistical features of State 2 meet our expectation of a sidewalk market, in which the return distribution should have a mean close to zero, enabling the price in the sidewalk market to fluctuate within a band. State 3 for all the countries have positive means, with the smallest variance among all of the states, except for the CSI 300. The return distribution with positive mean and small variance allows the price in the bull market to increase steadily, which is an intrinsic feature of a bull market.

The first unique characteristic of the 'crazy bull' is the abnormally high variance in the Chinese bull market compared with other countries. The Chinese bull market has a variance of 2.058, almost three times higher than that of other countries. Japan has the second most unstable bull market with a variance of 0.693. The bull markets in the United States and the United Kingdom are relatively more stable, as indicated by the small variances of 0.244 and 0.297, respectively.

The small variances of 0.244 and 0.297, respectively. It is reasonable to expect that the variance is higher in the bear market for all the eight countries since the abrupt price fall during the market crash increases volatility. The bear markets in all the eight countries show similarly high variances. The variance in the Chinese bear market (9.719) is modest, between the highest variance in Japan (16.169) and the lowest in Australia (6.680). It seems that the volatility of the Chinese bear is normal.

There is no significant difference between the sidewalk markets of the eight countries. Interestingly, the means in the sidewalk markets are close to 0 but consistently slightly less than 0. In Table 2, one-sample *t*-statistics show that none of the eight countries has a mean in State 2 which is significantly different from 0.

Sojourn time: evidence of 'frequent and quick bear'

Based on the global decoding results, Table 3 reports the number of days, number of times and average sojourn for the three market conditions in all the eight countries

Table2. One-sample t-test.

t-Statistics of mean in State 2	
CSI 300	-0.653
S&P 500	-1.251
FTSE 100	-0.595
CAC 40	-1.316
DAX	-0.533
Nikkei 225	-1.464
STI	-1.270
ASX 200	-1.185

Table 3.	Davs.	times	and	average	soiourn.

	State 1 (Bear)	State 2 (Sidewalk)	State 3 (Bull)	
CSI 300			~ `	
Number of days	570	1,381	693	
Number of times	22	6	25	
Average sojourn	25.91	230.17	27.72	
S&P 500		<		
Number of days	269	1,242	1,133	
Number of times	3	30	27	
Average sojourn	89.67	41.40	41.96	
FTSE 100			$\setminus \vee$	
Number of days	166	1,486	992	
Number of times	7	21	14	
Average sojourn	23.71	70.76	70.86	
CAC 40		$\land \lor$		
Number of days	174	1,483	987	
Number of times	5	18	13	
Average sojourn	34.80	82.39	75.92	
DAX		<		
Number of days	217	1,612	815	
Number of times	3	21	18	
Average sojourn	72.33	76.76	45.28	
Nikkei 225				
Number of days	104	1,607	933	
Number of times	5	16	10	
Average sojourn	20.80	100.44	93.30	
STI				
Number of days	213	938	1,493	
Number of times	4	16	12	
Average sojourn	53.25	58.63	124.42	
ASX 200				
Number of days	188	1,251	1,205	
Number of times	4	16	11	
Average sojourn	47.00	78.19	109.55	

during our sample period. Compared with developed markets, the Chinese stock market shows the characteristics of 'quick bull', 'frequent and quick bear' and 'long sidewalk'.

It should be highlighted that the average sojourn time of the bull market in China (27.72) is the shortest, while for developed markets it is more than 40 trading days. During our sample period, the Chinese market was in the bull market for 693 trading days, but entered and exited the bull market 25 times. We find that the United States is also in the bull market for a large number of times (27). However, the total number of days when the United States is in the bull market (1,133) is nearly double that of China, which results in a relatively longer average sojourn in the bull market (41.96).

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448 449 450

		From/To	State 1 (Bear)	State 2 (Sidewalk)	State 3 (Bull)
CSI 3	300	State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	0.04%	99.96%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	48.45%	0.00%	51.55%
		State 3 (Bull)	77.05%	22.95%	0.00%
S&P	500	State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	99.90%	0.10%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	5.18%	0.00%	94.82%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
FTSE	100	State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	14.61%	0.00%	85.39%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
CAC	40	State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	13.10%	0.00%	86.90%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
DAX		State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	99.93%	0.07%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	7.81%	0.00%	92.19%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Nikk	ei 225	State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	20.33%	0.00%	79.67%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
STI		State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	17.89%	0.00%	82.11%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
ASX	200	State 1 (Bear)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
		State 2 (Sidewalk)	16.88%	0.00%	83.12%
		State 3 (Bull)	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%

Table 4 Transition probability matrix

China along with the United Kingdom and Japan are found to have a short average sojourn in the bear market, while the other five countries have more than 30 trading days. It should be pointed out that China was in the bear market 22 times, while all of the other countries were in the bear market around five times in our sample period. We can argue that the 'quick bear' happens in the United Kingdom and Japan but not frequently, while China has a 'frequent and quick bear'.

The average sojourn of the sidewalk market in China is 230.17, more than twice that of other countries. In addition, China was in the sidewalk market only six times in the sample period. Every time China entered the sidewalk market, the long-term trend in the stock market cannot be established unless the long sojourn in the sidewalk market has elapsed. The most obvious sidewalk period in China is from 2011 to 2014, where the CSI 300 stayed roughly between 2,000 and 3,000. During that period, whenever the CSI 300 was near the ceiling or floor, it would eventually return to the band again.

Transition probability matrix: evidence of 'no buffer zone'

451 We find a very unique characteristic, the 'no buffer zone', of the Chinese stock market 452 from the estimated TPM in Table 4. The direct transition probability from the bear 453 market to bull market (or the opposite direction) is close to 0% in all developed mar-454 kets. It is clearly shown that all developed markets always have the sidewalk market 455 as a buffer zone between the bull and the bear market. Nevertheless, the TPM in 456 China is very special with a particularly high-transition probability from the bear 457 market to the bull market (nearly 100%) and a relatively high-transition probability 458 from the bull market to the bear market (77.05%). The buffer zone effect was not 459 found to exist in China over the sample period. The direct transition between the bull 460

market and the bear market is typical. It is found that the bull market and the bear market are mixed together many times in the Chinese stock market.

The second difference between the TPM of China and other countries is that in China the transition probability from sidewalk market to the other two markets is roughly 50%, while other developed markets tend to have a much larger probability to be a bull maket after exiting the sidewalk market. The developed market normally has a probability of less than 20% for exiting the sidewalk market to the bear market. Though this might be due to the short sample period of our data, this is what actually happened in the last decade, including the four stages of the economic and business cycle, namely economic prosperity before 2007, the financial crisis in 2008, financial depression since 2009 and economic rebound after 2010.

Discussion and policy implications

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471 472 473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481 482 483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

Through the comparison with international markets, we find many unique characteristics of the Chinese stock market. The most prominent three characteristics are 'crazy bull', 'frequent and quick bear' and 'no buffer zone'. All of these characteristics indicate that the Chinese stock market is much more volatile than other developed markets. These three characteristics are of great importance for policymakers. In order to build a more reliable and stable stock market, we would like to discuss the possible causes of the unique characteristics and policy implications from our findings.

'Crazy bull' – rational security analysis and investor structure adjustment

Compared with developed markets, the Chinese stock market has considerably high variance in the bull market, which may be induced by the herding behaviour of individual investors. Kim and Wei (2002) provide evidence that individual investors are more likely to engage in herding. Kumar and Lee (2006) use more than 1.85 million individual investor transactions at a major US discount brokerage house to show that individual investors buy or sell stocks in concert during 1991–1996. Moreover, individual investors can be easily influenced by news and market sentiment. Barber and Odean (2007) test and confirm that individual investors are net buyers of 'attention-grabbing stocks'.

In order to mitigate the herding behaviour, Lao and Singh (2011) suggest that large financial institutions can bring more rational security analysis to the general public, which can decrease speculative investments by individual investors. Most individual investors have little knowledge of stock markets and focus on short-term price changes, rather than the fundamental values of listed companies. It is imperative to guide individual investors to focus on the fundamental values of firms and make rational investments.

In China, individual investors account for 82.24% of the total trading volume in 2013 (Han and Li 2017), whereas institutional investors dominate in developed markets. Boehmer and Kelley (2009) show that stocks with greater institutional ownership are priced more efficiently. The Chinese government needs to adjust investor structure and promote the development of institutional investors, such as asset management firms, private funds and mutual funds. Institutional investors have expert

508 509 510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

539 540

541

knowledge and skills to manage professional investments that seek long-term returns under proper risk management.

'Frequent and quick bear' – risk management tools

In China, the bear market has a short sojourn time and occurs very frequently. As a matter of fact, short selling is limited in the Chinese stock market. Most investors can only buy stocks in China. Mei, Scheinkman, and Xiong (2009) point out that mispricing can hardly be arbitraged at both the market level and the individual stock level in a market with stringent constraints on short selling. It is very difficult to hedge downside risk during the bear market.

Index futures are appropriate tools to hedge downside risk during a bear market.
Lien and Tse (2000) utilise futures contracts to develop a hedge strategy that minimises the lower partial moments. Lien and Tse (2002) review the theoretical background and econometric implementation of various futures hedging. Chen, Lee, and
Shrestha (2003) investigate different theoretical methods to find optimal futures hedge
ratios.

Although the China Financial Futures Exchange launched the first index futures product, the CSI 300 index futures, on 16 April 2010, the trading of index futures is under strict restrictions. Firstly, there are high barriers for individual investors to participate because of the high deposit requirement and the minimum account size requirement. Secondly, the margin requirement is 15–18%, much higher than that of index futures in developed countries. Thirdly, qualified foreign institutional investors are not eligible to trade index futures.

In July 2015, more restrictions on index futures trading were enforced which have 531 hampered the development of financial markets. The strictest rule is that the number 532 of opening contracts cannot exceed 10 per day. As a result, investors can hardly use 533 the index futures as a tool to manage risk. In order to develop the Chinese stock mar-534 ket, it is crucial to remove restrictions on the trading of domestic index futures prod-535 ucts for investors to hedge the downside risk during frequent bear markets. In this 536 way, the Chinese stock market can stay on the promised path of reform to become 537 more market-oriented rather than policy-oriented. 538

'No buffer zone' – restriction on leverage

The most notable characteristic of the Chinese stock market is that the bull market is 542 typically mixed with the bear market and that there is no sidewalk market between 543 them. In developed markets, the sidewalk market always functions as the 'buffer zone' 544 between the bear market and the bull market. The 'no buffer zone' phenomenon can 545 be explained by the overreaction effect in behavioural finance. Bondt and Thaler 546 (1985) find that most investors usually overreact to unexpected and dramatic news, 547 suggesting the existence of weak-form market inefficiencies. Wang and Yu (2004) 548 examine the overreaction effect in China during the period from 1994 to 2000 and 549 find that overreaction is most pronounced in the A-share market. 550

551 More importantly, Hsu (2015) points out that the excess leverage from fund 552 matching exaggerates the overreaction effect in China. In 2015, the Chinese stock 553 market encountered a frenzied bull and then a dismal bear, which increased volatility 554 to a historically high level. It is highly likely that the abnormally high volatility was 555 caused by excess leverage, specifically through fund matching, like umbrella trusts and 556 fund-matching companies. By margin loan and margin financing, brokerages can 557 increase funding by up to twice the margin (i.e. ratio at 1:2). Through umbrella trusts, 558 one may leverage up to five times the margin (i.e. ratio at 1:5).

The excess leverage of fund matching exaggerates the downside risk of the Chinese stock market, which caused the contagion of the market crisis. It is inevitable that detailed regulation needs to be imposed on umbrella trusts and fund-matching companies. There should be strict rules in the banking sector to provide funding for umbrella trusts. Leverage should be capped at a much lower level. The monitoring of fund-matching companies needs to be significantly reinforced. Finally, information on fund matching should be more transparent to the public.

Conclusion

559

560

561

562

563

564

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

594 595

596

In this paper, we first review the special features of the Chinese stock market and then a three-state HSMM is employed to decode its market conditions and those of seven developed markets. Comparing the estimation and global decoding results, we find three unique characteristics of the Chinese stock market, namely 'crazy bull', 'frequent and quick bear' and 'no buffer zone'. 'Crazy bull' refers to the fact that the variance of the bull market in the Chinese stock market is noticeably higher than that of developed markets. 'Frequent and quick bear' is implied by the fact that the bull market occurs frequently in China and the sojourn time of the Chinese bull market is short. 'No buffer zone' is the most prominent characteristic. It is observed that the sidewalk in developed markets always functions as a buffer zone between the bear and bull markets, while this never occurs in China.

580 Our findings are meaningful for investors and policymakers at two levels. Firstly, 581 at the microlevel, investors have more in-depth understanding of the Chinese stock 582 market, which has several prominent differences from developed markets. In China, 583 the bull market is more volatile, the bear market happens more frequently, and the 584 sidewalk market does not function as a buffer zone. All of these characteristics suggest 585 that investors need to carefully manage the risk of their investment and avoid specula-586 tion. Secondly, at the macrolevel, it is very important for the Chinese government to 587 adjust the investor structure, to provide risk management tools and to strengthen 588 supervision on the excess leverage from fund matching. However, the limitation of 589 this paper is that our sample period is not long enough and daily returns may contain 590 noise. Moreover, other frequencies of return could be used to conduct robustness 591 checking. Further work could be done based on an abnormal return, rather than the 592 original raw daily returns. 593

Acknowledgements

597 The authors appreciate the constructive comments and suggestions from the anonymous 598 referees.

Disclosure statement 599

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

The research of Shixuan Wang was supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (UK) [grant number ES/J50001X/1].

References

- Barber, B. M., and T. Odean. 2007. "All that Glitters: The Effect of Attention and News on the Buying Behavior of Individual and Institutional Investors." The Review of Financial Studies 21: 785-818.
- Boehmer, E., and E. K. Kelley. 2009. "Institutional Investors and the Informational Efficiency of Prices." The Review of Financial Studies 22: 3563-3594.
- Bondt, W. F. M., and R. Thaler. 1985. "Does the Stock Market Overreact?" The Journal of Finance 40: 793-805.
- Bulla, J., and I. Bulla. 2006. "Stylized Facts of Financial Time Series and Hidden Semi-Markov Models." Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 51: 2192–2209.
- Bulla, J., I. Bulla, and O. Nenadić. 2010. "HSMM: An R Package for Analyzing Hidden Semi-Markov Models." Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 54: 611-619.
- Chauvet, M., and S. Potter. 2000. "Coincident and Leading Indicators of the Stock Market." Journal of Empirical Finance 7: 87–111.
- Chen, S.-S., C-f. Lee, and K. Shrestha. 2003. "Futures Hedge Ratios: A Review." The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance 43: 433–465.
- Cheng, T. Y., C. I. Lee, and C. H. Lin. 2013. "An Examination of the Relationship between the Disposition Effect and Gender, Age, the Traded Security, and Bull-Bear Market Conditions." Journal of Empirical Finance 21: 195–213.
- Edwards, F. R., and M. O. Caglayan. 2001. "Hedge Fund and Commodity Fund Investments in Bull and Bear Markets." The Journal of Portfolio Management 27: 97-108.
- Fabozzi, F. J., and J. C. Francis. 1977. "Stability Tests for Alphas and Betas over Bull and Bear Market Conditions." The Journal of Finance 32: 1093-1099.
- Girardin, E., and Z. Liu. 2003. "The Chinese Stock Market: A Casino with 'Buffer Zones?" Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies 1: 57-70.
 - Gonzalez, L.,P., Hoang, J. G. Powell, and S. Jing. 2006. "Defining and Dating Bull and Bear Markets: Two Centuries of Evidence." Multinational Finance Journal 10: 81-116.
- Han, X., and Y. Li. 2017. "Can Investor Sentiment Be a Momentum Time-series Predictor? Evidence from China." Journal of Empirical Finance 42: 212–239.
- Hsu, S. 2015. "China's Volatile Stock Market and Its Implications." University of Nottingham, China Policy Institute Policy Paper 2015: No. 7.
- Jiang, F. 2014. "Bull Leverage Funds." Caixin Weekly, December 5.
- 634 Kim, W., and S.-J. Wei. 2002. "Foreign Portfolio Investors Before and During a Crisis." Journal 635 of International Economics 56: 77-96.
- 636 Kumar, A., and C. Lee. 2006. "Retail Investor Sentiment and Return Comovements." 637 The Journal of Finance 61: 2451-2486.
- Lao, P., and H. Singh. 2011. "Herding Behaviour in the Chinese and Indian Stock Markets." 638 Journal of Asian Economics 22: 495-506. 639
- Lien, D., and Y. K. Tse. 2000. "Hedging Downside Risk with Futures Contracts." Applied 640 Financial Economics 10: 163–170.
- 641 Lien, D., and Y. K. Tse. 2002. "Some Recent Developments in Futures Hedging." Journal of 642 Economic Surveys 16: 357-396.
- 643 Liu, Z., and S. Wang. 2017. "Decoding Chinese Stock Market Return: Three-state Hidden Semi-Markov Model." Pacific-Basin Finance Journal 44: 127-149. 644

600 601

602 603 604

605 606

607 608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

- Lunde, A., and A. Timmermann. 2004. "Duration Dependence in Stock Prices." Journal of Business & Economic Statistics 7: 87–111.
- Mei, J., J. Scheinkman, and W. Xiong. 2009. "Speculative Trading and Stock Prices: Evidence from Chinese AB Share Premia." *Annals of Economics and Finance* 10: 225–255.
- Ni, Z.-X., D.-Z. Wang, and W.-J. Xue. 2015. "Investor Sentiment and Its Nonlinear Effect On Stock Returns – New Evidence from the Chinese Stock Market Based on Panel Quantile Regression Model." *Economic Modelling* 50: 266–274.

- Nielsen, S., and J. O. Olesen. 2001. "Regime-switching Stock Returns and Mean Reversion." Copenhagen Business School Working Paper No. 11–2000.
- Rydén, T., T. Teräsvirta, and S. Åsbrink. 1998. "Stylized Facts of Daily Return Series and the Hidden Markov Model." *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 13: 217–244.
 - Schaller, H., and S. Van Norden. 1997. "Regime Switching in Stock Market Returns." Applied *Financial Economics* 7: 177–191.
 - Tan, L., T. C. Chiang, J. R. Mason, and E. Nelling. 2008. "Herding Behavior in Chinese Stock Markets: An Examination of A and B Shares." *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 16: 61–77.
 - Viterbi, A. J. 1967. "Error Bounds for Convolutional Codes and an Asymptotically Optimum Decoding Algorithm." *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 13: 260–269.
 - Wang, C., and M. Yu. 2004. "Trading Activity and Price Reversals in Futures Markets." Journal of Banking and Finance 28: 1337–1361.
 - Yap, C.-W. 2015. "China Crackdown on Margin Lending Hits Peer-to-Peer Lenders." Dow Jones News, July 13.
 - Yu, S.-Z. 2010. "Hidden Semi-Markov Models." Artificial Intelligence 174: 215-243.