

# NIH PUDIIC ACCESS Author Manuscript

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 October 01

#### Published in final edited form as:

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2014 October; 26(4): 369–376. doi:10.1097/ANA.00000000000120.

# Post-operative cognitive function following general versus regional anesthesia, a systematic review

Nicholas Davis, MD<sup>1,^</sup>, Melissa Lee, MD<sup>1,^</sup>, Albert Y. Lin, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Lynch, MD<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Monteleone, MD<sup>1</sup>, Louise Falzon<sup>2</sup>, Nighat Ispahany, MLS<sup>3</sup>, and Susan Lei, MD<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Columbia University Medical Center

<sup>2</sup>Center for Behavioral Cardiovascular Health, and Augustus C. Long Health Sciences Library, Columbia University Medical Center

<sup>3</sup>Augustus C. Long Health Sciences Library, Columbia University Medical Center

### Abstract

The effect of anesthetic technique on post-operative outcomes remains in question. This systematic review compares the role of regional versus general anesthesia, with a particular focus on post-operative cognitive function. Potentially relevant articles were identified by searching publicly available computerized databases for this systematic review. Any surgical procedure was accepted with the exception of cardiac, carotid, and neurosurgical procedures. Any regional anesthetic technique was accepted unless combined with a general anesthetic or in conjunction with propofol as a sedative. Any measure of post-operative cognitive function was accepted as long as it was performed no sooner than seven days post-operatively. Sixteen studies met inclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis. Three studies showed some difference in cognitive function between regional and general anesthesia on postoperative cognitive function.

#### Keywords

cognitive function; regional anesthesia; general anesthesia

# INTRODUCTION

Cognitive dysfunction following surgery is a complication that can have a significant impact on a patient's quality of life and remains an increasing source of concern for adult and pediatric patients and their families.<sup>1</sup>

In adults, postoperative cognitive dysfunction is described as an impairment in concentration, memory, language, learning, and/or daily functioning that develops after surgery, and can persist for weeks, months, or more with varying severity. In most cases,

Conflict of Interest: None

Correspondence: Dr. Nicholas Davis, Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Columbia University Medical Center, 622 W. 168<sup>th</sup> St, PH 505, New York, New York 10032, Phone: 212 305 2413, Fax: 212 305 2395, nad2101@columbia.edu. ^Drs. Nicholas Davis and Melissa Lee contributed equally as first authors of this manuscript.

cognitive dysfunction can be quite mild and only diagnosed through assessment using specific neuropsychological tests.<sup>1</sup> Postoperative cognitive decline occurs more frequently in the elderly population with an incidence as high as 26% in patients older than 60 years of age and persisting more than one week after non-cardiac surgery.<sup>2</sup> Factors that have been implicated in increasing this risk after surgery include pain, preoperative impairment in neurocognitive function, metabolic disturbances, duration/type of surgery, hypoxemia, old age, and use of certain anesthetics.<sup>3</sup>

In pediatric patients, the possible association between long-term neurocognitive impairment and anesthesia has been raised from both animal and recent clinical epidemiological studies.<sup>4</sup> In animal studies, exposure of the developing brain to general anesthetic agents in both rodents and non-human primates have been shown to induce neurotoxic effects at the cellular level, and neurobehavioral deficits in these same animals as adults. Over the past five years, several clinical studies have also reported an association between neurodevelopmental impairment and anesthesia in very young children.<sup>5–7</sup> Due to concern about cognitive dysfunction from anesthesia and surgery, several studies have attempted to evaluate whether the avoidance of exposure to general anesthetics and adoption of regional anesthetic techniques reduce the risk of cognitive dysfunction or impairment in patients.

The goal of this paper is to conduct a systematic review of studies that have specifically compared the risk of postoperative cognitive dysfunction following general versus regional anesthesia in patients without pre-existing neurologic diagnoses undergoing non-cardiac and non-carotid surgery. Although much of the data on postoperative cognitive dysfunction has been from adults, we expanded our search to include both pediatric and adult patients.

We defined cognitive dysfunction as an abnormal change in concentration, memory, language, learning, and/or daily functioning that may be diagnosed by neuropsychological tests and persists after postoperative day seven, and regional anesthesia as spinal, epidural, peripheral nerve blocks with minimal sedation (i.e. midazolam, fentanyl). We chose to review studies that avoided the use of propofol with regional anesthesia in order to further differentiate the two anesthetic techniques.

To date, all of the published studies comparing cognitive dysfunction between general versus regional anesthesia have been conducted in adults.<sup>5–8</sup> In pediatric patients, an ongoing study, the GAS study, specifically compares the long-term neurodevelopmental outcome between infants undergoing inguinal hernia repair under general anesthesia with sevoflurane and regional anesthesia. The results of the GAS study are still pending.<sup>9</sup>

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Protocol

The study protocol was developed to review both randomized control trials and observational studies that compared post-operative cognitive function following regional anesthesia (includes spinal, epidural, combined spinal/epidural, peripheral nerves blocks, and Bier blocks) and general anesthesia. The primary outcome was measurement of cognitive function no sooner than seven days post-operatively. This time frame was chosen

to eliminate any possible direct effects of surgery and anesthesia, as well as to avoid outcomes that might be due to post-operative delirium. Any measure of cognitive function was accepted. Patients of any age were included. Excluded in the review well are patients who underwent cardiac, carotid, or neurosurgical procedures, or with pre-existing neurologic deficits or diagnoses. Also excluded were those patients who received combined regional and general anesthetics, as well as those who received propofol for sedation during their regional anesthesia. No secondary outcomes were reviewed.

#### Search methods

Potentially relevant articles were identified by searching publicly available computerized databases. The electronic databases searched were: Ovid MEDLINE, The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, CENTRAL, DARE, HTA Database, CINAHL, and Scopus. Dates searched were from database inception to April 2014. The searches were conducted on April 22, 2014. All relevant subject headings and free-text terms were used to represent anesthesia and cognitive function, and the sets of terms were combined with AND. Terms for MEDLINE included the following: Anesthesia, General/, Anesthesia, Conduction/, Anesthesia, Epidural/, Anesthesia, Local/, Anesthetics/, ((local or regional or general) adj an?esth\$).ti,ab., Postoperative Complications/, exp Cognition Disorders/, (cognitive adj (function or dysfunction or decline or status)).ti,ab., pocd.ti,ab., exp Memory/, attention/, memory loss.ti,ab., and (reduc\$ adj attention).ti,ab. These terms were adapted for the other databases. Studies were also sought by searching clinical trial registries and by scanning the reference lists of relevant studies and reviews and by using the Related Articles feature in PubMed and the Cited Reference Search in ISI Web of Science. Appendix 1 summarizes the results and the strategies of each of the databases searched.

Two authors reviewed all titles and abstracts. When a discrepancy in whether studies met inclusion criteria was noted between the two reviewers, a third reviewer was added to adjudicate the decision of inclusion or exclusion of the study for the review.

# RESULTS

A total of 5201 titles and abstracts were screened, 45 were found to be potentially relevant and possibly filled our search criteria and were reviewed. Twenty-four of the 45 reviewed studies were excluded for not fulfilling study criteria. An additional five studies could not be fully analyzed due to inability to locate full text or English translations of the study. The final number of studies included for the review was sixteen. Of the studies in our analysis, one (Riis et al<sup>10</sup>) had an additional combined general/regional anesthetic group, but also had distinct GA and RA groups for comparison. The remaining fifteen studies compared general anesthesia to spinal, epidural, or local anesthesia (Figure 1). Both randomized control studies and observational studies were acceptable based on the criteria of this systematic review. However, in the final analysis, no observational studies met criteria.

A summary of the randomized control trials included is below (Table 1, Table 2). Of the sixteen studies, twelve showed no difference in cognitive function between general and regional anesthesia at seven days post-operatively. Four studies (Hole et al<sup>20</sup>, Jones et al<sup>21</sup>, Karhunen et al<sup>22</sup>, Mandal et al<sup>23</sup>) did show a difference between regional and general

anesthesia. Hole et al<sup>20</sup> compared general anesthesia to epidural anesthesia in patients undergoing hip arthroplasty. Of the thirty-one patients in the general anesthesia group, seven experienced mental changes post-operatively, with five continuing to experience significant post-operative decline several months following the procedure by self-report. None of the twenty-nine patients in the regional anesthesia group had a measurable decline in cognitive function.

Jones et al<sup>21</sup> randomized patients to receive either general anesthesia or a spinal anesthetic for their orthopedic procedure. 129 patients completed testing at the three-month follow-up. Seven cognitive function tests were performed, with significant improvement favoring general anesthesia on the choice reaction time test (p<0.05).

Karhunen et al<sup>22</sup> compared general anesthesia to local anesthesia in patients undergoing cataract surgery. While there was no difference in most cognitive testing results between the two groups, there was a statistically significant difference favoring general anesthesia over local in one subset of memory testing (the Luria test). Karhunen et al<sup>22</sup> did show a generalized reduction in memory performance across both anesthetic groups.

Mandal et al<sup>23</sup> compared general anesthesia to epidural anesthesia in patients undergoing hip and knee surgery. They observed a gross difference at seven days favoring regional anesthesia in the mini-mental status exam only.

A sampling of excluded studies is presented in Table 3.

Studies that report outcomes related to cognitive functions during the first postoperative seven days do not meet the criteria of this systematic review and are not included in the analysis. However, of note, several studies have found small differences related to changes in cognitive function during this very early post-operative period. Table 4 summarizes the findings in these studies, as well as adjuncts used during regional anesthesia (Table 4).

# DISCUSSION

The etiology of cognitive dysfunction following surgery, sometimes reported as postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD), has been a source of discussion and research for years. While it seems likely that the origins of POCD are multifactorial, there remains the question of whether the effects of exposure to general anesthesia and alteration of consciousness might contribute to its severity. Thus, there may exist possible beneficial effects of the use of a regional anesthesia technique. Multiple clinical trials have attempted to differentiate the effect of regional versus general anesthesia on POCD. While the majority of the studies showed no difference in cognitive function between regional and general anesthesia, some earlier trials did show a significant difference in post-operative cognitive outcomes (Hole et al<sup>20</sup>, Jones et al<sup>21</sup>, Karhunen et al<sup>22</sup>, Mandal et al<sup>23</sup>).

Hole et al<sup>20</sup> reported five out of thirty-one patients who underwent a general anesthetic for total hip replacement had significant cognitive decline several months following surgery. This study did have several limitations, however, most notably that the study was not blinded and that the psychometric assessment was subjective--the prolonged post-operative

patients undergoing hip and knee surgery. They found a significant difference favoring general anesthesia on the choice reaction time test, though no difference in the other testing components. Karhunen et al<sup>22</sup> used several techniques to assess cognitive function, and did find a significant difference favoring a general technique on one test of memory (the Luria test). Of note, however, there was a higher score at baseline on this test in the general anesthesia group, and a similar final score when compared to the regional group. This study also noted a general decline in memory testing scores across both groups. Mandal et al<sup>23</sup> saw a gross difference at seven days favoring regional anesthesia in the mini-mental status exam only. There also appeared to be a difference favoring regional anesthesia in verbal fluency testing, though this difference was not significant after correction for multiple comparisons. The remaining thirteen studies included in this systematic review showed no difference in cognitive outcomes between regional and general anesthetic techniques.

Although we included studies of patients of all ages, there were no studies in the pediatric age group. Therefore, our systematic review does not provide any information that can contribute to the discussion of anesthetic technique and anesthetic neurotoxicity in the very young. The GAS study is an ongoing international randomized control trial comparing general and regional anesthesia during infancy on neurocognitive development at ages 2 and 5 years. Updates of the study were reported at both the Third and Fourth PANDA symposium.<sup>32</sup> However, results of the study will not be available until 2015.

A serious limitation in interpreting the results of these studies includes the use of a wide range of modalities to assess cognitive function. It is possible many of these tests may not be sufficiently sensitive to detect subtle changes in cognitive function. This combined with the confounding factor of sedative adjuncts often used during regional anesthetics makes it difficult to specifically compare whether there are differences between general anesthesia and regional anesthesia alone on POCD.

Our review suggests that currently there remains no definitive comparative data showing that either general or regional anesthesia is associated with a reduced risk for the development of cognitive dysfunction following surgery.

### Acknowledgments

Source of Funding: None

#### References

- 1. Steinmetz J, Christensen KB, Lund T, et al. Long-term consequences of postoperative cognitive dysfunction. Anesthesiology. 2009; 110(3):548-55. [PubMed: 19225398]
- 2. Moller JT, Cluitmans P, Rasmussen LS, et al. Long-term postoperative cognitive dysfunction in the elderly ISPOCD1 study. Lancet. 1998; 351:857-61. [PubMed: 9525362]
- 3. Raksamani K, Lertakyamanee J, Toomtong P, et al. General versus regional anesthesia for cognitive dysfunction after procedures other than cardiac or neurosurgery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010; (10)
- 4. Tzong KY, Han S, Roh A, et al. Epidemiology of pediatric surgical admissions in US children: data from the HCUP kids inpatient database. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2012; 24(4):391-5. [PubMed: 23076227]

- Mintz CD, Wagner M, Loepke AW. Preclinical research into the effects of anesthetics on the developing brain: promises and pitfalls. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2012; 24(4):362–7. [PubMed: 23076224]
- DiMaggio C, Sun LS, Ing C, et al. Pediatric anesthesia and neurodevelopmental impairments: a Bayesian meta-analysis. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2012; 24(4):376–81. [PubMed: 23076225]
- Sun LS, Li G, DiMaggio CJ, et al. Feasibility and pilot study of the Pediatric Anesthesia NeuroDevelopment Assessment (PANDA) project. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2012; 24(4):382–8. [PubMed: 23076226]
- Monk TG, Price CC. Postoperative cognitive disorders. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2011; 17(4):376–81. [PubMed: 21716111]
- Sun L. Early childhood general anaesthesia exposure and neurocognitive development. Br J Anaesth. 2010; 105(1):161–8.
- Riis J, Lomholt B, Haxholdt O, et al. Immediate and long-term mental recovery from general versus epidural anesthesia in elderly patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1983; 27(1):44–49. [PubMed: 6837235]
- 11. Asbjorn J, Jakobsen BW, Pilegaard HK, et al. Mental function in elderly men after surgery during epidural analgesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1989; 33(5):369–373. [PubMed: 2800973]
- 12. Berggren D, Gustafson Y, Eriksson B, et al. Postoperative confusion after anesthesia in elderly patients with femoral neck fractures. Anesth Analg. 1987; 66(6):497–504. [PubMed: 3578861]
- 13. Bigler D, Adelhoj B, Petring OU, et al. Mental function and morbidity after acute hip surgery during spinal and general anaesthesia. Anaesthesia. 1985; 40(7):672–676. [PubMed: 4025772]
- Campbell DN, Lim M, Muir MK, et al. A prospective randomised study of local versus general anaesthesia for cataract surgery. Anaesthesia. 1993; 48(5):422–428. [PubMed: 8317653]
- 15. Chung F, Meier R, Lautenschlager E, et al. General or spinal anesthesia: which is better in the elderly? Anesthesiology. 1987; 67(3):422–427. [PubMed: 3307537]
- Chung FF, Chung A, Meier RH, et al. Comparison of perioperative mental function after general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia with intravenous sedation. Can J Anaesth. 1989; 36(4):382– 387. [PubMed: 2667780]
- Crul BJ, Hulstijn W, Burger IC. Influence of the type of anaesthesia on post-operative subjective physical well-being and mental function in elderly patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1992; 36(7): 615–620. [PubMed: 1441860]
- Ghoneim MM, Hinrichs JV, O'Hara MW, et al. Comparison of psychologic and cognitive functions after general or regional anesthesia. Anesthesiology. 1988; 69(4):507–515. [PubMed: 3177911]
- Haan J, van Kleef JW, Bloem BR, et al. Cognitive function after spinal or general anesthesia for transurethral prostatectomy in elderly men. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991; 39(6):596–600. [PubMed: 1709958]
- 20. Hole A, Terjesen T, Breivik H. Epidural versus general anaesthesia for total hip arthroplasty in elderly patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1980; 24(4):279–287. [PubMed: 7468115]
- Jones MJ, Piggott SE, Vaughan RS, et al. Cognitive and functional competence after anaesthesia in patients aged over 60: controlled trial of general and regional anaesthesia for elective hip or knee replacement. BMJ. 1990; 300(6741):1683–1687. [PubMed: 2390547]
- Karhunen U, Jonn G. A comparison of memory function following local and general anaesthesia for extraction of senile cataract. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1982; 26(4):291–296. [PubMed: 7124302]
- Mandal S, Basu M, Kirtania J, et al. Impact of general versus epidural anesthesia on early postoperative cognitive dysfunction following hip and knee surgery. J Emerg Trauma Shock. 2011; 4(1):23–28. [PubMed: 21633563]
- Nielson WR, Gelb AW, Casey JE, et al. Long-term cognitive and social sequelae of general versus regional anesthesia during arthroplasty in the elderly. Anesthesiology. 1990; 73(6):1103–1109. [PubMed: 2248389]
- 25. Williams-Russo P, Sharrock NE, Mattis S, et al. Cognitive effects after epidural vs general anesthesia in older adults. A randomized trial JAMA. 1995; 274(1):44–50.

- Boos GL, Soares LF, De Oliveira Filho GR. Postoperative cognitive dysfunction: Prevalence and associated factors. Rev Bras Anestesiol. 2005; 55(5):517–524. [PubMed: 19468642]
- 27. Krier C, Bohrer H, Polarz H, et al. Cognitive function of geriatric ophthalmology patients after local and general anesthesia. Ophthalmologe. 1993; 90(4):367–371. [PubMed: 8374235]
- O'Hara DA, Duff A, Berlin JA, et al. The effect of anesthetic technique on postoperative outcomes in hip fracture repair. Anesthesiology. 2000; 92(4):947–957. [PubMed: 10754613]
- 29. Rasmussen LS, Johnson T, Kuipers HM, et al. Does anaesthesia cause postoperative cognitive dysfunction? A randomised study of regional versus general anaesthesia in 438 elderly patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2003; 47(3):260–266. [PubMed: 12648190]
- Somprakit P, Lertakyamanee J, Satraratanamai C, et al. Mental state change after general and regional anesthesia in adults and elderly patients, a randomized clinical trial. J Med Assoc Thai. 2002; 85 (Suppl 3):S875–883. [PubMed: 12452224]
- 31. White IW, Chappell WA. Anaesthesia for surgical correction of fractured femoral neck. A comparison of three techniques. Anaesthesia. 1980; 35(11):1107–1110. [PubMed: 7446914]
- Miller TL, Park R, Sun LS. Report of the third PANDA symposium on "Anesthesia and Neurodevelopment in Children". J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2012; 24(4):357–61. [PubMed: 23076223]

# Appendix 1: Database search strategy

| Database  | Dates searched                              | Number of<br>references<br>retrieved  | Number after de-duplication |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MEDLINE (Ovid)  | 1946 to April                               | 4072                                  | 4011                        |
| and   | Week 2 2014                                 |                                       |                             |
| Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-<br>Indexed Citations  | April 22, 2014                              |                                       |                             |
| Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews   | All databases<br>accessed April<br>23, 2014 | 9                                     | 9                           |
| CENTRAL   |   | 1017                                  | 17                          |
| DARE  |   | 15                                    | 15                          |
| HTA Database<br>All databases are part of <i>The Cochrane Library</i> .<br>www.thecochranelibrary.com |   | 1                                     | 1                           |
| CINAHL (EBSCOHost)  | 1981 to April<br>2014                       | 539                                   | 265                         |
| Scopus  | Accessed April<br>23, 2014                  | 1088                                  | 874                         |
| Clinicaltrials.gov  | Accessed April<br>23, 2014                  | 0 relevant<br>out of 111<br>retrieved | 0                           |
| International Clinical Trials   | Accessed April<br>23, 2014                  | 6 relevant<br>out of 15<br>retrieved  | 6                           |
| Registry Platform http://www.who.int/ictrp/en/  |   |                                       |                             |
| All databases   |   | 6747                                  | 5198                        |

### MEDLINE

- 1. Anesthesia, General/
- 2. Anesthesia, Conduction/
- 3. Anesthesia, Epidural/
- 4. Anesthesia, Local/
- 5. Anesthetics/
- 6. ((local or regional or general) adj an?esth\$).ti,ab.
- **7.** or/1–6
- 8. Postoperative Complications/
- 9. exp Cognition Disorders/
- 10. exp cognition/
- 11. (cognitive adj (function or dysfunction or decline or status)).ti,ab.
- 12. pocd.ti,ab.
- 13. exp Memory/
- 14. exp Memory Disorders/
- 15. attention/
- 16. memory loss.ti,ab.
- 17. (reduc\$ adj attention).ti,ab.
- 18. or/8–16
- **19.** 7 and 18
- 20. randomized controlled trial.pt.
- 21. controlled clinical trial.pt.
- 22. randomized.ab.
- 23. placebo.ab.
- 24. drug therapy.fs.
- 25. randomly.ab.
- 26. trial.ab.
- 27. groups.ab.
- **28.** or/20–27
- **29.** exp animals/not humans.sh.
- 30. 28 not 29

- **32.** Epidemiologic studies/
- **33.** Observational study.pt.
- 34. exp case control studies/
- 35. exp cohort studies/
- **36.** Case control.tw.
- **37.** (cohort adj (study or studies)).tw.
- **38.** Cohort analy\$.tw.
- **39.** (Follow up adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 40. (observational adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 41. Longitudinal.tw.
- 42. Retrospective.tw.
- 43. Cross sectional.tw.
- 44. Cross-sectional studies/
- **45.** or/32–44
- **46.** exp animals/not humans.sh.
- **47.** 45 not 46
- 48. 19 and 47
- **49.** 31 or 48

## The Cochrane Library

- #1 MeSH descriptor: [Anesthesia, General] this term only
- #2 MeSH descriptor: [Anesthesia, Conduction] this term only
- #3 MeSH descriptor: [Anesthesia, Epidural] this term only
- #4 MeSH descriptor: [Anesthesia, Local] this term only
- **#5** MeSH descriptor: [Anesthetics] this term only
- #6 ((local or regional or general) next an?esth\*):ti,ab
- **#7** #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6
- #8 MeSH descriptor: [Postoperative Complications] this term only
- **#9** MeSH descriptor: [Cognition Disorders] explode all trees
- #10 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees
- #11 (cognitive next (function or dysfunction or decline or status)):ti,ab

| #12 | pocd:ti,ab  |
|-----|---|
| #13 | MeSH descriptor: [Memory] explode all trees           |
| #14 | MeSH descriptor: [Memory Disorders] explode all trees |

- #15 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only
- #16 "memory loss":ti,ab
- (reduc\* next attention):ti,ab #17
- #18 #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17
- #19 #7 and #18

#12

#### Scopus

- #1 TITLE-ABS-KEY(local anesth\*) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY(local anaesth\*)
- #2 TITLE-ABS-KEY(regional anesth\*) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY(regional anaesth\*)
- TITLE-ABS-KEY(general anesth\*) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY(general anaesth\*) #3
- #4 #1 OR #2 OR #3
- #5 TITLE-ABS-KEY(cognitive function) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY(cognitive dysfunction) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY(cognitive decline) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY(cognitive status)
- #6 TITLE-ABS-KEY(pocd)
- #7 TITLE-ABS-KEY(memory loss)
- **#8** TITLE-ABS-KEY(reduc\* attention)
- **#9** #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8
- #4 AND #9 #10

### CINAHL

- **S1** (MH "Anesthesia, General")
- **S2** (MH "Anesthesia, Conduction")
- **S3** (MH "Anesthesia, Epidural")
- (MH "Anesthesia, Local") **S4**
- **S5** (MH "Anesthetics")
- **S6** TI local anesth\* OR TI local anaesth\* OR AB local anesth\* OR AB local anaesth\* OR TI regional anesth\* OR TI regional anaesth\* OR AB regional anesth\* OR AB regional anaesth\* OR TI general anesth\* OR TI general anaesth\* OR AB general anesth\* OR AB general anaesth\*
- **S7** S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6

- **S8** (MH "Postoperative Complications")
- **S9** (MH "Cognition Disorders+")
- **S10** (MH "Cognition+")
- S11 TI cognitive function OR AB cognitive function OR TI cognitive dysfunction OR AB cognitive dysfunction OR TI cognitive decline OR AB cognitive decline OR TI cognitive status OR AB cognitive status
- S12 TI pocd OR AB pocd
- **S13** (MH "Memory+")
- **S14** (MH "Memory Disorders+")
- **S15** (MH "Attention")
- S16 TI memory loss OR AB memory loss
- S17 TI reduc\* attention OR AB reduc\* attention
- **S18** S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17
- S19 S7 AND S18 Limiters Publication Type: Clinical Trial, Meta Analysis, Meta Synthesis, Randomized Controlled Trial, Research, Systematic Review

## **Clinicaltrials.gov**

Advanced Search:

Completed | Studies With Results | "Anesthetics, General"

## International Clinical Trials Registry Platform

Advanced Search:

(Anesthes\* OR anaesth\*) in Intervention

AND (cognit\* OR memory OR attention) in Title





Flow diagram detailing process of study selection from database to inclusion

| _             |
|---------------|
|               |
|               |
| _             |
| <b>T</b>      |
| _             |
|               |
|               |
| U             |
| -             |
|               |
| - C           |
| ~             |
|               |
| _             |
|               |
| -             |
|               |
|               |
| 5             |
|               |
|               |
| 2             |
| 4             |
| or N          |
| or N          |
| or M          |
| or Ma         |
| or Ma         |
| or Mar        |
| or Mani       |
| or Manu       |
| or Manus      |
| or Manus      |
| or Manuso     |
| or Manusc     |
| or Manuscr    |
| or Manuscrij  |
| or Manuscrip  |
| or Manuscript |
| or Manuscript |

# Table 1

Davis et al.

Summary of Randomized Control Trials Included in Systematic Review

|   |                       |                | 5                                       |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|---|--|--|
| Study (year)                              | Anesthetic Techniques | Sample Size    | Cognitive Function Testing<br>Performed | Time of Assessment                       | Type of surgery                                |
| Asbjorn et al (1989) <sup>11</sup>        | GA<br>EA              | 20<br>20       | other                                   | 4 days, 3 weeks                          | TURP   |
| Berggren et al (1987) <sup>12</sup>       | GA<br>EA              | 29<br>28       | OBS                                     | 1 day, 7 days                            | Femoral neck fracture repair                   |
| Bigler et al (1985) <sup>13</sup>         | GA<br>SA              | 20<br>20       | AMT                                     | 7 days, 3 months                         | Hip fracture surgery                           |
| Campbell et al (1993) <sup>14</sup>       | GA<br>LA              | 77<br>80       | PRT, FOMTL, FPU, HAI, NART,<br>other    | 24h, 2 weeks, 3 months                   | Cataract surgery                               |
| Chung et al (1987) <sup>15</sup>          | GA<br>SA              | 24<br>20       | MMS, GEMS                               | 6h, 1 day, 3 days, 5 days, 1 month       | TURP or pelvic floor repair                    |
| Chung et al (1989) <sup>16</sup>          | GA<br>SA              | 22<br>22       | MMS, GEMS                               | 6h, 1 day, 3 days, 5 days, 1 month       | TURP   |
| Crul et al (1992) <sup>17</sup>           | GA<br>SA              | 27<br>33       | HOL, SLC                                | 1 day, 3 days, 4 weeks                   | Urologic surgery                               |
| Ghoneim et al (1988) <sup>18</sup>        | GA<br>SA<br>EA        | 53<br>38<br>14 | SIP, SCL-90-R, MMQ, MMS, other          | 1 day, 3 months                          | Hysterectomy, prostatectomy, joint replacement |
| Haan et al (1991) <sup>19</sup>           | GA<br>SA              | 22<br>27       | MMS, WAIS, other                        | 4 days, 3 months                         | TURP   |
| Hole et al (1980) <sup>20</sup>           | GA<br>EA              | 31<br>29       | other                                   | 1 day, 3 days, 7 days, 12 days, 4 months | Hip arthroplasty                               |
| Jones et al $(1990)^{21}$                 | GA<br>SA              | 72<br>74       | NART, FLS, CDS, other                   | 3 months                                 | Hip or knee replacement                        |
| Karhunen et al (1982) <sup>22</sup>       | GA<br>LA              | 30<br>30       | WMS, LMS                                | 7 days                                   | Cataract surgery                               |
| Mandal et al (2011) <sup>23</sup>         | GA<br>EA              | 30<br>30       | MMS, KCSB                               | 7 days                                   | Hip or knee surgery                            |
| Nielson et all (1990) <sup>24</sup>       | GA<br>SA              | 39<br>25       | WAIS, WMS, other                        | 3 months                                 | Knee arthroplasty                              |
| Riis et al (1983) <sup>10</sup>           | GA<br>EA<br>GEA       | 10<br>10<br>10 | WAIS, other                             | 2 days, 4 days, 7 days, 3 months         | Hip arthroplasty                               |
| Williams-Russo et al (1995) <sup>25</sup> | GA<br>EA              | 128<br>134     | WAIS, BNT, BVR, MKVRR                   | 1 week, 6 months                         | Total knee replacement                         |

memory test, KCSB: Kolkata cognitive screening battery, BNT: Boston naming test, BVR: Benton visual retention, MKVRR: Mattis-Kovner verbal recall and recognition, "other" indicates different modes checklist-90-revised, MMQ: metamemory questionnaire, WAIS: Wechsler adult intelligence scale, FLS: functional life scale, CDS: cognitive difficulties scale, WMS: Wechsler memory scale, LMS: Luria mental test from Roth Hopkins test, PRT: Rivermead behavioral memory test, FOMTL: Fuld object memory test, FPU: Felix post unit questionnaire, HAI: Holbrook activity index, NART: national adult GA: general anesthesia, EA: epidural anesthesia, SA: spinal anesthesia, LA: local anesthesia, GEA: combined general and epidural anesthesia, OBS: Organic brain syndrome scale, AMT: abbreviated reading test, MMS: mini-mental status test, GEMS: geriatric mental status exam, HOL: hospital observation list, SLC: subjective loss of condition, SIP: sickness impact profile, SCL-90-R: symptom of psychometric testing

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

# Table 2

Outcomes of Randomized Control Trials Included in Systematic Review

| Study (year)                              | Anesthetic Techniques        | Outcomes at POD 7   |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| Asbjorn et al (1989) <sup>11</sup>        | GA v. EA                     | No difference in standard (Z) scores on psychological tests involving short-term, long-term, verbal, and visual memory, $p>0.05$  |
| Berggren et al (1987) <sup>12</sup>       | GA v. EA                     | 50% of EA group and 38% of GA group experienced mental status change, no significant difference, p> 0.05  |
| Bigler et al (1985) <sup>13</sup>         | GA v. SA                     | No difference on abbreviated mental test between GA and SA groups, student's t-test, p>0.05   |
| Campbell et al (1993) <sup>14</sup>       | GA v. LA                     | No evidence of a change in the relationship between the LA and GA groups with time for all tests performed, analyzed using multivariate analysis of variance            |
| Chung et al (1987) <sup>15</sup>          | GA v. SA                     | No difference in mini-mental status exam score or geriatric mental exam score between or within groups, p>0.05  |
| Chung et al (1989) <sup>16</sup>          | GA v. SA                     | No difference in mini-mental status exam score or geriatric mental exam score between or within groups, p>0.05  |
| Crul et al (1992) <sup>17</sup>           | GA v. SA                     | No difference in three cognitive function tests using multivariate analysis of variance, p>0.05   |
| Ghoneim et al (1988) <sup>18</sup>        | GA v. SA v. EA               | No difference in seventeen cognitive function tests using multivariate analysis of variance, p>0.05   |
| Haan et al (1991) <sup>19</sup>           | GA v. SA                     | No difference in three cognitive function tests using multivariate analysis of variance, p>0.05   |
| Hole et al $(1980)$ <sup>20</sup>         | GA v. EA                     | 0/29 patient in EA and 7/31 patients in GA with persistent mental changes, two-sided Student's t-test or chi-square test, p<0.01  |
| Jones et al $(1990)^{21}$                 | GA v. SA                     | Of seven cognitive function tests, significant difference in recognition and response times on choice reaction time test (improved in general anesthesia group, p<0.05) |
| Karhunen et al (1982) <sup>22</sup>       | GA v. LA                     | Two tests of memory were performed, patient in LA group scored significantly worse on Luria memory test, p<0.01   |
| Mandal et al (2011) <sup>23</sup>         | GA v. EA                     | Two cognitive function tests performed, gross difference at seven days favoring regional anesthesia in the mini-mental status exam, p<0.01                              |
| Nielson et all (1990) <sup>24</sup>       | GA v. SA                     | No difference in eight cognitive function tests, p>0.05   |
| Riis et al (1983) <sup>10</sup>           | GA v. EA v. GEA              | No difference in thirteen cognitive function tests, data comparison via Z-scores, p>0.05  |
| Williams-Russo et al (1995) <sup>25</sup> | GA v. EA                     | No difference in ten cognitive function tests, comparison via Student's t-test, p>0.005   |
| POD: post-operative day, GA: gen          | eral anesthesia, EA: epidura | al anesthesia, SA: spinal anesthesia, LA: local anesthesia, GEA: combined general and epidural anesthesia   |

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 October 01.

ned genera 5 ī. FUD: post-operative day, GA: general

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

# Table 3

| ic review |
|-----------|
| systemat  |
| from      |
| Ч         |
| lude      |
| exc]      |
| studies   |
| Ŧ         |
| o el c    |
| Samp      |

| Study (year)                         | Anesthetic Techniques   | Trial précis and reason for exclusion  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Boos et al (2005) <sup>26</sup>      | GA v. RA                | 100 patients undergoing orthopedic, urologic, general, or vascular surgery received GA or RA (not specified) at discretion of anesthesiologist, cognitive testing performed between 3 and 7 days post-operatively, propofol possibly used for sedation in some regional patients |
| Krier et al (1993) <sup>27</sup>     | GA v. LA                | 101 patient undergoing ophthalmological procedures randomized to either GA or LA, cognitive function testing performed less than seven days post-operatively   |
| O'Hara et al (2000) <sup>28</sup>    | GA v. SA/EA             | Retrospective study of 9,425 patient receiving either GA or SA/EA, outcome of change in mental status not differentiated well from post-<br>operative delirium   |
| Rasmussen et al (2003) <sup>29</sup> | GA v. EA/SA             | 340 patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery randomized to either GA or EA/SA, propofol sedation permitted in regional group  |
| Somprakit et al (2002) <sup>30</sup> | GA v. EA/SA             | 120 patients undergoing orthopedic, gynecologic, or urologic surgery with either GA or EA/SA, mental status assessment performed less than seven days post-operatively   |
| White et al (1980) <sup>31</sup>     | GA v. SA v. psoas block | 60 patient undergoing repair of fractured femoral neck randomized to GA, SA, or psoas compartment block, SA group received "light general anesthesia" with 50% nitrous oxide and alfaxolone/alfadolone   |
|                                      |                         |  |

GA: general anesthesia, RA: regional anesthesia, EA: epidural anesthesia, SA: spinal anesthesia, LA: local anesthesia

# Table 4

Cognitive Functions Reported Before Postoperative Day 7 and Documented Use of Adjuncts

| Study (year)                              | Outcomes Reported Before POD 7  | Adjuncts Used in Regional Techniques |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Asbjorn et al (1989) <sup>11</sup>        | No difference   | Fentanyl, dihydrobenzperidol         |
| Berggren et al (1987) <sup>12</sup>       | No difference   | Meperidine                           |
| Bigler et al (1985) <sup>13</sup>         | Not reported  | Diazepam                             |
| Campbell et al (1993) <sup>14</sup>       | No difference   | None                                 |
| Chung et al (1987) <sup>15</sup>          | Significant impairment at 6h in GA, slight decline at 3 days              | None                                 |
| Chung et al (1989) <sup>16</sup>          | Decrease in MMS score in both groups at 6h post-op, no other changes      | Fentanyl, droperidol, diazepam       |
| Crul et al (1992) <sup>17</sup>           | No difference   | None                                 |
| Ghoneim et al (1988) <sup>18</sup>        | Slight decline in cognitive function immediately post-op in both groups   | Midazolam, fentanyl                  |
| Haan et al (1991) <sup>19</sup>           | No difference   | Temazapam, lorazepam                 |
| Hole et al $(1980)$ <sup>20</sup>         | 7 patients with POCD in GA group on early testing, 2 with persistent POCD | Atropine, diazepam                   |
| Jones et al $(1990)^{21}$                 | Not reported  | Midazolam                            |
| Karhunen et al (1982) <sup>22</sup>       | Not reported  | Fentanyl, diazepam                   |
| Mandal et al (2011) <sup>23</sup>         | Not reported  | Diazepam                             |
| Nielson et all (1990) <sup>24</sup>       | Not reported  | Diazepam, lorazepam                  |
| Riis et al (1983) <sup>10</sup>           | No difference   | Not mentioned                        |
| Williams-Russo et al (1995) <sup>25</sup> | Not reported  | Midazolam, fentanyl                  |
|   |   |                                      |

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 October 01.

POD: post-operative day, GA: general anesthesia, MMS: mini-mental status exam, POCD: post-operative cognitive decline