OPEN ACCESS nanomaterials ISSN 2079-4991 www.mdpi.com/journal/nanomaterials

Article

Lithium-Excess Research of Cathode Material Li₂MnTiO₄ for Lithium-Ion Batteries

Xinyi Zhang ¹, Le Yang ¹, Feng Hao ², Haosen Chen ^{3,*}, Meng Yang ^{4,*} and Daining Fang ^{1,3}

- ¹ College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100087, China;
 E-Mails: zhxy235@pku.edu.cn (X.Z.); leyang@pku.edu.cn (L.Y.); fangdn@pku.edu.cn (D.F.)
- ² Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA; E-Mail: fh2314@columbia.edu
- ³ Institute of Advanced Structure Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China
- ⁴ College of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing 210009, China
- * Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed;
 E-Mails: chenhs09@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (H.C.); yangmengyy@njtech.edu.cn (M.Y.);
 Tel./Fax: +86-10-62753795 (H.C.); +86-25-83587260 (M.Y.).

Academic Editor: Xueliang (Andy) Sun

Received: 15 October 2015 / Accepted: 16 November 2015 / Published: 20 November 2015

Abstract: Lithium-excess and nano-sized $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ (x = 0, 0.2, 0.4) cathode materials were synthesized via a sol-gel method. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments indicate that the obtained main phases of $Li_{2.0}MnTiO_4$ and the lithium-excess materials are monoclinic and cubic, respectively. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images show that the as-prepared particles are well distributed and the primary particles have an average size of about 20–30 nm. The further electrochemical tests reveal that the charge-discharge performance of the material improves remarkably with the lithium content increasing. Particularly, the first discharging capacity at the current of 30 mA g⁻¹ increases from 112.2 mAh g⁻¹ of Li_{2.0}MnTiO₄ to 187.5 mAh g⁻¹ of Li_{2.4}Mn_{0.8}TiO₄. In addition, the *ex situ* XRD experiments indicate that the monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄ tends to transform to an amorphous state with the extraction of lithium ions, while the cubic Li₂MnTiO₄ phase shows better structural reversibility and stability.

Keywords: lithium-ion batteries; cathode; titanate material; nanoscale particle; lithium excess; phase transition

1. Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LiBs) have been widely used as an environmentally friendly energy storage and release system, and in recent years the need for LiBs with higher performance has become increasingly urgent due to the demand of electric vehicles and the storage of new energy [1]. As the cathode is a major component of LiBs and its performance has a vital role, developing better cathode materials is a foremost way to meet this need. The ideal cathode materials should satisfy several characteristics [2]: (1) allowing an insertion and extraction of a large amount of lithium to maximize the capacity; (2) having a large amount of ion channels and ion vacancy to allow the rapid migration of lithium ions; (3) having a stable structure to guarantee the stability of capacity. So far, lithium-transition metal oxides have represented the most mature cathode materials, which mainly include layered LiMO₂ (such as LiCoO₂, LiNiO₂, LiMnO₂ or their solid solution) and spinel-type LiMn₂O₄ [3–6]. All of these materials are well-ordered materials in which lithium ions and other cations occupy distinct sites. In 2003, for the first time, cation-disordered materials were investigated as cathode materials [7]. Li₂MTiO₄ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) materials as the representative cation-disordered materials have attracted much attention due to their high theoretical capacity (about 290 mAh g⁻¹) and stability of structure [7].

The Li₂MTiO₄ material has a cubic cation-disordered rock salt structure with the Fm-3m space group, which means that all the metal atoms disorderly occupy the octahedral sites of the cubic close-packed array of anions. Particularly the involved strong Ti-O bond could stabilize the M³⁺/M²⁺ and M⁴⁺/M³⁺ transition. The present Li2MTiO4 materials mainly include Li2NiTiO4, Li2FeTiO4, Li2MnTiO4, Li_{2-x}VTiO₄, and Li₂CoTiO₄ [8–15]. The electrochemical properties indicate that Li₂NiTiO₄ shows a reversible capacity of 179 mAh g^{-1} , which is 61.6% of the theoretical value for the exchange of 2 M lithium (290.6 mAh g⁻¹). Li₂FeTiO₄ and Li₂MnTiO₄ show a comparable capacity of ~130 mAh g^{-1} if they are prepared within the carbon coating. In the case of Li_{2-x}VTiO₄, the maximum capacity is close to 350 mAh g⁻¹ in a wide potential range between 1.0 V and 4.4 V. For Li₂CoTiO₄, the discharge capacity is 150.8 mAh g^{-1} if the particle size is much smaller. Although the achieved discharge capacities of the above materials were not bad, they were still far from their theoretical values. Thus, how to enhance the discharge capacity of these materials has become an urgent and important problem. In 2014, Ceder et al. pointed out that increasing the amount of lithium is an effective way to improve the electrochemical properties of cation-disordered materials, which had been achieved in a Li_{1.211}Mo_{0.467}Cr_{0.3}O₂ material [16,17]. Inspired by this, the effect of excess lithium added to Li₂MTiO₄ materials is quite worthy of study.

Herein, the lithium-excess $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ (x = 0, 0.2, 0.4) cathode materials were synthesized and studied in this paper. The synthesis was done via a sol-gel method to obtain the nano-sized and well-distributed particles of the materials. The effects of different lithium amounts on the structure, morphology, and electrochemical properties were then investigated.

2. Results and Discussion

Three representative $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ materials with different lithium content were prepared, namely $Li_{2.0}MnTiO_4$, $Li_{2.2}Mn_{0.9}TiO_4$, and $Li_{2.4}Mn_{0.8}TiO_4$. For brevity, they are respectively expressed as L2.0,

L2.2, and L2.4 in the following discussions. Among them, L2.0 has a normal stoichiometric proportion while L2.2 and L2.4 contain excess lithium.

The heat treatment process of the materials with different element proportions was investigated through the thermogravimetry and differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC) experiments. The typical curves of the precursors with normal proportion (x = 0, L2.0) and Li-excess proportions (x = 2, L2.2) are presented in Figure 1. It is found that these two samples show almost unanimous TG curves, and the mass loss of the samples can be divided into two distinct stages. At the first stage between 20 and 150 °C, the little weight loss of 8.2 wt % may be attributed to the absorbed water in the precursor, corresponding to the broad endothermic dehydration that can be found in the DSC curves. In the second stage, the two endothermic peaks occurred at about 278 °C and 310 °C and were accompanied by obvious weight loss in the TG curves. This is due to the decomposition of the inorganic and the organic component of the precursor followed by crystallization of the compound. In the region between 500 °C and 950 °C, the TG curves became flat and no weight loss could be observed. However, a weak discrepancy between these two samples can be observed from the DSC curves in this region. In the case of L2.0, the DSC curve shows a continuously endothermic process from the temperature of 500 °C to 950 °C. For L2.2, a shallow endothermic peak can be observed at 572 °C, indicating that the phase or the structure has been changed. The difference between the curves suggested that excess lithium had caused a significant impact to this kind of material.



Figure 1. Thermogravimetry and differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC) curves of the L2.0 and L2.2 precursors.

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of as prepared $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ materials. Comparing the diffraction peaks of L2.0 and the Li-excess materials, a huge discrepancy in peak position can be obviously observed, indicating that different phases were obtained. Rietveld refinement of the XRD patterns shows that the L2.0 sample consisted of a monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄ coexisting with MnO, while the Li-excess samples consisted of a cubic Li₂MnTiO₄ coexisting with MnO. In the case of L2.0, the monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄ was consistent with the C2/c space group, which assumes that (Li_{1/3}(Mn,Ti)_{2/3})) and (Li_{2/3}(Mn,Ti)_{1/3})) cation layers alternate in the (1 1 1) cubic planes. This layer structure has a strong resemblance to monoclinic Li₂TiO₃ and possesses an ordered structure with lattice parameters of a = 5.048 Å, b = 8.833 Å, c = 9.629 Å; $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 99.939^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$. A similar ordered phase of another member of the titanate family, Li₂NiTiO₄, was reported in a previous study [7]. For the Li-excess materials, the cubic Li₂MnTiO₄ was consistent with the Fm-3m space group, as previously reported [7,9]. The refined lattice parameters of L2.2 and L2.4 are, respectively, a = b = c = 4.151 Å; $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$, and a = b = c = 4.151 Å; $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$. Additionally, the phase contents of cubic Li₂MnTiO₄ of the two materials are also much the same, both about 80%. The discrepancy in the structure between L2.0 and the lithium-excess materials indicates that the cation-disordered structure can be obtained more easily through increasing the content of the lithium, which can explain the difference of the TG-DSC curves between the materials.



Figure 2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of L2.0 (**a**), L2.2 (**b**), L2.4 (**c**) and the microstructure of the involved phases. The spheres of red, pink, dark blue, and watchet blue denote the atoms of O, Li, Mn, and Ti, respectively, and the proportions of the colors correspond to the occupations of the elements.

The morphology of the Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO₄ materials was identified by field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) analysis, as shown in Figure 3. From the FE-SEM images, it can be found that all of the samples presented an aggregation feature, and they are composed of large numbers of small irregular particles. Most of the aggregated particles were about several hundred nanometers while the average size of the irregular primary particles was about 20–30 nm. The primary particles constructed a porous configuration which would enable us to shorten the diffusion distance of lithium ion migration and thus achieve good electrochemical performance.



Figure 3. Typical scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the as-prepared materials. (a) L2.0; (b) L2.2; (c,d) L2.4.

The electrochemical properties were then investigated. It should be noted that the capacity of the materials is derived from the Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+} and Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+} transition. Thus, the theoretical capacities of the L2.0, L2.2, and L2.4 can be calculated based on their Mn contents, and they are respectively 296.7 mA g⁻¹, 273.2 mA g⁻¹, and 248.6 mA g⁻¹.Galvanostatic charge and discharge properties of the Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO₄ cathode were tested between 1.5 V and 4.8 V with constant currents of 30 mA g⁻¹ and 60 mA g⁻¹, as shown in Figure 4. From Figure 4a, we can see that these cathodes exhibited different charge and discharge properties and the difference can be found not only in their capacities but also in their voltage plateau. In the case of the L2.0 electrode, the polarization between the charge and discharge was very large, and the discharge capacity was only 112.2 mAh g⁻¹ (equivalent to 0.76 lithium per formula unit). For Li-excess electrodes, the voltage plateau of charging and discharging became more distinct, and the discharge capacity was also higher than that of L2.0. Specifically, the L2.4 electrode

could deliver a capacity as high as 187.5 mAh g^{-1} . When the current density increased to 60 mA g^{-1} , the capacity gap between L2.0 and the lithium-excess materials became even clearer (as shown in Figure 4b). These obvious differences in electrochemical properties can be attributed to the phase transition induced by excess lithium, according to the previous discussions. In addition, by comparing the discharge capacities of L2.2 and L2.4 electrodes, we can find that they deliver different discharge capacities in spite of their identical structure and content of cubic L₂MnTiO₄. This may be due to the facile Li diffusion in L2.4 materials, which was corroborated by the Ceder group [16,17] who proposed that increasing Li excess can open a percolating network in disordered lithium-transition metal oxides and thus enable facile Li diffusion in these materials.



Figure 4. The first charge-discharge curves of the $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ cathode at a constant current of 30 mAg⁻¹ (**a**) and 60 mAg⁻¹ (**b**).

Figure 5a shows the cycling performance of $L_{12+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ cathodes at room temperature between 1.5 V and 4.8 V. All of the electrodes exhibited the highest discharge capacity in the first cycle. Subsequently, the capacity decreased gradually as the number of cycles increased. After 20 cycles, the capacity retentions of L2.0, L2.2, and L2.4 electrodes were respectively 66.6%, 65.9%, and 59.9%. The capacity fading of these cathode materials may be attributed to many reasons, especially the irreversible structure change during the lithium-ion deintercalation/intercalation process.



Figure 5. Cycling stability curves of $L_{12+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ cathodes (**a**) and charge-discharge curves of L2.4 (**b**) at a constant current of 30 mA g⁻¹.

The galvanostatic load curves of L2.4 at the first, second, and the 10th cycles are exhibited in Figure 5b. It can be found that though there is an apparent capacity fading from the first to the 10th cycle, the discharge curves keep quite a similar shape, which suggests the good structural stability of the L2.4 upon cycling.

To investigate the microstructure changes of the electrodes during the charge and discharge process, ex situ XRD patterns were employed. Figure 6 shows the obtained patterns of L2.0 and L2.4 electrodes. In the case of L2.0, all of the XRD patterns (Figure 6a) at the different charge and discharge states were similar to that of the original state, and no other diffraction peaks were detected, which means that no other phases arose. However, based on the results in the enlarged image in Figure 6b, it can be found that the diffraction peak of the monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄ became gentle with Li⁺ extraction during charge and did not recover completely after the subsequent discharge. This demonstrates that the crystalline monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄ tends to transform to an amorphous state when the Li⁺ is extracted, suggesting that it is not stable enough to serve as a cathode material. As for L2.4, the diffraction peak of the cubic Li₂MnTiO₄ shifted to the higher angle compared to that of the initial state during the charge process and then moved back after the discharge. Such good structure reversibility of L2.4 indicates that the cubic Li₂MnTiO₄ possesses a better structural stability compared with the monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄. In addition, according to the continuous change in the Bragg position, it can be inferred that the lithium exchange of the cubic Li2MnTiO4 followed the solid-solution mechanism. Based on the Retvield refinement, the change in the lattice parameters of L2.4 (volume) can be calculated. It turns out that during the first charge process, the change of the lattice constant was only 0.45%, suggesting that cubic Li2MnTiO4 could be considered as a stable cathode material for lithium-ion batteries.



Figure 6. *Ex situ* XRD patterns of L2.0 (**a**,**b**) and L2.4 (**c**,**d**) electrodes during the first charge and discharge. I: initial, II: charge to 4.2 V, III: charge to 4.8 V, IV: discharge to 4.2 V, V: discharge to 1.5 V.

3. Experimental

3.1. Synthesis

The synthesis of nanoscale $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ (x = 0, 0.2, 0.4) cathode materials was based on sol-gel reactions, followed by heat treatment under atmosphere protection. To form the sol, stoichiometric amounts of high-purity tetra-n-butyl titanate ($C_{16}H_{36}O_4Ti$, Yonghua Chemical Technology, Suzhou, China), lithium acetate dehydrate ($C_2H_3O_2Li\bullet 2H_2O$, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent, Shanghai, China), and manganese acetate dehydrate ($C_4H_6O_4Mn\bullet 2H_2O$, Xilong Chemical, Shantou, China) were dispersed in ethanol and then continuously stirred in oil bath at 80 °C for 24 h. The sol was evaporated at 80 °C overnight and further ball-milled sufficiently to get the xerogel powders. The powders were calcined at 600 °C for 10 h in argon atmosphere to obtain the $Li_xMn_{2-x/2}TiO_4$ material.

3.2. Characterizations

Thermogravimetry (TG) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments were carried out to investigate the heat treatment process of $\text{Li}_x \text{Mn}_{2-x/2}\text{TiO}_4$ materials. The precursors were heated at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere in a SDT Q600 instrument (Champaign, IL, USA) to obtain the TG-DSC curves.

The phase composition and structure of the as-prepared materials were analyzed based on powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). The diffraction data were collected on an ARLTM X'TRA Powder Diffractometer using Cu K α radiation in the two-theta range of 10–85°. Rietveld refinement was done to achieve the analyses. To characterize the morphology, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the products were collected on a HITACHI (Tokyo, Japan) S-4800 field-emission SEM (FE-SEM) at a high potential (*i.e.*, 5 kV).

Electrochemical tests were carried out using CR2032-type coin cells with Li metal as the anode. The $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ cathode consisted of 80 wt % of the active material, 10 wt % carbon black as conductive agent, and 10 wt % polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) as binder. Aluminum foils were used as the current collectors. The cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF6 dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC)-Ethyl Methyl Carbonate (EMC)-dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1, v/v). The separators were made up of Celgard3501 (USA) microporous polypropylene membrane.

The charge-discharge performance of the batteries was investigated through a BT-2000 battery testing system (Arbin, College Station, TX, USA). The tests were implemented at constant currents between voltage limits of 1.5–4.8 V at 30 °C.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, lithium-excess and nano-sized $Li_{2+x}Mn_{1-x/2}TiO_4$ (x = 0, 0.2, 0.4) cathode materials were successfully synthesized via a sol-gel method. The TG-DSC experiments suggest that the excess lithium induces a significant impact on the synthesis process of the material. It is confirmed by the XRD detections that the obtained main phases of L2.0 and Li-excess materials are different, namely monoclinic Li₂MnTiO₄ and cubic Li₂MnTiO₄, respectively. The materials show similar morphology and

the particles are all well distributed with an average size of about 20–30 nm. Then the electrochemical tests indicated that the charge-discharge performance of the material improves remarkably with the lithium content increasing. Particularly when the testing current density was 30 mA g^{-1} , the first discharging capacity increased from 112.2 mAh g^{-1} of L2.0 to 187.5 mAh g^{-1} of L2.4. The performance improvement between L2.0 and the lithium-excess materials can be attributed to the phase transition induced by excess lithium. The improvement from L2.2 to L2.4 can be explained with the achievement of the percolating network of 0-TM channels to provide macroscopic diffusion. However, it should be noted that the Li content cannot be too high or it would lead to lower theoretical capacity and poor structural stability. Thus, there should be a limiting ratio corresponding to the optimal performance, and this is worthy of future studies. In addition, the *ex situ* XRD experiments revealed that the cubic Li2MnTiO4 phase shows better structural reversibility and stability compared with the monoclinic one. Additionally, a small volume change was confirmed as one advantage of the cation-disordered material, which means there would be fewer mechanical problems such as deformation or fracture.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51404142). The support from the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20140936) was also acknowledged.

Author Contributions

Haosen Chen and Meng Yang designed this work. Xinyi Zhang performed the experiments, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. Le Yang contributed assistance in the process. Hao Feng and Daining Fang supervised the project and contributed to the results discussion.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Etacheri, V.; Marom, R.; Elazari, R.; Salitra, G.; Aurbach, D. Challenges in the development of advanced Li-ion batteries: A review. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 3243–3262.
- 2. *Lithium Batteries: Science and Technology*, 1st ed.; Nazri, G.A., Pistoia, G., Eds; Springer Science and Business Media: New York, NY, USA, 2009, pp. 2215–2216.
- Cheng, F.; Liang, J.; Tao, Z.; Chen, J. Functional Materials for Rechargeable Batteries. *Adv Mater*. 2011, *23*, 1695–1715.
- 4. Vidu, R.; Stroeve, P. Improvement of the Thermal Stability of Li-Ion Batteries by Polymer Coating of LiMn. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2004**, *43*, 3314–3324.
- 5. Liu, H.; Li, C.; Zhang, H.P.; Fu, L.J.; Wu, Y.P.; Wu, H.Q. Kinetic study on LiFePO₄/C nanocomposites synthesized by solid state technique. *J. Power Sources* **2006**, *159*, 717–720.

- Churikov, A.V.; Ivanishchev, A.V.; Ivanishcheva, I.A.; Sycheva, V.O.; Khasanova, N.R.; Antipov, E.V. Determination of lithium diffusion coefficient in LiFePO₄ electrode by galvanostatic and potentiostatic intermittent titration techniques. *Electrochim. Acta* 2010, *55*, 2939–2950.
- Sebastian, L.; Gopalakrishnan, J. Li₂MTiO₄ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni): New cation-disordered rocksalt oxides exhibiting oxidative deintercalation of lithium. Synthesis of an ordered Li₂NiTiO₄. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2003, *172*, 171–177.
- Prabaharan, S.R.S.; Michael, M.S.; Ikuta, H.; Uchimoto, Y.; Wakihara, M. Li₂NiTiO₄—A new positive electrode for lithium batteries: Soft-chemistry synthesis and electrochemical characterization. *Solid State Ionics* 2004, *172*, 39–45.
- Küzma, M.; Dominko, R.; Meden, A.; Makovec, D.; Bele, M.; Jamnik, J.; Gaberšček, M. Electrochemical activity of Li₂FeTiO₄ and Li₂MnTiO₄ as potential active materials for Li ion batteries: A comparison with Li₂NiTiO₄. *J. Power Sources* 2009, *189*, 81–88.
- Kuezma, M.; Dominko, R.; Hanz el, D.; Kodre, A.; Arčon, I.; Meden, A.; Gaberšček, M. Detailed *In Situ* Investigation of the Electrochemical Processes in Li₂FeTiO₄ Cathodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2009, *156*, A809–A816.
- Dominko, R.; Garrido, C.V.; Bele, M.; Kuezma, M.; Arcon, I.; Gaberscek, M. Electrochemical characteristics of Li_{2-x}VTiO₄ rock salt phase in Li-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* 2011, *196*, 6856–6862.
- Yang, M.; Zhao, X.; Bian, Y.; Ma, L.; Ding, Y.; Shen, X. Cation disordered rock salt phase Li₂CoTiO₄ as a potential cathode material for Li-ion batteries. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, *22*, 6200–6205.
- 13. Trócoli, R.; Cruz-Yusta, M.; Morales, J.; Santos-Peña, J. On the limited electroactivity of Li₂NiTiO₄ nanoparticles in lithium batteries. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *100*, 93–100.
- 14. Kawano, Y.; Kitajou, A.; Okada, S. Synthesis and cathode properties of a cubic rocksalt-type Si-doped Li₂NiTiO₄ for lithium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2013**, *242*, 768–774.
- 15. Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, F. Facile molten salt synthesis of Li₂NiTiO₄ cathode material for Li-ion batteries. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2014**, *9*, 197.
- 16. Urban, A.; Lee, J.; Ceder, G. The Configurational Space of Rocksalt-Type Oxides for High-Capacity Lithium Battery Electrodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2014**, *4*, 10.1002/aenm.201400478.
- 17. Lee, J.; Urban, A.; Li, X.; Su, D.; Hautier, G.; Ceder, G. Unlocking the Potential of Cation-Disordered Oxides for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 519–522.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).