

Technical Report C C C C C C T C C

s Problem of Problem on the Problem on the Ulam-Binary Search with Four Lies

Vincenzo Auletta^{*} Alberto Negro^{*}

Giuseppe Parlati[†]

November -

Abstract

In this paper we determine the minimal number of yes-no queries needed to nd anunknown integer between 1 and 1000000 if at most four of the answers may be erroneous.

$\mathbf{1}$ Introduction

S-M- Ulam in his autobiography suggested an interesting twoperson search game which can be formalized as follows: a Responder chooses an element x in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 1000000\}$ unknown to a Questioner. The Questioner has to find it by asking queries of the form " $x \in Q$?", where Q is an arbitrary subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 1000000\}$. The Responder provides "yes" or "no" answers, some of which may be *erroneous*.

In [1], Berlekamp, studing Binary Simmetric Channels with Feedback, introduced two very useful concepts: the State of the problem when some questions have been already answered, and the Weight of a state- All the known results are fundamentally based on these concepts-

At present several solutions to Ulam's problem and its generalization are known.

In particular Rivest and alt- gave an asymptotically optimal solution to Ulams problem in the continuous case i-definitions to the search space is the real interval \mathbb{R}^n original Ulams problem were given by Pelc Czyzowicz Mundici and Pelc Negro and Sereno - They proved that the minimal number of questions to guess a number in the range $\{1, 2, \ldots, 1000000\}$ is 25, 29, 33 when up to 1, 2 or 3 errors are allowed respectively.

The generalized form of the problem, i.e. when the search space is $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $n \geq 2$ has also been studied.

In [5], Pelc proved that when at most 1 error is possible, q questions are sufficient iff either $n(q + 1) \leq 2^q$ if n is even, or $n(q + 1) - (q - 1) \leq 2^q$ if n is odd. For the case with two errors componentes and alt-proved that when it when α proved that α power of the succession of

⁻Dipartimento di Informatica ed Applicazioni, Universita di Salerno, 84081 Baronissi (SA) - Italy. -

^{&#}x27;Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, New York, N.Y. 10027 - USA.

iff $n(q^2 + q + 1) \leq 2^q$. For general n Guzicki [4] proved that q questions are sufficient iff $n(q^2 + q + 1) \leq 2^q$. Some exceptional values of n requires one more question. In [8] Negro and Sereno proved that when $n = 2^m$, $m \ge 0$, the minimum number of questions is the lower bound $q = min_i\{i : n(\binom{q}{2}) \leq$ 2^i [6] if $m = 4$ or $m \ge 6$. One more question is required in the other cases.

In this paper we prove that when up to 4 lies are allowed the solution of Ulam's problem is 37, the lower bound given in $[6]$.

2 Notations and definitions

A game is considered between two players: the Questioner and the Responder. The Responder chooses an element $x \in \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, ..., 1000000\}$, unknown to the Questioner who has to guess the element with queries of form " $x \in Q$?" where $Q \subset \mathcal{N}$. We want to find nd the Responder. T
, unknown to the Q
where $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{N}$. We a Questioner's strategy for searching x using the minimal number of questions, when the Responder can lie at most four times-

Suppose the ntuple Q Q-- Q- -Qn of yesno questions has already been answered-The state of the \mathcal{A} -contributioner knowledge can be summarized by the unique quintuple \mathcal{A} of subsets of N with the following properties:

- $x \in A$ iff none of the answers is a lie;
- $x \in B$ iff exactly one of the answers is a lie;
- $x \in C$ iff exactly two of the answers are lies;
- $x \in D$ iff exactly three of the answers are lies.
- $x \in E$ iff exactly four of the answers are lies.

in the following we define a quintuple (file (file (m) we have a complete

Now assume that the " $x \in Q$?" question is asked, where $Q = X \cup Y \cup Z \cup K \cup T$, and $X \subseteq A, Y \subseteq B, Z \subseteq C, K \subseteq D, T \subseteq E$. A positive answer trasforms (A, B, C, D, E) into the quintuple

 $(A, B, C, D, E)Q^{yes} = (A \cap Q, (B \cap Q) \cup (A \cap Q), (C \cap Q) \cup (B \cap Q), (D \cap Q) \cup (C \cap Q),$ $(E \cap Q) \cup (D \cap \overline{Q}).$

The state (A, D, C, D, E) Q^{max} can be obtained in the same way, exchanging Q and Q.

It can be easily seen that the components of an Ulam set are pairwise disjoint-

For the sake of clearness in the following we denote the sets by their cardinalities- In particular we denote an Ulam set as

$$
U=(a,b,c,d,e)
$$

where $a = |A|$, $b = |B|$, $c = |C|$, and $d = |D|$, $e = |E|$. Moreover if $Q = X \cup Y \cup Z \cup K \cup T$ is the set involved in the yes-no question we can say

$$
Q = (x, y, z, k, t)
$$

and

$$
UQ^{yes} = (x, a - x + y, b - y + z, c - z + k, d - k + t),
$$

\n
$$
UQ^{no} = (a - x, x + b - y, y + c - z, z + d - k, k + e - t).
$$

 \mathbf{D} changed in \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{L} is negative in \mathbf{L} . The set \mathbf{L} is not the set of \mathbf{L}

- $n = 0$ and $(a + b + c + d + e) \le 1$;
- there is a yes-no question such that both UQ^{yes} and UQ^{no} are $(n-1)$ -solvable.

Following Berle and the weight of each state U α -M-U α follows

b-carried at a district the United States of the United States of General Computer of the asked The United The weight of U is:

$$
w_q(U) = a\left(\binom{q}{4}\right) + b\left(\binom{q}{3}\right) + c\left(\binom{q}{2}\right) + d\left(\binom{q}{1}\right) + e\left(\binom{q}{0}\right)
$$

where the contract of the cont $\binom{n}{m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{n}{i}.$

 \sim character \sim 10. The character \sim 10. The character of U is defined as

 $ch(U) = min_i\{i : w_i(U) \leq 2^i\}.$

The character of a state represents a lower bound to the number of questions needed to solve that states of the states that considered the states that can be stated optimized to

Definition T The Ulam set $U = (a, b, c, a, c)$ is called the equipment has a winning
strategy in $ch(U)$ questions starting from U. strategy in child i governments starting justice of

Proposition 1 Let $U = (a, b, c, d, e)$ be an Ulam set and $n \in \mathcal{N}$. If U is n-solvable then:

- e versel en eerstellig
- 2. $2^n \geq w_n(U)$;
- 3. if $U' = (a', b', c', d', e')$ is another Ulam set, and $a' \le a, b' \le b, c' \le c, d' \le d, e' \le e$ then U- is solvable too

Proof

1) By induction on n. If $n = 0$, then by definition $(a + b + c + d + e) \le 1$. If we choose the question $Q = \mathcal{N}$ then $UQ^{yes} = (a, b, c, d, e)$, and $UQ^{no} = (0, a, b, c, d)$ which are both solvable- For the induction step we can use the same technique-

. The case is the case of the case of the case is the case of 0-solvable. For the induction step we can use the same technique.

2) By induction on *n*. The case *n* = 0 is trivial. Assume that *U* is (n+1)-solvable, and let
 $Q \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a ves-no question such that both UQ^{yes} a hypothesis we have $2^n \geq w_n(UQ^{yes})$ and $2^n \geq w_n(UQ^{no})$. Since the Ulam sets are disjoint, and using $(n+1)$ = \cdots \cdots Δ - \sim - \sim $\binom{n}{m}$ + 1 $(n \ge 1)$ Δ - \sim - \sim , we have $2^{n+1} \geq w_{n+1}(U)$.

 \blacksquare . The proof can be found in the found in the

The main result

Proposition 2 Let $U = (0, 1, m, {m \choose 2}, {m \choose 3})$ $(m, {m \choose 3})$ be an Ulam set with $m \geq 6$. Then U is nice.

Proof. It can be found in $[8]$.

 \blacksquare represented by \blacksquare $0, 2^n, (8-n)2^n, (\frac{8-n}{2})2^n, (\frac{8-n}{3})2^n$ be an Ulam set, with $0 \leq n \leq 8$. Then U_n is $(10+n)$ -solvable.

Proof. By induction on n .

In fact the set of the \mathcal{L}_1 $(0, 1, 8, {8 \choose 2}, {8 \choose 3})$ $, \binom{8}{3}$ is 1 is a solvent of the proposition of the proposition of the proposition \mathbf{r}_0 because church $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{U} \mathcal{N}$ Induction step: let $n + 1 \leq 8$,

$$
U_{n+1} = \left(0, 2^{n+1}, (8 - (n+1))2^{n+1}, \binom{8 - (n+1)}{2} 2^{n+1}, \binom{8 - (n+1)}{3} 2^{n+1}\right).
$$

If we set Q \sim \sim \sim $0, 2^n, (8-n)2^n, (\frac{8-n}{2})2^n, (\frac{8-n}{3})2^n$ then the state after a positive anwser is :

$$
U_{n+1}Q^{yes} = \left(0, 2^n, (8-n)2^n, \binom{8-n}{2}2^n, \binom{8-n}{3}2^n\right).
$$

Simmetrically we can obtain $U_{n+1}Q^{\cdots} = U_{n+1}Q^{s-1}$, exchanging Q with Q. $T = H + 1$ is $T = H$ is required.

 \blacksquare represents \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare $0, 2^n, (12 - n)2^n, \binom{12-n}{2}2^n, \binom{12-n}{3}2^n$ be an Ulam with $0 \leq n \leq 12$. Then U_n is $(11+n)$ -solvable.

Proof. The proof is the same as in proposition 3.

In this case U.S. Construction of the U.S. Cons \sim \sim $(0,1,12, \binom{12}{2}, \binom{11}{3})$ $,\binom{12}{3}$ tha that is because that is $\sqrt{2}$ because $\sqrt{2}$ 11.

 \blacksquare Proposition \blacksquare Proposition \blacksquare $0, 2^n, (17-n)2^n, (\frac{17-n}{2})2^n, (\frac{17-n}{3})2^n$ be an Ulam set, with $0 \leq n \leq 17$. Then U_n is $(12+n)$ -solvable.

Proof. The proof is the same as in propositions 3 and 4.

In this case U $0, 1, 17, \binom{17}{2}, \binom{1}{3}$ $,\binom{17}{3}$ tha that is one changed by proposition and church and church \mathcal{O}_I

Proposition 6 Let $\left(1,20,\binom{20}{2},\binom{20}{3}\right)$ $,\binom{20}{3},\binom{20}{4}$ $,\binom{20}{4}\big)$ be a

Proof. The complete analysis of a Questioner's strategy is shown in the following figure.

Search tree for $(1, 20, \binom{20}{2}, \binom{20}{3}, \binom{20}{4})$.

Search tree for $(1, 20, \binom{20}{2}, \binom{20}{3}, \binom{20}{4})$.
 Theorem 1 Thirty-seven yes-no questions are sufficient to find an element $x \in \{1, 2, ..., 1000000\}$. if up to four lies are allowed

Proof. The theorem will be proved by showing that 37 questions are sufficient to find a number $x \in \{0, 1, ..., 2^{20} - 1\}$.

Suppose that $U = (2^{\circ}, 0, 0, 0)$. Let the first 20 questions be

$$
Q_i = \left(2^{20-i}, (i-1)2^{20-i}, \binom{i-1}{2} 2^{20-i}, \binom{i-1}{3} 2^{20-i}, \binom{i-1}{4} 2^{20-i}\right), \text{ for } i \le 20.
$$

The Ulam set resulting by the *i*-th question $(i \leq 20)$ will be

$$
U_i = \left(2^{20-i}, i2^{20-i}, {i \choose 2} 2^{20-i}, {i \choose 3} 2^{20-i}, {i \choose 4} 2^{20-i}\right).
$$

In this phase of the algorithm $U_{i-1}Q_i^{s-1}=U_{i-1}Q_i^{n\omega}$.

after the state use the state U μ (μ) = 1 \sim \sim $(1, 20, \binom{20}{2}, \binom{20}{3})$ $,\binom{20}{3},\binom{20}{4}$ $,\binom{20}{4}$ is r is reached that is not solve that is a solve by the solve o proposition - Since by proposition and an analysis of the successive proposition are not such as a contract of integer in $\{1 \dots 1000000\}$ when up to four answers may be erroneous, the proposed strategy is optimal with respect to the number of questions-

References

- E-R- Berlekamp Block coding for the binary symmetric channel with noiseless de error corrections in the correction of the state of the control of the control of the control of the codes of
- J- Czyzowicz D- Mundici A- Pelc Solution of Ulams problem on binary search with two lies, J. Combin. Theory Ser.A, 49 (1988), 384-388.
- J- Czyzowicz D- Mundici and A- Pelc Ulams searching game with lies J Combin. Theory Ser.A, 50 (1989) .
- W- Guzicki Ulams Searching Game with Two Lies J Combin Theory SerA $(1990).$
- A- Pelc Solution of Ulams problem on searching with lie J Combin Theory SerA -
- R-L- Rivest A-R- Meyer D-J- Kleitman K- Winklmann J- Spencer Coping with errors in binary search procedures J α Computed System Syst
- A- Negro M- Sereno Solution of Ulams problem on binary search with three lies \blacksquare . The original of the original original of the original origi
- A- Negro M- Sereno An Ulams searching game with three lies Accepted to Advances in Mathematics-
- S-M- Ulam Adventures of a Mathematician p- Scribner New York -