

Introduction and Motivation

Ruggero et al 2000 compared threshold tuning curves of chinchilla basilar membrane (BM) vibrations and auditory nerve (AN) fibers. They noted that the AN tuning curves lacked the higher-than-CF frequency plateaus that are present in BM responses and suggested that BM vibrations do not translate into AN responses at greater than BF regions.[1]



Ruggero et al's chinchilla BM tuning curves and neural tuning curve.[1] No neural response was recorded in the plateau region.

In more detail, a given amount of BM vibration within the BF region elicits a response in the AN, but the same amount of BM vibration in the plateau region did not produce any response in the AN.

Stimulus frequency < BF Top: (mearsuring BM in the short-wave ^c region), a low SPL is required to drive the BM 1nm. Neural response observed.

Bottom: Stimulus frequency >> BF (measuring BM in the plateau region), a high SPL is required to drive the BM 1nm. No neural response observed.



However, exploring the plateau region was not a goal of Ruggero *et al*'s study and they did not probe it in detail. To investigate this discrepancy further, we recorded single unit AN responses in gerbils, to see if at high enough stimulus levels, we would observe a high frequency plateau in the AN responses. If so, what causes the diminished auditory nerve response in the plateau region?

Hypotheses:

1) Curvature of the BM might play a role in determining whether hair cells get excited.

2) The feedforward model might include a mechanism where the hair cells in the plateau region are suppressed by the actions of the hair cells in the short-wave region.

This study might give us some clues to how the BM vibrations are translated into neural responses.

Methods

In vivo, in gerbil, with closed field pure tone sound stimulation. Single unit extracellular recording. Glass pipette microelectrode Z~50MOhms. AN is accessed surgically using Sokolich's approach. [2,3] Tuning curve algorithm developed by Kiang, Moxon&Levine [4]. Threshold criterion = $10 \sim 20$ spikes/sec.





View of the dorsalmedial wall inside the round window antrum and the approach angle to gain access to the AN. [3]

HIGH FREQUENCY PLATEAU IN GERBIL AUDITORY NERVE TUNING CURVES Stanley Huang* and Elizabeth Olson, Columbia University, New York, NY. 10032

Acknowledgments: Thanks to Laurel Carney, Jim Saunders, Marcel van der Heijden, Bas Meenderink for technical expertise on AN recording and invaluable advice; Wei Dong, Ombline de la Rochefoucauld, Nigel Cooper, Tianying Ren for sharing their data and insights. Also to the NIDCD for financial support.

| | matched to | CE (kHz) | CE thresh(dB SPL) | Notes |
|------------|------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| <u>, c</u> | | | | |
| | my AN data | 6.3 | 27 | |
| | my AN data | 6.3 | 27 | |
| | Ruggero | 9.5 | 12 | Mossbauer, prior BM data |
| | Ruggero | 9.5 | 12 | Laser vibrometer, same cochlea |
| | | | | passive, assume linear to SPL |
| | my AN data | 11.6 | 54 | |
| | | | | |



recording devices.

Discussion and Relevance of the Findings to Cochlear Activity

We observed neural response in the supra-CF plateau region. Neural plateau (gerbil) is at least 10~15 dB higher than BM plateau (chinchilla).

increase with the use of rate level functions.

motion artifact at plateau frequencies.

subharmonic response

References

5. Rhode, W. S. (2005). AUDITORY MECHANISMS: PROCESSES AND MODELS Proceedings of the Ninth International Symposium, Portland, Oregon, World Scientific Publishing Co 1. Ruggero, M. A., S. S. Narayan, et al. (2000). PNAS 97(22): 11744-11750. 6. Rhode, W. S. (2007). J Acoust Soc Am 121(5 Pt1): 2792-804. 2. Sokolich, W. G. (1977). Institute For Sensory Research. Syracuse, New York, Syracuse University. PhD thesis: 97. 7. Dallos, P.J. and C.O. Linnell (1966). JASA 40(1): 4-11.

3. Chamberlain, S. C. (1977). The Journal of Comparative Neurology 171(2): 193-204. 4. Liberman, M. C. (1978). JASA 63(2): 442-455.

- Result: Subharmonics were recorded in the ear canal at high SPLs
- Mostly even-order subharmonics; some odd-order subharmonics too
- No subharmonics can be observed in the speaker even at a very high sound pressure level in a controlled fake ear cavity. Subharmonics observed here were not produced in the speaker or the
- Dallos and Linnell did a series of studies on subharmonics in the ear. Even-order subharmonics come from the ear drum, odd-order subharmonics from the cochlea. [7,8,9]
- 1. Gerbil BM data is usually in the high-BF region. We lack high-CF AN units to compare at this point.
- 2. Windowing problem: it is possible that the synaptic/neural delay is not correctly accounted for. ie. spikes during a tone-on period are mistaken for a tone-off period. This problem would lead to false positive threshold attainment. However, this problem is eliminated by monitoring overall firing rate
- 3. Spectral splatter problem: on ramp/off ramp in the stimulus envelope introduce frequencies other than the stimulus itself. It is conceivable that this frequency splatter could cause spurious transient response. This can be mostly avoided by a) inspecting raster plots to identify the transient response, 2) using only the steady-state response, 3) monitoring firing rates. (see Methods)
- 4. It is possible for the high SPL stimuli to cause AN threshold shift and/or hearing damage.
- 5. Subharmonics could be the source of the AN plateau response. AN units have a lower threshold (near CF) at subharmonics frequencies of the stimulus. (see Future Studies)
- 6. The BM motion data acquired by Laser measurement could be contaminated by the round window

Future Studies

- Identify the source of the plateau in the neural response
- Look at firing patterns and calculate vector strength at phase locking frequencies to probe possible

8. Dallos, P. J. and C. O. Linnell (1966). JASA 40(3): 561-564. 9. Dallos, P.J. (1966). JASA 40(6): 1381-1391.