# New Paleomagnetic Data From Thurston Island: Implications for the Tectonics of West Antarctica and Weddell Sea Opening

A. M. GRUNOW<sup>1</sup>

Byrd Polar Research Center, Ohio State University, Columbus

# D. V. Kent

Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory and the Department of Geological Sciences, Columbia University, New York

# I. W. D. DALZIEL

Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin

Paleomagnetic data from three West Antarctic crustal blocks (Antarctic Peninsula (AP), Thurston Island-Eights Coast (TI), and the Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains (EWM) indicate that there has been motion between the individual blocks and motion relative to East Antarctica during the Mesozoic. A Triassic paleomagnetic pole from the TI block (116°E, 61°S,  $A_{95} = 19.4^\circ$ , N = 3 VGPs) appears to indicate that the block has rotated ~90° relative to East Antarctica between 230 Ma and 110 Ma. Our previously reported Middle Jurassic paleomagnetic pole from the EWM block indicates that a 90° rotation relative to East Antarctica occurred sometime between the Cambrian and 175 Ma. We believe that the 90° counterclockwise EWM rotation occurred between ~220 Ma and 175 Ma related to the development of post-Gondwanide Orogeny shear zones. The motion of the AP, TI, and EWM blocks appears to be linked during the mid- to late Mesozoic to three major events in the evolution of the southern ocean basins. Opening in the Mozambique-Somali-Weddell Sea basins may have produced major counterclockwise rotation of the TI block with respect to East Antarctica between the Jurassic and Early Cretaceous based on new Late Jurassic  $(145^{\circ}E, 64.5^{\circ}S, A_{05} = 7^{\circ}, N = 5 \text{ VGPs})$  poles. We believe that the TI rotation, as well as deformation in the southerm AP block, was caused by collision and shearing of the EWM block against the other two as the EWM block moved southward with East Antarctica. An Early Cretaceous paleomagnetic pole (232°E, 49°S,  $A_{05} = 7.9^\circ$ , N = 5 VGPs) from the TI block requires that between the Early and mid- Cretaceous there was clockwise rotation, with respect to East Antarctica, of the AP-TI-EWM blocks (an entity we call Weddellia). A change in the opening history of the Weddell Sea basin caused by initiation of spreading in the South Atlantic ocean basin at ~130 Ma probably started Weddellia's clockwise rotation. Two new ~110 and ~90 Ma poles from the TI block ( $210^{\circ}E$ ,  $73^{\circ}S$ ,  $A_{95} = 7.6^{\circ}$ , N = 7VGPs and 161°E, 81°S,  $A_{95} = 3.9^\circ$ , N = 18 VGPs, respectively) are similar to equivalent age poles from the AP block and East Antarctica and indicate that Weddellia was at or near its present-day position with respect to East Antarctica by ~110 Ma. This corresponds to a time of major plate reorganization in the South Atlantic and southeast Indian Oceans. Based on both the new TI paleomagnetic data and previously reported data from Marie Byrd Land (MBL), dextral shearing would be expected to have occurred between MBL and Weddellia since the mid-Cretaceous. Pine Island Bay, the area between the TI and MBL blocks, marks a fundamental and complex tectonic boundary in West Antarctica that we propose has largely been a zone of transcurrent shearing.

# INTRODUCTION

The position of West Antarctica with respect to cratonic East Antarctica prior to and after breakup of Gondwanaland is critical to the opening history of the Weddell Sea. West Antarctica, however, is not a single tectonic entity but rather is composed of four major crustal blocks whose relation to each other and to East Antarctica is not well understood. These crustal blocks or terranes are the Antarctic Peninsula (AP), the Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains (EWM), the Thurston Island-Eights Coast (TI), and Marie Byrd Land (MBL) [Jankowski and Drewry, 1981; Dalziel and Elliot, 1982] (Figure 1). The focus of this paper is on new paleomagnetic data from the TI block combined with existing paleomagnetic, geologic, and geophysical data from Gondwanaland that links the movement of the West Antarctic crustal blocks to the Mesozoic evolution of the southern ocean basins.

Copyright 1991 by the American Geophysical Union. Paper number 91JB01507 0148-0227/91/91JB-01507\$05.00

#### GEOLOGIC OVERVIEW OF WEST ANTARCTICA

The AP and TI blocks are composed primarily of Mesozoic and Cenozoic arc intrusive and extrusive rocks associated with the Pacific convergent margin of Gondwanaland [Smellie, 1981: Thomson and Pankhurst, 1983; Pankhurst, 1990]. Early Paleozoic basement representing the roots of a magmatic arc indicate that parts of the AP block have been along a convergent margin since the Paleozoic [Pankhurst, 1982, 1983; Harrison and Loske, 1988; Milne and Millar, 1991]. The EWM block consists primarily of a Precambrian basement at Haag Nunataks [Millar and Pankhurst, 1987] and a Paleozoic sedimentary succession in the Ellsworth Mountains [Webers and Sporli, 1983] that has often been correlated with the stratigraphic succession in the Pensacola Mountains, East Antarctica (Figure 1) [Schopf, 1969; Dalziel and Elliot, 1982]. MBL consists of Paleozoic sedimentary and igneous rocks that were intruded by mid-Cretaceous granitoids; it is most closely associated with the Paleozoic rocks of North Victoria Land (East Antarctica) and with New Zealand [Bradshaw et al., 1983].

Various positions have been suggested for the West Antarctic crustal blocks in a pre breakup Gondwanaland reconstruction [Schopf, 1969; Smith and Hallam, 1970; De Wit, 1977; Barron

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Now at Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, England.

et al., 1978; Norton and Sclater, 1979; Dalziel and Elliot, 1982; Powell et al., 1982; LaBrecque, 1985; Elliot, 1991]. Norton and Sclater [1979] showed that the du Toit [1937] and Smith and Hallam [1970] fit of the major continental fragments of Gondwanaland was essentially correct but that an unacceptable overlap of the AP block with the Falkland Plateau occurs if West Antarctica is left in its present-day position with respect to East Antarctica. Together with the geographic isolation and anomalous structural trend of the Ellsworth Mountains fold belt, this overlap constituted the primary reason to consider relative motion among the crustal blocks of West Antarctica [Schopf, 1969; Dalziel and Elliot, 1982]. Paleomagnetic data support a 90° counterclockwise rotation of the EWM block from the Transantarctic margin of East Antarctica (probably near Coats Land; Figure 1) since the Late Cambrian [Watts and Bramall, 1981; Grunow et al., 1987a].

Paleomagnetic data obtained from Middle Jurassic rocks in the EWM block yielded a paleomagnetic pole very similar to a Middle Jurassic pole from the AP block [Longshaw and Griffiths, 1983; Grunow et al., 1987a]. An Early Cretaceous TI pole [Grunow et al., 1987b] was indistinguishable from the Middle Jurassic AP and EWM poles and was interpreted by us as possibly indicating little apparent polar wander for these blocks between the Middle Jurassic and Early Cretaceous [Grunow et al., 1987b]. This led us to suggest that the AP- EWM-TI blocks may have acted a tectonic entity which we refer to as Weddellia, between the Middle Jurassic and Cretaceous, insofar as can be resolved paleomagnetically [Grunow et al., 1987b]. If the AP, EWM, and TI blocks are joined in their present-day relative positions (rigid Weddellia), there is an overlap of the AP block with southern South America. Geosat, marine, and airborne gravity data [Sandwell and McAdoo, 1988; Bell et al., 1990] indicate that the continental margin of the Antarctic Peninsula is much narrower than necessarily inferred by the imprecise location of the shelf-slope break in ice-covered waters, and this lessens the pre-breakup overlap shown for the AP block with southern South America [Grunow et al., 1987b]. A reconstruction with the blocks separated (mosaic Weddellia) fits the geologic and paleomagnetic data better but requires a more complex opening history for the Weddell Sea basin [Grunow et al., 1987b; Lawver and Scotese, 1987; Lawver et al., 1991].

### GEOLOGIC SETTING OF TI BLOCK

The TI block consists of the area known as Thurston Island and the Eights Coast between ~255°E (Pine Island Bay) and ~270°E and from 71°S to ~ 75° S. Thurston Island is separated from the Eights Coast by the Abbot Ice Shelf, which is found within a graben or half graben structure (Figure 1). The rocks



Fig. 1. Sample locations on Thurston Island: BN, Belknap Nunatak; DI, Dustin Island (ek, Ehlers Knob); HG, Hale Glacier; HN, Harrison Nunatak; JM, Jones Mountains; LN, Lepley Nunatak; LP, Landfall Peak; MB, Mounta Bramhall; MB, Mount Dowling; MI, McNamara Island (pb, Pellers Bluff); MS, Mount Simpson; PP, Parker Peak; SH, Shelton Head. Other locations: HK, Henderson Knob; MN, Mount Noxom. Inset shows the West Antarctic crustal blocks (boldly outlined) in their present-day positions with respect to East Antarctica. EWM, Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains; EM, Ellsworth Mountains; NVL, North Victoria Land; asterisk, Haag Nunataks. Stippled lines show mountain trends; slanted lines show location of ice shelves.

on Thurston Island crop out along two general east-west striking zones, parallel to the Abbot Ice Shelf. The majority of rock exposures from the TI block are of intrusive rocks with minor outcrops of volcanic rocks that are thought to represent evolution along a convergent margin during the Mesozoic. A more complete description of the rocks is given by Craddock et al. [1964a, 1969], Lopatin and Orlenko [1972], Wade and Wilbanks [1972], and Storey et al. [1991]. The oldest rocks known from TI are Carboniferous gneisses thought to represent the roots of a magmatic arc [Craddock et al., 1964b; Pankhurst, 1990]. Triassic granodiorites (~230 Ma) at Mount Bramhall [Grunow et al., 1990] and Lower Jurassic granites (~200 Ma) in the Jones Mountains [Craddock et al., 1969; Pankhurst, 1990] indicate magmatic activity on Thurston Island in the early Mesozoic. A series of ~140 to 155 Ma granites, granodiorites and diorites represent another phase of magmatic activity on Thurston Island [Storey et al., in press]. Mid- to Late Cretaceous volcanism and felsic dike intrusion occurred in the Jones Mountains [Craddock et al., 1963a], while granitic magmas were being emplaced at Lepley Nunatak [Grunow et al., 1990]. These magmatic rocks indicate that TI was along a convergent margin until the latest Cretaceous-earliest Tertiary when subduction probably ceased as a result of eastward propogation of the Pacific-Antarctic ridge [Watts et al., 1988].

The Mesozoic rocks in the Jones Mountains are unconformably overlain by glacial till and flat-lying Tertiary alkaline volcanics [*Craddock et al.*, 1969; *Rutford et al.*, 1972]. The thermal event that produced the Tertiary alkalic basalts may have also created the grabenlike structure in which the Abbot Ice Shelf is found (Figure 1).

# SAMPLING AND LABORATORY PROCEDURES

The paleomagnetic samples (along with geochronologic, geochemical, and structural studies collected by other members of the project) were collected as part of the joint U.S.-U.K. West Antarctic tectonics project [see Dalziel and Pankhurst, 1987] in the hope of better constraining the relative motion of the West Antarctic blocks and the opening history of the Weddell Sea. Paleomagnetic samples in the TI block were collected by A.M. Grunow using a portable, gasoline-powered, diamond-bit coring drill with glycol as a drill coolant. Over 700 oriented drill samples and 10 oriented hand samples were collected from 123 sites at 28 locations in the TI block. Generally six to seven cores were sampled at each site and oriented using a Brunton compass. Sun compass measurements were made at each locality to allow correction for local magnetic variation. The Sun compass measurements from the intrusive and volcanic localities (Table 1) were always within



Fig. 2. Orthogonal projection of vector endpoint diagrams showing demagnetization behavior of samples from Thurston Island. Open circles (solid circles) are projections on the vertical (horizontal) planes at indicated levels of AF (mT, millitesla) or TH (°C) cleaning. Equal-angle projections of characteristic cleaned sample and site mean directions. Open (solid) symbols are on the upper (lower) hemisphere. Intensity plots are shown for localities where TH demagnetization was used to determine the directions.



 $3^{\circ}$  of the predicted magnetic variation and therefore no corrections were made. Because most of the rocks exposed in the TI block are intrusions, we attempted to sample over as many widely scattered sites and different cooling units as possible within any given intrusion in order to average out secular variation and assess the likelihood of tectonic tilting. We were sometimes unable to collect more than one or two sites at a given location due to weather conditions.

The samples were analyzed in the paleomagnetic laboratory at Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory. Measurements of the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) were made using a cryogenic magnetometer or a computerized flux gate spinner magnetometer on one or more specimens cut from each core. Pilot samples were progressively demagnetized using stepwise alternating field (AF) or thermal techniques (TH) to determine the best demagnetization procedure for that site. Steps of



Fig. 2. (continued)

generally 10 mT up to a peak of 100 mT were used during AF demagnetization, while steps of 100°C up to 500°C beyond which smaller steps up to a maximum of 660°C were used in thermal demagnetization. The demagnetization data were plotted on vector endpoint diagrams and characteristic magnetization directions were calculated for linear segments converging toward the origin using principal component analysis [Kirschvink, 1980]. Directions were considered stable if defined by three or more colinear points. Mean directions for each locality are based on combining (specimen) sample means to site means and then site means to a unit mean using standard Fisher [1953] statistics. Location mean poles were calculated by using site-mean virtual geomagnetic poles (VGPs).

#### PALEOMAGNETIC RESULTS

New paleomagnetic data are presented here for the TI block starting with the results from the youngest radiometrically dated rocks (see Figure 1 for locations). Radiometric age control is based on Rb–Sr and K–Ar analyses by R.J. Pankhurst and I.L. Millar of the British Antarctic Survey and the  $^{40}$ Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar step—heating dating method by A.M. Grunow with T.M. Harrison and M.T. Heizler. The paleomagnetic results from the diorite/gabbro complex (~124 Ma) at Belknap Nunatak have already been published [*Grunow et al.*, 1987b].

### Lepley Nunatak

A biotite-bearing granite  $({}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$  biotite isochron age of  $89 \pm 1$  Ma) cut by northeast trending mafic and feldpathic dikes forms low rounded hills at this isolated nunatak. A total of 40 cores from seven sites were drilled. A single component of magnetization pointing steeply upward to the north-northeast was consistently found in six of the granite and dike sites (Table 1 and Figure 2a). The seventh site (a mafic dike) yielded directions up and to the northwest and was excluded from further analysis. The unit mean direction for the six sites is  $D= 22.4^{\circ}$ ;  $I=-79.2^{\circ}$  (Table 2 and Figure 2a).

# Jones Mountains

Two hundred and forty-five samples were drilled in the Jones Mountains from 34 sites in pink, coarse-grained granite (198  $\pm$  2 Ma), volcanic rocks, mafic dikes, and pink and green felsic dikes (89  $\pm$  3 Ma). The granite is cut by two generations of mafic dikes which in turn are cut by east-northeast trending felsic dikes. The Upper Cretaceous volcanic rocks are in fault contact with the granite and felsic dikes. The Mesozoic igneous rocks are overlain unconformably by Oligocene alkalineolivine basalts [Craddock et al., 1969; Rutford et al., 1972]. Results were obtained from ~1/3 of the sites by using AF demagnetization on three granite, six felsic dike, and three mafic dike sites. Pilot samples using thermal demagnetization produced results similar to the AF demagnetization.

A baked contact test carried out on the Lower Jurassic granite intruded by a mafic dike showed the granite yielding the same directions as the mafic dike (Figure 2b). Although no age determinations have been made on the mafic dikes, they yield directions very similar to the 89 Ma felsic dikes (Table 1 and Figure 2b). The unit mean direction for the three granite sites is similar to the directions obtained by *Scharnberger and Sharon* [1972] from the granite. The site mean directions from both the granite and mafic dike sites are indistinguishable from the felsic dikes (Table 1 and Figure 2b). Therefore we believe that the granite has been remagnetized by the thermal event that produced the mid- to Upper Cretaceous volcanics and felsic dikes.

We assign an age of ~90 Ma for the age of magnetization of the mafic and felsic dike sites and the overprinted granite. The mean direction for all 12 sites is  $D=31.6^\circ$ ,  $I=-80.1^\circ$  (Table 2).

### McNamara Island

A medium- to coarse-grained, hornblende + biotite-bearing diorite body at Peeler's Bluff on McNamara Island is cut by aplitic dikes and granitic sheets. The diorite locally contains what appeared to be a magmatic foliation (site TI16A, Table 1). Dating of the rocks at McNamara Island has proved difficult. Radiometric ages range between 97 Ma (K-Ar biotite) [Drake et al., 1964] to 123 Ma (Rb-Sr whole rock on the granite sheets) (R.J. Pankhurst, personal communication, 1990). We consider a 109 Ma K-Ar hornblende age to approximate the age of magnetization because it was obtained from the paleomagnetic samples whereas the other radiometric ages are based on samples collected elsewhere at Peeler's Bluff. Also, petrographically, the paleomagnetic samples show significant secondary chloritization and alteration which may have locally caused remagnetization and radiometric resetting.

Four sites (23 cores) were drilled, three of the sites within the diorite and one within an aplitic dike. The aplitic dike proved

TABLE 1. Site Mean Directions and	Virtual Geomagnetic Poles From Thurston Island-Eights Coast
-----------------------------------	---

Site	Lithology	NRM x	Maximum	Samples	D. deg	I. deg		kanna		GP
		10-1 1	Demagnetization mT		-,8	-,8	495		T on situada OE	L seites 1. 00
		10 - A/m	Demagnetization III I	<u></u>			_		Longitude -E	Latitude "S
	~ ·		Lepley Nunatak (2	269.6 E, 7	3.1°S)					
T115A	Granite	1.4	90	46	2.8	-80.8	1.9	2350	129.5	88.7
T115B	Maric Dike (USU//UNW)	1.2	90	3V6	40.1	-83.7	2.4	1060	221.9	79.2
TTISE	Mafia Dika (020/00)	3.3	100	3V0 54	21.3	-//.9	2.8	739	149.0	80.4
TIISE	Felsic Dike	2.2	00	0VC 2\6	14.7	-19.5	1.0	2421	150.8	84.2
TIISG	Granite	41	100	3\0 4\6	14.6	-70.5	J.0 67	101	1185	73.5
	UTIMATO .		100		14.0	-72.0	0.7	191	116.5	74.0
710			Jones Mountains (2	265.6°E, 73	(.5°S)	<b>7</b> 0 4				
JIB JIB	Feisic Dike (008//USE)	10	90	5\/	25.9	-79.6	8.0	93	163.8	81.1
JIC IIF	Granite	12	80	0\0 6\6	33.8 61.7	-82.1	2.2	892	145.6	80.4
116	Felsic Dike (008/40SE)	13	100	00	24.5	-78.5	5.4	100	100.1	69.9 80.7
JIN	Felsic Dike (088/90)	14	45	66	59.5	-02.9	1.0	1412	144.1	80.7 71.1
J2B	Mafic Dike (108/65SE)	6	80	7\7	35.0	-80.4	4.4	187	170.2	70.4
J2D	Felsic Dike (079/68SE)	2	100	6\6	35.1	-79.6	5.0	148	174.2	785
J2F(A)	Mafic Dike (143/78SW)	8	80	55	45.9	-80.2	1.4	3080	187.9	76.1
J2F(B)	Granite	0.4	80	6\6	30.4	-78.4	3.0	497	160.6	78.5
J2H	Granite	0.3	70	6\6	21.9	-80.6	4.4	228	170.9	83.3
J2I	Mafic Dike (186/78SW)	3	70	66	9.7	-81.6	5.8	110	170.4	87.2
J2J	Felsic Dike (083/90)	2	70	5\5	358.4	-74.0	11.9	42	82.1	76.6
T14A	Gabbro	8	80	6\6	50.3	-70.9	3.5	364	161.1	63.3
			McNamara Island (2	266.7 E. 72	2633)					
TI16A	Diorite	4	100	66	48.7	-81.4	5.1	174	202.1	76.0
TI16B	Diorite	17	90	5\6	48.5	-78.4	4.0	361	184.3	73.4
TI16D	Diorite	8	100	6\6	68.2	-76.1	5.2	167	191.9	64.8
			Durthe Island (264)	00E 77 60	<b>C</b> 1					
TT1 A	Diorite	15	70	9°E, 72.0°. 66	<i>57</i> 0	-82 0	40	100	213 4	75 8
TIIR	Diorite	7	50	717	50.9	-76.6	65	87	184 7	67.8
TIIC	Gabbro	23	50	7\7	80.7	-86.3	2.1	841	240.5	72.3
TIID	Gabbro	10	80	7\7	145.4	-87.3	2.1	838	256.7	67.9
				(2 0 0E 72	6.001					
TT4 A	Gabbro	0	Harrison Nunalak (20	66 03.9 °E, 72.	503	-70 9	35	364	161.1	63.3
114A	Gaboro	0	80	040	50.5	-70.9	5.5	504		
			Hale Glacier (259	2°E, 72.2°	3)					
TI8 COM 1	Granite	0.6	560°C	8\13	244.7	-80.6	7.6	54	293.3	59.7
TI8 COM 2	Granite		560°C	5\13	57.0	-73.2	10.4	55	168.5	64.3
		Darker Dea	6/361 09E 73 459C1 W	latanuin T -		CIAONIT				
TTIOA	Volcanic Tuff	200	ענד (201.9 ב, 72.45 ס) א 100	oicanic La	yering 33. 067	76 A	27	622.0	208.2	67 4
	Volcanie Tuli	20.0	100	010	90.7 [233 7	-70.4	2.1	624.0	208.5	37.4 21.51
TI10B	Volcanic Tuff	32.0	100	66	134 3	-32.2	89	58.0	234.0	21.3j 52 1
			100		[229.1	-44.3	8.9	58.0	306 3	13 01
					[>	1112	0.7	20.0	500.5	13.7]
	<b>a</b>		Shelton Head (262)	25 E, 72.5	°S)					
1121B COM A	Granite	1	580°C	6\7	128.4	-81.0	15.2	20	235.3	58.5
TI21D COM A	Granita	25	610°C	N/	88.0	80.6	9.7	40	318.3	64.4
COM R	Granute	3.5	500°C	3V0	110.2	-39.3	20.6	37	229.3	31.0
COMB			020 C	00	114.0	75.4	5.1	482	340.0	04.4
TTOO A	a .		Mount Simpson (259	.25 E, 72.I	1°S)					
1122A	Granite	0.5	620°C	6\6	264.6	74.8	6.9	95	143.7	58.2
			Landfall Peak (257	9 E. 72.09	3)					
TI6B	Granitic Dike	0.5	620°C	7\7	218.8	68.1	2.9	444	138.2	63.2
TI6C	Diorite	1.4	620°C	5%	230.5	67.0	5.5	192	150.6	58.5
TI6E	Granodiorite	0.6	640°C	66	209.0	74.8	4.9	192	139 5	74.8
TI6F	Granodiorite	0.4	640°C	6\6	223.0	72.2	3.3	402	150.5	67.3
	14-		10.41 0.0E 70 4500 0		<b>.</b> .					
TT12A	Mo Silicic Intrusion	uni Dowling 0 02	י (201.9 °E, 72.43 °S) Se וחח	aimentary	Layering	069/15SE	~~	744	010 7	<i></i>
TI12R	Felsic Dike (040/00)	0.05	100	09 64	103.7	-19.4 70 2	2.5	144	218.7	60.3
TTI2C	Volcaniclastic	0.00	100	0/0	66 1	-/8.5	1./	1007	214.0	59.4
	·	0.09	100	010	00.1 [10.7	-70.9	3.2 2 7	436 197	187.8	60.3
TI12D	Volcaniclastic	0.2	100	66	460	-09.1	5.4 2.6	427 601	110.4	70 0
					[13.4	-65.5	2.6	686	103.9	64 41
			Manuel Damestall (Const	1 200	071		2.0	300	103.2	04.4]
TT14A	Granodiorita	27	Mouni Bramhall (26)	1.0°E, 72.2	3)			405		
TT14R	Dionite	3.1 22	000°C	0\0 64	8.3 101 P	-00.2	3.3	405	95.2	66.1
TI14C	Granodiorite	2.5 1 6	66090	00	221.0	03.4 50.5	1.8	1352	140.3	26.5
	CIMICUIUIIIC	1.0		UNC	190	- 14 7	a /	174	1118 3	30 X

Maximum demagnetization using either AF or TH techniques; nW, number of samples used for site mean calculation/total number of samples; D, declination in degrees; I, inclination in degrees; brackets indicate tilt-corrected data;  $\alpha_{95}$ , radius of error circle at the 95% confidence level for site mean direction; kappa, estimate of precision parameter; VGP, virtual geomagnetic pole.

to be magnetically unstable. A single component of magnetization, defined by an upward pointing, northeast directed vector was found (Table 1 and Figure 2c). The unit mean direction for the three sites is  $D = 56.5^{\circ}$ ,  $I = -78.8^{\circ}$  (Table 2 and Figure 2c).

# Dustin Island

Two small exposures separated by  $\sim 30$  m of ice were visited at Ehlers Knob on Dustin Island (Figure 1). One was a coarsegrained diorite and the other a coarse-grained gabbro, the contact between which was not exposed. K-Ar and Rb-Sr biotite ages of  $\sim 110$  Ma were obtained from the gabbro. Four sites (26 cores) were drilled, two sites in the gabbro, two sites in the diorite.

A single component of magnetization was observed in the diorite after AF demagnetization that was upward directed to the northeast (Table 1 and Figure 2d). These results are very similar to ones obtained by *Scharnberger and Sharon* [1972] from three sites in the diorite. A single, very steep component of magnetization was observed in the gabbro that was upward pointing to the east-southeast (Table 1 and Figure 2d).

The diorite and gabbro may be of different age, but the variation in direction between the two units is not significant with so few sites. The unit mean direction for the four sites from the diorite and gabbro is  $D = 0.67.4^{\circ}$ ,  $I = -83.9^{\circ}$  (Table 2 and Figure 2d).

#### Harrison Nunatak

This locality consists of a coarse-grained hornblendebearing gabbro. Difficulty of access and weather conditions prevented us from sampling more than one site (six samples). A K-Ar age of ~121  $\pm$  6 Ma was obtained from a very lowpotassium bearing hornblende separate. A single, stable upward directed to the northeast component of magnetization was observed in these specimens after AF demagnetization (D=50.3°, I=-70.9°) (Tables 1 and 2and Figure 2*e*).

# Hale Glacier

This outcrop consists of a pink, coarse-grained, biotitebearing granite cut by north-south trending mafic dikes. The exposure occurs on the eastern side of Hale glacier at the glacier's entrance onto the Abbot Ice Shelf. K-Ar dating on biotite yielded an age of  $144 \pm 5$  Ma for the granite. While the granite sites were stable, the directions yielded were single component but in two orientations unrelated to the site or demagnetization history. The directions for component 1 are  $D=244.7^{\circ}$ ,  $I=-80.6^{\circ}$ , while for component 2 the directions are  $D=57^{\circ}$ ,  $I=-73.2^{\circ}$  (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2f).

# Parker Peak

Two sites, ~5 m apart, were sampled within tilted, welllayered, andesitic crystal tuffs. A dolerite sill within the volcanics was dated at  $144 \pm 3$  Ma using K-Ar whole rock (R.J. Pankhurst, personal communication, 1990). The volcanic rocks are fractured with epidotization occurring along the fracture surfaces. A single component of magnetization was observed in these rocks after AF demagnetization (Table 1 and Figure 2g). The mean directions for the 12 samples from the two sites are  $D = 114.4^{\circ}$ ,  $I = -77.7^{\circ}$  before tilt correction and D = $231.2^{\circ}$ ,  $I = -48.3^{\circ}$  (Table 2 and Figure 2g). The age of magnetization for this location is unknown. The volcanic rocks are older than 144 Ma, but given the alteration within the rocks, it seems possible that they have been remagnetized.

### Shelton Head

This locality consists of a pink, medium-grained granite with grey-green xenoliths of volcanic rocks and/or mafic dikes. The granite is cut by a set of east-northeast trending mafic dikes. Four sites were drilled, two in the mafic dikes and two in the granite. Age dating of the granite has been difficult; Rb/Sr whole rock dating yields an age of  $137 \pm 27$  Ma, while K-Ar analysis yields on biotite an age of  $-152 \pm 2$  Ma and on hornblende an age of  $142 \pm 5$  Ma. The discrepancy in ages suggests disturbance in the isotopic system. The crosscutting dikes yield an age of  $123 \pm 20$  Ma.

The two granite sites produced two-component demagnetization trajectories (Table 1 and Figure 2h). The first component (A) is upward pointing to the east-southeast and occurs in the lower temperature part (<530°C) of the demagnetization plot ( $D = 120.8^\circ$ ,  $I = -73.8^\circ$ ) (Table 2 and Figure 2h). The second component, B, is downward pointing to the east ( $D = 103^\circ$ ,  $I = 78.5^\circ$ ) and occurs between 530°C and 620°C (Table 2 and Figure 2h).

### Mount Simpson

We were able to sample only one site (six samples) from this coarse-grained, pink granite because of weather conditions. By combining samples from Mount Simpson with the nearby Mount Noxom granite, an Rb/Sr whole rock age of  $145 \pm 2$  Ma was obtained [R.J. Pankhurst, personal communication, 1990].

A two-component magnetization was observed in these rocks after thermal demagnetization (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2*i*). A lower unblocking temperature component below ~500°C was generally upward pointing but variable in orientation and may represent a recent overprinting. The higher unblocking temperature component, isolated between ~500° to 620°C, yielded consistent downward pointing west-southwest oriented directions of  $D = 264.6^\circ$ ,  $I = 74.8^\circ$  (Tables 1 and 2 Figure 2*i*).

# Landfall Peak

Located at the westernmost end of Thurston Island, 50 km east of Mount Simpson, this medium-grained, hornblende + biotite-bearing diorite/granodiorite body is locally net veined by a grey, biotite-bearing granite which in turn is cut by granitic dikes of similar composition. The upper part of the nunatak appears to be an intrusion breccia with rafts of granitic and mafic material within a diroitic matrix. The main granodiorite body from the lower part of the nunatak yielded an  ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$  biotite isochron age of  $152 \pm 2$  Ma. Six sites were drilled (40 cores). A site in the net-veined granite and a site in the diorite proved to be magnetically unstable. The remaining two sites within the granodiorite, one site in a diorite block surrounded by granitic net veining, and one site in a granite dike cutting the diorite yielded stable directions.

Like the Mount Simpson samples, the Landfall Peak granodiorite contains a two-component magnetization (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2*j*). A lower unblocking temperature component was generally upward pointing but varied in direction and did not produce well-grouped results. The higher temperature component yielded consistent downward pointing to the southwest directions (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2*j*). This high coercivity component (30% of the magnetization remained after 100 mT) was defined between 570°C and 620°C

block
F
From
Paleopoles
s and
Direction
Mean
Location
<b>FABLE 2.</b>

Locality	Lithology	~Age, *Ma	Z	Component	٩	-	a95	<b> </b> ~	PPLONG, °E	PPLAT,°S	A <sub>95,</sub> deg	×	dp, dm, deg	PLAT,°S
Lepley Nunatak	Granite, Felsic and Mafic Dikes	89±1	ড়		22.4	<u>-79.2</u>	4.0	285	<u>163.4</u>	<u>81.9</u>	<u>7.3</u>	5.941		<u>68</u>
Jones Mountains	Granite Mafic Dikes Felsic Dikes	198±2 >89&<198 89±3			38.9 31.3 28.0	-79.6 -81.0 -79.9	6.2 4.6	396 734 260	166.4 179.2 149.9	1.77 81.0 9.97	10.6 9.1 6.6			02 22 02 22 02
McNamara Island Dustin Island	Combined Site Means Diorite Diorite Gabbro Combined Site Means	109±4 ? 110±3	13 13 14 14 14 13 13 13 13		<u>31.6</u> 56.5 107.7 67.4	<u>-80.1</u> -78.8 -87.3 -83.9	2.2 5.4 6.7 6.7	<u>385</u> 104 621 191	<u>159.9</u> 192.2 249.4 224.3	<u>79.7</u> 71.9 70.2 73.2	<u>3.8</u> <u>9.8</u> 12.7	<u>11.915</u> <u>2.987</u> <u>3.943</u>	7.4, 7.8 3.2, 3.2	ମ <b>ଷ</b> ଟ ଅ ଝ
Harrison Nunatak Belknap Nunatak ‡	Gabbro Gabbro, Granitic dikes and Mafic dikes	121±6 125	<del>ن</del> لو		<u>50.3</u> 133.7	- <u>70.9</u> - <u>75.6</u>	<u>3.5</u> 4.4	<u>364</u> 233	<u>161.1</u> 232.0	63.3 49.0	7.9	<u>5.931</u>	<u>5.3, 6.1</u>	ା
Hale Galcier Parker Peak	Granite Granite Volcanic tuff	142±5 >144	13 12 12	Com 1 Com 2	<u>244.7</u> <u>57.0</u> 114.4 [231.2	<u>-80.6</u> -73.2 -48.3	<u>10.4</u> 4.7 7.4	21 XI & & &	<u>293.3</u> 168.5 221.9 307.4	<u>59.7</u> 64.3 55.4 17.6			<u>14.2, 14.7</u> <u>16.6, 18.6</u> <u>8.2, 8.8</u> 4.0, 6,1	ମ ଧ କ୍ଷ ଛି
Shelton Head Mt. Simpson Landfall Peak	Granite Granite Granite Diorite, Granitic dikes and Granodiorire	137-1527 145 ± 2 152 ± 2	4 다 <u>13</u>	Com A Com B	120.8 103.0 264.6 221.3	<u>-73.8</u> 78.5 70.7	12.4 5.2 6.9 5.2	<mark>ଅ</mark> ଅ <u>ଟ</u> ୍ଟା ସ	221.8 324.0 143.7 145.3	48.4 65.3 58.2 66.1	<u>8.5</u>	<u>3.974</u>	<u>202, 22.4</u> <u>9.3, 9.9</u> 11.5, 12.6	ସ ଥ ଥ ଅ ଅ
Mt. Dowling	Volcaniclastic rocks Volcaniclastic rocks Volcaniclastic rocks Volcaniclastic rocks Silicic Intrusions	>164 & <182 >164 & <182 >164 & <182 <164	2 12t 12t 12t 2		56.0 [16.3 [101.7 101.8	-76.3 -67.6 -78.9 -78.9	2.3 10.6 1.4 2.9	372 371 562 1028 7632	177.6 108.9 216.3 216.3	68.5 66.9 67.0 59.8 80.9			3.9,4.2 <u>3.1,3.8</u> <u>2.4, 2.6</u>	<u>୪ ର</u> ି ରି ଖି ଖ
Mount Bramhall	Granodiorite and Diorite	228 ± 5	18† 3		23.3 23.3	-63.7 -63.7	3.4 12.6	101 101	116.6 116.5	61.0 61.1	<u>19.4</u>	<u>2.951</u>	4.2, 5.3	<u>45</u> 24
N, number of sites	used for paleopole calculatio	n; $D$ , declination	in degr	ees; /, inclinat	ion in deg	rees; bracket	s indicat	s tilt-con	ected data $\alpha_{95}$	s, radius of en	or circle at	the 95% (	confidence; k, e	stimate of

preusion parameter, rever for suc mean arrection; FrLUNG and FrLAT, paleopole longitude and latitude; A<sub>95</sub>, radius of error circle at the 95% confidence level for the mean paleopole position; R, resultant vector; *dp*, *dm*, oval of 95% confidence about the pole position; PLAT, paleolatitude. For Mount Dowling and Mount Bramhall, both the site mean and sample mean data are listed. Underlined are the data shown in Figure 3a. \*Radiometric ages are from R.J. Pankhurst, I.L. Millar, and A.M. Grunow (unpublished data; manuscript in preparation, 1991). †Sample means used for paleopole calculation. #Paleomagnetic data from *Grunow et al.* [1987b].

and given this high unblocking temperature ( $T_{ub} > 585^{\circ}$ C), we believe the carrier to be a titanohematite. The mean directions for Landfall Peak are  $D = 221.3^{\circ}$ ,  $I = 70.7^{\circ}$  (Table 2).

# Mount Dowling

Gently dipping, thinly bedded, dark green to gray volcaniclastic rocks are cut by a northeast trending, 5-m-wide vertical feldspathic dike and a silicic intrusion at Mount Dowling. Rb/Sr whole rock data suggest an age of at least ~164 ± 9 Ma for the volcaniclastic rocks (R.J. Pankhurst, personal communication, 1990). Another outcrop visited by one member of the expedition proved to be a felsic intrusion which vielded an Rb/Sr age of  $182 \pm 2$  Ma and may be cogenetic with the volcaniclastic rocks (R.J. Pankhurst, personal communication, 1990). The dike and silicic intrusion have so far proved to be undatable. Three sites were drilled in the volcaniclastic rocks of which one was not magnetically stable, one site in a feldspathic dike and one site in the silicic intrusion for a total of 32 cores. The remaining two volcaniclastic sites were separated by ~30 m of section and were located ~20 m from the dike. Three volcaniclastic samples were collected adjacent to the intrusion for a baked contact test.

A single, upward pointing east-southeast directed magnetization was observed from the feldspathic dike and intrusion ( $D = 101.8^{\circ}$ ,  $I = -78.9^{\circ}$ ) (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2k). The contact between the dike and the intrusion was not observed but it is likely that the dike originated from the intrusion and probably accounts for the high precision value.

The volcaniclastic rocks yielded upward pointing north to northeast directions ( $D = 56^{\circ}$ , I = -76.3) (Tables 1 and 2and Figure 2k). The samples collected adjacent to the intrusion for a baked contact test did not yield directions similar to the intrusion but did yield directions similar to the other sites within the volcaniclastic rocks (Figure 2k). Therefore we believe that the volcaniclastic rocks have not been remagnetized by the adjacent intrusion and were probably tilted during emplacement of the intrusion. We consider the age of magnetization to be ~175 Ma based on the 164 Ma minimum age for the volcaniclastic rocks and the 182 Ma age for the nearby felsic intrusion.

### Mount Bramhall

Mount Bramhall consists of a medium-grained, hornblende + biotite-bearing granodiorite body with pods of finer-grained diorite schlieren. The  $^{40}$ Ar/ $^{39}$ Ar analysis yielded a biotite isochron age of  $\sim 228 \pm 5$  Ma, while K-Ar analysis on hornblende yielded ages of  $\sim 230$  Ma. Two sites were drilled in the granodiorite and one in a diorite schlieren pod for a total of 18 cores. A single high-temperature component of magnetization (unblocking temperature to 670°C) was observed in these rocks and is most likely deuterically formed hematite. The granodiorite sites yielded upward pointing, north-northeast directed vectors ( $D=23.3^\circ$ ,  $I=-63.7^\circ$ ) (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2*l*). The diorite schlieren site yielded downward pointing, south-southwest directed vectors approximately antipodal to the granodiorite (Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 2*l*).

The granites sampled at Henderson Knob, Mount Noxom, and Long Glacier did not yield internally consistent results (Figure 1).

# INTERPRETATION OF TI BLOCK DATA

All mean poles of the localities from the TI block are shown in Table 3 and Figure 3a. We have combined poles from several localities to produce time averaged poles at ~90 Ma, ~110 Ma, and ~150 Ma age for the TI block. The similarity in ages and paleopoles from the Jones Mountains and Lepley Nunatak (125 km to the east) allowed us to combine these two localities to give a ~90 Ma TI paleopole of 161.4°E, 80.8°S,  $A_{95}$ = 3.9°, N= 18 VGPs (Table 3 and Figure 3b). This pole is significantly different from the pole obtained from Tertiary volcanic rocks in the Jones Mountains and Hudson Mountains by Scharnberger and Sharon [1972].

We have chosen to combine the Dustin Island and McNamara Island VGPs because the radiometric ages overlap to yield a ~110 Ma pole of 209.8°E, 73.1°S,  $A_{95}$ =7.6°, K=64 for N=7 VGPs (Table 3 and Figures 3a and 3b). The Harrison Nunatak (121 Ma) VGP is different from both the ~110 Ma pole and the ~125 Ma pole (from Belknap Nunatak, Table 3) and has not been used because we believe that either secular variation has not been averaged out the rocks have been tilted or the age does not reflect the time of magnetization. Argon loss in hornblende is not uncommon and combined with the very low potassium content of the mineral separate upon which the age is based (0.1%; R.J. Pankhurst (personal communication, 1990)), we feel that the radiometric age may not be reflecting the age of magnetization.

The VGPs from Landfall Peak and Mount Simpson produced a ~150 Ma pole of 144.9°E, 64.5°S,  $A_{95}=7.0^\circ$ , K=122, N=5 VGPs (Table 3 and Figures 3a and 3b). We believe that the reversed polarity magnetization was acquired prior to the Cretaceous normal polarity interval since the data give paleolatitudes too low for a Late Cretaceous to Tertiary position and are not similar to the Late Cretaceous to Tertiary AP or East Antarctic reference poles [Watts, 1982; Watts et al., 1984]. We have not used the Hale Glacier (145 Ma) results because of the lack of within site precision and the uncertainty in the origin of two different single-component magnetizations within a site. It is interesting to note that component 2 from Hale Glacier is not disimilar to the ~150 Ma poles and very similar to Harrison Nunatak (Table 2 and Figure 3a).

The baked contact test at Mount Dowling suggests that the volcaniclastic rocks were not remagnetized by the silicic intrusions. We consider the single-component directions to be primary and have applied the tilt correction because it is likely that these fine-grained volcaniclastic rocks were deposited near the horizontal datum. The coincidence of K-Ar (and Ar/Ar) ages in hornblende and biotite at Mount Bramhall (230 Ma) suggests that these rocks did not experience a prolonged cooling history and have not been reheated beyond the closure temperature of biotite (~300°C). This combined with the high unblocking temperature of the magnetic minerals, the singlecomponent nature of the magnetization, and the normal and reversed polarity directions suggest that the magnetization is primary. The similarity of the 230 Ma and 175 Ma TI poles (Table 3 and Figures 3a and 3b) suggests that the TI block experienced little apparent polar wander.

The Shelton Head component B and Hale Glacier component 1 results are not like any of our other results from Thurston Island and could be reflecting remagnetization (Table 2 and Figure 3a). The close similarity of Shelton Head component A with our results from Belknap Nunatak (125 Ma) may reflect remagnetization in the Early Cretaceous caused by the igneous event that produced the ~123 Ma Shelton Head dikes. The Parker Peak paleopole without tilt correction is similar to those from Belknap Nunatak, Shelton Head component A, and the Mount Dowling dikes (Figure 3a). The Mount Dowling dike



Fig. 3. (a) Equal-angle projection of TI poles with their respective A63s (except for Hale Glacier, Harrison Nunatak, Mount Simpson, and Shelton Head where the data are too few). D and G, Dustin Island diorite and gabbro. Poles are from Table 2. (b) TI apparent polar wander path (with A63s); see Table 3.

TABLE 3. TI Paleopoles for TI Apparent Polar Wander Path

Age, Ma	Longitude °E	Latitude °S	A <sub>95</sub> deg	A <sub>63</sub> , deg	Basis for Poles
~230	116	61	19.4	8.4	N=3 site mean VGPs from Mount Bramhall
~175	109	67			N=2 site mean VGPs from Mount Dowling
~150	145	64	7	3.5	N=5 site mean VGPs from Landfall Park (4); Mount Simpson (1)
~125	232	49	7.9	4.1	N=5 site mean VGPs from Belknap Nunatak
~110	210	73	7.6	4.0	N=7 site mean VGPs from Dustin Island (4); McNamara Island (3)
~90	161	80	3.9	1.7	N=18 site mean VGPs from Lepley Nunatak (6); Jones Mountains (12)

and intrusion pole lie between the 150-125 Ma and 125-100 Ma TI poles; therefore we consider it possible that the age of magnetization for these rocks is between 150 Ma and 100 Ma (Figure 3a). The similarity of the Shelton Head, Parker Peak, and Mount Dowling intrusion poles with Belknap Nunatak indicates that our previous results were not anomalous.

However, these data are not used in the reconstructions given the uncertainty in the age of magnetization.

Although we cannot eliminate the possibility of tilting for these data, we believe that the similarity of paleomagnetic poles obtained from various rock types of equivalent age over a large region argues against significant tilting of small blocks.

TABLE 4. Mean Paleopoles (With Their A95) used in the tectonic reconstructions

Age, Ma	East Antarctica*	П	AP	EWM	MBL	References
~230	225°, 54° (11°) Af	116°, 61° (19.4°)				1, 2
~175	220°, 55° (3.9°)	109°, 67° ()	237°, 46° (6.4°)	235°, 41° (5.3°)		2, 3, 4
~150	201°, 69° (13°) S.Am	145°, 64° (7°)				1, 2
~125	202°, 72° (11°) Af	232°, 49° (7.9°)				5
~110	194°, 72° (13°) Aus	210°, 73° (7.6°)	195°, 65° (9°)			1, 2, 6
~90-95	204°, 76° (12°) Aus	161°, 80° (3.9°)	132°, 77° (10°)		242°, 65° (9°)	1, 2, 7, 8

References: 1, Irving and Irving [1982]; 2, this paper, see Table 3; 3, Longshaw and Griffiths [1983]; 4, Grunow et al. [1987a;] 5, Grunow et al. [1987b]; 6, Kellogg and Rowly [1989]; 7, Watts et al. [1984]; 8, Grindley and Oliver [1983].

\*The Gondwanaland reference poles (Af, Africa; S.Am, South America; Aus, Australia) have been rotated to East Antarctica using the Norton and Sclater [1979] rotation poles.



Fig. 4. Equal-angle projection of paleomagnetic poles (with their A95s) from the West Antarctic crustal blocks (AP, TI, EWM, MBL) and East Antarctica (EAT) for each time period; see Table 4.

The flat-lying Tertiary volcanic rocks in the Jones Mountains indicate that there has been no tilting here since the Oligocene. The similarity of poles of mid-Cretaceous and younger age from TI with those of equivalent age from the AP and East Antarctica suggests to us that little tectonic tilting on any scale has occurred since at least mid-Cretaceous time (Table 4 and Figure 4). Last, few faults or shear zones were observed at the locations discussed in this paper, although it should be emphasized that many exposures were of limited extent.

#### **COMPARISON OF PALEOMAGNETIC REFERENCE POLES**

The paleomagnetic poles from the West Antarctic crustal blocks and East Antarctica are shown in Table 4 and Figure 4. Apart from the 175 Ma East Antarctic reference pole [Grunow et al., 1987a], there are no other well-established Mesozoic reference poles from East Antarctica. Therefore, for the other relevant time periods we have used reference poles from Africa at 230 Ma [Irving and Irving, 1982], South America at 150 Ma [Irving and Irving, 1982], Africa at ~120 Ma [Grunow et al., 1987b], Australia at 110 Ma [Irving and Irving, 1982], and Australia at ~90 Ma [Irving and Irving, 1982] rotated into an East Antarctic reference frame using the Norton and Sclater [1979] rotation parameters (Table 4 and Figure 4).

We did not use the mean 150 Ma pole from Africa [Irving and Irving, 1982] because it is primarily based on poles from Morocco where the Cretaceous mobile zone associated with creation of the Atlas Mountains may have disturbed them. The 120 Ma pole from Grunow et al. [1987b] is based on the African poles listed by Irving and Irving but without the Morrocan pole for the reason stated above. The ~120 Ma pole listed by Besse and Courtillot [1988] is very similar to the Grunow et al. pole when rotated to East Antarctica.

Paleomagnetic poles from the other West Antarctic crustal blocks used in the tectonic models include the 175 Ma pole from the AP and EWM blocks [Longshaw and Griffiths, 1983; Grunow et al., 1987a], the ~110 Ma pole from the AP block [Kellogg and Rowley, 1989], the ~90-110 Ma pole from the MBL block [Grindley and Oliver, 1983], and the 90-95 Ma pole from the AP block [Watts et al., 1984] (Table 4 and Figure 4).

### RECONSTRUCTIONS

The pre- and post-Gondwanaland breakup rotation poles of Norton and Sclater [1979] have been used in the reconstructions for the major continents. The rotation poles used to restore the West Antarctic crustal blocks and the Falkland Islands (FI) [Taylor and Shaw, 1989] are listed in Table 5. For simplicity, the present continental outlines and subice topography have been used to define the crustal blocks in the reconstructions, the exact configuration of these blocks prior to Cenozoic time being unknown. The East Antarctic reference pole is used as the geographic south pole in the figures. The Precambrian gneisses from the Haag Nunataks [Millar and Pankhurst, 1987] are considered to be part of the EWM block. For lack of other data, the MBL block is left in its 90-95 Ma position based on the data of Grindley and Oliver [1983] for the older reconstructions (Table 5). The major events in the evolution of the West Antarctic blocks, East Antarctica, and the southern ocean basins between ~230 Ma and ~90 Ma are outlined in Table 6.

#### **TECTONIC MODELS**

# 230 Ma

The 230 Ma reconstruction is based on the Mount Bramhall pole (Figure 5*a*). The position shown for the TI block in this reconstruction creates an opening along the Pacific convergent margin between TI and MBL. No data exist for the AP block at 230 Ma, and therefore we leave it in its 175 Ma position. We believe that the 90° counterclockwise rotation of the EWM block predicted from Cambrian paleomagnetic data [Watts and

Plate	Time, Ma	Longitude °	Latitude °	Angle, deg
Thurston Island	230	88.22	78.16	102.47
	175	86.14	74.23	79.96
	150	91.64	79.72	35.68
	125	-72.94	-61.10	29.64
	110	-179.54	85.33	-5.40
	90	0.00	0.00	0.00
Antarctic Peninsula	230	-79.50	-70.02	51.89
	175	-93.20	-72.30	35.48
	150	- <del>9</del> 4.73	-67.32	27.23
	125	-72.94	-61.01	29.64
	110	0.00	90.00	-1.81
	90	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains	230	-27.54	-84.05	-79.07
	175	-88.10	-62.14	35.37
	150	-88.86	-62.17	33.69
	125	-81.65	-62.59	34.14
	90	0.00	0.00	0.00
Marie Byrd Land	230	18.55	61.91	22.28
	175	34.84	53.63	12.54
	90	34.84	53.63	12.54
	40	0.00	0.00	0.00
Falkland/Malvinas Islands	230	169.20	45.30	-156.25
(fixed to Africa)	175	-33.40	47.40	55.77

TABLE 5. Rotation Poles Relative to East Antarctica

Positive rotations are counterclockwise when viewed from above the pole. <sup>o</sup>West and south are shown with (-) sign.

Bramall, 1981; Grunow et al., 1987a] and geologic data [Schopf, 1969; Dalziel and Elliot, 1982] occurred between the Permo-Triassic Gondwanide Orogeny and the emplacement of the 175 Ma granites in the EWM block [Millar and Pankhurst, 1987] from which the EWM 175 Ma pole is based [Grunow et al., 1987a, b] (Table 6). A proposed 120° clockwise rotation of the Falkland Islands (FI) occurred between Early Jurassic and the opening of the South Atlantic [Taylor and Shaw, 1989]. Therefore, in the reconstructions, we have shown the EWM and FI in a prerotation position at 230 Ma and in a postrotation position at 175 Ma.

The EWM and FI rotations may have been caused by early extension and associated transcurrent motion during the early breakup of the supercontinent [Dalziel et al., 1987; Taylor and Shaw, 1989; Dalziel and Grunow, in press]. Early Jurassic mafic lavas found in Dronning Maud Land [Løvlie, 1979] could be indicating early extension along this margin. Early Mesozoic strike-slip shear zones have been documented in Patagonia [Rapela, et al., 1989] providing additional evidence that major transcurrent motion occurred just after the Gondwanide Orogeny and prior to the main phase of Gondwanaland breakup (Table 6) [Rapela et al., 1989].

# 175 Ma

The 175 Ma reconstruction is based on the AP and EWM 175 Ma poles [Longshaw and Griffiths, 1983; Grunow et al., 1987a] (Figure 5b). Minor counterclockwise rotation of the AP and EWM blocks is required to restore these poles to the 175 Ma East Antarctic pole. We have speculatively shown an extensional basin between the AP-TI and EWM blocks and between EWM and East Antarctica which coincides with the present location of the Byrd subglacial basin (BSB) and Explora Wedge (EW), respectively (Table 6 and Figure 5b). Extension of such basins along the Transantarctic Mountains is consistent with structural data from this region [Wilson, 1991] and the location of Middle Jurassic extension related igneous rocks in southern South America and the AP block [Dalziel et al., 1987]. This reconstruction would also suggest that the TI coast line parallel to the present trend of Pine Island Bay (Figure 1, inset) was once along the Pacific convergent margin (Figures 5a and 5b).

The FI block is shown after a 155° clockwise rotation in two positions: its present day position with respect to South America and in a position near Africa (Figure 5b). An estimated ~400 km of Middle to Late Jurassic east-west directed extension in the Falkland Plateau area [Lorenzo and Mutter, 1988] may have moved the FI block westward to its present day position with respect to South America.

# ~150 Ma

Based on comparison of the 150 Ma East Antarctic and TI pole means, counterclockwise rotation of the TI block is predicted between ≥150 Ma and ~125 Ma (Tables 4 and 5 and Figure 5c). The TI rotation probably started with creation of the first sea-floor (M25 which is 156 Ma using the Decade of North American Geology (DNAG) time scale [Palmer, 1983]) in the Mozambique-Somali basins [Simpson et al., 1979; Segoufin and Patriat, 1980; Rabinowitz et al., 1983] and ceased with opening of the South Atlantic Ocean basin at ~130 Ma (M10) [Rabinowitz and LaBrecque, 1979] (Table 6). This spreading system was connected to the southeastern Weddell Sea along the Explora Escarpment [Lawver et al., 1991] and presumably to the southwestern Weddell Sea basin and Rocas Verdes basin in South America [Dalziel, 1981; Mukasa et al., 1988] (Figure 5c). Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous back arc basin sedimentary deposits found along the eastern side of the Antarctic Peninsula provide geologic evidence for existence of a submarine basin in the western Weddell Sea area [Suarez, 1976; Thomson, 1982; Kellogg and Rowley, 1989]. Geosat data reveal northeast directed lineaments in the southwestern Weddell Sea Basin record opening behind the AP block [Bell, 1989] (Figure 5c). The Geosat data also reveal a series of presently east-northeast trending lineaments in the southeastern Weddell Sea basin interpreted as fracture zones that record east-northeast directed Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous extension [Bell, 1989; Bell et al., 1990] related to the Mozambique basin opening.

The southward motion of an EWM block connected to East Antarctica during opening of the Mozambique basin may have caused the counterclockwise rotation of the TI block and transpressional deformation, known as the Palmer Land deformational event, in the southern AP block [Kellogg and

Age, 1	Ma TI	AP	EWM	MBL	East Antarctica	South America/ Africa	Weddell Sea	cean Floor
230	Arc magmati	<u>us</u>	End of folding in Ellsworth Mountains?		End of folding in Pensacola Mountains?	End of Cape/Sierra de la Ventana folding		
200			90° Rotation of EWM block?		Dronning Maud Land mafic lavas	Falkland Islands 100° clockwise rotation?		
175	- +		Silicic magmatism		l Mafic magmatism (Ferrar)	Bimodal magmatism and extension I	Byrd Subglacial Basin and Explora Wedge formed? Aifting along Transanlarctic	4 5
150	Counterclockwise ro with respect to EA Dextral shear & com causing Palmer La	Attion of TI NT pression betwee nd deformationa	n AP-EWM 11 event			Opening of Rocas Verdes marginal basin	Mountains? * * Opening in SW and C SE Weddell Sea	l pening of Somali and Mozambique basins
125	A Weddellia (AP-TI-E with respect to EA Sinistral strike-slip by	WM) ~ 30° cloci NT etween EWM-E	kwise rotation ANT			-	Main opening in Weddell Sea. Create Andenes Escarpment?	pening of S. Atlantic
100	TI, AP and EANT p EANT and Wedd	ellia locked toge				Andean event inverts Rocas Verdes Basin Counter clockwise rotation of southermost Chile?	* Change in S. Atlantic ro EANT and Weddellia Weddell Sea ocean flo	tation pole
<b>2</b> 27	►		NZ Dex B	rifts from MBL; trension in Ross tral motion of M th respect to W(	Sea IBL oddellia		Anomaly 34 - shows EANT and Weddellia together	
20	, , , , ,		Present Antarctic geography at	tained				
See .	text for discussion and a loc evolu	l references. ttion of the south	tern ocean basins.					

TABLE 6. Tectonic Evolution of the Pacific Margin of Gondwanaland



Fig. 5a. Reconstruction for 230 Ma. In all of the reconstructions, the geographic south pole (+) is centered on the East Antarctic (eat) reference pole; the West Antarctic paleopoles are located with asterisks. For simplicity, the present continental outlines and sub-ice topography have been used to define the crustal blocks. The FI block is pointed on one side to better display its rotation and is not meant to reflect its configuration in the Mesozoic. Abbreviations are as follow: AP, Antarctic Peninsula; ap, AP block  $A_{95}$  circle; BSB, Byrd Subglacial Basin; EW, Explora Wedge; EWM, Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains; ewm, EWM block  $A_{95}$  circle; MAD, Madagascar; MB, Mozambique Basin; MBL, Marie Byrd Land; mbl, MBL block paleopole; SB, Somali Basin; SNZ, South Island New Zealand; PIB, Pine Island Bay; PL, Palmer Land; RVB, Rocas Verdes Basin; T, Thurston Island; ti, TI block  $A_{95}$  circle. At 230 Ma, approximately ~90° of TI clockwise rotation is needed to restore the TI pole to the East Antarctic one. The EWM and FI blocks are shown in their prerotation positions. The AP and MBL blocks are left in their 175 Ma and 100 Ma positions, respectively. The bold lines mark the location of the Gondwanide fold belts in South America, Africa, the FI block, the EWM block and East Antarctica.

Rowley, 1989] (Table 6 and Figure 5c). We show a RFF triple junction in the southwestern Weddell Sea basin with a faster relative rate of opening in the eastern versus western Weddell Sea basin to account for dextral shearing (Figure 5c). The presumed tectonic boundary between the AP and TI blocks may have been located at the base of the AP block in what is called the Ellsworth Fault System (EFS) [Kellogg and Rowley, 1989]. Movement along the EFS is thought to have been in the Late Cretaceous or Tertiary [Kellogg and Rowley, 1989] but based on the new TI paleomagnetic data, the EFS may have also been active in the Jurassic and Early Cretaceous. The TI block rotation may also have been caused by strike-slip associated with the Pacific convergent margin, like that observed in the Andes [Beck, 1989; Cunningham et al., 1991]. The EWM block may not have escaped deformation along this transpressional zone because the margins of the EWM block record an unusual second structural fabric [Storey and Dalziel, 1987] that we have related to shearing of the EWM against both the Antarctic Peninsula and East Antarctica [Grunow et al., 1987b] (Table 6).

#### ~130 Ma

The two-plate rifting system between East and West Gondwanaland (the AP block constitutes a third small plate prior to 130 Ma) became a three-plate system with the start of South Atlantic opening [Lawver et al., 1991]. We propose that this change to a three-plate system affected the movement history of the AP, TI, and EWM blocks such that the EWM block became connected to the AP-TI blocks creating a fourth minor plate that we refer to as Weddellia. A ~30° clockwise rotation of Weddellia with respect to East Antarctica is required between ~125 Ma and ~100 Ma based on our results from Belknap Nunatak (Tables 4 and 5 and Figure 5d) [Grunow et al., 1987b]. This would produce sinistral shear of ~750 km between the EWM block and East Antarctica which is significantly less than what we proposed earlier [Grunow et al., 1987b] because in this model the EWM block remained attached to East Antarctica between ~150 Ma and 130 Ma. Inferred Cenozoic extension within the EWM block [Garrett et al., 1987] may explain the overlap of the EWM block with the AP and TI



AUSTRALIA

45° Fig. 5b. Reconstruction for 175 Ma; caption same as Figure 5a. The TI, AP, and EWM blocks have been shown using the individual AP, TI, and EWM poles. The EWM block has rotated ~90° between 230 Ma and 175 Ma. The FI block is shown after a ~155° rotation in both a present-day position with respect to South America (FI 1) and in a position adjacent to Africa (FI 2). The location of the TI ~175 Ma? paleopole (ii) is also shown. Speculatively shown are possible rift basins: the Byrd Subglacial

Basin (BSB); the Explora Wedge (EW) and rifting along the Transantarctic Mountains and extension within southern South

blocks. A RFF triple junction could explain this shear motion (Figure 5d) while the RRR triple junction connects the opening in the Weddell Sea to that in the South Atlantic and southwest Indian oceans [Lawver et al., 1991]. The relative rate of opening in the Weddell Sea would have to have been faster than in the SE Indian Ocean to account for sinistral shearing between the EWM and East Antarctica. The NE trending lineaments in the GEOSAT data [Bell, 1989; Bell et al., 1990] found adjacent to the Antarctic Peninsula and across most of the Weddell Sea reflect northeast directed extension that provided the mechanism for the clockwise rotation of Weddellia.

America and on the eastern (backarc) side of the AP block.

175 Ma

Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous sedimentary rocks in the northern AP block experienced Early Cretaceous strike-slip deformation [Whitham and Storey, 1989]. Initiation of spreading in the South Atlantic ocean basin may have resulted in a cessation of spreading in the Rocas Verdes basin and initiation of a strike-slip setting in southernmost South America and the northernmost Antarctic Peninsula (Figure 5d). There could also have been continued dextral strike-slip motion between the EWM block and the base of the AP block between ~125 Ma and ~100 Ma given that deformation is thought to have continued in the southeastern AP block until at least the Albian [Meneilly et al., 1987]. The Weddellia Early Cretaceous clockwise rotation may have been transferred to the Pacific convergent margin along a strike-slip zone in the Pine Island Bay area (Figure 5d). If MBL was near the Transantarctic Mountains during this time period, then sinistral motion is required between MBL and Weddellia as Weddellia rotated into its present day position with respect to East Antarctica.

A model proposed by Kristofferson and Haugland [1986] suggested that Weddellia was locked to East Antarctica by the Middle to Late Jurassic on the basis of interpreting the Andenes and Explora Escarpments as the same rift structure (Figure 1). Lawver et al. [1991] consider the Andenes Escarpment to be related to opening of the central Weddell Sea basin that began with the opening of the South Atlantic basin ~130 Ma; its alignment with the Explora Escarpment is coincidental. They also suggest that Weddellia was locked to East Antarctica when the opening in the South Atlantic ocean basin began, but clear identification of magnetic anomalies that definitively tie East Antarctica to Weddellia have not been documented. Controversy still occurs over the identification of magnetic anomalies in the Weddell Sea; the M29 (~160 Ma) anomaly identified by LaBrecque and Barker [1981] is thought to be M10 (~130 Ma) by Martin and Hartnady [1986], coincident with opening in the South Atlantic ocean basin.

# ~110-90 Ma

Weddellia appears to have been in or near its present-day position with respect to East Antarctica by ~110 Ma (Figure



Fig. 5c. Reconstruction for 150 Ma; caption same as Figure 5a. Between  $\geq 150$  Ma and  $\sim 130$  Ma, the TI block underwent counterclockwise rotation with respect to East Antarctica. This rotation may have been caused by collision and shearing of the EWM block with the AP and TI blocks in a dextral transpressional zone as the EWM block moved southward during opening of the Mozambique (MZB) and Somali (SB) basins. We relate the Palmer Land (PL) deformational event to the shearing of the EWM block against the AP block and closure of the backarc basin in this region,

5e). The paleomagnetic poles from Lepley Nunatak-Jones Mountains and McNamara Island-Dustin Island are very similar to the ~95 Ma and ~110 Ma poles from the Antarctic Peninsula [Watts et al., 1984; Kellogg and Rowley, 1989] and East Antarctica (Table 4 and Figure 4). The McNamara Island and Dustin Island diorite poles are virtually identical to that obtained from the base of the AP block by Kellogg and Rowley [1989]. Although oroclinal bending at the base of the AP block has been proposed to explain the difference in the ~110 Ma versus ~95 Ma AP poles [Kellogg, 1980; Kellogg and Rowley, 1989], we find the agreement of our TI poles with the AP poles to favor rapid apparent polar wander [Watts et al., 1984].

The marine magnetic anomaly data show that by C34 time (84 Ma), East Antarctica and Weddellia were locked together [LaBrecque and Barker, 1981] (Table 6). The paleomagnetic data suggest that this locking of the plates occurred earlier (~110 Ma) within the Cretaceous Normal Polarity interval between M0 and C34 (118-84 Ma). At ~110-115 Ma, a major change took place in the South Atlantic and Mozambique basin opening histories [Rabinowitz and LaBrecque, 1979; Besse and Courtillot, 1988]. The rotation pole of South Atlantic opening changed at ~115 Ma [Rabinowitz and LaBrecque, 1979] (using the DNAG time scale) and at ~110 Ma rifting in the Mozambique basin transfered to the eastern Indian Ocean [Besse and Courtillot, 1988]. This reorganization event may have also altered the Weddell Sea opening history such that

Weddellia and East Antarctica became locked together. The geologic histories of southern South America and the Antarctic Peninsula also diverged in the mid-Cretaceous when the Rocas Verdes basin began to close [Dalziel, 1981] while the Weddell Sea basin continued to open (Table 6).

The mid-Cretaceous (90-110 Ma) paleomagnetic pole from MBL, assuming that the rocks have not been regionally tilted [Grindley and Oliver, 1983], does not fall on the common apparent polar wander path for either Weddellia or East Antarctica suggestive of some relative motion between not only MBL and East Antarctica [Grindley and Oliver, 1983] but also MBL and Weddellia (Figure 5e). We have shown the MBL paleomagnetic pole on the 90 Ma reconstruction although the ages of the MBL rocks range up to 110 Ma and comparison with an older reference pole may be necessary upon refinement of the MBL ages. The MBL data suggest possible displacement of 200-500 km and dextral rotation of 10°-45° with respect to East Antarctica [Grindley and Oliver, 1983]. MBL may have moved away from East Antarctica during the Late Cretaceous to Tertiary stretching of continental crust in the Ross Sea (Figure 5e) [Cooper et al., 1991; Wilson, 1991], coinciding with rifting between MBL and New Zealand [Mayes et al., 1990]. During this time, dextral transtensional motion would be expected between MBL and East Antarctica, while dextal motion with a compressional component of convergence would be expected between MBL and Weddellia. Antarctica broadly



Fig. 5d. Reconstruction for 125 Ma; caption same as Figure 5a. At ~130 Ma, the South Atlantic began to open and may have changed the opening history in the Weddell Sea basin such that the EWM block became attached to the AP and TI blocks. A ~30° clockwise rotation of these three blocks, called Weddellia, translated the EWM block  $\sim$ 750 km to its present-day position with respect to East Antarctica. A RFF triple junction is shown in the eastern Weddell Sea to provide the mechanism for Weddellia's rotation. We have tentatively connected the RFF triple junction to a RRR triple junction in the northeastern Weddell Sea. Opening of the Rocas Verdes basin may have ceased with initiation of rifting in the South Atlantic and shearing between the northern AP block and southern South America may have occurred.

attained its present configuration by the mid-Tertiary [Lawver et al., 1991] although there are some younger extensional basins found in the western Ross Sea [Cooper et al., 1991] (Figure 5e, inset).

The offset of TI from the MBL coastline in the Pine Island Bay area marks a major geologic boundary in West Antarctica. Rotation of Weddellia and MBL in the Early and Late Cretaceous, respectively, is likely to have caused shearing in the Pine Island Bay region. In addition, Pine Island Bay separates the Cretaceous convergent margin turned passive margin of the TI block from the solely passive margin evolution of the MBL block. The onshore trace of the Undintsev Fracture Zone (dextral offset) appears to enter the Pine Island Bay area [Sandwell and McAdoo, 1988] and may reflect the oceanic continuation of the major shear zone between Weddellia and MBL. Therefore the Pine Island Bay area marks a very fundamental and long-lived, complex tectonic boundary within West Antarctica.

#### **TECTONIC CONCLUSIONS**

The Mesozoic relative motion of the three West Antarctic crustal blocks of the Antarctic Peninsula, Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains, and Thurston Island-Eights Coast appears to be linked to three major events during the evolution of the southern ocean basins. Weddellia, consisting of the AP-EWM-TI blocks, was in its present-day position with respect to East Antarctica by 100-110 Ma. We associate the suturing of Weddellia to East Antarctica with the mid-Cretaceous change in plate motions in the South Atlantic [Rabinowitz and LaBrecque, 1979] and Indian Ocean basins [Besse and Courtillot, 1988]. Paleomagnetic data from Marie Byrd Land [Grindley and Oliver, 1983] appear to indicate relative motion of MBL with respect to both East Antarctica and Weddellia since the mid-Cretaceous. The relative motion of MBL with respect to Weddellia may have occurred along a dextral strikeslip zone(s) associated with extension in the Ross Sea and beneath the Ross Ice Shelf. The Early Cretaceous pole from TI indicates that ~30° clockwise rotation of Weddellia with respect to East Antarctica occurred between ~125 Ma and ~110 Ma. We relate this to a major opening phase in the Weddell Sea basin following initiation of South Atlantic basin opening at ~130 Ma. If the EWM block were rigidly attached to Weddellia at 125 Ma, sinistral shear motion of ~750 km would be expected between the EWM block and East Antarctica. However, some of this motion may have been taken up between the AP-TI blocks [Grunow et al., 1987b].

Results from the Jurassic rocks indicate that the TI block underwent major counterclockwise rotation with respect to East Antarctica between ~164 Ma and 125 Ma. We relate the TI



Fig. 5e. Reconstruction for 90 Ma; caption same as Figure 5a. The AP and TI poles are indistinguishable from the East Antarctic pole, therefore we leave Weddellia in its present-day position with respect to East Antarctica. Locking of Weddellia with East Antarctica occurred by around ~110 Ma and may have been a consequence of a change in the South Atlantic rotation pole. Overall dextral motion is predicted between MBL and Weddellia between the mid-Cretaceous and the the present. Although the MBL pole is shown on the 90 Ma reconstruction, the rocks actually range between 90 Ma and 110 Ma. It is likely that Pine Island Bay marks a major tectonic boundary in West Antarctica. Inset is 25 Ma. East and West Antarctica are broadly in their present-day configuration.

block rotation and the AP block Palmer Land deformational event to dextral shearing and collision of the EWM block against the southeastern AP and TI blocks during this time interval. The tectonic driving mechanism for the TI rotation was provided by Weddell Sea basin rifting connected with opening in the Mozambique and Rocas Verdes basins. Triassic to Early Jurassic rotation of the EWM block and perhaps the FI could be associated with accommodation of the continental crust along major strike-slip shear zones after the Gondwanide Orogeny and preceding the main breakup of Gondwanaland.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Division of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation through grants DPP 82-13798 and DPP 86-43441 to I.W.D.D. and by the British Antarctic Survey (BAS), National Environmental Research Council. We are grateful to the VXE6 Squadron of the U.S. Navy and the BAS air unit for their logistical support. Very special thanks to Chuck Kroger, our mountaineering expert, and to Chris Marone for their help in collecting the paleomagnetic samples. The radiometric constraints were essential for the interpretation of the data and we are grateful to Robert Pankhurst and Ian Millar at BAS and Mark Harrison and Matt Heizler at UCLA for their help. The tectonic reconstructions were made with the assistance of Larry Lawver and Lisa Gahagan using an Evans and Sutherland terminal at the Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin. Discussions with Robin Bell, Terry Wilson, and especially Larry Lawyer as well as comments by reviewer John Geissman and an anonymous reviewer significantly improved this paper. University of Texas Institute for Geophysics contribution 888.

#### REFERENCES

- Barron, E.J., G.G.A. Harrison, and W.W. Hay, A revised reconstruction of the southern continents, *Eos Trans.AGU*, 59, 436-450, 1978.
- Beck, M.E., Jr., Analysis of Late Jurassic-Recent paleomagnetic data from active plate margins of South America, *Jour. S. Am. Earth Sci.*, 1, 39-52, 1989.
- Bell, R.E., High resolution marine and airborne gravity surveys: Applications to rifted margins, Ph.D thesis, Columbia Univ., New York, 1989.
- Bell, R.E., J.M. Brozena, W.F. Haxby, and J.L. LaBrecque, Continental margins of the Western Weddell Sea: Insights from airborne gravity and Geosat-derived gravity, in *Contributions to Antarctic Research 1*, Antarct. Res. Ser., vol. 50, pp. 91-102, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1990.
- Besse, J., and V. Courtillot, Paleogeographic maps of the continents bordering the Indian Ocean since the Early Jurassic, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 11, 791-11, 808, 1988.
- Bradshaw, J.D., P.B. Andrews, and B.D. Field, Swanson Formation and related rocks of Marie Byrd Land and a comparison with the Robertson Bay Group of northern Victoria Land, in *Antarctic Earth Science*, edited by R.L. Oliver, P.R. James, and J.B. Jago, pp. 274-279, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, 1983.
- Cooper, A.K., F.J. Davey, and K. Hinz, Crustal extension and origin of sedimentary basins beneath the Ross Sea and Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica, in *Geological Evolution of Antarctica*, edited by M.R. A. Thomson, J.A. Crame, and J.W. Thomson, pp. 285-292, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- Craddock, C., T.W. Bastien, and R.H. Rutford, Geology of the Jones Mountains area, in Antarctic Geology, SCAR Proceedings, edited by R.J. Adie, pp. 171-187, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1964a.
- Craddock, C., P.W. Gast, G.N. Hanson, and H. Linder, Rubidium-Strontium

ages from Antarctica, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 75, 237-240, 1964b.

Craddock, C., C.M. White, and R.H. Rutford, The geology of the Eights Coast, Antarct. J. U.S., 4, 93-94, 1969.

- Cunningham, D., K. Klepeis, W. Gose and I.W.D. Dalziel, The Patagonian Orocline: New paleomagnetic data from the Andean magmatic arc in Tierra del Fuego, J. Geophys. Res., in press, 1991.
- Dalziel, I.W.D., Back-arc extension in the southern Andes: A review and critical reappraisal, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 300, 319-335, 1981.
- Dalziel, I.W.D., and D.H. Elliot, West Antarctica: Problem child of Gondwanaland, *Tectonics*, 1, 3-19, 1982.
- Dalziel, I.W.D., and A.M. Grunow, Late Gondwanide tectonic rotations within Gondwanaland, *Tectonics*, in press, 1991.
- Dalziel, I.W.D., and R.J. Pankhurst, Joint U.K.-U.S. West Antarctic tectonics project: An introduction, in *Gondwana Six: Structure, Tectonics and Geophysics, Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 107-108, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987.
- Dalziel, I.W.D., B.C. Storey, S.W. Garrett, A.M. Grunow, L.D.B. Herrod, and R.J. Pankhurst, Extensional tectonics and the fragmentation of Gondwanaland, in Continental Extensional Tectonics, edited by M.P. Coward, J.F. Dewey, and P.L. Hancock, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ. London*, 28, 433-441, 1987.
- Delisle, G., Results of Paleomagnetic Investigations in northern Victoria Land, Antarctica, in *Antarctic Earth Science*, edited by R.L. Oliver, P.R. James, and J.B. Jago, pp.146-155, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, 1983.
- De Wit, M.J., The evolution of the Scotia Arc as a key to the reconstruction of southwestern Gondwanaland, *Tectonophysics*, 37, 53-81, 1977.
- Drake, A.A., Jr., T.W. Stern, and H.H. Thomas, Radiometric ages of zircon and biotite in quartz diorite, Eights Coast, Antarctica, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 501-D, D50-D53, 1964.
- du Toit, A.L., Our Wandering Continents, 366 pp., Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1937.
- Elliot, D.H., Triassic-Early Cretaceous evolution of Antarctica, in *Geological Evolution of Antarctica*, edited by M.R.A. Thomson, J.A. Crame, and J.W. Thomson, pp. 541-548, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- Fisher, R.A., Dispersion on a sphere, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 217, 295-305, 1953.
- Garrett, S.W., L.D.B. Herrod, and D.R. Mantripp, Crustal structure of the area around Haag Nunataks, West Antarctica: New aeromagnetic and bedrock elevation data, in *Gondwana Six: Structure, Tectonics and Geophysics*, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 109-115, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987.
- Grindley, G.W., and P.J. Oliver, Paleomagnetism of Cretaceous volcanic rocks from Marie Byrd Land, in *Antarctic Earth Science*, edited by R.L. Oliver, P.R. James, and J.B. Jago, pp. 573 -578, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, 1983.
- Grunow, A.M., I.W.D. Dalziel, and D.V. Kent, Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains crustal block, western Antarctica: New paleomagnetic results and their tectonic significance, in *Gondwana Six: Structure, Tectonics and Geophysics, Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 161-171, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987a.
- Grunow, A.M., D.V. Kent, and I.W.D. Dalziel, Mesozoic evolution of West Antarctica and the Weddell Sea Basin: New paleomagnetic constraints, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 86, 16-26, 1987b.
- Grunow, A.M., D.V. Kent, and I.W.D. Dalziel, New Paleomagnetic data bearing on the Mesozoic evolution of West Antarctica and opening of the Weddell Sea, *Geol. Soc. Am. Abstr. Programs*, 22, 143-144, 1990.
- Harrison, S.M., and W.P. Loske, Early Paleozoic U-Pb isotopic age for an orthogneiss from north-western Palmer Land, Antarctic Peninsula, Br. Antarct. Surv. Bull., 81, 11-18, 1988.
- Irving, E., and G.A. Irving, Apparent polar wander paths Carboniferous through Cenozoic and the assembly of Gondwana, *Geophys. Surv.*, 5, 141-188, 1982.
- Jankowski, E.J., and D.J. Drewry, The structure of West Antarctica from geophysical studies, *Nature*, 291, 17-21, 1981.
- Kellogg, K., Paleomagnetic evidence for oroclinal bending of the southern Antarctic Peninsula, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 91, 414-420, 1980.
- Kellogg, K., and P.D. Rowley, Structural geology and tectonics of the Orville Coast region, southern Antarctic Peninsula, Antarctica, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 1498, 25 pp., 1989.
- Kirschvink, J.L., The least-square line and plane analysis of paleomagnetic data, Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 62, 699-718, 1980.
- Kristoffersen, Y., and K. Haugland, Geophysical evidence for the East Antarctic plate boundary in the Weddell Sea, Nature, 322, 538-541, 1986.
- LaBrecque, J.L., Southern Atlantic Ocean and adjacent Antarctic Continental Margin, in Ocean Margin Drilling Project, Reg. Data Synthesis Ser., Atlas

13, Marine Science International, Woods Hole, Mass., 1985.

- LaBrecque, J.L., and P. Barker, The age of the Weddell Basin, Nature, 290, 489-492, 1981.
- Lawver, L.A., and C.R. Scotese, A revised reconstruction of Gondwanaland, in Gondwana Six: Structure, Tectonics and Geophysics, Geophys. Monogr. Ser., vol. 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 17-23, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987.
- D.C., 1987. Lawver, L.A., J.Y. Royer, D.T. Sandwell, and C.R. Scotese, Evolution of the Antarctic continental margins, in *Geological Evolution of Antarctica*, edited by M.R.A. Thomson, J.A. Crame, and J.W. Thomson, pp. 533-540, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- Longshaw, S.K., and D.H. Griffiths, A paleomagnetic study of Jurassic rocks from the Antarctic Peninsula and its implications, J. Geol. Soc. London, 140, 945-954, 1983.
- Lopatin, B.G., and E.M. Orlenko, Outline of the geology of Marie Byrd Land and the Eights Coast, in *Antarctic Geology and Geophysics*, edited by R.J. Adie, pp. 843-847, Universitetesforlaget, Oslo, 1972.
- Lorenzo, J.M., and J.C. Mutter, Seismic stratigraphy and tectonic evolution of the Falkland/Malvinas plateau, *Revista Brasileira de Geociencias*, 18, 191-200, 1988.
- Løvlie, R., Mesozoic paleomagnetism in Vestfjella, Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 59, 529-537, 1979.
- Martin, A.K., and C.J.H. Hartnady, Plate tectonic development of the south west Indian Ocean: A revised reconstruction of East Antarctica and Africa, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 4767-4786, 1986.
- Mayes, C.L., L.A. Lawver, and D.T. Sandwell, Tectonic history and new isochron chart of the South Pacific, J.Geophys. Res., 95, 8543-8567, 1990.
- Meneilly, A.W., S.M. Harrison, B.A. Piercy, and B.C. Storey, Structural evolution of the magmatic arc in northern Palmer Land Antarctic Peninsula, in Gondwana Six: Structure, *Tectonics and Geophysics, Geophys. Monogr.* Ser, vol. 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 209-219, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987.
- Millar I., and R.J. Pankhurst, Rb/Sr geochronology in the region between the Antarctic Peninsula and the Transantarctic Mountains: Haag Nunataks and Mesozoic granitoids, in *Gondwana Six: Structure, Tectonics and Geophysics, Geophys. Monogr. Ser*, vol. 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 151-160, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987.
- Milne, A.M., and I.L. Millar, Mid-Paleozoic basement in eastern Graham Land and its relation to east Antarctica, Geological Evolution of Antarctica, edited by M.R.A. Thomson, J.A. Crame, and J.W. Thomson, pp. 335-340, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- Mukasa, S.B., I.W.D. Dalziel, and H.K. Brueckner, Zircon U-Pb constraints on the kinematic evolution of the northern Scotia Arc, *Geol. Soc. Am. Abstr. Programs*, 20, A12, 1988.
- Norton, I.O., and J.G. Sclater, A model for the evolution of the Indian Ocean and the breakup of Gondwanaland, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 6803-6830, 1979.
- Palmer, A.R., The decade of North American Geology geologic time scale, Geology, 11, 503-504, 1983.
- Pankhurst, R.J., Rb-Sr geochronology of Graham Land, Antarctica, J. Geol. Soc. London, 139, 701-712, 1982.
- Pankhurst, R.J., Rb-Sr constraints on the ages of basement rocks of the Antarctic Peninsula, in Antarctic Earth Science, edited by R.L. Oliver, P.R. James, and J.B. Jago, pp. 367-372, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, 1983.
- Pankhurst, R.J., The Paleozoic and Andean magmatic arcs of West Antarctica and southern South America, Spec. Pap. Geol. Soc. Am., 241, 1-7, 1990.
- Powell, C.M., B.D. Johnson, and J.J.Y. Veevers, A revised fit of East and West Gondwanaland, *Tectonophysics*, 63, 13-30, 1982.
- Rabinowitz, P.D., and J. LaBrecque, The Mesozoic South Atlantic Ocean and evolution of its continental margins, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 5973-6002, 1979.
- Rabinowitz, P.D., M.F. Coffin, and D. Dalvey, The separation of Madagascar and Africa, Science, 220, 67-69, 1983.
- Rapela, C.W., R.J. Pankhurst, and S.M. Harrison, Gondwana plutonism of northern Patagonia, in *International Geological Congress Abstracts*, 2, 675 pp., AGU, Washington, D.C., 1989.
- Rutford, R.H., C. Craddock, C.M. White, and R.L. Armstrong, Tertiary glaciation in the Jones Mountains, in *Antarctic Geology and Geophysics*, edited by R.J. Adie, pp. 239-243, Universitetesforlaget, Oslo, 1972.
- Sandwell, D.T., and D.C. McAdoo, Marine gravity of the southern ocean and Antarctic margin from Geosat, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 10, 389-10,396, 1988.
- Scharnberger, C.H., and L. Sharon, Paleomagnetism and plate tectonics of Antarctica, in Antarctic Geology and Geophysics, edited by R.J. Adie, pp. 843-847, Universitetesforlaget, Oslo, 1972.
- Schopf, J.M., Ellsworth Mountains: Position in West Antarctica due to seafloor spreading, *Science*, 164, 63-66, 1969.
- Segoufin, J., and P. Patriat, Existence d'anomalies mesozoiques dans le bassin de Somalie, implications pour les relations Afrique-Antartique-Madagascar, C.R. Acad. Sci., Ser. B 291, 85-88, 1980.

- Simpson, E.S.W., J.G. Sclater, B. Parsons, I. Norton, and L. Meinke, Mesozoic magnetic lineations in the Mozambique Basin, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 43, 260-264, 1979.
- Smellie, J.L., A complete arc-trench system recognized in Gondwana sequences of the Antarctic Peninsula region, Geol. Mag. 118, 139-159, 1981.
- Smith, A.G., and A. Hallam, The fit of southern continents, *Nature*, 225, 139-149, 1970.
- Storey, B.C., and I.W.D. Dalziel, Outline of the structural and tectonic history of the Ellsworth Mountains-Thiel Mountains Ridge, West Antarctica, in Gondwana Six: Structure, Tectonics and Geophysics, Geophys. Monogr. Ser., vol. 40, edited by G.D. McKenzie, pp. 117-128, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1987.
- Storey, B.C., R.J. Pankhurst, I. Miller, I.W.D. Dalziel, and A.M. Grunow, The geology of Thurston Island, Antarctica, *Geological Evolution of Antarctica*, edited by M.R.A. Thomson, J.A. Crame, and J.W. Thomson, pp. 339-404, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- Suarez, M., Plate tectonic model for southern Antarctic Peninsula and its relation the southern Andes, *Geology*, 4, 211-214, 1976.
- Taylor, G.K., and J. Shaw, The Falkland Islands: New palaeomagnetic data and their origin as a displace terrane from southern Africa, in Deep Structure and Past Kinematics of Accreted Terranes, Geophys. Monogr. Ser., vol. 50, edited by J.W. Hillhouse, pp. 59-72, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1989.
- Thomson, M.R.A., Mesozoic paleogeography of West Antarctica, in Antarctic Geoscience, edited by C. Craddock, pp. 331-337, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1982.
- Thomson, M.R.A., and R.J. Pankhurst, Age of post-Gondwanian calcalkaline volcanism in the Antarctic Peninsula Region, in Antarctic Earth Science, edited by R.L. Oliver, P.R. James, and J.B. Jago, pp. 328-333, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, 1983.
- Wade, F.A., and J.R. Wilbanks, Geology of Marie Byrd and Ellsworth Lands, in Antarctic Geology and Geophysics, edited by R.J. Adie, pp. 207-214, Universitetesforlaget, Oslo, 1972.

- Watts, A.B., J.K. Weissel, R.A. Duncan, and R.L. Larson, Origin of the Louisville Ridge and its relationship to the Eltanin Fracture Zone System, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 3051-3077, 1988.
- Watts, D.R., Potassium-argon ages and paleomagnetic results from King George Island, South Shetland Islands, in Antarctic Geoscience, edited by C. Craddock, pp. 255-261, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1982.
- Watts, D.R., and A.M. Bramall, Paleomagnetic evidence for a displaced terrain in western Antarctica, *Nature*, 293, 638-641, 1981.
- Watts, D.R., G.C. Watts, and A.M. Bramall, Cretaceous and Early Tertiary paleomagnetic results from the Antarctic Peninsula, *Tectonics*, 3, 333-346, 1984.
- Webers, G.F., and K.B. Sporli, Palaeontological and stratigraphic investigations in the Ellsworth Mountains, West Antarctica, in Antarctic Earth Science, edited by R.L. Oliver, P.R. James, and J.B. Jago, pp. 261-264, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, 1983.
- Whitham, A.G., and B.C. Storey, Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous strike-slip deformation in the Nordenskjöld Formation of Graham Land, Antarct. Sci. 1, 269-278, 1989.
- Wilson, T.J., Mesozoic and Cenozoic structural patterns in the Transantarctic Mountains, Antarct. J. U.S., in press, 1991.

I.W.D. Dalziel, Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin, 8701 Mopac Blvd., Austin, TX 78759.

- A.M. Grunow, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PR, England.
- D.V. Kent, Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory, Palisades, NY 10964.

(Received November 7, 1990; revised May 1, 1991; accepted June 5, 1991.)