## I

# The AIDS Epidemic and Economic Policy Analysis

by
David E. Bloom, Columbia University
Ajay S. Mahal, Barnard College

April 1995

Discussion Paper Series No. 728

d= 9485-70

# The AIDS Epidemic and Economic Policy Analysis

David E. Bloom
Department of Economics
Columbia University

Ajay S. Mahal
Department of Economics
Barnard College

March 1995

An earlier version of this paper was presented at the Institute of Economic Growth in New Delhi in December 1994. The authors thank the seminar participants, as well as Sherry Glied, Brendan O'Flaherty, and Jane Sisk, for helpful comments and discussions.

## The AIDS Epidemic and Economic Policy Analysis

Economists have a vital role to play in helping public health officials and policymakers understand the AIDS epidemic and design efficient policies to limit its impact. AIDS is first and foremost a public health problem, but it is a problem with deep economic roots and potentially devastating economic consequences. The main purpose of this article is to document this assertion.

#### The Costs of AIDS

To understand the economic consequences of AIDS, a useful starting point is to examine the costs associated with this disease. These costs fall into four main categories. First are the personal medical care costs' associated with AIDS, which capture the costs of detecting, treating, and caring for people with AIDS. Second are the nonpersonal costs, such as the costs of blood screening; the costs of information, education, and communication; and the costs of basic research on AIDS. Third is the cost of lost output and lost income because of AIDS morbidity and mortality. Fourth are the psychological costs associated with the epidemic, such as the pain and suffering caused by AIDS and the cost imposed upon people who must behave differently to avoid contracting or transmitting HIV.

The first two categories of AIDS costs jointly make up the direct costs of the epidemic, so named because they involve a direct diversion of scarce resources from other uses. The third category is referred to as the indirect cost of the epidemic. It is not an out-of-pocket cost like medical expenditures on AIDS patients. Rather, it is what economists term an opportunity cost, because it reflects the value of lost opportunities to work and produce the goods and services whose consumption yields

satisfaction. Indirect costs are just as important a component of the total cost of the epidemic as are direct medical costs. The epidemic's psychological costs are rarely discussed or quantified.

The first generation of economic research on the AIDS epidemic has involved measuring the direct costs of HIV and AIDS and the income foregone because of AIDS morbidity and mortality in many countries throughout the world. Every study of this issue of which we are aware concludes that AIDS is an unusually costly illness on a per case basis, for two main reasons. First, many of the opportunistic infections with which AIDS is definitionally associated, such as tuberculosis, pneumonia, and cryptococcal meningitis, are costly to treat relative to the cost of treating other common illnesses; and second, AIDS disproportionately afflicts individuals in their prime productive years, thereby causing a considerable loss of income to them and their families.

Economists have estimated that the lifetime personal medical care costs associated with AIDS are more than double India's per capita income, assuming that people with AIDS receive medical care that conforms fully to local protocols for the treatment of AIDS and the opportunistic infections with which AIDS is associated (Bloom and Glied 1993). The income lost because of AIDS morbidity and mortality is estimated to be far greater: more than ten times India's income per capita, in discounted terms (Bloom and Glied 1993). This estimate of the loss of private income should not be confused with social output losses, especially in a labor surplus setting, although the two concepts can be linked. Overall, these estimates of medical care costs and lost income indicate that each case of AIDS is quite costly in India, just as it is elsewhere in the world.

#### Economic Determinants of HIV Transmission

The contribution of economics to understanding the AIDS epidemic is not limited to quantifying its impact in monetary terms. Economics also provides a powerful way of examining the pattern of the epidemic's spread. The central idea is that HIV is not spread randomly, as tends to be the case with the bacteria that cause tuberculosis or the virus that causes the common cold. Rather, HIV is most often transmitted as a consequence of purposeful behavior that often has a strong economic foundation. For example, some of the sex that contributes to the spread of HIV is sold on the street and through brothels (Center for International Research 1993; Nataraj 1991). As another example, a close connection seems to exist between labor migration and HIV transmission (see Bloom and Mahal 1995a; Gharpure, Chanderkar, and Sengupta 1992; Solon and Barrozo 1993; United Nations Development Programme 1995). This connection seems to arise because the behavior of migrants is not easily subject to monitoring by their families and communities, because migrants often have considerable amounts of cash to spend, and because they may be lonely because of being separated from their families. In addition, because migrants are often poorly educated and not closely connected to stable communities, making them aware of the behaviors that put them at high risk for contracting or transmitting the virus that causes AIDS can be challenging. All these factors increase the likelihood of migrants engaging in commercial sex, an activity that puts them at high risk for contracting HIV, and ultimately places their spouses and unborn children at risk.

India has a huge migrant population, both internal and international.

For example, rural to urban migration is significant, especially in

Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and West Bengal. So too is the floating

population of migrant workers (United Nations Development Programme 1993). India is also experiencing substantial cross-border population movements with Bangladesh, Nepal, and to some extent Myanmar, as well as strong migration flows to and from the Middle East and a growing influx of foreign tourists, business people, and others. Add to this a continuing process of economic reform, which is likely to promote the movement of people into, out of, and within India, and the situation is one of continuing and increasing vulnerability to the spread of HIV, especially among the general population.

We conclude from this reasoning and these examples that economists have a key role to play in understanding the nature of the HIV and AIDS epidemic and in assessing its impact. Economics is a crucial ingredient in any explanation of why HIV spreads so rapidly among lorry drivers, fishermen, sailors, construction workers, overseas contract workers, the military, commercial sex workers, injecting drug users, and commercial blood donors. That so many countries throughout the world share this pattern of spread is no coincidence.

# Does Government Have a Role to Play?

Government intervention in the area of HIV/AIDS encompasses a myriad of activities aimed both at controlling the spread of HIV and coping with the immediate shock of the epidemic and its wide-ranging echo effects.

Interventions may be justified on the grounds that they promote efficiency (that is, increase the size of the economic pie) or promote equity (achieve a more desirable distribution of the pie).

Intervention can promote efficiency if one individual's behavior imposes costs upon others for which no compensation is paid, a market

failure that economists refer to as a negative externality. As an example, an externality may arise if a person with AIDS and tuberculosis coughs or sneezes in public, and thereby subjects other nearby individuals to the risk of tuberculosis infection. Costs that are not easily deterred are being imposed without offsetting compensation. As another example, consider women who are powerless to negotiate the use of condoms with their sex partners, a situation that arises in many commercial sex establishments as well as in many marital relationships. To the extent these women are exposed, along with their unborn children, to the risk of HIV infection, costs that are not easily deterred are again imposed without compensation, a classic market failure for which government intervention is a natural remedy.

Intervention may also promote efficiency if private individuals are poorly informed about the risks and costs of HIV transmission. Indeed, under certain conditions the government can improve well-being either by providing information about HIV and AIDS or by adopting tax, subsidy, or regulatory policies that induce people to act as if they had this information.

An equity justification for intervention could arise if the costs of the epidemic fall disproportionately upon the poor (Bloom and Glied 1993; Stiglitz 1988). If the government deems the ensuing distribution of economic well-being, under which the poor are further immiserized, to be socially undesirable, government intervention aimed either at preventing HIV transmission or redistributing resources is well justified.

## The Contribution of Economic Reasoning to Policymaking

Even though making a case for some government intervention in the

area of HIV and AIDS in Asia's developing countries is not difficult, the complexity of the mechanisms that lead to HIV infection and that determine their social and economic impacts make effective policymaking an especially challenging task. We offer three examples to illustrate this point.

The first example relates to HIV transmission via the sharing of needles among injecting drug users. To reduce the rate of HIV transmission, some policymakers have suggested reducing the number of drug users by cracking down on the supply of opium. From an economist's perspective, however, destroying opium fields might actually promote HIV transmission, a result exactly opposite to the one desired. This could happen if a reduced supply of opium leads to an increase in its price, which in turn leads habitual opium smokers to cut back on their opium consumption by switching to injection, a far more efficacious mode of opium use (Strang and others 1992).

Throughout North America and Western Europe, policymakers are increasingly responding to the problem of HIV transmission through the sharing of nonsterile injection equipment by establishing needle exchange programs (Lurie and Reingold 1993). Under these programs, injecting drug users can receive new injection equipment at no charge in exchange for used injection equipment. Needle exchange programs are controversial because they try to improve the conditions under which illegal drug use takes place, which critics claim promotes greater drug use. Nonetheless, preliminary evidence for the United States suggests that the rate of new HIV infection among injecting drug users who participate in such programs is lower than the corresponding rate among nonparticipants (Des Jarlais

and others 1994).

As a second example, consider a policy of isolating or imprisoning seropositive commercial sex workers, as India did for a brief period several years ago in a highly publicized case in which 854 commercial sex workers, 457 of whom were HIV-positive, were moved from Bombay to Madras and confined (Nataraj 1991). On the surface, this policy might seem to be a sensible way to curb HIV transmission, because it prevents seropositive sex workers from selling sex. However, it fails to address the demand side of the market for sex, that is, the fact that certain individuals, be they foreign businessmen or native sailors, fishermen, or migrant workers, will continue to demand and be willing to pay for sex. Under the economic conditions that currently prevail throughout most of the world, that demand will be satisfied by a new group of women who will supply sex commercially, not because they are social deviants, but because they lack better economic opportunities. Although these women may be seronegative when they enter the sex industry, without information about HIV and without the power to negotiate the use of condoms, they too will become infected and then infect others.

A third example concerns HIV testing. Some policymakers believe that mandatory testing is a useful approach to HIV prevention (Presidential Commission on the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Epidemic 1988). Their rationale is that people will respond altruistically to the knowledge they are HIV-infected by curbing behaviors that put others at high risk of infection, especially if they receive appropriate counseling. Altruism is, however, not the rule in economic behavior. Selfishness is much more common, and in this context might actually cause HIV testing to promote further transmission as people who learn they are seropositive recognize.

that they no longer face a key disincentive to high risk behavior: the risk of HIV infection. Although research findings vary, a number of reputable studies have found evidence that positive HIV test results trigger increases in high risk behavior, thereby supporting the view that testing may promote HIV transmission (see, for example, the references cited in Bloom and Glied 1992 and in Philipson and Posner 1993).

These three examples are not meant to suggest that economic reasoning provides a complete explanation of individual decisions about drug consumption, the supply of and demand for sex, or other high risk behaviors. They do, however, illustrate one of the hallmarks of economists' approach to policymaking: the need to understand the mechanism that is generating undesirable outcomes as a prelude to effective policy design and implementation.

In the first example, the economics of drug injection suggests that a policy of destroying opium fields might increase drug injection more than it decreases drug use, the net effect being a higher rate of HIV transmission. This reasoning does not, of course, imply that the government should promote opium production as a way to drive prices down and encourage smoking in place of injection. While such a policy might, in principle, curtail the spread of HIV, it would probably do so quite slowly, because heavy drug injectors rarely revert to smoking. More important, it might simultaneously increase the number of drug users, which is clearly not a desirable outcome. However, this bit of economic reasoning does help explain the calls for decriminalizing the sale, possession, and use of drugs that one increasingly hears in North America and Western Europe. It also highlights the fact that many practical

policies for HIV prevention may conflict with other social goals and policies. For example, needle exchange programs may increase the number of drug users, early sex education and free contraceptives may encourage promiscuity, condom distribution in the prison system may condone illicit homosexual activity, and policies mandating the use of condoms in brothels may undermine the illegality of prostitution.

In the second example, a policy of imprisoning seropositive commercial sex workers is little more than a temporary solution, what might best be termed a band-aid solution, to the problem of HIV transmission. It ignores both the demand side of the market for sex and the readily available supply of additional sex workers.

In the third example, as already noted, mandatory testing may

actually promote HIV transmission. This observation highlights the fact

that the HIV epidemic can, in principle, be controlled without identifying

a single HIV-infected person. As long as the population behaves

carefully, a goal that is presumably promoted by the provision of

information, those who are infected will not transmit the HIV and those

who are uninfected will not contract it. Testing the blood supply and

doing some testing for purposes of epidemiological surveillance may be

desirable, but this testing can be done anonymously. Limiting the amount

of testing that is done also affords the greatest protection to individual

privacy, thereby limiting the ostracism and stigmatization that so often,

so needlessly, and so counterproductively accompany the spread of HIV and `AIDS.

## The Contribution of Economic Tools to Policymaking

Good economic reasoning encourages policymaking directed at

underlying problems, not at superficial symptoms. Economics also offers a set of tools that are often extremely useful for rational policymaking. These tools, known as cost-benefit analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis, are methods for evaluating the desirability of alternative policy options (see Drummond, Stoddart, and Torrance 1987). Both methods require us to estimate the costs of implementing alternative policies. Cost-benefit analysis also estimates the expected benefits corresponding to each alternative, and the optimal policy is the one that has the highest net benefit, that is, benefits less costs.

Cost-effectiveness analysis is closely related to cost-benefit analysis, except that it stops short of estimating the monetary benefits of particular policies. Instead, it calculates and compares the cost of alternative methods of achieving a particular qualitative outcome.

As a brief illustration, suppose we wish to compare the following eight programs for HIV prevention and control: (1) mass education through national television and radio; (2) mass education through the distribution of a one-page AIDS information sheet to every household in India; (3) a special HIV and AIDS information program for Indian workers and tourists going abroad; (4) a special HIV and AIDS information program for lorry drivers; (5) a program to expand the provision of family planning services to unmarried individuals; (6) a program to treat sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), which is important because STDs greatly increase the risk of HIV transmission; (7) a program for screening India's blood supply for HIV; and (8) a community-based program aimed at reducing the demand for drugs and promoting harm reduction among injecting drug users in Manipur and other areas with relatively heavy drug use.

Using cost-benefit analysis we would estimate the number of HIV infections that each program would avert and place a monetary value on those averted infections using estimates of the direct and indirect costs of the epidemic. We would then compare the estimated monetary value of averted infections and of any other expected consequences of each program, such as control of STDs, fertility reduction, reduced drug use, lower crime rates, and so on, with the cost of each program. Good programs are those whose benefits exceed their costs, much like good investments are those that yield positive net returns.

Using cost-effectiveness analysis, we would not place a monetary value on the estimated number of averted HIV infections. We would simply calculate the cost per averted infection under each program as a way to identify which of them offers the least expensive way to control the spread of HIV. Unlike cost-benefit analysis, which takes multiple program impacts into account and indicates whether each program is a good investment or not, cost-effectiveness analysis simply ranks alternative programs in terms of their economic efficiency in achieving a particular outcome. As cost-effectiveness analysis does not require the monetization of program benefits, it also requires fewer assumptions and calculations than cost-benefit analysis.

Cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis provide a systematic, sensible, and practical way of selecting among alternative policy options. Combinations of options may also be analyzed, which is important if programs complement each other. The analyses may be performed from the point of view of an individual, an organization, or society as a whole, and often yield different results depending upon whose point of view is adopted. Although these analyses are sometimes difficult to carry out

because of data limitations and a variety of difficulties inherent in calculating and monetizing program impacts, this is not always the case, as some recent cost-benefit analyses for China and Sri Lanka on the costs and benefits of screening the blood supply for HIV demonstrate (BIIC 1994; UNDP 1995).

Considerable work remains to be done in applying the tools of costbenefit and cost-effectiveness analysis to the evaluation of policies
designed to promote HIV prevention and control. Especially interesting
would be analyses of HIV and STD prevention programs that involve
expanding the distribution of condoms in India and of programs for both
mass and targeted education about HIV and AIDS, especially programs
designed to reach migrants. In the absence of a cure for HIV and AIDS,
information about safe behavior and the necessary motivation to practice
it are the only options even remotely ressembling a vaccine against HIV
transmission. HIV can spread fast, but so too can information. Indeed,
information delivered through community-based programs and channels of
influence appear to be the most potent ally available in the effort to
control further spread of HIV (Coates 1994).

#### The Impact of AIDS on Economic Growth and Development

Leaders in the global campaign against AIDS have repeatedly expressed the opinion that the AIDS epidemic is likely to have a substantial -perhaps even a catastrophic -- impact on the macroeconomies of developing countries (Bloom and Lyons 1993; Merson 1992; Panos Institute 1992; Philipson and Posner 1993; United Nations Development Programme 1992). A variety of economic studies supports these assertions. These studies indicate that in the hardest hit countries of Africa, the HIV and AIDS

epidemic may diminish the annual growth rates of national income by as much as two-thirds of a percentage point, and diminish the annual growth rate of national income per person by as much as one-third of a percentage point through the year 2010 (see Cuddington 1992, 1993; Cuddington and Hancock 1992; Over 1992). In relation to the growth rates of many of the world's economies, two-thirds of a percentage point is not as little as it may initially seem. Also, it adds up over time, which is important given that a biochemical vaccine against HIV infection and a cure for AIDS are nowhere in sight.

The economic simulation models and cost of illness calculations that support the prediction that AIDS will have dire macroeconomic consequences require many assumptions that are difficult to verify, but adopting a more direct approach to examining the impact of the epidemic on the growth rates of income per person is now feasible. We are in the second decade of the world AIDS epidemic, which has progressed relatively far in several countries, especially in Africa. For example, nearly 20 percent of the adult populations of Uganda and Zambia are infected with HIV. In Zambia, the cumulative number of cases of full-blown AIDS has already reached 4 percent of the adult population. With this range of HIV experience, from low prevalence countries like China and Sri Lanka, to medium prevalence countries like India, Myanmar, and Thailand, to high prevalence countries like Uganda and Zambia, we may examine whether countries that have experienced more severe AIDS epidemics have also experienced lower rates of economic growth, while controlling for other influences on economic growth and addressing the possible reciprocal influence of economic growth' on the spread of the HIV.

Technical issues and other details aside, preliminary calculations

based on data for nearly sixty countries reveal that the AIDS epidemic has had only a small and statistically insignificant negative effect on income per person. These results resemble ones derived through similar analyses of the economic impacts of the world influenza epidemic of 1918-19 and the Black Death of the mid-1300s, both of which killed massive numbers of people in extremely short periods of time (Bloom and Mahal 1995b).

With these findings in hand, and given that India already has firstgeneration estimates of the economywide costs of the epidemic, the amount of attention devoted to the macroeconomic impacts of the AIDS epidemic can be reduced. This will give policymakers and researchers an opportunity to focus greater attention on the impact of the epidemic on particular industrial and occupational sectors; on particular geographic regions; and on particular demographic groups, especially people living with AIDS and their families, women, the poor, and other marginalized groups, such as homosexuals and drug users. These are the areas that health policymakers, economists, international organizations, nongovernmental organizations, and others concerned with the social and economic implications of the epidemic need to address most urgently. They involve complex issues that include matters of health, sociology, psychology, law, politics, and economics. As research problems, they call for multidisciplinary approaches, mirroring their nature as multisectoral problems from the standpoint of policy design and implementation.

#### References

BIIC (Beijing Institute of Information and Control). 1994. "Economic Evaluation of Policies Designed to Control the Spread of the HIV in China." Paper presented at the Asian Development Bank, Manila, Philippines, August 1994.

Bloom, David and Sherry Glied. 1992. "Projecting the Number of New AIDS Cases in the United States." <u>International Journal of Forecasting</u> 8(3):339-65.

. 1993. "Who is Bearing the Cost of the AIDS Epidemic in Asia?" In David Bloom and Joyce Lyons, eds. <u>Economic Implications of AIDS in Asia</u>. New York: United Nations Development Programme.

Bloom, David and Joyce Lyons, eds. 1993. <u>Economic Implications of AIDS in Asia</u>. New York: United Nations Development Programme.

Bloom, David and Ajay Mahal. 1995a. "Economic Implications of AIDS in Asia." Draft.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1995b. "Does the AIDS Epidemic Really Threaten Economic Growth?" Draft.

Center for International Research. 1993. <u>HIV/AIDS Surveillance Data Base</u>. Washington, D.C.: United States Bureau of the Census.

Coates, Thomas. 1994. "Communities and AIDS Prevention: What Works." AIDScaptions May:2-4.

Cuddington, John. 1992. "The Macroeconomic Impact of AIDS in a Dualistic, Labor-Surplus Economy with an Application to Tanzania." Working Paper #92-12. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University, Department of Economics.

. 1993. "Modeling the Macroeconomic Effects of AIDS, with an Application to Tanzania." The World Bank Economic Review 7(2):173-89.

Cuddington, John and John Hancock. forthcoming. "Assessing the Impact of AIDS on the Growth Path of the Malawian Economy." <u>Journal of Development Economics</u>.

Des Jarlais, Don, Samuel Friedman, J. Sotheran, J. Wenston, M. Marmor, S. Yancovitz, B. Frank, S. Beatrice, and D. Mildvan. 1994. "Continuity and Change Within an HIV Epidemic: Injecting Drug Users in New York City, 1984 through 1992." <u>Journal of the American Medical Association</u> 271(2):121-27.

Drummond, Michael, Greg Stoddart, and George Torrance. 1987. Methods for the Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes. New York: Oxford University Press.

Gharpure, Hemant, N. Chanderkar, and S. Sengupta. 1992.
"Serosurveillance of HIV Infection in Migrant Workers: Socioeconomic
Relationship." Abstract No. B314 at the Second International Conference
on AIDS in Asia and the Pacific, New Delhi, November 8-12.

Lurie, Peter and Arthur Reingold. 1993. <u>The Public Health Impact of Needle Exchange Programs in the United States and Abroad</u>. Berkeley, California: University of California.

Merson, Michael. 1992. "The AIDS Epidemic in Asia: The Reality, the Opportunity, and the Challenge." Keynote address, delivered at the Second International Congress on AIDS in Asia and the Pacific, New Delhi, November 8-12.

Nataraj, Shymala. 1991. "Madras, India: Locking Up Prostitutes." In <u>The Third Epidemic: Repercussions of the Fear of AIDS</u>. London: The Panos Institute.

Over, Mead. 1992. "The Macroeconomic Impact of AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa." Technical Working Paper No.3, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, Technical Department, Population, Health, and Nutrition Division.

Panos Institute. 1992. The Hidden Cost of AIDS: The Challenge of HIV to Development. London: Panos Publications.

Philipson, Tomas and Richard Posner. 1993. <u>Private Choices and Public Health: The AIDS Epidemic in an Economic Perspective</u>. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Presidential Commission on the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Epidemic. 1988. Report. Washinton, D.C..

Solon, Orville and Angelica Barrozo. 1993. "Overseas Contract Workers and the Economic Consequences of the HIV/AIDS in the Philippines." In David Bloom and Joyce Lyons, eds., <a href="Economic Implications of AIDS">Economic Implications of AIDS</a> in <a href="Asia">Asia</a>. New York: United Nations Development Programme.

Stiglitz, Joseph. 1988. <u>Economics of the Public Sector</u>. 2nd Edition. New York: W.W. Norton.

Strang, John, Don Des Jarlais, Paul Griffiths, and Michael Gossop. 1992. The Study of Transitions in the Route of Drug Use: The Route from One Route to Another." British Journal of Addiction 87:473-83.

United Nations Development Programme. 1992. "The HIV Epidemic as a Development Issue." New York: United Nations.

		·		•	1993.	"HIV/A	[DS Situat:	ion in	
India."	Country	report,	presented	at t	he UN	IDP/Asian	Developmen	nt Bank	
Workshop	on the	Economic	Implication	ons c	f the	HIV/AIDS	Epidemic	in Selecte	d
DMCs, Mar	nila, Ph	ilippines	s, Septembe	er 5-	8.				

. 1995. "Economic Implications of AIDS in Sri Lanka." Colombo, Sri Lanka.

1994-1995 Discussion Paper Series
Department of Economics
Columbia University
420 W. 118 St., Room 1022
New York, N.Y., 10027

The following papers are published in the 1994-95 Columbia University Discussion Paper series which runs from early November to October 31 (Academic Year). Domestic orders for discussion papers are available for purchase at \$8.00 (US) each and \$140.00 (US) for the series. Foreign orders cost \$10.00 (US) for individual paper and \$185.00 for the series. To order discussion papers, please send your check or money order payable to Department of Economics, Columbia University to the above address. Be sure to include the series number for the paper when you place an order.

- 708. Trade and Wages: Choosing among Alternative Explanations Jagdish Bhagwati
- 709. Dynamics of Canadian Welfare Participation Garrey F. Barret, Michael I. Cragg
- 710. Much Ado About Nothing? Capital Market Reaction to Changes in Antitrust Precedent concerning Exclusive Territories.

  Sherry A. Glied, Randall S. Kroszner
- 711. The Cost of Diabetes Matthew Kahn
- 712. Evidence on Unobserved Polluter Abatement Effort Matthew E. Kahn
- 713. The Premium for Skills: Evidence from Mexico Michael Cragg
- 714. Measuring the Incentive to be Homeless Michael Cragg Mario Epelaum
- 715. The WTO: What Next? Jagdish Bhagwati
- 716. Do Converters Facilitate the Transition to a New Compatible Technology?
  A Dynamic Analysis of Converters
  Jay Phil Choi

## 1994-95 Discussion Paper Series

- 717. Wealth Effects, Distribution and The Theory of Organization Patrick Legros, Cornell University
  -Andrew F. Newman, Columbia University
- 718. Trade and the Environment: Does Environmental Diversity Detract from the Case for Free Trade?

  -Jagdish Bhagwati and T.N. Srinivasan (Yale Univ)
- 719. US Trade Policy: Successes and Failures
  -Jagdish Bhagwati
- 720. Distribution of the Disinflation of Prices in 1990-91 Compared with Previous Business Cycles
  -Philip Cagan
- 721. Consequences of Discretion in hte Formation of Commodities Policy
  -John McLaren
- 722. The Provision of (Two-Way) Converters in the Transition Process to a New Incompatible Technology
  -Jay Pil Choi
- 723. Globalization, Sovereignty and Democracy -Jagdish Bhagwati
- 724. Preemptive R&D, Rent Dissipation and the "Leverage Theory"
  -Jay Pil Choi
- 725. The WTO's Agenda: Environment and Labour Standards, Competition Policy and the Question of Regionalism
  -Jagdish Bhagwati
- 726. US Trade Policy: The Infatuation with FTAs -Jagdish Bhagwati
- 727. Democracy and Development: New Thinking on an Old Question -Jagish Bhagwati
- 728. The AIDS Epidemic and Economic Policy Analysis
  -David E. Bloom, Ajay S. Mahal