## Stereoselective E/Z photoisomerization of oxazolidinone functionalized enecarbamates: direct and triplet sensitized irradiation<sup>†</sup>

Hideaki Saito,<sup>ab</sup> J. Sivaguru,<sup>a</sup> Steffen Jockusch,<sup>a</sup> Yoshihisa Inoue,<sup>\*bc</sup> Waldemar Adam<sup>\*de</sup> and Nicholas J. Turro<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 30th March 2005, Accepted 9th May 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 1st May 2005 DOI: 10.1039/b504413h

Oxazolidinone-functionalized enecarbamates undergo diastereoselective E/Z photoisomerization upon direct and triplet sensitized irradiations with chiral/achiral sensitizers, showing that the enhanced product diastereoselectivity depends on the solvent and temperature.

Photochirogenesis or chirality control in photoreactions is one of the most challenging fields in photochemistry<sup>1-6</sup> in which stereodifferentiation has to be imprinted within a short lifetime of an excited species. Oxazolidinone-functionalized enecarbamates 1 (Scheme 1) offer unusual opportunities to explore mechanistically versatile systems for the study of conformational, electronic, stereoelectronic, and steric effects.<sup>7–9</sup> In this context, we recently observed high stereocontrol in the singlet-oxygen mediated oxidation of these enecarbamates (both in solution<sup>10</sup> and organized media<sup>11</sup>) by fine-tuning the alkene geometry. The dependence of the stereoselectivity on the alkene functionality prompted us to explore the photoisomerization processes. The elucidation of the intricacies involved in such a stereo-differentiating photoisomerization<sup>12</sup> would not only help to understand the stereochemical behavior of the enecarbamates, but also provide a versatile methodology to control the chirality of the photoproducts in photochemistry. For this purpose, the direct and sensitized photoisomerization of the enecarbamates were examined in various solvents as well as at a wide range of temperatures.



Scheme 1 E/Z photoisomerization of enecarbamates.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: reaction procedures, comparison of direct irradiation at different wavelength and synthesis of the chiral sensitizers. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b5/ b504413h/

\*njt3@columbia.edu (Nicholas J. Turro)

Direct irradiation of 1Z at 254 nm was performed in various solvents and the observed diastereomeric excess (de) of the *E* and *Z* isomers at the photostationary state are listed in Table 1. Both *Z* and *E* isomers exhibit very low diastereoselectivities, and the configuration of the enhanced diastereomer depends on the solvent, but not on the temperature. The change of the irradiation wavelength from 254 to 300 nm has a negligible influence on the de values, but there is a noticeable change in the photostationary state. This may be attributed to the difference in optical density of the enecarbamate diastereomers at the different excitation wavelengths (see Supporting Information†).

To explore the triplet-sensitized photoisomerization of the enecarbamates, acetone- $d_6$  **2** (Scheme 1;  $E_T$  339 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was employed both as solvent and sensitizer, as the triplet energy ( $E_T$ ) of **1Z** is approximately 307 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 1, estimated from phosphorescence spectra). Facile isomerization occurred and the photostationary state was reached within 15 min of irradiation (Table 2). Inspection of Table 2 reveals that diastereoselectivities observed with both the Z and E isomers are decreased on prolonged irradiation.

To examine the sensitizations with chiral triplet sensitizers, 4'-alkoxyacetophenone derivatives ( $E_{\rm T} = 301$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for **3a**; Scheme 1) with chiral/achiral alkoxy groups were employed (**3a-d**). First, triplet sensitization was performed in CD<sub>3</sub>CN with the achiral sensitizer **3a** (Scheme 1) at various time intervals for both *E* and *Z* isomers (Table 3). As in the case of the sensitization with **2**, facile photoisomerization was observed and the photostationary state was reached within 5 min. Evidently, the rate of the *Z*-to-*E* photoisomerization is slower than the *E*-to-*Z* one; *i.e.*, the

 Table 1
 Photoisomerization of 1Z by direct irradiation<sup>a</sup>

Table 1 Thotoisonierization of 12 by direct intadiation					
Solvent	Temp/°C	Irdn/min	% de $(1E)^b$	%de $(1Z)^b$	Z:E
CD <sub>3</sub> CN	20	2	6 ( <i>S</i> )	4 ( <i>R</i> )	62:38
		5	7(S)	3 ( <i>R</i> )	53:47
		10	1(S)	4(R)	52:48
	-40	10	6 (S)	8 (R)	55:45
CD <sub>3</sub> OD	20	10	4(R)	1(S)	53:47
	-40	10	2(R)	2(S)	56:44
$CD_2Cl_2$	20	10	6 ( <i>R</i> )	3 ( <i>S</i> )	53:47
	-40	10	3 ( <i>R</i> )	2(S)	56:44
CDCl <sub>3</sub>	20	10	3(S)	3 ( <i>R</i> )	54:46
$C_6 D_6$	20	10	3 (S)	3 ( <i>R</i> )	54:46

<sup>*a*</sup> [1*Z*] = 4.3 mM. A diastereomeric mixture of 1*Z* (3'*R*:3'*S* = 50:50) employed. Irradiations performed at 20 °C at 254 nm in quartz NMR tubes under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. *Z/E* ratios and de values determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*b*</sup> Diastereomeric excess (de) of the product. The configuration of the 3' epimer shown in a parenthesis. Mass balance >98%; error limit  $\pm$ 3%; mass balance decreased on prolonged irradiation (>20 min) presumably due to side reactions.



Fig. 1 Absorption (a), steady-state emission (b), and time-resolved phosphorescence (c) spectra of 1Z (2.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 23 °C (a) or ethanol glass at 77 K (b, c). The time-resolved phosphorescence spectrum was recorded 1 µs after an excitation pulse of 360 nm.

Table 2 Sensitized photoisomerization of 1Z, 1'Z, 1E and 1'E in acetone- $d_6 2^a$ 

Compound	Irdn/min	% de $(1E)^b$	%de $(1Z)^b$	Z:E
1 <i>Z</i>	2	9 (S)	6 ( <i>R</i> )	83:17
	5	9 ( <i>S</i> )	3(R)	76:24
	10	6(S)	2(R)	76:24
	15	8 ( <i>S</i> )	1(R)	77:23
1'Z	2	10(R)	4(S)	87:13
	5	10(R)	6(S)	77:23
	10	9 ( <i>R</i> )	3(S)	76:24
	15	9 ( <i>R</i> )	1(S)	77:23
1 <i>E</i>	2	3(S)	3(R)	56:44
	5	6(S)	0	76:24
	10	3(S)	0	76:24
	15	2(S)	1(R)	77:23
1'E	2	2(R)	0	57:43
	5	5(R)	2(S)	74:26
	10	1(R)	1(S)	75:25
	15	3 (R)	2(S)	75:25

<sup>*a*</sup>  $[\mathbf{1Z}] = [\mathbf{1'Z}] = [\mathbf{1'E}] = [\mathbf{1'E}] = 4.3 \text{ mM}$  in acetone- $d_6$  **2**. A diastereomeric mixture of **1** (3'*R*:3'*S* = 50:50) employed. Irradiations performed at 20 °C; >300 nm in pyrex NMR tubes under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. *Z/E* ratios and de values were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*b*</sup> Diastereomeric excess (de) of the product. The configuration of the 3'-epimer shown in a parenthesis.

3a-sensitized photoisomerization of 1Z gave a Z:E ratio of 85:15 after 2 min compared to 71:29 for 1E. This is presumably due to the larger steric hindrance in the E-isomer than that in the Z-isomer. The de values for 1E and 1Z are clearly different, which suggests the significance of the starting alkene geometry of the enecarbamate. The general trend that the de values of the product were higher upon photoisomerization of 1Z than that of 1E may be ascribed to the reactivity-selectivity principle,<sup>13–15</sup> in which the fast reaction (photoisomerization) of the E isomer to the photostationary state results accordingly in lower de values. Next, the chiral triplet sensitizers **3b-d** were employed for the diastereoselective E/Z photoisomerization in a variety of solvents at various temperatures (Table 4 and 5). As in the case of the 3a sensitization (Table 2), the E isomers displayed again higher stereoselectivities than those of the corresponding Z isomers (Table 4). The subtle solvent dependence of the E/Z photoisomerization suggests that entropic factors might play a role in the stereodifferentiation process. For this reason, the temperature effects were also assessed in various solvents.<sup>16</sup> Inspection of Table 5 shows that the sense of chirality in the enhanced Ediastereomer at the C-3' position depends once again on

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2005

**Table 3** Sensitized photoisomerization of 1Z, 1'Z and 1E with  $3a^a$ 

Compound	Irdn/min	% de $(1E)^b$	% de $(1\mathbf{Z})^b$	Z:E
1 <i>Z</i>	2	17 ( <i>R</i> )	2 ( <i>R</i> )	85:15
	5	15(R)	0	83:17
	10	14(R)	0	84:16
	15	13 ( <i>R</i> )	0	84:16
1'Z	2	20 (S)	2 ( <i>S</i> )	85:15
	5	20 (S)	3 ( <i>S</i> )	84:16
	10	15 (S)	4 ( <i>S</i> )	84:16
	15	16 (S)	2(S)	84:16
1 <i>E</i>	2	3 ( <i>R</i> )	3 ( <i>S</i> )	71:29
	5	9 ( <i>R</i> )	5 (S)	84:16
	10	3 ( <i>R</i> )	6 ( <i>S</i> )	84:16
	15	0	4 ( <i>S</i> )	84:16

<sup>*a*</sup>  $[\mathbf{1}Z] = [\mathbf{1}'Z] = [\mathbf{1}E] = ~8 \text{ mM}$ , and  $[\mathbf{3a}] = 4$  equivalence relative to  $[\mathbf{1}Z]$  in CD<sub>3</sub>CN. A diastereomeric mixture of  $\mathbf{1}$  (3'*R*:3'*S* = 50:50) employed. Irradiations performed at 20 °C; >300 nm in pyrex NMR tubes under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. *Z/E* ratios and de values were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*b*</sup> Diastereomeric excess (de) of the product. The configuration of the 3' epimer shown in a parenthesis.

temperature, whereas the enhanced Z isomer is insensitive to the temperature variation. For the starting Z isomers in CD<sub>3</sub>OD, the C-3'S configuration of the E diastereomer was favored at 20 °C, whereas the C-3'R configuration was preferred on lowering the temperature. In contrast, photosensitization of the Z isomer in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at different temperatures does not display such a variation and the C-3'S configuration of the E diastereomer is enhanced at each temperature. The change of the sense at the C-3' position on lowering the temperature in CD<sub>3</sub>OD was observed for both achiral and chiral sensitizers **3a–d**, which indicates that the chirality did not play any significant role in asymmetric induction at the range of temperature employed.

To have more quantitative insight into the stereodifferentiation process, the differential Eyring equation<sup>17</sup> was applied to analyze

Table 4Solvent effect: isomerization of 1Z with triplet sensitizers<sup>a</sup>

Sens	Solvent	%de $(1E)^b$	%de $(1Z)^b$	Z:E
3a	CD <sub>3</sub> CN	15 ( <i>R</i> )	0	83:17
	$CD_3OD$	8 (S)	3 ( <i>S</i> )	83:17
	$CD_2Cl_2$	8 (S)	3(S)	80:20
	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	15(R)	6(S)	81:19
	$C_6 D_6$	9 (R)	6(S)	84:16
3b	CD <sub>3</sub> CN	2(S)	1(S)	80:20
	$CD_{3}OD$	12(S)	5(S)	83:17
	$CD_2Cl_2$	8 (S)	9 ( <i>S</i> )	83:17
	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	8 (R)	9 ( <i>S</i> )	83:17
	$C_6 D_6$	18(R)	9 ( <i>S</i> )	82:18
3c	CD <sub>3</sub> CN	7(R)	5(S)	86:14
	CD <sub>3</sub> OD	14(S)	4(S)	86:14
	$CD_2Cl_2$	7(S)	5(S)	86:14
	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	5(R)	10(S)	80:20
	$C_6 D_6$	12(R)	4(S)	79:21
3d	CD <sub>3</sub> CN	4 (S)	0	79:21
	$CD_{3}OD$	12(S)	4(S)	81:19
	CD <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	6(S)	6(R)	73:27
	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	7(R)	8 (S)	77:23

<sup>*a*</sup> [1*Z*] = 4.3 mM, [3a] ~ [3d] = ~8 equivalence relative to [1*Z*]. A diastereomeric mixture of 1*Z* (3'*R*:3'*S* = 50:50) employed. 5 minirradiations (>300 nm) performed at 20 °C in pyrex NMR tubes under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. *Z/E* ratios and de values were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*b*</sup> Diastereomeric excess (de) of the product. The configuration of the C-3' position of the encarbamate shown in a parenthesis.

**Table 5** Temperature effect in the isomerization of 1Z with triplet sensitizers<sup>*a*</sup>

Sens	Solvent	Temp/°C	% de $(1E)^b$	% de $(1Z)^b$	Z:E
3a	CD <sub>3</sub> OD	20	8 ( <i>S</i> )	3 ( <i>S</i> )	83:17
	2	-45	2(S)	1(S)	80:20
		-65	9 (R)	0	85:15
	$CD_2Cl_2$	20	8 (S)	3(S)	80:20
		-45	12(S)	3(S)	83:17
3b	CD <sub>3</sub> OD	20	12(S)	5(S)	83:17
		-45	2(R)	5(S)	81:19
		-65	6 (R)	3(S)	77:23
	$CD_2Cl_2$	20	8 (S)	9 ( <i>S</i> )	83:17
		-45	8 ( <i>S</i> )	2(S)	83:17
3c	CD <sub>3</sub> OD	20	14(S)	4(S)	86:14
	-	-45	3 (R)	1(S)	88:12
		-65	7 (R)	5(S)	78:22
	$CD_2Cl_2$	20	7(S)	5(S)	86:14
		-45	7(S)	5(S)	86:14
3d	CD <sub>3</sub> OD	20	12(S)	4(S)	81:19
		-45	1(R)	7(S)	79:21
		-65	3 (R)	4(S)	78:22
	$CD_2Cl_2$	20	6(S)	6(R)	73:27
	2 2	-45	4(S)	11(S)	86:14

<sup>*a*</sup> [1*Z*] = 4.3 mM. [3a], [3b], [3c] and [3d] were ~8 equivalences relative to [1*Z*]. A diastereomeric mixture of 1*Z* (3'*R*:3'*S* = 50:50) employed. 5 min-irradiations (>300 nm), performed in pyrex NMR tubes under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Reaction temperature was controlled in a methanol/dry-ice bath. *Z/E* ratios and de values were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*b*</sup> Diastereomeric excess (de) of the product. The configuration of the 3' epimer shown in a parenthesis.



Fig. 2 Eyring plot of the de values for 1*E* obtained upon triplet photosensitization with sensitizers  $3a (\bullet)$ ,  $3b (\bigcirc)$ ,  $3c (\blacksquare)$ , and  $3d (\blacktriangle)$  in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

the data. The plot of the logarithm of the relative rate constant,  $\ln(k_S/k_R)$ , against the reciprocal temperature, yielded the contributions from the differential activation entropy  $(\Delta\Delta S^{\dagger}_{S-R})$  and enthalpy  $(\Delta\Delta H^{\dagger}_{S-R})$  for the formation of the diastereomeric *E* enecarbamates (*E*-4*R*3'*R*/*S*) upon photoisomerization of **1***Z* (Fig. 2). The observed temperature effect reflects a significant contribution from the differential entropy  $(\Delta\Delta S^{\dagger}_{S-R})$  for both the achiral and chiral sensitizers **3a–d**. The de values of **1***E* decreased by lowering the temperature and reversed the selectivity to give a good straight line for each sensitizer, which evidently indicates that a single diastereo-differentiating mechanism operates in this *E*/*Z* photoisomerization.

Our present study on the E/Z photoisomerization of the enecarbamates by direct and triplet-sensitized irradiations discloses that the entropic control is not only displayed in the bimolecular reaction of singlet oxygen with the enecarbamates, but also an inherent feature of the E/Z photoisomerization. The solvent and temperature effects for the **1***E* in this isomerization process complement the photooxidation results.<sup>10,11</sup> The poor diastereoselectivities observed for the enecarbamates with the chiral triplet sensitizers may be attributed to the electron-exchange mechanism in such triplet sensitizations, in which only a long-distance collision complex is involved in excited states,<sup>18</sup> as well as the fact that the chiral centers located in the *para*-position of the acetophenone-derived sensitizers are too far from the "reactive center" to enhance the diastereoselectivities of the products.

The authors at Columbia thank the NSF (CHE-01-10655, CHE-04-15516) for generous support of this research. W. A. gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemainschaft, Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung, and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. H. S. gratefully acknowledges a JSPS research fellowship (08384) for young scientists.

## Hideaki Saito, $^{ab}$ J. Sivaguru, $^a$ Steffen Jockusch, $^a$ Yoshihisa Inoue, $^{*bc}$ Waldemar Adam $^{*de}$ and Nicholas J. Turro $^{*a}$

<sup>a</sup>The Department of Chemistry and the Department of Chemical Engineering, Columbia University, 3000 Broadway, Mail Code 3119, New York NY 10027. E-mail: njt3@columbia.edu <sup>b</sup>The Department of Molecular Chemistry, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamada-oka, Suita 565-0871, Japan <sup>c</sup>The Entropy Control Project, ICORP, JST, 4-6-3 Kamishinden, Toyonaka 560-0085, Japan <sup>d</sup>Institute für Organische Chemie, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

<sup>e</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Puerto Rico, Facundo Bueso 110, Rio Piedras, PR 00931, USA

## Notes and references

- 1 Y. Inoue and V. Ramamurthy, *Chiral Photochemistry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004.
- 2 A. G. Griesbeck and U. J. Meierhenrich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 3147.
- 3 Y. Inoue, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 741.
- 4 T. Bach, H. Bergmann, B. Grosch and K. Harms, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 7982.
- 5 H. Rau, Chem. Rev., 1983, 83, 535.
- 6 J. Sivaguru, A. Natarajan, L. S. Kaanumalle, J. Shailaja, S. Uppili, A. Joy and V. Ramamurthy, Acc. Chem. Res., 2003, 36, 509.
- 7 W. Adam, S. G. Bosio, N. J. Turro and B. T. Wolff, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 1704.
- 8 W. Adam, S. G. Bosio and N. J. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 8814.
- 9 W. Adam, S. G. Bosio and N. J. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 14004.
- 10 T. Poon, J. Sivaguru, R. Franz, S. Jockusch, C. Martinez, I. Washington, W. Adam, Y. Inoue and N. J. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 10498.
- 11 J. Sivaguru, T. Poon, R. Franz, S. Jockusch, W. Adam and N. J. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 10816.
- 12 L. S. Kaanumalle, J. Sivaguru, R. B. Sunoj, P. H. Lakshminarasimhan, J. Chandrasekhar and V. Ramamurthy, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 8711.
- 13 C. D. Johnson, Chem. Rev., 1975, 75, 755.
- 14 B. Giese, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1977, 16, 125.
- 15 A. Pross, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem., 1977, 14, 69.
- 16 T. Aechtner, M. Dressel and T. Bach, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 5849.
- 17 Y. Inoue, T. Wada, S. Asaoka, H. Sato and J.-P. Pete, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 251.
- 18 H. Tsuneishi, T. Hakushi and Y. Inoue, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1996, 1601.