

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/57051>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-06 and may be subject to change.

TWO ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF KATSARAS' THEOREM ON P-ADIC  
COMPACTOIDS

by

S. Caenepeel, W.H. Schikhof

0. Introduction

The following 'convexification' of the notion of precompactness plays a central role in p-adic Functional Analysis. Let  $K$  be a nonarchimedean nontrivially valued field, and  $E$  a locally  $K$ -convex space. An absolutely convex subset  $A$  of  $E$  is called compactoid if for every (absolutely convex) neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0$  in  $E$ , there exists a finite subset  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $E$  such that  $A \subset \text{co}(S) + U$ . Here  $\text{co}(S)$  denotes the absolute convex hull of  $S$ . Equivalently, we can say : for every absolutely convex neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0$ ,  $\pi_U(A)$  is contained in a finitely generated  $R$ -module ; here  $R$  is the unit ball in  $K$ , and  $\pi_U$  is the canonical map  $E \rightarrow E/U$  in the category of  $R$ -modules. A natural question to ask is the following : can we choose  $S$  to be subset of  $A$  ? Or, equivalently, is  $\pi_U(A)$  finitely generated as an  $R$ -module ? The answer is affirmative if the valuation of  $K$  is discrete, because  $R$  is a noetherian ring in that case. If the valuation is dense, then we have an easy counterexample : take  $A = \{\lambda \in K : |\lambda| < 1\}$ .

It is shown in [3] that, for  $E$  a Banach space, one may choose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $\lambda A$ , where  $\lambda \in K$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$ . For locally convex  $E$  it is shown in [1] that it is possible to choose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in the  $K$ -vector space generated by  $A$ , and in [2], [4] that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  may be chosen in  $\lambda A$ . Yet, all these proofs are somewhat involved. In this note, both authors present a straightforward and elementary proof. We considered it worth while to publish our two proofs, since the statement is quite fundamental.

1. Proof by the Second Author

1.1. Lemma. Let  $A, B$  be absolutely convex subsets of a  $K$ -vector space  $E$ . Suppose  $A \subset B + \text{co}\{x\}$  for some  $x \in E$ . Let  $\lambda \in K$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$  if the valuation of  $K$  is dense,  $\lambda = 1$  otherwise. Then there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $\lambda A \subset B + \text{co}\{a\}$ .

Proof. The set  $C \subset K$  defined by  $C = \{\mu \in K : |\mu| < 1, \mu x \in A+B\}$  is absolutely convex. It is not hard to see that there exists a  $c \in C$  for which  $\lambda C \subset \text{co}\{c\} \subset C$ . As  $c \in C$  there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $cx \in a + B$ . We claim that  $\lambda A \subset B + \text{co}\{a\}$ . Indeed, if  $z \in A$  then  $z = b + dx$  for some  $b \in B$ ,  $d \in C$  so we have  $\lambda z = \lambda b + \lambda dx \in B + \text{co}\{cx\} \subset B + \text{co}\{a + B\} \subset B + \text{co}\{a\}$ .  $\square$

1.2. Lemma. Let  $E, A, B, \lambda$  be as above. Suppose  $A \subset B + \text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ . Then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $\lambda A \subset B + \text{co}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .

Proof. Choose  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in K$ ,  $0 < |\lambda_i| < 1$  and  $|\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i| > |\lambda|$  if the valuation of  $K$  is dense,  $\lambda_i = 1$  for each  $i$  otherwise. By applying Lemma 1.1 with  $\lambda_1$  in place of  $\lambda$  and  $B + \text{co}\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  in place of  $B$  we find an  $a_1 \in A$  such that  $\lambda_1 A \subset B + \text{co}\{a_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ .

A second application of Lemma 1.1 with  $\lambda_1 A$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $B + \text{co}\{a_1, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$  in place of  $A$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $B$  respectively yields an  $a_2 \in \lambda_1 A \subset A$  for which  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 A \subset B + \text{co}\{a_1, a_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ . Inductively we arrive at points  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $\lambda A \subset \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n A \subset B + \text{co}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .  $\square$

1.3. Theorem (Katsaras). Let  $A$  be an absolutely convex compactoid in a locally convex space over  $K$ . Let  $\lambda \in K$ ,  $|\lambda| > 1$  if the valuation of  $K$  is dense,  $\lambda = 1$  otherwise. Then for each absolutely convex neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in  $E$  there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \lambda A$  such that  $A \subset U + \text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

Proof.  $\lambda^{-1}U$  is a zero neighbourhood. By definition there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in E$  such that  $A \subset \lambda^{-1}U + \text{co}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . By Lemma 1.2 we can find  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $\lambda^{-1}A \subset \lambda^{-1}U + \text{co}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , i.e.  $A \subset U + \text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , where, for each  $i$ ,  $x_i = \lambda a_i \in \lambda A$ .  $\square$

## 2. Proof by the First Author

In the introduction, we have seen that Theorem 1.3 is trivial if the valuation of  $K$  is discrete ; so let us assume from now on that  $|K|$  is dense.

2.1. Lemma. Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -submodule of a finitely generated free  $R$ -module, and let  $\lambda \in R$  be such that  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Then we can find  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $\lambda A \subset Ra_1 + \dots + Ra_n$ .

Proof.  $A \subset R^n \subset K^n$ . We furnish  $K^n$  with the usual supremum norm ; it is well-known (cf. [3]) that every one dimensional subspace of  $K^n$  has an orthocomplement. Let us proceed using induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is trivial. Let  $m = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in A\}$ , and choose  $a_1 \in A$  such that  $\|a_1\| > \frac{1}{|\lambda'|} m$ , where  $\lambda' \in K$  is such that  $|\lambda'|^2 < |\lambda|$ . Let  $Q : K^n \rightarrow Ka_1$  be an orthoprojection, and take  $P = I - Q$ . Then every  $x \in K^n$  may be written under the form  $x = \lambda(x)a_1 + Px$ , where  $\|x\| = \max(|\lambda(x)|\|a_1\|, \|Px\|)$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $|\lambda(x)|\|a_1\| \leq \|x\| \leq m < |\lambda'|^{-1}\|a_1\|$ , so  $|\lambda(x)| < |\lambda'|^{-1}$ .

Using the induction hypothesis, we find  $f_2, \dots, f_n \in PA$  such that  $\lambda'PA \subset Rf_2 + \dots + Rf_n$ . Lift  $f_i$  to an element  $a_i \in A$ . Then, for  $i \geq 2$ , we have that  $a_i = f_i + \lambda_i a_1$ , where  $|\lambda_i| < |\lambda'|^{-1}$ . We now have, for  $x \in A$  :  
 $x = Qx + Px = \lambda(x)a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i f_i = (\lambda(x) - \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i \mu_i) a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i a_i$ , where  $|\lambda(x)|, |\lambda_i|, |\mu_i| < |\lambda'|^{-1}$ . This implies the result.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Write  $\mu = \lambda^{-1}$ , then  $|\mu| < 1$ .  $U$  is an absolutely convex neighbourhood of 0, so  $\pi_{\mu U}(A)$  is a submodule of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $N$ . So we have an epimorphism  $\phi : R^n \rightarrow N$  in the category of

$R$ -modules. By Lemma 2.1, we may find  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \phi^{-1}(\pi_{\mu U}(A))$  such that  $\mu\phi^{-1}(\pi_{\mu U}(A)) \subset Ra_1 + \dots + Ra_n$ . Choose  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\pi_{\mu U}(u_i) = \phi(a_i)$ . Then  $\mu\pi_{\mu U}(A) \subset R\phi(a_1) + \dots + R\phi(a_n) = R\pi_{\mu U}(u_1) + \dots + R\pi_{\mu U}(u_n)$ , hence  $\mu A \subset Ru_1 + \dots + Ru_n + \mu U$ , and, after multiplication by  $\lambda$ ,  $A \subset R\lambda u_1 + \dots + R\lambda u_n + U$ , and this proves the theorem.  $\square$

### References

- [1] De Grande-De Kimpe, N., The non-archimedean space  $C^\infty(X)$ , *Compositio Math.* 48 (1983), 297-309.
- [2] Katsaras, A.K., On compact operators between non-archimedean spaces, *Ann. Soc. Scientifique Bruxelles Série I*, 96 (1982), 129-137.
- [3] van Rooij, A.C.M., *Non-archimedean functional analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
- [4] Schikhof, W.H., Locally convex spaces over nonspherically complete valued fields I, II, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique série B*, 38 (1986), 187-224.