### **⊘**SciMedCentral

#### **Review Article**

# Comments on Marine Litter in Oceans, Seas and Beaches: Characteristics and Impacts

Anfuso G<sup>1\*</sup>, Lynch K<sup>2</sup>, Williams AT<sup>3</sup>, Perales JA<sup>1</sup>, Pereira da Silva C<sup>4</sup>, Nogueira Mendes R<sup>4</sup>, Maanan M<sup>5</sup>, Pretti C<sup>6</sup>, Pranzini E<sup>7</sup>, Winter C<sup>8</sup>, Verdejo E<sup>9</sup>, Ferreira M<sup>10</sup> and Veiga J<sup>10</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Science, University of Cadiz, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geography and Archaeology, University of Galway, Ireland
<sup>3</sup>Department of Computing and Engineering, University of Wales, UK
<sup>4</sup>CICS.NOVA, Interdisciplinary Centre of Social Sciences, Portugal
<sup>5</sup>UMR 6554, University of Nantes, France
<sup>6</sup>Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Pisa, Italy
<sup>7</sup>Department of Earth Science, University of Florence, Italy
<sup>8</sup>MARUM, University of Bremen, Germany
<sup>9</sup>AIMPLAS, Spain
<sup>10</sup>Coastal & Marine Union (EUCC), the Netherlands

#### Abstract

Marine litter is observed along shorelines, pelagic, benthic marine and lake systems all around the globe. On beaches, litter creates aesthetic and related economic problems because a clean beach is one of the most important characteristics of a seaside resort required by visitors. Litter can reach the marine environment from marine or land activities but it is estimated that 80% originates from land-based sources. The marine-based sources of litter include all types of sea-going vessel and offshore installations, the most abundant plastic debris in the oceans being derelict (lost or improperly discarded) fishing gear. Most of marine litter is composed by plastics due to their greater durability and persistence, combined with plastic rising production and low rates of recovery. Special importance is linked to microplastics because their ubiquity, persistence, mechanical effects on biota and the ecosystem because of ingestion by organisms and their toxic potential. As plastics degrade they can release toxic chemicals initially incorporated during their manufacturing or persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals sorbed to their surfaces in the environment. Such toxins can disrupt endocrine functions and cause harmful reproductive and developmental effects in aquatic animals.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### Marine litter generalities

Marine litter (or marine debris), defined as 'any manufactured or processed solid waste material (typically inert) that enters the marine environment from any source' [1], is observed along shorelines [1,2], pelagic, benthic marine and lake systems all around the globe [3,4].

Specifically, marine debris on beaches creates aesthetic and related economic problems [5,6]. [7,8] have shown that five parameters were of the greatest importance to coastal tourists: safety, facilities, water quality, litter and scenery; [9] observed a clean beach is one of the most important characteristics of a seaside resort required by visitors. [10,11] commented upon the

### Annals of Marine Biology and Research

#### \*Corresponding author

Giorgio Anfuso, CASEM, Faculty of Marine Science, Polígono Rio San Pedro s/n, 11510 Puerto Real (Cadiz), Spain, Tel: 349-560-161-67; Fax: 956016288; Email: giorgio.anfuso@uca.es

Submitted: 28 April 2015

Accepted: 09 June 2015

Published: 11 June 2015

#### Copyright

© 2015 Anfuso et al.

OPEN ACCESS

#### Keywords

- Plastic
- Microplastic
- Biota
- Environmental Risk

negative effects of beach litter, especially linked to the presence of potentially hazardous and unaesthetic items such as sanitary and medical waste, all of which cause damage to the local, national and international image of a resort. This is reflected in loss of tourist days and resultant damage to leisure/tourism related activities, since visitors are especially interested in coastal tourism - one of the world's largest industries [12], with beaches being a major player in this market [13,14].

Marine litter can reach the marine environment from marine or land activities [15,16] but it is estimated that 80% originates from land-based sources [17]. Land-based sources of marine litter include illegal dumping, landfills, storm-water drains, petrochemical plants and other industries, as well as direct inputs in coastal areas, for example trash left by recreational beach

*Cite this article:* Anfuso G, Lynch K, Williams AT, Perales JA, Pereira da Silva C, et al. (2015) Comments on Marine Litter in Oceans, Seas and Beaches: Characteristics and Impacts. Ann Mar Biol Res 2(1): 1008.

#### **⊘**SciMedCentral₋

users, or fly-tipping and other improper disposal by consumers (e.g. toilet), which can be carried by wind, sewage, rain to rivers and the marine environment [18,19]. The marine-based sources of litter include all types of sea-going vessel as well as offshore installations [20], the most abundant plastic debris in the oceans being derelict fishing gear (nets, lines, traps), which has either been lost or improperly discarded. In six separate studies, fishing gear was found to represent on average more than 3% of the total number of debris items collected along marine shorelines [2], while in areas such as the North East Atlantic pieces of net, cord and rope are the second most common item found on the beach [14]. This is a function of locality though and in some places it is very high. Other offshore sources include illegal dumping of plastic waste from ships and the release of plastic resin pellets and other cargo when shipping containers are lost at sea [21]. To date, there is no standardised methodology to deal with sources identification [11,22].

#### **DISCUSSION**

## The importance of Plastic and microplastics components

[23] postulated that the five biggest problems for the 21<sup>st</sup> century were: nutrient eutrophication, algal blooms, environmental oestrogens, alien organisms and pathogens and, last but not least, *plastics*. In the marine environment, on average, between 60% and 80% of shoreline debris items consist of plastics but the plastic fraction, in certain areas, can be as high as 95% [14,24]. Such values are essentially linked to greater plastic durability and persistence, combined with rising production of plastics and low rates of recovery [15,16]: a 25-fold increase in the production of plastic resin products was observed between 1960 and 2000 with a recovery rate of less than 5% [25,26].

Plastic debris exhibits a wide range of shapes; in addition to recognizable plastic objects, the most common shapes are fragments, films, pellets, lines, fibres, filaments, and granules. Plastic debris is often classified as either primary or secondary. Primary plastics are in their original or close-to-original form when collected, such as bottles, micro beads or resin pellets. Secondary plastic debris encompasses the smaller pieces of plastic resulting from the breakdown of primary debris through various environmental degradation processes [27]. The composition of plastic refers to the polymer type, which in turn determines the density of debris. Low-density plastics, such as polypropylene and polyethylene, produce debris that is less dense than water and therefore likely to remain afloat. Plastics that are denser than water and thus tend to sink include polyethylene terephthalate, polystyrene, and cellulose acetate.

In addition to aesthetical and moralistic issues of anthropogenic impact to the environment, plastic litter produces negative effects on biota and the ecosystem because of ingestion of micro- and mesoplastic by organisms [18,28] together with entanglement of animals in abandoned nets, fishing lines, ropes and ribbons [29,30]. Ingestion of plastic may cause internal bleeding, abrasion and ulcers, as well as of digestive tract blockage [19]. In littoral zones, accumulation of sinking plastic debris and the dragging of fishing nets may disrupt bottom sediments, displace or smother in fauna, and affect the structure and functioning of benthic microbial communities [23]. Accumulation of plastic debris in coastal areas can deter recreational usage, pose a hazard to swimmers and divers, and carry a risk of minor cuts or abrasion injuries to beach-goers [7,31].

Plastic debris in the environment will break down through a combination of photo- and thermal-oxidative degradation by ultraviolet (UV) radiation, mechanical weathering, and biodegradation, but complete mineralization may not be possible, or then only after hundreds or thousands of years [14,21,32]. As plastics degrade they can release toxic chemicals that were initially incorporated during their manufacturing or sorbead to their surfaces in the environment. These chemicals include phthalates, nonylphenols, bisphenol A (BPA), heavy metals, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) [33-36], which can disrupt endocrine functions and cause harmful reproductive and developmental effects in aquatic animals [37].

Plastic debris may act as a vector for contaminants, including persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and heavy metals [38-42]. Sorption to plastics has been shown to limit the biodegradation of organic contaminants, increasing their persistence in the environment [3,33]. Plastic debris can also transport nonnative species [29,43] and be colonized by microbes including possible pathogens [27,44]. Smaller plastic debris is also more bio-available—several aquatic species have been found to ingest microplastics [45,46], including commercial species —and the trophic transfer of plastics along aquatic food webs has been verified, hence posing a possible threat to aquatic ecosystems [21,33]. The possible transfer of plastic-sorbed toxins to humans through consumption of aquatic species is of concern, but it has yet to be demonstrated [47].

Plastic debris is variably classified according to size, origin, shape, and composition. While there are no international agreements upon size classes, 'microplastic debris' generally refers to plastic particles smaller than 5 mm and larger than 333  $\mu$ m [48], because in most open-water studies neuston nets with a mesh size of 333  $\mu$ m are used to collect debris [21,43]. Microplastics consist of plastic particles which result from the fragmentation of larger plastic items and those that are designed to serve as raw material (resin pellets) or to be incorporated in specific products (e.g. plastic microbeads included in cleansing products) [32]. Microbeads and other synthetic polymers are widely used as abrasive agents in a range of consumer products, including exfoliating creams, soaps, toothpastes, shampoos, lip gloss, eye liner, sunscreens, and deodorants. Microbeads that are flushed down sink and shower drains enter the wastewater collection system. Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are currently not required to monitor microplastics in influent or effluent streams. Plastic resin pellets, which constitute a raw material used in plastics manufacturing, are also quite abundant in marine environments [32,49] and their presence is usually linked to losses during transport operations. Polyester and acrylic fibres have been widely observed in marine sediments [18]. Small plastic fibres are released from synthetic fabrics in washing machines. Households and textile laundering facilities may therefore represent a significant source of plastic fibres [50]. The fibres can be transferred to surface water bodies directly via the effluent discharges of WWTPs, or indirectly via

#### **⊘**SciMedCentral\_

their solid residues. When the latter are used as fertilizers and compost material on crop fields, the fibres may be remobilized and ultimately reach natural or man-made waterways [18,51,52].

#### **FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Plastics debris is one of the five biggest problems for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Oceans, lakes and beaches across the globe are littered by such items. They are manufactured for different uses and purposes and are transported to coasts by wind, rivers, drainage systems or human activity. Offshore sources include illegal dumping of plastic waste from ships and the release of plastic resin pellets and other cargo when shipping containers are lost at sea. As a result, floating plastic fragments in the world's oceans have been reported since the early 1970s with the amount of debris recording a recognized exponential increase into the early 1990s.

Resin pellets, microbeads and breakdown of primary plastic debris through various environmental degradation processes form microplastics. They are especially important because of their ubiquity, persistence and toxic potential. Ingestion of plastics and microplastics may cause internal bleeding, abrasion, ulcers and digestive tract blockage [53,54]. Their degradation in marine/lake environments give as a result the release of toxic chemicals initially incorporated during their manufacturing as well as of absorbed persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals which can disrupt endocrine functions and cause harmful reproductive and developmental effects in aquatic animals. The possible transfer of plastic-sorbed toxins to humans through consumption of aquatic species is of concern, but it has yet to be demonstrated [47].

Considering the importance of marine litter, the European Commission presented, in 2013, the Final Report "Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas" [55]. The objective of such document is to support Member States in the monitoring of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC) Descriptor 10. The Guidance document provides recommendations and considerations, including specific protocols to collect report and assess data on marine litter in a coordinated and harmonised way, namely regarding beach litter, floating and seafloor litter, litter in biota and micro-litter. Yet concerted action of international research groups towards an assessment of the current state of our beach and coastal systems and sea and ocean states is only in its early stages. Agreements on common methodology and intense collaboration and dedicated data collection are needed for inter-comparative studies on the different marine systems.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work is a contribution to the Andalusia Research Group PAI RNM-328 and constitutes preliminary results of the proposal "Beach Stranded microplastics: Monitoring dynamics, abundance, fate and effects" submitted to the JPI Oceans EU Call (31/03/2015).

#### REFERENCES

1. Coe JM, Rogers DB (Eds.). Marine debris: Sources, impacts and solutions. Springer-Verlag, New York, US. 1997.

- Santos IR, Friedrich AC, Ivar do Sul JA. Marine debris contamination along undeveloped tropical beaches from northeast Brazil. Environ Monit Assess. 2009; 148: 455-462.
- 3. Galgani F, Leaute JP, Moguedet P, Souplets A, Verin Y, Carpenter A, et al. Litter on the sea floor along European coasts. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 2000; 40: 516–527.
- 4. Thiel M, Hinojosa IA, Joschko T, Gutow L. Spatio-temporal distribution of floating objects in the German Bight (North Sea). J Sea Res. 2011; 65: 368-379.
- Ogi H, Fukumoto Y. A sorting method for small plastic debris floating on the sea surface and stranded on sandy beaches. Bulletin of the Faculty of Fisheries, Hokkaido University. 2000; 51: 71–93.
- Smith VK, Zhang X, Palmquist RB. Marine debris, beach quality, and nonmarket values. Environmental and Resource Economics. 1997; 10: 223-247.
- Williams AT. Definitions and typologies of coastal tourism destinations, (In) Disappearing Destinations: Climate change and future challenges for coastal tourism, (eds.), Andrew Jones and Mike Phillips, CABI, UK. 2011; 47-66.
- Anfuso G, Williams AT, Cabrera Hernández A, Pranzini E. Coastal scenic assessment and tourism management in western Cuba. Tourism Manag. 2014; 42: 307-320.
- Morgan R, Bursahoglu B, Hapoglu-Balas L, Jones TC, Ozhan E, Williams AT. Beach user opinions and beach ratings: A pilot study on the Turkish Aegean coast. (In): Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Mediterranean Coastal Environment. MedCoast 95, (ed.), E. Ozhan. 1995; 373-383.
- 10.Philipp R. Community needle stick accident data and trends in environmental quality. Public Health. 1993; 107: 363-369.
- 11. Tudor D, Williams AT. Development of a 'Matrix Scoring Technique' to determine litter sources at a Bristol Channel beach. Journal of Coastal Conservation. 2004; 10: 119-127.
- 12. Klein YL, Osleeb JP, Viola MR. Tourism generated earnings in the coastal zone: a regional analysis. J Coast Res. 2004; 20: 1080–1088.
- 13.Houston JR. The economic value of beaches a 2013 update. Shore and Beach. 2013; 81: 3-11.
- 14. Gregory MR, Andrady AL. Plastics in the Marine Environment. A.L. Andrady (Ed.), Plastics and the Environment. John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. 2003; 379–401.
- 15.US EPA. Plastics. 2014.
- 16. Driedger A, Dürr H, Mitchell K, Van Cappellen P. Plastic debris in the Laurentian Great Lakes: A review. Journal of Great Lakes Res. 2015; 41: 9–19.
- 17.Allsopp M, Walters A, Santillo D, Johnston P. Plastic Debris in the World's Oceans. Greenpeace, Amsterdam, Netherlands 2006; 43.
- 18. Browne MA, Crump P, Niven SJ, Teuten E, Tonkin A, Galloway T, et al. Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines woldwide: sources and sinks. Environ Sci Technol. 2011; 45: 9175-9179.
- 19.Wright SL, Thompson RC, Galloway TS. The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: a review. Environ Pollut. 2013; 178: 483-492.
- 20. Earll RC. Measuring and managing litter in rivers, estuaries and coastal waters. A guide to methods. Working document. (ed.), R. Earll, M. Everard, N. Lowe, C Pattinson & A. T. Williams. 1996; 78.
- 21. Andrady AL. Microplastics in the marine environment. Mar Pollut Bull. 2011; 62: 1596-1605.

#### **⊘**SciMedCentral-

- 22. Williams AT, Tudor DT. Litter burial and exhumation: spatial and temporal distribution on a cobble pocket beach. Mar Pollut Bull. 2001; 42: 1031-1039.
- 23.Goldberg ED. Emerging problems in the coastal zone for the twentyfirst century. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 1995; 31: 152–158.
- 24.0SPAR Commission. 2007. Monitoring of marine litter on beaches in the OSPAR region, Biodiversity Series, SBN 978-1-905859-45-0, 75.
- 25.McDermid KJ, McMullen TL. Quantitative analysis of small-plastic debris on beaches in the Hawaiian Archipelago. Mar Pollut Bull. 2004; 48: 790-794.
- 26.Moore SL, Gregorio D, Carreon M, Weisberg SB, Leecaster MK. Composition and distribution of beach debris in Orange County, California. Mar Pollut Bull. 2001; 42: 241-245.
- 27. Wagner M, Scherer C, Alvarez-Muñoz D, Breinholt N, Bourrain X, Buchinger S. Microplastics in freshwater ecosystems: what we know and what we need to know. Environ. Sci. Eur. 2014; 26: 12.
- 28.van Franeker JA, Blaize C, Danielsen J, Fairclough K, Gollan J, Guse N, et al. Monitoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar Fulmarus glacialis in the North Sea. Environ Pollut. 2011; 159: 2609-2615.
- 29. Gregory MR. Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings--entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitchhiking and alien invasions. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2009; 364: 2013-2025.
- 30. Votier SC, Archibald K, Morgan G, Morgan L. The use of plastic debris as nesting material by a colonial seabird and associated entanglement mortality. Mar Pollut Bull. 2011; 62: 168-172.
- 31.Sheavly SB, Register KM. Marine debris & plastics: environmental concerns, sources, impacts and solutions. J. Polym. Environ. 2007; 15: 301–305.
- 32. Corcoran PL, Biesinger MC, Grifi M. Plastics and beaches: a degrading relationship. Mar Pollut Bull. 2009; 58: 80-84.
- 33. Teuten EL, Saquing JM, Knappe DRU, Barlaz M, Jonsson S, Björn A. Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment and to wildlife. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 2009; 364: 2027–2045.
- 34. Bittner GD, Yang CZ, Stoner MA. Estrogenic chemicals often leach from BPA-free plastic products that are replacements for BPA-containing polycarbonate products. Environ Health. 2014; 13: 41.
- 35. Cheng X, Shi H, Adams CD, Ma Y. Assessment of metal contaminations leaching out from recycling plastic bottles upon treatments. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2010; 17: 1323-1330.
- 36. Nakashima E, Isobe A, Kako S, Magome S, Deli N, Itai T, et al. Toxic metals in polyethylene plastic litter. Interdiscip. Stud. Environ. Chem. Environ. Model. Anal. 2011; 271–277.
- 37. Meeker JD, Sathyanarayana S, Swan SH. Phthalates and other additives in plastics: human exposure and associated health outcomes. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2009; 364: 2097-2113.
- 38.Ashton K, Holmes L, Turner A. Association of metals with plastic production pellets in the marine environment. Mar Pollut Bull. 2010; 60: 2050-2055.
- 39. Holmes LA, Turner A, Thompson RC. Adsorption of trace metals to

plastic resin pellets in the marine environment. Environ Pollut. 2012; 160: 42-48.

- 40. Mato Y, Isobe T, Takada H, Kanehiro H, Ohtake C, Kaminuma T. Plastic resin pellets as a transport medium for toxic chemicals in the marine environment. Environ Sci Technol. 2001; 35: 318-324.
- 41. Rios LM, Jones PR, Moore C, Narayan UV. Quantitation of persistent organic pollutants adsorbed on plastic debris from the Northern Pacific Gyre's "eastern garbage patch". J Environ Monit. 2010; 12: 2226-2236.
- 42.Zarfl C, Matthies M. Are marine plastic particles transport vectors for organic pollutants to the Arctic? Mar Pollut Bull. 2010; 60: 1810-1814.
- 43.Barnes DK, Galgani F, Thompson RC, Barlaz M. Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2009; 364: 1985-1998.
- 44.Zettler ER, Mincer TJ, Amaral-Zettler LA. Life in the "plastisphere": microbial communities on plastic marine debris. Environ Sci Technol. 2013; 47: 7137-7146.
- 45. Van Cauwenberghe L, Janssen CR. Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human consumption. Environ Pollut. 2014; 193: 65-70.
- 46. Lusher AL, McHugh M, Thompson RC. Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. Mar Pollut Bull. 2013; 67: 94-99.
- 47.Rochman CM, Browne MA, Halpern BS, Hentschel BT, Hoh E, Karapanagioti HK, et al. Policy: Classify plastic waste as hazardous. Nature. 2013; 494: 169-171.
- 48. Arthur C, Baker J, Bamford H (Eds.). Proceedings of the International Research Workshop on the Occurrence, Effects, and Fate of Microplastic Marine Debris. Tacoma, WA, USA. 2009.
- 49. Cooper DA, Corcoran PL. Effects of mechanical and chemical processes on the degradation of plastic beach debris on the island of Kauai, Hawaii. Mar Pollut Bull. 2010; 60: 650-654.
- 50. Eriksen M, Mason S, Wilson S, Box C, Zellers A, Edwards W, et al. Microplastic pollution in the surface waters of the Laurentian Great Lakes. Mar Pollut Bull. 2013; 77: 177-182.
- 51.Habib D, Locke DC, Cannone LJ. Synthetic fibers as indicators of municipal sewage sludge, sludge products, and sewage treatment plant effluents. Water Air Soil Pollut. 1998. 103: 1–8.
- 52.Zubris KA, Richards BK. Synthetic fibers as an indicator of land application of sludge. Environ Pollut. 2005; 138: 201-211.
- 53.Boerger CM, Lattin GL, Moore SL, Moore CJ. Plastic ingestion by planktivorous fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. Mar Pollut Bull. 2010; 60: 2275-2278.
- 54. Fossi MC, Panti C, Guerranti C, Coppola D, Giannetti M, Marsili L, et al. Are baleen whales exposed to the threat of microplastics? A case study of the Mediterranean fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus). Mar Pollut Bull. 2012; 64: 2374-2379.
- 55.Galgani F, Hanke G, Werner S, Oosterbaan L, Nilsson P, Fleet D, et al. Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas. EUR – Scientific and Technical Research series – 2013. ISSN 1831-9424 (online) edited by Hanke G, Werner S, Galgani F, Veiga JM, Ferreira M, 11/2013; Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, ISBN: 978-92-79-32709-4.

#### **Cite this article**

Anfuso G, Lynch K, Williams AT, Perales JA, Pereira da Silva C, et al. (2015) Comments on Marine Litter in Oceans, Seas and Beaches: Characteristics and Impacts. Ann Mar Biol Res 2(1): 1008.