

Ultrasonic investigations of the water, carbontetrachloride and methanol ternary mixture at its miscibility point

K P Prabhakar, D P Singh* and S S Bhatti**

Department of Physics, S V.S.D. College, Bhatoli-174 301,
Himachal Pradesh, India

Received 12 June, 1996, accepted 7 April 1997

Abstract : Using experimentally measured ultrasound velocity and density data, an investigation in the ultrasound response of a ternary mixture (of water, carbontetrachloride and methanol) is done, at its miscibility point. Several acoustical and thermodynamical parameters of the mixture are evaluated at 308 K. The physico-chemical behaviour of the ternary system is explained on the basis of obtained results.

Keywords : Ultrasound velocity, thermodynamical parameters, miscibility point.

PACS Nos. : 62.60.+v, 82.60.Lf, 43.35.Bf

The non-linear behaviour of acoustical parameters in multicomponent liquid systems is related to the nature and strength of the interactions between molecules. To understand these interactions, some acoustical parameters have been used by different researchers [1–3]. These include molar sound velocity, molar adiabatic compressibility, acoustic impedance, free length, relative association, free volume, available volume and Van der Waals constant. Excess values of these parameters give an idea of the complex formation. All these parameters and their excess values are evaluated here for water-carbon tetrachloride-methanol ternary mixture at 308 K. The measured ultrasound velocity (u) data (at 5 MHz) and densities of the mixture reported by Krishnamoorthy *et al* [4,5] have been used in these calculations.

The expressions for the various parameters, such as the adiabatic compressibility (K_s), molar sound velocity (R), molar adiabatic compressibility (W), acoustic impedance (Z), intermolecular free lengths (L_f , thermodynamic approach; L_f' , Jacobson's approach),

*Department of Physics, Shivalik College, Naya Nangal-140 126, Punjab, India

**Department of Applied Physics, G.N.D. University, Amritsar-143 005, Punjab, India

relative association (RA), available volume (V_a), free volume (V_f) and van der Waals constant (b), are taken from literature [6–8]. The excess values of the acoustical and thermodynamical parameters (P) studied here are obtained from $\Delta P = P_{am} - P_{im} = P_{am} - \sum x_i P_i$ where ΔP is excess value, P_{am} is the experimental value and P_{im} is the ideal mixture value of the parameter (P). Here, x_i and P_i are the molar fraction and parameter (P)'s value for i -th component of the mixture.

Table 1. Some acoustic parameters of $H_2O(x_1) + CCl_4(x_2) + CH_3OH(x_3)$ ternary mixture at 308 K (S.I. Units).

x_1	x_2	μ	$V \times 10^6$	$K_s \times 10^{11}$	$R \times 10^6$	$W \times 10^6$	$Z \times 10^{-3}$	$b \times 10^6$
Pure Liquids								
1.0000	0.0000	1469	18.12	46.62	206	390	1460	15.41
0.0000	1.0000	849	96.86	88.48	917	1907	1331	84.23
0.0000	0.0000	1051	40.75	115.18	414	771	826	34.60
Top Layer								
0.7095	0.1327	1481	40.07	48.09	457	856	1404	34.61
0.6128	0.1146	1436	40.28	52.54	454	850	1325	34.72
0.4816	0.0901	1356	42.24	63.68	468	867	1158	34.29
0.3966	0.0742	1215	38.86	74.44	415	780	1106	33.22
Bottom Layer								
0.7095	0.1327	850.6	24.40	88.77	226	478	1324	20.64
0.6128	0.1146	842.6	23.99	90.87	227	468	1306	20.28
0.4816	0.0901	853.3	24.41	92.92	231	475	1261	20.64
0.3966	0.0742	866.0	22.89	86.30	218	450	1338	19.35
Ternary Mixture								
0.4160	0.0255	1294	32.27	65.88	352	661	1173	27.55
0.3639	0.0401	1215	34.97	73.65	373	705	1118	29.84
0.3000	0.0551	1116	36.68	85.53	380	724	1048	31.25
0.2655	0.0813	1078	39.00	88.22	400	767	1051	33.24
0.2158	0.1189	1065	42.50	86.61	434	838	1081	36.28

$$x_3 = 1.000 - (x_1 + x_2)$$

Table 2. Some acoustic and thermodynamic parameters of $H_2O(x_1) + CCl_4(x_2) + CH_3OH(x_3)$ mixture at 308 K (S.I. Units).

x_1	x_2	$V_0 \times 10^6$	$V_a \times 10^6$	$L_f \times 10^{12}$	$L'_f \times 10^{12}$	R.A.	$\Delta V_f \times 10^6$	$\Delta V_a \times 10^6$	$\Delta L_f \times 10^{12}$
Pure Liquids									
1.0000	0.0000	14.92	3.19	25.59	43.40	4.88	2.71		
0.0000	1.0000	76.04	20.81	56.28	59.79	1.20	12.62		
0.0000	0.0000	30.93	9.81	48.40	68.21	2.80	6.15		

Table 2. (Cont'd.)

x_1	x_2	$V_0 \times 10^6$	$V_a \times 10^6$	$L_f \times 10^{12}$	$L'_f \times 10^{12}$	R.A.	$\Delta V_f \times 10^6$	$\Delta V_a \times 10^6$	$\Delta L_f \times 10^{12}$
Top Layer									
0.7095	0.1327	25.56	14.51	84.24	44.08	0.16	5.47	7.93	47.46
0.6128	0.1146	26.30	13.98	76.84	46.07	0.21	5.56	6.97	41.06
0.4816	0.0901	27.29	14.95	80.17	50.72	0.25	5.95	7.34	41.58
0.3966	0.0742	27.93	10.93	57.67	54.84	0.86	5.64	2.92	17.54
Bottom Layer									
0.7095	0.1327	15.57	8.83	68.78	59.89	0.66	3.76	2.25	43.34
0.6128	0.1146	15.31	8.68	68.40	60.59	0.70	3.70	1.66	36.23
0.4816	0.0901	15.58	7.31	54.94	61.27	1.25	3.77	-0.31	21.56
0.3966	0.0742	14.61	8.28	67.34	59.05	0.67	3.53	0.28	1.89
Ternary Mixture									
0.4160	0.0255	25.43	6.84	38.47	51.59	2.41	4.72	-0.49	3.46
0.3639	0.0401	27.33	7.63	40.88	54.55	3.12	5.13	-0.34	3.40
0.3000	0.0551	28.63	8.06	41.84	58.78	2.77	5.44	-0.38	2.79
0.2655	0.0813	30.34	8.66	43.24	59.70	2.63	5.76	-0.29	2.88
0.2158	0.1189	32.85	9.64	45.69	59.15	2.17	6.21	-0.05	3.36

$$x_3 = 1.000 - (x_1 + x_2)$$

Table 3. Some excess parameters of $H_2O(x_1) + CCl_4(x_2) + CH_3OH(x_3)$ mixture at 308 K (S.I. Units).

x_1	x_2	Δu	Δd	$\Delta V \times 10^6$	$\Delta K_f \times 10^{11}$	$\Delta R \times 10^6$	$\Delta W \times 10^6$	$\Delta Z \times 10^{-3}$
Top Layer								
0.7095	0.1327	160.2	-89.37	7.93	-14.90	123	207	60.95
0.6128	0.1146	152.0	-80.12	6.97	-17.56	110	185	52.83
0.4816	0.0901	121.9	-102.63	7.34	-16.07	108	180	-18.94
0.3966	0.0742	13.2	-16.52	2.92	-11.56	46	78	-9.43
Bottom Layer								
0.7095	0.1327	-470.2	519.6	-7.74	25.78	-107	-171	-18.65
0.6128	0.1146	-441.4	546.9	-9.33	20.77	-118	-197	33.43
0.4816	0.0901	-380.8	521.4	-10.50	13.17	-127	-213	84.21
0.3966	0.0742	-335.8	618.5	-13.05	0.30	-151	-252	222.89
Ternary Layer								
0.4160	0.0255	74.26	14.03	-0.49	-20.09	11	20	79.26
0.3639	0.0401	5.91	16.21	-0.34	-17.06	9	17	24.40
0.3000	0.0551	-49.58	46.97	-0.37	-7.64	1	5	3.19
0.2655	0.0813	-67.35	76.89	-0.29	-6.56	0	4	16.14
0.2158	0.1189	-52.50	93.90	-0.05	-10.64	5	13	60.93

$$x_3 = 1.000 - (x_1 + x_2)$$

The results obtained (in S.I. units) for the ternary mixture under study, are presented in Tables 1 to 3. In this system, water (H_2O) and carbontetrachloride (CCl_4) are the two immiscible components and methanol (which is freely miscible with each of them) is the third component. It is expected that the added methanol (CH_3OH) will distribute between water and carbon-tetrachloride. But, it is noted that as more and more methanol is added more CCl_4 is being extracted into the top layer, as is obvious from decreasing values of u (Table 1, column 3). An examination of the bottom layer, indicates the presence of a single component (CCl_4), irrespective of the amount of methanol added. This is indicated by almost constant u values for the bottom layer (Table 1, column 3). The added third component seems to modify the properties of only one layer (top layer) and the binary mixture extracts the second immiscible layer (bottom layer) into it.

It is interesting to note for the ternary system that as the mole fraction x_2 of the CCl_4 increases, the quantity of the methanol (*i.e.* x_3) required for homogenizing the layers, also increases till it reaches a maximum for a similar (*i.e.* maximum) mole fraction of the CCl_4 . It is found that as x_1 (mole fraction of H_2O) is decreased such that x_2 is increased, the u decreases (Table 1, column 3) and the K_s (Table 1, column 5) increases. This is likely due to the replacement of highly associated H_2O molecules (Dipole moment = 1.87 D) by unassociated molecules of CCl_4 (Dipole moment = 0D) and less associated molecules of CH_3OH (Dipole moment = 1.70 D). The V , R , W , Z and b values vary erratically with rise in x_3 in the top layer (Table 1), whereas these parameters report an almost constant behaviour with such a change in bottom layer. In the ternary system, there is a regular increase in the values of V , K , R , W and b , with rise in x_3 . However, behaviour of Z is opposite to that reported for V and K_s etc. for this system. This trend of variation of the acoustical parameters can be understood as follows.

Composition changes (with addition of large sized molecules of CCl_4 and CH_3OH , as compared to H_2O molecules) in a ternary system, enhances the dispersion forces in it, leading to an increase in its molar volume (V). The increase in V affects the K_s , R and W . The presence of strongly hydrogen bonded molecules of H_2O and CH_3OH contributes to attractive interactions in the system. In the ternary system, L_f , L'_f and V_f show a steady increase in their values with rise in CCl_4 contents. While these parameters report an almost constant behaviour for bottom layer, their variation for top layer is erratic (Table 2). In the present ternary mixture, the relative association (RA) is found to be high (around 2.8) as compared to RA values for top and bottom layers. It points to the dominance of attractive interactions over the dispersive forces in the ternary system, as compared to the magnitude of such forces in the top and bottom layers. For the ternary mixture, the rise in x_2 causes expansion, increasing V , K_s , b , V_a , V'_a , L_f and L'_f . The L_f and L'_f increase due to looser packing of molecules. Obviously, the intermolecular cohesion present in the system weakens with rise in content of CCl_4 . This trend is confirmed by the slight decrease reported in RA values for the system (Table 2). As a measure of van der Waals interactions in the system, the co-volume ' b ' is determined.

It registers a steady rise from 27.55×10^{-6} to $36.28 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{mole}$ for the mixture whereas it reports an almost constant behaviour for top layer and bottom layer of the mixture (Table 1).

The molecules in a solution interact with each other to form new complex species making the solution non-ideal. The negative deviations from ideality of K_s , in the binary/ternary mixtures are mainly due to formation of complexes and molecular association by weak bonds [8–10]. However, if the deviations are positive, they may be attributed to molecular dissociation of an associated species caused by addition of a component leading to weak interactions mainly due to dispersion forces [10].

Excess molar volume (ΔV), excess available volume (ΔV_a), excess adiabatic compressibility (ΔK_s) are negative over the entire range of compositions investigated for the ternary system. Excess density (Δd) and (ΔK_s) show a similar change for top layer, while excess molar sound velocity (ΔR), excess molar adiabatic compressibility (ΔW), excess ultrasound velocity (Δu) and (ΔV) show a similar variation for bottom layer (Table 3). From Tables 2 and 3, it is obvious that for the ternary mixture, the additivity rule is obeyed in case of V_a , L_f , V , R and W in a better way than in case with K_s , whereas this is not so in case of top layer and bottom layer mixtures. However, the analysis of the trend of variations of excess parameters points to the existence of only weak interactions (of the van der Waals type) due to the presence of non-polar CCl_4 molecules in the bottom layer, whereas relatively stronger attractive interactions exist due to the presence of hydrogen bonded molecules of H_2O and CH_3OH in the top layer. The behaviour of the ternary mixture $\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{CCl}_4-\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ is intermediate of the two extremes, in general. It is pointed out that for $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CCl}_4$ inhomogeneous mixture, on addition of the methanol, there is a progressive variation in the acoustic and thermodynamic response of the two layers, due to the distribution of the third component between them.

References

- [1] A N Kannappan and V Rajendran *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **30** 240 (1992)
- [2] D P Singh, A P Singh and S S Bhatti *J. Pure Appl. Ultrason* **11** 62 (1989)
- [3] S S Bhatti and D P Singh *J. Pure and Appl. Ultrason* **6** 5 (1984)
- [4] K Krishnamoorthy, S O Pillai and J Kuppasami *Indian J. pure Appl. Phys* **11** 677 (1973)
- [5] K Krishnamoorthy, J Kuppasami and S O Pillai *Curr. Sci.* **44** 8 (1975)
- [6] D P Singh and A P Singh *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **15** 3220 (1993)
- [7] D P Singh, M Lal and B Singh *Acoust. Lett.* **15** 235 (1992)
- [8] D P Singh *PhD Thesis* (G.N.D. University, Amritsar, India) (1985)
- [9] R J Fort and W R Moore *Trans. Farad. Soc.* **61** 2102 (1965)
- [10] D P Singh and S C Kalsh *Acoust. Lett.* **14** 206 (1991)