# PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. <http://hdl.handle.net/2066/48087>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2018-07-07 and may be subject to change.



doi:10.1182/blood-2007-04-081125 Prepublished online November 19, 2007; 2008 111: 1634-1643

### **gain-of-function for PML-RAR** α **Gene transactivation without direct DNA binding defines a novel**

and Joop H. Jansen J. Erpelinck-Verschueren, Bob Löwenberg, Theo de Witte, Daniel G. Tenen, Bert A. van der Reijden Sake van Wageningen, Marleen C. Breems-de Ridder, Jeannet Nigten, Gorica Nikoloski, Claudia A.

**<http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/content/111/3/1634.full.html>** Updated information and services can be found at:

 [Neoplasia](http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/cgi/collection/neoplasia) (4217 articles) Articles on similar topics can be found in the following Blood collections

**[http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#repub\\_requests](http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#repub_requests)** Information about reproducing this article in parts or in its entirety may be found online at:

**<http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#reprints>** Information about ordering reprints may be found online at:

**<http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/subscriptions/index.xhtml>** Information about subscriptions and ASH membership may be found online at:



[Copyright 2011 by The American Society of Hematology; all rights reserved.](http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/subscriptions/ToS.dtl) Washington DC 20036. by the American Society of Hematology, 2021 L St, NW, Suite 900, Blood (print ISSN 0006-4971, online ISSN 1528-0020), is published weekly

## Gene transactivation without direct DNA binding defines a novel gain-of-function for PML-RAR $\alpha$

Sake van Wageningen,<sup>1</sup> Marleen C. Breems-de Ridder,<sup>2</sup> Jeannet Nigten,<sup>1</sup> Gorica Nikoloski,<sup>1</sup> Claudia A. J. Erpelinck-Verschueren,<sup>2</sup> Bob Löwenberg,<sup>2</sup> Theo de Witte,<sup>1</sup> Daniel G. Tenen,<sup>3</sup> Bert A. van der Reijden,<sup>1</sup> and Joop H. Jansen<sup>1</sup>

1Central Hematology Laboratory and Department of Hematology, Nijmegen Centre for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; 2Institute of Hematology, Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; and 3Harvard Institutes of Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Boston, MA

**PML-RAR is the causative oncogene in 5% to 10% of the cases of acute myeloid leukemia. At physiological concentrations of retinoic acid, PML-RAR silences RAR target genes, blocking differentiation of the cells. At high concentrations of ligand, it (re)activates the transcription of target genes, forcing terminal differentiation. The** study of  $f{RAR}_{\alpha}$  target genes that mediate

**this differentiation has identified several genes that are important for proliferation and differentiation control in normal and malignant hematopoietic cells. In this paper,** we show that the PML-RAR<sub>a</sub> fusion protein **not only interferes with the transcription of** regular RAR<sub>α</sub> target genes. We show that **the** *ID1* **and** *ID2* **promoters are activated by** PML-RAR<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> but, unexpectedly, not by wild**type RAR/RXR. Our data support a model in which the PML-RARfusion protein regulates a novel class of target genes by interaction with the Sp1 and NF-Y transcription factors, without directly binding to the DNA, defining a gain-of-function for the oncoprotein. (Blood. 2008;111:1634-1643)**

© **2008 by The American Society of Hematology**

#### **Introduction**

Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) is characterized by an excess of immature promyelocytes in the bone marrow that fail to differentiate toward mature granulocytes. In approximately 98% of the cases, the retinoic acid receptor- $\alpha$  (RAR $\alpha$ ) gene is fused to the promyelocytic leukemia (PML) gene resulting in a PML-RAR fusion protein. The PML-RAR $\alpha$  chimeric protein contains most of the PML sequence and a large part of  $RAR\alpha$ , including its DNAand nuclear hormone–binding domains. APL blasts can be forced to terminally differentiate using pharmacological doses of all*-trans* retinoic acid (ATRA). When treated with chemotherapy, APL patients can be cured in approximately 40% of the cases. The combination of ATRA with chemotherapy leads to a remarkably high cure rate of approximately  $90\%,^{1,2}$  and APL currently represents the best prognostic group among the different forms of leukemia. This treatment constitutes one of the first examples of successful induction of differentiation of malignant cells yielding significant clinical results.

The role of PML-RAR $\alpha$  in transformation and terminal differentiation has been studied intensively in the past decade. PML-RAR $\alpha$ was shown to act as a dominant oncogene, interfering with the normal function of the unrearranged PML as well as the unrearranged  $RAR\alpha$  protein. Expression of the fusion protein in immature hematopoietic cells induced a maturation block at the promyelocytic stage. Inoculation of PML-RAR $\alpha$ –transduced bone marrow cells into irradiated syngenic mice resulted in the development of retinoic acid–sensitive leukemia.<sup>3,4</sup> Furthermore, PML-RAR $\alpha$  transgenic mice developed a myeloproliferative syndrome that progressed to overt leukemia in 30% to 90% of the animals after 6 to 12 months, depending on the promoter that was used.<sup>5-7</sup>

PML is a ubiquitously expressed protein that localizes to nuclear substructures termed nuclear bodies. More than 50 protein partners with various biologic functions colocalize with PML.<sup>8</sup> In APL cells, these nuclear bodies are disrupted and dispersed into numerous small microspeckles.9 PML has multiple tumorsuppressor functions and is involved in growth control, replicative senescence, and apoptosis.<sup>10</sup> PML<sup> $-/-$ </sup> mice are prone to develop tumors in response to various forms of stress. In addition,  $PML-RAR\alpha$  transgenic mice develop leukemia much faster in a PML<sup>-/-</sup> background.<sup>11</sup>

Retinoic acid receptors are transcription factors that activate genes in a ligand-dependent manner.  $RAR\alpha$  binds to DNA as a heterodimer with RXR proteins. In the absence of ligand, both  $RAR\alpha/RXR$  and PML-RAR $\alpha$  bind corepressors such as N-Cor and SMRT and recruit histone deacetylases leading to gene silencing. In the presence of ligand, the corepressors are replaced by coactivators, leading to transcriptional activation. However, PML- $RAR\alpha$  releases the corepressors at much higher concentrations of ligand compared with the unrearranged receptors. Since PML- $RAR\alpha$  competes with unrearranged receptors for the same DNAbinding sites, the presence of the fusion protein results in dominant silencing of retinoic acid receptor target genes at physiological concentrations of ligand. At higher, supraphysiological concentrations the fusion protein can still function as a transcriptional activator releasing the corepressor complex and allowing the

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

© 2008 by The American Society of Hematology

SubmittedApril 2, 2007; accepted November 8, 2007. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, November 19, 2007; DOI 10.1182/blood-2007-04-081125.

S.W. and M.C.B.-R. contributed equally to this work.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

transcription of genes that are important for granulocytic differentiation.12-15 The release of the differentiation block by high concentrations of retinoic acid leads to terminal granulocytic differentiation of the leukemic cells and the induction of hematologic remissions in the patients.  $RAR\alpha$  target genes are not restricted to genes that contain a consensus retinoic acid receptor– binding site in their promoter. Liganded  $RAR\alpha$  is able to repress AP-1–mediated transcription.<sup>16-18</sup> PML-RAR $\alpha$  abnormally regulates AP-1 activity as it stimulates AP-1–dependent transcription in the presence of ligand, whereas unliganded  $PML-RAR\alpha$  inhibits AP-1–dependent transcription.<sup>19</sup> In addition,  $RAR\alpha$  may interfere with GATA-2–dependent transcription. Liganded  $RAR\alpha$  enhances GATA-2–dependent gene transcription via direct protein-protein interaction.<sup>20</sup> This activity is retained in the PML-RAR $\alpha$  fusion protein.21 Furthermore, interaction of normal retinoid receptors with the transcription factor Sp1 has been shown.<sup>22,23</sup> Together, the data support a model in which PML-RAR $\alpha$  interferes with RAR $\alpha$ target gene expression in a dominant fashion, and that restoration of target gene expression by high concentrations of ligand is important for the induction of differentiation.

Apart from interference with the function of both parental proteins in a dominant-negative manner, a gain-of-function for  $PML-RAR\alpha$  is suggested by various observations. PML-RAR $\alpha$  may bind to DNA as a heterodimer with RXR, but also independently from RXR as a homodimer.24 It may bind to regular retinoic acid receptor–binding sites consisting of a repeated consensus ((A/G)G(T/G)TCA) sequence, but the required spacing between the 2 half-sites is less stringent for the fusion protein. This allows the fusion protein to bind to a wider range of DNA-target sequences compared with normal receptors.<sup>25,26</sup> The importance of PML-RAR $\alpha$  as a transcriptional activator of differentiationinducing genes was shown by in vitro experiments. Expression of  $PML-RAR\alpha$  in the myeloid cell line U937 (that also expresses normal retinoic acid receptors) enhanced their sensitivity to the induction of differentiation by ATRA.27 In addition, forced expression of PML- $RAR\alpha$ , but not  $RAR\alpha$ , in an ATRA-resistant APL cell line with constitutive degradation of the chimeric protein restored ATRA sensitivity.28 Importantly, in APL patients who became resistant to differentiation induction with ATRA during therapy, additional mutations were found in the ligand-binding domain of the RAR $\alpha$  part of the PML- $RAR\alpha$  fusion protein, indicating an important role for the fusion protein during the retinoic acid–induced differentiation of the leukemic cells.<sup>29</sup> Finally, experimental mouse models in which various natural and artificial  $RAR\alpha$  fusion proteins were expressed also support a model in which interference with the function of  $RAR\alpha$  and PML is important, but in addition, they suggest that the PML-RAR $\alpha$  fusion protein exhibits gain-of function characteristics, unique to the fusion protein.7,30 So far, the mechanisms behind these observations remain largely unclear.

We have previously shown that the transcription factor inhibitors ID1 and ID2 are up-regulated upon treatment with ATRA and play a role in cell cycle arrest during APL differentiation.<sup>31</sup> In this study, we investigated the mechanism by which these genes are regulated in APL cells. We found that *ID1* and *ID2* are regulated by  $PML-RAR\alpha$  through a novel mechanism, which is not shared with normal  $RAR\alpha$ , defining an as-yet-unrecognized class of retinoic acid–induced genes in APL.

#### **Methods**

#### **Cell culture**

NB4, U937, and U937-PR9 cells (kindly provided by Dr P. G. Pelicci and Dr F. Grignani) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD); Hep3b cells, in IMDM (Gibco); and HEK293 cells, in DMEM 2 mM L-glutamine. Media were supplemented with 10% FCS (Gibco). ATRA was used at a final concentration of  $10^{-6}$  M (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and  $ZnSO_4$ , at 100  $\mu$ M. Cycloheximide (ICN, Costa Mesa, CA) was added 30 minutes prior to ATRA at 4  $\mu$ g/mL.

#### **DNA constructs and antibodies**

The human *ID1* promoter was obtained from J. Campisi (University of California, Berkeley). The *ID2* promoter<sup>32</sup> was cloned into the *Xho*I-*Hin*dIII sites of the pGL3-basic vector (Promega, Madison WI) after amplification with the following primers: sense 5-GTACGGTACCTC-GAGTTGGGCATGGTTTGCAATA-3' and antisense 5'-GTACAGATCTA- $\mathrm{AGCTTGAAGCCCGAGCCCGGC-3'.\,RARE_{3}\text{-}tk-luc,^{33} PML\text{-}RAR\alpha\Delta R,^{34}}$ and PML-RAR $\alpha\Delta CC$ , PML-RAR $\alpha$ , RAR $\alpha$ , and RXR expression constructs<sup>25</sup> were as described. FLAG-PML-RAR $\alpha$  was from A. Tomita<sup>35</sup> and was recloned into a cytomegalovirus (CMV) expression vector. *ID1* promoter deletion/mutation fragments were constructed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cloned into the *Xho*I-*Hin*dIII sides of PGL3-basic (Promega). All constructs were sequence verified. The dominant-negative NF-YA (YAm29) construct and anti–NF-YB polyclonal antiserum were from R. Mantovani (University of Milan, Milan, Italy). pGEX-Sp1 was from H. Rotheneder (University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria). Anti-Sp1 (PEP-2), anti-NF-YA (H-209), anti-RARα (C-20), and anti-ID1 (Z-8) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-PML rabbit antibody was as described.<sup>9</sup> Anti-FLAG (M2) antibody was from Sigma. As a control in the chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments, human IgG was used (Ivegam, Sanquin, The Netherlands)

#### **Northern blotting**

Total cellular RNA was isolated by guanidium-isothiocyanate lysis and centrifugation on a 5.7 M cesium chloride cushion. Total RNA (10  $\mu$ g) was size-fractionated by 1% agarose-formaldehyde gel electrophoresis and transferred onto Hybond  $N^+$  nylon membranes (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). Filters were hybridized at 65°C overnight in phosphate buffer (2N NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 7% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 1 mM EDTA, pH 8, and 1% BSA). DNA probes were labeled with  $[^{32}P]\alpha$ -dATP by random primed labeling (Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany). After hybridization, filters were washed in  $0.2 \times$  SSC/0.2% SDS for 15 minutes at 65°C. Northern blots were hybridized to radiolabeled human *ID1* or *ID2* probes (kindly provided by Dr S. Stegman). As a control for equal loading, filters were stripped and hybridized to a 777-bp *Hin*dIII-*Eco*RI human GAPDH fragment.

#### **Transactivation studies**

Cells were transfected using calcium-phosphate precipitates with  $0.25 \mu$ g pGL3-*ID1* or -*ID2* promoter, 0.05 µg nuclear receptor expression vector, 0.1  $\mu$ g Renilla vector (pRL-CMV; Promega), and 1  $\mu$ g YAm29 expression vector. The total amount of DNA was normalized to  $1.4 \mu g$  for all transfections using empty vectors. Cells were harvested 16 hours after ATRA treatment using  $100 \mu L$  Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega). Firefly luciferase and Renilla luciferase activities were measured on a luminometer (Lumat LB 9507; Perkin-Elmer/Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System reagents (Promega).

#### **Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

Nuclear extracts were prepared as described,  $36$  and 5  $\mu$ g was incubated in a total volume of 15  $\mu$ L containing 1  $\mu$ g double stranded poly(dI)-poly(dC), 10 mM HEPES, 50 mM KCL, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>,  $10\%$  (wt/vol) glycerol,  $300 \mu g/mL$  BSA, and  $0.5$  ng of a labeled double-stranded oligonucleotide probe: *ID1* 5'-CCGCCCATTGGCT-GCTTTTGAACGT. To show specificity of binding, nonlabeled 100-fold excess of double-stranded oligonucleotides was added to compete for binding with the labeled probe. For this, either the *ID1* probe (selfcompetition), a sequence not containing any CCAAT box (5-TCAGAGT-TCAAGGTTCTAGTCGCTGCGGC), or a NF-Y–binding site containing

1636 VAN WAGENINGEN et al BLOOD, 1 FEBRUARY 2008 • VOLUME 111, NUMBER 3



**Figure 1.** *ID1* **and** *ID2* **are direct retinoic acid target genes in NB4 cells.** Quantitative PCR and Northern blot analysis of NB4 cells treated with ATRA. mRNA was isolated from NB4 cells and ID1 (A) and ID2 (B) expression was determined using specific primers and probes by quantitative PCR ( $n = 4$ ). Quantities were normalized based on  $\beta$ -actin expression. To investigate whether the induction of ID1 and ID2 was dependent on intermediate protein production, cells were treated with cycloheximide alone (4  $\mu$ g/mL) or with the combination of cycloheximide and ATRA (10<sup>-6</sup> M). Blots were hybridized using radiolabeled ID1-specific (C) and ID2 specific (D) probes. As a control for equal loading, blots were stripped and rehybridized with GAPDH-specific probes.

oligonucleotide from the CD10 gene (5-ATCCCGACCAATGAGCG-CACGGGGCCGGGT) was used.37 DNA-Protein complexes were resolved on a 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel in  $0.5 \times$  TBE buffer.

#### immunoprecipitated DNA were analyzed using quantitative PCR for genomic sequences from the *ID1, ID2, RAR,* and *p21* promoter regions.38

#### **GST pull-down**

GST fusion proteins were produced in *E coli* BL21 which were induced at  $A_{600} = 0.5$  with 300  $\mu$ M IPTG for 4 hours. Proteins were released by sonication and loaded onto glutathione-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) by incubation at 4°C for 2 hours in lysis buffer (0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris [pH 8.3], 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40, and protease inhibitors). Pull-down was performed using in vitro–translated PML-RAR $\alpha$  and PML-RARαΔcc (reticulocyte lysate; Promega) or rHSp1 (Promega), by 2-hour incubation at 4°C. Beads were washed in lysis buffer and subsequently resuspended in SDS-loading buffer.

#### **Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

DNA-protein cross-linking was done for 30 minutes at room temperature by adding formaldehyde at a final concentration of 1% directly to the culture medium. Cross-linking was stopped by the addition of glycine to a final concentration of 125 mM. Cells were washed with ice-cold phosphatebuffered saline, buffer B (10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.25% Triton X-100, 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6]), and buffer C (1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM HEPES [pH 7.6]) and resuspended in incubation buffer (0.15% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6] and protease inhibitors) at  $33 \times 10^6$  cells/mL. Chromatin was sonicated using the Bioruptor (Cosmo Bio, Tokyo, Japan), at high intensity for 15 minutes with 0.5-minute intervals. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 4°C for 15 minutes. Supernatant (120  $\mu$ L) was incubated with 30  $\mu$ L precoated protein A/G plus agarose beads 50% vol/vol (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 0.1% BSA, 36  $\mu$ L 5  $\times$  incubation buffer, protease inhibitors and 2 to 5  $\mu$ g antibody (anti–NF-YA, anti-PML, anti-FLAG, or nonspecific IgG from human serum) and rocked at 4°C for 16 hours. Beads were harvested by centrifugation and washed twice with buffer 1 (0.1% SDS, 0.1% NaDOC, 1% Triton X-100, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, and 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6]), once with buffer 2 (0.1% SDS, 0.1% NaDOC, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, and 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6]), once with buffer 3 (0.25 M LiCL, 0.5% NaDOC, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6]), and twice with buffer 4 (1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6]). Chromatin antibody complexes were eluted by the addition of 1% SDS and 0.1 M  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  to the pellet and incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature. Cross-linking was reversed by the addition of NaCl (0.44 M final concentration) and incubation of the eluted samples for at least 4 hours at 65°C. DNA was recovered by phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol extraction followed by chloroform-isoamylalcohol extraction and precipitated by the addition of 0.1 volume of 1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2), and 2.5 volumes of ethanol. Precipitated DNA was dissolved in water, and input as well as **Quantitative PCR**

Quantitative PCR to measure ID1 and ID2 mRNA expression was performed with the ABI/PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection system (ABI/ PE). As a reference gene, *PBGD* was used. The primer/probe sequences for the *ID1* gene were as follows: sense 5'-GTTACTCACGCCTCAAG-GAGCT, antisense 5'-GAGAATCTCCACCTTGCTCACC, and probe FAM 5-CCCACCCTGCCCCAGAACCG; for *ID2*: sense 5-GACTGCTACTC-CAAGCTCAAGGA, antisense 5-CGTGCTGCAGGATTTCCAT, and probe FAM 5'-CCCAGCATCCCCCAGAACAAGAAGG. PCRs were done in a 50- $\mu$ L reaction mixture (1.25 U AmpliTaq Gold, 1  $\times$  buffer A [both ABI/PE],  $250 \text{ mM dNTPs}$  [Pharmacia], and  $5 \text{ mM MgCl}_2$  for 10 minutes at 95°C followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 62°C. Quantitative PCR following ChIP was done using Sybr Green PCR (ABI/PE). Primer sequences for the *ID1* promoter were as follows; sense 5-CACTGCGAGCAGGCACTAGAC and antisense 5-AGCCACA-GCTTGTCTTT; for the *ID2* promoter: sense 5'-CTGTACTCTAT-TTACCACCCCAGCTG and antisense 5'-GGCGTGGGCTTGGTTCTT; for the *RAR* $\beta$  promoter: sense 5'-TTGGGTCATTTGAAGGTTAGCA and antisense 5-CACACAGAATGAAAGATTGAATTGC; for the *p21* gene, sense 5-GGCGGGGCGGTTGTAT and antisense 5-AAG-GAACTGACTTCGGCAGC.

#### **Results**

#### *ID1* **and** *ID2* **are direct retinoic acid–responsive genes in APL cells**

Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors and their inhibitors, ID proteins, play crucial roles in the regulation of differentiation in various cell types. We have shown that ID1 and ID2 are up-regulated in APL cells upon exposure to ATRA.<sup>31</sup> Up-regulation of ID1 and ID2 mRNA was confirmed with qPCR (Figure 1A,B), showing clear up-regulation within 4 hours after the addition of ATRA. To investigate whether ID1 and ID2 were directly up-regulated by ATRA, cells were treated with cycloheximide prior to the addition of ATRA to inhibit protein translation. Both ID1 and ID2 mRNAs were up-regulated within 0.5 hours by ATRA, regardless of the addition of cycloheximide (Figure 1C,D). This indicates that both genes were directly up-regulated, without intermediate protein production.



**Figure 2. The** *ID1* **promoter is transactivated by PML-RAR but not by RAR/RXR.** (A) Hep3B cells were transfected with the 963-bp *ID1* promoterluciferase reporter construct *(ID1-luc)* together with a control vector expressing Renilla luciferase. In addition, vectors coding for the various proteins indicated in the figure were transfected. Transactivation is expressed as arbitrary units and is corrected for transfection efficiency measured by Renilla luciferase. Background luminescence of the cells transfected with only the reporter construct without nuclear receptors and in the absence of ATRA was set at 1. Cells were cultured for 16 hours without ( $\Box$ ) and with ( $\Box$ ) ATRA. Mean values and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments  $(\pm$  SD) are shown. (B) To show transactivation by unrearranged retinoic acid receptors, cells were transfected with the RARE3-tk-luc vector, containing 3 bona fide RAREs. Mean values of 3 independent experiments  $(\pm$  SD) are shown. (C) ID1 expression is increased by PML-RAR $\alpha$  in the presence of ATRA. The zinc-inducible PML-RAR $\alpha$  cell line U937-PR9 was grown in the absence (left panel) or presence (right panel) of zinc for 16 hours. Cells were treated with ATRA and harvested at the indicated time points. Proteins were size-fractionated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Immunostaining was done with anti-ID1 antibody.

#### The  $ID1$  upstream promoter is transactivated by PML-RAR $\alpha$  but **not by RAR/RXR**

To identify the regulatory DNA sequences through which the induction of *ID1* by retinoic acid was mediated, we analyzed the 5' upstream promoter sequence in transactivation assays. A 963-bp fragment of the promoter, including the putative TATA box, was cloned into a luciferase reporter construct. When expressed alone, RAR, RXR, and PML were not able to transactivate the *ID1* promoter both in the absence and presence of ATRA (Figure 2A). In contrast, PML-RAR $\alpha$  transactivated the promoter more than 12-fold in an ATRA-dependent fashion. Surprisingly, the combination of RAR $\alpha$ /RXR did not transactivate the promoter, both in the absence and presence of ATRA. To verify that  $RAR\alpha$  and  $RXR$ were expressed and functional, a control luciferase construct was used containing  $3$  bona fide RAREs from the RAR $\beta$  promoter  $(RARE<sub>3</sub>-tk-luc<sup>39</sup>)$ . This construct was strongly transactivated in the presence of ATRA through endogenous retinoic acid receptors (Figure 2B left bars). This was further enhanced when  $RAR\alpha$  and RXR were cotransfected (Figure 2B right bars). Together this shows that PML-RAR $\alpha$  may regulate *ID1* expression through the upstream 963-bp promoter, whereas  $RAR\alpha/RXR$  cannot.

To test whether PML-RAR $\alpha$  expression would result in ATRAdependent induction of the endogenous *ID1* gene, we used the U937-PR9 cell line that is stably transfected with a  $Zn^{2+}$ -inducible  $PML-RAR\alpha$  expression cassette.<sup>34</sup> In  $PML-RAR\alpha$ –expressing U937 cells, ATRA strongly induced ID1 expression (Figure 2C right panel), in contrast to U937 cells that did not express  $PML-RAR\alpha$  (Figure 2C left panel).

#### **Transactivation of the** *ID1* **promoter is dependent on GC and CCAAT box motifs**

To determine the DNA sequences that are relevant for the observed  $PML-RAR_{\alpha}$ –dependent transactivation, we analyzed the 963-bp upstream promoter construct of the *ID1* gene further. The consensus RAR/RXR-binding sequence (RARE) consists of a repeated (A/G)G(T/G)TCA sequence that is separated by 2 or 5 base pairs. However, in the 963-bp *ID1* promoter, no consensus RARE was found. As PML-RAR $\alpha$  may bind to a much wider variety of DNA sequences,<sup>25,26</sup> we made luciferase constructs with different promoter truncations. All the truncation mutants could still be



Figure 3. The CCAAT and GC box in the *ID1* promoter are required for PML-RAR<sub><sup> $\alpha$ </sup>-mediated transactivation. (A) ID1 promoter region showing the presence of</sub> consensus Sp1- and NF-Y–binding sites. (B) Transactivation of ID1 promoter-luciferase constructs. Several deletion constructs were generated and transfected in combination with RAR $_{\alpha}$  plus RXR, or with PML-RAR $_{\alpha}$ . Transactivation assays were performed as described in Figure 2. Mean values from 3 independent experiments ( $\pm$  SD) are shown. (C) To investigate the importance of the putative Sp1- and NF-Y-binding sites in the - 121-bp upstream promoter sequence of the *ID1* gene for transactivation by PML-RAR $\alpha$ , these sites were mutated in the context of the - 963-bp promoter fragment. Mutations were introduced either alone, or in combination. Transactivation by PML-RARα was performed as described in Figure 1. Sequences were mutated as follows: GC box: CCGCCC was replaced by CTATCC; NF-Y site: ATTGG was replaced by ACACG. Transactivation was significantly lower in the promoter fragments with one mutated binding site in comparison with the wt promoter fragment (*P* .01).

1638 VAN WAGENINGEN et al BLOOD, 1 FEBRUARY 2008 • VOLUME 111, NUMBER 3



**Figure 4. NF-Y binds to the CCAAT box element from the** *ID1* **promoter and is required for PML-RAR–mediated transactivation.** (A) EMSA showing binding of NF-Y to the putative NF-Y–binding site from the *ID1* promoter. Hep3B nuclear extracts were incubated with a labeled DNA probe containing the NF-Y box from the *ID1* promoter region. A clearly shifted protein-DNA complex was seen (lane 1). Competition experiments were done with 100 x cold ID1 probe (lane 2) and with an unlabeled probe containing the confirmed NF-Y-binding site from the CD10 gene promoter (lane 5). In addition, a sequence without any recognizable NF-Y-binding site was used for competition (lane 3). Addition of anti–NF-YB antibody shifted the complex to a higher molecular weight complex (lane 4). Arrows indicate free probe (1), shifted DNA-protein complex (2), and the supershifted DNA-protein-antibody complex (3). (B) To detect DNA-binding of NF-Y in intact cells, ChIP assays were performed using anti-NF-YA antibodies. As a control, the nonspecific IgG fraction from human serum was used. The y-axis shows the recovery (%) of *ID1* or *RAR* sequences relative to the input. In U937 cells, NF-Y clearly bound to the *ID1* promoter (right bars), but not to the RAR<sub>B</sub> gene (left bars). Mean values from 3 independent experiments plus or minus SD are shown. (C) To further show the importance of NF-Y for the PML-RAR–mediated transcriptional activation of *ID1,* transfections (as described in Figure 2) were performed using the dominant-negative NF-YA subunit (Yam29) and the 963 ID1 promoter construct. In the presence of YAm29, PML-RAR<sub>«</sub>-mediated transcription was severely diminished (right bars). (D) To investigate the importance of different domains of PML-RARα, vectors encoding the DNA-binding-defective PML-RARαΔR mutant, and a mutant lacking the coiled-coil protein-protein interaction domain of PML (PML-RAR $\alpha\Delta$ CC), were used. In addition, a PLZF-RAR $\alpha$  expression construct was used. Transfections were performed as in Figure 2 using the 963 *ID1* promoter construct; mean values of 3 independent experiments plus or minus SD are shown.

transactivated by PML-RAR $\alpha$  (Figure 3B), including the smallest, 121-bp promoter construct. This construct does not contain any sequence that even remotely resembles a retinoic acid receptor–binding site (Figure 3A). Analysis of the 121-bp promoter sequence for putative binding sites for other transcription factors showed a perfect consensusbinding site for the transcription factors NF-Y (CCAAT box) and Sp1 (GC box). To test their relevance, we mutated these sites individually and in combination in the context of the 963-bp promoter fragment. Mutation of the GC box or the CCAAT box alone partially impaired transactivation by PML-RAR $\alpha$ , while deletion of the GC box and the CCAAT box in combination almost completely abolished transactivation (Figure 3C; raw Renilla and Firefly luciferase data are given in Figure S1, available on the *Blood* website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). This indicated that transactivation of the *ID1* promoter by PML-RAR was dependent on the GC and CCAAT motifs.

#### **Functional NF-Y is necessary for transactivation of the** *ID1* **promoter by PML-RAR**

Sp1 and NF-Y are ubiquitously expressed transcription factors. NF-Y is a trimeric protein complex consisting of the subunits NF-YA, NF-YB, and NF-YC. All 3 subunits are necessary for the complex to bind to the DNA.40 Using real-time PCR, we confirmed that Sp1 and all 3 NF-Y subunits were expressed in primary APL cells (Figure S2). Binding of Sp1 to the promoter of *ID1* was shown previously.41 Therefore, we tested whether NF-Y was able to bind to the CCAAT site from the *ID1* promoter. Incubation of a radioactively labeled DNA probe containing the CCAAT box with cellular protein extracts resulted in a clear shifted complex (Figure 4A lane 1). This shift was competed by a 100-fold excess of nonlabeled probe (Figure 4A lane 2) and by an excess of an oligo containing the NF-Y–binding site of the CD10 promoter (Figure 4A lane 542), but not by an excess of probe lacking a NF-Y–binding site (Figure 4A lane 3). When anti–NF-YB antiserum was added (Figure 4A lane 4) the protein-DNA complex was supershifted, identifying the DNA-binding protein complex as NF-Y. To further test whether NF-Y is present on the endogenous *ID1* promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays. Using anti–NF-YA antibody, recovery of the *ID1* promoter sequences from U937 cells was more than 70 times higher than recovery with nonspecific IgGs (Figure 4B right bars). In the same experiment, no enrichment was seen for the *RAR* $\beta$  promoter (Figure 4B left bars), indicating that NF-Y is present on the endogenous *ID1* promoter but not on the  $RAR\beta$  promoter.

A dominant-negative form of NF-YA has been described (YAm29).43 This mutated NF-YA subunit can still bind to the NF-YB and NF-YC subunits, but is not able to bind to DNA, preventing the formation of a functional trimeric NF-Y complex. To investigate whether functional NF-Y was necessary for the transactivation of *ID1,* the YAm29 mutant was tested in a transactivation assay. Transactivation of *ID1* by PML-RARα upon ATRA treatment was severely decreased in the presence of dominant-negative NF-YA (Figure 4C). This effect was promoter specific as YAm29 did not influence transactivation of the *RAR* promoter construct (RARE<sub>3</sub>-tk-luc) by PML-RAR $\alpha$  or RAR $\alpha$ / RXR (data not shown).

BLOOD, 1 FEBRUARY 2008 · VOLUME 111, NUMBER 3  $\text{only.}$  $\text{only.}$  $\text{only.}$  Sp1- & NF-Y–DEPENDENT GENE ACTIVATION BY PML-RAR $\alpha$  1639

**Figure 5. The** *ID2* **promoter is transactivated by PML-RAR but not by RAR/RXR.** (A) Cells were transiently transfected with a 812-bp *ID2*-luciferase reporter construct *(ID2-luc).* Transfections and controls were as described in Figure 2. Background luminescence of the cells transfected with only a reporter construct (no nuclear receptor and no ATRA) was set at 1. Transactivation was measured after treatment without  $(\square)$  and with  $(\blacksquare)$  10<sup>-6</sup> M ATRA. Mean values from at least 3 independent experiments plus or minus SD are shown. (B) Dominant-negative NF-Y (Yam29) inhibits the transactivation of the ID2 promoter construct by PML-RAR. Transfections were done as described in Figure 2; mean values of 3 independent experiments plus or minus SD are shown. (C) Promoter region of the *ID2* gene with putative Sp1- and NF-Y–binding sites.



#### **Up-regulation of** *ID1* **is dependent on PML-RAR but does not require the DNA-binding domain of the fusion protein**

To test whether PML-RARα might transactivate the *ID1* promoter without directly binding to the DNA, we used a PML-RAR $\alpha$ construct from which the DNA-binding domain was deleted (PML-RAR/ $\Delta$ R,<sup>34</sup>). PML-RAR/ $\Delta$ R has been shown to be unable to transactivate a promoter containing a bona fide RARE. In contrast, PML-RAR/ $\Delta$ R retained the ability to transactivate the *ID1* promoter (Figure 4D). Similar results were found when the transactivation assay was repeated in the myeloid cell line HL-60 (Figure S3). This indicated that transactivation of the *ID1* promoter by PML- $RAR\alpha$  does not require direct binding of PML-RAR $\alpha$  to the DNA.

PLZF-RAR $\alpha$  is generated by a t(11;17) translocation that is found in approximately 2% of the patients with APL. It contains the same part of the retinoic acid receptor as  $PML-RAR<sub>\alpha</sub>$ . Similar to  $PML-RAR\alpha$ , this fusion protein may form homodimers with  $DNA$ -binding capacity.<sup>44</sup> Interestingly, PLZF-RAR $\alpha$  was not able to transactivate the *ID1* promoter, suggesting that the PML part of  $PML-RAR\alpha$  is necessary for transactivation of the *ID1* promoter (Figure 4D). When the coiled-coil domain of  $PML-RAR\alpha$  was deleted (PML-RAR/ $\Delta CC^{25,34}$ ), it was no longer able to transactivate the *ID1* promoter (Figure 4D). As this domain is involved in protein-protein interactions, this suggested that  $PML-RAR\alpha$  homodimerization or interaction of PML-RAR $\alpha$  with another protein was necessary for the transactivation of *ID1.*

#### **The** *ID2* **promoter is also transactivated by PML-RAR but not by RAR/RXR**

To investigate whether *ID2* was regulated in a similar manner as *ID1,* we cloned the upstream promoter of *ID2* (812 bp) into a luciferase reporter plasmid. Similar to *ID1,* no consensus retinoic acid receptor–binding site could be found in the *ID2* promoter sequence. Also comparable with *ID1*, RAR $\alpha$ , RXR, PML, PLZF- $RAR\alpha$ , and  $RAR\alpha/RXR$  did not transactivate the *ID2* promoter, whereas PML-RAR $\alpha$  did (Figure 5A). Furthermore, transactivation of  $ID2$  by PML-RAR $\alpha$  was abolished in the presence of dominant-negative NF-YA (Figure 5B). Inspection of the *ID2* promoter sequence revealed 3 NF-Y and 5 Sp1 consensus-binding sites (Figure 5C). Together, these data show that like *ID1, ID2* may also be transactivated by PML-RAR $\alpha$  without direct DNA binding of the fusion protein.

#### **PML-RAR directly interacts with Sp1**

NF-Y and Sp1 have been shown to work in concert on many promoters. Direct physical interaction between Sp1 and NF-YA has been shown, indicating that these proteins may bind to adjacent DNA-binding sites and form a complex that regulates transcription.<sup>45</sup> We investigated whether PML-RAR $\alpha$  could physically interact with Sp1 or NF-YA. GST-tagged, bacterially produced NF-YA and Sp1 proteins were made, as well as in vitro–translated (reticulocyte lysate) PML-RAR $\alpha$  for GST pull-down experiments. rhSp1 was commercially available. Whereas a clear interaction was observed between GST-NF-YA and recombinant Sp1 (Figure 6A), in none of the conditions tested could we show a direct interaction between NF-YA and PML-RAR $\alpha$ . In contrast, an interaction between PML-RAR $\alpha$  and Sp1 was readily observed, whereas no interaction was seen with nonloaded or GST-loaded beads (Figure  $6A$ ). GST-Sp1 was also able to capture PML-RAR/ $\Delta CC$ , although with a much lower efficiency than  $PML-RAR<sub>\alpha</sub>$ . This suggests that the binding of PML-RAR $\alpha$  is partly through the coiled-coil region and partly through the  $RAR\alpha$  part of the fusion protein. This is in agreement with earlier publications that have shown binding of both PML and  $RAR\alpha$  to Sp1.<sup>22,46</sup>

#### **PML and PML-RAR are present on the endogenous** *ID1* **promoter**

To show the physical interaction of  $PML-RAR\alpha$  with the endogenous *ID1* and *ID2* promoters in intact cells, we performed ChIP assays using PML-specific antibodies. The *RAR* $\beta$  promoter, which contains a well-defined RARE, served as a positive control. In NB4 cells, we found a clear enrichment for the  $RAR\beta$  promoter (Figure 6B right panel). In contrast, in U937 cells that are PML-RARα negative, no enrichment for the *RARβ* promoter was seen (Figure 6B left panel), indicating that  $PML-RAR\alpha$ binds to the *RAR* $\beta$  promoter in NB4 cells. The *ID1* gene was also precipitated with anti-PML antibody in NB4 cells (Figure 6C right panel). However, this was observed not only in the PML-RAR $\alpha$ –positive NB4 cells, but also in the PML-RAR $\alpha$ – negative U937 cells (Figure 6C left panel). This indicates that in U937 cells, unrearranged PML protein was present on the *ID1* promoter. As the anti-PML does not discriminate between PML and PML-RAR $\alpha$ , we could not determine which of these 2 proteins was bound to the *ID1* promoter in NB4 cells. To





**Figure 6. PML-RAR binds Sp1 and binds to the endogenous** *ID1* **promoter.** (A) To test binding of  $PML-RAR<sub>α</sub>$  to Sp1 and NF-Y, GST pull-down experiments were performed. Empty beads and beads loaded with GST or GST-NF-YA (left panels) were incubated with in vitro–translated Sp1 (positive control) or in vitro-translated PML-RAR $\alpha$ . GST-Sp1 (right panels) was incubated with in vitro-translated PML-RAR $\alpha$  or PML-RAR $\alpha\Delta$ CC. Beads were washed and subsequently resuspended in loading buffer. Protein was resolved on SDS-PAGE. Immunostaining was performed with anti-Sp1 or anti-RAR $\alpha$  antibody. Clear interactions between NF-YA and Sp1 and between  $PML-RAR<sub>α</sub>$  and Sp1 were observed. To show binding of PML-RAR $\alpha$  to the endogenous  $RAR\beta$  (B) and *ID1* (C) genes, ChIP assays were performed in PML-RAR $\alpha$ positive NB4 cells and in PML-RAR $\alpha$ –negative U937 cells. Cells were treated with ATRA for 30 minutes. ChIP was done with anti-PML antiserum. As a control, the nonspecific IgG fraction from human serum was used. The Y-axis shows the recovery (%) of *ID1* or *RAR<sub>B</sub>* sequences relative to the input. Mean values plus or minus SD of 4 independent experiments are shown.

investigate this further, we used a FLAG-tagged PML-RAR $\alpha$ expression construct, allowing us to unequivocally identify binding by the PML-RAR $\alpha$  fusion protein. HEK-293 cells were transfected with FLAG-PML-RAR $\alpha$  (Figure 7A). As a negative control, ChIP was performed using nonspecific IgGs. We compared the presence of (FLAG-tagged) PML-RAR $\alpha$  and NF-Y on the 2 classical ATRA target genes *RARB* and  $p21$ , and on *ID1* and *ID2.* Enrichment of all 4 promoters was found using anti-FLAG antibody, indicating that  $PML-RAR\alpha$  was bound to these 4 genes in intact cells (Figure 7A, compare top and middle rows). Using anti–NF-Y antibody, enrichment of the *ID1* and *ID2* promoters was found but no enrichment of *RAR* $\beta$  and *p21*, indicating that NF-Y was present on *ID1* and *ID2,* but not on the 2 classical ATRA target genes  $RAR\beta$  and  $p21$ . To determine whether the presence of PML-RAR $\alpha$  and NF-Y on the different promoters would be altered by the presence of retinoic acid, ChIP assays were performed in the presence and the absence of ATRA (Figure 7A middle and bottom rows). ATRA did not influence the binding of NF-Y or PML-RAR $\alpha$  to these promoters, showing that their binding is ligand independent.



Figure 7. Binding of PML-RARα to the endogenous *p21, RAR-β, ID1,* and *ID2* genes. (A) To show binding of PML-RARα and NF-Y to the endogenous *p21, RAR-β, ID1,* and *ID2* genes. ChIP assays were performed. As anti-PML antibodies do not discriminate between the unrearranged PML protein and the PML-RAR<sub>a</sub> fusion protein. FLAG-tagged PML-RAR $\alpha$  was used. Cells were transfected with or without FLAG-PML-RAR $\alpha$  and cultured in the presence or absence of 10<sup>-6</sup> M ATRA. ChIP was performed using anti-FLAG antibodies, anti–NF-YA antibodies, and control IgGs. The Y-axis shows the recovery (%) of *ID1/ID2/p21* or *RAR* sequences relative to the input. Mean values of 3 independent experiments (± SD) are shown. (B) Dominant-negative and gain-of-function model for PML-RARα. Genes that are regulated through a retinoic acid–responsive element (RARE) may be bound by PML-RAR $\alpha$  (1). Competition with normal, unrearranged retinoid receptors results in a dominant-negative silencing of the gene by PML-RAR« in the absence of ligand. Addition of high-dose retinoic acid may reverse the silencing, allowing transcription. Sp1- and NF-Y-regulated genes may be targeted by PML-RAR<sub>«</sub> through interaction with Sp1 (2). Tethering of PML-RAR« to these promoters renders them responsive to retinoic acid, representing a gain-of-function for the PML-RAR $\alpha$  fusion protein.

Together, these data show that there are 2 different mechanisms by which PML-RAR $\alpha$  may regulate the transcription of target genes (Figure 7B). The first class of target genes consists of genes that contain a retinoic acid response element in their promoter. These genes are normally regulated by unrearranged retinoic acid receptors and may be deregulated by PML-RAR $\alpha$  in a dominantnegative manner in the absence of ligand. In the presence of high concentrations of ligand, their expression is restored. The second class of target genes consists of genes that are not normally regulated by retinoic acid receptors. Fusion of the  $RAR\alpha$  moiety to PML renders these genes responsive to retinoic acid, which defines a novel gain of function for the PML-RAR $\alpha$  fusion protein.

#### **Discussion**

The successful treatment of APL with high-dose retinoic acid has shown that the differentiation block of the malignant cells can be overcome, leading to terminal differentiation of the leukemic cells and disappearance of the disease. As this is one of the first examples of successful differentiation-induction therapy in cancer, many studies focused on the molecular mechanisms that contribute to the transformation to leukemia, and on the mechanisms that mediate the retinoic acid–induced differentiation of the cells.  $PML-RAR\alpha$  was shown to interfere with the expression of normal  $RAR\alpha$  target genes.  $RAR\alpha$  is an important modulator of granulopoiesis and acts either by direct binding to the DNA, or through interaction with other transcription factors. In this report, we show that PML-RAR $\alpha$  interacts with Sp1 and may interfere with the expression of genes that are not normally regulated by retinoic acid receptors. Previously, it was shown that both unrearranged PML<sup>46</sup> and unrearranged RAR/RXR complexes<sup>22,23</sup> are able to interact with Sp1. Therefore, the interaction of  $PML-RAR\alpha$  with Sp1 could be mediated by the  $RAR\alpha$  part as well as the PML part of the fusion protein. Here we show that PML-RAR $\alpha$  binds Sp1 and that this binding is significantly less efficient when the coiled-coil domain is deleted. The lack of response of the ID1 and the ID2 promoters to RAR $\alpha$ /RXR, PLZF-RAR $\alpha$ , and PML-RAR/ $\Delta CC$  (Figures 2,5) suggests that the PML part of the fusion protein is essential for regulating transcription.

We show that PML-RAR $\alpha$  physically interacts with Sp1 in the absence of DNA (Figure 6). Roder et al have shown that NF-Y and Sp1 interact physically in the absence of DNA,<sup>45</sup> and we obtained the same result (Figure 6). This suggests that the PML-RAR $\alpha$ /Sp1/ NF-Y complex may form before binding to DNA. Figure 3C suggests that the PML-RAR $\alpha$ /Sp1/NF-Y complex still binds, although less efficiently, to the ID1 promoter when one transcription factor–binding site is mutated. Figure 4C shows that the presence of a dominant-negative form of NF-Y abolishes transactivation of the ID1 promoter by PML-RAR $\alpha$ . We hypothesize that this dominant-negative form of NF-Y disrupts the PML-RAR $\alpha$ /Sp1/ NF-Y complex and thereby impairs binding to and transactivation of the ID1 promoter. We propose a model in which  $PML-RAR\alpha$  binds to a DNA-bound Sp1-NF-Y complex, rendering the expression of these genes sensitive to ATRA, and defining a novel gain-of-function for the fusion protein (Figure 7B). In the ChIP experiments, we show that unrearranged PML is recruited to the *ID1* gene (Figure 6C). The physiological meaning of this remains unclear as no effect of PML was observed in the transactivation assays.

As Sp1 is involved in the transcriptional control of various myeloid-specific genes, <sup>47,48</sup> deregulation of its target genes may be relevant in APL. For *ID1* and *ID2,* a role in myelopoiesis and in APL was described previously. Overexpression of ID1 or ID2 in NB4 cells inhibits their proliferation and induces a G0/G1 arrest.<sup>31</sup> In addition, ectopic expression of ID1 in CD34<sup>+</sup> cells inhibited eosinophil development, whereas neutrophilic differentiation was enhanced. Expression of ID2 accelerated the definitive maturation of myeloid cells.49 Other genes may be targeted by a similar mechanism as well. The promoter of the important retinoic acid–responsive gene C/EBP $\beta$  was transactivated by PML-RAR $\alpha$ , but also lacks a consensus RARE within the tested region.<sup>50,51</sup> Possibly, also this gene is (de)regulated by tethering of PML- $RAR\alpha$  to the promoter through protein-protein interactions rather than by direct DNA binding.

Apart from the DNA-binding domain from  $RAR\alpha$ , the coiledcoil domain of PML was shown to be important for optimal induction of terminal differentiation by the fusion protein.<sup>52</sup> This suggested that homodimerization of PML-RAR $\alpha$  is an important feature of the fusion protein, but might also indicate that other protein-protein interactions mediated through the PML part are involved. Several alternative  $RAR\alpha$  fusion proteins occur at low frequency (2% of the cases) in APL patients, in which the same part of  $RAR\alpha$  is fused to other proteins than PML (reviewed in Zelent et al<sup>44</sup>). Common to the various  $RAR\alpha$  partner proteins is the presence of a dimerization domain, suggesting that homodimerization is an important property of these fusion proteins. However, depending on the fusion partner, the sensitivity to retinoic acid differs, suggesting a broader role for the  $RAR\alpha$  partner protein than just the provision of a dimerization domain. Specifically, PLZF- $RAR\alpha$ –positive leukemia appears to be more resistant to ATRA, which has been explained by the recruitment of corepressors by the PLZF part of the fusion protein that are not released upon treatment with ATRA.15,53 This insensitivity could be reversed, as treatment with a combination of ATRA and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) induced granulocytic differentiation in a synergistic manner.<sup>54</sup> In addition, in transgenic mice, different types of disease with different sensitivities to ATRA developed for the various fusion proteins.<sup>7,30</sup> Furthermore, when  $RAR\alpha$  fusion proteins were made by the coupling of  $RAR\alpha$  to artificial dimerization domains, several characteristics of PML-RAR $\alpha$  were recapitulated in vitro, but in vivo these proteins induced leukemia with very low efficiency.55 Interestingly, when these fusion proteins were expressed in a PML<sup>-/-</sup> background, the animals still did not develop leukemia efficiently, leading to the conclusion that the mere combination of disruption of PML and dimerization of  $RAR\alpha$  does not recapitulate the full oncogenic potential of  $PML-RAR<sub>\alpha</sub>$ , and that the fusion protein has gain-of-function characteristics. Together, this showed that dimerization of  $RAR\alpha$  is important but not sufficient to reproduce the complete phenotype of PML-RAR $\alpha$ .

It remains to be investigated whether  $PML-RAR\alpha$  recruits corepressors to the promoters of Sp1 and NF-Y target genes. This would lead to repression of gene expression in the absence of ATRA, possibly contributing to transformation of the cells, similar to the effect of PML-RAR $\alpha$  on regular retinoic acid receptor target genes. So far, we did not observe important down-regulation of ID1 and ID2 mRNA in freshly isolated leukemia cells from APL patient cells compared with non-APL acute myeloid leukemia cells, suggesting that this is not the case (data not shown). However, as *ID1* and *ID2* expression in most leukemic samples was low, further studies are required.

Potentially, RXR may also be present in the PML-RAR $\alpha$ /Sp1/  $NF-Y$  complex. RXR is an essential part of the PML-RAR $\alpha$ complex during transformation, and synergy has been shown between ATRA- and RXR-specific agonist on transcriptional activation.24-26,56 Therefore, RXR-specific agonist may also affect transcription of Sp1 and NF-Y target genes.

In summary, we define a novel, Sp1- and NF-Y–dependent mechanism by which the PML-RAR $\alpha$  fusion protein interferes with gene transcription. This implicates that  $PML-RAR\alpha$  (de)regulates an additional class of genes that is normally not regulated by retinoid receptors and defines a gain-of-function for the PML-RAR<sub>a</sub> fusion protein.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Dr P. G. Pelicci (European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy), Dr F. Grignani (Perugia University, Perugia, Italy), Dr J. Campisi (University of California, Berkeley), Dr A. Tomita (Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan), Dr H. Rotheneder (University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria), and Dr R. Mantovani (University of Milan, Milan, Italy) for supplying materials. We thank W.-J. Welboren and Dr M. L. Lohrum for advice on the ChIP protocol.

#### **References**

- 1. Mistry AR, Pedersen EW, Solomon E, Grimwade D. The molecular pathogenesis of acute promyelocytic leukaemia: implications for the clinical management of the disease. Blood Rev. 2003;17: 71-97.
- 2. Sanz MA, Martin G, Gonzalez M, et al. Riskadapted treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with all-trans-retinoic acid and anthracycline monochemotherapy: a multicenter study by the PETHEMA group. Blood. 2004;103:1237-1243.
- 3. Minucci S, Monestiroli S, Giavara S, et al. PML-RAR induces promyelocytic leukemias with high efficiency following retroviral gene transfer into purified murine hematopoietic progenitors. Blood. 2002;100:2989-2995.
- 4. Grignani F, Valtieri M, Gabbianelli M, et al. PML/ RAR alpha fusion protein expression in normal human hematopoietic progenitors dictates myeloid commitment and the promyelocytic phenotype. Blood. 2000;96:1531-1537.
- 5. Westervelt P, Lane AA, Pollock JL, et al. Highpenetrance mouse model of acute promyelocytic leukemia with very low levels of PML-RARalpha expression. Blood. 2003;102:1857-1865.
- 6. Pollock JL, Westervelt P, Walter MJ, Lane AA, Ley TJ. Mouse models of acute promyelocytic leukemia. Curr Opin Hematol. 2001;8:206-211.
- 7. Pandolfi PP. In vivo analysis of the molecular genetics of acute promyelocytic leukemia. Oncogene. 2001;20:5726-5735.
- 8. Borden KL. Pondering the promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) puzzle: possible functions for PML nuclear bodies. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22:5259- 5269.
- 9. Weis K, Rambaud S, Lavau C, et al. Retinoic acid regulates aberrant nuclear localization of PML-RAR alpha in acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. Cell. 1994;76:345-356.
- 10. Salomoni P, Pandolfi PP. The role of PML in tumor suppression. Cell. 2002;108:165-170.
- 11. Rego EM, Pandolfi PP. Analysis of the molecular genetics of acute promyelocytic leukemia in mouse models. Semin Hematol. 2001;38:54-70.
- 12. Minucci S, Maccarana M, Cioce M, et al. Oligomerization of RAR and AML1 transcription factors as a novel mechanism of oncogenic activation. Mol Cell. 2000;5:811-820.
- 13. Lin RJ, Nagy L, Inoue S, Shao W, Miller WH JR, Evans RM. Role of the histone deacetylase complex in acute promyelocytic leukaemia. Nature. 1998;391:811-814.
- 14. He LZ, Guidez F, Tribioli C, et al. Distinct interac-

tions of PML-RARalpha and PLZF-RARalpha with co-repressors determine differential responses to RA in APL. Nat Genet. 1998;18:126- 135.

- 15. Grignani F, De Matteis S, Nervi C, et al. Fusion proteins of the retinoic acid receptor-alpha recruit histone deacetylase in promyelocytic leukaemia. Nature. 1998;391:815-818.
- 16. Yang-Yen HF, Zhang XK, Graupner G, et al. Antagonism between retinoic acid receptors and AP-1: implications for tumor promotion and inflammation. New Biol. 1991;3:1206-1219.
- 17. Schule R, Rangarajan P, Yang N, et al. Retinoic acid is a negative regulator of AP-1-responsive genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:6092- 6096.
- 18. Nicholson RC, Mader S, Nagpal S, Leid M, Rochette-Egly C, Chambon P. Negative regulation of the rat stromelysin gene promoter by retinoic acid is mediated by an AP1 binding site. EMBO J. 1990;9:4443-4454.
- 19. Doucas V, Brockes JP, Yaniv M, de The H, Dejean A. The PML-retinoic acid receptor alpha translocation converts the receptor from an inhibitor to a retinoic acid-dependent activator of transcription factor AP-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993;90:9345-9349.
- 20. Tsuzuki S, Towatari M, Saito H, Enver T. Potentiation of GATA-2 activity through interactions with the promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) and the t(15;17)-generated PML-retinoic acid receptor alpha oncoprotein. Mol Cell Biol. 2000;20:6276- 6286.
- 21. Tsuzuki S, Kitajima K, Nakano T, Glasow A, Zelent A, Enver T. Cross talk between retinoic acid signaling and transcription factor GATA-2. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24:6824-6836.
- 22. Suzuki Y, Shimada J, Shudo K, Matsumura M, Crippa MP, Kojima S. Physical interaction between retinoic acid receptor and Sp1: mechanism for induction of urokinase by retinoic acid. Blood. 1999;93:4264-4276.
- 23. Shimada J, Suzuki Y, Kim SJ, Wang PC, Matsumura M, Kojima S. Transactivation via RAR/ RXR-Sp1 interaction: characterization of binding between Sp1 and GC box motif. Mol Endocrinol. 2001;15:1677-1692.
- 24. Perez A, Kastner P, Sethi S, Lutz Y, Reibel C, Chambon P. PMLRAR homodimers: distinct DNA binding properties and heteromeric interactions with RXR. EMBO J. 1993;12:3171-3182.
- 25. Jansen JH, Mahfoudi A, Rambaud S, Lavau C, Wahli W, Dejean A. Multimeric complexes of the

This work was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (grant no. 03-2897).

#### **Authorship**

Contribution: S.W. designed and performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper; M.C.B.-R. designed and performed research, and analyzed data; J.N., G.N., and C.A.J.E.-V. performed research and analyzed data; B.L., T.W., and B.A.R. designed research; D.G.T. analyzed data; J.H.J. designed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: J. H. Jansen, Central Hematology Laboratory and Dept of Hematology, Nijmegen Centre for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Geert Grooteplein zuid 8, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands; e-mail: j.jansen@chl.umcn.nl.

> PML-retinoic acid receptor alpha fusion protein in acute promyelocytic leukemia cells and interference with retinoid and peroxisome-proliferator signaling pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:7401-7405.

- 26. Kamashev D, Vitoux D, De The H. PML-RARA-RXR oligomers mediate retinoid and rexinoid/ cAMP cross-talk in acute promyelocytic leukemia cell differentiation. J Exp Med. 2004;199:1163- 1174.
- 27. Grignani F, Ferrucci PF, Testa U, et al. The acute promyelocytic leukemia-specific PML-RAR alpha fusion protein inhibits differentiation and promotes survival of myeloid precursor cells. Cell. 1993;74:423-431.
- 28. Fanelli M, Minucci S, Gelmetti V, Nervi C, Gambacorti-Passerini C, Pelicci PG. Constitutive degradation of PML/RARalpha through the proteasome pathway mediates retinoic acid resistance. Blood. 1999;93:1477-1481.
- 29. Imaizumi M, Suzuki H, Yoshinari M, et al. Mutations in the E-domain of RAR portion of the PML/ RAR chimeric gene may confer clinical resistance to all-trans retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. Blood. 1998;92:374-382.
- 30. Matsushita H, Scaglioni PP, Bhaumik M, et al. In vivo analysis of the role of aberrant histone deacetylase recruitment and RAR alpha blockade in the pathogenesis of acute promyelocytic leukemia. J Exp Med. 2006;203:821-828.
- 31. Nigten J, Breems-de Ridder MC, Erpelinck-Verschueren CA, et al. ID1 and ID2 are retinoic acid responsive genes and induce a G0/G1 accumulation in acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. Leukemia. 2005;19:799-805.
- 32. Kurabayashi M, Jeyaseelan R, Kedes L. Doxorubicin represses the function of the myogenic helix-loop-helix transcription factor MyoD: involvement of Id gene induction. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269:6031-6039.
- 33. de The H, Lavau C, Marchio A, Chomienne C, Degos L, Dejean A. The PML-RAR alpha fusion mRNA generated by the t(15;17) translocation in acute promyelocytic leukemia encodes a functionally altered RAR. Cell. 1991;66:675-684.
- 34. Grignani F, Testa U, Rogaia D, et al. Effects on differentiation by the promyelocytic leukemia PML/RARalpha protein depend on the fusion of the PML protein dimerization and RARalpha DNA binding domains. EMBO J. 1996;15:4949- 4958.
- 35. Tomita A, Buchholz DR, Obata K, Shi YB. Fusion

protein of retinoic acid receptor alpha with promyelocytic leukemia protein or promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein recruits N-CoR-TBLR1 corepressor complex to repress transcription in vivo. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:30788-30795.

- 36. Andrews NC, Faller DV. A rapid micropreparation technique for extraction of DNA-binding proteins from limiting numbers of mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 1991;19:2499.
- 37. Inoue T, Kamiyama J, Sakai T. Sp1 and NF-Y synergistically mediate the effect of vitamin D(3) in the p27(Kip1) gene promoter that lacks vitamin D response elements. J Biol Chem. 1999;274: 32309-32317.
- 38. Rietveld LE, Caldenhoven E, Stunnenberg HG. In vivo repression of an erythroid-specific gene by distinct corepressor complexes. EMBO J. 2002; 21:1389-1397.
- 39. de The H, Vivanco-Ruiz MM, Tiollais P, Stunnenberg H, Dejean A. Identification of a retinoic acid responsive element in the retinoic acid receptor beta gene. Nature. 1990;343:177-180.
- 40. Mantovani R. The molecular biology of the CCAAT-binding factor NF-Y. Gene. 1999;239:15- 27.
- 41. Singh J, Murata K, Itahana Y, Desprez PY. Constitutive expression of the Id-1 promoter in human metastatic breast cancer cells is linked with the loss of NF-1/Rb/HDAC-1 transcription repressor complex. Oncogene. 2002;21:1812-1822.
- 42. Ishimaru F, Mari B, Shipp MA. The type 2 CD10/ neutral endopeptidase 24.11 promoter: functional

characterization and tissue-specific regulation by CBF/NF-Y isoforms. Blood. 1997;89:4136-4145.

- 43. Mantovani R, Li XY, Pessara U, Hooft van Huisjduijnen R, Benoist C, Mathis D. Dominant negative analogs of NF-YA. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269:20340-20346.
- 44. Zelent A, Guidez F, Melnick A, Waxman S, Licht JD. Translocations of the RARalpha gene in acute promyelocytic leukemia. Oncogene. 2001; 20:7186-7203.
- 45. Roder K, Wolf SS, Larkin KJ, Schweizer M. Interaction between the two ubiquitously expressed transcription factors NF-Y and Sp1. Gene. 1999; 234:61-69.
- 46. Vallian S, Chin KV, Chang KS. The promyelocytic leukemia protein interacts with Sp1 and inhibits its transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor promoter. Mol Cell Biol. 1998;18:7147- 7156.
- 47. Resendes KK, Rosmarin AG. Sp1 control of gene expression in myeloid cells. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr. 2004;14:171-181.
- 48. Friedman AD. Transcriptional regulation of granulocyte and monocyte development. Oncogene. 2002;21:3377-3390.
- 49. Buitenhuis M, van Deutekom HW, Verhagen LP, et al. Differential regulation of granulopoiesis by the basic helix-loop-helix transcriptional inhibitors Id1 and Id2. Blood. 2005;105:4272-4281.
- 50. Hao H, Qi H, Ratnam M. Modulation of the folate receptor type beta gene by coordinate actions of retinoic acid receptors at activator Sp1/ets and

repressor AP-1 sites. Blood. 2003;101:4551- 4560.

- 51. Bush TS, St Coeur M, Resendes KK, Rosmarin AG. GA-binding protein (GABP) and Sp1 are required, along with retinoid receptors, to mediate retinoic acid responsiveness of CD18 (beta 2 leukocyte integrin): a novel mechanism of transcriptional regulation in myeloid cells. Blood. 2003; 101:311-317.
- 52. Grignani F, Gelmetti V, Fanelli M, et al. Formation of PML/RAR alpha high molecular weight nuclear complexes through the PML coiled-coil region is essential for the PML/RAR alpha-mediated retinoic acid response. Oncogene. 1999;18:6313- 6321.
- 53. Ruthardt M, Testa U, Nervi C, et al. Opposite effects of the acute promyelocytic leukemia PMLretinoic acid receptor alpha (RAR alpha) and PLZF-RAR alpha fusion proteins on retinoic acid signalling. Mol Cell Biol. 1997;17:4859-4869.
- 54. Jansen JH, de Ridder MC, Geertsma WM, et al. Complete remission of t(11;17) positive acute promyelocytic leukemia induced by all-trans retinoic acid and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Blood. 1999;94:39-45.
- 55. Sternsdorf T, Phan VT, Maunakea ML, et al. Forced retinoic acid receptor alpha homodimers prime mice for APL-like leukemia. Cancer Cell. 2006;9:81-94.
- 56. Zhu J, Nasr R, Peres L, et al. RXR is an essential component of the oncogenic PML/RARA complex in vivo. Cancer Cell. 2007;12:23-35.